

# Complexation and Fluorescence Behavior of Diaza-15-crown-5 Carrying Two Naphthyl Pendants

Kubo, Kanji  
Institute of Advanced Material Study, Kyushu University

Yamamoto, Emi  
Department of Molecular and Material Sciences, Kyushu University

Sakurai, Tadamitsu  
Department of Applied Chemistry Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa University

<https://doi.org/10.15017/7901>

---

出版情報：九州大学機能物質科学研究所報告. 12 (2), pp.137-140, 1998-12-25. 九州大学機能物質科学  
研究所  
バージョン：  
権利関係：

# Complexation and Fluorescence Behavior of Diaza-15-crown-5 Carrying Two Naphthyl Pendents<sup>†</sup>


Kanji KUBO, Emi Yamamoto\* and Tadamitsu SAKURAI\*\*

*N,N'*-Bis(1-naphthylmethyl)-4,10-diaza-15-crown-5 (**1b**) was found to display unique photophysical properties in the presence of the guest metal salts. The diaza-15-crown-5 (**1b**) exhibited high Zn<sup>2+</sup> enhancement selectivity and in the presence of this cation the host fluorescence intensity was increased by a factor of 8.3.

## 1. Introduction

The development of photoinduced electron transfer (PET) systems for guest cations has gained much attention for their potential application to nanoscale devices for cation sensor and switch.<sup>1)</sup> There are extensive investigations toward the characterization of PET fluoroionophores including crown ether derivatives with naphthalene, umbelliferone, anthracene, or pyrene fluorophore.<sup>2,3)</sup>

Recently, it was found that the diazacrown ether derivatives having two naphthylmethyl groups act as effective PET fluoroionophores.<sup>4)</sup> The addition of guest salts enhanced the fluorescence emission intensity of the diazacrown ethers (**1a**, **c**).<sup>5,6)</sup> Thus, it is interesting to investigate the complex formation of various PET fluoroionophores with guest salts using fluorescence spectroscopy. As an approach to the manipulation of PET fluoroionophore, we now report the complexation and fluorescence behavior of the diazacrown ethers (**1a-c**) containing two naphthyl pendants.



## 2. Results and Discussion

The naphthalene-functionalized azacrown ether

Received October 13, 1998

\* Department of Molecular and Material Sciences, Kyushu University

\*\*Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa University

<sup>†</sup>This paper is dedicated to the memory of the late Professor Hitoshi Takeshita.

The Reports of Institute of Advanced Material Study, Kyushu University

(**1b**)<sup>7)</sup> was prepared by *N*-alkylation of 1,7-diaza-15-crown-5 with 1-chloromethylnaphthalene in toluene-THF-triethylamine (90% yield).

Figure 1 illustrates the fluorescence spectral behavior of **1a-c** ( $2.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M) in methanol at room temperature. The diazacrown (**1b**), when excited at 280 nm, gave a broad emission band with a maximum at 476 nm in addition to the emission band at 335 nm. The formation of intramolecular exciplex should be responsible for the appearance of the former emission band. The emission-band intensity at 335 nm of **1b** was reduced to approximately one-331th that of 1-methylnaphthalene (1-MN,  $4.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M), which was accompanied by the exciplex fluorescence. This indicates that the quenching of the excited naphthalene chromophore by the amino unit proceeds in a mechanism similar to that for the classical naphthalene-aliphatic amine system.<sup>8)</sup>




Fig. 1 Fluorescence spectra of 1-methylnaphthalene ( $4.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M) and **1a-c** ( $2.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M) in methanol, as excited at 280 nm.

The formation of the intramolecular exciplex is very likely to be responsible for the observed emission

Vol. 12, No.2, 1998

quenching. The order of the quenching efficiency ( $I/I_{1-MN}$ ) is **1a** ( $1.7 \times 10^{-2}$ )<sup>6</sup> > **1b** ( $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ ) > **1c** ( $2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ )<sup>5</sup>. This means steric hindrance between the macrocycle ring and two naphthalene rings inhibit the PET occurring from the nitrogen atoms in the macrocycles to the naphthalene.

A dramatic change in the emission intensity of **1b** ( $I_{1b}$ ) was observed upon the addition of various amounts of guest cations ( $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Rb}^+$ ,  $\text{Cs}^+$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$ ). When the guest cations were added (500 molar equivalent), the relative emission intensity ratio ( $I_{\text{complex}}/I_{1b}$ ), being used as a measure of the molecular recognition sensing, changed from 8.3 to




Fig. 2 Fluorescence spectral changes of **1b** ( $2.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M) with and without various guest salts ( $1.00 \times 10^{-2}$  M) in methanol, as excited at 280 nm.

0.5 depending on the nature of metal cations as shown in Figure 2.

Figure 3 illustrates the relative emission intensity of **1b** against the guest salt concentration. It is clearly seen from Figure 3 that the emission intensity increased along with an increase in the concentration of the guest cations, except for  $\text{Rb}^+$ . The observed enhancement indicates the inhibition of exciplex formation by complexation with a metal salt. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that the **1b**-rubidium thiocyanate complex exhibited a decrease in its monomer emission intensity relative to that of **1b**,




Fig. 3 Dependence of fluorescence intensities of **1b** ( $2.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M) at 335 nm on the concentration of various guest salts in methanol.

Table 1. Fluorescence intensity ratio ( $I_{\text{complex}}/I_1$  and  $I_{\text{complex}}/I_{1-MN}$ ) of guest cation complexes of **1** for 1-methylnaphthalene (1-MN)

	$I_{1a}/I_{1-MN}$	$I_{\text{complex}}/I_{1a}$	$I_{1b}/I_{1-MN}$	$I_{\text{complex}}/I_{1b}$	$I_{1c}/I_{1-MN}$	$I_{\text{complex}}/I_{1c}$
Free	$1.7 \times 10^{-2}$	-	$3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	-	$2.3 \times 10^{-3}$	-
LiSCN	$1.9 \times 10^{-2}$	1.1	$3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	1.0	$2.7 \times 10^{-3}$	1.2
NaSCN	$2.0 \times 10^{-2}$	1.2	$4.5 \times 10^{-3}$	1.5	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	2.2
KSCN	$2.0 \times 10^{-2}$	1.2	$3.6 \times 10^{-3}$	1.2	$6.4 \times 10^{-3}$	2.8
RbSCN	$1.7 \times 10^{-2}$	1.0	$1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	0.5	$3.4 \times 10^{-3}$	1.5
CsSCN	$2.0 \times 10^{-2}$	1.2	$3.3 \times 10^{-3}$	1.1	$2.7 \times 10^{-3}$	1.2
$\text{NH}_4\text{SCN}$	$2.9 \times 10^{-2}$	1.7	$7.5 \times 10^{-2}$	2.5	$1.1 \times 10^{-2}$	4.9
$\text{Zn}(\text{SCN})_2$	0.73	43	$2.5 \times 10^{-2}$	8.3	$1.2 \times 10^{-2}$	5.4
$\text{Mg}(\text{SCN})_2$	$2.7 \times 10^{-3}$	1.6	$7.0 \times 10^{-3}$	2.3	$8.0 \times 10^{-3}$	3.5
$\text{Ca}(\text{SCN})_2$	$2.7 \times 10^{-2}$	1.6	$1.5 \times 10^{-2}$	4.9	$3.4 \times 10^{-2}$	15
$\text{Ba}(\text{SCN})_2$	$2.2 \times 10^{-2}$	1.3	$1.7 \times 10^{-2}$	5.5	$9.3 \times 10^{-2}$	41

Table 2. Association constants ( $K/M^{-1}$ ) of **1a**–**1c** for guest salts in methanol

	<b>1a</b>		<b>1b</b>		<b>1c</b>	
LiSCN	27 ± 5		-		13100 ± 3300	
NaSCN	123 ± 9		246 ± 37		9390 ± 610	
KSCN	1850 ± 320		-		9320 ± 1600	
RbSCN	305 ± 26		-		5120 ± 2210	
CsSCN	97 ± 30		-		4940 ± 960	
NH <sub>4</sub> SCN	1490 ± 165		2210 ± 420		4670 ± 180	
Zn(SCN) <sub>2</sub>	126 ± 13		597 ± 56		190000 ± 10000	
Mg(SCN) <sub>2</sub>	3240 ± 200		4620 ± 460		10200 ± 400	
Ca(SCN) <sub>2</sub>	61 ± 4		794 ± 54		21300 ± 1100	
Ba(SCN) <sub>2</sub>	710 ± 114		106 ± 5		11100 ± 3900	

itself. This suggests that the observed quenching is due to the presence of thiocyanate ion. A similar quenching by the thiocyanate anion was explained based on the photoinduced electron transfer from this anion to the naphthalene chromophore.<sup>9)</sup>

Interestingly, the intensity ratio ( $I_{\text{complex}}/I_{\text{1b}}$ ) was different among bound metal ions and decreased in the following order: Zn<sup>2+</sup> (8.3) > Ba<sup>2+</sup> (5.5) > Ca<sup>2+</sup> (4.9) > NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (2.5) > Mg<sup>2+</sup> (2.3) > Na<sup>+</sup> (1.5) > K<sup>+</sup> (1.2) > Cs<sup>+</sup> (1.1) > Li<sup>+</sup> (1.0) > Rb<sup>+</sup> (0.5). The order of  $I_{\text{complex}}/I_{\text{1b}}$  differs from that of  $I_{\text{complex}}/I_{\text{1a}}$ <sup>6)</sup> and  $I_{\text{complex}}/I_{\text{1c}}$ <sup>5)</sup> (Table 1). Zinc ion binding can then cause high fluorescence recovery. This recovery is due to coordination from the nitrogen atoms of the diazacrown to the zinc ion. The strength of this binding interaction modulates the PET from the amine to naphthalene. However, the recovery of the diaza-15-crown-5 (**1b**) is lower than that of the diaza-12-crown-4 (**1a**)<sup>6)</sup>.

Metal-ion concentration dependence of the emission intensity (Figure 3) allowed us to determine the association constants ( $K$ ) by the non-linear curve-fitting method<sup>10)</sup> (Table 2). The diazacrown (**1b**) showed the following cation selectivity: Ba<sup>2+</sup> < Na<sup>+</sup> < Zn<sup>2+</sup> < Ca<sup>2+</sup> < NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> < Mg<sup>2+</sup>. This selectivity order is distinct from that for **1a** and **1c**<sup>5,6)</sup>. The association constants of **1a**–**c** for various metal ions are depending upon the nature of ionophore properties.

In conclusion, the guest cation-induced emission-intensity enhancement and association constants of **1a**–**c** originates from the nature of ionophore properties

and the affinity of the nitrogen atom in the diazacrown ether for cations. The diazacrown ether having two 1-naphthyl pendants may be utilized as a model of PET fluorescent cation sensor.

## References

1. J. -M. Lehn, "Supramolecular Chemistry", VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Weinheim, 1995; E. Kimura and T. Koike, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **27**, 179 (1998).
2. H. G. Löhr and F. Vögtle, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **18**, 65 (1985); L. Fabrizzi and A. Poggi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **1995**, 197; A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, C. P. McCoy, R. S. Maxwell, J. T. Rademacher, and T. E. Rice, *Pure & Appl. Chem.*, **48**, 1443 (1996); G. E. Collins and L.-S. Choi, *Chem. Commun.*, **1997**, 1135; J. H. R. Tucker, H. B. -Laurent, P. Marsau, S. W. Riley, and J. -P. Desvergne, *ibid.*, **1997**, 1165; C. R. Cooper and T. D. James, *ibid.*, **1997**, 1419; A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, A. J. M. Huxley, C. P. McCoy, J. T. Rademacher, and T. E. Rice, *Chem. Rev.*, **97**, 1515 (1997).
3. K. Kubo and T. Sakurai, *Chem. Lett.*, **1996**, 959; K. Kubo and T. Sakurai, *Rep. Inst. Adv. Mat. Study, Kyushu Univ.*, **10**, 85 (1996); K. Kubo, N. Kato, and T. Sakurai, *Acta Cryst.*, **53C**, 132 (1997); K. Kubo, N. Kato, and T. Sakurai, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **70**, 3041 (1997); K. Kubo, R. Ishige, and T. Sakurai, *ibid.*, **48**, 347 (1998); K. Kubo, E.

- Yamamoto, and T. Sakurai, *ibid.*, **48**, 1477 (1998); K. Kubo, S. Sakaguchi and T. Sakurai, *Rep. Inst. Adv. Mat. Study, Kyushu Univ.*, **12**, 11 (1998); K. Kubo, R. Ishige, J. Kubo, and T. Sakurai, *Talanta*, in press; K. Kubo, R. Ishige, and T. Sakurai, *ibid.*, submitted.
4. N. Kh. Petrov, A. I. Shushin, and E. L. Frankevich, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **82**, 339 (1981); N. Kh. Petrov, V. N. Borisenko, M. V. Alfimov, T. Fiebig, and H. Staerk, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **100**, 6368 (1996).
  5. K. Kubo, R. Ishige, N. Kato, E. Yamamoto, and T. Sakurai, *Heterocycles*, **45**, 2365 (1997).
  6. K. Kubo, E. Yamamoto, and T. Sakurai, *ibid.*, **48**, 2133 (1998)
  7. **1b**; pale yellow oils,  $^1\text{H-NMR}$ :  $\delta$ =2.82 (4H, t, J=5.2 Hz), 2.89 (4H, t, J=6.1 Hz), 3.54 (4H, s), 3.55 (4H, t, J=5.2 Hz), 3.59 (4H, t, J=6.1 Hz), 4.05 (4H, s), 7.37 (2H, dd, J=7.3, 8.2 Hz), 7.45 (4H, ddd, J=1.8, 6.7, 7.3 Hz), 7.48 (2H, d, J=6.7 Hz), 7.73 (2H, d, J=8.2 Hz), 7.81 (2H, dd, J=1.8, 7.3 Hz), and 8.34 (2H, d, J=7.3 Hz);  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ :  $\delta$ =54.45 (2C), 54.80 (2C), 59.12 (2C), 69.38 (2C), 70.49 (2C), 70.62 (2C), 124.82 (2C), 125.18 (2C), 125.49 (2C), 125.61 (2C), 127.14 (2C), 127.74 (2C), 128.30 (2C), 132.47 (2C), 133.81 (2C), and 135.29 (2C); IR:  $\nu$  (NaCl) 770, 1116, 1258, 1292, 1352, 1444, 1594, and 2852  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  HR-MS (FAB):  $m/z$  499.2961. Calcd for  $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{39}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3$ : 499.2960.
  8. H. Leonhardt and A. Weller, *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.*, **67**, 791 (1963); R. S. Davidson and K. R. Trethewey, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1976**, 827; N. Mataga, and M. Ottolenghi, "Photophysical Aspects of Exciplexes Molecular Association"; ed. by R. Foster, Academic Press, London, **2**, 2-79 (1979); X. -J. Luo, G. S. Beddard, and G. Porter, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1*, **78**, 3467 (1982).
  9. S. Iwata and K. Tanaka, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1995**, 1491; K. Kubo, E. Yamamoto, and T. Sakurai, *Heterocycles*, **45**, 1457 (1997).
  10. K. A. Connors, "Binding Constants", John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987; A. Mori, K. Kubo, and H. Takeshita, *Coordination Chem. Rev.*, **148**, 71 (1996).