

Tectonic and sedimentary history of the Neoproterozoic metavolcanic- volcaniclastic rocks of the El-Dabbah Group, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt

Kiyokawa, Shoichi

Department of Earth and Planetary Science, Kyushu University

Suzuki, Taishi

Department of Earth and Planetary Science, Kyushu University

Horie, Kenji

National Institute of Polar Research

Takehara, Mami

National Institute of Polar Research

他

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/4061282>

出版情報 : Journal of African Earth Sciences. 165, pp.103807-, 2020-05. Elsevier
バージョン :
権利関係 :



Tectonic and sedimentary history of the Neoproterozoic metavolcanic–volcaniclastic rocks of the El-Dabbah Group, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt

Shoichi Kiyokawa^{a,b,c}, Taishi Suzuki^a, Kenji Horie^d, Mami Takehara^d, Hanna El-Dokouny^e, Al-Dekouny Maher Dawoud^e, Mohamed Mahmoud El-Hasan^e

^a Department of Earth and Planetary Science, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka Nishiku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

^b Department of Geology, University of Johannesburg, PO Box 524, Auckland Park, Johannesburg 2006, South Africa

^c Center for advanced Marine core research, Kochi University, B200 Monobe, Nankoku, Kochi, 783-8502, Japan

^d National Institute of Polar Research, 10-3 Midori-cho, Tachikawa-shi, Tokyo 190-8518, Japan

^e Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, Menoufiya University, Gamal Abd El Nasr st. Shebin El-Kom, Menoufiya, Egypt

Corresponding author

Shoichi Kiyokawa: kiyokawa@geo.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Tel. 092-802-4254

Email addresses

Taishi Suzuki: t14851295@gmail.com

Kenji Horie: horie.kenji@nipr.ac.jp

Mami Takehara: takehara.mami@nipr.ac.jp

Al-Dekouny Maher Dawoud: dawoud_99@yahoo.com

Mohamed Mahmoud El-Hasan: abouelhassanmohamed@gmail.com

Hanna Abd-Elmonem: hanaaabdelnaby4@gmail.com

Abstract

The El-Dabbah Group is a > 7500m-thick sequence of metavolcanic rocks, volcaniclastics, and banded iron-formation located within the Nubian Shield, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt. The sequence preserves lower greenschist-facies island arc rocks. We used detailed mapping to reconstruct the stratigraphic and tectonic history of the El-Dabbah Group and the surrounding sedimentary basins. The El-Dabbah Group was identified as deposition at central rift zone of an oceanic island arc and was overlies by the subaerial sedimentary rocks (the Atshan Formation and the Hammamat Group). Four faulting were distinguished within the El-Dabbah area: (1) top-to-the-S low-angle thrusting; (2) NNE side down normal faulting; (3) sinistral displacement on north–south faults; and (4) WNE trend N-side-down normal faulting with the Hammamat Group. We obtained the crystallization ages of a granite (638.1 ± 2.9 Ma) and a quartz porphyry (659.6 ± 3.0 Ma). The detrital zircon age of the Hammamat Group show peaks at 650, 680, and 790 Ma. These evidences suggest, three sedimentation-deformation stages were identified in this area. Stage 1 involved sedimentation of island-arc-derived volcaniclastic rocks at 770–700 Ma and to thrust related accretion at 700–680 Ma. Stage 2 involved collisional and transtensional deformation related to formation of the subaerial basin that contains the Atshan Formation at 670–640 Ma, the normal fault, the strike-slip fault and granite intrusion during 660–620 Ma. Stage 3 involved orogenic collapse, regional strike-slip-related sedimentation and deformation of the Hammamat Group at 610–570 Ma. We show that the El-Dabbah Group records a history of oceanic island arc accretion, collision, and collapse within the northern East African Orogeny during 770–570 Ma in Neoproterozoic.

Keywords: Neoproterozoic, El-Dabbah Group, Hammamat Group, oceanic island arc, volcaniclastic sequence, accretion, transtension,

Highlights

- Three stages of sedimentation and deformation were identified in the El-Dabbah area
- A 7500 m-thick sequence of metavolcanic and volcaniclastic rocks formed in an oceanic island arc setting
- Three stages of sedimentation (Sed 1–Sed 2) and deformation (D_1 – D_3) occurred in the El-Dabbah area
- Granite and quartz porphyry intrusions crystallized prior to D_2 , at 660–640 Ma.

1. Introduction

The Eastern Desert of Egypt is an escaped tectonic block within the northern part of the East African Orogeny which was older part of the Pan-African Orogeny (Fritz et al., 2013), the escape of the block occurred during collision between East and West Gondwana (e.g., El-Gaby et al., 1984, Stern 1994). The Egyptioan Eastern Desert contains well-preserved accreted sections of island arc rocks, granitic rocks, and exhumed metamorphic rocks, and has been subdivided into three terranes: Northern Eastern Desert

(NED), Central Eastern Desert (CED), and Southern Eastern Desert (SED), based on rock types and ages (Stern and Hedge, 1985, Fowler and Hamimi, 2020).

Low-grade metamorphic assemblages are well preserved within the basement rocks of the CED, which include ophiolitic mélange, metavolcanic island arc rocks, subaerial sedimentary rocks, and granites that are older and younger than the accretion event (e.g., Egyptian Geological Survey, 1981; El-Shazly and Khalil, 2016). The area records lower pressures than the NED and the SED, so the pre-metamorphic relationships amongst the units are well preserved.

The basement rocks of the CED can be divided into three major sections; (1) a gneiss complex; (2) island arc metavolcanic rocks and sediments; and (3) late- to post-orogenic Hammamat Group sediments (El-Gaby et al., 1984). The gneiss complex has been interpreted as an extensional core complex dome or early thrust-stacking with later folding dome models (e.g., Fritz et al., 1996, 2002; Fowler et al., 2007; Hamimi et al., 2012). Thick sequences of island arc metavolcanic rocks and sediments, and a tectonic mélange that contains ophiolitic rocks, were accreted at the early stage (Stern et al., 2004; Fritz et al., 2013). Early stage accretional deformations are still difficult to distinguish.

Most of the island arc metavolcanic rocks and sediments, other than those of the Hammamat Group, experienced greenschist-facies metamorphism with brittle deformation and minor brittle–ductile deformation (e.g., El-Shazly and Khalil, 2016). These low-grade island arc metavolcanic rocks and sediments sequence might be preserved early stage deformations.

A sequence of low-grade metavolcanic and volcanoclastic rocks are exposed in the Wadi El-Dabbah area of the CED (e.g., Maurice, 2006; Ali et al., 2009). These rocks are located between the well-studied El-Sibai complex area (e.g., Fowler et al., 2007) and the Kareim basin (240 km²) containing the Hammamat Group within the CED. Subaerial sediments of the Hammamat Group unconformably overlie the arc metavolcanics at southern margin of the Kareim basin. Therefore, the metavolcanic–volcanoclastic sequence was emplaced prior to sedimentation of the Hammamat Group (Wilde and Youssef, 2002; Abd El-Wahed et al., 2010) and might record the tectonic and sedimentary history of this area, which includes formation of the island arc, tectonic mélange, thrust nappes, post-accretion deformation, and granite intrusion (e.g., Ali et al., 2009; Khalil et al., 2015).

However, detailed geological mapping and stratigraphic studies have not been conducted in this area. We performed detailed mapping, structural investigations, stratigraphic reconstructions, and geochronology analyses. We did not observe a mélange similar to those recorded accreted tectonic mélange within Japanese accretionary complexes (e.g., Kiyokawa, 1992). The metavolcanic–volcanoclastic sequence of the El-Dabbah area contains well-preserved volcano-sedimentary structures (such as, pillow lava, accretionary lapilli, graded volcanic tuff, parallel laminated tuff and iron sequence) that were used to reconstruct a continuous stratigraphy, and on this basis we inferred that the sediments were formed in an island arc setting. New detrital zircon U–Pb ages of the Hammamat Group sandstone, and igneous zircons from two intrusions constrain the timing of sedimentation and fault movement.

Finally, we compare the tectonic histories of the sedimentary basin, gneiss complex, and the metavolcanic–volcaniclastic sequence.

2. Regional tectonic history of the Central Eastern Desert

2.1. Tectonic framework of the Eastern Desert

The Eastern Desert of Egypt is part of the Arabian–Nubian Shield. It was previously part of the Arabian Plate, and part of the Rodinia supercontinent prior to its breakup at ~900–850 Ma. This breakup event is recorded by ~870 Ma Neoproterozoic juvenile volcanic rocks, which include an island arc sequence (Stern, 1994) that was accreted during collisional events related to the East African Orogeny which was older part of the Pan-African Orogeny and closure of the Mozambique Ocean (Stern 1994; Cawood, 2005; Fritz et al., 2013; Fig. 1). The tectonic history of the Arabian–Nubian Shield comprises seven stages: (1) breakup of Rodinia (900–850 Ma); (2) seafloor spreading to form oceanic lithosphere (870–750 Ma); (3) subduction and formation of island arc volcano-sedimentary sequences (760–720 Ma); (4) accretion and collision of the island arc sequence; (5) intrusion of older granites (760–610 Ma); (6) exhumation and orogenic collapse (600–570 Ma); and (7) intrusion of alkalic, post-orogenic younger granites (570–474 Ma) (Stein and Goldstein, 1996; Johnson and Woldehaimanot, 2003; Meert, 2003; Stern, 2003; Fowler and Osman, 2013; Fritz et al., 2013; El-Shazly and Khalil, 2016; Hamimi et al., 2020; Fowler and Hamimi, 2020).

Most of the Arabian–Nubian crust was generated by circum-Mozambique Ocean intra-oceanic arc systems (Tadesse et al., 1999; Woldehaimanot, 2000). When the Mozambique Ocean closed, these juvenile island arc and oceanic plateau terranes were amalgamated by collision and accretion to form larger tracts of juvenile crust that probably accreted onto pre-existing juvenile continental crust (Stein and Goldstein, 1996; Stern, 2003). Continued collision produced arc–arc sutures and composite terranes that formed the juvenile Arabian–Nubian Shield (Johnson and Woldehaimanot, 2003; Fowler and Hamimi, 2020).

Convergence among the fragments of East and West Gondwana, and the resulting East African Orogeny, continued with increasingly intense deformation during the Ediacaran (630–550 Ma; Veevers, 2003). The northern East African Orogeny formed strike-slip shear zones and tectonic collapse structures in Egypt, Sudan, and northern Arabia, and generated and exhumed high-grade gneisses and a granulite complex (Abdelsalam and Stern, 1996; Fritz et al., 1996, 2002, 2013; Neumayr et al., 1996, 1998; Abd El-Wahed, 2007, 2008).

In the Eastern Desert, the NED contains serpentinites, post-orogenic younger granites (<570 Ma), the Dokhan volcanic arc rocks (602–593 Ma; Wilde and Youssef, 2000), and faults and joint sets (Hamimi et al., 2019). The CED is composed mainly of a 750–700 Ma rocks that comprises disrupted ophiolitic mélange, a relatively low-grade volcanic–volcaniclastic sequence with iron formations, shallow-water basin sediments, and high-grade metamorphic complexes. (Hamimi et al., 2019). The SED is composed

mainly of the older granites (>630 Ma), a high-grade metamorphic sedimentary sequence, and voluminous gneiss and migmatite. Deformation within the SED is dominated by folding related to thrusting, and is overprinted by regional-scale transpression (Hamimi et al., 2019). Subduction is thought to have been towards the N–NNE during the early stages of collision (e.g., Abd El-Naby and Frisch, 2002; Abdeen and Abdelghaffar, 2011; Fowler and Hamimi, 2020), based on the presence of ophiolite fragments within the suture.

2.2. Tectonic framework of the Central Eastern Desert

Thrusting related to collision, and subsequent intense strike-slip deformation affected the accreted island arc metavolcanic sequence of the CED (Loizenbauer et al., 2001; Shalaby et al., 2005; Abd El-Rahman et al., 2009; El-Shazly and Khahlil, 2016; Abd El Wahed et al., 2019; Hamimi and Abd El-Wahed, 2020). High-grade metamorphic rocks of the El-Sibai and Meatiq complexes were exhumed by 12–15 km during crustal extension at ~620–580 Ma to form gneiss dome (core) complexes (Loizenbauer et al., 2001; Hamimi et al., 2019). The complicated metavolcanic sequence was emplaced above crustal rocks (Fritz and Messner, 1999) and has been interpreted as an ophiolitic mélange (e.g., Stern et al., 2004; Ali et al., 2009; Khalil et al., 2015), or collision–accretion nappe sheets related to the Pan-African nappe complex (Bregar et al., 2002).

Intense strike-slip deformation on the Najd fault system, which extends into Saudi Arabia, overprinted earlier deformation (e.g., Johnson, 2014). The strike-slip fault system exhumed high-grade rocks to form core complexes that contain mid-crustal, amphibolite–granulite-facies rocks that record extensional deformation (Fritz et al., 1996, Loizenbauer et al., 2001). Fowler et al. (2007) suggested that the El-Sibai gneiss complex consists of folded nappe sheets rather than an exhumed mid-crustal core complex. Rocks within the gneiss complex yield ages of 685–680 Ma, which are interpreted as the timing of arc-related thrusting on an early low-angle thrust system that is preserved within the gneiss complex (Fowler et al., 2007; Augland et al., 2012). The causes of the deep crustal deformation, early accretion, and collision are debated (e.g., El-Bialy, 2019).

The El-Dabbah area also contains a well-preserved strike-slip shear zone that includes crustal-scale wrench faults. Northwest–southeast-trending strike-slip transtensional deformation affected the metamorphic gneiss complex and the Hammamat Group (Fritz et al., 1996; Fritz and Messner, 1999; Loizenbauer et al., 2001; Abd El-Wahed, 2010). This younger deformation of the crustal basement rocks and covering sediments was related to post-deformation orogenic collapse on the Najd fault system in the CED (e.g., Fritz et al., 2013).

2.3. Distribution of the Hammamat Group

The Hammamat Group was formed on the subareal sedimentary basins, which was 25 exposed area_ preserving mainly in the CED (Fowler and Osman, 2013). These are inferred to have formed in a range of tectonic settings (e.g., continental margin, transpressional, extensional rifts; Fowler and Osman, 2013). The Kareim Basin is one of the largest sedimentary basins that preserves the Hammamat Group within

the CED. Rocks of the Kareim Basin are well exposed in a synform that consists of shallowly N- and S-dipping strata in the northwest Wadi El-Dabbah area. The basin contains a coarsening-upward megasequence of ~7500 m of continuous lacustrine to coarse alluvial facies sediments (Fritz and Messner, 1999). The basin is interpreted as a tectonically controlled pull-apart basin that formed in response to strike-slip deformation on the Najd Fault system (Stern, 1985). Basin sedimentation, which constrains the timing of onset of strike-slip deformation, is thought to have been linked to movement on the NW–SE-trending Najd fault system, which caused regional deformation (e.g., the East Arabian Orogeny; Fowler and Osman, 2001; Shalaby et al., 2005; Fritz et al., 2013). However, detailed structural studies indicate that early sedimentation within the Kareim basin occurred within a subsiding basin adjacent to the exhumed core complex and associated igneous rocks (Fritz et al., 1996; Fritz and Messner, 1999). The subsiding deformation to make the Kareim basin was related to oblique convergence and transtensional deformation (Fritz et al., 1996; Fritz and Messner, 1999). However, strike-slip deformation only occurred toward the end of sediment deposition within the Kareim basin, based on observed fault offsets and the distribution of coarse grain sediments in the upper part of the sequence (Fritz and Messner, 1999).

The detrital zircon U–Pb age spectra of the NED Hammamat Group samples from Gebel Umm Tawat are characterized by primary peaks at 680 and 640 Ma and a minor peak at 585 Ma (Bezenjani et al., 2014). The ages of the primary peaks are similar to the ages of older granites related to island arc formation, and the age of the minor peak is similar to the age of the Dokhan volcanic rocks of the NED (602–593 Ma; Wilde and Youssef, 2000, 2002). In addition, sandstones of the Igla Basin near Masa Alam in the SED preserve young zircon ages (628 Ma) (Bezenjani et al., 2014). The Hammamat Group was deposited at 620–580 Ma over a wide area that included multiple basins (Shalaby et al., 2006). The Hammamat Group sediments constrain the timing of exhumation of the sediment source area and the timing of basin formation.

3. Structural interpretation of the Wadi El-Dabbah area

To identify the stratigraphy in this area, reconstructed structural frameworks should be prepared from detail mapping. Based on detailed geological maps and structural observations, we identified four geological units in the Wadi El-Dabbah area, (Figs. 2, 3): (1) a metavolcanic–volcaniclastic sequence, assigned to the El-Dabbah Group that consists of island-arc-related metavolcanic and volcaniclastic rocks (Fritz and Messner, 1999); (2) a metamorphosed subaerial sedimentary basin defined as the Atshan Formation; (3) a less-deformed terrigenous sedimentary basin (the southern Kareim basin) that is interpreted as the Hammamat Group sediments (Fritz and Messner, 1999; Wilde and Youssef, 2002); and (4) weakly deformed granite and porphyry intrusions.

The metavolcanic–volcaniclastic rocks of the El-Dabbah Group experienced lower greenschist-facies metamorphism under pressure–temperature conditions of $373^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 61^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 1.1–2.2 kbar (El-Shazly and Khalil, 2016). The rocks can be subdivided into four fault-bounded domains (Fig. 3): the northeast (NE),

southeast (SW), northwest (NW), and southwest (SW) domains. The El-Dabbah Fault is located within the N–S-trending Wadi El-Dabbah and the E–W-trending and N-dipping normal faults are subdivided into four domains. The eastern boundary of the metavolcanic–volcaniclastic sequence is a NW–SE-trending fault and the western boundary is a N–S-trending fault that separates the sequence from the Atshan Formation. The northern boundary is an unconformable contact with the overlying Hammamat Group and the southern boundary is an intrusive contact with granite (Fig. 3).

3.1. Faults

Four generations of faulting were identified in the Wadi El-Dabbah area, based on mapping and field observations (Fig. 3). The first generation, F₁, affects rocks of the El-Dabbah Group and produced thrust faults that are sub-parallel to layering and dip shallowly to the north (Fig. 4A). Asymmetric tight to isoclinal folds with sub-horizontal NW–SE- axes are preserved along the faults (Fig. 4B). Asymmetric folds shape record top-to-the-south slip. The footwalls volcaniclastic rocks, sometimes contain sub-vertical fault plane open-crack quartz veins (such as, tension gash, comb fractures), however, slickenline or stretching lineations on the fault planes are not well preserved.

The second generation of faulting, F₂, produced normal faults that dip to the NNE and form the boundaries between the NE domain and the SE domain and the NW domain and the SW domain. Some of the normal faults occur within the metavolcanic–volcaniclastic sequence (Fig. 4C, D). The fault planes are well preserved with slickenline or stretching lineations with fibers steps which features record northside down movement. The volcaniclastic beds are observed in drag-folds related to the normal faults. Moderately to intensely developed stretching fabrics occur in the footwall sequence.

Boundary between the El-Dabbah and Atshan formations (Fig. 4E) is also identified as a NW-side-down F₂ normal fault. This fault cuts the stratigraphically lower parts of the El-Dabbah Group metavolcanic–volcaniclastic sequence and the conglomerate–sandstone sequence of the Atshan Formation. A quartz porphyry that intrudes the Atshan Formation is truncated by this fault (Fig. 3).

The third generation of faulting, F₃, is represented by the El-Dabbah Fault, a N–S-striking sinistral strike-slip fault (Fig. 3). The total displacement on this fault is ~800 m, based on offsets between domain boundaries and F₂ faults of the east and west domains. It is also identified as a displacement of iron-formation marker beds with mappable asymmetric folding at Wadi El-Dabbah (Fig. 4F), and displacement of a massive hornblende–biotite granite (Fig. 4G). The north-south striking El-Dabbah Fault is not observed at the northern end of the wadi outcrops in wadi branch RW 00 (Fig. 3). This indicated the F₂ fault between the El-Dabbah and Atshan formations and at the RW 00 branch may be cut of the El-Dabbah Fault. The F₂ between the El-Dabbah and Atshan formations also preserves shallow dipping sheared lineation and may be overlapped by sinistral deformation. In this way, the F₂ between the El-Dabbah and Atshan formations may be reactivated by F₃ faulting strike-slip deformation.

The fourth generation of faulting, F₄, is represented by small normal faults in the NW domain that record 10–20 m of displacement. The unconformity between the volcanoclastic rocks of the El-Dabbah Group and the red sandstone beds of the Hammamat Group is truncated by a normal fault of this generation. Movement on this fault has displaced the unconformity by tens of meters.

3.2. Structure of the metavolcanic–volcanoclastic sequence

3.2.1. Northeast domain

The NE domain of the metavolcanic–volcanoclastic sequence is bounded by a NW–SE-trending F₂₍₃₎ strike-slip fault to the east of the Atshan Formation, and the El-Dabbah Fault to the west. The total thickness of the El-Dabbah Group in the NE domain is 600–1000 m. The strata in this domain are relatively undeformed, dip at 20°–40°, and form an open fold that plunges shallowly to the east (Fig. 3). The lowest unit within the southern and southeastern parts of the NE domain contains thick metavolcanic rocks that consist of stratified lavas and pillow lavas (Fig. 5A). A thin layer of iron formation is preserved within the volcanoclastic rocks. The middle unit occurs in the central parts of this domain and contains massive pillow lavas and massive yellow volcanoclastic sediments. The uppermost unit occurs in the northern part of this domain and consists of a bedded volcano–sedimentary sequence.

3.2.2. Southeast domain

The SE domain is bounded by the El-Dabbah Fault to the west, a WNW–ESE-trending and north-side-down F₂ normal fault to the north (Fig. 4A), and an intrusive contact with massive granite to the south. Contact metamorphic evidences of the granite intrusion are not well preserved in this area. The total thickness of the El-Dabbah Group within this domain is ~5 km. This domain is divided into a massive volcanic rock unit (>4-km-thick) and a volcanoclastic sedimentary rock unit (600–1000 m thick).

The massive volcanic rock unit consists of massive coarse basalt and foliated coarse basalt (Fig. 3). The strata in this domain commonly dip at 40°–70° towards the N and NE. This unit contains massive coarse basalts, fine dolerite and/or microgabbro (Fig. 5B). Layers within the massive volcanic rocks are commonly >40 m thick. The rocks contain brittle joints, but columnar jointing was not observed. Individual lava flows were not recognized, and we interpret this unit as a crystallized subvolcanic body or a magma chamber that formed below the volcanic rocks. This unit is the stratigraphically lowest unit of the El-Dabbah Group.

The volcanoclastic sedimentary rock unit is 600–1000 m thick and consists of a sequence of well-preserved bedded and laminated rocks that include a greenish shale that was interpreted as a volcanic tuff, iron formations (Fig. 4A, F), thick layers of metavolcanic rocks, and pillow lavas (Fig. 5C). The stratigraphy is well constrained by continuous exposure from the massive volcanic rock unit to the volcanoclastic sediment unit. The well-bedded volcanoclastic rocks and the banded iron formation (BIF) are well exposed on the eastern wadi bluff between branches RE 03 and RE 08 of Wadi El-Dabbah (Fig. 4F). The volcanoclastic sedimentary unit is more intensely foliated in this domain than in the other domains.

3.2.3. Northwest domain

The NW domain is transected by a well-preserved N-dipping F_2 normal fault and bounded to the east by the El-Dabbah Fault. The northern boundary is an unconformity between the NW domain and conglomerate and sandstone of the Hammamat Group. North-dipping normal faults occur in this domain (Fig. 4C, D). A well-stratified volcanoclastic sedimentary sequence well preserved and total thickness of is 700–1200 m. Massive greenish volcanic tuffs and pillow basalts are well preserved within this sequence. Thin iron formations, 5–10 cm thick, are preserved within thick beds of massive volcanic tuff bed. Volcanoclastic beds oriented shallowly dipping and NW- shallow plunge open folds structure (Fig. 2). Red sandstones of the Hammamat Group lie unconformably above the metavolcanic unit at topographic highs (Fig. 5D). The NE-dipping, small-scale normal faults (F_4) shows offsets of unconformity plane up to several meters.

3.2.4. Southwest domain

The SW domain is bounded to the north by a N-dipping fault (F_2), to the east by the El-Dabbah Fault (F_3), and to the west by a N–S-trending F_3 fault within the Atshan Formation, which consists of muddy sandstone and conglomerate. The southern boundary of this domain is an intrusive contact against an undeformed hornblende–biotite granite (Fig. 4G). Contact metamorphic evidences of the granite intrusion are not well preserved in this area. The strata in this domain commonly dip to the N at 40° – 60° , and stratigraphic repetition caused by faulting was observed within the continuous exposure.

The total estimated thickness of the El Dabbah Group in this domain is ~4200 m, excluding duplication by thrust faulting. Three stratigraphic units occur in this domain: massive volcanic rocks, BIF rich volcanoclastic rocks, and tuff rich volcanoclastic rocks. The massive volcanic rocks unit is ~800 m thick and comprises layers of metavolcanic rocks, microgabbros, and small amounts of volcanoclastic rocks that include thin iron-rich beds. Lowest boundary is intruded contact with granite.

The BIF rich volcanoclastic rocks unit consists of pillow lavas, bedded tuffs (Fig. 5E), black shales, iron-rich beds within the shales and BIF (Fig. 5F). Accretionary lapilli tuffs occur within the volcanoclastic layers (Fig. 5E). Isoclinal fold is preserved within the BIF (Fig. 4B), which also records thrusting sub-parallel to layering. Duplication of the stratigraphy was not observed within the thrust faults, and the unit is about 2400 m thick, based on the minimum thickness calculated from exposed stratigraphic sequences in this domain.

The tuff rich volcanoclastic unit is >1000 m thick and comprises massive basaltic lavas, pillow lavas (Fig. 5H), and thick fine bedded tuffs with minor iron-rich beds. Upper portion was divided by north dipping normal fault.

4. Stratigraphy of the Wadi El-Dabbah area

We undertook a detailed stratigraphic reconstruction of the El-Dabbah Group, Atshan Formation, and Hammamat Group in the Wadi El-Dabbah area (Figs. 3, 6).

4.1. El-Dabbah Group

The El-Dabbah Group is defined for the first time in the present study. It is a metavolcanic–volcaniclastic sequence that has been interpreted as a Pan-African nappe sequence or an accreted section of island arc material (e.g., Ali, 2009; El-Shazly and Khalil, 2016). We integrated stratigraphic data from the NE, SE, NW, and SW domains to produce the stratigraphic framework. Distinctive beds (e.g., BIF, thick basaltic pillow lava) were identified and used to correlate the strata in each domain to define the stratigraphy. The formation thicknesses are approximate and based on current exposures.

The El-Dabbah (ED) Group is divided into the Lower ED, Middle ED, and Upper ED formations (Fig. 6). The total thickness of the group is ~7500 m, stratigraphic overlap portion in each domain is shown in Fig. 6.

The massive volcanic rock unit represents the Lower ED Formation in the SE and SW domains. The total thickness is ~4000 m. This unit consists of coarse-grained massive volcanics and microgabbro interbedded with thin layers of volcaniclastic rocks and minor fine-grained dolerite. The lower boundary of this unit is poorly constrained because the lowest parts of the stratigraphy are intruded by the hornblende–biotite granite (Fig. 4G). The upper parts of the Lower ED Formation consist of thin layers of volcaniclastic rocks and iron-rich and jasper-bearing beds. The well-preserved pillow basalts and thin graded tuff beds indicate that the unit youngs towards the north.

The Middle ED Formation is represented by the ~2500-m-thick, from volcaniclastic sedimentary rock unit in the SE domain and the volcaniclastic–BIF unit of the SW domain. It contains numerous massive basaltic lavas, pillow lavas, and a metavolcanic–volcaniclastic sequence that is interbedded with fine-grained tuff beds, iron-rich beds, and BIF. This formation is subdivided into the BIF Member and the Volcaniclastic Member (Fig. 6). The BIF Member consists of basal massive lava flows, pillow lavas, and thick-bedded volcaniclastic rocks, with a number of fine-grained sedimentary sequences that include finely laminated volcanic rocks, black shales, iron-rich beds, and BIF. The volcaniclastic rocks and basaltic pillow lavas form beds that are a few meters thick (Fig. 5C, H) and occur within tuffs and BIF. The BIF includes thin jasper layers, typically 2–4 m thick, that crop out on both banks of Wadi El-Dabbah (Figs. 4F, 5G). A fine-grained mudstone section with graded bedding is preserved within the thin volcaniclastic tuff and black shales (Fig. 5F). The Volcaniclastic Member contains a thick (10–40 m) succession of volcaniclastic rocks, basaltic pillow lavas and some BIF. The well-preserved basaltic pillow lavas show flow and sag features, and horizontal lava tubes occur on one side of the pillow lavas. These structures record younging to the north. The volcaniclastic sequence contains accretionary lapilli beds that are one to three meters thick with well round 0.5 to 1 cm diameter grains with few mm thick fine material coated texture (Fig. 5E). Some BIF (less than 1m) preserved within fine volcaniclastic sequence.

The Middle ED Formation within the SW domain is at least three times thicker than the comparable volcaniclastic sedimentary unit of the SE domain (600–1000 m). This difference in thickness might be related to late-stage normal faulting (see below).

The Upper ED Formation is represented by the volcanic lava–volcaniclastic unit of the SW domain and the metavolcanic–volcaniclastic sequence of the NE and NW domains. The total thickness is at least 1000 m, and the formation comprises volcaniclastic sediments, minor basaltic pillow lavas, and extensively reworked cross-stratified volcaniclastic rocks. Accretionary lapilli tuffs are partly preserved within greenish volcaniclastic sediments. Thin iron-rich beds and black shales are intercalated with greenish volcaniclastic rocks and basaltic pillow lavas (Fig. 5C). The upper boundary of the formation is defined by the unconformity below the Hammamat Group (Fig. 5D). Fault contacts with the Atshan Formation are also observed.

4.2. Atshan Formation

The Atshan Formation occurs to the east and west of the El-Dabbah Group, and in the Wadi Atshan area. This formation is bounded by faults and is weakly to intensely foliated and deformed.

To the west of the SW domain of the El-Dabbah Group, the Atshan Formation comprises a muddy matrix-supported conglomerate with cross-bedded and laminated sandstone (Fig. 7A). The conglomerate comprises angular–subangular clasts of greenish and black volcanic rocks in a mud-rich matrix (Fig. 7B). Vesicular volcaniclastic breccias occur within the conglomerate. The conglomerate and sandstone unconformably overlie steeply dipping metavolcanic rocks of the El-Dabbah Group and are cut by a basaltic dike with an irregular contact (Fig. 7A). The Atshan Formation is unconformably overlain by well-sorted sandstones and conglomerates of the Hammamat Group on flat hilltops.

Well-preserved bedded sandstones containing mud drapes and well-sorted laminated sandstones with layers of rounded pebbles are exposed in cliff sections within Wadi Atshan, 2 km north of Wadi El-Dabbah (Fig. 7C–E). This sequence is intensely folded in places and cut by normal faults (Fig. 7C). The sandstone sequence is unconformably overlain in places (e.g., the middle of the cliff section) by muddy conglomerates of the basal Hammamat Group (Fig. 7C, E).

Northeast of the NE domain of the El-Dabbah Group, the Atshan Formation comprises well-bedded sandstone containing mud drapes, rhythmically laminated sandstones and mudstones interpreted as varves, beds of well-rounded pebbles, and debris flow sediments with a muddy matrix (Fig. 7F, G). This portion identified as lacustrine condition. The total thickness of the Atshan Formation is ~1000 m, and the rocks are folded and dip steeply (Fig. 7F). The Atshan Formation is intruded by a quartz porphyry that is strongly foliated cut by strike-slip related normal fault (F₂ and F₃) that also cuts the NW and the SW domains of the El-Dabbah Group. The intense folding, shearing, and poor exposure prevented a detailed evaluation of the stratigraphy of the Atshan Formation.

4.3. Hammamat Group

The Hammamat Group occurs on the southeastern margin of the Kareim basin in the Wadi El-Dabbah area, where it is represented by a red fluvial–lacustrine sedimentary sequence (Fig. 7H) that unconformably overlies the El-Dabbah and Atshan formations. A well-preserved unconformity between the Hammamat and El-Dabbah groups occurs within hilltops of the NW domain of the El-Dabbah Group.

The basal parts of the Hammamat Group are dominated by coarse sandstones with quartz pebbles that occur within a few meters of the base. Thick red–purple shales and sandstones occur above the basal sequence. The sandstone sequence is tilted northward by small-scale normal faults (F₄) in the NW domain. The red and purple shales show a strongly developed fold-related cleavage.

5. Uranium–lead zircon geochronology

Zircon U–Pb dating of the Hammamat Group basal sandstone (Fig. 5D), a quartz porphyry intrusion, and the hornblende–biotite granite (Fig. 4G) was used to constrain the relative ages of sedimentation, fault movement, and magmatism in the Wadi El-Dabbah area.

The Hammamat Group was deposited after the Atshan and El-Dabbah groups were deformed. The quartz porphyry intruded the Atshan Formation along a strike-slip normal fault (F₂ and F₃) that cuts the El-Dabbah Group, so the age of the porphyry constrains timing of the Atshan Formation sedimentation and strike-slip normal fault movement (Fig. 4E). The hornblende–biotite granite (Fig. 4G) intruded the southern margin of the El-Dabbah Group and constrains the age of granitic magmatism and the El-Dabbah Fault (F₃).

5.1. Analytical methods

The samples were cleaned with deionized water in an ultrasonic bath for >5 min, and then disaggregated using a high-voltage pulse–power fragmentation device (SELFRAG Lab) at the National Institute of Polar Research (NIPR), Japan, which eliminates contamination during crushing and pulverizing. The zircon grains were concentrated using conventional mineral separation techniques, including heavy liquid separation with methylene iodide and magnetic separation. The zircon grains were handpicked and we ensured that the selected grains were representative of the zircon population. Approximately 200 zircon grains were mounted within an epoxy resin disc along with the reference material. After curing, the disc was polished to expose cross-sections through the grain centers and coated with carbon for observation. Back-scattered electron (BSE) and cathodoluminescence (CL) images were obtained using a JEOL JSM-5900LV scanning electron microscope (SEM) with a Gatan mini CL detector at the NIPR, and used to characterize the internal zoning and identify suitable analysis sites within each zircon grain. Subsurface mineral inclusions and cracks were identified using an optical microscope under transmitted light. Prior to analysis by sensitive high-resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP-II), the surface of the grain mount was washed with dilute HCl and ultrapure water, and coated with gold. After SHRIMP analysis, the grain mounts were coated with carbon and true-color CL images were obtained using a Gatan ChromaCL2 with a field-emission SEM (JEOL JSM-7100F) at NIPR, using an electron beam current of ~2.7 nA and acceleration voltage of 3 kV.

The U–Pb dating was undertaken using a SHRIMP-II ion microprobe at NIPR. An O₂[−] primary ion beam of 3.2–5.2 nA was used to sputter analytical spots of ~25 μm diameter on each polished zircon grain. The procedures for Pb and U isotope analyses follow those of Horie et al. (2013) and references

therein. In this study, TEMORA2 ($^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age = 416.78 ± 0.33 Ma; Black et al., 2004) and SL13 (U = 238 ppm; Claoué-Long et al., 1995) were used as reference zircons to calibrate the $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios and U concentrations, respectively. Zircon standards FC1 (1099 Ma; Paces and Miller, 1993) and OG1 ($^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age = 3465 Ma; Stern et al., 2009) were also analyzed periodically with TEMORA2 to monitor the U/Pb signal and instrumental mass fractionation. The U–Pb data were reduced following the procedures of Williams (1998), using the SQUID2 Microsoft Excel macro developed by Ludwig (2009). Common Pb was corrected using measured ^{204}Pb and the model of common Pb of Stacey and Kramers (1975). The ages were calculated after correction for common Pb using the Isoplot/Ex software 3.76 (Ludwig, 2012). The analytical uncertainties are one standard deviation (1σ), and include uncertainties related to measurement and common Pb correction.

5.2. Uranium–lead zircon dating results

5.2.1. Hammamat Group

Sample 15-0320-06 is a pale purple quartz sandstone of the Hammamat Group. The sandstone is well sorted, and is typically separated from the underlying metavolcanic rocks of the El-Dabbah Group by an unconformity. The beds are weakly deformed and have a single cleavage. The sample was taken several meters above the basal unconformity. One hundred and forty-five randomly selected detrital zircon grains yielded ages of 1100–640 Ma, with a high proportion of younger ages (650–640 Ma) (Fig. 8A-1, A-2). Three Archean grains (~2660 Ma) and one Mesoproterozoic grain (1870 Ma) were identified. The zircon age distribution shows five main peaks at ~838, ~784, ~745, ~680, and ~650 Ma. The age peak at ~680 Ma is the largest, followed by those at ~640 and ~650 Ma. This U-Pb zircon age data is deferred to Section 7.

5.2.2. Ages of the intrusions

Samples 16-0320-52 (Figs. 3, 4E) and 16-0320-53 (Fig. 3) are from the quartz porphyry that intrudes the Atshan Formation within the NW domain. Zircons from sample 16-0320-52 yielded a mean age of 659.6 ± 3.0 Ma (Fig. 8C-1, C-2) and sample 16-0320-53 yielded two age peaks at ~650 and ~680 Ma (Fig. 8B-1, B-2). These ages constrain the timing of the sedimentation of the Atshan Formation.

Sample 16-0318-45 is from a hornblende–biotite granite on the southern margin of the SW domain (Figs. 3, 4G). The granite is massive, homogeneous, lacks a strong foliation (Khudeir et al., 1995), and was deformed by the El-Dabbah Fault (Fig. 2). Zircons from the hornblende–biotite granite yielded a mean age of 638.1 ± 2.9 Ma (Fig. 8D-1, D-2). This U-Pb zircon age data is deferred to Section 7.

6. Sedimentary environment

A reconstruction of the sedimentary environment that formed the metavolcanic–volcaniclastic sequence in the CED indicates that the rocks formed in an island arc setting, based on the geochemistry of the volcaniclastic rocks and granites, and the presence of ophiolitic material (e.g., El-Bialy, 2019). A number of different tectonic models have been proposed to account for the north-directed subduction,

based on the characteristics of the ophiolitic material and the high-grade metamorphic complex (e.g., Loizenbauer et al. 2001; Abd El-Naby and Frisch, 2002). The rare earth element concentrations and Ti/V values are consistent with an island arc, MORB, back-arc (Ahmed et al., 2001; Farahat, 2010), or forearc setting (Abd El-Rahman et al., 2009). The composition of relict Cr-spinel in the serpentinized mantle peridotites, the high Cr contents, and high values of Mg/(Mg + Fe) in olivine indicate that the peridotites are highly depleted residual mantle, consistent with a forearc setting (Azer and Stern, 2007; Khalla and Azer, 2007).

The tectonic setting and stratigraphic characteristics of the volcano-sedimentary sequences are not well understood because these rocks are strongly deformed in many areas, so they could not be used to constrain the tectonic models. In contrast, the El-Dabbah Group is relatively low-grade and weakly deformed, so it might provide useful constraints on this sequence, which is inferred to have formed at the margins of an island arc.

In our stratigraphic reconstruction, the El-Dabbah Group is constrained by the following observations. 1) There is a total thickness of >5 km of volcanic–volcaniclastic rocks. 2) The grain size decreases upward through the sequence. The Lower ED Formation contain thick massive lavas and pillow lavas with some dolerites; the Middle ED Formation contains pillow lavas, volcaniclastic rocks, iron-rich beds that record hydrothermal activity, and accretionary lapilli. The Upper ED Formation contains altered fine-grained volcaniclastic rocks and some lava flows. This sequence is typical of the sequence of sediments deposited proximal to island arc volcanoes. The multiple pillow lavas and eruptive accretionary lapilli tuffs indicate that sedimentation occurred close to an active submarine or subaerial volcano. The iron-rich sediments, BIF, and diamictite beds within the CED volcaniclastic sequence formed during the Sturtian glaciation, and record Snowball Earth conditions (Ali et al., 2010; Stern et al., 2013; Stern, 2017). Diamictites were not observed in association with the BIF of the El-Dabbah Formation within the study area. The combination of thick layers of volcaniclastic rocks, pillow basalts, and beds of precipitated iron-rich material record hydrothermal activity and proximal volcanic activity. The proportion of material associated with island arc volcanism decreases within the upper unit. Previous dating of the volcaniclastic and metamorphic rocks of the CED indicates that island arc accretion (D₁) occurred at ~700–680 Ma (Ali et al., 2009; El-Shazly and Khalil, 2016). After volcanism, the El-Dabbah Group was exhumed during collision and thrusting, and the Atshan Formation was deposited before 660 Ma.

The Izu–Bonin–Mariana island arc provides a well-characterized example of a typical sedimentary sequence formed within a modern oceanic island arc setting, where the record of sedimentation extends back to 29 Ma in the Mariana arc and 27 Ma in the Izu–Bonin arc (e.g., Taylor, 1992; Taira et al., 1998; Ishizuka et al. 2006). Four sedimentary environments have been identified in oceanic island arc systems: trench slope (accretionary prism), forearc basin, central rift zone (e.g., Sumisu Rift; Taylor et al., 1991), and back-arc basin. Detailed seismic imaging and ODP drilling (Legs 125 and 126; Taylor et al., 1991) of these sedimentary basins of oceanic island arc system have revealed the following sedimentary features

(e.g., Taylor, 1992). Mounds of serpentinite occur on the trench slope, and these have been interpreted as forearc ophiolites. The sediments are not thick or coarse-grained, because the forearc basin traps most of the sediment derived from the oceanic island arc. The trench slope in the oceanic island arc is further in typical continental or subaerial island arcs.

The forearc basin contains a 1500-m-thick sequence of pumiceous gravels and sandstones that overlie a >200-m-thick pyroclastic sequence with andesitic lavas (ODP Leg 126, Site 793; Taylor, et al. 1992). The cyclic volcanoclastics within this basin record front- and back-arc volcanism and hydrothermal circulation (Taylor, 1992). In general, forearc basins are likely to contain mostly volcanoclastic sedimentary rocks with minor lava and intrusions.

The central rift zone is well preserved in the northern section of the Izu–Bonin arc (e.g., Smith rift; Taylor et al., 1991; Taira et al., 1998). It formed close to the volcanic front and is inferred to record the syn-rift stage of back-arc basin formation. An 800-m-thick volcano–sedimentary sequence lies above 300 m of thick basaltic lavas that include lapilli tuffs (ODP 126, Site 791; Taylor 1992). More intense periods of volcanic activity produced pumice gravels and sands that are preserved within silt- and clay-dominated hemipelagic sediment that were deposited during quiescent periods (e.g., 400 kyr, Smith rift). Hydrothermal circulation in the central rift zone is more intense than at other sites. Parts of the Smith rift basin yield heat fluxes as high as 397 mW m⁻², compared with 13–27 mW m⁻² fore arc and back arc basins (Yamazaki, 1988; Taylor, 1992).

Back arc basins are well developed with the Shikoku and Mariana basins. The sediments resemble those of the central rift zone sequence during the initial stages of spreading. However, the depth of sedimentation increases after spreading is established, and hemipelagic–pelagic fine-grained sediments are deposited.

The El-Dabbah Group is most similar to the sediments of the central rift zone, based on the following observations: (1) a very thick volcano-sedimentary sequence records long-lived volcanic activity and subsidence during volcanism; (2) continuous cyclic volcanic lava flow sequences with fine-grained volcanic tuffs and thick accretionary lapilli indicate that sedimentation occurred very close to the volcanic front; and (3) the BIF within the Middle ED Formation might record hydrothermal activity within a rift valley environment. In this way, total >5 km of volcanic–volcanoclastic rocks sequence may be identified crustal section of the central rift zone of oceanic island arc succession.

7. Tectonic reconstruction

We used our new detailed observations of geological relationships and age data to reconstruct the geological and tectonic history of the El-Dabbah area, including the stratigraphy and deformation of the accreted island arc sequence. We identified four fault systems (F₁ to F₄) and three sedimentary sequences (El-Dabbah Group, Atshan Formation, and Hammamat Group) in the Wadi El-Dabbah area. We used the new zircon U–Pb age data and the reported sedimentation age of iron formation (Khalil et al., 2015; Abd

El-Rahaman et al., 2019) to recognize three stages of sedimentation (Sed 1–3), quartz porphyry and granitic magmatism, and three phases of deformation (D_1 – D_3) (Fig. 9).

7.1. Stage 1

Stage 1 comprises a island arc-related volcanic sedimentation (Sed 1) and a island arc accretion (D_1). Sed 1 is recorded by the volcano–sedimentary sequence, which is inferred to have formed in an island arc setting. The island arc accretion (D_1) is recorded by thrust faulting (F_1) that caused stacking of the metavolcanic rocks and lower greenschist-facies metamorphism. This involved oblique thrusting during NW–SE-directed convergence at ~690–670 Ma (Fritz et al., 1996; Augland et al., 2012; El-Shazly and Khalil, 2016). The El-Sibai gneiss complex, which lies to the south of the El-Dabbah area, records NW-ward displacement on extension shear zones. The early stages of deformation are well preserved and involved the formation of low-angle ductile shear zones and mylonites (Fowler et al., 2007; Mohamed and El-Wahed, 2008). The accretion is also represented by the ophiolitic rocks, which were emplaced during the early stages of deformation and record NNW–SSE shortening that formed imbricate structures and a thrust nappe in the gneiss complex (Bregar et al., 2002; Mohamed and El-Wahed, 2008). After this deformation, NE-directed thrusting caused ENE–WSW compression and NE–SW oriented shortening (Bregar et al., 2002; Mohamed and El-Wahed, 2008).

In the El-Dabbah area, layer-parallel shear zones that contain asymmetric folds and record top-to-the-south shearing small scale thrust faults are partially identified, but the stratigraphy of the volcanoclastic sequence is still relatively well preserved. The lower boundary of the autochthon within this volcanoclastic sequence is not preserved. However, a top-to-the-south displacement is inferred from asymmetric folds and shear zones within NNE-dipping beds, consistent with movement of the footwall (southern domain) toward the NNE. These structures record an opposite sense of movement to the F_2 normal faults. Mohamed and El-Wahed (2008) also reported NNW–SSE shortening followed by NE–SW compressional deformation related to NE-directed thrusting in the El-Dabbah area. This structure might be related the NE-SW compressional deformation with NE dipping subduction sense.

7.2. Stage 2

Stage 2 involved the intrusion of granites, regional sedimentation in a transtensional setting, and deformation related to continental stabilization after island arc accretional deformation. In the area around the El-Sibai gneiss complex, NW–SE-trending extension formed low-angle normal ductile shear zones and mylonites after the early stages of nappe formation (Fowler et al., 2007; Mohamed and El-Wahed, 2010). This extension is roughly contemporary to NW–SE-oriented strike-slip shearing during the early stages of movement on the Najd fault system at 660–580 Ma.

Stage 2 is subdivided three events: (1) sedimentation of the Atshan Formation (Sed 2), (2) intrusion of porphyritic granites, and (3) NNE–SSE-oriented extension (D_2). The first event involved sedimentation of the Ashtan Formation (Sed 2) in a shallow water setting. This formation includes debris flows, conglomerates, angular volcanic pebbles within a muddy matrix, and fluvial–lacustrine sediments. We

infer that these rocks were deposited in a subaerial sedimentary basin proximal to mountains that were undergoing active uplift and erosion. The Sed 2 event might record the early stages of crustal stabilization after island arc accretion, in which case the sedimentary basin can be thought of as a collision-related molasse basin that formed during the mountain building phase, at the same time as transpressional deformation within the El-Sibai shear zone.

In the second event, quartz porphyry intruded in the Atshan Formation along the NW domain at 660–650 Ma. Deposition of the Atshan Formation is constrained to ~680–660 Ma, and the granites intruded the SE and SW domains at ~630 Ma.

The third event, D₂, involved two types of faulting, F₂ and F₃. The F₂ normal faulting is represented in the El-Dabbah area by a N- to NNE-dipping normal fault. Faults that formed during this event trend WNW–ESE and divide the El-Dabbah Group into northern and southern domains. The strike of the F₂ faults is sub-parallel to the stretching direction recorded by the El-Sibai gneiss complex (Fig. 3).

The boundary between the NE domain of the El-Dabbah Group and the Atshan Formation is a NNE side-down normal F₂ fault that records overlapping sinistral shearing on northwest trend which might be affected later stage strike-slip deformation. A weakly-foliated quartz porphyry (659.6 ± 3.0 Ma) intrudes the boundary and is considered to be syn-deformation. Therefore, the regional silicic magmatism is related to crustal extension at ~660–638 Ma (e.g., Johnson et al., 2011).

The El-Dabbah Fault (F₃) is a sinistral displacement on north-south fault that runs through the Wadi El-Dabbah. It cuts the hornblende–biotite granite (638 ± 2.9 Ma) and joins the F₂ boundary fault that separates the El-Dabbah and Atshan formations. The N–S orientation might record transtension during the extension recorded by the El-Sibai gneiss complex. We infer that the El-Dabbah Fault (F₃) and the NNE side down normal faults (F₂) are transtensional transfer faults that formed while the El-Sibai shear zone was active, and prior to sedimentation of the Hammamat Group (Fig. 10).

It is plausible that the different stratigraphic thickness of the Middle ED Formation within the SW and SE domains is related to extensional normal faulting (F₂) and sinistral movement on the El-Dabbah Fault (F₃). Extension related to the normal faulting is more pronounced in the NE and SE domains than in the NW and SW domains (Fig. 10). The south end of the SE domain is situated to the north of the El-Sibai gneiss complex and is more strongly foliated than the SW domain. Therefore, it might represent deeper parts of the crust than the SW domain. If the SE domain experienced more exhumation than the SW domain, then truncation of normal faults within the middle of the Middle ED Formation might explain why the Middle ED Formation is thinner in the SE domain than in the SW domain (Fig. 10).

These regional transtensional deformation at ~638–600 Ma was associated with early activity on the El-Sibai shear zone and might have been related to movement on the regional Najd fault system elsewhere on the Arabian shield (Fig. 1; Fritz et al., 1996; Abd El-Wahed et al., 2007, 2008, 2010; Fowler et al., 2007). The regional transtensional deformation might have been related to crustal thickening

associated with granitic intrusion in the East African Orogeny (e.g., Stern, 1985; Shalaby et al., 2006; Abd El-Wahed, 2010, Fritz et al., 2013).

7.3. Stage 3

Stage 3 can be divided into two sub-stages: Hammamat Group sedimentation (Sed 3) and transtentional deformation (D_3). Sed 3 in the El-Dabbah area involved the formation of the ~12 - kilometer-wide Kareim basin, which preserves a >8000-m-thick section of the subaerial Hammamat Group sediments (Fritz et al., 1996). The Kareim basin experienced two periods of deformation (Abd El-Wahed, 2007). The first period involved the formation of NW and SE sides down -normal faults in response to orogen-parallel extension and the generation of granitic magmas intruded in the Sibai metamorphic complex at $\sim 650 \pm 10$ Ma (Fritz and Messner, 1999; Abd El-Wahed, 2007). The second period involved regional sinistral NW–SE-oriented shearing on the Najd fault system, and the development of a pull-apart regime in response to NNW–SSE-oriented tensional stress. Pebbles sequence within the conglomerates are identified at the upper part of the sequence which source come from the strike-slip transpressional mountain building event (Fritz and Messner, 1999, Mohamed and El-Wahed, 2010).

In the El Dabbah area, the Hammamat Group occurs on hilltops and above a well-preserved unconformity. Sandstones with occasional pebbles commonly occur on the contact. The absence of a thick basal conglomerate indicates that this group might have formed toward the center of the sedimentary basin.

The D_3 is represented by NNE-side-down normal faults (F_4) within the NE and NW domains. The F_4 faults are ten-meter-scale displacement with the Hammamat Group, recording NNE-SSW extension. The F_4 faults formed during the first period of deformation that is recorded on the southern margin of the Kareim basin (Fritz and Messner, 1999, Mohamed and El-Wahed, 2010). Strong NW–SE-trending strike-slip deformation was reported the north side of the Hammamat Basin and the El-Sibai shear zone (Fritz and Messner, 1999). F_4 faults may be related as transtension deformation of this strike-slip deformation.

The detrital zircon U–Pb age spectra of sandstones from the lower parts of the Hammamat Group in the El-Dabbah area show a peak at 680 Ma and minimum ages of 650–640 Ma. These ages are similar to the crystallization age of the quartz porphyry that intrudes the Atshan Formation (660–650 Ma). Therefore, the Hammamat Group was deposited after intrusion of the quartz porphyry (660–650 Ma). Detrital zircon age spectra of other Hammamat Group samples also show peaks at 680 and 650 Ma (Wilde and Youssef, 2002), and detrital zircons from samples of the Hammamat Group from the North Eastern Desert yield a mean age of 585 ± 13 Ma, consistent with a Dokhan volcanic rock source (Wilde and Youssef, 2002). However, the sample from the basal sequence exposed in the southern Kareim basin does not yield 585 Ma zircons. Therefore, it is likely that 680 and 650 Ma granitic rocks were exposed in the Eastern Desert, that these rocks were not affected by the Dokhan volcanic activity, and that deposition of the Hammamat Group began at ~620–590 Ma in this area. The D_3 sub-stage might be equivalent to the

syn-sedimentary deformation related to the formation of the Kareim basin that occurred after sedimentation of the Hammamat Group and after ~580 Ma.

In our reconstruction, strike-slip deformation continued for an extended period subsequent to accretion of the island arc sequence. The Atshan Formation was deposited within a collision-related transpressional strike-slip sedimentary basin, and the Hammamat Group was deposited in a continental strike-slip basin formed by continuous strike-slip transtentional deformation, which might have been related to orogenic collapse after the East African Orogeny (Fig. 9; Stern and Johnson, 2010; Johnson et al., 2011).

8. Conclusions

- 1) Three stratigraphic units were defined in the Wadi El-Dabbah area, based on detailed mapping: the El-Dabbah Group (~770–700 Ma), the Atshan Formation (~680–650 Ma), and the Hammamat Group (~620–580 Ma). The hornblende–biotite granite intruded the El-Dabbah Group at 638 Ma and the quartz porphyry intruded the Atshan Formation at 650–640 Ma.
- 2) The El-Dabbah Group consists of >7500 m of well-preserved volcano-sedimentary rocks. The group was divided into three formations. The Lower ED Formation consists of massive coarse-grained metavolcanic rocks and thin volcanoclastic sequences. The Middle ED Formation comprises basaltic massive rocks, pillow lavas, and volcanoclastic rocks, with thinly bedded iron-rich beds and greenish-black shales. The Upper ED Formation consists of a fine volcanoclastic sedimentary sequence. The very thick volcanoclastic sequences, the fining upward and massive volcanics to fine volcanoclastic stratigraphy are identified as this sequence are formed a shallow portion of crustal section of an intra arc central rift zone associated with an oceanic island arc.
- 3) The Atshan Formation consists of subaerially deposited sedimentary rocks, including debris flow conglomerates and a sandstone and shale sequence with lacustrine varves. Deposition occurred prior to deposition of the Hammamat Group. This formation may have been deposited as a collision-related sedimentary basin that formed during the mountain building phase transpressional deformation.
- 4) Three stages of sedimentation and deformation were recognized, based on structural observations, stratigraphic relationships, and the ages of the granitic intrusions.

Stage 1 was characterized by the El-Dabbah Group deposited within island arc-related volcano-sedimentary sequence (Sed 1) and it affected thrust deformation (D_1). This stage was related to sedimentation and accretion of the oceanic island arc. The El-Dabbah Group was affected by top-to-the-south low angle thrusting during accretion.

Stage 2 involved sedimentation of the Atshan Formation and deformation with NW–SE-trending transtension, N-dipping normal faulting (F_2), and N–S-trending strike-slip faulting (F_3) which related the El Sibai shear zone. This stage was associated with movement on the Najd

676 fault system elsewhere on the Arabian Shield and regional deformation. Deformation was also
677 associated with exhumation of high-grade mid-crustal core complexes and plutonic rocks.
678 Depositional timing of the Atshan Formation are early stages of crustal stabilization during the
679 collisional stages of the East African Orogeny, which involved oblique transpressional
680 convergence of the northern parts of east and west Gondwana.

681 Stage 3 involved sedimentation of the Hammamat Basin and transtensional deformation of
682 strike-slip deformation of continuous active of the El Sibai shear zone. This stage might have
683 been related to orogenic collapse after the East African Orogeny.
684

685 **Acknowledgements**

686 This study was supported by Grants-in-Aid from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports,
687 Science, and Technology (22253008 and 26257211). We thank you to Abd El-Mahed, H. Fritz and A.
688 Kamal for very detail reviews and helpful comment to this paper. We acknowledge the assistance of the
689 2016 short term research dispatch–invite program of Kyushu University, Japan, and the Kochi Core
690 Center, Japan. This study was performed in collaboration with the Center for Advanced Marine Core
691 Research (CMCR) of Kochi University, Japan (13A002, 13B002, 14A009, 14B007, 15A050, 15A045,
692 16A038, 16B034, 17A002, and 17B002) and the research program of Menoufiya University, Egypt.
693

References

- Abdeen, M.M., and Abdelghaffar, A.A., 2011. Syn- and post-accretionary structures in the Neoproterozoic Central Allaqi-Heiani suture zone, Southeastern Egypt. *Precambrian. Research*, 185, 95–108.
- Abd El-Naby, H.H., and Frisch, W., 2002. Origin of the Wadi Haimur–Abu Swayel gneiss belt, south Eastern Desert, Egypt: petrological and geochronological constraints. *Precambrian. Research*, 113, 307–322.
- Abd El-Rahman, Y., Polat, A., Dilek, Y., Fryer, B.J., El-Sharkawy, M., Sakran, S., 2009. Geochemistry and tectonic evolution of the Neoproterozoic incipient arc-fore-arc crust in the Fawakhir area, Central Eastern Desert of Egypt. *Precambrian Research*, 175, 116–134.
- Abd El-Rahman, Y., Gutzmer, J., Li, X.H., Seifert T., Li, C.F., Ling, X.X., Li, J., 2019. Not all Neoproterozoic iron formations are glaciogenic: Sturtian-aged non-Rapitan exhalative iron formations from the Arabian–Nubian Shield. *Mineralium Deposita*. 1–20, Online. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00126-019-00898-0>
- Abd El-Wahed, M.A., 2007. Pan-African strike-slip tectonics of Wadi El-Dabbah area, north Sibai Core Complex, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt. *Annals of the Egyptian Geological Survey*, 29, 1–36.
- Abd El-Wahed, M.A., 2008. Thrusting and transpressional shearing in the Pan-African nappe southwest El-Sibai core complex, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 50, 16–36.
- Abd El-Wahed, M.A., 2010. The role of the Najd Fault System in the tectonic evolution of the Hammamat molasse sediments, Eastern Desert, Egypt. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 3, 1–26.
- Abd El-Wahed, M.A., Lebda, E., Ali, A., Kamh, S., and Attia, M., 2019. The structural geometry and metamorphic evolution of the Umm Gheig shear belt, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt: Implications for exhumation of Sibai Core Complex during oblique transpression. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences* 12: 764.
- Abdelsalam, M.G., and Stern, R.J., 1996. Sutures and shear zones in the Arabian-Nubian shield. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 23, 289–310.
- Ali, K.A., Stern, R.J., Manton, W.I., Kimura, J.-I., Khamees, H.A., 2009. Geochemistry, Nd isotopes and U-Pb SHRIMP zircon dating of Neoproterozoic volcanic rocks from the Central Eastern Desert of Egypt: new insights into the ~750 Ma crust-forming event. *Precambrian. Research*, 171, 1–22.
- Ali, K.A., Stern, R.J., Manton, W.I., Johnson, P.R., Mukherjee, S.K., 2010. The Neo-proterozoic Atud Diamictite of the Eastern Desert of Egypt and Northern Saudi Arabia: evidence of ~750 Ma glaciation in the Arabian-Nubian Shield?, *International Journal of Earth Sciences* 99, 705–726.
- Ahmed, A.H., Arai, S., Attia, A.K., 2001. Petrological characteristics of podiform chromitites and associated peridotites of the Pan African ophiolite complexes of Egypt. *Mineralium Deposita*, 36, 72–84.

Augland, L.E., Andresen, A., Boghdady, G.Y., 2012. U-Pb ID-TIMS dating of igneous and metaigneous rocks from the El-Sibai area: time constraints on the tectonic evolution of the Central Eastern Desert, Egypt. *International Journal Earth Science*, 101, 25–37.

Azer, M.K., Stern, R.J., 2007. Neoproterozoic (835–720 Ma) serpentinites in the Eastern Desert, Egypt: fragments of fore-arc mantle. *Journal of Geology*, 115, 457–472.

Basta, F.F., Maurice, A.E., Fontbote L., Favarger P.Y., 2011. Petrology and geochemistry of the banded iron formation (BIF) of Wadi Kareim and Um Anab, Eastern Desert, Egypt: Implications for the origin of Neoproterozoic BIF. *Precambrian. Research*, 187, 277–292.

Bezrnjani R.N., Pease V., Whitehouse M.J., Shalaby M.H., Kadi K.A., Kozdroj W., 2014. Detrital zircon geochronology and provenance of the Neoproterozoic Hammamat Group (Igla Basin), Egypt and the Thalbah Group, NW Saudi Arabia: Implications for regional collision tectonics. *Precambrian. Research*, 245, 225–243.

Black L.P., Kamo S.L., Allen C.M., Davis D.W., Aleinikoff J.N., Valley J.W., Mundil R., Campbell I.H., Korsch R.J., Williams I.S., Foudoulis C., 2004. Improved ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U microprobe geochronology by the monitoring of a trace-element-related matrix effect; SHRIMP, ID-TIMS, ELA-ICP-MS and oxygen isotope documentation for a series of zircon standards. *Chemical Geology*, 205, 115–140.

Bregar, M., Bauernhofer, A., Pelz, K., Kloetzli, U., Fritz, H., Neumayr, P., 2002. A late Neoproterozoic magmatic core complex in the Eastern Desert of Egypt; emplacement of granitoids in a wrench-tectonic setting. *Precambrian. Research*, 118, 59–82.

Cawood, P.A., 2005. Terra Australis Orogeny: Rodinia breakup and development of the Pacific and Iapetus margins of Gondwana during the Neoproterozoic and Paleozoic. *Earth Science Reviews*. 69, 249–279.

Claoué-Long, J.C., Compston, W., Roberts, J., Fanning, C.M., 1995. Two Carboniferous ages: a comparison of SHRIMP zircon dating with conventional zircon ages and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar analysis. In: Berggren, W.A., Kent, D.V., Aubrey, M.P., Hardenbol, J. (Eds.), *Geochronology, Time Scales and Global Stratigraphic Correlation*. Society for Sedimentary Geology Special Publication, 54, 3–21.

EGSMA (Egyptian Geological Survey and Mining Authority), 1996. Geological map of Wadi Jabjahah Quadrangle, Egypt, Scale 1:250.000. Egyptian Geological Survey and Mining Authority, Cairo, Egypt

EGSMA, 2002. Geological Map of Marsa Shaab Quadrangle, Egypt at Scale 1:250,000. Egyptian Geological Survey and Mining Authority, Cairo, Egypt

El-Bialy, M.Z., 2019. Precambrian basement complex of Egypt. In: Hamimi Z., El-Barkooky A., Frias J.M., Fritz H., El-Rahman A.E., (Eds), *The geology of Egypt*, 38-80, Springer Nature, Switzerland.

El-Gaby, S. El-Nady O., Khudeir A., 1984. Tectonic evolution of the basement complex in the central eastern desert of Egypt. *International Journal of Earth Sciences, Geologische Rundschau*, 73, [3](#), 1019–1036.

El-Ramly, M. F., 1972. A new geological map for the basement rocks in the Eastern and Southwestern Deserts of Egypt. Annual report of Geological Survey Egypt, 2, 1–18.

El-Shazly A.K., and Khalil K.I., 2016. Metamorphic and geochronologic constraints on the tectonic evolution of the Central Eastern Desert of Egypt. *Precambrian. Research*, 283, 144–168.

Farahat E.S., 2010. Neoproterozoic arc–back-arc system in the Central Eastern Desert of Egypt: evidence from supra-subduction zone ophiolites. *Lithos*, 120, 293–308.

Fowler, T. J., Osman, A. F., 2001. Gneiss-cored interference dome associated with two phases of late Pan-African thrusting in the central Eastern Desert, Egypt. *Precambrian. Research*, 108, 1-2, 17–43.

Fowler, A.R., Khamees, H., Dowidar, H., 2007. El Sibai gneissic complex, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt: folded nappes and syn-kinematic gneissic granitoid sheets -not a core complex. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 49, 4, 119–135.

Fowler, T. J., and Osman, A. F., 2013. Sedimentation and inversion history of three molasse basins of the western Central Eastern Desert of Egypt: Implications for the tectonic significance of Hammamat basins. *Gondwana Research*. 23, 1511–1534.

Fowler, A.R., and Hamimi, Z., 2020. Structural and Tectonic Frame work of Neoproterozoic Basement of Egypt: From Gneiss Dome to Transpression Belts. In: Hamimi Z., El-Barkooky A., Frias J.M., Fritz H., El-Rahman A.E., (Eds), *The Geology of Egypt, Regional Geology Reviews*, 81–123, Springer Nature, Switzerland.

Fritz, H., Wallbrecher, E., Khudier, A.A., Abu El-Ela, F., Dallmeyer, R.D., 1996. Formation of Neoproterozoic metamorphic core complexes during oblique convergence, Eastern Desert, Egypt. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 23, 311–329.

Fritz H., and Messner M., 1999. Intramontane basin formation during oblique convergence in the Eastern Desert of Egypt: magmatically versus tectonically induced subsidence. *Tectonophysics*, 315, 145–162.

Fritz H., Dallmeyer D.R., Wallbrecher E, Loizenbauer J, Hoinkes G., Neumayr P., Khudeir A.A., 2002. Neoproterozoic tectonothermal evolution of the Central Eastern Desert, Egypt: a slow velocity tectonic process of core complex exhumation. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 34, 137–155.

Fritz H., Abdelsalam M., Ali K.A., Bingen B., Collins A.S., Fowler A.R., Ghebreab W., Hauzenberger C.A., Johnson P.R., Kusky T.M., Macey P., Muhongo S., Stern R.J., Viola G., 2013. Orogen styles in the East African Orogen: A review of the Neoproterozoic to Cambrian tectonic evolution. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 86, 65–106.

Hamdy, M.H., El-Wahed, M.A., Abd El-Dien, H.G., Morishita, T., 2017. Garnet hornblendite in the Meatiq Core Complex, Central Easter Desert of Egypt: Implications for crustal thickening preceding the 76 M. Z. El-Bialy 600 Ma extensional regime in the Arabian-Nubian Shield. *Precambrian Research*, 298, 593–614.

Hamimi Z., Abd El-Wahed M.A., Gahlan H.A. and Kamh S. Z., 2019. Tectonics of the Eastern Desert of Egypt: Key to Understanding the Neoproterozoic Evolution of the Arabian–Nubian Shield (East African Orogen). In: Bendaoud A., Hamimi Z., Hamoudi M., Djemai S., Zoheir B., (eds) *Geology of the Arab world—an overview*. Springer Geology, pp 1–81.

Hamimi Z., Abd El-Wahed M.A., 2020. Suture(s) and Major Shear Zones in the Neoproterozoic Basement of Egypt. In: Hamimi Z., El-Barkooky A., Martínez Frías J., Fritz H., Abd El-Rahman Y. (eds) *The Geology of Egypt. Regional Geology Reviews*. Springer, 153–189.

Hassan, S.H., El kazzaz, Y.A., Taha M.M.N., Mohammad A.T., 2017. Late Neoproterozoic basement rocks of Meatiq area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt: Petrography and remote sensing characterizations. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 131, 14–31.

Horie K., Takehara M., Suda Y., Hidaka H., 2013. Potential Mesozoic reference zircon from Unazuki plutonic complex: geochronological and geochemical characterization. *Island Arc*, 22, 292–305.

Ishizuka, O., Kimura, J., Li, Y.B., Stern, R.J., Reagan, M.K., Taylor, R.N., Ohara, Y., Bloomer, S.H., Ishii, T., Hargrove III, U.S., Haraguchi S., 2006, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 250, 385–401

Johnson, P.R., 2014, An Expanding Arabian-Nubian Shield Geochronologic and Isotopic Dataset: Defining Limits and Confirming the Tectonic Setting of a Neoproterozoic Accretionary Orogen. *The Open Geology Journal*, 2014, 8, 3–33.

Johnson, P.R., and Woldehaimanot, B., 2003. Development of the Arabian- Nubian Shield: perspectives on accretion and deformation in the northern East African Orogen and the assembly of Gondwana, in: Yoshida, M., Windley, B.F., Dasgupta, S. (Eds.), *Proterozoic East Gondwana: Supercontinent Assembly and Breakup*, Geological Society, London, Special Publication 206, 289–325.

Johnson, P.R., Andresen A., Collins A.S., Fowler A.R., Fritz H., Ghebreab W., Kusky T., Stern R.J., 2011. Late Cryogenian-Ediacaran history of the Arabian-Nubian Shield: A review of depositional, plutonic, structural, and tectonic events in the closing stages of the northern East African Orogen. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 61, 167–232.

Khalil, A.E.S., Azer, M.K., 2007. Supra-subduction affinity in the Neoproterozoic serpentinites in the Eastern Desert, Egypt: Evidence from mineral composition. *Journal African Earth Science*, 49, 136–152.

Khalil K.I., El-Shzly A.E., Lehmann B., 2015. Late Neoproterozoic banded iron formation (BIF) in the central Eastern Desert of Egypt: Mineralogical and geochemical implications for the origin of the Gebel El Hadid iron ore deposit. *Ore Geology Reviews*, 69, 380–399.

Khudeir, A.A., El-Gaby S., Kamal El-Din, G., Asran, A.M.H., Greiling R.O., 1995. The pre-Pan-African deformed granite cycle of the Gabal El-Sibai swell, Eastern Desert, Egypt. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 21, 396–406.

Kiyokawa, S., 1992. Geology of the Idonnappu Belt, central Hokkaido, Japan: Evolution of a Cretaceous Accretionary Complex. *Tectonics*, 11, 6, 1180–1206.

835 Loizenbauer, J., Wallbrecher, E., Fritz, H., Neumayr, P., Khudeir, A.A., Klotzli, U., 2001. Structural
836 geology, single zircon ages and fluid inclusion studies of the Meatiq metamorphic core complex:
837 Implications for Neoproterozoic tectonics in the Eastern Desert of Egypt. *Precambrian Research*, 110,
838 357–383.

839 Ludwig, K.R., 2009. SQUID 2: a user's manual, Berkeley Geochronology Center Special Publication,
840 Berkeley, 2, 104p

841 Ludwig, K.R., 2012. Isoplot 3.75-4.15: A geochronological toolkit for Microsoft Excel, Berkeley
842 Geochronology Center Special Publication, Berkeley, California.

843 Maurice, A.E., 2000. Petrology and mineralization of Gebel Abu Marawat area, Eastern Desert,
844 Egypt. M.Sc. thesis, Cairo University, 226 p.

845 Meert, J.G., 2003. A synopsis of events related to the assembly of Eastern Gondwana.
846 *Tectonophysics*, 362, 1–40.

847 Neumayr, P., Moinkes, A., Hoinkes, G., Puhi, J., 1996. Geological setting of the Meatiq metamorphic
848 core complex: petrological and geological evidence. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 23, 3, 331-345.

849 Neumayr, P., Hoinkes, G., Puhi, J., Mogessie, A., Khudeir, A.A., 1998. The Meatiq dome (Eastern
850 Desert, Egypt) a Precambrian metamorphic core complex: petrological and geological evidence. *Journal*
851 *of Metamorphic Geology*, 16, 259-279.

852 Paces, J.B., Miller J.D., 1993. Precise U–Pb ages of Duluth Complex and related mafic intrusions,
853 northeastern Minnesota: Geochronological insights to physical, petrogenetic, paleomagnetic, and
854 tectonomagmatic processes associated with the 1.1 Ga Midcontinent Rift System. *Journal Geophysical*
855 *Research*, 98, 13997–14013.

856 Shalaby, A., Stüwe, K., Makroum, F., Fritiz, H., Kebede, T., Klötzli, U., 2005. The Wadi Mubarak
857 belt, Eastern Desert of Egypt: a Neoproterozoic conjugate shear system in the Arabian–Nubian Shield.
858 *Precambrian. Research*, 136, 27–50.

859 Shalaby, A., Stüwe, K., Fritz, H., & Makroum, F., 2006. The El Mayah molasse basin in the Eastern
860 Desert of Egypt. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 45, 1, 1-15.

861 Stacey, J.S., Kramers, J.D., 1975. Approximation of terrestrial lead isotope evolution by a two-stage
862 model. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 26, 207–221.

863 Stein, M., Goldstein S.L., 1996. From plume head to continental lithosphere in the Arabian-Nubian
864 Shield. *Nature*, 382, 773–778.

865 Stein, M., 2003. Tracing the plume material in the Arabian-Nubian Shield. *Precambrian Research*,
866 123, 223–234.

867 Stern R.A., Bodorkos S., Kamo S.L., Hickman A.H. and Corfu F., 2009. Measurement of SIMS
868 instrumental mass fractionation of Pb-isotopes during zircon dating. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical*
869 *Research*, 33, 145–168.

870 Stern R.J., 1985. The Najd Fault system, Saudi Arabia and Egypt: a Late Precambrian rift-related
871 transform system? *Tectonics*, 4, 497-511.

872 Stern, R.J., 1994. Arc assembly and continental collision in the Neoproterozoic East African Orogeny:
873 implications for the consolidation of Gondwanaland. *Annual Review Earth Planetary Science*, 22, 319–
874 351.

875 Stern R.J., 2017. Neoproterozoic formation and evolution of Eastern Desert continental crust—the
876 importance of the infrastructure- superstructure transition. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 146, 15-27.

877 Stern, R.J., and Hedge, C.E., 1985. Geochronologic and isotopic constraints on late Precambrian
878 crustal evolution in the Eastern Desert of Egypt. *American Journal of Science*, 285, 2, 97-127.

879 Stern, R.J., Johnson, P.J., Kröner, A., Yibas, B., 2004. Neoproterozoic ophiolites of the Arabian–
880 Nubian Shield, in: Kusky, T. (Ed.), *Precambrian Ophiolites*. Elsevier, pp. 95–128.

881 Stern, R.J., and Johnson, P.J., 2010. Continental lithosphere of the Arabian Plate: A geologic,
882 petrologic, and geophysical synthesis. *Earth Science Reviews*, 101, 29-67.

883 Stern, R.J., Mukherjee, S.K., Miller, N.R., Ali, K., Johnson, P.R., 2013. ~750 Ma banded iron
884 formation from the Arabian-Nubian Shield—Implications for understanding neoproterozoic tectonics,
885 volcanism, and climate change. *Precambrian. Research*, 239, 79– 94.

886 Tadesse, T., Hoshino, M., Sawada, Y., 1999. Geochemistry of low-grade metavolcanics rocks from
887 the Pan-African of the Axum area, northern Ethiopia. *Precambrian. Research*, 96, 101–124.

888 Taira A., Saito S., Aoike K., Morita S., Tokuyama H., Suyehiro K., Takahashi N., Shinohara M.,
889 Kiyokawa S., Naka J. and Klaus A., 1998. Nature and growth rate of the northern Izu-Ogasawara arc
890 crust and their implications to continental crust formation. *The Island Arc*, 7, 395-407.

891 Taylor, B., Klaus, A., Brown, G. R., Moore, G. E., Okamura, Y, and Murakami, E, 1991. Structural
892 development of Sumisu Rift, Izu-Bonin Arc. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96:16,113-16,129.

893 Taylor, B., 1992. Rifting and the volcanic–tectonic evolution of the Izu–Bonin–Mariana Arc, in: B.
894 Taylor, K. Fujioka, et al., (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results*, 126,
895 *Ocean Drilling Program, College Station, TX*, 627-650.

896 Yamazaki, T., 1988. Heat flow in the Sumisu Rift, Izu-Ogasawara (Bonin) Arc. *Bulletin of Geological*
897 *Survey Japan*, 39, 63-70.

898 Veevers, J.J., 2003. Pan-African is Pan-Gondwanaland: oblique convergence drives rotation during
899 650–500 Ma assembly. *Geology*, 31, 501–504.

900 Wilde S., Youssef K., 2000. Significance of SHRIMP U-Pb dating of the imperial porphyry and
901 associated Dokhan Volcanics, Gebel Dkhan, north Eastern Desert, Egypt. *Journal of African Earth*
902 *Sciences*, 31, 2, 403-413.

903 Wilde S., Youssef K., 2002. A re-evaluation of the origin and setting of the Late Precambrian
904 Hammamat Group based on SHRIMP U–Pb dating of detrital zircons from Gebel Umm Tawat, North
905 Eastern Desert, Egypt. *Journal of the Geological Society of London*, 159, 595–604.

Williams, I.S., 1998. U–Th–Pb geochronology by ion microprobe. In: McKibben, M.A., Shanks III, W.C., Ridley, W.I. (Eds.), Applications of Microanalytical Techniques to Understanding Mineralizing Processes. Reviews in Economic Geology, 7, 1–35.

Woldehaimanot, B., 2000. Tectonic setting and geochemical characterisation of Neoproterozoic volcanics and granitoids from the Adobha Belt, northern Eritrea. Journal of African Earth Sciences, 30, 4, 817–831.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 A. Tectonic map of Afro-Arabia modified after Johnson et al. (2011) and superimposed on a Google Maps image. The white rectangle indicates the location of the geological map on the left.

1 B. Simplified geological map of the Nubian Shield, North and Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (after Basta et al., 2011). The black rectangle shows more detail map at Fig. 2. Modified after EGSM (1996, 2002) 1:250,000 Geological Map series, Johnson et al. (2011) and Hamimi and Abd El-Wahed (2019).

Fig. 2. Simplified geological map of part of the Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (modified after El-Ramly, 1972, Fowler et al., 2007, Fritz et al., 2002, Augland et al., 2012, Hamdy et al., 2013, Hassan et al., 2017). The rectangle portion indicates the location of the geologic map in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3. Geological map of the Wadi El-Dabbah area superimposed on an image from Google Earth map of 2011 version. RW and RE indicate the dry gorge branch names mentioned in the text and figure captions (e.g., RW 12). Right image from map shows N–S cross-section of the NW and SW domains. Scale of the cross-section is same as map. See 90° clockwise rotation.

Fig. 4.

- A) Overview, looking east from Wadi El-Dabbah, of the well-stratified upper sedimentary sequence of Middle ED Formation, SE domain, on the eastern side of Wadi El-Dabbah, northern part of the SE domain. F_1 thrust faults cut a volcanoclastic sequence within the El-Dabbah Group. The small-scale thrust faults are sub-parallel to bedding. A N-dipping normal fault is visible in hilltop on the left of the image. The scale is shown by the car on the right hand side of the image, indicated by the arrow.
- B) Overview, looking north, of layer sub-parallel isoclinal tight folding within the BIF at RW8, Middle ED Formation, SW domain. The fold axes are E–W-oriented and the asymmetry is top-to-the-south. The fault plane is sub-parallel to the shallowly N-dipping bedding. The cliff face is oriented E–W.
- C) North-dipping normal fault with an unknown displacement observed in cliff sections at Wadi El-Dabbah between RE 3 and RE 4, Upper ED Formation, NW domain. Looking west from Wadi El-Dabbah. The rectangle indicates the location of Fig. 4D.
- D) Close-up of the N-dipping normal fault (Fig. 4C), NW domain, looking west. The beds in the hanging-wall were dragged to the north on the fault. The well-preserved fault plane contains N-dipping slickensides with normal direction several slip steps. Sub-horizontal volcanoclastic rocks in the hanging wall overlie the bedding in the footwall, which dips to the N at 50°–60°. The hanging wall volcanics beds also bended to downside. Hanging beds move down to north side.
- E) Fault (F_4) in a valley on the boundary between the Atshan Formation and the eastern margin of the NE domain. Looking southeast. The quartz porphyry (sample 16-0320-52) crops out within this

valley. The El-Dabbah and Atshan formations and quartz porphyry are on the right and left, respectively.

- F) Steeply dipping N–S-trending iron formation of the Middle ED Formation on the El-Dabbah Fault, between RE 4 and RE 5 on the east side of Wadi El-Dabbah, SE domain. Looking southeast in the Wadi El-Dabbah..
- G) Massive hornblende–biotite granite (sample 16-0318-45), SW domain. The granite is bounded by the southern El-Dabbah Group. The granite is not affected by strong shear deformation and is truncated by the N–S-trending El-Dabbah Fault. Looking southeast of small gouge in the granite hill.

Fig. 5. Stratigraphic and structural features of the metavolcanic–volcaniclastic sequence of the El-Dabbah Group.

- A) Stratified sequence of less-deformed massive metavolcanic rocks and pillow lavas above a sub-horizontal volcaniclastic sequence, Upper ED Formation, near RE 01, Wadi El-Dabbah, NE domain, looking north at entrance of the RE-01.
- B) Coarse-grained massive volcanic rocks (microgabbro) of the Lower ED Formation, RE 11, SE domain.
- C) Well-preserved pillow basalts of the Upper ED Formation, RE 5, Wadi El-Dabbah, SE domain. View to northeast along Wadi El-Dabbah.
- D) Well-preserved unconformity at the top of a hill above the volcaniclastic sequence, between RW 1 and RW 2, NW domain. Looking west at upper most part of the hill cliff along Wadi El-Dabbah.
- E) Accretionary lapilli beds within a fine tuff sequence within the Middle ED Formation, RW 7, SW domain. Looking northwest.
- F) Well-bedded greenish shale with graded bedding, younging to the northwest, Middle ED Formation, near RW 7, Wadi El-Dabbah, SW domain. Looking northeast.
- G) Iron formation within the volcaniclastic sequence, Middle ED Formation, near RW7, Wadi El-Dabbah, SW domain. Looking southwest along Wadi El-Dabbah.
- H) Pillow lava sequence (>30 m thick), Middle ED Formation, middle of RW6, SW domain. Looking southwest at the small branch or RW6.

Fig. 6. Composite stratigraphic column of the Wadi El-Dabbah area (left), and a more detailed stratigraphic column of the SW domain (right). Gray bars with numbers preceded by ‘RW’ and ‘RE’ show the locations of the western and eastern branch gorges that lead into Wadi El-Dabbah. The locations of the wadi branches are shown on Fig. 3.

Fig. 7. Sedimentary rocks of the Atshan Formation and the Hammamat Group.

- A) Overview of the Atshan Formation on the western margin of the El-Dabbah Group, SW domain. The lower parts are poorly sorted sandstones and mudstones. The upper boundary is a basaltic dike (orange rock in the photograph). Hilltops in this area commonly expose the unconformity that separates the Atshan Formation from the sandstones and conglomerates of the Hammamat Group. View to northeast along wadi.
- B) Close-up view of muddy matrix-supported conglomerate. The clasts are poorly sorted and include angular–subangular green and black volcanic rocks. View to east along wadi cliff western margin of SW domain.
- C) Overview of the sandstone–conglomerate sequence of the Atshan Formation at Wadi Atshan. The lower part consists of bedded fluvial–lacustrine sandstone, which is folded and offset by normal faults. The rectangles labeled ‘D’ and ‘E’ indicate the locations of Fig. 7D and E, respectively. Looking south.
- D) Close-up view of fluvial sandstone of the Atshan Formation at Wadi Atshan, with pebbly layers and mud drapes in the lower part of the cliff shown in Fig. 7C. Looking south from Wadi Atshan.
- E) Close-up view of muddy matrix-supported conglomerate above fluvial sandstone, Wadi Atshan. The clasts are poorly sorted and angular. The photograph shows a large block that fell from the cliff (Fig. 7C). Looking south from Wadi Atshan.
- F) Close-up view of well-bedded mudstone and poorly sorted sandstone of the Atshan Formation, NE domain, containing fluvial–lacustrine sediments with mud drape layers. Looking south from small wadi at NE domain.
- G) Close-up view of muddy matrix-supported breccia of the Atshan Formation, Wadi El-Dabbah, eastern NE domain. This is a >20-m-thick debris flow deposit that formed in a channel within the bedded mud and sandstone sequence. Looking south at Wadi El-Dabbah.
- H) Well-bedded red bed sequence within the Hammamat Group. The alternating red sandstones and shales contain ripple marks, desiccation cracks, and rain drop structures. Main stream of Wadi Atshan, western NE domain. Looking east along west branch of Wadi Atshan.

Fig. 8.

A-1) Tera–Wasserburg concordia plot of zircon age data from the Hammamat Group sample (150323-06). Three Archean grains (~2660 Ma) and one Orosirian grain (~1870 Ma) were analyzed.

A-2) Histogram and relative probability distribution of zircon ages from sample 150323-06 (146 analyses, 145 grains). Most of the grains record ages of 1100–640 Ma, with peaks at 790, 680, and 650 Ma.

B-1) Tera–Wasserburg concordia plot of zircon age data from the quartz porphyry (sample 160320-53). B-2) Histogram and relative probability distribution of zircon ages from sample 160320-53 (49 analyses, 49 grains). There are two age peaks at ~650 and 680 Ma.

C-1) Tera–Wasserburg concordia plot of zircon age data from the quartz porphyry (sample 160321-52).

C-2) Histogram of single-zircon age data, sample 160321-52 (50 analyses, 50 grains). The mean age is 659.6 ± 3.0 Ma.

D-1) Tera–Wasserburg concordia plot of zircon age data from the hornblende–biotite granite (sample 160318-45).

D-2) Histogram of single-zircon age data, sample 160318-45 (48 analyses, 48 grains). The weighted-mean age is 638.1 ± 2.9 Ma.

Fig. 9. Chronostratigraphy of the Wadi El-Dabbah area. Detrital zircon ages, in Ma, of the Hammamat Group are shown by the colored ovals. The Geological stratum show the stratigraphic and intrusion ages. Labels A–D indicate the units from which new zircon ages were obtained. The Geological events and Stages in the El-Dabbah area shown in middle portion. Three main geological stages were identified and each stage was associated with sedimentation (Sed_{1–3}), Intrusion (Int.) and deformation (D_{1–3}). The right column shows the regional deformation events recognized within the Arabian–Nubian Shield (Stern and Johnson, 2010; Fritz et al., 2013).

Fig. 10. Model of D₂ extension. The NNE side down normal fault (F₂) and the north-south oriented sinistral strike-slip Wadi Dabbah fault (F₃) were active after intrusion of the granite and the quartz porphyry.

Fig. 1





Fig. 2

B




Fig. 3




Fig. 4







Fig. 5







Fig. 6


Geologic columns at Wadi El-Dabbah area







Column of the El-Dabbah Group at SW domain



Legend








Fig. 8




Fig. 9




Fig. 10