Microsatellite instability in Japanese female patients with triple-negative breast cancer

倉田,加奈子

https://hdl.handle.net/2324/4110403

出版情報:Kyushu University, 2020, 博士(医学), 課程博士 バージョン: 権利関係:(c) The Author(s) 2020

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Microsatellite instability in Japanese female patients with triple-negative breast cancer

Kanako Kurata¹ · Makoto Kubo¹ · Masaya Kai¹ · Hitomi Mori¹ · Hitomi Kawaji¹ · Kazuhisa Kaneshiro¹ · Mai Yamada¹ · Reiki Nishimura² · Tomofumi Osako² · Nobuyuki Arima³ · Masayuki Okido⁴ · Yoshinao Oda⁵ · Masafumi Nakamura¹

Received: 5 August 2019 / Accepted: 25 December 2019 © The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

Background It is important to identify biomarkers for triple-negative breast cancers (TNBCs). Recently, pembrolizumab, an immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) for programmed cell death 1 (PD-1), was approved as a treatment strategy for unresectable or metastatic tumor with high-frequency microsatellite instability (MSI-H) or mismatch repair deficiency, such as malignant melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, renal cell cancer and urothelial cancer. In addition, results from clinical trials suggested that ICI was a promising treatment for TNBCs with accumulated mutations. However, the frequency of MSI in Japanese TNBCs still remains unclear. We aimed to analyze the presence of MSI-H in TNBCs as a biomarker for ICI therapy. **Methods** In this study, we retrospectively evaluated the MSI of 228 TNBCs using an innovative method, MSI Analysis System Version 1.2 (Promega), consisting of 5 microsatellite markers: BAT-26, NR-21, BAT-25, MONO-27 and NR-24 without a normal tissue control.

Results Among 228 tumors, 222 (97.4%) were microsatellite stable, 4 (1.7%) low-frequency MSI and 2 (0.9%) MSI-H, respectively. Two MSI-H tumors were potentially aggressive pathologically as indicated by nuclear grade 3 and high Ki-67 (> 30%), and were classified as basal-like and non-BRCA-like, but were not consistent regarding tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, CD8 and PD-L1 expression.

Conclusions Although we found that MSI-H was uncommon (0.9%) in TNBCs, potential targets for ICIs exist in TNBCs. Therefore, MSI-H breast cancer patients should be picked up using not only conventional methods but also platforms for comprehensive genomic profiling.

Keywords Microsatellite instability \cdot Triple-negative breast cancer \cdot Biomarker \cdot PD-1/PD-L1 blockade \cdot Immune checkpoint inhibitor

Kanako Kurata and Makoto Kubo have contributed equally to this work.

Makoto Kubo mkubo@tumor.med.kyushu-u.ac.jp

- ¹ Department of Surgery and Oncology, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, 3-1-1 Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan
- ² Breast Center, Kumamoto Shinto General Hospital, 3-2-65 Oe, Chuo-ku, Kumamoto 862-8655, Japan
- ³ Department of Pathology, Kumamoto Shinto General Hospital, 3-2-65 Oe, Chuo-ku, Kumamoto 862-8655, Japan
- ⁴ Department of Surgery, Hamanomachi Hospital, 3-3-1 Nagahama, Chuo-ku, Fukuoka 810-8539, Japan
- ⁵ Department of Anatomic Pathology, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, 3-1-1 Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan

Abbreviations

TNBCs	Triple-negative breast cancers
ER	Estrogen receptor
PR	Progesterone receptor
HER2	Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2
MSI	Microsatellite instability
MMR	Mismatch repair
dMMR	Mismatch repair deficiency
LS	Lynch syndrome
PD-1	Programmed cell death 1
PD-L1	Programmed death-ligand 1
pMMR	Mismatch repair-proficient
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
ICI	Immune checkpoint inhibitor
MSI-H	High-frequency microsatellite instability
CDx	Companion diagnostic
TMB	Tumor mutational burden

Next-generation sequencing
Polymerase chain reaction
Immunohistochemistry
Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded
Quasi-monomorphic variation range
Low-frequency microsatellite instability
Microsatellite stable
Multiplex ligation-dependent probe
amplification
Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes
Hematoxylin and eosin
Epidermal growth factor receptor
Cytokeratin 5/6
Nuclear grade
T-box transcription factor 21

Introduction

Triple-negative breast cancers (TNBCs) are defined as tumors that lack the expression of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2). Therefore, chemotherapy remains the mainstay of systemic treatment for patients with TNBCs, because they cannot benefit from endocrine therapy or trastuzumab [1]. TNBC is, in general, a high-grade and aggressive disease with a high rate of distant metastasis, and is correlated with a poorer outcome compared with other breast cancer subtypes. To improve the therapeutic effects and prognosis for TNBCs, it is necessary to establish new treatment strategies and specific biomarkers.

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a phenotype resulting from a defect in mismatch repair (MMR) genes. MMR deficiency (dMMR) is present in various cancers, including those of the colorectum, uterus, stomach, biliary tract, pancreas, ovary, prostate, and small intestine [2–5]. Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer, is a common autosomal dominant syndrome characterized by early age at onset, neoplastic lesions, and MSI. Tumors with MSI account for approximately 15% of all colorectal cancers [6]. dMMR colorectal cancers are more responsive to programmed cell death 1 (PD-1)/programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) blockade than MMR-proficient (pMMR) colorectal cancers [2]. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI), pembrolizumab, for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with unresectable or metastatic, high-frequency MSI (MSI-H) or dMMR solid tumors in May 2017. These are the first gene level biomarkers for anti-PD-1 ICIs, which were approved in Japan in December 2018.

MSI and dMMR were uncommon in breast cancer [7, 8]. Therefore, MSI-H breast cancer patients could be picked up using not only conventional methods but also platforms for comprehensive genomic profiling. FoundationOne CDx is the first FDA-approved broad companion diagnostic (CDx) for solid tumors, including MSI and tumor mutational burden (TMB) to help inform immunotherapy decisions [9]. Also, FDA granted Breakthrough Device Designation for its pan-cancer assay, TruSight Oncology 500 panel (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), in January 2019 [10], which gave oncologists information on MSI and TMB with 500 genes. Hempelmann et al. [11] demonstrated that next-generation sequencing (NGS) methods had superior sensitivity and offered advantage over the widely used 5-marker MSI polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in prostate cancer. However, according to 'Bethesda guidelines' for colorectal cancers, a panel with five poly-A mononucleotide repeats (BAT-25, BAT-26, NR-21, NR-24, MONO-27) is considered the current standard because of its higher specificity and sensitivity. ESMO strongly recommends that the first line of molecular analysis is represented by PCR for MSI testing in the framework of immunotherapy, but very strongly that an NGS represents an alternative molecular test to assess MSI [12]. Although the main advantages of NGS method are simultaneously represented by the analyses on MSI and TMB, we have to wait for a while until they became the next CDx.

Some tumors with genomic instability respond well to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade, suggesting that this is a promising target for some refractory breast cancers. However, a previous study reported that MSI and dMMR were infrequent in breast cancer [7]. Therefore, this study analyzed the presence of MSI in Japanese female patients with TNBCs as a biomarker for ICIs and confirmed fundamental data on the frequency of MSI status in Japan.

Materials and methods

Patients

This study included 228 patients with primary TNBC who underwent resection without neoadjuvant chemotherapy at Kyushu University Hospital (Fukuoka, Japan), Hamanomachi Hospital (Fukuoka, Japan) or Kumamoto City Hospital (Kumamoto, Japan) between January 2004 and December 2014. Elucidation of tumor subtypes was determined by immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of surgically resected tissues. Classification of ER or PR positivity was defined as $\geq 1\%$ of tumor cells staining positive for ER or PR. Cancer specimens were defined as HER2 positive when HER2 IHC staining was scored as 3+ according to the standard criteria [13, 14], or when HER2 gene amplification was detected using fluorescence spectroscopy with in situ hybridization. The current study conformed to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kyushu University Hospital (No. 30-231).

Analysis of microsatellite instability

Surgical specimens were used for MSI analysis. Genomic DNA was extracted from FFPE using a QIA amp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The tumor content required for the analysis was 40% or more, and if it was less than 40%, genomic DNA was extracted by macrodissection. MSI analysis was performed using the MSI Analysis System Version 1.2 (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) with the following 5 microsatellite markers: BAT-26, NR-21, BAT-25, MONO-27 and NR-24 according to the quasi-monomorphic variation range (QMVR) method without paired normal DNA reported previously [15]. Previous report showed that the sensitivity and specificity of this QMVR method were concordant with the standard method using tumor DNA plus paired normal DNA [16]. Tumors exhibiting markers outside the corresponding QMVR were defined as MSI. We classified the tumors as MSI-H if two or more of the five markers showed MSI and low-frequency MSI (MSI-L) if any one marker showed MSI. Microsatellite stable (MSS) tumors were characterized by the absence of MSI by all 5 markers.

Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) method

Also, surgical specimens were used for MLPA analysis to determine the presence of BRCAness, as previously reported [17]. MLPA was undertaken to determine the relative copy number of various DNA sequences using the MLPA probe mix containing 38 target probes, which covered the most important genomic regions of the BRCA1-like classifier based on specific aberrations of BRCA1-mutated breast cancer compared with sporadic tumors by array comparative genomic hybridization. The relative copy number ratio of each sample was compared using Human Genomic DNA (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) as a reference sample. BRCAness scores were calculated with the relative copy number ratios of various DNA sequences. Each sample was analyzed twice by researchers and the mean score was used for the analysis. If the BRCAness score of a sample was \geq 0.5, it was classified as BRCAness and if the score was <0.5, the sample was classified as being non-BRCAness.

Evaluation of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs)

TILs were assessed in hematoxylin and eosin (HE)-stained sections, following guidelines published by the International TILs Working Group to standardize TILs evaluation [18, 19]. Cases were defined as TILs-High for \geq 50% stromal TILs and TILs-low for < 50% stromal TILs [20].

IHC staining

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) primary antibody (monoclonal mouse, clone DAK-H1-WT, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), cytokeratin 5/6 (CK5/6) primary antibody (monoclonal mouse, clone D5/16 B4, Dako), anti-PD-L1 antibody (monoclonal rabbit, E1L3N; Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA), and anti-CD8 antibody (monoclonal mouse, C8/144B; Nichirei Bioscience Inc., Tokyo, Japan) were used with a Ventana Discovery XT automated stainer (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA) with proprietary reagents according to the manufacturer's protocol. A basal-like phenotype was defined as positive for EGFR and/ or CK5/6. PD-L1 positivity was defined as PD-L1 expression in $\geq 1\%$ of tumor cells [20]. CD8-positive T cells were counted separately by their localization such as intratumoral or stromal with a microscope field at 200× magnification (0.00625 mm^2) . Five areas with the most abundant infiltration were selected, and the average count was calculated. The results were interpreted as positive when there were more than or equal to 30 cells per 0.0625 mm² in intratumoral or stromal area [21].

Results

Age at diagnosis, tumor size, nodal status, pathological stage, histological characteristics, presence of TILs and CD8-positive T cells, PD-L1 expression, the interaction between PD-L1 and TILs, basal-like status, BRCAness status, and MSI status of 228 TNBC patients are listed in Table 1. The mean age of patients was 59 years (range: 30-89) and all were women. Of 228 tumors, 132 (57.9%) were T1 tumors and 154 (67.5%) were node-negative tumors; 151 (66.2%) and 152 (66.7%) were tumors with nuclear grade (NG) 3 and with high Ki-67 (> 30%), respectively; 99 (43.4%) were classified as TILs-High, 112 (49.1%) had CD8-positive T cells, 90 (39.5%) had PD-L1 expression on tumor cells, 203 (89.0%) were tumors with basal-like features, and 148 (64.9%) had BRCAness. IHC staining was not performed for 13 cases. Among 228 tumors, 222 (97.4%) were MSS, 4 (1.7%) were MSI-L, and 2 (0.9%) were MSI-H.

The heatmap of the association between MSI status, basal-like status, BRCAness status, PD-L1 expression, presence of TILs, and that of CD8-positive T cells in all patients is shown in Fig. 1. Forty-four cases had all positive and 7 had all negative in the five statuses excluding MSI. As is demonstrated in Table 1 and Fig. 1, 74 (82.2%) of 90 PD-L1 positive cases showed TILs-High. Conversely, only 25 (20.0%) of 125 PD-L1 negative cases showed TILs-High.

The two MSI-H tumors had the following three common instability markers: BAT-26, NR21 and BAT-25 (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Of these tumors, one showed T1N0 and another

Table 1	Clinicopathologic	characteristics	of patients	with TNBC
---------	-------------------	-----------------	-------------	-----------

	Number of patients		
	N=228		
Age at diagnosis (y), mean (range)	59	(30–89)	
Tumor size			
T1a/b (\leq 1 cm)	19	(8.3%)	
T1c (>1 cm, ≤ 2 cm)	113	(49.6%)	
T2 (>2 cm, \le 5 cm)	89	(39.0%)	
T3 (>5 cm)	6	(2.6%)	
T4	1	(0.4%)	
Nodal status			
N0	154	(67.5%)	
N1 (1–3)	56	(24.6%)	
N2 (4–9)	11	(4.8%)	
N3 (≥10)	7	(3.0%)	
Pathological stage			
I	98	(43.0%)	
II	109	(47.8%)	
III	21	(9.2%)	
Nuclear grade			
1/2	70	(30.7%)	
3	151	(66.2%)	
Unknown	7	(3.1%)	
Ki-67			
≤30%	40	(17.5%)	
> 30%	152	(66.7%)	
Unknown	36	(15.8%)	
TILs			
High	99	(43.4%)	
Low	116	(50.9%)	
N/A	13	(5.7%)	
CD8			
+	112	(49.1%)	
_	103	(45.2%)	
N/A	13	(5.7%)	
PD-L1			
+	90	(39.5%)	
_	125	(54.8%)	
N/A	13	(5.7%)	
PD-L1 * TILs			
PD-L1+/TILs-High	74	(32.5%)	
PD-L1–/TILs-High	25	(11.0%)	
PD-L1+/TILs-Low	16	(7.0%)	
PD-L1-/TILs-Low	100	(43.9%)	
N/A	13	(5.7%)	
Basal-like status		. /	
+	203	(89.0%)	
_	23	(10.1%)	
N/A	2	(0.9%)	
BRCAness status			
+	148	(64.9%)	

Table 1 (continued

	Number of patients		
	N=228		
_	78	(34.2%)	
N/A	2	(0.9%)	
MSI status			
MSS	222	(97.4%)	
MSI-L	4	(1.7%)	
MSI-H	2	(0.9%)	

N/A, not available; y, years; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; TILs, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes; *, interaction; MSS, microsatellite stable; MSI-L, low-frequency microsatellite instability; MSI-H, high-frequency microsatellite instability

T2N0. Both had aggressive features including NG 3 (Table 2 and Fig. 3e, f) and high Ki-67 (> 30%), and were classified as basal-like and non-BRCAness (Table 2 and Fig. 3k, l, q, r). Only one of the two tumors expressed PD-L1 (Table 2 and Fig. 3w, x) and, they had TILs-Low and CD8-negative T cells in their microenvironment (Table 2). Each of the 4 MSI-L tumors had a different instability marker (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Of the 4 tumors, one had TILs-High and the others had TILs-Low (Table 2 and Fig. 3a–d). All were classified as basal-like and 2 were BRCAness (Table 2 and Fig. 3g–j, m–p). Only one tumor expressed PD-L1 (Table 2 and Fig. 3s–v).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to assess MSI in Japanese female TNBCs to determine the potential use of ICIs for treatment. We demonstrated that the frequency of MSI-H was 0.9% (2/228) in a relatively large cohort. This result was similar to previous reports that included a small cohort of TNBCs [7, 22, 23]. Furthermore, our results suggested that MSI was not common in TNBCs, although those with MSI-H might benefit from ICIs.

In this study, four tumors with MSI-L and two with MSI-H were all basal-like. Basal-like breast cancers constitute one of five intrinsic subgroups of breast cancer, which were determined by microarray-based expression profiling studies [24]. These tumors are often referred to as TNBCs, because most basal-like tumors are typically negative for ER, PR, and HER2 [25]. Basal-like tumors showed a high frequency of *TP53* mutations (80%), indicating a loss of *TP53* function is characteristic for most basal-like cancers. In addition to the loss of *TP53*, MEMo analysis revealed that the loss of *RB1* and *BRCA1* are also basal-like features [25]. Although these genetic mutations in TNBCs are common and specific compared with other

Fig. 1 Comprehensive datasheet regarding the association between MSI and other biological features (basal-like, BRCAness, PD-L1, TILs and CD8) in all cases. Each row represents one case. Dark gray: MSI-H, BRCAness, PD-L1 positive, TILs-High, CD8-positive, light gray: MSI-L, white: MSS, non-BRCAness, PD-L1 negative, TILs-Low, CD8-negative



Dark gray: MSI-H or positive in other biological features, Light gray: MSI-L, White: MSS or negative in other biological features.

subtypes, they have not been established as biomarkers for treatment strategies to date. In the previous study, we assessed BRCAness in TNBCs and showed significantly NG3, high Ki67 and basal-like in TNBCs with BRCAness [17]. However, in this study, two tumors with MSI-L only had BRCAness and two tumors with MSI-H had non-BRCAness despite basal-like features. Further investigations are needed.

MSI is a landmark of genetic instability characterized by frequent errors occurring during the replication of short nucleotide repeats [23]. Testing colorectal cancers for MSI is an effective method to screen for LS, because 90% of LS

Table 2Clinicopathologiccharacteristics of tumors withmicrosatellite instability

	Case number					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Age	67	73	61	56	80	74
pTN classification	T3N0	T2N1	T1N0	T2N0	T1N0	T2N0
Nuclear grade	3	2	2	1	3	3
Ki67 (%)	50	18	37	8	54	92
TILs	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
CD8	+	_	+	+	_	_
PD-L1	+	-	_	-	-	+
Basal-like status	+	+	+	+	+	+
BRCAness status	+	+	_	_	_	_
MSI	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
BAT-26	_	_	_	_	+	+
NR-21	_	+	_	_	+	+
BAT-25	_	_	_	+	+	+
MONO-27	-	-	+	-	+	_
NR-24	+	_	_	_	_	_

T, tumor size; N, nodal status; pTN, pathological tumor and nodal stage



Fig. 2 Microsatellite instability analysis of MSI-H and MSI-L tumors. Electropherograms show the peak of fluorescein-labeled loci BAT26, NR21, BAT25, MONO27 and NR24. Instability is indicated when a peak exceeds the control width



Fig. 3 Microscopic findings of MSI-L (Case 1–4) and MSI-H (Case 5, 6) tumors (magnification; $\times 200$, Bar; 100 µm). HE staining shows tumors in Cases 1–6 (**a–f**). IHC images show CK5/6 expressed posi-

tive in case 5, 6 (**k**, **l**), but not in Case 1-4 (**g**-**j**). EGFR was expressed as positive in Cases 1-6 (**m**-**r**). PD-L1 was expressed as positive in Case 1 and 6 (**s**, **x**), but not in Case 2-5 (**t**-**w**)

show MSI-H [26]. LS is characterized by the development of neoplastic lesions in endometrial, gastric, renal, ovarian, and skin tissues [27, 28]. None of six patients with MSI has those tumors in the present study. The 1997 Bethesda guidelines recommend a reference panel of five microsatellites ("Bethesda panel") for testing: two mononucleotide loci (BAT-25 and BAT-26) and three dinucleotide loci (D2S123, D5S346, and D7S250). The Promega Corporation (Madison, WI, USA) has developed a widely used alternative to the Bethesda panel, called the MSI Analysis System, which replaces the dinucleotide markers with mononucleotide markers (NR-21, NR-24 and MONO-27) [6, 29-31]. These five microsatellite markers have a longer target loci and better sensitivity than the dinucleotide markers. From now on, MSI will be examined with NGS such as various multiplex gene sequencing tests, including tumor mutational burden simultaneously.

Some studies reported an association between breast cancer and MSI. A previous report showed a correlation between the presence of MSI and the absence of both ER and PR [32]. In this report, MSI was detected using PCR at 10 microsatellite markers that were selected to include mono- and dinucleotides and to represent different chromosomes, some of which have been involved in LOH or linked to familial breast cancer. Six of 88 breast cancers (7%) showed MSI, and then four of six had ER- and PgR negative features. However, it is difficult to determine the features of MSI breast cancer, because MSI is remarkably rare in breast cancer [33, 34]. A study reported that in 267 breast cancers, no tumors had MSI using PCR at 104 primers, including markers considered to be highly reliable for detection of MSI in colorectal cancers and reported previously to have in breast cancers [7]. Moreover, the frequencies and characteristics of MSI breast cancer have not been evaluated by subtypes. This study is the first to report the MSI in TNBCs analyzed using the five recommended microsatellite markers without a normal tissue control. We found that the frequency of MSI-H was very rare, but present even in TNBCs.

Recently, the mechanism involved in immune responses in the cancer microenvironment has attracted attention. A previous report suggested that tumors with high Th1/ cytotoxic T lymphocyte infiltration had defects in MMR, resulting in MSI, and the increased mutational burden in tumors with MSI created neoantigens related to the immune response, and the immune checkpoint proteins including PD-1 and PD-L1 were upregulated to enable their survival [35]. In our previous study, we focused on T-box transcription factor 21 (T-bet), which is the master regulator of effector T-cell activation, and showed significant relationships among NG3, high Ki67, PD-L1 positivity on tumor cells and CD8 positivity on immune cells in TNBCs with high T-bet-expressing TILs [21]. However, in this study, we were unable to find the consistent results regarding TILs, CD8 and PD-L1 despite NG3 and high Ki67 labeling index. A follow-up clinical trial demonstrated the utility of MSI status as a predictive marker for responses to PD-1 blockade and survival in stage IV cancer patients with dMMR colorectal and non-colorectal cancer [2]. In addition, the PD-1/PD-L1 blockade had an acceptable safety and antitumor activity for TNBCs in the phase Ib KEYNOTE-012 Study [36]. Meanwhile, the IMpassion130 trial revealed that atezolizumab showed efficacy in advanced TNBCs with PD-L1 expressing immune cells [37]. Therefore, ICIs are expected to improve survival in breast cancer patients with MSI-H and/or dMMR.

This study had some limitations. First, the cohort was collected retrospectively. Second, although we assessed MSI, the relationship between MSI and ICIs in TNBCs remains unclear, because ICIs were just started to be used for patients with breast cancer in Japan. Our final goal is to identify specific biomarkers for TNBCs, which may predict the treatment effect or resistance for ICIs.

In conclusion, our results demonstrated that MSI-H might be uncommon. However, true targets for ICIs were present in 0.9% of TNBCs, whose features were not identified by other biological characteristics. We thought it was essential to investigate the frequency of biomarkers such as MSI and TMB further to determine the use of ICIs for TNBC treatment.

Acknowledgements The authors thank Nobuhiro Torata, Midori Kojou, Shoko Sadatomi, and Emiko Manabe for their technical assistance. We are also thankful to Toki Shimizu for performing MSI and Edanz Group (www.edanzediting.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript. This study was published in 2018 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium and provided the award by Japanese Breast Cancer Society.

Funding This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI (Grant Nos. 18J20301 and 18K08577).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Foulkes WD, Smith IE, Reis-Filho JS. Triple-negative breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2010;363:1938–48.
- Le DT, Uram JN, Wang H, Zhang Y, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Meric-Bernstam F, et al. PD-1 blockade in tumors with mismatchrepair deficiency. N Engl J Med. 2015;372:2509–20.
- 3. The Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. Nature. 2012;487:330–7.
- Maple JT, Smyrk TC, Boardman LA, Johnson RA, Thibodeau SN, Chari ST. Defective DNA mismatch repair in long-term (≥ 3 years) survivors with pancreatic cancer. Pancreatology. 2005;5:220–7.
- Garg K, Leitao MM Jr, Kauff ND, Hansen J, Kosarin K, Shia J, et al. Selection of endometrial carcinomas for DNA mismatch repair protein immunohistochemistry using patient age and tumor morphology enhances detection of mismatch repair abnormalities. Am J Surg Pathol. 2009;33:925–33.
- Umar A, Boland CR, Terdiman JP, Syngal S, de la Chapelle A, Rüschoff J, et al. Revised Bethesda Guidelines for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (Lynch syndrome) and microsatellite instability. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2004;96:261–8.
- 7. Anbazhagan R, Fujii H, Gabrielson E. Microsatellite instability is uncommon in breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 1999;5:839–44.
- Cortes-Ciriano I, Lee S, Park WY, Kim TM, Park PJ. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15180. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomm s15180.
- FoundationOne CDx: https://www.foundationmedicine.com/ genomic-testing/foundation-one-cdx. Accessed 04 July 2019.
- TruSight Oncology 500: https://www.illumina.com/products/ by-type/clinical-research-products/trusight-oncology-500.html. Accessed 04 July 2019.
- Hempelmann JA, Lockwood CM, Konnick EQ, Schweizer MT, Antonarakis ES, Lotan TL, et al. Microsatellite instability in prostate cancer by PCR or next-generation sequencing. J Immunother Cancer. 2018;6:29. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-018-0341-y.
- Luchini C, Bibeau F, Ligtenberg MJL, Singh N, Nottegar A, Bosse T, et al. ESMO recommendations on microsatellite instability testing for immunotherapy in cancer, and its relationship with PD-1/ PD-L1 expression and tumour mutational burden: a systematic review-based approach. Ann Oncol. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1093/ annonc/mdz116.
- Wolff AC, Hammond ME, Schwartz JN, Hagerty KL, Allred DC, Cote RJ, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists guideline recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:118–45.
- Wolff AC, Hammond ME, Hicks DG, Dowsett M, McShane LM, Allison KH, et al. Recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists clinical practice guideline update. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31:3997–4013.
- Patil DT, Bronner MP, Portier BP, Fraser CR, Plesec TP, Liu X. A five-marker panel in a multiplex PCR accurately detects microsatellite instability-high colorectal tumors without control DNA. Diagn Mol Pathol. 2012;21:127–33.
- Bando H, Okamoto W, Fukui T, Yamanaka T, Akagi K, Yoshino T. Utility of the quasi-monomorphic variation range in unresectable metastatic colorectal cancer patients. Cancer Sci. 2018;109:3411–5.
- 17. Mori H, Kubo M, Nishimura R, Osako T, Arima N, Okumura Y, et al. BRCAness as a biomarker for predicting prognosis and response to anthracycline-based adjuvant chemotherapy

for patients with triple-negative breast cancer. PLoS ONE. 2016;11:e0167016. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167016.

- Salgado R, Denkert C, Demaria S, Sirtaine N, Klauschen F, Pruneri G, et al. The evaluation of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in breast cancer: recommendations by an international TILs working group 2014. Ann Oncol. 2015;26:259–71.
- Swisher SK, Wu Y, Castaneda CA, Lyons GR, Yang F, Tapia C, et al. Interobserver agreement between pathologists assessing tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in breast cancer using methodology proposed by the international TILs working group. Ann Surg Oncol. 2016;23:2242–8.
- 20. Mori H, Kubo M, Yamaguchi R, Nishimura R, Osako T, Arima N, et al. The combination of PD-L1 expression and decreased tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes is associated with a poor prognosis in triple-negative breast cancer. Oncotarget. 2017;8:15584–92.
- Mori H, Kubo M, Kai M, Yamada M, Kurata K, Kawaji H, et al. T-bet+ lymphocytes infiltration as an independent better prognostic indicator for triple-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2019;176:569–77.
- 22. Toyama T, Iwase H, Iwata H, Hara Y, Omoto Y, Suchi M, et al. Microsatellite instability in situ and invasive sporadic breast cancers of Japanese women. Cancer Lett. 1996;108:205–9.
- Lacroix-Triki M, Lambros MB, Geyer FC, Suarez PH, Reis-Filho JS, Weigelt B. Absence of microsatellite instability in mucinous carcinomas of the breast. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2011;4:22–31.
- 24. Perou CM, Sørlie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature. 2000;406:747–52.
- 25. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumors. Nature. 2012;490:61–70.
- Liu B, Parsons R, Papadopoulos N, Nicolaides NC, Lynch HT, Watson P, et al. Analysis of mismatch repair genes in hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer patients. Nat Med. 1996;2:169–74.
- Umar A, Boyer JC, Thomas DC, Nguyen DC, Risinger JI, Boyd J, et al. Defective mismatch repair in extracts of colorectal and endometrial cancer cell lines exhibiting microsatellite instability. J Biol Chem. 1994;269:14367–70.
- 28. Lynch HT, Smyrk T, Lynch J. An update of HNPCC (Lynch syndrome). Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1997;93:84–99.

- Dudley JC, Lin MT, Le DT, Eshleman JR. Microsatellite instability as a biomarker for PD-1 blockade. Clin Cancer Res. 2016;22:813–20.
- Bacher JW, Flanagan LA, Smalley RL, Nassif NA, Burgart LJ, Halberg RB, et al. Development of a fluorescent multiplex assay for detection of MSI-high tumors. Dis Markers. 2004;20:237–50.
- 31. Murphy KM, Zhang S, Geiger T, Hafez MJ, Bacher J, Berg KD, et al. Comparison of the microsatellite instability analysis system and the Bethesda panel for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancers. J Mol Diagn. 2006;8:305–11.
- 32. Caldés T, Perez-Segura P, Tosar A, de La Hoya M, Diaz-Rubio E. Microsatellite instability correlates with negative expression of estrogen and progesterone receptors in sporadic breast cancer. Teratog Carcinog Mutagen. 2000;20:283–91.
- 33. Contegiacomo A, Palmirotta R, De Marchis L, Pizzi C, Mastranzo P, Delrio P, et al. Microsatellite instability and pathological aspects of breast cancer. Int J Cancer. 1995;64:264–8.
- 34. Yee CJ, Roodi N, Verrier CS, Parl FF. Microsatellite instability and loss of heterozygosity in breast cancer. Cancer Res. 1994;54:1641–4.
- 35. Llosa NJ, Cruise M, Tam A, Wicks EC, Hechenbleikner EM, Taube JM, et al. The vigorous immune microenvironment of microsatellite instable colon cancer is balanced by multiple counter-inhibitory checkpoints. Cancer Discov. 2015;5:43–51.
- Nanda R, Chow LQ, Dees EC, Berger R, Gupta S, Geva R, et al. Pembrolizumab in patients with advanced triple-negative breast cancer: Phase Ib KEYNOTE-012 study. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34:2460–7.
- Schmid P, Adams S, Rugo HS, Schneeweiss A, Barrios CH, Iwata H, et al. Atezolizumab and nab-paclitaxel in advanced triple-negative breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:2108–21.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.