

Hypergeometric solutions to the q -Painlevé equation of $\{type A^{(1)}\}_4$

Hamamoto, Taro
Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Kajiwara, Kenji
Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Witte, Nicholas S.

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/3399>

出版情報 : Journal of Physics A : Mathematical and Theoretical. 40 (42), pp.12509-12524, 2007-10-19. Institute of Physics

バージョン :

権利関係 : (c) 2006 Institute of Physics and IOP Publishing Limited

MHF Preprint Series

Kyushu University
21st Century COE Program
Development of Dynamic Mathematics with
High Functionality

Hypergeometric solutions to the q -Painlevé equation of type $A_4^{(1)}$

T. Hamamoto & K. Kajiwara

MHF 2007-1

(Received January 9, 2007)

Faculty of Mathematics
Kyushu University
Fukuoka, JAPAN

Hypergeometric solutions to the q -Painlevé equation of type $A_4^{(1)}$

Taro Hamamoto and Kenji Kajiwara

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 6-10-1 Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan

Abstract. We consider the q -Painlevé equation of type $A_4^{(1)}$ (a version of q -Painlevé V equation) and construct a family of solutions expressible in terms of certain basic hypergeometric series. We also present the determinant formula for the solutions.

AMS classification scheme numbers: 34M55, 39A13, 33D15, 33E17

1. Introduction

In this article we consider the q -difference equation

$$\begin{cases} \bar{g}g = \frac{qt}{b_2} \frac{(f+b_3)(f+1)}{f + \frac{1}{s}}, \\ \bar{f}f = \frac{1}{s} \frac{\left(\bar{g} + \frac{1}{b_2}\right) \left(\bar{g} + \frac{1}{b_1 b_2}\right)}{\bar{g} + \frac{qt}{b_2}}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\bar{b}_i = b_i \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad \bar{t} = qt, \quad s = \frac{1}{qb_1 b_2 b_3 t},$$

where q is a constant and $\bar{}$ denotes the discrete time evolution. (1.1) can be also expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \bar{y}y = \frac{\left(x + \frac{a_1}{z}\right) \left(x + \frac{1}{a_1 z}\right)}{1 + a_3 x}, \\ x\bar{x} = \frac{\left(y + \frac{a_2}{\rho}\right) \left(y + \frac{1}{a_2 \rho}\right)}{1 + \frac{y}{a_3}}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\bar{a}_i = a_i \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad \bar{z} = qz, \quad z = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho,$$

where the variables are related as

$$b_1 = a_2^2, \quad b_2 = \frac{1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} a_1 a_2 a_3^2}, \quad b_3 = a_1^2, \quad t = \frac{a_3}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} a_2} z, \quad f = a_1 z x, \quad g = a_1 a_3^2 z y. \quad (1.3)$$

(1.2) was first derived and identified as one of the discrete Painlevé equations with a continuous limit to the Painlevé V equation in [21]. Sakai has classified (1.1) as the discrete dynamical system on the rational surface of type $A_4^{(1)}$ which admits the symmetry of affine Weyl group of type $A_4^{(1)}$ [31]. Geometrical structure of the τ functions on the A_4 weight lattice has been investigated in [28] as well as various Bäcklund transformations. In this article, we denote (1.1) (or (1.2)) as $dP(A_4^{(1)})$ following the notation that was adopted in [23]. We also write (1.1) as $dP(A_4^{(1)})[b_1, b_2, b_3]$ when it is necessary to specify the values of parameters explicitly.

It is well-known that the Painlevé and discrete Painlevé equations admit two classes of particular solutions; hypergeometric solutions and algebraic solutions. In particular, the determinant formula for the hypergeometric solutions play an important role in applications, for example, to the area related to matrix integration, such as random matrix theory [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 25, 32]. The simplest hypergeometric solution to $dP(A_4^{(1)})$ has been obtained in [15, 16, 29]. The purpose of this article is to construct hypergeometric solutions to $dP(A_4^{(1)})$ (1.1) and present the determinant formula. In section 2, we construct the simplest hypergeometric solution through the Riccati equation which is reduced from (1.1) by imposing a condition on the parameters. By applying a Bäcklund transformation we construct complex hypergeometric solutions and present the determinant formula in section 3. We give the proof in section 4.

2. Riccati solution

We first recall the definition of the basic hypergeometric series[11]

$${}_r\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} ; q, z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_n}{(b_1, \dots, b_s; q)_n (q; q)_n} \left[(-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \right]^{1+s-r} z^n, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_n = \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i; q)_n, \quad (a; q)_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - aq^{k-1}). \quad (2.2)$$

The simplest solution that is expressible in terms of the basic hypergeometric function is constructed by looking for the special case in which $dP(A_4^{(1)})$ (1.1) is reduced to the Riccati equation. In fact, imposing the condition on (1.1)

$$b_2 = 1 \quad (2.3)$$

then it admits a specialization $1 + f + g = 0$ to yield the Riccati equation

$$\bar{g} = -qt \frac{g+1-b_3}{g+1-qb_1b_3}, \quad \bar{f} = -(1+\bar{g}) = \frac{qtb_3(1-b_1) + (qt-1)f}{qtb_1b_3 + f}. \quad (2.4)$$

Linearizing the Riccati equation (2.4) by the standard technique, one obtains the following solution (see also [29, 15, 16]):

Proposition 2.1 *Let $\psi = \psi(t, b_1, b_3)$ a function satisfying*

$$\psi(qt, b_1, b_3) = b_1\psi(t, b_1, b_3) + (1-b_1)\psi(qt, b_1/q, b_3), \quad (2.5)$$

$$b_3\psi(qt, b_1, b_3) = \psi(t, b_1, b_3) + (b_3-1)\psi(t, b_1, qb_3), \quad (2.6)$$

$$qtb_1b_3\psi(qt, b_1, b_3) = (qtb_1-1)\psi(t, b_1, b_3) + \psi(t, qb_1, b_3), \quad (2.7)$$

$$qt\psi(qt, b_1, b_3) = (qtb_1-1)\psi(t, b_1, b_3) + \psi(qt, b_1, b_3/q). \quad (2.8)$$

Then

$$f = qtb_3(1 - b_1) \frac{\psi(qt, b_1/q, qb_3)}{\psi(t, b_1, qb_3)}, \quad g = -\frac{\psi(t, b_1, b_3)}{\psi(t, b_1, qb_3)}, \quad (2.9)$$

gives a solution of $dP(A_4^{(1)})$ (1.1) with $b_2 = 1$.

It should be remarked that several basic hypergeometric functions satisfy the contiguous relations (2.5)-(2.8)[22]. For example, we have

$$(i) \quad \psi(t, b_1, b_3) = {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 1/b_1, b_3 \\ 0 \end{matrix}; q, qtb_1 \right], \quad (2.10)$$

(ii)

$$\psi(t, b_1, b_3) = \frac{(qt, 1/t, b_3; q)_\infty}{(qtb_1, b_1b_3; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q/b_3, 0 \\ q/b_1b_3 \end{matrix}; q, 1/tb_1 \right] \quad (2.11)$$

$$= \frac{(qt, 1/t, b_3; q)_\infty}{(qtb_1, b_1b_3; q)_\infty} {}_1\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} b_3/q \\ b_1b_3/q \end{matrix}; 1/q, 1/qt \right], \quad (2.12)$$

$$(iii) \quad \psi(t, b_1, b_3) = \frac{(b_3t, q/b_3t; q)_\infty}{(qtb_1, qb_1, q/b_3; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} b_3/q, 1/qb_1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}; 1/q, tb_1 \right]. \quad (2.13)$$

In order to prove Proposition 2.1 we use the following Lemma:

Lemma 2.2 $\psi(t, b_1, b_3)$ satisfy the contiguous relations

$$qtb_3\psi(qt, b_1, qb_3) = \psi(t, b_1, b_3) - (1 - qtb_1b_3) \psi(t, b_1, qb_3), \quad (2.14)$$

$$tb_3\psi(qt, b_1, b_3) = \psi(t/q, qb_1, b_3) - (1 - t) \psi(t, b_1, b_3). \quad (2.15)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Eliminating $\psi(t, b_1, b_3)$ from (2.5) and (2.7) we have

$$(qtb_1b_3 - qt + \frac{1}{b_1}) \psi(qt, b_1, b_3) + (qtb_1 - 1)(\frac{1}{b_1} - 1)\psi(qt, b_1/q, b_3) = \psi(t, qb_1, b_3). \quad (2.16)$$

Similarly, eliminating $\psi(qt, b_1/q, b_3)$ from (2.5) and (2.7) $_{b_1 \rightarrow b_1/q}$ we obtain

$$tb_1b_3\psi(qt, b_1, b_3) - (tb_1^2b_3 + 1 - b_1) \psi(t, b_1, b_3) = (1 - b_1)(tb_1 - 1)\psi(t, b_1/q, b_3). \quad (2.17)$$

Then eliminating $\psi(qt, b_1/q, b_3)$ from (2.16) and (2.17) $_{t \rightarrow qt}$ we get

$$(1 - qt) \psi(qt, b_1, b_3) + qtb_3 \psi(q^2t, b_1, b_3) = \psi(t, qb_1, b_3),$$

which is nothing but (2.15) $_{t \rightarrow qt}$. Similarly, (2.14) can be derived by eliminating $\psi(qt, b_1, b_3)$ from (2.6) and (2.8) $_{b_3 \rightarrow qb_3}$. \square

Proposition 2.1 follows immediately from Lemma 2.2. In fact, dividing (2.6) by (2.14) we have

$$\frac{1}{qt} \frac{\psi(qt, b_1, b_3)}{\psi(qt, b_1, qb_3)} = -\frac{\bar{g}}{qt} = \frac{\psi(t, b_1, b_3) + (b_3 - 1) \psi(t, b_1, qb_3)}{\psi(t, b_1, b_3) - (1 - qtb_1b_3) \psi(t, b_1, qb_3)} = \frac{-g + (b_3 - 1)}{-g - (1 - qtb_1b_3)},$$

which is the first equation of (2.4). The second equation of (2.4) can be derived in similar manner by dividing (2.15) $_{t \rightarrow qt, b_1 \rightarrow b_1/q, b_3 \rightarrow qb_3}$ by (2.5) $_{b_3 \rightarrow qb_3}$. \square

3. Determinant formula and bilinear equations

3.1. Bäcklund transformations

Sakai constructed the following transformations for the homogeneous variables x, y, z of \mathbb{P}^2 and the parameters b_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) on the $A_4^{(1)}$ type (Mul.5) surface[31]‡:

$$\sigma : \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3 \\ b_4 \ b_0 \end{array} ; x : y : z \right) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c} b_3 \ b_4 \ b_0 \\ b_1 \ b_2 \end{array} ; b_4xy(z+x) : b_2z(x+y+z)(x+b_4y+z) : x(x+z)^2 \right), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\sigma' : \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3 \\ b_4 \ b_0 \end{array} ; x : y : z \right) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{b_1} \ \frac{1}{b_0} \ \frac{1}{b_4} \\ \frac{1}{b_3} \ \frac{1}{b_2} \end{array} ; b_2z(x+z)(x+y+z) : y((z+x)(b_0x+b_2z)+b_2yz) : b_0x(x+z)^2 \right) \quad (3.2)$$

$$w_3 : \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3 \\ b_4 \ b_0 \end{array} ; x : y : z \right) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1 \ b_2 b_3 \ \frac{1}{b_3} \\ b_3 b_4 \ b_0 \end{array} ; b_3x(b_3x+y+b_3z) : y(b_3x+y+z) : b_3z(x+y+z) \right), \quad (3.3)$$

$$w_1 : \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3 \\ b_4 \ b_0 \end{array} ; x : y : z \right) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{b_1} \ b_1 b_2 \ b_3 \\ b_4 \ b_1 b_0 \end{array} ; x : y : z \right), \quad (3.4)$$

$$w_2 : \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3 \\ b_4 \ b_0 \end{array} ; x : y : z \right) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1 b_2 \ \frac{1}{b_2} \ b_2 b_3 \\ b_4 \ b_0 \end{array} ; x : b_2y : b_2z \right), \quad (3.5)$$

$$w_4 : \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3 \\ b_4 \ b_0 \end{array} ; x : y : z \right) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c} b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3 b_4 \\ \frac{1}{b_4} \ b_4 b_0 \end{array} ; x : b_4y : z \right), \quad (3.6)$$

$$w_0 = \sigma^2 \circ w_1 \circ \sigma^3. \quad (3.7)$$

Introducing the variables f and g by

$$f = \frac{y}{z+x}, \quad g = \frac{z(x+y+z)}{x(z+x)}, \quad (3.8)$$

then (3.1) - (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, f, g) \mapsto (b_2, b_3, b_4, b_0, b_1, b_2g, \frac{1+b_2g}{b_4f}), \\ \sigma' &: (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, g) \mapsto (\frac{1}{b_1}, \frac{1}{b_0}, \frac{1}{b_4}, \frac{1}{b_3}, \frac{1}{b_2}, \frac{b_0(1+g)}{b_2f}), \\ w_0 &: (b_0, b_1, b_4, f, g) \mapsto (\frac{1}{b_0}, b_0b_1, b_0b_4, \frac{f(b_0+b_2g)}{b_0(1+b_2g)}, \frac{g}{b_0}), \\ w_1 &: (b_0, b_1, b_2) \mapsto (b_0b_1, \frac{1}{b_1}, b_1b_2), \\ w_2 &: (b_1, b_2, b_3, f, g) \mapsto (b_1b_2, \frac{1}{b_2}, b_2b_3, b_2f \frac{1+f+g}{1+f+b_2g}, b_2g \frac{1+b_2f+b_2g}{1+f+b_2g}), \\ w_3 &: (b_2, b_3, b_4, f, g) \mapsto (b_2b_3, \frac{1}{b_3}, b_3b_4, \frac{f}{b_3}, \frac{g}{b_3}), \\ w_4 &: (b_0, b_3, b_4, f, g) \mapsto (b_0b_4, b_3b_4, \frac{1}{b_4}, b_4f, \frac{g(1+b_4f)}{1+f}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

respectively, where the abbreviated variables are invariant with respect to the transformation. It can be shown by direct calculation that these transformations

‡ Actions of these transformations are slightly modified from the original formula to be subtraction-free.

satisfy the fundamental relation of the (extended) affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$:

$$\begin{aligned} w_i^2 = 1, (w_i w_{i\pm 1})^3 = 1, (w_i w_j)^2 = 1 \quad (j \not\equiv i, i \pm 1), \quad \sigma^5 = 1, \quad \sigma'^2 = 1, \\ \sigma w_i = w_{i+2} \sigma, \quad \sigma' w_0 = w_2 \sigma', \quad \sigma' w_3 = w_4 \sigma', \quad \sigma' w_1 = w_1 \sigma', \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

We note that $q = 1/(b_0 b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4)$ is invariant with respect to the Weyl group actions. The translation $T_0 = w_4 w_3 w_2 w_1 \sigma^3$ acts on b_i as

$$T_0 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) \mapsto (q b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4/q), \quad (3.11)$$

and the action on f and g is nothing but $dP(A_4^{(1)})(1.1)$ for $t = b_0$ and $s = b_4$. If we define the translations T_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) by

$$T_1 = \sigma^3 T_0 \sigma^2, \quad T_2 = \sigma T_0 \sigma^4, \quad T_3 = \sigma^4 T_0 \sigma, \quad T_4 = \sigma^2 T_0 \sigma^3, \quad (3.12)$$

then actions of T_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) on the parameters are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) &\mapsto (b_0/q, q b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \\ T_2 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) &\mapsto (b_0, b_1/q, q b_2, b_3, b_4), \\ T_3 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) &\mapsto (b_0, b_1, b_2/q, q b_3, b_4), \\ T_4 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) &\mapsto (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3/q, q b_4). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

and one can directly verify that $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$ ($i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, i \neq j$) and $T_0 T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 = 1$. Therefore if we regard T_0 as the discrete time evolution, T_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) can be regarded as the Bäcklund transformations.

3.2. Determinant formula

Let us apply the Bäcklund transformation T_2 on the Riccati solution obtained in Proposition 2.1. Applying T_2 N times yields the solution for $dP(A_4^{(1)})[q^{-N} b_1, q^N, b_3]$, which is expressed as rational function in ψ . However the denominator and numerator can be factorized into two factors, respectively, and each factor admits determinant formula. More precisely, we have the following formula, which is the main result of this article:

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\tau_N(t, b_1, b_3)$ ($N \in \mathbb{Z}$) be*

$$\tau_N(t, b_1, b_3) = \begin{cases} \det(\psi(t, q^{-j+1} b_1, q^{i-1} b_3))_{i,j=1,\dots,N} & (N > 0), \\ 1 & (N = 0), \\ \det(\psi(t, q^{j-1} b_1, q^{-i+1} b_3))_{i,j=1,\dots,M} & (N = -M < 0), \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \begin{cases} q^{N+1} t b_3 (1 - q^{-N} b_1) \frac{\tau_N(t, b_1, q b_3)}{\tau_N(qt, b_1/q, q b_3)} \frac{\tau_{N+1}(qt, b_1/q, q b_3)}{\tau_{N+1}(t, b_1, q b_3)} & (N \geq 0), \\ -\frac{\tau_N(t, q b_1, b_3)}{\tau_N(qt, b_1, b_3)} \frac{\tau_{N+1}(qt, b_1, b_3)}{\tau_{N+1}(t, q b_1, b_3)} & (N < 0), \end{cases} \\ g &= \begin{cases} -\frac{\tau_N(t, b_1/q, q^2 b_3)}{\tau_N(t, b_1/q, q b_3)} \frac{\tau_{N+1}(t, b_1, b_3)}{\tau_{N+1}(t, b_1, q b_3)} & (N \geq 0), \\ qt(b_3 - 1) \frac{\tau_N(t, b_1, q b_3)}{\tau_N(t, b_1, b_3)} \frac{\tau_{N+1}(t, q b_1, b_3/q)}{\tau_{N+1}(t, q b_1, b_3)} & (N < 0), \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

satisfy $dP(A_4^{(1)})[q^{-N} b_1, q^N, b_3]$.

We introduce a notation for simplicity

$$\tau_N(t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) = \tau_N^{m,n}(t). \quad (3.16)$$

Theorem 3.1 for $N \geq 0$ is a direct consequence of the following Proposition:

Proposition 3.2 For $N \geq 0$, $\tau_N^{m,n}(t)$ satisfy the following bilinear difference equations.

$$(1 - q^{-N} b_1) \tau_N^{0,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{-1,1}(qt) + q^{-N} b_1 \tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t) - q^{-N} \tau_N^{-1,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(qt) = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

$$qtb_3(1 - q^{-N} b_1) \tau_N^{0,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{-1,1}(qt) + q^{-N} \tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t) - \tau_N^{-1,2}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,0}(t) = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

$$qt(1 - q^{-N} b_1) \tau_N^{0,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{-1,1}(qt) + q^{-N} \tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t) - q^{-N} \tau_N^{-1,2}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,0}(qt) = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

$$q^{-N} \tau_N^{-1,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t) - q^{-N} b_1 \tau_N^{-1,2}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,0}(t) - (1 - q^{-N} b_1)(1 - q^{-N} tb_1) \tau_N^{0,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{-1,1}(t) = 0, \quad (3.20)$$

$$q^{-N} \tau_N^{-1,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t) - \tau_N^{-1,2}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,0}(t) + q^{N+1} tb_3(1 - q^{-N} b_1) \tau_N^{0,1}(t/q) \tau_{N+1}^{-1,1}(qt) = 0, \quad (3.21)$$

$$q^{-N} t \tau_N^{-1,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t) - \tau_N^{-1,2}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,0}(t) + (1 - q^{-N} tb_1) \tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t/q) = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

In fact, Theorem 3.1 for $N \geq 0$ can be derived from Proposition 3.2 as follows. We have from (3.17) by using (3.15)

$$f + \frac{1}{s} = qtb_3 \frac{\tau_N^{-1,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(qt)}{\tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t)}. \quad (3.23)$$

We also have from (3.18) and (3.19)

$$f + 1 = q^N \frac{\tau_N^{-1,2}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,0}(t)}{\tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t)}, \quad (3.24)$$

$$f + b_3 = b_3 \frac{\tau_N^{-1,2}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,0}(qt)}{\tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t)}, \quad (3.25)$$

respectively. Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{(f+1)(f+b_3)}{f + \frac{1}{s}} = \frac{q^{N-1}}{t} \frac{\tau_N^{-1,2}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,0}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,0}(t) \tau_N^{-1,2}(t)}{\tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t) \tau_N^{-1,1}(t)} = \frac{q^{N-1}}{t} \bar{g}g, \quad (3.26)$$

which is the first equation of (1.1). Similarly, from (3.20) $_{t \rightarrow qt}$, (3.21) $_{t \rightarrow qt}$ and (3.22) $_{t \rightarrow qt}$ we get

$$1 + b_1 \bar{g} = q^N (1 - q^{-N} b_1) (1 - q^{-N+1} tb_1) \frac{\tau_N^{0,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{-1,1}(qt)}{\tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(qt)}, \quad (3.27)$$

$$1 + q^N \bar{g} = -q^{2N+2} tb_3 (1 - q^{-N} b_1) \frac{\tau_N^{0,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{-1,1}(q^2 t)}{\tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(qt)}, \quad (3.28)$$

$$qt + q^N \bar{g} = -q^N (1 - q^{-N+1} tb_1) \frac{\tau_N^{-1,1}(q^2 t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t)}{\tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(qt)}, \quad (3.29)$$

respectively. Then we have

$$qtb_3 \frac{(1 + b_1 \bar{g})(1 + q^N \bar{g})}{qt + q^N \bar{g}} = \bar{f}f, \quad (3.30)$$

which is the second equation of (1.1). Therefore we have verified that Theorem 3.1 for $N \geq 0$ follows from the bilinear equations (3.17) - (3.22) in Proposition 3.2. We omit the proof for the case of $N < 0$ since it can be proved in similar manner.

4. Proof of Proposition 3.2

The bilinear equations (3.17) - (3.22) can be reduced to the Plücker relations which are quadratic identities among the determinants whose columns are properly shifted. This can be done by constructing “difference formulae” that relate the “shifted” determinants with $\tau_N^{m,n}(t)$ by using the contiguous relations of ψ . This technique has been developed in [26, 27] and applied to various discrete Painlevé equations [12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 24, 25, 30]. In this section, we prove the bilinear equation (3.17) as an example. Since other bilinear equations (3.18)-(3.22) can be proved in similar manner, we leave the details in the appendix.

We first introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_N^{m,n}(t) &= \begin{vmatrix} \psi(t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) & \psi(t, q^{m-1} b_1, q^n b_3) & \cdots & \psi(t, q^{m-N+1} b_1, q^n b_3) \\ \psi(t, q^m b_1, q^{n+1} b_3) & \psi(t, q^{m-1} b_1, q^{n+1} b_3) & \cdots & \psi(t, q^{m-N+1} b_1, q^{n+1} b_3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \psi(t, q^m b_1, q^{n+N-1} b_3) & \psi(t, q^{m-1} b_1, q^{n+N-1} b_3) & \cdots & \psi(t, q^{m-N+1} b_1, q^{n+N-1} b_3) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+1,n}(t) \end{vmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\Psi_{m,n}(t)$ denotes a column vector

$$\Psi_{m,n}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \\ \psi(t, q^m b_1, q^{n+1} b_3) \\ \vdots \\ \psi(t, q^m b_1, q^{n+N-1} b_3) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

Here we note that the height of the column vector is N , but we use the same symbol for the column vector with different height. Then we have the following difference formula:

Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{N-2} (q^{m-k} b_1 - 1)}{q^{\frac{(N-1)(2m-N+2)}{2}} b_1^{N-1}} \tau_N^{m,n}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m,n}(t/q) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{N-2} (q^{m-k} b_1 - 1)}{q^{\frac{(N-1)(2m-N+2)}{2}} b_1^{N-1}} \tau_N^{m,n}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Using the contiguous relation (2.5) on the N -th column of the determinant in (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_N^{m,n}(t) &= \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-N+1,n}(t) \\ \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t) & \frac{-\Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t)+q^{m-N+2}b_1\Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q)}{q^{m-N+2}b_1-1} & \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{q^{m-N+2}b_1}{q^{m-N+2}b_1-1} \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q) \end{vmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying this procedure from the N -th column to the second column we obtain

$$\tau_N^{m,n}(t) = \frac{q^{\frac{(N-1)(2m-N+2)}{2}}b_1^{N-1}}{N-2} \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\prod_{k=0}^{N-2} (q^{m-k}b_1 - 1)$$

which is nothing but (4.3). At the stage where the above procedure has been applied up to the third column, we have by using (2.5) on the first column

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_N^{m,n}(t) &= \frac{q^{\frac{(N-2)(2m-N+1)}{2}}b_1^{N-2}}{N-2} \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{q^{\frac{(N-2)(2m-N+1)}{2}}b_1^{N-2}}{N-2} \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q) \end{vmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{vmatrix} q^m b_1 \Psi_{m,n}(t/q) - (q^m b_1 - 1) \Psi_{m-1,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{q^{\frac{(N-1)(2m-N+2)}{2}}b_1^{N-1}}{N-2} \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m,n}(t/q) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t) & \Psi_{m-1,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (4.6)\end{aligned}$$

which is (4.4). This completes the proof. \square

Now consider the Plücker relation

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m+1,n}(t/q) & \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n}(t/q) \\ \Psi_{m,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n}(t/q) & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q) & \phi \end{vmatrix} \\ &- \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m+1,n}(t/q) & \Psi_{m,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n}(t/q) & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q) \\ \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n}(t/q) & \phi \end{vmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m+1,n}(t/q) & \Psi_{m,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n}(t/q) & \phi \\ \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m,n}(t/q) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n}(t/q) & \Psi_{m-N+2,n}(t/q) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (4.7)\end{aligned}$$

where ϕ is the column vector

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.8)$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 to (4.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_N^{m+1,n}(t) \tau_{N-1}^{m,n}(t/q) - q^{m+1} b_1 \tau_N^{m+1,n}(t/q) \tau_{N-1}^{m,n}(t) \\ + q^{N-1} (1 - q^{m-N+2} b_1) \tau_{N-1}^{m+1,n}(t/q) \tau_N^{m,n}(t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $N \rightarrow N + 1$, $t \rightarrow qt$, $m = -1$ and $n = 1$ we obtain (3.17). \square

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank T. Masuda, M. Noumi, Y. Ohta, N.S. Witte and Y. Yamada for fruitful discussions. This work has been supported by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B)15340057 and (A)16204007. The authors also acknowledge the support by the 21st Century COE program at the Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University.

Appendix: Proof of bilinear equations

In this appendix we prove the bilinear equations (3.18)-(3.22). We first note that $\tau_N^{m,n}(t)$ admits various determinantal expressions, which play an important role in proving the bilinear equations. Taking the transpose of the right hand side of (4.1), we have

$$\tau_N^{m,n}(t) = \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-1}(t) \end{array} \right|, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \\ \psi(t, q^{m-1} b_1, q^n b_3) \\ \vdots \\ \psi(t, q^{m-N+1} b_1, q^n b_3) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

It is also possible to express $\tau_N^{m,n}(t)$ by the determinants with different structure of shifts.

Lemma A.1 $\tau_N^{m,n}(t)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_N^{m,n}(t) &= \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{q^{n+k-1} b_3}{q^{n+k-1} b_3 - 1} \right)^{N-k} \\ &\times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^{N-1}t) \end{array} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{q^{m-k+1} b_1}{q^{m-k+1} b_1 - 1} \right)^{N-k} \\ &\times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \check{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) & \check{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t) & \cdots & \check{\Psi}_{m,n+N-1}(t) \end{array} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} (q^{n+k-1} b_3)^{N-k} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{q^{m-k+1} b_1}{q^{m-k+1} b_1 - 1} \right)^{N-k} \\ &\times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \hat{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) & \hat{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(q^{-1}t) & \cdots & \hat{\Psi}_{m,n+N-1}(q^{-N+1}t) \end{array} \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where the column vectors are given by

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \\ \psi(q^{-1}t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \\ \vdots \\ \psi(q^{-N+1}t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \\ \psi(qt, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \\ \vdots \\ \psi(q^{N-1}t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

respectively.

Proof. We prove (A.3). Using the contiguous relation (2.6) to the N -th column of the right hand side of (A.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_N^{m,n}(t) &= \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-2}(t) \\ \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(qt) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-2}(qt) \end{array} \right| \frac{q^{n+N-2} b_3 \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-2}(qt) - \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-2}(t)}{q^{n+N-2} b_3 - 1} \\ &= \frac{q^{n+N-2} b_3}{q^{n+N-2} b_3 - 1} \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-2}(t) \\ \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(qt) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-2}(qt) \end{array} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this procedure up to the second column, we have

$$\tau_N^{m,n}(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{q^{n+k-1} b_3}{q^{n+k-1} b_3 - 1} \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-3}(qt) \\ \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^2 t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^3 t) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-2}(q^2 t) \end{array} \right|.$$

Continuing this procedure we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_N^{m,n}(t) &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{q^{n+k-1} b_3}{q^{n+k-1} b_3 - 1} \right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N-2} \frac{q^{n+k-1} b_3}{q^{n+k-1} b_3 - 1} \right) \times \cdots \times \left(\frac{q^n b_3}{q^n b_3 - 1} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^{N-2}t) \\ \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^{N-1}t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^N t) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^{N+1}t) \end{array} \right| \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{q^{n+k-1} b_3}{q^{n+k-1} b_3 - 1} \right)^{N-k} \\ &\quad \times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^{N-2}t) \\ \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^{N-1}t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^N t) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^{N+1}t) \end{array} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which is (A.3). As to (A.4) and (A.5) we omit the details and only describe the method, since one can prove them by the similar calculations. In order to prove (A.4) we use the contiguous relation (2.5) on (4.1) repeatedly. For (A.5) we use (2.5) on (A.3) to express $\tau_N^{m,n}(t)$ by the determinant in which t is shifted in both horizontal and vertical directions. Finally we use (2.6) on this determinant to derive (A.5). \square

Now the bilinear equations (3.18)-(3.22) can be proved by the same procedure as that in section 4. Therefore we do not repeat the procedure, but give the list of data which are necessary for proof of each bilinear equation.

(3.18)

- (i) Expression of $\tau_N^{m,n}$: (A.1)
- (ii) Difference formula:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(qt) \end{array} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{\frac{(N-1)(2n+N)}{2}} (tb_3)^{N-1} \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (q^{m-k} b_1 - 1)} \tau_N^{m,n}(t), \quad (\text{A.7}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \overline{\tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t)} & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+2}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(qt) \end{array} \right| \\ &= -\frac{1}{q^{\frac{(N-1)(2n+N)}{2}} (tb_3)^{N-1} \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (q^{m-k}b_1 - 1)} \tau_N^{m,n}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where

$$\overline{\tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{q^m b_1 - 1} \psi(t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{q^{m-N+1} b_1 - 1} \psi(t, q^{m-N+1} b_1, q^n b_3) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

(iii) Contiguous relation to be used for derivation of difference formula:

$$\psi(t, b_1, qb_3) = \psi(t, b_1, b_3) + qtb_3(b_1 - 1) \psi(qt, b_1/q, qb_3). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

(A.10) can be derived by eliminating $\psi(qt, b_1, qb_3)$ from (2.5) $_{b_3 \rightarrow qb_3}$ and (2.14).

(iv) Plücker relation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n}(qt) & \overline{\tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(qt) \end{array} \right| \\ & \times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+2}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(qt) & \phi' \end{array} \right| \\ & - \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n}(qt) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(qt) \end{array} \right| \\ & \times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \overline{\tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(qt) & \phi' \end{array} \right| \\ & + \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n}(qt) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(qt) & \phi' \end{array} \right| \\ & \times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \overline{\tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(qt) \end{array} \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where

$$\phi' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

(3.19):

(i) Expression of $\tau_N^{m,n}$: (A.1)

(ii) Difference formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \underline{\tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t/q) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-1}(t/q) \end{array} \right| \\ &= \frac{t^{N-1}}{\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (q^{m-k}tb_1 - 1)} \tau_N^{m,n}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t/q) & \underline{\tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t)} & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+2}(t/q) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-1}(t/q) \end{array} \right| \\ &= -\frac{t^{N-2}}{\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (q^{m-k}tb_1 - 1)} \tau_N^{m,n}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

where

$$\underline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{m,n}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{q^m t b_1 - 1} \psi(t, q^m b_1, q^n b_1) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{q^{m-N+1} t b_1 - 1} \psi(t, q^{m-N+1} b_1, q^n b_3) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

(iii) Contiguous relation to be used for derivation of difference formula: (2.8)

(iv) Plücker relation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t/q) & \underline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{m,n}(t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t/q) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-2}(t/q) \end{array} \right| \\ & \times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t/q) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+2}(t/q) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-1}(t/q) & \phi' \end{array} \right| \\ & - \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t/q) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t/q) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-1}(t/q) & \phi' \end{array} \right| \\ & \times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \underline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{m,n}(t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t/q) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-2}(t/q) & \phi' \end{array} \right| \\ & + \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n}(t/q) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t/q) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-2}(t/q) & \phi' \end{array} \right| \\ & \times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \underline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{m,n}(t) & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t/q) & \cdots & \tilde{\Psi}_{m,n+N-1}(t/q) & \phi' \end{array} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.16})$$

(v) Derivation of (3.19): applying the difference formula to the Plücker relation we have

$$qt \tau_N^{-1,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(qt) + (1 - qtb_1) \tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t) - \tau_N^{-1,2}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,0}(qt) = 0. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

We obtain (3.19) by eliminating the term $\tau_N^{-1,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(qt)$ from (3.17) and (A.17).

(3.20):

(i) Expression of $\tau_N^{m,n}$: (4.1)

(ii) Difference formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Psi_{m,n}(t) \quad \Psi_{m,n-1}(t) \quad \cdots \quad \Psi_{m-N+2,n-1}(t) \right| \\ & = \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{N-2} (q^{m-k} b_1 - 1) (1 - q^{m-k} t b_1)}{q^{\frac{(N-1)(2m-N+2)}{2}} b_1^{N-1}} \tau_N^{m,n}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Psi_{m,n-1}(t) \quad \Psi_{m-1,n}(t) \quad \Psi_{m-1,n-1}(t) \quad \cdots \quad \Psi_{m-N+2,n-1}(t) \right| \\ & = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{N-2} (q^{m-k} b_1 - 1) (1 - q^{m-k} t b_1)}{q^{\frac{(N-1)(2m-N+2)}{2}} b_1^{N-1}} \tau_N^{m,n}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

(iii) Contiguous relation to be used for derivation of difference formula:

$$\psi(t, b_1/q, b_3) = \frac{\psi(t, b_1, b_3) - b_1 \psi(t, b_1, b_3/q)}{(1 - b_1)(1 - t b_1)}. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

(A.20) can be derived by eliminating $\psi(t/q, b_1, b_3)$ from (2.5) $_{t \rightarrow t/q}$ and (2.8) $_{t \rightarrow t/q}$.

(iv) Plücker relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m+1,n-1}(t) & \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m,n-1}(t) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n-1}(t) \\ \Psi_{m,n-1}(t) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n-1}(t) & \Psi_{m-N+2,n-1}(t) & \phi' \end{vmatrix} \\
& - \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m+1,n-1}(t) & \Psi_{m,n-1}(t) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n-1}(t) & \Psi_{m-N+2,n-1}(t) \\ \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m,n-1}(t) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n-1}(t) & \phi' \end{vmatrix} \\
& + \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_{m+1,n-1}(t) & \Psi_{m,n-1}(t) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n-1}(t) & \phi' \\ \Psi_{m,n}(t) & \Psi_{m,n-1}(t) & \cdots & \Psi_{m-N+3,n-1}(t) & \Psi_{m-N+2,n-1}(t) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.21})
\end{aligned}$$

(3.21):(i) Expression of $\tau_N^{m,n}$: (A.4)

(ii) Difference formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{vmatrix} \overline{\check{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(qt) \end{vmatrix} \\
& = (q^n t b_3 (q^m b_1 - 1))^{1-N} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{q^{m-k+1} b_1}{q^{m-k+1} b_1 - 1} \right)^{k-N} \tau_N^{m,n}(t), \quad (\text{A.22})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{vmatrix} \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \overline{\check{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t)} & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+2}(qt) & \cdots & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(qt) \end{vmatrix} \\
& = -(q^n t b_3 (q^m b_1 - 1))^{1-N} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{q^{m-k+1} b_1}{q^{m-k+1} b_1 - 1} \right)^{k-N} \tau_N^{m,n}(t), \quad (\text{A.23})
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\overline{\check{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^l t)} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(q^l t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \\ q\psi(q^{l-1} t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \\ \vdots \\ q^{N-1} \psi(q^{l-N+1} t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.24})$$

(iii) Contiguous relation to be used for derivation of difference formula:

$$\psi(t, b_1, q b_3) = \psi(t, b_1, b_3) + q t b_3 (b_1 - 1) \psi(q t, b_1/q, q b_3). \quad (\text{A.25})$$

(A.25) can be derived by eliminating $\psi(q t, b_1, q b_3)$ from (2.5) $_{b_3 \rightarrow q b_3}$ and (2.14).

(iv) Plücker relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & \begin{vmatrix} \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n}(qt) & \overline{\check{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(qt) \\ \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(qt) & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(qt) & \phi' \end{vmatrix} \\
& - \begin{vmatrix} \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n}(qt) & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(qt) & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(qt) \\ \overline{\check{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(qt) & \phi' \end{vmatrix} \\
& + \begin{vmatrix} \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n}(qt) & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(qt) & \phi' \\ \overline{\check{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(qt) & \cdots & \check{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(qt) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.26})
\end{aligned}$$

(3.22):(i) Expression of $\tau_N^{m,n}$: (A.5)

(ii) Difference formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \overline{\widehat{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(t) \cdots \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(q^{-N+2}t) \right| \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} (qt(q^m b_1 - 1))^{1-N} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} (q^{n+k-1} b_3)^{k-N} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{q^{m-k+1} b_1}{q^{m-k+1} b_1 - 1} \right)^{k-N} \tau_N^{m,n}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(t) \overline{\widehat{\Psi}_{m,n+1}(t/q)} \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+2}(t/q) \cdots \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(q^{-N+2}t) \right| \\ &= -(-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} (qt(q^m b_1 - 1))^{1-N} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} (q^{n+k-1} b_3)^{k-N} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{q^{m-k+1} b_1}{q^{m-k+1} b_1 - 1} \right)^{k-N} \tau_N^{m,n}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.28})$$

where

$$\overline{\widehat{\Psi}_{m,n}(q^l t)} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(q^l t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \\ q^{-1} \psi(q^{l+1} t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \\ \vdots \\ q^{-N+1} \psi(q^{l+N-1} t, q^m b_1, q^n b_3) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.29})$$

(iii) Contiguous relation to be used for derivation of difference formula:

$$\psi(t, b_1, b_3) = \psi(qt, b_1, b_3/q) + qt(b_1 - 1) \psi(qt, b_1/q, b_3). \quad (\text{A.30})$$

(A.30) can be derived by eliminating $\psi(qt, b_1, b_3)$ from (2.5) and (2.8).

(iv) Plücker relation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left| \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n}(qt) \overline{\widehat{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(t) \cdots \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(q^{-N+3}t) \right| \\ & \quad \times \left| \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(t) \cdots \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(q^{-N+3}t) \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(q^{-N+2}t) \phi' \right| \\ & - \left| \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n}(qt) \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(t) \cdots \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(q^{-N+3}t) \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(q^{-N+2}t) \right| \\ & \quad \times \left| \overline{\widehat{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(t) \cdots \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(q^{-N+3}t) \phi' \right| \\ & + \left| \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n}(qt) \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(t) \cdots \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-2}(q^{-N+3}t) \phi' \right| \\ & \quad \times \left| \overline{\widehat{\Psi}_{m,n}(t)} \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+1}(t) \cdots \widehat{\Psi}_{m-1,n+N-1}(q^{-N+2}t) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.31})$$

(v) Derivation of (3.22): applying the above difference formula to the Plücker relation we have

$$\tau_N^{-1,1}(qt) \tau_{N+1}^{0,1}(t/q) + t(1 - q^{-N} b_1) \tau_N^{0,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{-1,1}(t) - \tau_N^{-1,2}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{0,0}(t) = 0. \quad (\text{A.32})$$

We obtain (3.22) by eliminating the term $\tau_N^{0,1}(t) \tau_{N+1}^{-1,1}(t)$ from (3.20) and (A.32).This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2. \square

References

- [1] Adler M and van Moerbeke P 2003 Recursion relations for unitary integrals, combinatorics and the Toeplitz lattice *Comm. Math. Phys.* **237** 397–440.
- [2] Borodin A and Boyarchenko D 2003 Distribution of the first particle in discrete orthogonal polynomial ensembles *Comm. Math. Phys.* **234** 287–338.
- [3] Borodin A 2003 Discrete gap probabilities and discrete Painlevé equations *Duke Math. J.* **117** 489–542.
- [4] Chen Y and Feigin M V 2006 Painlevé IV and degenerate Gaussian unitary ensembles *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** 12381–12393.
- [5] Forrester P J 2003 Growth models, random matrices and Painlevé transcendents *Nonlinearity* **16** R27–R49.
- [6] Forrester P J. and Witte N S 2006 Bi-orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, regular semi-classical weights and integrable systems *Constr. Approx.* **24** 201–237.
- [7] Forrester P J and Witte N S 2005 Discrete Painlevé equations for a class of P_{VI} τ -functions given as $U(N)$ averages *Nonlinearity* **18** 2061–2088.
- [8] Forrester P J and Witte N S 2004 Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: P_{VI} , the JUE, CyUE, cJUE and scaled limits *Nagoya Math. J.* **174** 29–114.
- [9] Forrester P J and Witte N S 2004 Discrete Painlevé equations, orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, and N -recurrences for averages over $U(N)$ — $P_{III'}$ and P_V τ -functions *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2004** 160–183.
- [10] Forrester P J and Witte N S 2003 Discrete Painlevé equations and random matrix averages. *Nonlinearity* **16** 1919–1944.
- [11] Gasper G and Rahman M 2004 Basic hypergeometric series 2nd ed. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications Vol 96* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- [12] Hamamoto T, Kajiwara K and Witte N S 2006 Hypergeometric solutions to the q -Painlevé equation of type $(A_1 + A_1')^{(1)}$ *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2006** Article ID 84169.
- [13] Kajiwara K 2003 On a q -difference Painlevé III equation: II. rational solutions *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **10** 282–303.
- [14] Kajiwara K and Kimura K 2003 On a q -difference Painlevé III equation: I. derivation, symmetry and Riccati type solutions *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **10** 86–202.
- [15] Kajiwara K, Masuda T, Noumi M, Ohta Y and Yamada Y 2004 Hypergeometric solutions to the q -Painlevé equations *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2004** 2497–2521.
- [16] Kajiwara K, Masuda T, Noumi M, Ohta Y and Yamada Y 2005 Construction of hypergeometric solutions to the q -Painlevé equations *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005** 1439–1463.
- [17] Kajiwara K, Noumi M and Yamada Y 2001 A study on the fourth q -Painlevé equation *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 8563–8581.
- [18] Kajiwara K, Ohta Y and Satsuma J 1995 Casorati determinant solutions for the discrete Painlevé III equation *J. Math. Phys.* **36** 4162–4174.
- [19] Kajiwara K, Ohta Y, Satsuma J, Grammaticos B and Ramani A 1994 Casorati determinant solutions for the discrete Painlevé–II equation *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** 915–922.
- [20] Kajiwara K, Yamamoto K and Ohta Y 1997 Rational solutions for the discrete Painlevé II equation *Phys. Lett. A* **232** 189–199.
- [21] Kruskal M D, Tamizhmani K M, Grammaticos B and Ramani A 2000 Asymmetric discrete Painlevé equations *Reg. Chaot. Dyn.* **5** 273–281.
- [22] Masuda T *private communication*.
- [23] Murata Y, Sakai H and Yoneda J 2002 Riccati solutions of discrete Painlevé equation with Weyl group symmetry of type $E_8^{(1)}$ *J. Math. Phys.* **44** 1396–1414.
- [24] Nijhoff F, Satsuma J, Kajiwara K, Grammaticos B and Ramani A 1996 A study of the alternate discrete Painlevé II equation *Inverse Problems* **12** 697–716.
- [25] Ohta Y, Kajiwara K and Satsuma J 1996 Bilinear structure and exact solutions of the discrete Painlevé I equation *Symmetries and integrability of difference equations (CRM Proc. Lect. Notes Vol 9)* ed D Levi, L Vinet and P Winternitz (Providence: AMS) 265–268.
- [26] Ohta Y, Hirota R, Tsujimoto S and Imai T 1993 Casorati and discrete Gram type determinant representation of solutions to the discrete KP hierarchy *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **62** 1872–1886.
- [27] Ohta Y, Kajiwara K, Matsukidaira J and Satsuma J 1993 Casorati determinant solution for the relativistic Toda lattice equation *J. Math. Phys.* **34** 5190–5204.
- [28] Ramani A, Grammaticos B and Ohta Y 2001 The q -Painlevé V equation and its geometrical description *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 2505–2513.
- [29] Ramani A, Grammaticos B, Tamizhmani T and Tamizhmani K M 2001 Special function solutions

- of the discrete Painlevé equations *J. Comput. Math. Appl.* **42** 603-614.
- [30] Sakai H 1998 Casorati determinant solutions for the q -difference sixth Painlevé equation *Nonlinearity* **11** 823-833.
- [31] Sakai H 2001 Rational surfaces associated with affine root systems and geometry of the Painlevé equations *Commun. Math. Phys.* **220** 165-229.
- [32] Tracy C A and Widom H 1999 Random unitary matrices, permutations and Painlevé *Comm. Math. Phys.* **207** 665-685.

List of MHF Preprint Series, Kyushu University

21st Century COE Program

Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality

- MHF2005-1 Hideki KOSAKI
Matrix trace inequalities related to uncertainty principle
- MHF2005-2 Masahisa TABATA
Discrepancy between theory and real computation on the stability of some finite element schemes
- MHF2005-3 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI
Functional regression modeling via regularized basis expansions and model selection
- MHF2005-4 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI
Functional discriminant analysis via regularized basis expansions
- MHF2005-5 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA
Point configurations, Cremona transformations and the elliptic difference Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-6 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA
Construction of hypergeometric solutions to the q Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-7 Hiroki MASUDA
Simple estimators for non-linear Markovian trend from sampled data:
I. ergodic cases
- MHF2005-8 Hiroki MASUDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA
Edgeworth expansion for a class of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-based models
- MHF2005-9 Masayuki UCHIDA
Approximate martingale estimating functions under small perturbations of dynamical systems
- MHF2005-10 Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA
One-step estimators for diffusion processes with small dispersion parameters from discrete observations
- MHF2005-11 Junichi MATSUKUBO, Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA
Estimation for a discretely observed small diffusion process with a linear drift
- MHF2005-12 Masayuki UCHIDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA
AIC for ergodic diffusion processes from discrete observations

- MHF2005-13 Hiromichi GOTO & Kenji KAJIWARA
Generating function related to the Okamoto polynomials for the Painlevé IV equation
- MHF2005-14 Masato KIMURA & Shin-ichi NAGATA
Precise asymptotic behaviour of the first eigenvalue of Sturm-Liouville problems with large drift
- MHF2005-15 Daisuke TAGAMI & Masahisa TABATA
Numerical computations of a melting glass convection in the furnace
- MHF2005-16 Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations
- MHF2005-17 Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Askey-Wilson relations and Leonard pairs
- MHF2005-18 Kenji KAJIWARA & Atsushi MUKAIHIRA
Soliton solutions for the non-autonomous discrete-time Toda lattice equation
- MHF2005-19 Yuu HARIYA
Construction of Gibbs measures for 1-dimensional continuum fields
- MHF2005-20 Yuu HARIYA
Integration by parts formulae for the Wiener measure restricted to subsets in \mathbb{R}^d
- MHF2005-21 Yuu HARIYA
A time-change approach to Kotani's extension of Yor's formula
- MHF2005-22 Tadahisa FUNAKI, Yuu HARIYA & Mark YOR
Wiener integrals for centered powers of Bessel processes, I
- MHF2005-23 Masahisa TABATA & Satoshi KAIZU
Finite element schemes for two-fluids flow problems
- MHF2005-24 Ken-ichi MARUNO & Yasuhiro OHTA
Determinant form of dark soliton solutions of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation
- MHF2005-25 Alexander V. KITAEV & Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Quadratic transformations of the sixth Painlevé equation
- MHF2005-26 Toru FUJII & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear regression modeling via regularized wavelets and smoothing parameter selection
- MHF2005-27 Shuichi INOKUCHI, Kazumasa HONDA, Hyen Yeal LEE, Tatsuro SATO, Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI & Yasuo KAWAHARA
On reversible cellular automata with finite cell array

- MHF2005-28 Toru KOMATSU
Cyclic cubic field with explicit Artin symbols
- MHF2005-29 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Kaori NAGATOU
A computational approach to constructive a priori and a posteriori error estimates for finite element approximations of bi-harmonic problems
- MHF2005-30 Kaori NAGATOU, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO
Numerical verification of stationary solutions for Navier-Stokes problems
- MHF2005-31 Hidefumi KAWASAKI
A duality theorem for a three-phase partition problem
- MHF2005-32 Hidefumi KAWASAKI
A duality theorem based on triangles separating three convex sets
- MHF2005-33 Takeaki FUCHIKAMI & Hidefumi KAWASAKI
An explicit formula of the Shapley value for a cooperative game induced from the conjugate point
- MHF2005-34 Hideki MURAKAWA
A regularization of a reaction-diffusion system approximation to the two-phase Stefan problem
- MHF2006-1 Masahisa TABATA
Numerical simulation of Rayleigh-Taylor problems by an energy-stable finite element scheme
- MHF2006-2 Ken-ichi MARUNO & G R W QUISPEL
Construction of integrals of higher-order mappings
- MHF2006-3 Setsuo TANIGUCHI
On the Jacobi field approach to stochastic oscillatory integrals with quadratic phase function
- MHF2006-4 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Kaori NAGATOU & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO
A computational approach to constructive a priori error estimate for finite element approximations of bi-harmonic problems in nonconvex polygonal domains
- MHF2006-5 Hidefumi KAWASAKI
A duality theory based on triangular cylinders separating three convex sets in R^n
- MHF2006-6 Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Uniform convergence of hypergeometric series
- MHF2006-7 Yuji KODAMA & Ken-ichi MARUNO
N-Soliton solutions to the DKP equation and Weyl group actions

- MHF2006-8 Toru KOMATSU
Potentially generic polynomial
- MHF2006-9 Toru KOMATSU
Generic sextic polynomial related to the subfield problem of a cubic polynomial
- MHF2006-10 Shu TEZUKA & Anargyros PAPAGEORGIOU
Exact cubature for a class of functions of maximum effective dimension
- MHF2006-11 Shu TEZUKA
On high-discrepancy sequences
- MHF2006-12 Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Detecting persistent regimes in the North Atlantic Oscillation time series
- MHF2006-13 Toru KOMATSU
Tamely Eisenstein field with prime power discriminant
- MHF2006-14 Nalini JOSHI, Kenji KAJIWARA & Marta MAZZOCCO
Generating function associated with the Hankel determinant formula for the solutions of the Painlevé IV equation
- MHF2006-15 Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Darboux evaluations of algebraic Gauss hypergeometric functions
- MHF2006-16 Masato KIMURA & Isao WAKANO
New mathematical approach to the energy release rate in crack extension
- MHF2006-17 Toru KOMATSU
Arithmetic of the splitting field of Alexander polynomial
- MHF2006-18 Hiroki MASUDA
Likelihood estimation of stable Lévy processes from discrete data
- MHF2006-19 Hiroshi KAWABI & Michael RÖCKNER
Essential self-adjointness of Dirichlet operators on a path space with Gibbs measures via an SPDE approach
- MHF2006-20 Masahisa TABATA
Energy stable finite element schemes and their applications to two-fluid flow problems
- MHF2006-21 Yuzuru INAHAMA & Hiroshi KAWABI
Asymptotic expansions for the Laplace approximations for Itô functionals of Brownian rough paths
- MHF2006-22 Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Resolvent estimates for the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation in an infinite layer

- MHF2006-23 Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Asymptotic behavior of the semigroup associated with the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation in an infinite layer
- MHF2006-24 Akihiro MIKODA, Shuichi INOKUCHI, Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI & Mitsuhiro FUJIO
The number of orbits of box-ball systems
- MHF2006-25 Toru FUJII & Sadanori KONISHI
Multi-class Logistic Discrimination via Wavelet-based Functionalization and Model Selection Criteria
- MHF2006-26 Taro HAMAMOTO, Kenji KAJIWARA & Nicholas S. WITTE
Hypergeometric Solutions to the q -Painlevé Equation of Type $(A_1 + A'_1)^{(1)}$
- MHF2006-27 Hiroshi KAWABI & Tomohiro MIYOKAWA
The Littlewood-Paley-Stein inequality for diffusion processes on general metric spaces
- MHF2006-28 Hiroki MASUDA
Notes on estimating inverse-Gaussian and gamma subordinators under high-frequency sampling
- MHF2006-29 Setsuo TANIGUCHI
The heat semigroup and kernel associated with certain non-commutative harmonic oscillators
- MHF2006-30 Setsuo TANIGUCHI
Stochastic analysis and the KdV equation
- MHF2006-31 Masato KIMURA, Hideki KOMURA, Masayasu MIMURA, Hidenori MIYOSHI, Takeshi TAKAISHI & Daishin UEYAMA
Quantitative study of adaptive mesh FEM with localization index of pattern
- MHF2007-1 Taro HAMAMOTO & Kenji KAJIWARA
Hypergeometric solutions to the q -Painlevé equation of type $A_4^{(1)}$