

Does intubation while observing the glottis with a fiberoptic scope reduce postoperative sore throat?

後藤, 満帆

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/7363718>

出版情報 : Kyushu University, 2024, 博士 (歯学), 課程博士
バージョン :
権利関係 : © The Author(s) 2024.



RESEARCH

Open Access



Does intubation while observing the glottis with a fiberoptic scope reduce postoperative sore throat?

Maho Goto¹, Masanori Tsukamoto^{2*}, Kazuya Matsuo³ and Takeshi Yokoyama^{1,4}

Abstract

Introduction In oral maxillofacial surgery, the nasal tracheal tube is mostly used to provide a better surgical field for oral, head and neck operations. Postoperative sore throat and hoarseness are common following tracheal intubation, with an incidence of 11–55%. Then, we previously reported advantage technique of fiberoptic scope to decrease the risk which the tip of the tube is visualized as the tube is advanced which helps avoid impingement of the tube. However, the extent to which this technique causes postoperative complications is unknown compared to traditional technique. The aim of this study was retrospectively to determine the effect of postoperative sore throat following nasotracheal intubation by tip of the tube is visualized by fiberoptic scope.

Method Anesthesia records of the adult patients with nasotracheal intubation were checked. Patients underwent oral maxillofacial surgery from January 2021 until March 2023. Facilitated with rocuronium, nasotracheal intubation was performed using the traditional or observative method by fiberoptic scope with a 4.8 mm outer diameter. Intubation was performed with a cuffed 6.5–8.0 mm ID nasotracheal tube. The following variables were recorded: gender, age, height, weight, ASA classification, anesthesia time, duration of intubation, tube size, intubation attempts, fentanyl and remifentanyl. The postoperative sore throat and the incidence of hoarseness were recorded at operative day and at the day after operative day, and the time to recovery.

Result A total of 104 cases (traditional fiberoptic intubation $n=51$, observative fiberoptic intubation $n=53$) were enrolled in this retrospective study. There were no significant differences in clinical characteristics and anesthetic data. There was not significant difference in incidence of postoperative sore throat, hoarseness and recovery between the two groups ($P=0.61, 0.44, 0.90$). For subjects reporting postoperative sore throat ($n=30$), there was not a significant difference in VAS means at operative day and at the day after operative day between the two groups ($P=0.81, 0.91$).

Conclusion We found that postoperative sore throat and recovery were not influenced by observative fiberoptic scope for nasotracheal intubation.

Keywords Nasotracheal intubation, Indirect visualization, Sore throat, Fiberoptic scope, General anesthesia

*Correspondence:

Masanori Tsukamoto
tsukamoto@dent.kagoshima-u.ac.jp

¹Department of Dental Anesthesiology, Graduate School of Dental Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

²Department of Dental Anesthesiology, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, Japan

³Department of Control Engineering, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Kitakyushu, Japan

⁴Department of Dental Anesthesiology, Faculty of Dental Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Introduction

In oral maxillofacial surgery, the nasal tracheal tube is primarily used to provide a better surgical field for oral, head, and neck surgeries [1–3]. There are various methods of intubation, such as direct or video laryngoscopy and fiberoptic scope [4, 5]. The fiberoptic scope is often used for difficult intubation, such as mouth opening disorders.

Postoperative sore throat and hoarseness are common after tracheal intubation, with an 11–55% incidence [6–9]. These adverse events, closely related to tracheal tube size and cuff pressure, may negatively affect patient satisfaction and postoperative activities [9, 10]. It was previously reported that nasotracheal intubation is more traumatic than orotracheal intubation [11–13]. Because nasotracheal intubation (traditional fiberoptic intubation) involves the insertion and passage of a tube from the naris through the nasal cavity to the nasopharynx, which is typically performed blindly and may result in tissue damage [14–16]. In addition, it has been reported that even when a fiberoptic scope is used, it could be difficult to advance the tube over the fiberoptic scope because of impediments by the epiglottis, pyriform fossa, or arytenoids [17–19].

We previously reported an advantageous technique using a fiberoptic scope (observable fiberoptic intubation), in which the tip of the tube is visualized as the tube advances, which helps avoid impingement of the tube [20]. However, the extent to which this technique causes postoperative complications is unknown compared with the traditional technique [21].

The primary aim of this study was to determine the effect of visualizing the tube tip using a fiberoptic scope on postoperative sore throat following nasotracheal intubation. The secondary aims were to compare the degree and duration of postoperative sore throat and the incidence of hoarseness associated with nasotracheal intubation between traditional and observational methods using a fiberoptic scope. We hypothesized that postoperative sore throat would be influenced by intubating conditions, especially observative fiberoptic intubation, which could reduce the incidence of sore throat.

Methods

Study design

This retrospective study was approved by Kyushu University Institutional Review Board for Clinical Research (Approval No.23229-00) on October 10, 2023, and the need for consent to participate was waived, then informed consent to participate was not obtained from all of the participants, which complies with the Declaration of Helsinki. Adult patients underwent general anesthesia using a fiberoptic scope for oral maxillofacial surgery were included. Pediatric patients, reconstructive

patients and trauma patients were excluded. In addition, patients with upper respiratory tract disease, hoarseness, or sore throats were excluded from this study.

Anesthesia

The patients received no premedication and were continuously monitored using electrocardiography, pulse oximetry, noninvasive blood pressure, and a BIS monitor. General anesthesia was induced using atropine, propofol, remifentanyl, and fentanyl. Facilitated with rocuronium, nasotracheal intubation was performed using the traditional or observative method by fiberoptic scope with a 4.8 mm outer diameter (Pentax, HOYA, Tokyo, Japan). Intubation was performed with a cuffed 6.5–8.0 mm ID nasotracheal tube (Portex, North Facing Nasal Soft-Seal Cuffed Polar Preformed Endotracheal Tube, Smiths Medical International, Hythe, UK). After confirming successful endotracheal positioning, the tube cuff was inflated with air to maintain 20–25 cm H₂O. Anesthesia maintenance, as determined by each anesthesiologist, was performed using an inhalational anesthetic or propofol plus oxygen or air. In addition, fentanyl 100–500 µg and remifentanyl 0.05–0.5 µg/kg/min were administered for analgesia to all patients; at the end of the procedure, a diclofenac suppository of 50–100 mg was inserted. Local anesthesia with a vasoconstrictor (lidocaine containing 1:200,000 epinephrine) was administered in all patients during surgery. The dose of local anesthetic was dependent on the surgeon. All anesthetics were discontinued after tracheal or gastric suction. Mechanical ventilation was discontinued when the patient regained spontaneous respiratory effort. After regular observation of spontaneous respiration and upper airway patency, the patients were extubated awake. Once the hemodynamic and respiratory parameters stabilized, the patients were transferred back to their rooms.

The following variables were recorded: sex, age, height, weight, ASA of Anesthesiologists classification, anesthesia time, duration of intubation, tube size, number of intubation attempts, fentanyl, and remifentanyl. The severity (postoperative pain as measured by the visual analog scale (VAS)) and duration of postoperative sore throat as well as the incidence of hoarseness following surgery were examined by the attending physician or anesthesiologist between the day of the operation and the following day [20].

Statistical analysis

Non-parametric methods were used to evaluate the data statistically using R version 4.0.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing). Fisher's exact test and Spearman's rank correlation test were used to compare groups in the univariate analysis. All values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or number of occurrences (n) for

Table 1 Patient characteristics ($n = 104$)

	Traditional fiberoptic intubation $n = 51$	Observative fiberoptic intubation $n = 53$
Gender (M/F)	28/23	26/27
Age (yrs)	60.3 ± 20.7	62.5 ± 20.0
Height (cm)	161.6 ± 10.5	160.3 ± 9.2
Weight (kg)	60.7 ± 12.1	57.3 ± 10.6
ASA classification 1/2/3 (n)	21/28/2	10/41/2

Values are means ± standard deviation or number

Table 2 Anesthesia records by traditional and observative fiberoptic scope

	Traditional fiberoptic intubation $n = 51$	Observative fiberoptic intubation $n = 53$	P value
Anesthesia time (min)	229.7 ± 114.6	235.7 ± 139.5	0.81
Duration of intubation (min)	214.4 ± 115.4	227.2 ± 138.2	0.62
Tube size 6.0/6.5/7.0/7.5/8.0 (n)	5/19/17/9/1	5/21/22/5/0	0.42
Intubation attempt 1/2/3 (n)	43/7/1	47/4/2	0.77
Fentanyl (μg)	251.5 ± 136.2	293.4 ± 188.6	0.19
Remifentanyl (μg)	2320.0 ± 1716.0	2405.6 ± 1630.5	0.79
Sore throat (n) (yes/no)	14/37 (27.4%)	16/37 (30.1%)	0.61
Hoarseness (n)	1 (7.1%)	2 (12.5%)	0.44

Values are means ± standard deviation or number, Fisher's exact test between groups

A P value < 0.05 is considered significant

Table 3 Postoperative pain (VAS) by traditional and observative fiberoptic scope

	Postoperative sore throat $n = 30$		P value
	Traditional fiberoptic intubation $n = 14$	Observative fiberoptic intubation $n = 16$	
VAS at operative day	49.6 ± 31.2	46.9 ± 28.4	0.81
VAS at the day after operative day	26.2 ± 21.6	25.2 ± 30.5	0.91
Recovery (day)	3.4 ± 1.8	3.4 ± 1.8	0.90

Values are means ± standard deviation or number, Fisher's exact test between groups

A P value < 0.05 is considered significant

quantitative and qualitative data, respectively. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Result

A total of 104 patients (traditional fiberoptic intubation, $n = 51$; observational fiberoptic intubation, $n = 53$) were enrolled in this retrospective study (Table 1). There were no significant differences in the clinical characteristics and anesthetic data (Table 2). The severity, incidence,

duration of postoperative sore throat, and incidence of hoarseness are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Additionally, the recovery time for sore throat was not significantly different between the groups ($P = 0.90$). Postoperative sore throat and hoarseness were also not significantly different between the two groups ($P = 0.61, 0.44$). For subjects reporting postoperative sore throat ($n = 30$), there was no significant difference in the VAS means on the operative day and the day after the operation between the traditional and observational methods ($P = 0.81, 0.91$). There was no significant difference in VAS values on the day of surgery or the day after the operation by tube size between the traditional and observation methods ($P = 0.34, 0.10, 0.39$, and 0.17 , respectively) (Table 4).

Discussion

We found that intubation using traditional and observative fiberoptic scopes did not significantly affect the incidence of postoperative sore throat, hoarseness, or time to recovery.

Nasal intubation is more difficult to manipulate than oral intubation [1, 2, 11]. Various intubation techniques have been developed. In particular, difficulty in advancing the tube into the vocal cords has been reported in 20–90% of patients [4–6]. Deformity of the upper airway and distortion of the airway can also impede the passage of the tube over the fiberoptic scope [4, 7]. General anesthesia causes relaxation of the airway muscles, leading to the collapse of the airways, including the soft palate, tongue, and epiglottis, leaving little air space in the pharynx for successful manipulation of the scope tip to locate the glottis [19, 21].

Using an observative fiberoptic scope, nasal intubation was performed with minimal contact of the scope tip with the surrounding tissues while viewing the area around the glottis [20]. Therefore, we expected that the risk of injury or damage to the glottis, vocal cords, and surrounding tissues during observation intubation using the fiberoptic scope would be reduced. However, contrary to expectations, there was no significant difference in postoperative sore throat or hoarseness between the two groups. The effect of postoperative sore throat may not be related to the method of intubation but to other factors.

Limitations

This study had some limitations. A potential confounding variable of this study could be that patients were unable to distinguish between surgical pain and intubation-related sore throat pain [20]. Although pain and surgical site pain may occur at different sites, patients may judge the difference between them. Intraoperative analgesics (fentanyl/diclofenac) were administered during the procedures, which could have affected the patient's

Table 4 Comparison of tube size and postoperative pain (VAS)

(1) Traditional fiberoptic intubation						
	Tube size (mm)					
	6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	P value
	n=5	n=19	n=17	n=9	n=1	
VAS at operative day	0.0 ± 0.0	13.6 ± 29.7	14.2 ± 26.1	21.6 ± 30.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.34
VAS at the day after operative day	0.0 ± 0.0	3.8 ± 11.8	10.2 ± 19.0	13.4 ± 20.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.10
(2) Observative fiberoptic intubation						
	Tube size (mm)					
	6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	P value
	n=5	n=21	n=22	n=5	n=0	
VAS at operative day	11.0 ± 14.3	10.4 ± 24.7	17.3 ± 29.8	19.0 ± 26.3	-	0.39
VAS at the day after operative day	2.4 ± 4.3	4.0 ± 16.0	10.6 ± 25.1	14.6 ± 18.9	-	0.17

The Spearman's rank correlation test, Values are means±standard deviation, Fisher's exact test between groups
A P value<0.05 is considered significant

perception of sore throat and early recovery. We did not evaluate the potential impact of postoperative sore throat.

Conclusion

We found that postoperative sore throat and recovery were not influenced by the observative fiberoptic scope used for nasotracheal intubation.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

M. Goto contributed to the conception, data acquisition, drafted and critically revised the manuscript. M. Tsukamoto contributed to design, data acquisition, interpretation and revised the manuscript. K. Matsuo contribute to perform the statistical analyses.T.Yokoyama contributed to the conception, interpretation and revised the manuscript.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grants from funding agencies in the public or commercial sector.

Data availability

Data is provided within the supplementary information files.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The need for informed consent was waived by Kyushu University Institutional Review Board for Clinical Research.

Consent for publication

Not Applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 8 April 2024 / Accepted: 11 November 2024

Published online: 18 November 2024

References

1. Kim HJ, Roh Y, Yun SY, Park WK, Kim HY, Lee MH, Kim HJ. Comparison of the selection of nasotracheal tube diameter based on the patient's sex

or size of the nasal airway: a prospective observational study. *PLoS ONE*. 2021;8(3):e0248296.

2. Massoth C, Schülke C, Köppe J, Weiss R, Pöpping D, Dahrmann M, Zarbock A, Wenk M. Nasolaryngeal distances in the Adult Population and an evaluation of commercially available nasotracheal tubes. *Anesth Analg*. 2020;130(4):1018–25.

3. Tachibana N, Niiyama Y, Yamakage M. Less postoperative sore throat after nasotracheal intubation using a fiberoptic bronchoscope than using a Macintosh laryngoscope: a double-blind, randomized, controlled study. *J Clin Anesth*. 2017;39:113–7.

4. Jones PM, Armstrong KP, Armstrong PM, Cherry RA, Harle CC, Hoogstra J, Turkstra TP. A comparison of glidescope videolaryngoscopy to direct laryngoscopy for nasotracheal intubation. *Anesth Analg*. 2008;107(1):144–8.

5. Liu J, Xu W, Jin Z, Deng X. Does increasing the Bend Angle of a Stylet to 90° increase the Nasotracheal Intubation Success Rate? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2023, 19:S0278-2391(23)01192-8.

6. Wang C, Yan X, Gao C, Liu S, Zhang D, Jiang J, Wu A. Effect of continuous measurement and adjustment of endotracheal tube cuff pressure on post-operative sore throat in patients undergoing gynecological laparoscopic surgery: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2023;27(1):358.

7. Inoue S, Abe R, Tanaka Y, Kawaguchi M. Tracheal intubation by trainees does not alter the incidence or duration of postoperative sore throat and hoarseness: a teaching hospital-based propensity score analysis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2015;115(3):463–9.

8. El-Boghdady K, Bailey CR, Wiles MD. Postoperative sore throat: a systematic review. *Anaesthesia*. 2016;71(6):706–17.

9. Yamanaka H, Hayashi Y, Watanabe Y, Uematu H, Mashimo T. Prolonged hoarseness and arytenoid cartilage dislocation after tracheal intubation. *Br J Anaesth*. 2009;103(3):452–5.

10. Chang JE, Kim H, Han SH, Lee JM, Ji S, Hwang JY. Effect of endotracheal tube cuff shape on postoperative Sore Throat after Endotracheal Intubation. *Anesth Analg*. 2017;125(4):1240–5.

11. Seo JH, Cho CW, Hong DM, Jeon Y, Bahk JH. The effects of thermal softening of double-lumen endobronchial tubes on postoperative sore throat, hoarseness and vocal cord injuries: a prospective double-blind randomized trial. *Br J Anaesth*. 2016;116(2):282–8.

12. Biro P, Seifert B, Pasch T. Complaints of sore throat after tracheal intubation: a prospective evaluation. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2005;22(4):307–11.

13. Cui XL, Xue FS, Wang SY. Lightwand-guided nasotracheal intubation in oromaxillofacial surgery patients. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2014;43(5):664–5.

14. Puchner W, Drabauer L, Kern K, Mayer C, Bierbaumer J, Rehak PH, Gombotz H. Indirect versus direct laryngoscopy for routine nasotracheal intubation. *J Clin Anesth*. 2011;23(4):280–5.

15. Chang JE, Min SW, Kim CS, Han SH, Kwon YS, Hwang JY. Effect of prophylactic benzydamine hydrochloride on postoperative sore throat and hoarseness after tracheal intubation using a double-lumen endobronchial tube: a randomized controlled trial. *Can J Anaesth*. 2015;62(10):1097–103.

16. Sun Y, Liu JX, Jiang H, Zhu YS, Xu H, Huang Y. Cardiovascular responses and airway complications following awake nasal intubation with blind intubation device and fibreoptic bronchoscope: a randomized controlled study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2010;27(5):461–7.

17. Kim H, Kim JE, Yang WS, Hong SW, Jung H. Effects of bevel direction of endotracheal tube on the postoperative sore throat when performing fiberoptic-guided tracheal intubation: A randomized controlled trial, *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 2022, 2;101(35):e30372.
18. Jiang J, Ma DX, Li B, Wu AS, Xue FS. Videolaryngoscopy versus direct laryngoscopy for nasotracheal intubation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *J Clin Anesth.* 2019;52:6–16.
19. Tsukamoto M, Kameyama I, Miyajima R, Hitosugi T, Yokoyama T. Alternative technique for Nasotracheal Intubation using a flexible fiberoptic scope. *Anesth Prog.* 2022;69(1):35–7.
20. Tsukamoto M, Taura S, Kadowaki S, Hitosugi T, Miki Y, Yokoyama T. Risk factors for postoperative Sore Throat after Nasotracheal Intubation. *Anesth Prog.* 2022;69(1):3–8.
21. Wang X, Wang Y, Zheng ZW, Liu YR, Ma WH. Ultrasound measurements for evaluation of changes in upper airway during anaesthesia induction and prediction difficult laryngoscopy: a prospective observational study. *Sci Rep.* 2022;12(1):18564.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.