The Socio-Economic Analysis of Extensive Turkey Production in Turkey

Tan ,Sibel Agricultural Economics Research Institute of Turkey,Ankara

Kai, Satoshi Laboratory of Agricultural Marketing,Division of Industrial Organization of Agribusiness,Department of Agricultural and Resoruce Economics,Faculty of Agriculture,Kyushu University

Dellal, Ilkay Agricultural Economics Research Institute of Turkey, Ankara

Tan, Sami Department of Economics, University of Dokuz Eylul

https://doi.org/10.5109/4612

出版情報:九州大学大学院農学研究院紀要. 49 (2), pp.525-532, 2004-10-01. Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University バージョン: 権利関係:

The Socio–Economic Analysis of Extensive Turkey Production in Turkey

Sibel TAN¹, Satoshi KAI*, Ilkay DELLAL¹ and Sami TAN²

Laboratory of Agricultural Marketing, Division of Industrial Organization of Agribusiness, Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812–8581, Japan (Received April 9, 2004 and accepted July 13, 2004)

There are two types of turkey breeding systems in Turkey. One is vertical integration with intensive raising, the other is conventional system with extensive way. In the conventional system mostly low income small family farms raise turkey for new year celebration and this agricultural activity is very important for these kind of farms in rural areas. The extensive turkey production system in Turkey are, mainly, made by small family farms to meet turkey consumption in the new year celebrations. In this study it was tried to determine socio–economic characteristics of these kind of farms. According to research results, average number of turkey in feeding is 843 heads, feeding period lasts about 8–9 months until the new year, 53.33% of farms slaughter turkeys their own places and sell the rest as live. Turkeys reach to 7.26 kg post feeding period. While variable costs constitute 86.26% of total production costs of turkey breeding, fixed costs constitute 13.74% of them. The highest share in total costs belong to feed costs (47.23%) Finished weight of turkey is 7.26 kg and total live weight per farm is 3630 kg. 1 kg live turkey cost is 0.8939 \$/kg, Net income is 2702 \$ and this net income from this production branch cover 61,41% of sufficient income for families in Turkey that is 4400 \$.

INTRODUCTION

According to the 2001 statistics, there are 4 million agricultural farms, and animal and plant production are taken place on 75% of these agricultural farms in Turkey. The size of agricultural land on agricultural farms is 5 hectares on the average (SIS, 2002).

Depending on globalization process in the recent years, negative changes have occurred in the Turkish economy. Agricultural farms in rural areas have also been affected due to negative changes. As a result of economic power losses of some agricultural farms and migration of young population, especially, on small family farms, meet an important part of labor force need, because of such factors as marrying, finding a good job, education etc., agricultural farms, fully or partly, stop their production and migrate to towns and/or metropolitan cities.

In the world, especially in developing countries, different projects have developed to improve economic and social structures of households in rural areas and render sustainability. For the purpose of this aim, some projects have been developed by government in Turkey in recent years such as "Rural-urban" and "Come Back-to-rural". However, animal and plant production have been carried out in extensive manner but sustainability

¹ Agricultural Economics Research Institute of Turkey, Ankara

² Department of Economics, University of Dokuz Eylul, Izmir

^{*} Corresponding Author (E-mail: satokai@agr.kyushu-u.ac.jp)

S. TAN et al.

level on agricultural farms in different regions. In addition, since these farms use cheep input and produce for certain markets, especially for local markets, they remain their existence in spite of insufficient income. One of the this production systems is extensive turkey breeding and on the contrary intensive production, turkey breeding in this system is carried out to meet turkey consumption for the celebration at the end of the year. In this system, turkeys, in the day time, graze in pastures, stubble, and/or fallow land during feeding for 8–9 months, have taken in poultry houses in the evenings.

The aim of this study is to determine socio-economic characteristics of farms engaged with extensive turkey breeding in Turkey and to search solutions about what could have been done to increase their revenues in these kind of small scale, mixed but mostly engaged with extensive farms.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Sampling and Data Collection

Research has been conducted in Koceli and Tekirdag provinces. Because extensive turkey breeding is mostly done in these two cities of Turkey. All turkey breeding farms in 15 villages of these two cities constitute main population. Later, 62 turkey breeding farms were selected by random sampling. Primary data were obtained by face to face surveys. Data related to breeding, sociological and economic characteristics were obtained by questionnaire. Secondary data were obtained from the State Statistics Institute (SSI), State Planning Organization (SPO) and Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs (MARA) of Turkey.

Criteria of Socio-Economic Analysis

Man labor unit was used for labor force calculation on studied farms. Population on farms regarding to capability converted to man labor unit in respect of age and gender. In economic analysis of farms, Gross Production Value (GPV), Gross Income (GI) and Net Income (NI) were calculated. GPV was obtained by multiplying total live weight obtained from production activity with product price. Costs incurred for production were calculated as fixed and variable costs. Total costs obtained by adding fixed costs to variable costs. GI was obtained by subtracting variable costs from GPV and NI was obtained by subtracting total production costs from GPV.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structural and Breeding Characteristics of Farms

As race, American Bronze is used for extensive turkey breeding in Turkey (Aksoy, 1996; Aksoy *et al.*, 1996a, b). Farmers purchase turkey chicks from State Production Stations. Chick purchasing period is, generally, between 15 April–15 May. Chicks stay in rearing poultry houses approximately 2 months. After this period, goslings graze in pasture, stubble, and fallow land. During grazing period intensive feed are not given. Feeding period lasts about 8–9 months until the new year. 53.33% of studied farms slaughter turkeys their own places and sell the rest as live. Number of turkey in feeding is 843 heads. Turkeys reach to 7.26 kg post feeding period. 53.33% of farms state problems

Turkey race	American bronze
Chick buying	government
Chick buying period (month)	15 April–15 May
Chick staying period in Poultry house (month)	15 April/15 May–15 June
Feeding period (month)	15 June–31 December (8Months)
Live weight post feeding (kg)	7.26
Turkey marketing	– Live
• •	- slaughtered
Marketing difficulty	Yes-53.33%
	No-46.67%
Information on breeding	Parents- 76.67%
0	Production station-10.00%
	Other13.33%
Turkey number breed in one year (head)	843
Engagement period in turkey breeding	24.27

Table 1. Structural and Breeding Characteristics of Farms.

related to marketing post feeding period while 46.37% of farms do not. Occupation period in extensive turkey breeding of farms is 24.27 years. Farmers on the most of the farms (76.67%) stated that they obtained information on breeding from their parents (Table 1).

Sociological Characteristics of Farms

As shown on Table 2., average number of people on turkey breeding farms is 5.36. The share of individuals aged 15–49, constitute active population, in total number of individuals in family is 57.28%. In the calculation of family labor force on farms, population converted to man labor unit in respect of age and gender. According to this, average man labor unit (MLU) on turkey breeding farms is 3.81 and individuals aged 15–49 constitute 70.32% of this rate. Therefore, young labor force got in family is at sufficient level.

Age		Popu	lation			Labor forc	e (MLU)1	
Groups	М	W	Т	%	М	W	Т	%
0–6	0.33	0.2	0.53	9.89	_	_	_	_
7-14	0.4	0.43	0.83	15.49	0.30	0.22	0.52	13.53
15 - 49	1.5	1.57	3.07	57.28	1.50	1.18	2.68	70.32
50-+	0.6	0.33	0.93	17.34	0.45	0.17	0.62	16.15
Total	2.83	2.53	5.36	100.00	2.25	1.56	3.81	100.00

Table 2. Distribution of Population as to Age Groups and Gender.

¹ Coefficients used for converting to MLU for Men and Women aged 7–14, 15–49, 50⁺ are, respectively, 0.5, 1.0 (0.75 for Women) and 0.75 (0.50 for women).

Education Status

Education level of individuals aged 7 and up is shown on Table 3. According to Table 3, the share of illiterate individuals in families is 8.57% whereas the rate of literate individuals is 91.43%. The rate of literate individuals in respect of gender is 91.30% for

	Illiterate	Literate	Primary school	Secondary school	High school	University	Total
Man	8.70	4.35	71.01	7.24	8.7	_	100.00
Woman	8.45	4.23	71.83	8.45	4.23	2.81	100.00
Total	8.57	4.29	71.43	7.86	6.42	1.43	100.00

 Table 3. Educational Status.

men and 91.55% for women. Number of primary school graduates is higher than others and number of primary school graduates for men and women is 71.01% and 71.83, respectively. This situation reveals that important part of families have a low level of opportunity getting another profession and agricultural production is their main living source (SIS, 2001).

Other Sociological Characteristics of Farms

All farmers are married. Education period is on the average 4.83. While the rate of watching TV and radio is over 95%, the rate of watching agricultural programs is 73.33%. The rate of regular newspaper reading is 36.67%.

Marital Status	All Married	
Average Age (year)	48.37	
Average Education Period (year)	4.83	
Radio and TV watching rate	96.67	
Agricultural Programs Watching Rate	73.33	
Regular Newspaper Reading Rate	36.67	

 Table 4. Other Sociological Characteristics of Farms.

Organizational Level

Organizational level on farms is quite high. 80% of farms organized at cooperative level and 66.67 of farms organized at union level. In addition, there is no farm nonmember of any association. In spite of high organizational level, service is, mainly, provided for plant production. Farms are not member of any organization for turkey breeding.

Table 5. Organizational Status.		
Organization Type	%	
Cooperative	80.00	
Union	66.67	
Association	0	

Working Status with Banks

The rate of farms not working with banks is quite high (66.67%). However, 33.33% of farms has commercial relation with bank especially for credit provision.

Farms	%
Working with banks	33.33
Not working with banks	66.67
Total	100.00

Table 6. Working Status with Banks.

Economic Characteristics of Farms

Land and Possession Type

Plant production is also carried out on turkey breeding farms. As shown on Table 7., average land area of farms is 6.51 hectares. 94.88% of farm land is under farm property while 5.12% of that is under farm possession with leasing and sharecropping method. All farm land is arable land. Average parcel number is 8.85.

Possesion Type	Land type	Da	%
Property land	Arable field	61.77	
	Arid	54.77	94.88
	Watery	7.00	
Sharecropping and leasing	Arable field	3.33	
	Arid	2.33	5.12
	Watery	1.00	
Farm land	Arable field	65.10	
	Arid	57.10	100.00
	Watery	8.00	
Average parcel number			8 85

Table 7. Land and Possession Type.

Crop Pattern

Results show that farms intensify on grain production. Wheat, sunflower, corn, barley and oats production are done on 40.50%, 21.70%, 8.92%, 5.89% and 2.82% of farm land respectively. Average following land area is 11.71 decares, and constitute 18% of farm land.

Table 8.Crop Pattern.			
Products	Sowing area (da)	%	
Wheat	26.27	40.50	
Barley	3.83	5.89	
Oats	1.83	2.82	
Sunflower	14.13	21.70	
Corn	5.40	8.29	
Other	1.83	2.81	
Following land	11.71	17.99	
Arable field	65.10	100.00	

529

Types and Distribution of Farm Animals

Average turkey number on farms was 843 heads in the studied farms (see Table 9). There is no animal activity on 6.67% of farms except turkey production. However, Dairy cattle breeding and sheep and goats breeding are, respectively, carried out on 31.62% and 68.38% of farms. Dairy cattle and small ruminant numbers are 5.23 and 11.33 per farm respectively. High number of sheep and goats against cattle show that pastures in the areas of farms are more suitable for sheep and goats.

Types of farm animals	head
Turkey	843
Cattle	5.23
Cow	1.97
heifer	1.13
Calf	1.03
Calf milked	1.03
Bullock	0.07
Sheep and goats	11.33
Total	16.57

Table 9.	Types a	nd Distribution	ı of Farm	Animals
----------	---------	-----------------	-----------	---------

Agricultural Equipment

As shown on Table 10, average tractor number on farms is 0.70. There is tractor on only 30% of farms. Farms having other agricultural equipment except tractor are at sufficient level. This stems from arable field agriculture of farms.

Table I	0. Ag	gricultural	Equipment.

1 77

Agricultural equipment	number
Tractor	0.70
Drill	0.47
Trailer	0.70
Plough	0.53
Pulverizator	0.23
Rake	0.57
Fertilizer distributor	0.17

Costs of Turkey Breeding and Annual Revenue Status

As seen on Table 11, while variable costs constitute 86.26% of total production costs of turkey breeding, fixed costs constitute 13.74% of total production costs of turkey breeding. The highest share in total costs belong to feed costs (47.23%) and chick costs with 16.97%, veterinarian-medicine costs with 9.56%, permanent workmanship costs with 7.64 and seasonal workmanship costs with 4.13% follow feed costs. Post breeding live turkey weight is 7.26 kg and live weight per farm is 3630 kg. 1 kg live turkey cost is 0.8939 \$/kg. Gross Production Value (GPV) is 6840.83\$, Net Income (NI) is2702\$ Gross Income is 3270.81\$.

Costs	Value \$	Percentage %
1. Pre–Production costs		
 Disinfection (etalon) (quantity*price) 	13.72	0.33
– Pad (kg*\$/Kg)	32.56	0.79
– Lime	5.37	0.13
2. Chick (number * \$/number)	702.53	16.97
3. Feed	1954.89	47.23
4. Temporary labor (hour*\$/hour)	171.03	4.13
5. Water (m3*\$*m3)	25.06	0.61
6. Veterinery-Medicine	395.61	9.56
7. Heating	94.88	2.29
8. Illumination (kw x \$/kw)	35.56	0.86
9. Other	65.14	1.57
10. Interest of variable costs		
(variable costs /2*r%)	87.41	2.11
12. Total Variable Costs (A)	3570.02	86.26
13. General management costs (Ax %3)	107.51	2.60
14. Permanent labor	316.39	7.64
15. Depreciation of poultry house :		
(poultry hose value*depreciation rate)	66.28	1.60
Poultry house interest: interest rate (r)		
(poultry hose value*1/2*3%)	49.71	1.20
16. Water bowl, manger, etc.		
Depreciation = (value*depreciation rate%)	15.77	0.38
interest = (value $1/2\%5$)	13.15	0.32
17. Total Fixed Costs (B)	568.81	13.74
19. Total Production Costs (A+B)	4138.83	100.00
Average Live Weight (kg/head)	7.26	
Total Live Weight per farm (kg/farm)	4630	
1 kg live turkey cost (\$/kg)	0.8939	
Sale price (\$/kg)	1.4775	
Profit rate %	65.284	
Gross production value (\$/farm)	6840.83	
Net income/year	2702.00	
Gross Income/year	3270.81	

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

As seen on Table 11, Net income is 2702^{\$}. It was determined that the adequate income for farms was 2740^{\$} in 1984 in Turkey according to law 3083, Agricultural Reform on Regulations for Irrigated Land, published in Official Newspaper in December 1st, 1984 (Anonymous 1984). This value becomes 4400^{\$} when it is calculated as to 2002 Whole Price Index. Therefore, turkey breeding farms meet 61.41% of sufficient income from this production branch. Given important economic difficulties of farms stemming from economic crises in Turkey in recent years, revenues of farms obtained from turkey breeding can be regarded at important level. In addition, sheep and goats breeding and plant production are done on farms beside to turkey breeding. However, fulfilling of suggestions as

S. TAN et al.

fallow can contribute a lot to increase revenues obtained from extensive turkey breeding.

- Feed costs have the highest share in extensive turkey breeding (see Table 11). Therefore, decreasing cost of this input can play an important role to increase revenues. Given high share of arable field agriculture (Table 8) in plant production of turkey breeding farms, should farms provide their own feed within farm and/or from a feed unit by organization instead of buying outside will, enormously, decrease feed costs. In addition, opportunities of grazing at night should be searched during summer especially.

- The second place in production costs of turkey breeding belongs to chick purchases. As seen on Table 5, organizational level on farms examined is high. However, these organizations provide more services for plant production. Aim of these organizations should be decreasing chick purchase costs.

- Moreover, another important cost is medicine costs. These costs are, essentially, medical treatment costs. Breeders have insufficient information on herd health protection. However, literacy rate (Table 3) in families and visiting of household head to agricultural organizations are high (Table 5). Therefore, farmers should be conscious on herd administration and herd health protection. In addition, necessary services especially protective vaccine service by government should be made effectively.

- Young population rate on farms is quite high (Table 2). Therefore, if individuals except administrator work for turkey breeding, not only permanent and seasonal work-manship costs will decrease but also idle labor force will be used.

– Organizational level on farms examined is high (Table 5). Nonetheless, these organizations provide services, essentially, for plant production. Therefore, these organizations should be made work for establishment of poultry houses and decreasing costs at equipment purchase and effective marketing of turkeys. In addition, having organization on turkey breeding will, enormously, increase profitability.

REFERENCES

Aksoy, T. 1996 "Tekirdağ İli Üretici Koşullarında Besiye Alınan Bronz Hindilerde Kesim Sonuçları", I. Ulusal Zootekni Bilim Kongresi, Antalya, 48–54

Aksoy, T., Ş. Aksoy ve Ç. Koçak 1996a "Otlatmaya Dayalı Hindi Besiciliğinin Durumu, Sorunları, ve Çözüm Yolları", Hayvancılık '96 Ulusal Kongresi, İzmir, 446–454

Aksoy, Ş., T. Aksoy ve N. İşcan 1996b "Tekirdağ Ilindeki Otlatmaya Dayalı Hindi Besiciliğinde Maliyet Analizi", I. Ulusal Zootekni Bilim Kongresi, Antalya, 55–62

SIS 2001 Population Census in 2000, http://www.die.gov.tr

SIS 2002 Agricultural Census in 2001, http://www.die.gov.tr