

An age-period-cohort analysis for prevalence of common psychiatric disorders in Japan, 1999–2017

Okui Tasuku
Medical Information Center, Kyushu University Hospital

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/4149945>

出版情報 : Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology, pp.1-10, 2020-11-22. Springer International
バージョン :
権利関係 :

1 **Title :**

2 An Age-Period-Cohort Analysis for Prevalence of Common Psychiatric Disorders in Japan, 1999–2017

3

4 **Author:**

5 Tasuku Okui¹ (PhD, MPH)

6 **Affiliation:**

7 1) Medical Information Center, Kyushu University Hospital, Fukuoka city, Japan.

8 **Running title:**

9 Japan Common Psychiatric Disorders Prevalence

10 **Corresponding Author:**

11 Tasuku, Okui

12 *Address:* 〒812-8582 Maidashi3-1-1 Higashi-ku Fukuoka city Fukuoka prefecture, Japan

13 *Telephone:* +81-092-642-5882

14 *Email:* task10300@gmail.com

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Abstract

Purpose: This study aimed to analyze the prevalence of common psychiatric disorders in Japan via an age-period-cohort (APC) analysis.

Methods: Data were used on the prevalence of schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders, mood [affective] disorders (mood disorders, hereafter), and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders from the 1999–2017 Patient Survey in Japan. The age group was defined as 20–89 years with five-year increments; the cohort was assigned for each age group of each year with a one-year shift. A Bayesian APC analysis was used to decompose changes in prevalence into three effects: age, period, and cohort.

Results: The APC analysis revealed that the peaks of age effect varied among sexes and diseases. The period effects for all the diseases showed increasing trends over the analyzed years. Although the cohort effect for prevalence of schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders increased until the 1960s, it decreased afterwards. On the other hand, the cohort effects for prevalence of mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders increased from around the 1950s, and it indicates the prevalence increased particularly in young ages over the years. Also, the trends of each effect were relatively similar between mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders.

Conclusion: Increase in public awareness and psychological stress associated with change in social environment is thought to affect the period and cohort effects on prevalence of mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders.

Keywords: Age-period-cohort analysis, the Patient Survey in Japan, Psychiatric Disorders, Japan, Prevalence

50 **1. Introduction**

51 The number of patients with psychiatric disorders has increased over the years in Japan. According to the
52 Patient Survey in Japan [1], the estimated number of patients with mental and behavioral disorders increased
53 from 1.82 million in 1999 to 3.48 million in 2017. Specifically, patients with mood [affective] disorders
54 increased from 0.44 million in 1999 to 1.28 million in 2017, and patients with neurotic, stress-related, and
55 somatoform disorders increased from 0.42 million in 1999 to 0.83 million in 2017. Accordingly, the national
56 medical expenses of mental and behavioral disorders amounted to 1.91 trillion yen in 2017 [2]. If diseases of
57 the nervous system, such as Alzheimer's, are taken into account as psychiatric disorders, the number of patients
58 and/or national medical expenses would become even larger. Furthermore, it is important to not only consider
59 the direct costs of the diseases but also the indirect costs such as the decrease of labor productivity or
60 unemployment, and social cost associated with the psychiatric disorders as well [3].

61 However, epidemiological studies that have previously investigated the trend of psychiatric disorders are few
62 in number when compared to other major diseases such as cancer or cardiovascular diseases in Japan [4,25].
63 Although some epidemiological studies have investigated the prevalence of common psychiatric disorders in
64 Japan [5,6], a study using nationwide and/or time series data has not yet been conducted. It is interesting to
65 note that even data from the Patient Survey that routinely investigates the number of patients with psychiatric
66 disorders across Japan, information on the trend of prevalence over various age groups and over the cohorts
67 have not been analyzed. The age-period-cohort analysis (APC) is an analytical method often used for
68 investigating the trend of a disease [7], and it decomposes the statistics of various age groups over the years
69 into age, period, and cohort effects. The APC analysis is commonly used in the public health domain to
70 analyze trends in the incidence or mortality of a disease, particularly for cancer or cardiovascular diseases in
71 Japan [8,9]. Although there are some studies that have used APC analysis for analyzing the trend of mental
72 illnesses in other countries [10,11,12], an APC analysis for psychiatric disorders has not yet been conducted in
73 Japan. By analyzing the Patient Survey data in Japan using the APC model, the effects of age groups and/or
74 birth cohorts on the prevalence of psychiatric disorders can, thereby, be estimated.

75 This study analyzed the trends surrounding the prevalence of common psychiatric disorders using the APC
76 analysis of data collected by the Patient Survey in Japan.

77

78 **2. Methods**

79 Data from the Patient Survey in Japan [1] were used. The Patient Survey tallies the number of patients who
80 visit a hospital or clinic at sites throughout Japan.

81 The hospitals and clinics that are surveyed are randomly chosen because the number of medical institutes is
82 huge in Japan, whereas hospitals having >500 beds are totally surveyed. Medical institutes across all of Japan
83 are stratified based on factors, such as the region and type of the institute, and stratified random sampling is
84 used to select the medical institutes surveyed [13]. Hospitals are stratified based on regions, type of hospitals,
85 and bed size, whereas clinics are stratified based on prefectures, departments, and with or without bed [13].

86 The Patient Survey is conducted once every 3 years. The estimated total number of patients was calculated on
87 the basis of the number of outpatients and hospitalized patients in the Survey, and open to the public. The data
88 for the estimated total number of patients from the seven Patient Surveys conducted from 1999 to 2017 were
89 used for analysis.

90 The estimated number of patients in the survey included those with schizophrenia and schizotypal and
91 delusional disorders, mood [affective] disorders (mood disorders, hereafter), and neurotic, stress-related, and
92 somatoform disorders. The ICD10 code for schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders was F20–
93 F29; for mood disorders, it was F30–F39; and for neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders, it was
94 F40–F48. The population statistics data of sex and age group were obtained from the Vital Statistics [14], and
95 used as the denominator in the calculation of prevalence for each sex, age group, year, and disease.

96 The statistical analysis portion of this study included calculations for the prevalence of disorders in the
97 patients by sex. Additionally, age-adjusted prevalence was calculated by sex for each type of disease. The age-
98 adjusted prevalence of each disease was calculated for each combination of sex and period using the 1999
99 population of men as the standard population. The Bayesian APC model whose outcome value follows Poisson

100 distribution was used for the analysis [7]. The estimated number of patients in each age group of each year was
101 used as the outcome value, and the corresponding number of total population was used as the offset term for
102 the APC model. For the Bayesian prior distribution of each age, period, and cohort effect, random walk of the
103 first order was used to identify the parameters. Age groups were defined in the data as five-year units from 20–
104 24 years to 85–89 years. The cohort used for the APC analysis was assigned by one-year shifts; that is, the
105 generation aged 85–89 years in 1999 was grouped into the first cohort. The most recent born cohort covered
106 the generation aged 20–24 years in 2017. Statistically significance difference between two time points in
107 each age, period, cohort effect was examined based on whether 95% Bayesian credible intervals overlap
108 between the two time points. If the 95% Bayesian credible intervals of two time points of an effect do not
109 overlap, it indicates a statistically significant difference between the two time points. To estimate the
110 parameters, we used the Hamiltonian Monte Carlo method [15]. All statistical analyses were conducted using
111 R software version 3.5.1 [16].

112

113 **Results**

114 Table 1 shows the estimated number of patients with schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders,
115 mood disorders, and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders by age group in men and women.

116 Table 2 shows the number of patients with diagnoses schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders,
117 mood disorders, and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders by age group per 1,000 persons in men
118 and women. For men, although the prevalence of patients with schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional
119 disorders both increased and decreased in all age groups over the analyzed periods, the prevalence specifically
120 increased from 1999 to 2017 in the age group consisting of those aged 40 years or older. The prevalence of
121 patients with mood disorders increased in all age groups over the analyzed periods. Prevalence of patients with
122 neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders also increased in most of the age groups over the analyzed
123 periods. For women, the prevalence of patients with schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders
124 increased from 1999 to 2017 in the age group consisting of those aged 50 years old or older. The prevalence of

125 patients with mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders increased in all age groups
126 over the analyzed periods. It is noted that the prevalence of patients with schizophrenia and schizotypal and
127 delusional disorders is highly affected by the cohort. The prevalence of patients with mood disorders displayed
128 an increase in trends over the cohorts but particularly in the younger age groups. Similar results were observed
129 in patients with mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders.

130 Table 3 shows the age-adjusted number of patients with schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional
131 disorders, mood disorders, and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders in men and women per 1,000
132 persons. The age-adjusted prevalence of patients with schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders
133 increased from 1999 to 2017 in both men and women. The age-adjusted prevalence of patients with mood
134 disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders also increased over the analyzed periods, and
135 the degree of increase was higher than that of schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders. The age-
136 adjusted prevalence of patients with neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders increased particularly in
137 women.

138 Table 4 shows the results of APC analysis for each disease in men. We presented the result of birth cohorts
139 from cohorts born in 1911-1915 to those in 1991-1995 by 5-year increments for visibility of the results. The
140 age effect for schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders significantly increased from age groups
141 of 20-24 to 45-49 years, and then decreased thereafter. There was a significant difference in the age effect
142 between 45-49 years and 85-89 years. The period effect highlighted a slightly increasing trend, but there was
143 not statistically significant difference among the effects. The cohort effect displayed a significant increasing
144 trend from cohorts born in 1911-1915 to cohorts born in 1961-1965, and the estimate decreased in cohorts born
145 in thereafter. Age effect for mood disorders significantly increased from the age group of 20-24 to the age
146 group of 55-59 and also decreased thereafter. The period effect showed an increase approximately three times
147 from 1999 to 2017, and there was a statistically significant difference between 1999 and 2017. The cohort
148 effect decreased from cohort born in 1921-1925 to 1946-1950, and showed an increasing trend afterwards.
149 The results of patients with diagnoses neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders were relatively similar

150 to those of mood disorders.

151 Table 5 shows the results of APC analysis for each disease in women. The age effect for schizophrenia and
152 schizotypal and delusional disorders significantly increased from age group of 20–24 to the group aged 55–59,
153 and the age effects for older ages were significantly lower than the age group of 55–59. Although there was a
154 sudden decline in 2011, the period effect showed an overall increasing trend over the analyzed period. The
155 cohort effect significantly increased from cohort born in 1911–1915 to 1961–1965, and it decreased thereafter.
156 The age effect for mood disorders significantly increased from the age group of 20–24 to the age group of 65–
157 69, and decreased afterwards. Also, the period effect significantly increased from 1999 to 2017. The cohort
158 effect significantly decreased from cohort born in 1921–1925 to 1951–1955, and started increasing after that.
159 Although the results of patients with neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders were relatively similar
160 to those of mood disorders, the degree of increase for the cohort effect was larger for neurotic, stress-related,
161 and somatoform disorders, and there was a statistically significance difference between cohorts born in 1951–
162 1955 and 1991–1995.

163

164 **Discussion**

165 We analyzed the data of the Patient Survey for revealing the trends of age, period, and cohort effects for
166 prevalence of each disease. As a result of APC analysis, a significant increase of prevalence in the middle ages
167 and a significant decrease of the prevalence in older ages were observed in all the diseases. However, the peak
168 for age effects of mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders were seen to be later
169 for women compared to men. Also, the significant increase of the period effects was observed for mood
170 disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders during the analyzed periods. Although a
171 significant increase of the cohort effects until the middle of the analyzed cohorts and a decrease of the effects
172 afterwards was observed for schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders, a reverse phenomenon
173 was observed for mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders. The trend for each
174 effect in mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders were relatively similar.

175 Regarding age effect for schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders, it has been reported that
176 patients with schizophrenia are more likely to have type 2 diabetes or cardiovascular diseases [17,18], and the
177 life expectancy of patients with schizophrenia is shorter than that of the general population [19,20]. Therefore,
178 there is a possibility that the rapid decline of age effects signify that the mortality rate for schizophrenia in the
179 older age groups is relatively high. The peaks for age effect were also seen to be different among the sexes, and
180 this is considered to be in relation to the sex difference for the onset age of schizophrenia, as the onset is
181 generally earlier for men [21,22]. Regarding mood disorders, the life expectancy of patients with unipolar
182 depression or bipolar affective disorder is also known to be generally shorter than in the general population
183 [20,23] and is reflected as such in this analysis. The peak of age effect for mood disorders and neurotic, stress-
184 related, and somatoform disorders was considered to be near the 40s for men, which may be due to the fact that
185 social stress in these age groups is considered to be relatively high because social stress is a major risk factor
186 for depression [24]. The peak age of onset for depression in women is considered to be during the perinatal
187 period and during menopause [25], and onset after menopause is considered to affect the result of the later peak
188 age for women.

189 Regarding period effects, a significant increase of the prevalence with mood disorders and neurotic, stress-
190 related, and somatoform disorders may be related to an increase over the past few years in public awareness for
191 these disorders. It is interesting to note that words like “stress” and “depressive” have come to be frequently
192 used in daily conversation in Japan. According to a previous study [26], the increase in diseases over the years
193 may be due to the increase in mental health service usage. However, there is a possibility that the prevalence of
194 patients with mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders are actually increasing
195 particularly in young ages because as described below, the trends of the cohort effects were relatively
196 consistent with that of suicide. Also, depression was shown to be associated with lifestyle-related diseases such
197 as diabetes and obesity [27,28], and the consultation rate of lifestyle-related diseases is also currently on the
198 rise in Japan [1]. Regarding the similarity of mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform
199 disorders, the risk factors for these diseases are relatively common [29,30]. It is already shown that

200 comorbidity of major depression and anxiety disorder is high [31], and that there is an overlap of these
201 disorders in patients.

202 Regarding the cohort effect for prevalence of schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders, the
203 decrease of the prevalence in the younger age groups as shown in Table 1 and 2 is considered to have caused
204 the decrease in cohort effect. One possible reason for the decrease of the cohort effect is the discharge of
205 patients with psychiatric disorders as is currently ongoing in Japan, which has led to a decrease in patients who
206 are hospitalized with psychiatric disorders [1]. According to the Patient Survey [1], the mean length of stay for
207 patients with schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders is much longer than patients with other
208 diseases, and the number of hospitalized patients with schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders
209 is also declining. This may be due to the trend of the cohort effect. There is also a possibility that the incidence
210 of schizophrenia is actually decreasing in more recent born cohorts as reported in recent reports citing a
211 decrease in incidences of schizophrenia from the 1960s in other countries [32]. It is also possible that the
212 recovery rate for young patients with schizophrenia may be increasing owing to the development of psychiatric
213 drugs.

214 Regarding the cohort effect for prevalence of patients with mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and
215 somatoform disorders, the increase of prevalence in the younger age groups is relatively high, and this is
216 considered to have affected the trend of the cohort effect. According to a study that conducted an APC analysis
217 for suicide rate in Japanese individuals [33], the cohort effect for suicide rates also tended to increase from
218 approximately 1950 for women and that from approximately 1960 for men. Therefore, the trend of cohort
219 effect for patients with mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders is considered to
220 have an effect on the trend of suicide. Social insecurity may be a possible reason for the increase in cohort
221 effect for the suicide rate [33], and it was pointed out that the younger cohorts in Japan are in relative poverty
222 among developed countries in contrast with cohorts born in approximately 1951 [33,34]. Stagnation of
223 Japanese economy and an increase in precarious jobs from the late 20th Century are pointed out to be related to
224 this phenomenon [33]. Socioeconomic status was shown to be related to depression in a previous study [35],

225 and there are reports indicating the association between precarious job and psychological distress in Japan
226 [36,37]. Therefore, social insecurity in the younger age group may be a factor affecting the trend of cohort
227 effects for mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders. Additionally, the
228 employment rate in women was seen as rapidly increasing over the cohorts, and this may have affected
229 variability of the cohort effect in women. Another factor for the increase of the cohort effect may be due to the
230 Mental Health Act which was enacted in 1950 as it required each prefecture to establish a public psychiatric
231 hospital. This may have led to an increase in the consultation rates of patients with depression and/or anxiety
232 disorder over the cohorts.

233 The study is not without its limitations. As mentioned in the Methods section, the Patient Survey displays the
234 estimated total number of patients in increments of 1000; therefore, it does not present the actual number of
235 patients. In addition, the number of patients reflects the consultation rate rather than the prevalence of a
236 disease. An epidemiological study needs to be conducted in the future to assess the true prevalence of the
237 diseases. Moreover, a validity of estimated number of patients in the Patient Survey has not been confirmed,
238 and the validity of the calculation method of the estimated total number of patients needs to be examined
239 immediately. Also, only the data of patients who were treated in a disease in the date of the Survey are counted
240 in the calculation of the estimated number of patients [38]. Therefore, a patient with a psychiatric disease who
241 consulted a hospital for a disease, such as cancer and cardiovascular diseases is not counted in the number of
242 patients with the psychiatric disease. Also, patients with 2 or more psychiatric disorders can be treated as a
243 patient with either disease. Therefore, the decrease of the age effects for each disease possibly means that
244 prevalence of the psychiatric patients with other diseases increases with age. Furthermore, this study
245 specifically focused on the number of patients with schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders,
246 mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders, and was not able to focus on particular
247 types of disease such as schizophrenia or depression, which would also be worthwhile to explore. On the other
248 hand, the strength of the Patient Survey is that more than 10,000 medical institutes are examined every survey
249 year in the survey [38], and the coverage of the survey is larger than any other survey for psychiatric disease in

250 Japan.

251

252 **Conclusions**

253 As a result of the APC analysis, the peaks of age effect were different among sexes and diseases. The period
254 effects for all the diseases showed an increase in trends over the analyzed years. Although the cohort effect for
255 schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders started to decrease from approximately the 1960s, the
256 cohort effects for mood disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders started to increase
257 from around the 1950s. The tuning points of the cohort effects for these diseases were relatively similar to that
258 of suicide mortality rate in Japan. In addition, an increase in social insecurity in younger cohorts had been
259 pointed out as a factor for the increase of the cohort effect of suicide mortality rate in a previous study.

260 Therefore, there is a possibility that an increase in psychological stress associated with change in social
261 environment and public awareness of the diseases affected the trends of the period and cohort effects for mood
262 disorders and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders.

263

264 **Acknowledgements**

265 Enago has proofread the manuscript.

266

267 **Funding**

268 Not applicable

269

270 **Conflicts of Interest**

271 There are no potential conflicts of interest to declare.

272

273 **Availability of data and material**

274 The data used in this study can be downloaded from the website of Japan's government statistics.

275

276 **Code availability**

277 The software code of APC analysis used in this study can be shared upon request.

278

279 **Ethics approval**

280 Not applicable because we used data that are publicly available.

281

282 **Consent to participate**

283 Not applicable

284

285 **Consent for publication**

286 Not applicable

287

288 **Authors' contributions**

289 Conceptualization: TO. Data curation: TO. Formal analysis: TO. Methodology: TO. Funding acquisition: None.

290 Writing- original draft: TO. Writing - review & editing: TO.

291

292 **REFERENCES**

293 1. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. The Patient Survey. [https://www.e-stat.go.jp/stat-](https://www.e-stat.go.jp/stat-search/files?page=1&toukei=00450022&tstat=000001031167)
294 [search/files?page=1&toukei=00450022&tstat=000001031167](https://www.e-stat.go.jp/stat-search/files?page=1&toukei=00450022&tstat=000001031167). Accessed 8 May 2020 (Japanese)

295 2. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. (2017) Overview of national medical expenses 2017
296 <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/k-iryohi/17/index.html>. Accessed 8 May 2020 (Japanese)

297 3. Sado M, Inagaki A, Koreki A, Knapp M, Kissane L, Mimura M, Yoshimura K. (2013) The cost of
298 schizophrenia in Japan. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 9:787–798

- 299 4. Nishi D, Susukida R, Usuda K, Mojtabai R, Yamanouchi Y. (2018) Trends in the prevalence of
300 psychological distress and the use of mental health services from 2007 to 2016 in Japan. *J Affect Disord*
301 15;239:208-213.
- 302 5. Kawakami N, Shimizu H, Haratani T, Iwata N, Kitamura T. (2004) Lifetime and 6-month prevalence of
303 DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in an urban community in Japan. *Psychiatry Res* 121:293–301
- 304 6. Nakane Y, Ohta Y, Radford MH. Epidemiological studies of schizophrenia in Japan. (1992) *Schizophr*
305 *Bull.* 18:75-84
- 306 7. Smith TR, Wakefield J. (2016) A Review and Comparison of Age–Period–Cohort Models for Cancer
307 Incidence. *Statistical Science* 31:591-610
- 308 8. Ma E, Iso H, Takahashi H, Yamagishi K, Tanigawa T. (2008) Age–Period–Cohort Analysis of Mortality
309 due to Ischemic Heart Disease in Japan, 1955 to 2000. *Circulation Journal* 72:966-972
- 310 9. Wang Z, Bao J, Yu C, Wang J, Li C. (2015) Secular Trends of Breast Cancer in China, South Korea,
311 Japan and the United States: Application of the Age-Period-Cohort Analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public*
312 *Health* 12:15409-15418
- 313 10. Wickramaratne PJ, Weissman MM, Leaf PJ, Holford TR. (1989) Age, period and cohort effects on the
314 risk of major depression: results from five United States communities. *J Clin Epidemiol* 42:333–343
- 315 11. Takei N, Lewis G, Sham PC, Murray RM. (1996) Age-period-cohort analysis of the incidence of
316 schizophrenia in Scotland. *Psychol Med* 26:963–973
- 317 12. Keyes KM, Nicholson R, Kinley J, Raposo S, Stein M, Goldner E, Sareen J. (2014) Age, period, and
318 cohort effects in psychological distress in the United States and Canada. *Am J Epidemiol* 179:1216–1227
- 319 13. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Frequently asked questions about the Patient
320 Survey. <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/list/dl/10-20qa.pdf>. Accessed 22 October 2020.
- 321 14. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. The Vital Statistics. [https://www.e-stat.go.jp/stat-](https://www.e-stat.go.jp/stat-search/files?page=1&layout=datalist&toukei=00450011&tstat=000001028897&cycle=7&tclass1=000001053058&tclass2=000001053061&tclass3=000001053072)
322 [search/files?page=1&layout=datalist&toukei=00450011&tstat=000001028897&cycle=7&tclass1=000001053058&tclass2=000001053061&tclass3=000001053072](https://www.e-stat.go.jp/stat-search/files?page=1&layout=datalist&toukei=00450011&tstat=000001028897&cycle=7&tclass1=000001053058&tclass2=000001053061&tclass3=000001053072). Accessed 8 May 2020
- 323

- 324 15. Stan Development Team. (2019) RStan: the R interface to Stan. R package version 2.19.2. [http://mc-](http://mc-stan.org/)
325 [stan.org/](http://mc-stan.org/). Accessed 8 May 2020
- 326 16. R Core Team. (2018) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
327 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>. Accessed 8 May 2020
- 328 17. Mamakou V, Thanopoulou A, Gonidakis F, Tentolouris N, Kontaxakis V. (2018) Schizophrenia and type
329 2 diabetes mellitus. *Psychiatriki* 29:64–73
- 330 18. Hennekens CH, Hennekens AR, Hollar D, Casey DE. (2005) Schizophrenia and increased risks of
331 cardiovascular disease. *Am Heart J* 150:1115–1121
- 332 19. Hjorthøj C, Stürup AE, McGrath JJ, Nordentoft M. (2017) Years of potential life lost and life expectancy
333 in schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 4:295–301
- 334 20. Laursen TM. Life expectancy among persons with schizophrenia or bipolar affective disorder.
335 (2011) *Schizophr Res* 131:101-104
- 336 21. Eranti SV, MacCabe JH, Bundy H, Murray RM. (2013) Gender difference in age at onset of
337 schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 43:155–167
- 338 22. Abel KM, Drake R, Goldstein JM. (2010) Sex differences in schizophrenia. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 22:417–
339 428
- 340 23. Laursen TM, Musliner KL, Benros ME, Vestergaard M, Munk-Olsen T. (2016) Mortality and life
341 expectancy in persons with severe unipolar depression. *J Affect Disord* 193:203-207
- 342 24. Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Prescott CA. (1999) Causal relationship between stressful life events and the
343 onset of major depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 156:837–841
- 344 25. Takamatsu K, Kasuga M, Makita K, Nozawa S. (2004) Evaluation of depressive conditions among
345 Japanese patients at a menopause clinic. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 30:42-47
- 346 26. Nishi D, Ishikawa H, Kawakami N. (2019) Prevalence of mental disorders and mental health service use
347 in Japan. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 73:458–465

- 348 27. Hidese S, Asano S, Saito K, Sasayama D, Kunugi H. (2018) Association of depression with body mass
349 index classification, metabolic disease, and lifestyle: A web-based survey involving 11,876 Japanese
350 people. *J Psychiatr Res* 102:23–28
- 351 28. Katon WJ. (2008) The comorbidity of diabetes mellitus and depression. *Am J Med* 121(11 Suppl 2):S8–
352 S15
- 353 29. Jacobson NC, Newman MG. (2017) Anxiety and depression as bidirectional risk factors for one another:
354 A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychol Bull* 143:1155-1200
- 355 30. Vink D, Aartsen MJ, Schoevers RA. (2008) Risk factors for anxiety and depression in the elderly: a
356 review. *J Affect Disord* 106:29-44
- 357 31. Hirschfeld RM. (2001) The Comorbidity of Major Depression and Anxiety Disorders: Recognition and
358 Management in Primary Care. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry* 3:244–254
- 359 32. Warner R. (1995) Time trends in schizophrenia: changes in obstetric risk factors with
360 industrialization. *Schizophr Bull* 21:483–500
- 361 33. Kino S, Jang SN, Gero K, Kato S, Kawachi I. (2019) Age, period, cohort trends of suicide in Japan and
362 Korea (1986-2015): A tale of two countries. *Soc Sci Med* 235:112385
- 363 34. Abe K. (2012) Poverty and social exclusion of women in Japan. *Jpn. J. Soc. Secur. Pol* 9:61-82
- 364 35. Everson SA, Maty SC, Lynch JW, Kaplan GA. (2002) Epidemiologic evidence for the relation between
365 socioeconomic status and depression, obesity, and diabetes. *J Psychosom Res* 53:891-895
- 366 36. Takahashi T, Hori D, Ikeda T, Ikeda Y, Shiraki N, Andrea CS, Ohtaki Y, Doki S, Oi Y, Sasahara S,
367 Matsuzaki I (2019). Non-Regular Employment Status Is Associated with Psychological Distress among
368 Young Researchers: A Cross-Sectional Study in Tsukuba, Japan. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 249:57-64.
- 369 37. Kachi Y, Otsuka T, Kawada T (2014). Precarious employment and the risk of serious psychological
370 distress: a population-based cohort study in Japan. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 40:465-72.
- 371 38. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. The Patient Survey. <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/list/10-20.html>.
372 Accessed 22 October 2020.

373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427

428 Table 1. Estimated number of patients with schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders, mood
 429 disorders, and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders by age groups (Unit: thousand persons) .
 430

	20– 24	25– 29	30– 34	35– 39	40– 44	45– 49	50– 54	55– 59	60– 64	65– 69	70– 74	75– 79	80– 84	85– 89
Male schizophrenia, schizotypal and delusional disorders														
1999	12	26	29	35	33	46	47	32	26	22	10	4	2	1
2002	15	28	41	38	38	41	48	35	29	20	14	5	3	1
2005	11	26	38	41	37	36	42	41	30	24	15	8	3	1
2008	13	18	33	42	40	43	41	51	39	26	17	11	5	1
2011	14	17	27	41	43	41	33	37	40	23	16	11	5	2
2014	8	16	26	37	41	44	38	37	39	30	18	13	6	2
2017	9	12	22	31	46	50	40	42	38	39	23	14	6	3
Male mood [affective] disorders														
1999	4	8	13	12	15	16	15	16	15	21	12	7	2	1
2002	4	17	18	22	24	22	28	22	21	21	20	14	5	1
2005	9	22	34	34	40	32	32	31	28	21	22	15	7	1
2008	11	14	35	44	43	41	37	34	32	27	26	20	12	3
2011	11	19	26	41	45	40	38	38	32	20	24	21	10	3
2014	8	24	26	36	52	50	38	43	36	40	22	22	9	7
2017	12	19	32	41	53	56	63	48	35	41	32	25	20	6
Male neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders														
1999	9	13	15	16	13	15	18	12	12	14	11	7	3	1
2002	7	15	20	22	12	14	14	15	10	12	13	9	3	2
2005	9	14	24	24	14	18	17	22	14	11	12	8	4	1
2008	8	14	19	22	20	17	19	17	16	12	13	11	6	3
2011	15	16	17	18	26	19	16	16	14	16	13	10	3	3
2014	9	19	18	32	23	27	20	17	24	17	16	12	9	3
2017	14	15	26	22	33	30	30	18	14	27	10	14	11	4
Female schizophrenia, schizotypal and delusional disorders														
1999	12	21	30	28	25	40	42	44	30	25	18	10	4	2
2002	17	25	32	33	25	35	52	39	37	33	20	12	5	3
2005	12	21	32	38	38	35	44	42	42	36	22	16	8	3
2008	15	22	29	32	37	35	39	48	43	35	32	18	10	5
2011	9	17	24	34	33	31	31	35	41	31	28	21	10	7
2014	11	14	22	32	44	40	39	41	41	41	36	20	17	7
2017	6	13	23	26	39	38	40	42	42	53	30	26	15	9
Female mood [affective] disorders														
1999	7	11	17	14	22	18	24	28	26	38	32	24	10	4
2002	14	19	38	32	29	33	38	47	47	47	48	39	20	7
2005	23	34	49	45	47	39	35	53	49	50	57	52	30	11
2008	25	35	47	55	53	52	42	55	58	62	62	56	28	12
2011	16	33	36	60	57	43	41	39	54	45	44	49	38	18
2014	17	31	40	63	78	60	57	45	56	68	60	47	39	19
2017	24	33	44	47	78	82	70	58	62	75	64	62	46	21
Female neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders														
1999	9	19	19	19	17	18	22	21	26	29	24	15	6	4
2002	11	22	31	25	24	23	28	27	25	31	25	20	8	4
2005	20	25	35	44	29	21	26	36	28	25	36	20	14	4
2008	13	24	27	40	38	28	25	29	31	31	31	24	16	5
2011	17	28	34	34	37	30	27	19	26	21	24	23	14	7
2014	17	26	30	40	49	46	37	36	35	35	38	23	22	9
2017	19	30	42	53	61	61	54	34	35	42	33	27	21	12

431 Table 2. Number of patients with schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional disorders, mood disorders, and
 432 neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders by age groups per 1,000 persons.

	20– 24	25– 29	30– 34	35– 39	40– 44	45– 49	50– 54	55– 59	60– 64	65– 69	70– 74	75– 79	80– 84	85– 89
Male schizophrenia, schizotypal and delusional disorders														
1999	2.7	5.3	6.7	8.9	8.4	9.8	9.6	7.4	7.1	6.7	3.9	2.7	2.3	2.2
2002	3.7	6.0	8.7	9.3	9.8	10.1	9.1	8.3	7.4	5.7	5.0	2.6	3.1	2.0
2005	3.0	6.3	7.8	9.4	9.2	9.4	9.6	8.1	7.2	6.8	4.9	3.5	2.5	1.8
2008	3.7	4.8	7.4	8.8	9.6	11.2	10.6	10.6	9.0	6.8	5.3	4.5	3.2	1.6
2011	4.4	4.8	6.7	8.4	9.3	10.4	8.7	9.0	7.7	6.2	4.8	4.1	2.8	2.4
2014	2.6	4.8	7.0	8.5	8.4	10.3	9.8	9.8	8.9	6.8	4.9	4.7	3.1	1.9
2017	3.0	3.9	6.3	7.9	9.8	10.6	9.9	11.2	10.0	8.2	6.4	4.7	2.8	2.6
Male mood [affective] disorders														
1999	0.9	1.6	3.0	3.1	3.8	3.4	3.1	3.7	4.1	6.4	4.7	4.7	2.3	2.2
2002	1.0	3.6	3.8	5.4	6.2	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.4	6.0	7.1	7.3	5.1	2.0
2005	2.4	5.3	7.0	7.8	9.9	8.3	7.3	6.1	6.7	5.9	7.2	6.6	5.7	1.8
2008	3.1	3.7	7.8	9.2	10.3	10.6	9.6	7.0	7.4	7.1	8.1	8.1	7.7	4.7
2011	3.5	5.3	6.5	8.4	9.7	10.1	10.1	9.3	6.2	5.4	7.2	7.9	5.7	3.6
2014	2.6	7.3	7.0	8.3	10.6	11.7	9.8	11.4	8.2	9.1	6.0	8.0	4.6	6.8
2017	3.9	6.2	9.1	10.5	11.2	11.9	15.6	12.8	9.2	8.6	8.9	8.3	9.3	5.1
Male neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders														
1999	2.0	2.6	3.5	4.1	3.3	3.2	3.7	2.8	3.3	4.3	4.3	4.7	3.5	2.2
2002	1.7	3.2	4.2	5.4	3.1	3.5	2.7	3.5	2.6	3.4	4.6	4.7	3.1	3.9
2005	2.4	3.4	4.9	5.5	3.5	4.7	3.9	4.3	3.4	3.1	3.9	3.5	3.3	1.8
2008	2.3	3.7	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.9	3.5	3.7	3.1	4.1	4.5	3.8	4.7
2011	4.7	4.5	4.2	3.7	5.6	4.8	4.2	3.9	2.7	4.3	3.9	3.7	1.7	3.6
2014	2.9	5.8	4.9	7.4	4.7	6.3	5.2	4.5	5.5	3.9	4.4	4.4	4.6	2.9
2017	4.6	4.9	7.4	5.6	7.0	6.4	7.4	4.8	3.7	5.7	2.8	4.7	5.1	3.4
Female schizophrenia, schizotypal and delusional disorders														
1999	2.8	4.4	7.2	7.3	6.4	8.5	8.5	9.8	7.6	6.8	5.7	4.1	2.5	2.0
2002	4.5	5.5	7.0	8.2	6.6	8.7	9.8	8.9	8.9	8.5	5.9	4.4	2.7	2.6
2005	3.4	5.3	6.8	8.9	9.6	9.2	10.0	8.1	9.6	9.3	6.1	5.3	3.7	2.3
2008	4.5	6.1	6.7	6.9	9.1	9.2	10.1	9.7	9.4	8.4	8.6	5.6	4.0	3.3
2011	3.0	4.9	6.2	7.2	7.3	8.0	8.2	8.4	7.6	7.6	7.3	6.1	3.7	3.9
2014	3.8	4.4	6.2	7.6	9.3	9.5	10.2	10.8	9.0	8.7	8.5	5.7	5.8	3.5
2017	2.1	4.4	6.8	6.9	8.5	8.3	10.0	11.2	10.7	10.4	7.3	7.0	4.8	4.1
Female mood [affective] disorders														
1999	1.6	2.3	4.1	3.6	5.7	3.8	4.9	6.2	6.6	10.4	10.1	9.9	6.3	4.0
2002	3.7	4.2	8.3	8.0	7.6	8.2	7.2	10.8	11.3	12.2	14.2	14.3	10.7	6.1
2005	6.6	8.5	10.4	10.6	11.9	10.2	8.0	10.3	11.2	12.9	15.9	17.3	13.7	8.5
2008	7.5	9.7	10.9	11.8	13.0	13.7	10.9	11.1	12.7	14.9	16.6	17.4	11.3	7.9
2011	5.3	9.6	9.3	12.8	12.6	11.1	10.9	9.4	10.0	11.0	11.5	14.2	14.0	10.0
2014	5.8	9.8	11.2	15.1	16.4	14.3	14.9	11.8	12.3	14.4	14.2	13.5	13.4	9.4
2017	8.3	11.2	13.0	12.4	17.1	17.9	17.6	15.4	15.8	14.7	15.6	16.7	14.7	9.5
Female neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders														
1999	2.1	4.0	4.5	4.9	4.4	3.8	4.5	4.7	6.6	7.9	7.6	6.2	3.8	4.0
2002	2.9	4.8	6.8	6.2	6.3	5.7	5.3	6.2	6.0	8.0	7.4	7.3	4.3	3.5
2005	5.7	6.3	7.4	10.4	7.3	5.5	5.9	7.0	6.4	6.4	10.0	6.7	6.4	3.1
2008	3.9	6.6	6.2	8.6	9.3	7.4	6.5	5.9	6.8	7.4	8.3	7.5	6.4	3.3
2011	5.7	8.2	8.8	7.2	8.2	7.7	7.2	4.6	4.8	5.1	6.3	6.7	5.1	3.9
2014	5.8	8.2	8.4	9.6	10.3	11.0	9.7	9.4	7.7	7.4	9.0	6.6	7.5	4.4
2017	6.6	10.2	12.4	14.0	13.4	13.3	13.6	9.1	8.9	8.2	8.0	7.3	6.7	5.4

433

434

435

436 Table 3. Age-adjusted number of patients with diagnoses schizophrenia and schizotypal and delusional
 437 disorders, mood disorders, and neurotic, stress-related, and somatoform disorders per 1,000 persons.
 438

Diseases types, sex and periods	Schizophrenia, schizotypal and delusional disorders		Mood [affective] disorders		Neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders	
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
1999	6.80	6.64	3.29	5.18	3.33	4.80
2002	7.38	7.30	4.86	8.48	3.42	5.82
2005	7.26	7.62	6.63	10.49	3.89	6.91
2008	7.80	7.82	7.57	11.89	3.97	6.86
2011	7.17	6.72	7.42	10.39	4.20	6.73
2014	7.31	7.77	8.34	12.59	5.02	8.67
2017	7.65	7.66	9.78	14.43	5.55	10.64

439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459

Table 4. The result of APC analysis for each disease for men.

	Estimate of each effect (95% credible interval)		
	Schizophrenia, schizotypal and delusional disorders	Mood [affective] disorders	Neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders
Age effect			
20–24	0.562 (0.453, 0.710)	0.327 (0.176, 0.528)	0.655 (0.440, 0.911)
25–29	0.818 (0.675, 1.006)	0.642 (0.381, 0.974)	0.872 (0.629, 1.156)
30–34	1.111 (0.939, 1.326)	0.933 (0.609, 1.325)	1.069 (0.818, 1.371)
35–39	1.306 (1.131, 1.519)	1.152 (0.825, 1.531)	1.183 (0.947, 1.474)
40–44	1.350 (1.191, 1.533)	1.371 (1.059, 1.721)	1.123 (0.929, 1.353)
45–49	1.495 (1.342, 1.671)	1.428 (1.181, 1.706)	1.179 (1.009, 1.387)
50–54	1.450 (1.319, 1.596)	1.478 (1.267, 1.720)	1.172 (1.007, 1.370)
55–59	1.433 (1.305, 1.576)	1.477 (1.254, 1.734)	1.094 (0.934, 1.281)
60–64	1.348 (1.215, 1.493)	1.352 (1.114, 1.660)	1.016 (0.857, 1.214)
65–69	1.188 (1.051, 1.340)	1.329 (1.054, 1.750)	1.077 (0.894, 1.322)
70–74	0.954 (0.822, 1.102)	1.212 (0.909, 1.699)	1.069 (0.866, 1.356)
75–79	0.774 (0.645, 0.921)	1.120 (0.789, 1.688)	1.053 (0.816, 1.386)
80–84	0.625 (0.505, 0.764)	0.813 (0.536, 1.344)	0.861 (0.630, 1.151)
85–89	0.484 (0.379, 0.609)	0.522 (0.325, 0.924)	0.768 (0.544, 1.060)
Period effect			
1999	0.975 (0.897, 1.029)	0.530 (0.455, 0.635)	0.856 (0.756, 0.964)
2002	1.000 (0.952, 1.051)	0.762 (0.676, 0.869)	0.884 (0.797, 0.973)
2005	1.001 (0.960, 1.041)	0.997 (0.906, 1.101)	0.923 (0.845, 1.004)
2008	1.017 (0.988, 1.068)	1.184 (1.087, 1.290)	0.992 (0.916, 1.076)
2011	1.002 (0.964, 1.043)	1.130 (1.026, 1.242)	1.009 (0.921, 1.098)
2014	0.996 (0.945, 1.044)	1.262 (1.104, 1.425)	1.155 (1.045, 1.284)
2017	1.010 (0.952, 1.086)	1.471 (1.234, 1.715)	1.240 (1.091, 1.413)
Birth cohort effect			
1911–1915	0.749 (0.566, 1.000)	1.033 (0.514, 1.862)	1.035 (0.688, 1.579)
1916–1920	0.710 (0.550, 0.927)	0.937 (0.467, 1.574)	1.030 (0.709, 1.495)
1921–1925	0.725 (0.577, 0.922)	1.171 (0.677, 1.864)	1.067 (0.787, 1.523)
1926–1930	0.815 (0.671, 0.995)	1.156 (0.718, 1.727)	0.975 (0.723, 1.283)
1931–1935	0.918 (0.780, 1.085)	1.037 (0.694, 1.444)	0.971 (0.758, 1.233)
1936–1940	0.971 (0.844, 1.120)	0.871 (0.617, 1.149)	0.888 (0.697, 1.074)
1941–1945	0.994 (0.875, 1.124)	0.763 (0.582, 0.962)	0.851 (0.685, 1.014)
1946–1950	1.089 (0.975, 1.215)	0.743 (0.594, 0.906)	0.859 (0.708, 1.009)
1951–1955	1.180 (1.062, 1.319)	0.812 (0.679, 0.964)	0.884 (0.741, 1.025)
1956–1960	1.193 (1.072, 1.336)	0.939 (0.786, 1.129)	0.925 (0.780, 1.074)
1961–1965	1.229 (1.085, 1.398)	1.019 (0.827, 1.291)	0.986 (0.821, 1.170)
1966–1970	1.227 (1.062, 1.415)	1.040 (0.804, 1.407)	1.063 (0.880, 1.320)
1971–1975	1.193 (1.010, 1.403)	1.045 (0.764, 1.540)	1.033 (0.819, 1.309)
1976–1980	1.139 (0.938, 1.376)	1.040 (0.714, 1.686)	1.040 (0.796, 1.371)
1981–1985	1.050 (0.843, 1.291)	1.104 (0.709, 1.944)	1.091 (0.810, 1.536)
1986–1990	1.051 (0.817, 1.342)	1.269 (0.762, 2.532)	1.188 (0.856, 1.813)
1991–1995	0.948 (0.716, 1.227)	1.222 (0.681, 2.563)	1.195 (0.823, 1.892)

461 Table 5. The result of APC analysis for each disease for women.

	Estimate of each effect (95% credible interval)		
	Schizophrenia, schizotypal and delusional disorders	Mood [affective] disorders	Neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders
Age effect			
20–24	0.600 (0.437, 0.841)	0.414 (0.276, 0.614)	0.448 (0.276, 0.701)
25–29	0.793 (0.601, 1.061)	0.605 (0.428, 0.849)	0.724 (0.479, 1.065)
30–34	0.994 (0.784, 1.273)	0.833 (0.623, 1.108)	0.899 (0.640, 1.238)
35–39	1.090 (0.901, 1.331)	0.991 (0.789, 1.249)	1.118 (0.857, 1.444)
40–44	1.138 (0.979, 1.330)	1.225 (1.024, 1.472)	1.209 (0.988, 1.470)
45–49	1.225 (1.089, 1.379)	1.223 (1.065, 1.409)	1.237 (1.074, 1.423)
50–54	1.337 (1.217, 1.469)	1.246 (1.107, 1.401)	1.365 (1.228, 1.516)
55–59	1.360 (1.237, 1.495)	1.344 (1.194, 1.512)	1.344 (1.206, 1.493)
60–64	1.285 (1.143, 1.444)	1.396 (1.206, 1.618)	1.376 (1.189, 1.601)
65–69	1.238 (1.061, 1.438)	1.446 (1.207, 1.738)	1.339 (1.098, 1.647)
70–74	1.084 (0.889, 1.311)	1.369 (1.090, 1.730)	1.332 (1.031, 1.747)
75–79	0.907 (0.709, 1.146)	1.265 (0.951, 1.692)	1.037 (0.756, 1.446)
80–84	0.729 (0.543, 0.966)	0.927 (0.654, 1.291)	0.804 (0.549, 1.201)
85–89	0.670 (0.476, 0.930)	0.594 (0.399, 0.872)	0.547 (0.352, 0.868)
Period effect			
1999	0.908 (0.817, 1.004)	0.505 (0.445, 0.571)	0.745 (0.651, 0.855)
2002	0.979 (0.908, 1.053)	0.842 (0.767, 0.923)	0.881 (0.797, 0.975)
2005	1.013 (0.958, 1.071)	1.078 (1.004, 1.159)	1.016 (0.945, 1.093)
2008	1.046 (0.995, 1.104)	1.179 (1.105, 1.258)	0.997 (0.940, 1.058)
2011	0.964 (0.905, 1.021)	1.068 (0.994, 1.148)	0.941 (0.876, 1.010)
2014	1.052 (0.976, 1.143)	1.237 (1.126, 1.363)	1.183 (1.068, 1.309)
2017	1.046 (0.953, 1.159)	1.401 (1.242, 1.581)	1.352 (1.176, 1.549)
Birth cohort effect			
1911–1915	0.600 (0.392, 0.928)	1.248 (0.774, 2.048)	1.183 (0.659, 2.087)
1916–1920	0.638 (0.439, 0.937)	1.267 (0.829, 1.957)	0.909 (0.531, 1.488)
1921–1925	0.827 (0.605, 1.154)	1.309 (0.905, 1.931)	1.088 (0.697, 1.676)
1926–1930	0.921 (0.703, 1.220)	1.227 (0.893, 1.700)	1.007 (0.680, 1.455)
1931–1935	1.046 (0.832, 1.323)	1.078 (0.823, 1.413)	1.041 (0.760, 1.412)
1936–1940	1.152 (0.954, 1.404)	0.869 (0.692, 1.084)	0.775 (0.589, 1.000)
1941–1945	1.174 (1.006, 1.375)	0.786 (0.649, 0.942)	0.694 (0.559, 0.849)
1946–1950	1.104 (0.965, 1.259)	0.712 (0.610, 0.829)	0.670 (0.573, 0.783)
1951–1955	1.188 (1.055, 1.341)	0.664 (0.571, 0.767)	0.635 (0.550, 0.732)
1956–1960	1.169 (1.032, 1.328)	0.763 (0.660, 0.876)	0.733 (0.630, 0.851)
1961–1965	1.238 (1.062, 1.449)	0.849 (0.712, 1.003)	0.902 (0.749, 1.089)
1966–1970	1.225 (1.013, 1.479)	0.939 (0.758, 1.161)	1.142 (0.903, 1.468)
1971–1975	1.126 (0.897, 1.409)	0.993 (0.766, 1.290)	1.110 (0.827, 1.511)
1976–1980	1.071 (0.816, 1.388)	1.049 (0.772, 1.435)	1.189 (0.832, 1.741)
1981–1985	1.027 (0.744, 1.393)	1.219 (0.846, 1.783)	1.514 (0.986, 2.404)
1986–1990	0.933 (0.644, 1.331)	1.238 (0.812, 1.908)	1.562 (0.955, 2.648)
1991–1995	0.797 (0.521, 1.183)	1.235 (0.769, 2.012)	1.597 (0.915, 2.903)

