

Modern-age Agriculture with Artificial Intelligence: A review emphasizing Crop Yield Prediction

Sharma, Priyanka

Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Swami Keshvanand Institute of Technology, Management & Gramothan

Dadheech, Pankaj

Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Swami Keshvanand Institute of Technology, Management & Gramothan

<https://doi.org/10.5109/7160906>

出版情報 : Evergreen. 10 (4), pp.2570-2582, 2023-12. 九州大学グリーンテクノロジー研究教育センター

バージョン :

権利関係 : Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International



Modern-age Agriculture with Artificial Intelligence: A review emphasizing Crop Yield Prediction

Priyanka Sharma^{1*}, Pankaj Dadheech²

^{1,2}Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Swami Keshvanand Institute of Technology,
Management & Gramothan, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302017, India

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed:

E-mail: priyanka08291@gmail.com

(Received March 20, 2023; Revised December 18, 2023; accepted December 18, 2023).

Abstract: Agriculture is a key employment in several countries throughout the globe. AI is increasingly becoming a part of agriculture industry as traditional methods are insufficient to supply the massive survival needs of millions of people. AI, in form of machine learning and deep learning, is capable of providing a number of strategies that assist in the creation of more healthy seeds. This paper discusses significance of machine learning and deep learning that growers can use to gain access to increasingly sophisticated data and analytical tools, allowing them to make better decisions, improve efficiencies, and reduce wastes in food and bio-fuel production while minimizing negative environmental impacts. On the basis of critical parameters like temperature, rainfall, humidity, soil type, soil characteristics etc., ML and DL operate as recommenders and advise farmers to take the right action. Numerous AI applications in agriculture are addressed, with an emphasis on yield prediction. The article offers a comprehensive review of a variety of ML, DL and hybrid methodologies for correctly forecasting agricultural outputs that will promote the nation's economic growth.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Agriculture, Crop Yield Prediction.

1. Introduction

Agriculture has been the foundation of practically all ancient civilizations for the sole purpose of ensuring their survival. Agriculture is now a \$2.4 trillion global business that is one of the most important contributors to the growth of developing countries¹⁾. Agriculture has a paramount significance in world's economy. The agriculture sector will be under more strain due to endless progression in human population. Precision farming and agri-technology/digital agriculture²⁾ are growing as modern scientific domains that make use of data-intensive methods to boost productivity in agricultural while lowering the impacts on environment³⁾. Agriculture, on the other hand, is prone to a variety of issues, the majority of which are highly unpredictable in nature, such as a lack of rain, floods, and blight, to mention a few. For the reasons stated, Artificial Intelligence must be introduced into the agricultural area in order to leverage statistical brilliance to give better harvests at reduced costs⁴⁾. In this vein, we propose a number of precision agriculture frameworks. Machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL)⁵⁾⁻⁸¹⁾ have evolved with technologies of big data, Internet of Things, and highly efficient computing to unravel, measure, and comprehend data-intensive

processes in agricultural operations.

2. Article Organization

We give a complete examination of AI's importance in agriculture in this paper. The role of agriculture in a country's GDP is examined in detail in Section I. Some of the abbreviations will be revisited several times throughout the text that are described in Section III. Section IV delves into various application of artificial intelligence in agriculture. Section V focuses on the significance of machine learning and deep learning in crop yield prediction. The theory and technical parts of AI have been concluded at the very last.

3. AI Applications in Agriculture

3.1 Farm Harvesting Robots

Robots are being created that can handle bulk harvesting with more accuracy and speed, allowing the fruit to reach your kitchen table faster. These kinds of tools boost the productivity and reduces the crop waste from the field⁶⁾.

3.2 Smart Chemical Spraying

Using computer vision and artificial intelligence, various companies have built robots that track and spray the weeds accurately⁷⁾. By using these robots, approx. 80-85% chemicals are able to be removed that are sprayed on the crops, thereby herbicides can be reduced up to 90%. These are called AI sprayers that can drastically lessen the proportion of pesticides being utilized in fields. This overall process improves agricultural productivity and also reduces cost.

3.3 Species Management

3.3.1 Identification of Species

A latest architecture⁸⁾ called leaf vein architecture is being used that provides more precise and efficient results. It holds the information about the leaf features, instead of the typical human tendency to compare leaf color and shape to classify plants.

3.3.2 Breeding of Species

This application is most useful as it is both sensible and unexpected, because harvest forecasting is taken into consideration at some point later. For some particular genes that impact the performance of nutrient content, water consumption, flavor, nutrient consumption, disease resistance, climate change adaptation and picking up of species is a time-consuming process. Deep learning⁹⁾ algorithms, for example, assess crop performance in a variety of conditions and develop new features as a result of the data. They can use this information to create a probability model that predicts which genes produce plants more frequently.

3.4 Crop Management

3.4.1 Crop Excellence

Crop quality traits may be accurately detected and classified, which can raise product prices and reduce waste. Machines, in compared to human specialists, can employ seemingly useless data and linkages to uncover new attributes that contribute to the overall quality of crops¹⁰⁾.

3.4.2 Prediction of Yield

Yield estimation is the most important matter of discussion in agriculture, which encompasses mapping of yield & prediction, demand matching, supply and management of crops¹¹⁻¹⁴⁾. On the basis of historical data, state of art techniques can also be used along with computer vision.

3.4.3 Detection of weeds

Weeds are the greatest serious hazard to crop yield, aside from diseases. The most difficult aspect of weed control is detecting and distinguishing them from crops.

ML techniques¹⁵⁾ and computer vision can enhance the weed identification and discrimination at a minimal cost.

3.4.4 Detecting Diseases

Spraying insecticides equally across the cropping area is the most widely used technique of disease prevention. This strategy requires the use of enormous quantities of pesticides to be effective, which comes with a significant financial and environmental cost. Agrochemicals are sprayed at specified times, locations, and to specific plants using ML as part of a broader precision agriculture strategy¹⁶⁾.

3.5 Field Conditions Management

3.5.1 Management of Water

The hydrological, climatological, and agronomic factors are all affected by agriculture's water management. So far, the most developed machine learning based applications are related to regular evapotranspiration estimation, which allows more flexible irrigation system to use. Daily point temperature prediction aids in identifying the weather conditions, evaporation, and evapotranspiration to be expected¹⁷⁾.

3.5.2 Management of Soil

For agricultural scientists, soil is a diverse source of natural resources. Its temperature alone can reveal information about the impacts of climate fluctuations. ML approaches¹⁸⁾ seek for temperature, soil moisture, and evaporation processes to understand the ecosystem statistics and their impact on agriculture.

3.6 Livestock Management

3.6.1 Animal Protection

In today's society, livestock is increasingly recognized as animals who are sad and tired of their farm lifestyles, rather of simply as food carriers. Chewing signals can be linked to the need for food changes, and animal behavior classifiers can identify how stressed an animal is by looking at their movement patterns, which include walking, eating and hydrating etc¹⁹⁾.

3.6.2 Livestock Management

Machine learning application, like crop management, enables precise prediction and farming parameters estimation for maximizing the effectiveness of animal production systems²⁰⁾. For an instance, weight estimation systems can forecast coming weights some days before slaughter. It permits the farmers to change environment and meals accordingly.

3.6.3 Farmer's Little Assistant

A farmer always needs help for sorting through all of the options of crop management so that he can make a

final selection. Companies are now concentrating their efforts on developing specialized chatbots that can talk with farmers and help them with essential statistics and data analysis to aid them²¹⁾. Chatbots of farmers needs to be more intelligent than that of consumers i.e. such as Alexa because these chatbots will be able to provide data, analyze it, and consult farmers on challenging situations.

4. Machine Learning and Deep Learning in Crop Yield Prediction

Machine learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL) techniques are widely employed in many fields, such as supermarkets for evaluating customer behaviour based on past purchases and for forecasting the typical smartphone usage time. Additionally, machine learning²²⁾ is used continuously and globally. ML is extremely important in agriculture because there are so many different algorithms to use. ML is being used everywhere nowadays even in Agritourism for Sustainable Agriculture.

4.1 Selection Criteria of Crop Yield Prediction

Crop yield forecasting is a major source of worry for the world's food production. By making wise import and export decisions and depending on reliable forecasts, national food security is ensured. For finer variations, seed firms must estimate the performance of current mixed breeds in various environments. Growers use yield prediction's benefits for improved management and wiser financial choices.

The most challenging problem in precision farming²³⁾ is predicting crop production, which has led to the development and validation of numerous models to date. Crop yield prediction requires the use of a variety of datasets because it depends on a number of variables, including weather, soil characteristics, fertilizer use, and seed type. That's why, Crop yield forecast²⁴⁾ must be seen as a series of phases rather than a simple assignment. There are several crop yield prediction models that the farmer can use to determine the advantageous and desirable output, but a finer achievement is still valuable. Multiple uncertainties plague the farming industry, making it difficult for farmers to choose when to plan which crop because market prices often change regularly. As a result, some significant concerns occur. Furthermore, crops used to be damaged by harmful climatic conditions due to global warming²⁵⁾.

Floods, groundwater, insufficient soil fertility, single crop failure owing to climatic variance, and a number of other issues all have a negative impact on farmers. Depending on certain geographic, climatic, financial, and organic elements, crop yield may be regarded as the most important component in agricultural financial terms.

Depending on the locality and climatic conditions, the community advises the farmers to behave spiritedly in order to increase agricultural yield.

4.2 Machine Learning based Crop Yield Prediction

Machine learning is a part of AI that emphasizes on using data and several algorithms to imitate how human beings learn for a significant improvement in accuracy over time²⁶⁾. ML approaches permit various software applications to strengthen their prediction accuracy and are used to forecast new output values. Machine learning isn't some far-fetched notion. It is already being used by businesses across a variety of industries to enhance creativity and improve operational efficiency.




Fig. 1: AI, ML and DL

Machine learning is a learning process that aims to teach a computer how to complete a task through "experience" (training data). Data is made up of instances in machine learning. Some features/variables (a set of attributes) describe a specific instance. Numeric features or ordinal/nominal/binary measures can also be employed. To evaluate the performance of machine learning models, a performance metric is utilized that improves with experience. The performance of ML models and algorithms is calculated using a variety of statistical and mathematical methods. The integration of the Machine-learning based on the prosumer's EMS to address the uncertainty problem in the prosumer are explored.

When the learning process is finished, we can use the trained model in order to categorize, forecast, or cluster data. The classification of diverse sets of crop pictures using ML and computer vision is investigated in order to assess crop quality and production. By recognising reproductive trends, diagnosing eating disorders, and anticipating the behaviour of cattle using information from collar sensors, this technique can be used to increase livestock output²⁷⁾.

Table 1: Various Machine Learning models in Crop Yield Prediction

Authors	Year	Description	Model Used	Findings
Seireg et al. ²⁸⁾	2022	Wild Blueberry Yield prediction using Ensemble ML techniques	LGBM, GBR, XGBoost	The best performance was demonstrated by SR, which outperformed CR and had the highest R-square (0.984) and RMSE (179.898).
Rasheed et al. ²⁹⁾	2021	National crop production planning by using a decision support framework	Decision aiding tool	2 case studies are used to address crop planning concerns and profit maximization: one involves a single farm with several fields, and the other involves many fields on multiple farms in various climatic zones.
Pant et al. ³⁰⁾	2021	Use of statistical ML techniques for analyzing agricultural crop yield prediction	GBR, DTR, RFR, SVR	The decision tree regression model predicts agricultural yield with a maximum degree of accuracy of 96%.
Raja et al. ³¹⁾	2022	Use of various feature selection techniques and classifiers for predicting crop yield based of agriculture environment characteristics	BORUTA, RFE, MRFE	Comparing the ensemble technique to the current classification technique, it delivers greater prediction accuracy.
Lontsi et al. ³²⁾	2022	A case study of West African countries for predicting crop yield using ML models	DT, MLR, k-NN, hyper-parameter tuning + cross-validation	The decision tree performs well, with an R^2 of 95.3%, whereas the k-NN and logistic regression perform poorly, with R^2 of 93.15% and 89.78%, respectively.
Abdelraouf et al. ³³⁾	2022	Use of multi sensors remote sensing for predicting crop yields	Remote Sensing	Agricultural production is assessed using various methods: relied on determining the area of a particular crop from satellite images, evaluation of crop biophysical and biochemical parameters, estimating crop production using direct empirical statistical models.
Pantazi et al. ³⁴⁾	2016	Prediction of wheat yield by using ML and advanced sensing techniques	CP-ANN, XY-fused Network, Supervised Kohonen Network	High class accuracy increased to 83%, while medium class accuracy was determined to be 70%. The SKN model can be used to anticipate and categorise data into various 27yield potential zones.
Aghighi et al. ³⁵⁾	2018	Prediction of silage maize by using ML regression techniques for Time-Series Images of Landsat 8 OLI	SVR, BRT, GPR, RFR	BRT fared best in areas where its average R value exceeded 0.87.
Mariammal et al. ³⁶⁾	2021	Land suitability prediction for crops based on environmental and Soil characteristics by using MRFE & various	k-NN, NB, DT, SVM	Compared to other feature selection techniques, the MRFE technique performs well with 95% accuracy.

		classifiers		
Kumar et al. ³⁷⁾	2021	Plant disease prediction based on soil sensors using ML and exploratory data analysis and	ANN	Adam optimizer minimizes the binary cross-entropy loss function by 0.15 more than RMS-prop optimizer while converging more quickly than RMS-prop at higher epochs. All the optimizers have fared better than Adam.
Matteo et al. ³⁸⁾	2022	Incorporating CubeSat data into a crop model with early season prediction of within-field crop yield variability	CubeSat-based LAI + APSIM	With a significant correlation to measurements that were independently obtained, yield spatial variability was reasonably well reproduced ($R^2 = 0.73$ and RMSE = 12%).
Vlachopoulos et al. ³⁹⁾	2022	Crop health status evaluation by using UAS Multispectral Imagery	Multiple linear models, SVM, RF ANN	With a mean absolute error of 0.67 and an average relative root mean square error of 10.86%, random forests method was shown to be the best algorithm for GAI prediction. The average total accuracy is 94%.
Birrell et al. ⁴⁰⁾	1996	Sensor comparisons and various techniques for crop yield mapping	NA	Yield maps were created using various Kriging techniques and other mapping approaches were compared.

4.3 Deep Learning based Crop Yield Prediction

Deep learning is a subdivision ML and can be said as a 3-layer neural network⁴¹⁾. The purpose of neural networks is to imitate the human brain activities by permitting it to "learn" from huge data. A single-layer neural network may generate the predictions that are close, that's why some extra hidden layers can also be used to alter the accuracy.

Deep learning neural networks (Artificial Neural Networks) take advantage of data inputs that are: weights and bias and try to emulate the brain of a person. These collaborate with each other in order to identify, categorize and characterize items precisely in the data. DNN are union of several layers of linked nodes, each of which refine and improve the categorization or prediction. Two methods of propagation exist: (1) Forward propagation- The advancement of computations through the network. DNN's input & output layers are visible. The input layer accepts the data that needs to be for processed and while the output layer presents the concluded forecasts.

DNN are union of several layers of linked nodes, each of which refine and improve the categorization or prediction. Two methods of propagation exist: (1)

Forward propagation- The advancement of computations through the network. DNN's input & output layers are visible. The input layer accepts the data that needs to be for processed and while the output layer presents the concluded forecasts.

A different method called Backpropagation (2) can also be used to train a model that utilizes the gradient descent technique in order to compute errors found in prediction and then moves in backward direction by passing the layers to modify the inputs of the function. Both the kind of propagation operates collectively to enable a neural network to make predictions and to resolve the errors. The algorithm's accuracy keeps improving with time⁴²⁾.




Fig. 2: Deep Learning

Table 2: Various Deep Learning models in Crop Yield Prediction

Authors	Year	Description	Models Used	Findings
Kavita et al. ⁴³⁾	2023	Estimate the crop output for five different crops in the Indian state of Rajasthan.	SVM, Gradient Descent, LSTM, Lasso regression	R2 Score - 0.963, RMSE - 0.035 and MAE-0.0251.
Kuradusenge et al. ⁴⁴⁾	2023	Prediction of Irish potatoes and Maize	Random Forest, Polynomial Regression, and Support Vector Regressor	Random Forest performed the best with R ² values of 0.875 and 0.817.
Elavarasan et al. ⁴⁵⁾	2020	Prediction of crop yield with the use of deep reinforcement learning model	RNN + DQN	Accurate prediction with a realistic 93.7 percent.
Bose et al. ⁴⁶⁾	2016	Use of spiking neural networks for estimating crop yield by analyzing image time series	Gaussian Process Model	Based on a nine-feature model, the method produced an average accuracy of 95.64%, an average prediction error of 0.236 t/ha, and a correlation coefficient of 0.801.
Saeed et al. ⁴⁷⁾	2019	Prediction of crop yield using deep neural network	DNN	With a root-mean-square-error (RMSE) of 12% of the average yield and 50% of the standard deviation, the RMSE would be decreased to 11% of the average yield and 46% of the standard deviation.
Sun et al. ⁴⁸⁾	2020	Prediction of crop yield using multilevel deep learning network	RNN+CNN, LSTM	Achieved R ² value of 0.73 and RMSE of 1039.87 for 16 bins.
Qiao et al. ⁴⁹⁾	2021	Prediction of crop yield from multi-spectral and multi-temporal remotely sensed imagery using recurrent 3D-CNN	3D CNN+RNN	With regard to handling multi-temporal multi-spectral data, SSTNN offers a lot of potential. can perform predictions more accurately than competing methods.
Kalaiarasi et al. ⁵⁰⁾	2022	Prediction of crop yield using multi-parametric multiple kernel deep neural network	Multi-parametric DNN	The trials are carried out to determine the effectiveness of the MMKDNN for five distinct kinds of crops. withstands the enormous volume of data with ease.
Abbaszadeh et al. ⁵¹⁾	2022	Prediction of crop yield using bayesian multi-modeling of deep neural network	BMA	Predicts soybean crop yields more accurately and consistently than the 3DCNN and ConvLSTM networks.

Pang et al. ⁵²⁾	2020	Spectra and image-based prediction of Corn seeds using deep learning and hyperspectral imaging and rapid vitality estimation	CNN, Hyperspectral Imaging	On raw data, 1DCNN performs best, however 2DCNN performs with a faster convergence rate.
Alebele et al. ⁵³⁾	2021	Prediction of crop yield using combined Optical and SAR Imagery with Gaussian Kernel Regression	Bayesian Linear Regression, Gaussian Kernel Regression	In comparison to probabilistic Gaussian regression and Bayesian linear inference, Gaussian kernel regression performs better. The optical red edge differential vegetation index (RDVI1) ($R^2 = 0.65$, RMSE = 0.61 t/ha) improved forecast accuracy.
Martínez et al. ⁵⁴⁾	2021	Prediction of crop yield using interpretability With Gaussian processes	Gaussian Process Model	GP model uses a composite covariance to take different scales, non-stationary processes, and nonlinear processes into account and gives the ability to pinpoint climate extremes, anomalies, and their corresponding causes that affect crop productivity.
Qiao et al. ⁵⁵⁾	2021	Prediction of crop yield using 3D CNN and Multikernel Gaussian Process	MKL	Using a kernel-based approach, the probability distribution of the prediction outcomes is derived. The effectiveness of the suggested strategy is assessed using estimates of China's wheat yield.
Sivanantham et al. ⁵⁶⁾	2022	Prediction of crop yield using quantile correlative deep feedforward multilayer perceptron	Quantile regression	In comparison to existing studies, the proposed technique increased prediction accuracy and precision by 6% and 9%, respectively, and decreased prediction time by 32%.
Zhenwang et al. ⁵⁷⁾	2022	Prediction of crop yield using multi-source satellite data across Northeast China	Linear regression, ensemble model	When satellite data and environmental data were combined, variability of maize, rice, and soybean yields was found to be 72%, 69%, and 57%, respectively,
Gupta et al. ⁵⁸⁾	2021	Prediction of crop yield using big data depending upon weather conditions	Map-reduce + K-means	Amalgamation of MapReduce and k-means clustering gives the mean produce for a group of crops.
Liu et al. ⁵⁹⁾	2022	Prediction of plant disease using IOT & ML	MLR+IOT	Implemented model demonstrates the disease's occurrence could have been

				predicted with up to 91% accuracy from 2015 to 2019.
Udotalapally et al. ⁶⁰⁾	2021	Prediction of crop yield, plant disease, crop selection and irrigation in Internet-of-Agro Things	CNN	The proposed plant disease prediction framework achieves an accuracy of 99.24%.

4.4 Hybrid Methods

In order to get best results, the machine learning and deep learning techniques are executed in order to predict the best crop production⁶¹⁾. The current atmosphere, the soil along with its constituents i.e.the climatic and soil parameters are taken into consideration. Deep learning is

used to achieve numerous successful calculations as it is used to get the best suitable crop in case a number of options available. By using this technique, crops are predicted accurately. The output collected after applying ML algorithms is further passed to deep learning algorithms.

Table 3: Various Hybrid models in Crop Yield Prediction

Authors	Year	Description	Models used	Findings
Agarwal et al. ⁶²⁾	2021	Predicting crop yield by using ML and DL algorithms	SVM, LSTM, RNN	The model foresees the ideal crops. Crop prediction is carried out utilizing SVM, LSTM, and RNN. Attained accuracy is 97%.
Bodapati et al. ⁶³⁾	2022	Analyzing crop yields by using ML and DL	CNN	By adding neural networks as a tool, the CNN model outperforms the prior one.
Mopideviet al. ⁶⁴⁾	2022	Predicting plant growth and crop yield by using ML and DL Algorithms	CNN, LSTM	Support Vector Regression and Random Forest Regression performed the best.
Swarnakanthaet al. ⁶⁵⁾	2022	ML and Image Processing based decision making framework for precision agriculture	Image processing	Performed effectively for predicting yield, future market and intermediate buying selling prices, identifying pests and administering effective treatments, fertilizer plan and water delivery according to soil type.
Bhansali et al. ⁶⁶⁾	2022	Predicting crop yield and disease detection	DT, NB	SVM or NN techniques are used to identify the type of disease.
Nancy et al. ⁶⁷⁾	2022	Image based plant disease detection along with classification using ML & DL	Computer vision, image processing	The technique makes it simpler to categorize crop disease images and anticipate illness.

5. Discussion

In order to synthesise and extract the features and methods that have been utilised to estimate agricultural yields in research, a thorough evaluation of the literature is undertaken in this study⁶⁸⁾⁻⁷²⁾. A few carefully chosen studies are examined, their methodologies are examined, and features are applied. The characteristics that are most frequently used include soil type, temperature, rainfall, and humidity. We came to the conclusion that Random Forests, Decision Trees, Neural Networks, and Deep Learning is the most often used machine learning algorithms after reviewing a number of machine learning




Fig. 3: Article Distribution

literature. Additionally, CNN, DNN, and LSTM are the most often used deep learning techniques⁷³⁾⁻⁷⁵⁾ in this research, with DNN coming in second. The literature review is conducted for several approaches used for crop prediction based on ML and DL and hybrid methods.

Figure 3 shows the count of papers taken into consideration during the literature survey of aforementioned topic. Various kind of techniques are used for the prediction of crop yields in the articles surveyed. Figure 3 represents the distribution of various techniques.




Fig. 4: Techniques used in surveyed articles

6. Conclusion

Cultivation has been metamorphosed with the use of technology as the time goes by. Also, the technological breakthroughs have had a number of effects on the agriculture industry. Artificial intelligence is entrenched on the assumption that it can define the human intelligence in a fashion that a computer can mimic it while performing several tasks (simple/complex both). Learning, thinking, and perception are all goals of artificial intelligence. Farming has become digital farming, thanks to the use of numerous sophisticated models (machine learning and deep learning methodology). By integrating ML with sensor data, systems for farm management are maturing into complete artificial intelligence systems, offering wealthy recommendations and perceptions for upcoming verdicts and actions with the eventual aim of enhancing the production. The study concluded various implications of machine learning and deep learning models to be more prevalent in the future for the creation of integrated and practical solutions with a lot of potential as advanced data analysis and image processing approaches.

Nomenclature

PA	Precision Agriculture
ML	Machine Learning
NB	Naive Bayes
DT	Decision Tree

RF	Random Forest
RFR	Random Forest Regression
MLR	Multi-Linear Regression
SVM	Support Vector Machine
SVR	Support Vector Regression
k-NN	k-Nearest Neighbor
DL	Deep Learning
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
RNN	Recurrent Neural Network
CNN	Convolutional Neural Network
DNN	Deep Neural Network
DQN	Deep Q-Network
LSTM	Long Short-Term Memory
RFE	Recursive Feature Elimination
MRFE	Modified Recursive Feature Elimination
BMA	Bayesian Model Averaging
MKL	Multiple Kernel Learning
IOT	Internet of Things

References

- 1) Liakos, Konstantinos G. and Busato, Patrizia and Moshou, Dimitrios and Pearson, Simon and Bochtis, Dionysis, "Machine Learning in Agriculture: A Review", *Sensors*, VOL: 18 (8), ISSN: 1424-8220, (2018).
- 2) Dokic, K; Blaskovic, L; Mandusic, D. *IOP Conference Series. Earth and Environmental Science; Bristol Vol. 614, Iss. 1*, (2020).
- 3) Nugraha, A. T., Prayitno, G., Hasyim, A. W., & Roziqin, F. Social capital, collective action, and the development of agritourism for sustainable agriculture in rural Indonesia. *Evergreen*, 8(1) 1–12, (2021). <https://doi.org/10.5109/4372255>.
- 4) S. Dimitriadis and C. Goumopoulos, "Applying Machine Learning to Extract New Knowledge in Precision Agriculture Applications," 2008 Panhellenic Conference on Informatics, pp. 100-104, (2008).
- 5) Zhu N Y, Liu X, Liu Z Q, Hu K, Wang Y K, Tan J L, et al. Deep learning for smart agriculture: Concepts, tools, applications, and opportunities. *Int J Agric & Biol Eng*, 11(4): 32–44, (2018).
- 6) Nugraha, G. D., Sudiarto, B., & Ramli, K. Machine learning-based energy management system for prosumer. *Evergreen*, 7(2) 309-313 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.5109/4055238>.
- 7) Liu, S. Y., Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Agriculture. *IT Professional*, 22(3), 14–15, (2020), doi:10.1109/mitp.2020.2986121.
- 8) N. C. Eli-Chukwu, "Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture: A Review", *Eng. Technol. Appl. Sci. Res.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 4377–4383, (2019).
- 9) Anna Chlingaryan, Salah Sukkarieh, Brett Whelan,

- "Machine learning approaches for crop yield prediction and nitrogen status estimation in precision agriculture: A review", *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, Volume 151, Pages 61-69, ISSN 0168-1699, (2018).
- 10) M. Rashid, B. S. Bari, Y. Yusp, M. A. Kamaruddin and N. Khan, "A Comprehensive Review of Crop Yield Prediction Using Machine Learning Approaches with Special Emphasis on Palm Oil Yield Prediction," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 63406-63439, (2021).
 - 11) Van Klompenburg, T.; Kassahun, A.; Catal, C. Crop yield prediction using machine learning: A systematic literature review. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 177, 105709, (2020).
 - 12) Bali, Nishu, and Anshu Singla. "Emerging Trends in Machine Learning to Predict Crop Yield and Study Its Influential Factors: A Survey." *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering* 29 (1): 95–112, (2022), doi:10.1007/s11831-021-09569-8.
 - 13) Priyanka Sharma, Yogesh Rathi, Efficient Density-Based Clustering Using Automatic Parameter Detection. In: Satapathy, S., Bhatt, Y., Joshi, A., Mishra, D. (eds) *Proceedings of the International Congress on Information and Communication Technology. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, vol 438. Springer, (2016), doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-0767-5_46.
 - 14) A. Sharma, A. Jain, P. Gupta and V. Chowdary, "Machine Learning Applications for Precision Agriculture: A Comprehensive Review," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 4843-4873, (2021).
 - 15) R. L. F. Cunha, B. Silva and M. A. S. Netto, "A Scalable Machine Learning System for Pre-Season Agriculture Yield Forecast," 2018 IEEE 14th International Conference on e-Science (e-Science), pp. 423-430, (2018).
 - 16) S. M. Pande, P. K. Ramesh, A. Anmol, B. R. Aishwarya, K. Rohilla and K. Shaurya, "Crop Recommender System Using Machine Learning Approach," 5th IEEE International Conference on Computing Methodologies and Communication (ICCMC), pp. 1066-1071, (2021).
 - 17) D. J. Reddy and M. R. Kumar, "Crop Yield Prediction using Machine Learning Algorithm," 2021 5th IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS), pp. 1466-1470, (2021).
 - 18) R. K. Ray, S. K. Das and S. Chakravarty, "Smart Crop Recommender System-A Machine Learning Approach," 2022 12th IEEE International Conference on Cloud Computing, Data Science & Engineering (Confluence), pp. 494-499, (2022).
 - 19) S. Vashisht, P. Kumar and M. C. Trivedi, "Improved Extreme Learning Machine for Crop Yield Prediction," 2022 3rd IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Engineering and Management (ICIEM), pp. 754-757, (2022).
 - 20) Beillouin, D.; Schauburger, B.; Bastos, A.; Ciais, P.; Makowski, D. Impact of extreme weather conditions on European crop production in 2018: Random forest—Yield anomalies. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 375, 20190510, (2020).
 - 21) Crane-Droesch, A. Machine learning methods for crop yield prediction and climate change impact assessment in agriculture. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 13, 114003, (2018).
 - 22) Sun, J.; Di, L.; Sun, Z.; Shen, Y.; Lai, Z. County-Level Soybean Yield Prediction Using Deep CNN-LSTM Model. *Sensors*, 19, 4363, (2019).
 - 23) Ju, S.; Lim, H.; Heo, J. Machine learning approaches for crop yield prediction with MODIS and weather data. In *Proceedings of the 40th Asian Conference on Remote Sensing: Progress of Remote Sensing Technology for Smart Future, ACRS 2019*, Daejeon, Republic of Korea, 14–18, pp. 1–4, (2019).
 - 24) Gandhi, N.; Armstrong, L.J.; Petkar, O.; Tripathy, A.K. Rice crop yield prediction in India using support vector machines. In *Proceedings of the 2016 13th International Joint Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering (JCSSE)*, Khon Kaen, Thailand, pp. 1–5, (2016).
 - 25) Alex Sherstinsky, *Fundamentals of Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network*, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, Volume 404, 132306, ISSN 0167-2789, (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2019.132306>.
 - 26) P. S. M. Gopal, "Performance evaluation of best feature subsets for crop yield prediction using machine learning algorithms," *Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 621–642, (2019).
 - 27) N. Kim, K.-J. Ha, N.-W. Park, J. Cho, S. Hong, and Y.-W. Lee, "A comparison between major artificial intelligence models for crop yield prediction: Case study of the midwestern united states, 2006–2015," *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf.*, vol. 8, no. 5, p. 240, (2019).
 - 28) H. R. Seireg, Y. M. K. Omar, F. E. A. El-Samie, A. S. El-Fishawy and A. Elmahalawy, "Ensemble Machine Learning Techniques Using Computer Simulation Data for Wild Blueberry Yield Prediction," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 64671-64687, (2022).
 - 29) N. Rasheed, S. A. Khan, A. Hassan and S. Safdar, "A Decision Support Framework for National Crop Production Planning," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 133402-133415, (2021).
 - 30) Janmejyay Pant, R.P. Pant, Manoj Kumar Singh, Devesh Pratap Singh, Himanshu Pant, Analysis of agricultural crop yield prediction using statistical techniques of machine learning, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, Volume 46, Part 20, Pages 10922-10926, ISSN 2214-7853, (2021).
 - 31) S. P. Raja, B. Sawicka, Z. Stamenkovic and G. Mariammal, "Crop Prediction Based on Characteristics of the Agricultural Environment

- Using Various Feature Selection Techniques and Classifiers," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 23625-23641, (2022).
- 32) Lontsi Saadio Cedric, Wilfried Yves Hamilton Adoni, Rubby Aworka, Jérémie Thouakessh Zoueu, Franck Kalala Mutombo, Moez Krichen, Charles Lebon Mberi Kimpolo, Crops yield prediction based on machine learning models: Case of West African countries, *Smart Agricultural Technology*, Volume 2, 100049, ISSN 2772-3755, (2022).
- 33) Abdelraouf M. Ali, Mohamed Abouelghar, A.A. Belal, Nasser Saleh, Mona Yones, Adel I. Selim, Mohamed E.S. Amin, Amany Elwesemy, Dmitry E. Kucher, Schubert Maginan, Igor Savin, Crop Yield Prediction Using Multi Sensors Remote Sensing, *The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*, Volume 25, Issue 3, Pages 711-716, ISSN 1110-9823, (2022).
- 34) X.E. Pantazi, D. Moshou, T. Alexandridis, R.L. Whetton, A.M. Mouazen, "Wheat yield prediction using machine learning and advanced sensing techniques", *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, Volume 121, Pages 57-65, ISSN 0168-1699, (2016).
- 35) H. Aghighi, M. Azadbakht, D. Ashourloo, H. S. Shahrabi and S. Radiom, "Machine Learning Regression Techniques for the Silage Maize Yield Prediction Using Time-Series Images of Landsat 8 OLI," in *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 4563-4577, (2018).
- 36) G. Mariammal, A. Suruliandi, S. P. Raja and E. Poongothai, "Prediction of Land Suitability for Crop Cultivation Based on Soil and Environmental Characteristics Using Modified Recursive Feature Elimination Technique With Various Classifiers," in *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1132-1142, (2021).
- 37) M. Kumar, A. Kumar and V. S. Palaparthi, "Soil Sensors-Based Prediction System for Plant Diseases Using Exploratory Data Analysis and Machine Learning," in *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 21, no. 16, pp. 17455-17468, (2021).
- 38) Matteo G. Ziliani, Muhammad U. Altaf, Bruno Aragon, Rasmus Houborg, Trenton E. Franz, Yang Lu, Justin Sheffield, Ibrahim Hoteit, Matthew F. McCabe, Early season prediction of within-field crop yield variability by assimilating CubeSat data into a crop model, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, Volume 313, 108736, ISSN 0168-1923, (2022).
- 39) O. Vlachopoulos, B. Leblon, J. Wang, A. Haddadi, A. LaRocque and G. Patterson, "Evaluation of Crop Health Status With UAS Multispectral Imagery," in *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, vol. 15, pp. 297-308, (2022).
- 40) Birrell, S. J. Sudduth, K.A. borelt, S.C., Comparison of sensors and techniques for crop yield mapping, *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 14 (2-3), 215-233, (1996).
- 41) M K Dharani, R. Thamilselvan, P Natesan, PCD Kalaivaani, S Santhoshkumar, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Volume 1767, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1767/1/012026, (2021).
- 42) Alexandros Oikonomidis, Cagatay Catal & Ayalew Kassahun Deep learning for crop yield prediction: a systematic literature review, *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science*, 51:1, 1-26, (2023), doi: 10.1080/01140671.2022.2032213.
- 43) Kavita Jhajharia, Pratistha Mathur, Sanchit Jain, Sukriti Nijhawan, Crop Yield Prediction using Machine Learning and Deep Learning Techniques, *Procedia Computer Science*, Volume 218, Pages 406-417, ISSN 1877-0509, (2023), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2023.01.023>.
- 44) M. Kuradusenge et al., "Crop Yield Prediction Using Machine Learning Models: Case of Irish Potato and Maize," *Agriculture*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 225, (2023), doi: 10.3390/agriculture13010225.
- 45) D. Elavarasan and P. M. D. Vincent, "Crop Yield Prediction Using Deep Reinforcement Learning Model for Sustainable Agrarian Applications," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 86886-86901, (2020).
- 46) P. Bose, N. K. Kasabov, L. Bruzzone and R. N. Hartono, "Spiking Neural Networks for Crop Yield Estimation Based on Spatiotemporal Analysis of Image Time Series," in *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 54, no. 11, pp. 6563-6573, (2016).
- 47) Khaki Saeed, Wang Lizhi, "Crop Yield Prediction Using Deep Neural Networks", *Frontiers in Plant Science*, Vol. 10, ISSN=1664-462X, (2019).
- 48) J. Sun, Z. Lai, L. Di, Z. Sun, J. Tao and Y. Shen, "Multilevel Deep Learning Network for County-Level Corn Yield Estimation in the U.S. Corn Belt," in *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, vol. 13, pp. 5048-5060, (2020).
- 49) Mengjia Qiao, Xiaohui He, Xijie Cheng, Panle Li, Haotian Luo, Lehan Zhang, Zhihui Tian, Crop yield prediction from multi-spectral, multi-temporal remotely sensed imagery using recurrent 3D convolutional neural networks, *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, Volume 102, 102436, ISSN 1569-8432, (2021).
- 50) E. Kalaierasi, A. Anbarasi, "Multi-parametric multiple kernel deep neural network for crop yield prediction", *Materials Today: Proceedings*, Volume 62, Part 7, Pages 4635-4642, ISSN 2214-7853, (2022).
- 51) Peyman Abbaszadeh, Keyhan Gavahi, Atieh Alipour, Proloy Deb, Hamid Moradkhani, Bayesian Multi-modeling of Deep Neural Nets for Probabilistic Crop Yield Prediction, *Agricultural and Forest*

- Meteorology, Volume 314, 108773, ISSN 0168-1923, (2022).
- 52) L. Pang, S. Men, L. Yan and J. Xiao, "Rapid Vitality Estimation and Prediction of Corn Seeds Based on Spectra and Images Using Deep Learning and Hyperspectral Imaging Techniques," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 123026-123036, (2020).
 - 53) Y. Alebele et al., "Estimation of Crop Yield From Combined Optical and SAR Imagery Using Gaussian Kernel Regression," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 14, pp. 10520-10534, (2021).
 - 54) L. Martínez-Ferrer, M. Piles and G. Camps-Valls, "Crop Yield Estimation and Interpretability With Gaussian Processes," in IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 2043-2047, (2021).
 - 55) M. Qiao et al., "Exploiting Hierarchical Features for Crop Yield Prediction Based on 3-D Convolutional Neural Networks and Multikernel Gaussian Process," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 14 4476-4489 (2021).
 - 56) V. Sivanantham, V. Sangeetha, Abeer Ali Alnuaim, Wesam Atef Hatamleh, Chunduru Anilkumar, Ashraf Atef Hatamleh, Dirar Sweidan, Quantile correlative deep feedforward multilayer perceptron for crop yield prediction, Computers & Electrical Engineering, Volume 98 107696 ISSN 0045-7906 (2022).
 - 57) Zhenwang Li, Lei Ding, Dawei Xu, Exploring the potential role of environmental and multi-source satellite data in crop yield prediction across Northeast China, Science of The Total Environment, Vol 815, 152880, ISSN 0048-9697, (2022).
 - 58) R. Gupta et al., "WB-CPI: Weather Based Crop Prediction in India Using Big Data Analytics," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 137869-137885, (2021).
 - 59) Z. Liu, R. N. Bashir, S. Iqbal, M. M. A. Shahid, M. Tausif and Q. Umer, "Internet of Things (IoT) and Machine Learning Model of Plant Disease Prediction—Blister Blight for Tea Plant," in IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 44934-44944, (2022).
 - 60) V. Udutalapally, S. P. Mohanty, V. Pallagani and V. Khandelwal, "sCrop: A Novel Device for Sustainable Automatic Disease Prediction, Crop Selection, and Irrigation in Internet-of-Agro-Things for Smart Agriculture," in IEEE Sensors Journal, vol. 21, no. 16, pp. 17525-17538, (2021).
 - 61) Boukhris L, Ben Abderrazak J, and Besbes H, Tailored Deep Learning based Architecture for Smart Agriculture, International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, 964–69, (2020).
 - 62) Sonal Agarwal and Sandhya Tarar, "A Hybrid Approach For Crop Yield Prediction Using Machine Learning And Deep Learning Algorithms", Journal of Physics: Conf. Ser. 1714 012012, (2021).
 - 63) N. Bodapati, J. Himavaishnavi, V. Rohitha, D. L. Jagadeeswari and P. Bhavana, "Analyzing Crop Yield Using Machine Learning," 2022 IEEE International Conference on Electronics and Renewable Systems (ICEARS), pp. 1-8, (2022).
 - 64) S. Mopidevi, V. Singitham, B. Thippani, R. Shamanthula and N. Satya Phanindra Vallabhaneni, "Plant Growth and Yield Prediction using ML and DL Algorithms," 2022 IEEE International Conference on Electronics and Renewable Systems (ICEARS), pp. 1470-1477, (2022).
 - 65) S. Swarnakantha, B. Chathurika, K. V. Weragoda, W. M. I. K. Bowatte, E. V. Thalawala and M. M. U. L. Bandara, "Decision-Making Platform for SMART Plantation Agriculture Using Machine Learning and Image Processing," 2022 IEEE 7th International conference for Convergence in Technology (I2CT), pp. 1-6, (2022).
 - 66) S. Bhansali, P. Shah, J. Shah, P. Vyas and P. Thakre, "Healthy Harvest: Crop Prediction And Disease Detection System," 2022 IEEE 7th International conference for Convergence in Technology (I2CT), pp. 1-5 (2022).
 - 67) P. Nancy, H. Pallathadka, M. Naved, K. Kaliyaperumal, K. Arumugam and V. Garchar, "Deep Learning and Machine Learning Based Efficient Framework for Image Based Plant Disease Classification and Detection," 2022 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Computing Technologies and Applications (ICACTA), pp.1-6 (2022).
 - 68) Sharma, P., Dadheech, P., & Senthil Kumar Senthil, A. V. (2023). AI-Enabled Crop Recommendation System Based on Soil and Weather Patterns. In R. Gupta, A. Jain, J. Wang, S. Bharti, & S. Patel (Eds.), Artificial Intelligence Tools and Technologies for Smart Farming and Agriculture Practices (pp. 184-199). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-8516-3.ch010>.
 - 69) Sethi, S.S., Sharma, P. New Developments in the Implementation of IoT in Agriculture. SN COMPUT. SCI. 4, 503 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-023-01896-w>.
 - 70) Yaping Cai, Kaiyu Guan, et al., "Integrating satellite and climate data to predict wheat yield in Australia using machine learning approaches", Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, Volume 274, 2019, Pp 144-159, ISSN 0168-1923, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.03.010>.
 - 71) Chandraprabha M. and Rajesh Kumar Dhanraj, "Ensemble Deep Learning Algorithm for Forecasting of Rice Crop Yield based on Soil Nutrition Levels", ICST Transactions on Scalable Information Systems, 2023.
 - 72) Akshat Tanwar, Priyanka Sharma, Anjali Pandey, and Sumit Kumar. 2023. Intrusion Detection System Based Ameliorated Technique of Pattern Matching. In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on

- Information Management & Machine Intelligence (ICIMMI '22). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 110, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3590-837.3590947>.
- 73) K., Sujatha and NPG., Bhavani and George, VictoSudha and T. Kalpatha, Reddy and N., Kanya and A., Ganesan", "Innovation in Agriculture Industry by Automated Sorting of Rice Grains", Evergreen, 10(1) 283-288 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.5109/6781076>.
- 74) Alibek Yussupov, Raya Z. Suleimenova, "Use of Remote Sensing Data for Environmental Monitoring of Desertification", Evergreen, 10(1) 300-307 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.5109/6781080>
- 75) Meilinda Ayundyahrini, Danar Agus Susanto et al., "Smart Farming: Integrated Solar Water Pumping Irrigation System in Thailand", Evergreen, 10(1) 553-563 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.5109/6782161>
- 76) P. Sharma, C. Sharma and P. Mathur, "Machine Learning-based Stock Market Forecasting using Recurrent Neural Network," *2023 9th International Conference on Smart Computing and Communications (ICSCC)*, Kochi, Kerala, India, 2023, pp. 600-605, doi: 10.1109/ICSCC59169.2023.10335083.
- 77) P. Sharma, P. Dadheech, N. Aneja and S. Aneja, "Predicting Agriculture Yields Based on Machine Learning Using Regression and Deep Learning," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 111255-111264, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3321861.
- 78) Sharma, P. (2023) Utilizing Explainable Artificial Intelligence to Address Deep Learning in Biomedical Domain, *Medical Data Analysis and Processing using Explainable Artificial Intelligence*, Taylor & Francis, pp. 19–38. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003257721-2>.
- 79) Prasad G, A., Kumar, A. V., Sharma, P., Irawati, I. D., D. V., C., Musirin, I. B., Abdullah, H. M., & Rao L, M. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in Computer Science: An Overview of Current Trends and Future Directions. In S. Rajest, B. Singh, A. Obaid, R. Regin, & K. Chinnusamy (Eds.), *Advances in Artificial and Human Intelligence in the Modern Era* (pp. 43-60). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1301-5.ch002>.
- 80) Maharajan, K., Kumar, A. V., El Emary, I. M., Sharma, P., Latip, R., Mishra, N., Dutta, A., Manjunatha Rao, L., & Sharma, M. (2023). Blockchain Methods and Data-Driven Decision Making With Autonomous Transportation. In R. Kumar, A. Abdul Hamid, & N. Binti Ya'akub (Eds.), *Effective AI, Blockchain, and E-Governance Applications for Knowledge Discovery and Management* (pp. 176-194). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-9151-5.ch012>.
- 81) V., M. V., Kumar, A. S., Sharma, P., Kaur, S., Saleh, O. S., Chennamma, H., & Chaturvedi, A. (2023). AI-Equipped IoT Applications in High-Tech Agriculture Using Machine Learning. In A. Khang (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on AI-Equipped IoT Applications in High-Tech Agriculture* (pp. 38-64). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-9231-4.ch003>.