

## Finite element schemes based on energy-stable approximation for two-fluid flow problems with surface tension

Tabata, Masahisa  
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/4027>

---

出版情報 : Hokkaido Mathematical Journal. 36 (4), pp.875-890, 2007-04-09. 北海道大学理学部数学科  
バージョン :  
権利関係 :



# MHF Preprint Series

Kyushu University  
21st Century COE Program  
Development of Dynamic Mathematics with  
High Functionality

## Finite element schemes based on energy-stable approximation for two-fluid flow problems with surface tension

M. Tabata

MHF 2007-13

( Received April 9, 2007 )

Faculty of Mathematics  
Kyushu University  
Fukuoka, JAPAN

# Finite element schemes based on energy-stable approximation for two-fluid flow problems with surface tension

M. TABATA

**Abstract.** For two-fluid flow problems with surface tension we present finite element schemes based on energy-stable approximation. In the case of no surface tension, those schemes are unconditionally stable in the energy-sense. When there exists surface tension, they are proved to be stable if a quantity remains bounded in the computation. Some numerical results of rising bubble problems show the robustness and the applicability of these schemes.

*Key words:* finite element method, two-fluid flow problems, energy-stability, Navier-Stokes equations, surface tension.

## 1 Introduction

Multifluid and multiphase flows occur in many scientific and engineering problems. Two issues in analyzing those flows are to find the position of interfaces separating fluids and to handle the surface tension on the interfaces. Many numerical schemes have been developed and applied to those flow problems, see e.g., [3, 10, 11] and references therein. It is, however, not an easy task to construct numerical schemes, stable and convergent. To the best of our knowledge, there are no numerical schemes whose solutions are proved to converge to the exact one. There are very little discussion even for the stability of schemes. When there is no surface tension, we have developed energy stable finite element schemes from the approach of the density-dependent Navier-Stokes equations and applied them to the Rayleigh-Taylor problem [7, 8]. These schemes are proved to be unconditionally stable in the energy sense. In this formulation the density is treated as a field function solved in the whole domain, and it also works as a level set function.

Here we extend the energy-stable finite element schemes to two-fluid flow problems with surface tension. We consider two-dimensional problems. The interface curve is represented by a vector-valued function in one parameter, which is approximated by a piecewise linear function, that is, the interface curve is approximated by a polygon. The function is updated by solving numerically ordinary differential equations, an interface-tracking method. The Navier-Stokes equations written in the weak formulation including the surface tension, expressed also in a weak form, are solved in the fixed finite element mesh. We employ the P2/P1 finite element to get the velocity and the pressure, whose convergence theory has been well established [1, 2]. When there exists surface tension, they are proved to be stable if a quantity corresponding to  $L^2$ -norm of the curvature is bounded in the computation. In this paper we mainly consider the non-slip boundary conditions. Some preliminary numerical results subject to the slip boundary conditions have been reported in [6].

The contents of this paper are as follows. In Section 2 we formulate two-fluid flow problems with surface tension. In Section 3 finite element schemes for the problems are described. We discuss the stability in the energy sense in Section 4. In Section 5 we show numerical results for bubble rising problems.

Throughout the paper  $c$  represents a positive constant independent of the discretization parameters, which may take a different value at each appearance.

## 2 Two-fluid flow problems with surface tension

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with piecewise smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ , and  $T$  be a positive number. At the initial time  $t = 0$  the domain  $\Omega$  is occupied by two immiscible incompressible viscous fluids; each domain is denoted by  $\Omega_k^0$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , whose interface  $\partial\Omega_1^0 \cap \partial\Omega_2^0$  is denoted by  $\Gamma_{12}^0$ . We assume that  $\Gamma_{12}^0$  is a closed curve, which means that one fluid, say, fluid 1, is in the interior of the other fluid 2. At  $t \in (0, T)$  the two fluids occupy domains  $\Omega_k(t)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , and the interface curve is denoted by  $\Gamma_{12}(t)$ . Let  $\rho_k$  and  $\mu_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , be the densities and the viscosities of the two fluids. Let

$$u : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2, \quad p : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

be the velocity and the pressure to be found. The Navier-Stokes equations are satisfied in each domain  $\Omega_k(t)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ ,  $t \in (0, T)$ ,

$$\rho_k \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u \right\} - \nabla [2\mu_k D(u)] + \nabla p = \rho_k f, \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $f : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  is a given function and  $D(u)$  is the strain-rate tensor defined by

$$D_{ij}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

The interface  $\Gamma_{12}$  is assumed to move with the velocity  $u$  at that position, that is, any fluid particle on  $\Gamma_{12}^0$  remains on the interface  $\Gamma_{12}(t)$  at any time  $t$ . On  $\Gamma_{12}(t)$ ,  $t \in (0, T)$ , interface conditions

$$[u] = 0, \quad [-pn + 2\mu D(u)n] = \sigma_0 \kappa n \quad (3)$$

are imposed, where  $[\cdot]$  means the difference of the values approached from both sides to the interface,  $\kappa$  is the curvature of the interface,  $\sigma_0$  is the coefficient of surface tension, and  $n$  is the unit normal vector. On the boundary  $\Gamma$ ,  $t \in (0, T)$ , the non-slip conditions

$$u = 0 \quad (4)$$

are imposed. Initial conditions at  $t = 0$  for the velocity

$$u = u^0 \quad (5)$$

are given.

Our purpose is to construct a numerical scheme for this problem. In order to derive a scheme we reformulate the problem as follows: find functions

$$\chi : [0, 1] \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2, \quad (u, p) : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$$

satisfying for any  $t \in (0, T)$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} = u(\chi, t), \quad (s \in [0, 1]) \quad (6)$$

and (1) and (2) in  $\Omega_k(t)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , with interface conditions (3), boundary conditions (4), and initial conditions (5) and

$$\chi(\cdot, 0) = \chi^0, \quad (7)$$

where  $\chi^0 : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  is an initial closed curve in  $\Omega$ . For any  $t$ ,  $\chi(1, t) = \chi(0, t)$  and

$$\mathcal{C}(t) = \{\chi(s, t); s \in [0, 1]\}$$

is a closed curve in  $\Omega$ .  $\mathcal{C}(t)$  is nothing but the interface curve at  $t$ , and  $\Omega_k(t)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , are defined as the interior and the exterior of  $\mathcal{C}(t)$ , respectively.

**Remark 2.1** (4) can be replaced by the slip boundary conditions,

$$u \cdot n = 0, \quad D(u)n \times n = 0. \quad (8)$$

The following discussion is still valid in this case with a little modification. See Remark 4.5.

### 3 Energy-stable finite element approximation

We now present two finite element schemes based on the energy-stable approximation [8] for the problem described in the previous section. We prepare function spaces,

$$X = \{\chi \in H^1(0, 1)^2; \chi(1) = \chi(0)\}, \quad V = H_0^1(\Omega)^2, \quad Q = L_0^2(\Omega).$$

We find a set of functions

$$(\chi, u, p) : (0, T) \rightarrow X \times V \times Q.$$

Let  $X_h, V_h$ , and  $Q_h$  be finite-dimensional approximation spaces of  $X, V$ , and  $Q$ . Let  $\Delta t$  be a time increment and  $N_T = \lfloor T/\Delta t \rfloor$ . We seek approximate solutions  $\chi_h^n, u_h^n$ , and  $p_h^n$  at  $t = n\Delta t$  in  $X_h, V_h$ , and  $Q_h$ , respectively. More precisely, these approximate function spaces are constructed as follows. Dividing the domain  $\Omega$  into a union of triangles, we use P2 and P1 finite element spaces for  $V_h$  and  $Q_h$ , respectively. They are fixed for any time step  $n$ . On the other hand  $X_h$  is composed of functions obtained by the parameterization of polygons. We denote by  $\{s_i^n \in [0, 1]; i = 0, \dots, N_x^n\}$  the set of parameter values such that  $s_0^n = 0$  and  $s_{N_x^n}^n = 1$  and that  $\{\chi_h^n(s_i^n); i = 0, \dots, N_x^n\}$  are vertices of a polygon. The number  $N_x^n$  may change depending on  $n$ . We also introduce an auxiliary function space  $\Phi_h$  consisting of piecewise constant functions on elements. We denote by  $\bar{D}_{\Delta t}$  the backward difference operator, i.e.,

$$\bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_h^n = \frac{u_h^n - u_h^{n-1}}{\Delta t}.$$

Scheme I: Find  $\{(\chi_h^n, u_h^n, p_h^n) \in X_h \times V_h \times Q_h; n = 1, \dots, N_T\}$  satisfying

$$\bar{D}_{\Delta t} \chi_h^n = \frac{3}{2} u_h^{n-1} (\chi_h^{n-1}) - \frac{1}{2} u_h^{n-2} (\chi_h^{n-1} - \Delta t u_h^{n-1} (\chi_h^{n-1})), \quad \forall s_i^{n-1} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \rho_h^{n-1} \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_h^n + \frac{1}{2} u_h^n \bar{D}_{\Delta t} \rho_h^n, v_h \right) + a_1(\rho_h^n, u_h^{n-1}, u_h^n, v_h) + a_0(\rho_h^n, u_h^n, v_h) + b(v_h, p_h^n) \\ & = (\rho_h^n \Pi_h f^n, v_h) - d_h(\chi_h^n, v_h; \mathcal{C}_h^n), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$b(u_h^n, q_h) = 0, \quad \forall q_h \in Q_h \quad (11)$$

subject to the initial conditions

$$\chi_h^0 = \Pi_h \chi^0, \quad u_h^0 = \Pi_h u^0. \quad (12)$$

Here  $\Pi_h$  is the interpolation operator to the corresponding finite-dimensional space,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  shows the inner product in  $L^2(\Omega)^2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(\rho, w, u, v) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \rho \left\{ [(\nabla \cdot w)u] \cdot v - [(\nabla \cdot w)v] \cdot u \right\} dx, \\ a_0(\rho, u, v) &= \int_{\Omega} 2\mu(\rho) D(u) : D(v) \, dx, \\ b(v, q) &= - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot v) q \, dx, \\ d_h(u, v; \mathcal{C}_h) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_x} \sigma_0 \bar{D}_{\Delta s} u_i \bar{D}_{\Delta s} v_i \frac{(s_i - s_{i-1})^2}{|\chi_i - \chi_{i-1}|}, \\ \mu(\rho) &= \mu_1 \frac{\rho_2 - \rho}{\rho_2 - \rho_1} + \mu_2 \frac{\rho - \rho_1}{\rho_2 - \rho_1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{C}_h^n$  is a polygon obtained from  $\chi_h^n$ . (9) is the Adams-Bashforth approximation of (6). The number of particles on the interface at time step  $n$  is denoted by  $N_x^n$ . We control  $N_x^n$  so that the particles may distribute quasi-uniformly, i.e., we add or delete particles by judging distances of neighboring particles. A more precise description of (9) is as follows. We denote  $X_h$  at time step  $n$  by  $X_h(N_x^n)$ . Let  $\chi_h^{n-1/2} \in X_h(N_x^{n-1})$  be an intermediate function such that for  $i = 0, \dots, N_x^{n-1}$  at  $s_i^{n-1}$ ,

$$\frac{\chi_h^{n-1/2} - \chi_h^{n-1}}{\Delta t} = \frac{3}{2} u_h^{n-1} (\chi_h^{n-1}) - \frac{1}{2} u_h^{n-2} (\chi_h^{n-1} - \Delta t u_h^{n-1} (\chi_h^{n-1})). \quad (13)$$

Adding and deleting particles to  $\chi_h^{n-1/2}$ , we get  $\chi_h^n \in X_h(N_x^n)$ . Once  $\chi_h^n$  is known, we can define  $\Omega_{hk}^n$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , as the interior and the exterior of the polygon  $\mathcal{C}_h^n$ , respectively. In (10)  $\rho_h^n \in \Phi_h$  is an auxiliary function defined by

$$\rho_h^n(K) = \rho_k$$

for the element  $K$  included in  $\Omega_{hk}^n$ . For the element  $K$  intersecting with  $\mathcal{C}_h^n$ ,  $\rho_h^n(K)$  is defined as the density averaged by the areas occupied by  $\rho_k$ . Equations (10) and (11) are approximations of the corresponding weak forms, which are derived as follows. Let  $\rho : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a function governed by the convection equation,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \rho = 0. \quad (14)$$

Although the density of our problem is discontinuous, we suppose that it is approximated by a function having the first order derivatives. We multiply (14) by  $u/2$ , and add it to (1). Multiplying the equation by a test function  $v \in V$ , integrating by parts, and incorporating the interface conditions (3) and the boundary conditions (4), we obtain

$$\left(\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}u \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}, v\right) + a_1(\rho, u, u, v) + a_0(\rho, u, v) + b(v, p) = (\rho f, v) - d(\chi, v; \mathcal{C}), \quad (15)$$

where

$$d(u, v) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{C}} \sigma_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \ell} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \ell} d\ell$$

is a bilinear form derived from the surface tension, and  $\ell$  is the arclength of the interface curve  $\mathcal{C}$ . Here we have used the fact that  $\kappa n$  is equal to the second derivative of  $\chi$  with respect to  $\ell$  and the integration by parts on the closed curve  $\mathcal{C}$ . The weak formulation of (2) is nothing but

$$b(u, q) = 0,$$

where  $q \in Q$  is a test function. (10) and (11) consist of an energy-stable finite element scheme developed in [8] when there is no term  $d_h$ . The bilinear form  $d_h$  is an approximation of  $d$ .

Scheme II: We add the term

$$\Delta t \, d_h(u_h^n, v_h; \mathcal{C}_h^n)$$

to the left-hand side of (10). The other parts are same as Scheme I.

Since the fluids are incompressible, each area  $\Omega_k(t)$  remains constant. In order to keep this property we correct  $\chi_h^{n-1/2}$  after (13) by expanding or shrinking  $\mathcal{C}_h^{n-1/2}$  from the centroid. Thus our schemes keep the property  $\text{meas}\Omega_{hk}^n = \text{meas}\Omega_{hk}^0$  for all  $n$ .

**Remark 3.1** (i) In deriving the weak form (15), we have assumed that  $\rho$  had the first order derivatives. In the scheme (10), however, we do not need any regularity on  $\rho_h$ , which enables us to use  $\rho_h \in \Phi_h$ .

(ii) Scheme II is obtained when the curvature of the interface is computed implicitly from  $\chi_h^{n+1}$ , which is approximated by

$$\chi_h^n + \Delta t u_h^n.$$

For the idea of the introduction of this term we refer to [9]. Scheme II is more stable than Scheme I, which is recognized by numerical results in Section 5.

(iii) For the first step  $n = 1$  we replace (9) by the Euler method.

## 4 Stability in energy

Now we consider the stability in energy of Schemes I and II. We equip the function spaces  $V_h$ , and  $Q_h$  with the norms  $H^1(\Omega)^2$  and  $L^2(\Omega)$ , respectively. They are denoted simply by  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_0$ . In (10) the functions  $\rho_h^{n-1}$ ,  $\rho_h^n$ ,  $u_h^{n-1}$ , and  $\chi_h^n$  are all known. The system of (10) and (11) is a generalized Stokes problem in  $u_h^n$  and  $p_h^n$ . Since the P2/P1 element satisfies the inf-sup condition, the problem is uniquely solvable.

For a series of functions  $\phi_h = \{\phi_h^n\}_{n=0}^{N_T}$  in a Banach space  $W$  we prepare norms defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_h\|_{\ell^\infty(W)} &\equiv \max\{\|\phi_h^n\|_W; 0 \leq n \leq N_T\}, \\ \|\phi_h\|_{\ell^2(W)} &\equiv \left\{ \Delta t \sum_{n=0}^{N_T} \|\phi_h^n\|_W^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For a closed curve  $\mathcal{C}$  we denote the  $L^2$ -norm of a function  $v$  on the curve by

$$\|v\|_{0,\mathcal{C}} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathcal{C}} |v|^2 d\ell}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{C}_h^n$  is a polygon, we can apply the trace theorem; there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that for any  $v \in H^1(\Omega)$  it holds that

$$\|v\|_{0,\mathcal{C}_h^n} \leq c\|v\|_1.$$

In general, the constant  $c$  depends on the length and the smoothness of the curve. We assume that it does not depend on  $h$  and  $n$  and that the curve is not self-intersecting for the simplicity.

**Hypothesis 4.1** (i)  $\mathcal{C}_h^n$  is not self-intersecting.

(ii) There exists a positive constant  $c_0$  independent of  $h$  and  $n$  such that

$$\|v\|_{0,\mathcal{C}_h^n} \leq c_0\|v\|_1 \quad (\forall v \in H^1(\Omega)). \quad (16)$$

**Remark 4.2.** If  $\mathcal{C}_h^n$  is divided into a number (independent of  $h$  and  $n$ ) of parts and if the gradients  $\nabla \chi_h^n$  are uniformly (in  $h$  and  $n$ ) bounded on each part, then assumption (16) is satisfied. Although (16) looks like a rather mild assumption, it seems not so easy to give a sufficient condition for it on our schemes I and II.

Let  $\chi_h \in X_h$  and  $\{s_i \in [0, 1]; i = 0, \dots, N_x\}$  be the set of parameters,  $s_0 = 0, s_{N_x} = 1, \chi_h(1) = \chi_h(0)$ . We define the quantity  $\|\chi_h\|_{H_{0,h}^2(\mathcal{C}_h)}$  by

$$\|\chi_h\|_{H_{0,h}^2(\mathcal{C}_h)} = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N_x-1} |(D_{\Delta\ell}^2 \chi_h)(s_i)|^2 \ell_i \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_i &= \frac{1}{2}(\ell_{i+1/2} + \ell_{i-1/2}), \quad \ell_{i+1/2} = |\chi_h(s_{i+1}) - \chi_h(s_i)|, \\ (D_{\Delta\ell}^2 \chi_h)(s_i) &= \left( \frac{\chi_h(s_{i+1}) - \chi_h(s_i)}{\ell_{i+1/2}} - \frac{\chi_h(s_i) - \chi_h(s_{i-1})}{\ell_{i-1/2}} \right) / \ell_i. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.3** Suppose that Schemes I or II has a solution  $(\rho_h^n, u_h^n, p_h^n) \in \Phi_h \times V_h \times Q_h$ ,  $n = 0, \dots, N_T$ , and that Hypothesis 4.1 is satisfied. Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  independent of  $h$  and  $\Delta t$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\sqrt{\rho_h} u_h\|_{\ell^\infty(L^2)}, \|\sqrt{\mu_h} D(u_h)\|_{\ell^2(L^2)} \\ &\leq c \left\{ \|\sqrt{\rho_h^0} u_h^0\|_0 + \|\sqrt{\rho_h} \Pi_h f\|_{\ell^2(L^2)} + \frac{c_0 \sigma_0}{\sqrt{\mu_{\min}}} \|\chi_h\|_{\ell^2(H_{0,h}^2(\mathcal{C}_h))} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where  $\mu_{\min} = \min(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ .

*Proof.* We substitute  $v_h = u_h^n$  in (10). The first term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \rho_h^{n-1} \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_h^n + \frac{1}{2} u_h^n \bar{D}_{\Delta t} \rho_h^n, u_h^n \right) \\ &= \bar{D}_{\Delta t} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\rho_h^n} u_h^n\|_0^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\Delta t} \sqrt{\rho_h^{n-1}} \bar{D}_{\Delta t} u_h^n\|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

The second term vanishes. The third term is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(\rho_h^n, u_h^n, u_h^n) &\geq \frac{1}{2} a_0(\rho_h^n, u_h^n, u_h^n) + \mu_{\min} \|D(u_h^n)\|_0^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} a_0(\rho_h^n, u_h^n, u_h^n) + c_1^2 \mu_{\min} \|u_h^n\|_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1$  is a positive constant in the Korn inequality. The fourth term vanishes from (11). The first term of the right-hand side is evaluated as

$$|(\rho_h^n \Pi_h f^n, u_h^n)| \leq \epsilon \|\sqrt{\rho_h^n} u_h^n\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \|\sqrt{\rho_h^n} \Pi_h f^n\|_0^2$$

where  $\epsilon$  is any positive constant. The second term of the right-hand side is rewritten as

$$-d_h(\chi_h^n, u_h^n; \mathcal{C}_h^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{N_x^n-1} \sigma_0(D_{\Delta \ell}^2 \chi_h^n)(s_i^n) u_h^n(s_i^n) \ell_i$$

using summation by parts. The right-hand side is evaluated by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 \|\chi_h^n\|_{H_{0,h}^2(\mathcal{C}_h)} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N_x^n-1} u_h^n(s_i^n)^2 \ell_i \right\}^{1/2} &\leq c \sigma_0 \|\chi_h^n\|_{H_{0,h}^2(\mathcal{C}_h)} \|u_h^n\|_{0, \mathcal{C}_h^n} \\ &\leq c c_0 \sigma_0 \|\chi_h^n\|_{H_{0,h}^2(\mathcal{C}_h)} \|u_h^n\|_1 \\ &\leq c c_0^2 \sigma_0^2 \frac{1}{c_1^2 \mu_{\min}} \|\chi_h^n\|_{H_{0,h}^2(\mathcal{C}_h)}^2 + c_1^2 \mu_{\min} \|u_h^n\|_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates and applying the discrete Gronwall inequality, we get (18). In Scheme II we have another term  $\Delta t d_h(u_h^n, u_h^n; \mathcal{C}_H^n)$  in the left-hand side, which increases the stability.

**Remark 4.4** (i) There are correspondences,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sqrt{\rho} u_h\|_{\ell^\infty(L^2)} &\sim \max \left\{ \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \rho(t) |u(t)|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}; 0 \leq t \leq T \right\}, \\ \|\chi_h\|_{\ell^2(H_{0,2}^2(\mathcal{C}_h))} &\sim \left\{ \int_0^T dt \int_{\mathcal{C}(t)} \kappa^2 d\ell \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (18) is a discrete version of the fact that the total energy remains bounded if the curvature is bounded in  $L^2$ -norm.

(ii) The result (18) is still valid in the case  $\gamma_0 = 0$ . If there is no surface tension, the total energy remains bounded.

**Remark 4.5** Proposition 4.3 is valid also in the case of the slip boundary conditions (8). Then we impose the condition  $(v_h \cdot n)(P) = 0$  at nodes on  $\Gamma$  for the function  $v_h$  in  $V_h$ . When  $\Omega$  is a circle, the Korn inequality does not hold. In this case we have to impose another condition to  $V_h$  such that  $V_h$  is orthogonal to the rigid movement. For the details we refer to [4].

## 5 Numerical results




Figure 1: Statement of the problem and a mesh  $\mathcal{T}_{1/16}$ .

We show numerical results of rising bubble problems. Let  $\Omega \equiv (0, 1) \times (0, 2)$  and  $T = 10$ . We set

$$\chi^0(s) = \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} \cos 2\pi s, \frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{5} \sin 2\pi s \right).$$

The initial domains  $\Omega_1^0$  and  $\Omega_2^0$  are shown in Fig. 1. We take the following values,

$$(\rho_1, \mu_1) = (0.1, 1), \quad (\rho_2, \mu_2) = (100, 2)$$

and the initial velocity and the gravity,

$$u^0 = (0, 0)^T, \quad f = (0, -1)^T.$$

Non-slip boundary conditions (4) are imposed. We use three meshes,  $\mathcal{T}_{1/16}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{1/32}$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_{1/64}$ . In  $\mathcal{T}_{1/n}$  the sides AB and CD are divided into  $n$  equal segments, and the other sides are divided into  $2n$ . The total element numbers are 1,138, 4,580, and 18,444, respectively. In Fig. 1 mesh  $\mathcal{T}_{1/16}$  is shown. We use a simple notation  $K(\mathcal{C}_h)$  defined by

$$K(\mathcal{C}_h) = \|\chi_h\|_{\ell^2(H_{0,h}^2(\mathcal{C}_h))}.$$

### 5.1 The comparison of Schemes I and II

We compare the stability property of Schemes I and II. When  $\sigma_0 = 0$ , both schemes are identical and unconditionally energy-stable by Proposition 4.3, i.e., for any  $\Delta t > 0$

$$\max \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \rho_h^n |u_h^n|^2 dx ; n = 0, \dots, N_T \right\} \leq M_0,$$




Figure 2: Interfaces at  $t = 0, 2, \dots, 10$  for  $\Delta t = 1/8$  by Scheme II (left), and for  $\Delta t = 1/16$  by Scheme I (center) and by Scheme II (right).  $\sigma_0 = 1$ .

where  $M_0$  is a positive constant. In the case of  $\sigma_0 > 0$  we see Scheme II is more stable than Scheme I. Letting  $\sigma_0 = 1.0$ , we solve the problem on mesh  $\mathcal{T}_{1/32}$ . We set  $\Delta t = 1/8$ . In Scheme I the computed interface becomes serrate and the value  $\|\chi_h^n\|_{H_{0,h}^2(C_h)}$  grows up. We cannot simulate the phenomenon. In Scheme II we can obtain the solution depicted in Fig. 2, where interface curves are shown at  $t = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10$ .  $K(\mathcal{C}_h)$  is equal to 49.8. When  $\Delta t = 1/16$  we can get the solutions for both schemes. Small jags are found in the interface curves obtained by Scheme I at  $t = 6, 8, 10$ .  $K(\mathcal{C}_h)$  is equal to 88.16 and 45.52 for Schemes I and II, respectively. Since Scheme II is more stable than Scheme I, we use Scheme II hereafter.

## 5.2 The dependence on the subdivision

Setting  $\sigma_0 = 1$ , we compare numerical results obtained from meshes  $\mathcal{T}_{1/16}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{1/32}$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_{1/64}$ . Time increment  $\Delta t$  is chosen to be  $1/8$ ,  $1/16$ , and  $1/32$ , respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 3. From these figures Hypothesis 4.1 seems to be satisfied.  $K(\mathcal{C}_h)$  are equal to 34.09, 45.88 and 55.66, respectively.

## 5.3 The effect of the coefficient of surface tension

We use mesh  $\mathcal{T}_{1/32}$  and set  $\Delta t = 1/32$ . We take  $\sigma_0 = 0.0, 0.1, 1.0, 2.0$ . Their interface curves are shown in Figs. 4 and Fig. 3 ( $\sigma_0 = 1$ ). As  $\sigma_0$  becomes larger, the shape of the interface becomes more round because of larger surface tension. Fig. 5 shows the elevations of the pressure at  $t = 5.0$ . In these figures the front side is CD. When  $\sigma_0$  becomes large, the pressure in  $\Omega_1$  increases. In these four cases  $K(\mathcal{C}_h)$  are equal to 80.21, 58.57, 45.88 and 43.00.




Figure 3: Interfaces at  $t = 0, 2, \dots, 10$  solved on  $\mathcal{T}_{1/16}$  (left),  $\mathcal{T}_{1/32}$  (center), and  $\mathcal{T}_{1/64}$  (right).  $\sigma_0 = 1$ .

#### 5.4 The effect of boundary conditions

We consider problems of non-slip and slip boundary conditions. We use mesh  $\mathcal{T}_{1/32}$  and set  $\Delta t = 1/32$ , and  $\sigma_0 = 1.0$ . Figs. 6 and Fig. 7 show the interfaces and the streamlines. The intervals of streamlines are same for both figures. In the case of the slip boundary conditions the flow pattern is larger and the bubble goes up faster, which induces the lower pressure in the rear and the hollow in the lower part of the interface. The values  $K(\mathcal{C}_h)$  is equal to 46.44 in the slip boundary condition case.

### 6 Concluding remarks

We have developed finite element schemes for two-fluid flow problems with surface tension based on energy-stable approximation and analysed the stability. In the case of no surface tension, the schemes are stable in the energy sense. When surface tension occurs, we have shown a numerical criterion for the schemes to be stable in the energy sense. We have examined the criterion in bubble rising problems. These are robust and mathematically sound schemes.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science under Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S), No.16104001 and by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan under Kyushu University 21st Century COE Program, Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality.




Figure 4: Interfaces at  $t = 0, 2, \dots, 10$ ,  $\sigma_0 = 0$  (left),  $\sigma_0 = 0.1$  (center), and  $\sigma_0 = 2$  (right).  $\sigma_0 = 1$ .




Figure 5: Elevations of the pressure at  $t = 5.0$ .  $\sigma_0 = 0.0$  (left),  $1.0$  (center),  $2.0$  (right).




Figure 6: Interfaces and streamlines at  $t = 2, 4, \dots, 10$  subject to the non-slip boundary conditions.




Figure 7: Interfaces and streamlines at  $t = 2, 4, \dots, 10$  subject to the slip boundary conditions.

## References

- [1] F. Brezzi and M. Fortin. *Mixed and Hybrid Finite Element Methods*. Springer, New York, 1991.
- [2] V. Girault and P. A. Raviart. *Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations, Theory and Algorithms*, Springer, 1986.
- [3] S. Osher and R. P. Fedkiw. Level set methods: an overview and some recent results. *Journal of Computational Physics*, Vol. 169, pp. 463–502, 2001.
- [4] M. Tabata. Uniform solvability of finite element solutions in approximate domains. *Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 18, pp. 567–585, 2001.
- [5] M. Tabata. Numerical simulation of Rayleigh-Taylor problems by an energy-stable finite element scheme. To appear in *Proceedings of The Fourth International Workshop on Scientific Computing and Its Applications*, 2006.
- [6] M. Tabata. Energy stable finite element schemes and their applications to two-fluid flow problems. In P. Wesseling, E. Oñate, and J. Périaux, editors, *Proceedings of European Conference on Computational Fluid Dynamics*, pp. 379/1–10. TU Delft, The Netherlands, 2006.

- [7] M. Tabata and Y. Fukushima. A finite element approximation to density-dependent Navier-Stokes equations and its application to Rayleigh-Taylor instability problem. In S. M. Sivakumar *et al.*, editors, *Advances in Computational & Experimental Engineering and Sciences*, pp. 455–460. Tech Science Press, 2005.
- [8] M. Tabata and S. Kaizu. Finite element schemes for two-fluids flow problems. To appear in *Proceedings of 7th China-Japan Joint Seminar for Computational Mathematics and Scientific Computing*, Science Press, 2006.
- [9] M. Tabata and D. Tagami. A finite element analysis of a linearized problem of the Navier-Stokes equations with surface tension. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, Vol. 38, pp. 40–57, 2000.
- [10] T. E. Tezduyar, M. Behr, and J. Liou. A new strategy for finite element computations involving boundaries and interfaces - the deforming-spatial-domain /space-time procedure: I. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol. 94, pp. 339–351, 1992.
- [11] G. Tryggvason, B. Bunner, A. Esmaeeli, D. Juric, N. Al-Rawahi, W. Tauber, J. Han, S. Nas, and Y.-J. Jan. A front-tracking method for the computations of multiphase flow. *Journal of Computational Physics*, Vol. 169, pp. 708–759, 2001.

Masahisa Tabata  
 Faculty of Mathematics  
 Kyushu University  
 Fukuoka, 812-8581, Japan  
 e-mail: tabata@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

# List of MHF Preprint Series, Kyushu University

## 21st Century COE Program

### Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality

- MHF2005-1 Hideki KOSAKI  
Matrix trace inequalities related to uncertainty principle
- MHF2005-2 Masahisa TABATA  
Discrepancy between theory and real computation on the stability of some finite element schemes
- MHF2005-3 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Functional regression modeling via regularized basis expansions and model selection
- MHF2005-4 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Functional discriminant analysis via regularized basis expansions
- MHF2005-5 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Point configurations, Cremona transformations and the elliptic difference Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-6 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Construction of hypergeometric solutions to the  $q$ -Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-7 Hiroki MASUDA  
Simple estimators for non-linear Markovian trend from sampled data:  
I. ergodic cases
- MHF2005-8 Hiroki MASUDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA  
Edgeworth expansion for a class of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-based models
- MHF2005-9 Masayuki UCHIDA  
Approximate martingale estimating functions under small perturbations of dynamical systems
- MHF2005-10 Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA  
One-step estimators for diffusion processes with small dispersion parameters from discrete observations
- MHF2005-11 Junichi MATSUKUBO, Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA  
Estimation for a discretely observed small diffusion process with a linear drift
- MHF2005-12 Masayuki UCHIDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA  
AIC for ergodic diffusion processes from discrete observations

- MHF2005-13 Hiromichi GOTO & Kenji KAJIWARA  
Generating function related to the Okamoto polynomials for the Painlevé IV equation
- MHF2005-14 Masato KIMURA & Shin-ichi NAGATA  
Precise asymptotic behaviour of the first eigenvalue of Sturm-Liouville problems with large drift
- MHF2005-15 Daisuke TAGAMI & Masahisa TABATA  
Numerical computations of a melting glass convection in the furnace
- MHF2005-16 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations
- MHF2005-17 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Askey-Wilson relations and Leonard pairs
- MHF2005-18 Kenji KAJIWARA & Atsushi MUKAIHIRA  
Soliton solutions for the non-autonomous discrete-time Toda lattice equation
- MHF2005-19 Yuu HARIYA  
Construction of Gibbs measures for 1-dimensional continuum fields
- MHF2005-20 Yuu HARIYA  
Integration by parts formulae for the Wiener measure restricted to subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- MHF2005-21 Yuu HARIYA  
A time-change approach to Kotani's extension of Yor's formula
- MHF2005-22 Tadahisa FUNAKI, Yuu HARIYA & Mark YOR  
Wiener integrals for centered powers of Bessel processes, I
- MHF2005-23 Masahisa TABATA & Satoshi KAIZU  
Finite element schemes for two-fluids flow problems
- MHF2005-24 Ken-ichi MARUNO & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Determinant form of dark soliton solutions of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation
- MHF2005-25 Alexander V. KITAEV & Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Quadratic transformations of the sixth Painlevé equation
- MHF2005-26 Toru FUJII & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling via regularized wavelets and smoothing parameter selection
- MHF2005-27 Shuichi INOKUCHI, Kazumasa HONDA, Hyen Yeal LEE, Tatsuro SATO, Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI & Yasuo KAWAHARA  
On reversible cellular automata with finite cell array

- MHF2005-28 Toru KOMATSU  
Cyclic cubic field with explicit Artin symbols
- MHF2005-29 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Kaori NAGATOU  
A computational approach to constructive a priori and a posteriori error estimates for finite element approximations of bi-harmonic problems
- MHF2005-30 Kaori NAGATOU, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
Numerical verification of stationary solutions for Navier-Stokes problems
- MHF2005-31 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
A duality theorem for a three-phase partition problem
- MHF2005-32 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
A duality theorem based on triangles separating three convex sets
- MHF2005-33 Takeaki FUCHIKAMI & Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
An explicit formula of the Shapley value for a cooperative game induced from the conjugate point
- MHF2005-34 Hideki MURAKAWA  
A regularization of a reaction-diffusion system approximation to the two-phase Stefan problem
- MHF2006-1 Masahisa TABATA  
Numerical simulation of Rayleigh-Taylor problems by an energy-stable finite element scheme
- MHF2006-2 Ken-ichi MARUNO & G R W QUISPEL  
Construction of integrals of higher-order mappings
- MHF2006-3 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
On the Jacobi field approach to stochastic oscillatory integrals with quadratic phase function
- MHF2006-4 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Kaori NAGATOU & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
A computational approach to constructive a priori error estimate for finite element approximations of bi-harmonic problems in nonconvex polygonal domains
- MHF2006-5 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
A duality theory based on triangular cylinders separating three convex sets in  $R^n$
- MHF2006-6 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Uniform convergence of hypergeometric series
- MHF2006-7 Yuji KODAMA & Ken-ichi MARUNO  
N-Soliton solutions to the DKP equation and Weyl group actions

- MHF2006-8 Toru KOMATSU  
Potentially generic polynomial
- MHF2006-9 Toru KOMATSU  
Generic sextic polynomial related to the subfield problem of a cubic polynomial
- MHF2006-10 Shu TEZUKA & Anargyros PAPAGEORGIOU  
Exact cubature for a class of functions of maximum effective dimension
- MHF2006-11 Shu TEZUKA  
On high-discrepancy sequences
- MHF2006-12 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Detecting persistent regimes in the North Atlantic Oscillation time series
- MHF2006-13 Toru KOMATSU  
Tamely Eisenstein field with prime power discriminant
- MHF2006-14 Nalini JOSHI, Kenji KAJIWARA & Marta MAZZOCCO  
Generating function associated with the Hankel determinant formula for the solutions of the Painlevé IV equation
- MHF2006-15 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Darboux evaluations of algebraic Gauss hypergeometric functions
- MHF2006-16 Masato KIMURA & Isao WAKANO  
New mathematical approach to the energy release rate in crack extension
- MHF2006-17 Toru KOMATSU  
Arithmetic of the splitting field of Alexander polynomial
- MHF2006-18 Hiroki MASUDA  
Likelihood estimation of stable Lévy processes from discrete data
- MHF2006-19 Hiroshi KAWABI & Michael RÖCKNER  
Essential self-adjointness of Dirichlet operators on a path space with Gibbs measures via an SPDE approach
- MHF2006-20 Masahisa TABATA  
Energy stable finite element schemes and their applications to two-fluid flow problems
- MHF2006-21 Yuzuru INAHAMA & Hiroshi KAWABI  
Asymptotic expansions for the Laplace approximations for Itô functionals of Brownian rough paths
- MHF2006-22 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Resolvent estimates for the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation in an infinite layer

- MHF2006-23 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Asymptotic behavior of the semigroup associated with the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation in an infinite layer
- MHF2006-24 Akihiro MIKODA, Shuichi INOKUCHI, Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI & Mitsuhiro FUJIO  
The number of orbits of box-ball systems
- MHF2006-25 Toru FUJII & Sadanori KONISHI  
Multi-class logistic discrimination via wavelet-based functionalization and model selection criteria
- MHF2006-26 Taro HAMAMOTO, Kenji KAJIWARA & Nicholas S. WITTE  
Hypergeometric solutions to the  $q$ -Painlevé equation of type  $(A_1 + A'_1)^{(1)}$
- MHF2006-27 Hiroshi KAWABI & Tomohiro MIYOKAWA  
The Littlewood-Paley-Stein inequality for diffusion processes on general metric spaces
- MHF2006-28 Hiroki MASUDA  
Notes on estimating inverse-Gaussian and gamma subordinators under high-frequency sampling
- MHF2006-29 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The heat semigroup and kernel associated with certain non-commutative harmonic oscillators
- MHF2006-30 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
Stochastic analysis and the KdV equation
- MHF2006-31 Masato KIMURA, Hideki KOMURA, Masayasu MIMURA, Hidenori MIYOSHI, Takeshi TAKAISHI & Daishin UYEYAMA  
Quantitative study of adaptive mesh FEM with localization index of pattern
- MHF2007-1 Taro HAMAMOTO & Kenji KAJIWARA  
Hypergeometric solutions to the  $q$ -Painlevé equation of type  $A_4^{(1)}$
- MHF2007-2 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Kenta KOBAYASHI & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
Verified numerical computation of solutions for the stationary Navier-Stokes equation in nonconvex polygonal domains
- MHF2007-3 Kenji KAJIWARA, Marta MAZZOCCO & Yasuhiro OHTA  
A remark on the Hankel determinant formula for solutions of the Toda equation
- MHF2007-4 Jun-ichi SATO & Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
Discrete fixed point theorems and their application to Nash equilibrium
- MHF2007-5 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO & Kouji HASHIMOTO  
Constructive error estimates of finite element approximations for non-coercive elliptic problems and its applications

- MHF2007-6 Kouji HASHIMOTO  
A preconditioned method for saddle point problems
- MHF2007-7 Christopher MALON, Seiichi UCHIDA & Masakazu SUZUKI  
Mathematical symbol recognition with support vector machines
- MHF2007-8 Kenta KOBAYASHI  
On the global uniqueness of Stokes' wave of extreme form
- MHF2007-9 Kenta KOBAYASHI  
A constructive a priori error estimation for finite element discretizations in a non-convex domain using singular functions
- MHF2007-10 Myoungnyoun KIM, Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Yoshitaka WATANABE & Takaaki NISHIDA  
A numerical verification method of bifurcating solutions for 3-dimensional Rayleigh-Bénard problems
- MHF2007-11 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Large time behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation in an infinite layer
- MHF2007-12 Takashi YANAGAWA, Satoshi AOKI and Tetsuji OHYAMA  
Human finger vein images are diverse and its patterns are useful for personal identification
- MHF2007-13 Masahisa TABATA  
Finite element schemes based on energy-stable approximation for two-fluid flow problems with surface tension