

Computable sequences in the Sobolev spaces

Miyamoto, Shoki
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Yoshikawa, Atsushi
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

<http://hdl.handle.net/2324/8052>

出版情報 : Proceedings of the Japan Academy. Ser. A, Mathematical sciences. 80 (3), pp.15-17, 2004-03. 日本学士院

バージョン :

権利関係 : © 2004 The Japan Academy



Computable sequences in the Sobolev spaces

By Shoki MIYAMOTO^{*)} and Atsushi YOSHIKAWA^{**)}

(Communicated by Shigefumi MORI, M. J. A., March 12, 2004)

Abstract: Pour-El and Richards [5] discussed computable smooth functions with non-computable first derivatives. We show that a similar result holds in the case of Sobolev spaces by giving a non-computable $\mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)$ -element which, however, is computable in any of larger Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}^s(0, 1)$ for any computable s , $0 \leq s < 1$.

Key words: Effective and non-effective convergence; Sobolev spaces.

1. Introduction. Let Ω be an open set in a d -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^d . The Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}^m(\Omega)$ of order m , ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), over Ω is a Hilbert space consisting of the Lebesgue measurable (complex valued) functions $u(x)$ such that it and all of its weak derivatives up to order m inclusive are square summable over Ω . The inner-product of $\mathcal{H}^m(\Omega)$ is given by

$$(u, v)_m = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\alpha} u(x) \cdot \overline{\partial^{\alpha} v(x)} dx,$$

for $u, v \in \mathcal{H}^m(\Omega)$. Here $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbf{N}^n$ are multi-indices. Thus, the length of α is $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$. Recall also $\partial^{\alpha} = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_d^{\alpha_d}$ for a partial derivation of order α . Recall $\|u\|_m = \sqrt{(u, u)_m}$ defines the norm of $u \in \mathcal{H}^m(\Omega)$. In particular, the Sobolev space of order 0, $\mathcal{H}^0(\Omega)$, coincides with the Lebesgue space $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$ of the square summable functions. For these function spaces, see any standard textbook of partial differential equations or functional analysis. See, e.g., Adams [1], also Hörmander [4]. The computability notion in a separable Hilbert space is discussed in Pour-El and Richards [5]. Computability properties of the Sobolev spaces are discussed in Zhong [7] for the case $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^d$.

The inclusion relation

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{H}^m(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}^l(\Omega), \quad m > l \geq 0,$$

is clear from the definition. (1) means that the canonical injection

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{H}^m(\Omega) \ni u \mapsto u \in \mathcal{H}^l(\Omega), \quad m > l \geq 0$$

is continuous.

It is a classical fact that if Ω has a nice (\mathcal{C}^{∞}) boundary $\partial\Omega$, then $\bigcap_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\ell}(\Omega) (\subset \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega))$ is dense in each of $\mathcal{H}^m(\Omega)$. Actually, the set spanned by the \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions supported in closed disks intersecting with Ω , centered at rational points and with rational radii, is contained in $\bigcap_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\ell}(\Omega)$ and dense in each $\mathcal{H}^m(\Omega)$. Note then that we have a common effective generating set for all the $\mathcal{H}^m(\Omega)$ consisting of rational dilations and translations of a fixed \mathcal{C}^{∞} function supported in the unit disk (as the one analogous to $\varphi(t)$ given below). Thus, by the First Main Theorem of Pour-El and Richards [5], the injection (2) preserves computability. In particular, in the present context, if u is computable in $\mathcal{H}^m(\Omega)$, then so is it in $\mathcal{H}^{\ell}(\Omega)$, $m > \ell \geq 0$.

However, the mapping (2) also maps non-computable elements in smaller spaces $\mathcal{H}^m(\Omega)$ to computable elements in larger spaces $\mathcal{H}^{\ell}(\Omega)$. Similar phenomena have been observed for computability in the standard sense of Turing/Lacombe/Grzegorzczuk: There is a computable function f (on the real line \mathbf{R}), which is continuously differentiable, but with non-computable derivative f' (See [5]).

Modifying the related arguments in [5], we get, in fact, an example of a computable sequence of elements which is non-effectively convergent in $\mathcal{H}^m(\Omega)$, in both of the weak and strong topologies, and which, nevertheless, converges effectively in any of larger spaces $\mathcal{H}^l(\Omega)$, $m > l \geq 0$.

2. A counterexample. To verify our statement in the last lines of §1, we argue for the case $d = 1$ and $\Omega = (0, 1)$, the unit open interval.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $d = 1$ and $\Omega = (0, 1)$. There is a bounded sequence $\{u_n(x)\} \subset \mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)$*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 03D25; Secondary 46A35.

^{*)} Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 10-1, Hakozaki 6-chome, Fukuoka 812-8581.

^{**)} Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 10-1, Hakozaki 6-chome, Fukuoka 812-8581.

which converges to an element $u(x)$ effectively in $\mathcal{H}^0(0, 1)$ but non-effectively in $\mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)$.

Note that the limit $u(x) \in \mathcal{H}^0(0, 1)$ actually belongs to $\mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)$ because of weak compactness. In fact, we can then extract a subsequence of $\{u_n(x)\}$ which converges weakly to some element $\tilde{u}(x)$ in $\mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)$. By Rellich's theorem, this subsequence converges to $\tilde{u}(x)$ in $\mathcal{H}^0(0, 1)$. However, the subsequence already converges to $u(x)$ in $\mathcal{H}^0(0, 1)$, and thus $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x)$.

To achieve the proof of the proposition, we adopt the idea of Pour-El and Richards [5], Chapter 1 §1 (p.52). Let

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{1-t^2}\right), & |t| < 1 \\ 0, & |t| \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

$\varphi(t)$ is a non-negative \mathcal{C}^∞ even function and its support is the closed interval $[-1, 1]$.

Let $a : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ be a one-to-one recursive function which enumerates a recursively enumerable non-recursive set A . We may assume $0 \notin A$ or $a(n) > 0$ for all n . Now put

$$(3) \quad \varphi_n(x) = \varphi(2^{(n+a(n)+2)}(x - 2^{-a(n)})).$$

Each $\varphi_n(x)$ is supported on a closed subinterval

$$[2^{-a(n)} - 2^{-(n+a(n)+2)}, 2^{-a(n)} + 2^{-(n+a(n)+2)}]$$

of $(0, 1)$. $\varphi_n(x)$ and $\varphi_{n'}(x)$ have disjoint supports for $n \neq n'$. For, we may assume without loss of generality that $a(n) < a(n') = a(n) + k$ for some $k \geq 1$. Then disjointness of the supports of $\varphi_n(x)$ and $\varphi_{n'}(x)$ reduces to positivity of the difference

$$\begin{aligned} & (2^{-a(n)} - 2^{-(n+a(n)+2)}) \\ & \quad - (2^{-a(n')} + 2^{-(n'+a(n')+2)}) \\ & = 2^{-(a(n')+2)}(2^{k+2} - 2^{k-n} - 2^2 - 2^{-n'}). \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$2^{k+2} - 2^{k-n} - 2^2 - 2^{-n'} \geq 3 \cdot 2^k - 5 > 0$$

since $n, n' \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$.

The \mathcal{L}^2 -norms of $\varphi_n(x)$ and its derivative $\varphi'_n(x)$ are given by

$$(4) \quad \|\varphi_n\|_0^2 = 2^{-(n+a(n)+2)} c_0,$$

$$(5) \quad \|\varphi'_n\|_0^2 = 2^{n+a(n)+2} c_1,$$

where

$$c_0 = 2 \int_0^1 \varphi(t)^2 dt, \quad c_1 = 2 \int_0^1 \varphi'(t)^2 dt$$

are both computable reals.

Let

$$(6) \quad u_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{-b(k)} \varphi_k(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Choosing $b(k)$ appropriately, we will have the proposition verified. Let us compute the $\mathcal{H}^m(0, 1)$ -norms of $u_n(x)$ for $m = 0, 1$. The orthogonality then implies

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_0^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{-2b(k)} \|\varphi_k\|_0^2 \\ &= c_0 \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{-2b(k)-k-a(k)-2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for whatever $a(k) > 0$ and $b(k) > 0$, the sequence $\{u_n(x)\}$ converges effectively to the element

$$(8) \quad u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-b(k)} \varphi_k(x)$$

in $\mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_n\|_0^2 &= c_0 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-2b(k)-k-a(k)-2} \\ &< 2^{-n-1} c_0, \end{aligned}$$

since $a(k) + 2b(k) > 0$. On the other hand, note

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u'_n\|_0^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{-2b(k)} \|\varphi'_k\|_0^2 \\ &= c_1 \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{-2b(k)+k+a(k)+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking

$$b(k) = a(k) + \frac{1}{2}k,$$

we see that $\{u'_n(x)\}$ converges to

$$(10) \quad v(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-b(k)} \varphi'_k(x)$$

in $\mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ since

$$\|v - u'_n\|_0^2 = c_1 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-a(k)+2}.$$

However, this convergence is not effective (See [5], p. 16). It is readily seen that $v(x)$ is the weak derivative $u'(x)$ of $u(x)$, whence $u \in \mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)$. Then the sequence $\{u_n(x)\}$ converges to $u(x)$ in $\mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)$ as

$$\|u - u_n\|_1^2 = \|u - u_n\|_0^2 + \|v - u'_n\|_0^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This convergence is not effective.

The weak convergence of the sequence $\{u_n(x)\}$ is not effective in the following sense.

Corollary 2.1. *There is a $\hat{u}(x) \in \mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)$ such that $(u_n - u, \hat{u})_1$ does not converge effectively.*

In fact, take $\hat{u}(x) = u(x)$. Then

$$(u - u_n, u)_1 = (u, u)_1 - (u_n, u_n)_1 = \|u - u_n\|_1^2$$

because of disjointness of the supports of $\varphi_k(x)$.

Remark 2.1. Analogously to (5), \mathcal{L}^2 -norms of the m -th derivatives $\varphi_n^{(m)}(x)$ of $\varphi_n(x)$ ($m = 2, 3, \dots$) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_n^{(m)}\|_0^2 &= 2^{(2m-1)(n+a(n)+2)} c_m, \\ c_m &= 2 \int_0^1 \varphi^{(m)}(t)^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

where c_m are computable. Therefore, taking

$$b(k) = m a(k) + \left(m - \frac{1}{2}\right) k$$

in (6), we have a non-effectively convergent sequence $\{u_n(x)\}$ in $\mathcal{H}^m(0, 1)$ which converges effectively in $\mathcal{H}^0(0, 1)$. $\{u_n(x)\}$ also converges effectively in each of $\mathcal{H}^l(0, 1)$, $m > l \geq 0$.

3. Further observation. Let $0 < s < 1$. The Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}^s(0, 1)$ of order s can be defined via the Fourier series expansions. Let $w(x) \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ be expanded into the Fourier series

$$w(x) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\alpha_n \cos 2n\pi x + \beta_n \sin 2n\pi x\}.$$

Then we have $w \in \mathcal{H}^s(0, 1)$ if and only if

$$(11) \quad |\alpha_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + n^2)^s \{|\alpha_n|^2 + |\beta_n|^2\} < +\infty.$$

In fact, (11) gives the square $\|w\|_s^2$ of the $\mathcal{H}^s(0, 1)$ -norm of $w(x)$.

Observe that we have the logarithmic convexity of norms

$$(12) \quad \|w\|_s \leq \|w\|_0^{1-s} \|w\|_1^s \quad (0 < s < 1)$$

for $w \in \mathcal{H}^1(0, 1) \subset \mathcal{H}^s(0, 1) \subset \mathcal{H}^0(0, 1)$. In fact, it is easy to see (12) in the present case. For we have

$$(1 + n^2)^s \leq (1 - s) \epsilon^{-s} + s \epsilon^{1-s} (1 + n^2)$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, (11) implies that if $w \in \mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)$, then

$$\|w\|_s^2 \leq (1 - s) \epsilon^{-s} \|w\|_0^2 + s \epsilon^{1-s} \|w\|_1^2$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. Taking the minimum of the right hand side, we get (12).

The space $\mathcal{H}^s(0, 1)$ is obtained as the complex interpolation space $\mathcal{H}^s(0, 1) = [\mathcal{H}^0(0, 1), \mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)]_s$ in the sense of Calderón [3]. (See, e.g., Bergh *et al.* [2]). Then recall that the computability structure in $\mathcal{H}^s(0, 1)$ is induced from those of $\mathcal{H}^0(0, 1)$ and $\mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)$ if s is computable (See Yoshikawa [6]).

Proposition 3.1. *Let $0 < s < 1$ be computable. Then the sequence $\{u_n(x)\} \subset \mathcal{H}^1(0, 1)$ in Proposition 2.1 effectively converges to $u(x)$ also in $\mathcal{H}^s(0, 1)$.*

In fact, from (12), we have

$$\|u - u_n\|_s \leq \|u - u_n\|_0^{1-s} \|u - u_n\|_1^s.$$

Note

$$\|u - u_n\|_1 < \sqrt{c_0 + 4c_1} = c.$$

Hence,

$$\|u - u_n\|_s \leq c^s \|u - u_n\|_0^{1-s} = 2^{-(1-s)(n+1)} c^s.$$

Thus, choose a recursive function $e_s(N)$ such that

$$e_s(N) \geq \frac{N}{1-s} + \frac{s}{1-s} \log_2 c - 1.$$

Then we have $\|u - u_n\|_s < 2^{-N}$ for $n > e_s(N)$.

Remark 3.1. We may take $e_s(N) \geq e_{s'}(N)$, $s > s'$, since $\|u - u_n\|_{s'} \leq \|u - u_n\|_s$ if $s > s' \geq 0$.

References

- [1] Adams, R. A.: Sobolev Spaces. Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 65, Academic Press, New York-London (1975).
- [2] Bergh, J., and Löfström, J.: Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, no. 223, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1976).
- [3] Calderón, A. P.: Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method. *Studia Math.*, **24**, 113–190 (1964).
- [4] Hörmander, L.: The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, no. 256, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1983).
- [5] Pour-El, Marian B., and Richards, J. Ian: Computability in Analysis and Physics. Perspective in Mathematical Logic. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1989).
- [6] Yoshikawa, A.: Interpolation functor and computability. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, **284**, 487–498 (2002).
- [7] Zhong, N.: Computability structure of the Sobolev spaces and its applications. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, **219**, 487–510 (1999).