

## Exact Cubature for a Class of Functions of Maximum Effective Dimension

Tezuka, Shu  
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Papageorgiou, Anargyros  
Department of Computer Science, Columbia University

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/3384>

---

出版情報 : Journal of Complexity. 22 (5), pp.652-659, 2006-10. Elsevier  
バージョン :  
権利関係 :



# MHF Preprint Series

Kyushu University  
21st Century COE Program  
Development of Dynamic Mathematics with  
High Functionality

## Exact cubature for a class of functions of maximum effective dimension

S. Tezuka & A. Papageorgiou

MHF 2006-10

( Received March 10, 2006 )

Faculty of Mathematics  
Kyushu University  
Fukuoka, JAPAN

# Exact Cubature for a Class of Functions of Maximum Effective Dimension

Shu Tezuka<sup>a</sup> and Anargyros Papageorgiou<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 6-10-1 Hakozaki, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka-shi, Fukuoka-ken, Japan 812-8581*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Computer Science, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027*

---

## Abstract

We consider high dimensional integration in a broad class of functions where all elements have maximum effective dimension. We show that there exists an exact cubature with only two points. Therefore, not only the convergence but also the worst case error of quasi-Monte Carlo need not depend on the effective dimension at all.

*Key words:* ANOVA, antisymmetric functions, effective dimension, high dimensional integrals

---

## 1 Introduction

About ten years ago the *effective dimension* was proposed in [1] as an indicator of the difficulty of high dimensional integration. The purpose was to explain the remarkable success of quasi-Monte Carlo (QMC) in approximating very high dimensional integrals in finance [10,15]. The authors of [1] argued that the integrands are of low effective dimension and that is why QMC is much faster than Monte Carlo (MC).

Defining a notion such as the effective dimension is an attempt to model *reality* [5]. A function, of  $d$  variables, that is equal to the sum of  $d$  functions of a single variable is one dimensional in a sense. It turns out that the effective dimension of this function is equal to 1 and, generally, QMC is quite successful in approximating the integrals of functions of a single variable. On the

---

*Email addresses:* `tezuka@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp` (Shu Tezuka),  
`ap@cs.columbia.edu` (Anargyros Papageorgiou).

other hand, it is known that QMC fails for certain functions that have high effective dimension. Owen [7] has pointed out that low effective dimension is not a sufficient condition for QMC integration to beat MC and additional assumptions are required, such as smoothness. So, is low effective dimension a necessary condition for QMC to beat MC or for high dimensional integration to be tractable? <sup>1</sup> Is it necessary for the worst case error of QMC to depend on the effective dimension?

The first question was only recently settled [16], as we will explain below. Some earlier papers, e.g., [4,9,11,12], showed that multivariate integration is tractable and that QMC converges fast for a number of classes of high dimensional integrands. Nevertheless, the impact of the arguments in [1] was great, a number of papers dealt with the relationship between the error of QMC and the effective dimension, e.g. [3,18], and a number of researchers believed the answer to the questions above was positive. For instance, the authors of [2, p. 595] state they accept the assertion in [1] and, in their opinion also, the reason for the success of QMC is the low effective dimension (in the superposition sense) of the integrands. Recently, Tezuka [16] showed a class of functions of  $d$  variables, all having maximum effective dimension equal to  $d$ , for which the QMC convergence rate is  $n^{-1}$ , where  $n$  is the number of function evaluations. Hence, QMC can beat MC and high dimensional integration is tractable for functions of high effective dimension.

In this companion paper we show that it is not only the convergence of QMC that need not depend on the effective dimension but also its worst case error, which can be zero for functions of maximum effective dimension. For any  $d \geq 1$ , we construct a broad class of functions (of  $d$  variables,) all having maximum effective dimension equal to  $d$ , for which the integration problem is solved exactly by a cubature with two points only. Hence, we have a QMC algorithm, in the sense that it is the average of two function evaluations at deterministic points, that has zero worst case error.

## 2 Background

We begin with the ANOVA (analysis of variance) decomposition of a function of  $d$  variables. Consider a square integrable function  $f : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $u \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$  be a subset of the coordinates of  $[0, 1]^d$ , let  $\bar{u} = \{1, 2, \dots, d\} - u$  be its complement, and denote by  $|u|$  the cardinality of  $u$ . For  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$  let  $X^u = \{x_j; j \in u\}$ . The ANOVA decomposition of  $f$  (see, e.g. [6]) is defined

---

<sup>1</sup> See [11] for the definition of tractability.

by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{u \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, d\}} \alpha_u(x_1, \dots, x_d),$$

where the sum is over all  $2^d$  subsets of coordinates of  $[0, 1]^d$ . The terms  $\alpha_u(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  are defined recursively starting with

$$\alpha_\emptyset(x_1, \dots, x_d) := I(f) \equiv \int_{[0, 1]^d} f(z_1, \dots, z_d) dz_1 \dots dz_d,$$

and for  $0 < |u| < d$

$$\alpha_u(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \int_{Z^u = X^u, Z^{\bar{u}} \in [0, 1]^{\bar{u}}} (f(z_1, \dots, z_d) - \sum_{v \subset u} \alpha_v(z_1, \dots, z_d)) \prod_{j \in \bar{u}} dz_j,$$

where the sum is over proper subsets  $v \neq u$ . When  $u = \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,

$$\alpha_{\{1, \dots, d\}}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = f(x_1, \dots, x_d) - \sum_{v \subset \{1, \dots, d\}} \alpha_v(x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

Each of the  $\alpha_u(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  is the effect of the subset  $X^u$  on  $f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  minus the effects of its proper subsets  $X^v$  with  $v \subset u$ . The functions  $\alpha_u(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  have the following properties:

- Let  $i \in u$ . If we fix all the  $x_j, j \neq i$ , then

$$\int_0^1 \alpha_u(x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_i = 0.$$

Thus, when  $\emptyset \neq u \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,

$$\int_{[0, 1]^d} \alpha_u(x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d = 0.$$

- When  $u \neq v$ ,

$$\int_{[0, 1]^d} \alpha_u(x_1, \dots, x_d) \alpha_v(x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d = 0.$$

Hence, the variance of  $f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  is given by

$$\sigma^2 = \int_{[0, 1]^d} (f(x_1, \dots, x_d) - \alpha_\emptyset(x_1, \dots, x_d))^2 dx_1 \dots dx_d = \sum_{|u| > 0} \sigma_u^2,$$

where

$$\sigma_u^2 := \sigma^2(\alpha_u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u = \emptyset, \\ \int_{[0, 1]^d} \alpha_u(x_1, \dots, x_d)^2 dx_1 \dots dx_d & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The definition of the effective dimension was introduced in two ways in [1]:

*Truncation sense:*

$$D_{trunc} := \min\{i : 1 \leq i \leq d \text{ such that } \sum_{u \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,i\}} \sigma_u^2 \geq (1 - \epsilon)\sigma^2\}.$$

*Superposition sense:*

$$D_{super} := \min\{i : 1 \leq i \leq d \text{ such that } \sum_{|u| \leq i} \sigma_u^2 \geq (1 - \epsilon)\sigma^2\}.$$

In practice the value of  $\epsilon$  is often chosen to be 0.01. Nevertheless,  $\epsilon$  can be set to any value in  $[0, 1]$  (the case  $\epsilon = 1$  is not interesting.) As pointed out in [3],  $(1 - \epsilon)$  reflects a proportion of the variance and one can choose to study how different proportions of the variance are affected by different values of  $D_{trunc}$  or  $D_{super}$ , and vice versa.

### 3 Functions with the maximum effective dimension

Let us first introduce the following functions of  $d$  variables:

**Definition 1** Let  $\phi : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d r_i(x_i),$$

where  $r_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , are continuous functions such that

$$\int_0^1 r_i(x_i) dx_i = 0.$$

In addition,  $r_1(x)$  is antisymmetric about  $1/2$ , i.e, for  $0 \leq x < 1/2$ ,  $r_1(1-x) = -r_1(x)$ .

For instance,  $r_1$  can be the one of the functions  $\sin(2j\pi x)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , and the  $r_i$ ,  $i > 1$ , can be periodic trigonometric functions with integral zero; such functions are frequently encountered in physics.

We now define the class  $\mathfrak{F}_d$  of functions in  $d$  dimensions.

**Definition 2** Let  $\phi_k : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , be functions that satisfy Definition 1. Assume that the  $\phi_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , along with the identity function are linearly independent. Let  $\mathfrak{F}_d$  be the class of all functions  $f : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

such that

$$f = c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \phi_k,$$

with  $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and

$$\|f\| = \left\{ \int_{[0,1]^d} f^2(x) dx \right\}^{1/2} \leq 1.$$

Let's consider the ANOVA decomposition of  $f \in \mathfrak{F}_d$ . We have

$$\alpha_{\emptyset}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \int_{[0,1]^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d = c_0.$$

By Definition 2, for  $\emptyset \neq u \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_u(x_1, \dots, x_d) &\equiv \int_{Z^u=X^u, Z^{\bar{u}} \in [0,1]^{\bar{u}}} (f(z_1, \dots, z_d) - \sum_{v \subset u} \alpha_v(z_1, \dots, z_d)) \prod_{j \in \bar{u}} dz_j \\ &= \int_{Z^u=X^u, Z^{\bar{u}} \in [0,1]^{\bar{u}}} (f(z_1, \dots, z_d) - c_0) \prod_{j \in \bar{u}} dz_j \\ &= \int_{Z^u=X^u, Z^{\bar{u}} \in [0,1]^{\bar{u}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \phi_k(z_1, \dots, z_d) \prod_{j \in \bar{u}} dz_j \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_{Z^u=X^u, Z^{\bar{u}} \in [0,1]^{\bar{u}}} \phi_k(z_1, \dots, z_d) \prod_{j \in \bar{u}} dz_j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\alpha_{\{1, \dots, d\}}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = f(x_1, \dots, x_d) - c_0.$$

Thus, we have  $\sigma(f) = \sigma_{\{1, \dots, d\}}$ .

**Theorem 1** *For any function  $f \in \mathfrak{F}_d$ , its effective dimension, whether in the truncation or in the superposition sense, is equal to  $d$ .*

Note that the above theorem holds for every  $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$  in the definition of effective dimension, i.e., the effective dimension is  $d$  regardless of the proportion of the variance that one may choose to consider.

### 3.1 Exact cubature with two points

We are now ready to show that although the effective dimension of all functions in the class  $\mathfrak{F}_d$  is  $d$ , they can be integrated exactly by a cubature with two points.

**Theorem 2** *There exists an exact cubature with two points for the integration of any function  $f \in \mathfrak{F}_d$ .*

**Proof.** By definition any function  $f \in \mathfrak{F}_d$  is given by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \prod_{i=1}^d r_i^{(k)}(x_i).$$

Take a point  $(s_1, \dots, s_d) \in [0, 1]^d$  with  $s_1 < 1/2$ . Consider the following cubature with two points

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(f) &\equiv \frac{1}{2}(f(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_d) + f(1 - s_1, s_2, \dots, s_d)) \\ &= c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \left( \frac{r_1^{(k)}(s_1) + r_1^{(k)}(1 - s_1)}{2} \prod_{i=2}^d r_i^{(k)}(s_i) \right), \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\int_{[0,1]^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d = c_0 = Q_2(f),$$

because  $r_1^{(k)}(x), k = 1, \dots$ , are antisymmetric from Definition 1. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We see that functions of high effective dimension can be very easy to integrate in the worst case. Moreover, we can expand our class of functions by slightly modifying the two definitions so as to include piecewise continuous square integrable functions that may have singularities on subsets of  $[0, 1]^d$  which have Lebesgue measure zero. For instance, this would allow us to include functions that depend on the inverse of the standard normal distribution in some of the dimensions; such functions are common in finance. Unless the singularities are known we cannot consider deterministic algorithms that use function evaluations for the integration problem, but randomized algorithms can be used instead. We can choose the sample points at random with uniform distribution and then apply the cubature of Theorem 2. Thus we obtain a Monte Carlo algorithm with variance reduction that solves the integration problem exactly. But even if one considers randomized algorithms only, why should the effective dimension, a quantity defined through the variance of a function, be the indicator of the difficulty of high dimensional integration when the error need not depend on the variance at all?

## 4 Discussion

Global sensitivity based on ANOVA was proposed by Sobol' in 1990 [13,14] to consider the global importance of variables, of a multivariate function, on the function itself. The notion of effective dimension [1,8] is essentially the same as global sensitivity, but it is more quantitative aiming to measure how important is each subset of variables on the function. As mentioned in Introduction, the



notion of effective dimensions was developed for the purpose of explaining why QMC beats MC by a wide margin for some high dimensional integration problems in finance.

We have shown that it is possible to have functions of maximum effective dimension for which the integration problem can be solved exactly. This totally contradicts what we want to imply by the effective dimension since, presumably, a high effective dimension should imply that a problem is hard to solve. Clearly a different definition of the effective dimension is needed.

Some useful insight into factors that characterize the nature of the functions is provided by considering the approximation problem. Suppose we are interested in the  $L_2$  approximation of a function  $f \in \mathfrak{F}_d$ . Then the zero algorithm is optimal and its worst case error is 1, which suggests that the class of functions is too broad and needs to be restricted.

For this we follow the approach in [17, p. 121]. For simplicity let us assume that the  $\phi_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , is an orthonormal family of functions, i.e.,  $\int_{[0,1]^d} \phi_k(x) \phi_i(x) dx = \delta_{k,i}$ . Definitions 1 and 2 ensure that by including the identity function in this family the functions remain orthonormal.

The restriction of the class of functions can be obtained through an operator of the form  $Tf = \beta_0 c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k c_k \phi_k$ , where the  $\beta_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\beta_k| \leq |\beta_{k+1}|$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and where the  $L_2$  norm of  $Tf$  satisfies  $\|Tf\| \leq 1$ . Observe that  $c_0 = \int_{[0,1]^d} f(x) dx$  and the  $c_k = \int_{[0,1]^d} f(x) \phi_k(x) dx$ , are continuous linear functionals,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Among all algorithms that use information about  $f$  composed of  $n$  evaluations of continuous linear functionals the optimal algorithm that uses optimal information is  $\hat{f} = c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k \phi_k$  and its worst case error is  $|\beta_n|^{-1}$ . The convergence of  $|\beta_n|^{-1}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and its dependence on  $d$  are important for the worst case error. To be able to solve the problem for any desired accuracy we need  $|\beta_n| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

On the other hand, if we use function evaluations to approximate  $f$  and even if we can approximate the integrals  $c_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n$ , exactly the worst case error will be at least  $|\beta_n|^{-1}$ . (Observe that in our case  $c_0$  is the integral of  $f$  and we can approximate it exactly using two function evaluations.) Hence, the choice of the restriction operator  $T$  determines the worst case error of the optimal algorithm and thereby the problem difficulty.

Depending on the choice of the  $\phi_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , an option is to use smoothness to restrict the class of functions. One can define the  $\beta_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , in a way analogous to requiring that the  $L_2$  norm of a certain derivative of  $f$  be bounded, say, by 1 ( $\beta_0$  can be set to any convenient value since  $c_0$  can be computed exactly.) If the  $\beta_k$  are supposed to control a certain rate of growth of  $f$  then they can depend on some interaction of  $l \leq d$  dimensions. In this sense,  $l$  can be considered as the effective dimension. However, it is not necessary to

restrict the class of functions using smoothness to define the  $\beta_k$ 's. Moreover, the  $\beta_k$  can be totally independent of  $d$  while we still have  $|\beta_n| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Therefore, the nature of the functions under consideration is characterized by Definitions 1 and 2, and by the restriction operator  $T$  (in terms of the choice of the  $\beta_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ) This is missed by the definition of the effective dimension, which is equal to  $d$  for an easy integration problem and regardless of the difficulty of the approximation problem.

## References

- [1] R. E. Caflisch, W. Morokoff, and A. B. Owen, Valuation of mortgage backed securities using Brownian bridges to reduce effective dimension, *Journal of Computational Finance*, **1**, (1997), 27-46.
- [2] J. Dick, I. H. Sloan, X. Wang and H. Woźniakowski, Liberating the weights, *J. Complexity*, **20**, 5, (2004), 593-623.
- [3] F. J. Hickernell, Lattice rules: how well do they measure up?, in P. Hellekalek and G. Larcher (Eds.), *Random and Quasi-Random Point Sets*, Springer, (1998), 109-166.
- [4] F. J. Hickernell and X. Wang, The error bounds and tractability of quasi-Monte Carlo algorithms in infinite dimensions, *Math. Comp.*, **71**, 240, (2001), 1641-1661.
- [5] F. Y. Kuo and I. H. Sloan, Lifting the curse of dimensionality, University of New South Wales, preprint, (2005).
- [6] A. B. Owen, Monte Carlo Variance of scrambled net quadrature, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, **34** (1997), 1884-1910.
- [7] A. B. Owen, Necessity of low effective dimension, manuscript, 2002.
- [8] A. B. Owen, The dimension distribution, and quadrature test functions, *Statistica Sinica*, **13** (2003), 1-17.
- [9] A. Papageorgiou, Sufficient conditions for fast quasi-Monte Carlo convergence, *J. Complexity*, **19**, 3, (2003), 332-351.
- [10] S. H. Paskov, New methodologies for valuing derivatives, In M. A. H. Dempster and S. Pliska (eds.), *Mathematics of Derivative Securities*, Cambridge University Press (1997), 545-582.
- [11] I. H. Sloan and H. Woźniakowski, When are quasi-Monte Carlo algorithms efficient for high dimensional integrals?, *J. Complexity*, **14**, 1, (1998), 1-33.
- [12] I. H. Sloan and H. Woźniakowski, Tractability of multivariate integration for weighted Korobov classes, *J. Complexity*, **17**, 4, (2001), 697-721.

- [13] I. M. Sobol', Sensitivity estimates for nonlinear mathematical models, *Mathematical Modelling and Computational Experiments*, **1** (1993), 407-414. (translation of I. M. Sobol', *Matematicheskoe Modelirovanie*, **2** (1990), 112-118. (in Russian))
- [14] I. M. Sobol', Global sensitivity indices for nonlinear mathematical models and their Monte Carlo estimates, *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, **55** (2001), 271-280.
- [15] S. Tezuka, Quasi-Monte Carlo: discrepancy between theory and practice, In K. T. Fang, F. J. Hickernell, and H. Niederreiter (Eds.), *Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods 2000*, Springer, (2002), 124-140.
- [16] S. Tezuka, On the necessity of low-effective dimension, *Journal of Complexity*, **21** (2005), 710-721.
- [17] J. F. Traub and H. Woźniakowski, *A General Theory of Optimal Algorithms*, Academic Press (1980).
- [18] X. Wang and I. H. Sloan, Why are high-dimensional finance problems often of low effective dimension?, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, **27**, 1, (2005), 159-183.

# List of MHF Preprint Series, Kyushu University

## 21st Century COE Program

### Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality

- MHF2003-1 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Yoshitaka WATANABE  
A numerical method to verify the invertibility of linear elliptic operators with applications to nonlinear problems
- MHF2003-2 Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI  
Error estimates of finite element methods for nonstationary thermal convection problems with temperature-dependent coefficients
- MHF2003-3 Tomohiro ANDO, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO  
Adaptive learning machines for nonlinear classification and Bayesian information criteria
- MHF2003-4 Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA  
On systems of algebraic equations with parametric exponents
- MHF2003-5 Masao ISHIKAWA & Masato WAKAYAMA  
Applications of Minor Summation Formulas III, Plücker relations, Lattice paths and Pfaffian identities
- MHF2003-6 Atsushi SUZUKI & Masahisa TABATA  
Finite element matrices in congruent subdomains and their effective use for large-scale computations
- MHF2003-7 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
Stochastic oscillatory integrals - asymptotic and exact expressions for quadratic phase functions -
- MHF2003-8 Shoki MIYAMOTO & Atsushi YOSHIKAWA  
Computable sequences in the Sobolev spaces
- MHF2003-9 Toru FUJII & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Wavelet based estimate for non-linear and non-stationary auto-regressive model
- MHF2003-10 Atsushi YOSHIKAWA  
Maple and wave-front tracking — an experiment
- MHF2003-11 Masanobu KANEKO  
On the local factor of the zeta function of quadratic orders
- MHF2003-12 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
Conjugate-set game for a nonlinear programming problem

- MHF2004-1 Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Estimating the Lyapunov exponent from chaotic time series with dynamic noise
- MHF2004-2 Rui YAMAGUCHI, Eiko TSUCHIYA & Tomoyuki HIGUCHI  
State space modeling approach to decompose daily sales of a restaurant into time-dependent multi-factors
- MHF2004-3 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Cubic pencils and Painlevé Hamiltonians
- MHF2004-4 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Estimating the correlation dimension from a chaotic system with dynamic noise
- MHF2004-5 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Kentarou KITAMURA, Koji YONEMOTO, Takashi YANAGAWA & Kiyofumi YUMOTO  
Detection of auroral breakups using the correlation dimension
- MHF2004-6 Ryo IKOTA, Masayasu MIMURA & Tatsuyuki NAKAKI  
A methodology for numerical simulations to a singular limit
- MHF2004-7 Ryo IKOTA & Eiji YANAGIDA  
Stability of stationary interfaces of binary-tree type
- MHF2004-8 Yuko ARAKI, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO  
Functional discriminant analysis for gene expression data via radial basis expansion
- MHF2004-9 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Hypergeometric solutions to the  $q$ -Painlevé equations
- MHF2004-10 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Expressions for values of the gamma function
- MHF2004-11 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Transformations of Gauss hypergeometric functions
- MHF2004-12 Koji NAKAGAWA & Masakazu SUZUKI  
Mathematical knowledge browser
- MHF2004-13 Ken-ichi MARUNO, Wen-Xiu MA & Masayuki OIKAWA  
Generalized Casorati determinant and Positon-Negaton-Type solutions of the Toda lattice equation
- MHF2004-14 Nalini JOSHI, Kenji KAJIWARA & Marta MAZZOCCO  
Generating function associated with the determinant formula for the solutions of the Painlevé II equation

- MHF2004-15 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Ryohei ABE, Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO & Yoshitaka WATANABE  
Numerical verification methods of solutions for nonlinear singularly perturbed problem
- MHF2004-16 Ken-ichi MARUNO & Gino BIONDINI  
Resonance and web structure in discrete soliton systems: the two-dimensional Toda lattice and its fully discrete and ultra-discrete versions
- MHF2004-17 Ryuei NISHII & Shinto EGUCHI  
Supervised image classification in Markov random field models with Jeffreys divergence
- MHF2004-18 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Kenta KOBAYASHI & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
Numerical verification methods of solutions for the free boundary problem
- MHF2004-19 Hiroki MASUDA  
Ergodicity and exponential  $\beta$ -mixing bounds for a strong solution of Lévy-driven stochastic differential equations
- MHF2004-20 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The Brownian sheet and the reflectionless potentials
- MHF2004-21 Ryuei NISHII & Shinto EGUCHI  
Supervised image classification based on AdaBoost with contextual weak classifiers
- MHF2004-22 Hideki KOSAKI  
On intersections of domains of unbounded positive operators
- MHF2004-23 Masahisa TABATA & Shoichi FUJIMA  
Robustness of a characteristic finite element scheme of second order in time increment
- MHF2004-24 Ken-ichi MARUNO, Adrian ANKIEWICZ & Nail AKHMEDIEV  
Dissipative solitons of the discrete complex cubic-quintic Ginzburg-Landau equation
- MHF2004-25 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Degenerate Gauss hypergeometric functions
- MHF2004-26 Ryo IKOTA  
The boundedness of propagation speeds of disturbances for reaction-diffusion systems
- MHF2004-27 Ryusuke KON  
Convex dominates concave: an exclusion principle in discrete-time Kolmogorov systems

- MHF2004-28 Ryusuke KON  
Multiple attractors in host-parasitoid interactions: coexistence and extinction
- MHF2004-29 Kentaro IHARA, Masanobu KANEKO & Don ZAGIER  
Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values
- MHF2004-30 Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Generalized partitioned quantum cellular automata and quantization of classical CA
- MHF2005-1 Hideki KOSAKI  
Matrix trace inequalities related to uncertainty principle
- MHF2005-2 Masahisa TABATA  
Discrepancy between theory and real computation on the stability of some finite element schemes
- MHF2005-3 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Functional regression modeling via regularized basis expansions and model selection
- MHF2005-4 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Functional discriminant analysis via regularized basis expansions
- MHF2005-5 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Point configurations, Cremona transformations and the elliptic difference Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-6 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Construction of hypergeometric solutions to the  $q$ -Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-7 Hiroki MASUDA  
Simple estimators for non-linear Markovian trend from sampled data:  
I. ergodic cases
- MHF2005-8 Hiroki MASUDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA  
Edgeworth expansion for a class of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-based models
- MHF2005-9 Masayuki UCHIDA  
Approximate martingale estimating functions under small perturbations of dynamical systems
- MHF2005-10 Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA  
One-step estimators for diffusion processes with small dispersion parameters from discrete observations
- MHF2005-11 Junichi MATSUKUBO, Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA  
Estimation for a discretely observed small diffusion process with a linear drift

- MHF2005-12 Masayuki UCHIDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA  
AIC for ergodic diffusion processes from discrete observations
- MHF2005-13 Hiromichi GOTO & Kenji KAJIWARA  
Generating function related to the Okamoto polynomials for the Painlevé IV equation
- MHF2005-14 Masato KIMURA & Shin-ichi NAGATA  
Precise asymptotic behaviour of the first eigenvalue of Sturm-Liouville problems with large drift
- MHF2005-15 Daisuke TAGAMI & Masahisa TABATA  
Numerical computations of a melting glass convection in the furnace
- MHF2005-16 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations
- MHF2005-17 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Askey-Wilson relations and Leonard pairs
- MHF2005-18 Kenji KAJIWARA & Atsushi MUKAIHIRA  
Soliton solutions for the non-autonomous discrete-time Toda lattice equation
- MHF2005-19 Yuu HARIYA  
Construction of Gibbs measures for 1-dimensional continuum fields
- MHF2005-20 Yuu HARIYA  
Integration by parts formulae for the Wiener measure restricted to subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- MHF2005-21 Yuu HARIYA  
A time-change approach to Kotani's extension of Yor's formula
- MHF2005-22 Tadahisa FUNAKI, Yuu HARIYA & Mark YOR  
Wiener integrals for centered powers of Bessel processes, I
- MHF2005-23 Masahisa TABATA & Satoshi KAIZU  
Finite element schemes for two-fluids flow problems
- MHF2005-24 Ken-ichi MARUNO & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Determinant form of dark soliton solutions of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation
- MHF2005-25 Alexander V. KITAEV & Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Quadratic transformations of the sixth Painlevé equation
- MHF2005-26 Toru FUJII & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling via regularized wavelets and smoothing parameter selection



- MHF2005-27 Shuichi INOKUCHI, Kazumasa HONDA, Hyen Yeal LEE, Tatsuro SATO,  
Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI & Yasuo KAWAHARA  
On reversible cellular automata with finite cell array
- MHF2005-28 Toru KOMATSU  
Cyclic cubic field with explicit Artin symbols
- MHF2005-29 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Kaori NAGATOU  
A computational approach to constructive a priori and a posteriori error  
estimates for finite element approximations of bi-harmonic problems
- MHF2005-30 Kaori NAGATOU, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
Numerical verification of stationary solutions for Navier-Stokes problems
- MHF2005-31 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
A duality theorem for a three-phase partition problem
- MHF2005-32 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
A duality theorem based on triangles separating three convex sets
- MHF2005-33 Takeaki FUCHIKAMI & Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
An explicit formula of the Shapley value for a cooperative game induced from  
the conjugate point
- MHF2005-34 Hideki MURAKAWA  
A regularization of a reaction-diffusion system approximation to the two-phase  
Stefan problem
- MHF2006-1 Masahisa TABATA  
Numerical simulation of Rayleigh-Taylor problems by an energy-stable finite  
element scheme
- MHF2006-2 Ken-ichi MARUNO & G R W QUISPEL  
Construction of integrals of higher-order mappings
- MHF2006-3 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
On the Jacobi field approach to stochastic oscillatory integrals with quadratic  
phase function
- MHF2006-4 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Kaori NAGATOU & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
A computational approach to constructive a priori error estimate for finite  
element approximations of bi-harmonic problems in nonconvex polygonal  
domains
- MHF2006-5 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
A duality theory based on triangular cylinders separating three convex sets in  
 $R^n$
- MHF2006-6 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Uniform convergence of hypergeometric series

MHF2006-7 Yuji KODAMA & Ken-ichi MARUNO  
N-Soliton solutions to the DKP equation and Weyl group actions

MHF2006-8 Toru KOMATSU  
Potentially generic polynomial

MHF2006-9 Toru KOMATSU  
Generic sextic polynomial related to the subfield problem of a cubic polynomial

MHF2006-10 Shu TEZUKA & Anargyros PAPAGEORGIOU  
Exact cubature for a class of functions of maximum effective dimension