

# A regularization of a reaction-diffusion system approximation to the two-phase Stefan problem

Murakawa, Hideki  
Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/3378>

---

出版情報 : MHF Preprint Series. 2005-34, 2005-12-15. 九州大学大学院数理学研究院  
バージョン :  
権利関係 :



# MHF Preprint Series

Kyushu University  
21st Century COE Program  
Development of Dynamic Mathematics with  
High Functionality

## A regularization of a reaction-diffusion system approximation to the two-phase Stefan problem

H. Murakawa

MHF 2005-34

( Received December 15, 2005 )

Faculty of Mathematics  
Kyushu University  
Fukuoka, JAPAN

# A regularization of a reaction-diffusion system approximation to the two-phase Stefan problem

Hideki Murakawa

*Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan*

---

## Abstract

Reaction-diffusion system approximations to the classical two-phase Stefan problem are considered in the present study. A reaction-diffusion system approximation to the Stefan problem has been proposed by Hilhorst et al. from an ecological point of view, and they have given convergence results for the system. In the present study, a new reaction-diffusion system approximation to the Stefan problem is proposed based on regularization of the enthalpy-temperature constitutive relation. The rates of convergence for each reaction-diffusion system are investigated in order to compare these two systems.

*Key words:* Stefan problem, reaction-diffusion systems, regularization, convergence rates

---

## 1 Introduction

Heat transfer problems involving phase change arise in a number of important physical and industrial contexts. A typical model of such problems, the classical two-phase Stefan problem, which describes the melting or freezing of a solid, in a rather simplified way, by accounting for the heat diffusion in each phase and the exchange of latent heat at the phase interface, is considered.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ) be a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The domain  $\Omega$  is divided into liquid and solid phases by unknown interface  $\Gamma(t)$  at time  $t \in (0, T)$ , where  $T$  is a positive constant. These phases are denoted by  $\Omega_u(t)$  and  $\Omega_v(t)$ , respectively. Heat flow occurs in both the liquid and solid

phases:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u & \text{in } \Omega_u := \cup_{0 < t < T} \Omega_u(t) \times \{t\}, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v & \text{in } \Omega_v := \cup_{0 < t < T} \Omega_v(t) \times \{t\}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are given diffusion coefficients. The functions  $u > 0$  and  $-v < 0$  represent temperatures of the liquid and solid, respectively. Here, the melting temperature is taken to be zero. In addition, temperature is zero on the interface:

$$u = v = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma := \cup_{0 < t < T} \Gamma(t) \times \{t\}. \quad (2)$$

The energy balance between the two phases leads to the following Stefan condition:

$$\lambda V_n = -d_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - d_2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \quad (3)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the latent heat coefficient that represents the latent heat of crystallization per unit mass,  $n$  is the unit normal vector on  $\Gamma(t)$  oriented from  $\Omega_u(t)$  to  $\Omega_v(t)$ , and  $V_n$  is the normal speed of the interface. Equations (1)–(3) constitute the classical formulation of the classical two-phase Stefan problem.

The Stefan problem can be interpreted as an ecological problem. The problem can be stated as a free boundary problem for two competing species that are regionally segregated. Functions  $u$  and  $v$  are the densities of the competing species, which move by the diffusions described by (1). The regions  $\Omega_u$  and  $\Omega_v$  denote the habitats for  $u$  and  $v$ , respectively. The condition (2) shows that the regional segregation occurs for two competing species. The Stefan condition (3) is not described herein. Although the two species are completely segregated in this problem, the competition between the two species is regarded as being very strong. This leads to a reaction-diffusion system with a sufficiently small parameter  $\varepsilon$ , as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} uv & \text{in } Q := \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} uv & \text{in } Q. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The two species coexist everywhere in  $\Omega$ , while  $\varepsilon$  is a positive constant. Then, the following question arises: Is there any relation between (4) and the Stefan problem? Dancer et al. have answered the question [3,4]. In a sense, the system (4) is similar to the Stefan problem without the latent heat. In this case, the Stefan condition (3) is replaced by

$$0 = -d_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - d_2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

Because the latent heat coefficient is zero in this case, the following question arises: Are there any reaction diffusion system approximations to the Stefan

problem with positive latent heat? To answer this question, Hilhorst et al. considered the following reaction-diffusion system [7,8]:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(uv + \lambda pu) & \text{in } Q, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(uv + \lambda vw) & \text{in } Q, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(pu - vw) & \text{in } Q, \\ \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(-pu + vw) & \text{in } Q \end{cases}$$

with the initial data satisfying

$$w_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_0(x) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u_0(x) = 0, \end{cases} \quad p_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_0(x) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } v_0(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in \Omega$  and

$$w_0 + p_0 = 1 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \quad (5)$$

The initial data  $w_0$  and  $p_0$  are the characteristic functions of the initial habitats for  $u$  and  $v$ , respectively. The relation (5) indicates that the initial distributions of  $u$  and  $v$  are completely segregated, and the initial interface  $\Gamma(0)$  is a hypersurface. This system is interpreted ecologically as follows. Functions  $u$  and  $v$  represent the densities of two competing species that move by diffusion, and  $w$  and  $p$  are the characteristic-like functions of the habitats  $\Omega_u$  and  $\Omega_v$ , respectively. The constant  $\lambda$  denotes the cost rate when species  $u$  attacks the habitat of species  $v$ , and vice versa. There are two different types of interactions between  $u$  and  $v$  in this problem. One is direct competitive interaction ( $uv$ ), and the other type is conflict type interactions ( $\lambda pu$  and  $\lambda vw$ ), where species  $u$  ( $v$ ) tries to invade the habitat of species  $v$  ( $u$ ), or species  $v$  ( $u$ ) tries to prevent species  $u$  ( $v$ ) from invading their own habitat.

Note that  $(w + p)_t = 0$ , that is,

$$w(x, t) + p(x, t) = w_0(x) + p_0(x) = 1.$$

Function  $\lambda w$  is transformed into  $\tilde{w}$ , which is denoted as  $w$  again, and  $\lambda p$  is transformed into  $\tilde{p}$ . The initial data  $u_0$ ,  $v_0$  and  $w_0$  are approximated by  $u_0^\varepsilon$ ,  $v_0^\varepsilon$  and  $w_0^\varepsilon$ , respectively. In this paper, the homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions are assumed. The problem then yields the following reaction-diffusion

system:

$$(RD)_0 \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(uv + (\lambda - w)u) & \text{in } Q, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(uv + vw) & \text{in } Q, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}((\lambda - w)u - vw) & \text{in } Q, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0^\varepsilon, v(\cdot, 0) = v_0^\varepsilon, w(\cdot, 0) = w_0^\varepsilon & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\nu$  is the outward normal unit vector to the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Hereafter, the following assumptions are imposed on the initial data:

$$\begin{cases} u_0^\varepsilon, v_0^\varepsilon \in C(\overline{\Omega}), & w_0^\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega), \\ 0 \leq u_0^\varepsilon \leq M, & 0 \leq v_0^\varepsilon \leq M, \quad 0 \leq w_0^\varepsilon \leq \lambda \quad \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

for some positive constant  $M$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Hilhorst et al. [7,8] studied a number of relations between  $(RD)_0$  and the Stefan problem. In stating their results for  $(RD)_0$ , another formulation of the classical two-phase Stefan problem in terms of enthalpy, which is the sum of sensible and latent heats, should be introduced.

The enthalpy formulation of the classical two-phase Stefan problem can be formulated as follows:

$$(SP) \begin{cases} z_t = \Delta d(\phi(z)) & \text{in } Q, \\ \frac{\partial d(\phi(z))}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ z(x, 0) = z_0(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $z_0$  is a given function, and the functions  $d$  and  $\phi$  are defined as

$$d(r) = \begin{cases} d_1 r & \text{if } r \geq 0, \\ d_2 r & \text{if } r < 0, \end{cases} \quad \phi(r) = \begin{cases} r - \lambda & \text{if } r > \lambda, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \lambda, \\ r & \text{if } r < 0 \end{cases}$$

for  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Functions  $z$  and  $\phi(z)$  represent, physically, the enthalpy and the temperature, respectively. In the solid phase,  $\phi(z) < 0$ , and in the liquid phase,  $\phi(z) > 0$ . These two phases are separated by the zero level set of  $\phi(z)$ . In this formulation, the interface disappears as an explicit unknown. For example, the interface is recovered as the zero level set of the temperature  $\phi(z)$ . Next, the functions  $u := \phi_1(z) := \phi(z)^+$ ,  $v := \phi_2(z) := \phi(z)^-$  and  $w := \phi_3(z) := z - \phi(z)$ , are defined, where  $\phi^+$  indicates the positive part of  $\phi$  and  $\phi^-$  indicates the

negative part of  $\phi$ . Then,  $u$ ,  $-v$  and  $w$  represent, physically, the temperature of the liquid, the temperature of the solid and the latent heat. Or, for an ecological system,  $u$ ,  $v$  and  $w$  represent the densities of the two competing species and the characteristic-like function of the habitat for  $u$ , respectively.

This problem should be understood in a weak sense.

**Definition 1** *A function  $z \in L^\infty(Q_T)$  is a weak solution of (SP) with an initial datum  $z_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  if it satisfies  $d(\phi(z)) \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$  and*

$$\int_0^T \langle z, \zeta_t \rangle + \langle z_0, \zeta(\cdot, 0) \rangle = \int_0^T \langle \nabla d(\phi(z)), \nabla \zeta \rangle \quad (7)$$

*for all functions  $\zeta \in \mathcal{K} := \{\zeta \in H^1(Q) \mid \zeta(\cdot, T) = 0\}$ . Here,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product of  $L^2(\Omega)$ .*

The unique existence of the weak solution of the problem and a relation between the classical form and the enthalpy form are known (see for example [2,5,7,9,12] and the references therein).

The results for  $(RD)_0$  reported by Hilhorst et al. can now be discussed.

**Theorem 2 (Hilhorst, Iida, Mimura & Ninomiya [7,8])** *Suppose the initial data satisfy (6). Then, there exists a unique solution  $(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon, w^\varepsilon)$  of  $(RD)_0$  in  $Q$ .*

*Let  $z$  be a unique weak solution of (SP) with an initial datum  $z_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Assume that*

$$u_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow \phi_1(z_0), \quad v_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow \phi_2(z_0), \quad w_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow \phi_3(z_0) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

*and (6). Then,*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u^\varepsilon &\rightarrow \phi_1(z) \\ v^\varepsilon &\rightarrow \phi_2(z) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{strongly in } L^2(Q) \text{ and weakly in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)),$$

$$z^\varepsilon \rightarrow z \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(Q)$$

*as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Here,  $z^\varepsilon := u^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon + w^\varepsilon$ .*

Thus, Hilhorst et al. have given a reaction diffusion system approximation to the Stefan problem from an ecological point of view and have proved the above convergence results.

The diffusion vanishes in (SP), where  $z \in (0, \lambda)$ . Vanishing diffusion characterizes the presence of a free boundary  $\Gamma$ , and the solution exhibits a lack of regularity across  $\Gamma$ . Thus, the solution of the Stefan problem generally has low regularity properties. Consequently, regularizations of the enthalpy-temperature constitutive relation are sometimes used for the Stefan problem

(see for example [1,6,14,15]). Next, the combined use of a reaction diffusion system approximation with a regularization procedure is considered. Then, a new reaction-diffusion system  $(RD)_\xi$  is proposed (see the following section) that approximates the Stefan problem. Under certain assumptions, convergence results similar to Theorem 2 can be obtained for  $(RD)_\xi$ . However, the reaction-diffusion system  $(RD)_\xi$  is expected to give a better approximation than  $(RD)_0$ . Therefore, rates of convergence with respect to  $\varepsilon$  are investigated for each reaction-diffusion system.

In the next section, a reaction-diffusion system and the theoretical results for the rates of convergence of the system  $(RD)_0$  and those of the proposed system are presented. In Sections 3 and 4, the results for the system  $(RD)_0$  and the proposed system, respectively, are presented.

## 2 A reaction-diffusion system and main results

The following reaction-diffusion system with sufficiently small parameter  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$  is proposed:

$$(RD)_\xi \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(uv + (\eta - w)u) & \text{in } Q, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(uv + vw) & \text{in } Q, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \xi \Delta w + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}((\eta - w)u - vw) & \text{in } Q, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0^{\varepsilon, \xi}, \quad v(\cdot, 0) = v_0^{\varepsilon, \xi}, \quad w(\cdot, 0) = w_0^{\varepsilon, \xi} & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\eta = d_1 \lambda / (d_1 - \xi)$ .


When  $\xi$  is zero, this system is obviously reduced to the system  $(RD)_0$ . That is, the system  $(RD)_\xi$  is an extension of  $(RD)_0$ .

For fixed  $\xi$ , the system  $(RD)_0$  can be regarded as an approximation to a weak solution of equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial z_\xi}{\partial t} = \Delta \beta_\xi(z_\xi), & \text{in } Q, \\ \frac{\partial \beta_\xi(z_\xi)}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ z_\xi(x, 0) = z_{\xi 0}(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$



Fig. 1. Constitutive relation  $\beta$  and regularized relation  $\beta_\xi$ .



where  $z_{\xi 0} \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\beta_\xi$  is defined as (see Figure 1)

$$\beta_\xi(r) = \begin{cases} d_1(r - \lambda) & \text{if } r > \eta, \\ \xi r & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \eta, \\ d_2 r & \text{if } r < 0. \end{cases}$$

The weak solution of (8) is Hölder continuous in  $\overline{Q}$  (see [10]), although the weak solution of (SP) is generally discontinuous. In this sense,  $(RD)_\xi$  can be interpreted as the combination of a reaction diffusion system approximation and a regularization procedure.

The following assumption is made regarding the initial data:

$$\begin{cases} u_0^{\varepsilon, \xi}, v_0^{\varepsilon, \xi}, w_0^{\varepsilon, \xi} \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \\ 0 \leq u_0^{\varepsilon, \xi} \leq M, \quad 0 \leq v_0^{\varepsilon, \xi} \leq M, \quad 0 \leq w_0^{\varepsilon, \xi} \leq \eta \quad \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

for some positive constant  $M$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$ .

Then, the existence and uniqueness of the solution of  $(RD)_\xi$  follows from Lunardi [11, Proposition 7.3.2].


Next, the results for the convergence rates of reaction-diffusion systems  $(RD)_\xi$ ,  $\xi \geq 0$  are presented.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $z$  be a unique weak solution of (SP) with an initial datum  $z_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and let  $(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon, w^\varepsilon)$  be a unique solution of  $(RD)_0$  with the initial data satisfying assumption (6). Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u - u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} + \|v - v^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} + \left\| \int_0^t (\theta - \theta^\varepsilon) \right\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} \\ & + \|z - z^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^*)} \leq C(\varepsilon^{1/2} + \sigma(\varepsilon))^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u := \phi_1(z)$ ,  $v := \phi_2(z)$ ,  $\theta := \beta(z) := d(\phi(z))$ ,  $\theta^\varepsilon := d_1 u^\varepsilon - d_2 v^\varepsilon$ ,  $z^\varepsilon := u^\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon + w^\varepsilon$ ,  $\sigma(\varepsilon) := \|z_0 - z_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$  and  $z_0^\varepsilon = u_0^\varepsilon - v_0^\varepsilon + w_0^\varepsilon$ .

Fig. 2. Functions  $\phi_1^\xi$ ,  $\phi_2$  and  $\phi_3^\xi$ .



The rate of convergence is  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/4})$  if  $\sigma$  is of order  $\varepsilon^{1/2}$ .

**Theorem 4** *Let  $z$  be a unique weak solution of (SP) with an initial datum  $z_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and let  $(u_\xi^\varepsilon, v_\xi^\varepsilon, w_\xi^\varepsilon)$  be a unique solution of  $(RD)_\xi$  with initial data satisfying the assumption given in (9). Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u - u_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} + \|v - v_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} + \xi^{1/2} \|w - w_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} \\ & + \left\| \int_0^t (\theta - \theta_\xi^\varepsilon) \right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} + \|z - z_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;(H^1(\Omega))^*)} \\ & \leq C(\xi |A_\xi(z)| + \xi^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\xi} + \sigma(\varepsilon, \xi))^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u = \phi_1(z)$ ,  $v = \phi_2(z)$ ,  $w = \phi_3(z)$ ,  $\theta = \beta(z)$ ,  $\theta_\xi^\varepsilon := d_1 u_\xi^\varepsilon - d_2 v_\xi^\varepsilon + \xi w_\xi^\varepsilon$ ,  $z_\xi^\varepsilon := u_\xi^\varepsilon - v_\xi^\varepsilon + w_\xi^\varepsilon$ ,  $\sigma(\varepsilon, \xi) := \|z_0 - z_0^{\varepsilon, \xi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ ,  $z_0^{\varepsilon, \xi} := u_0^{\varepsilon, \xi} - v_0^{\varepsilon, \xi} + w_0^{\varepsilon, \xi}$  and  $A_\xi(z) := \{(x, t) \in Q \mid 0 \leq \beta(z(x, t)) \leq \eta\xi\}$ .

The general rate of convergence is  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/4})$  if  $\xi$  and  $\sigma$  are chosen to be of order  $\varepsilon^{1/2}$ . However, if the non-degeneracy property  $|A_\xi(z)| \leq C\xi$  for some positive constant  $C$  is valid, as investigated by Nochetto [15], and if  $\xi$  is chosen to be of order  $\varepsilon^{1/3}$  and  $\sigma$  is of order  $\varepsilon^{2/3}$ , then the rate becomes  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/3})$ . In addition, the corresponding latent heat  $w_\xi^\varepsilon$  and the corresponding enthalpy  $z_\xi^\varepsilon$  converge strongly in  $L^2(Q)$ . The rate of convergence is  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/6})$ . Thus, better results are obtained for the reaction-diffusion system  $(RD)_\xi$  than for  $(RD)_0$ .

The relationship between  $(RD)_\xi$  and (8) for fixed  $\xi > 0$  can be obtained as follows. Let functions  $\phi_i^\xi$  ( $i = 1, 3$ ) be defined as follows (see Figure 2):

$$\phi_1^\xi(r) = \begin{cases} r - \eta & \text{if } r \geq \eta, \\ 0 & \text{if } r < \eta, \end{cases} \quad \phi_3^\xi(r) = \begin{cases} \eta & \text{if } r \geq \eta, \\ r & \text{if } 0 < r < \eta, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

for  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that the relation  $\beta_\xi = d_1 \phi_1^\xi - d_2 \phi_2 + \xi \phi_3^\xi$  holds.

**Corollary 5** *Let  $z_\xi$  be a unique weak solution of (8) with an initial datum*

$z_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and let  $(u_\xi^\varepsilon, v_\xi^\varepsilon, w_\xi^\varepsilon)$  be a unique solution of  $(RD)_\xi$  with initial data satisfying the assumption give in (9). Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_\xi - u_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} + \|v_\xi - v_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} + \|w_\xi - w_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} \\ & + \left\| \int_0^t (\theta_\xi - \theta_\xi^\varepsilon) \right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} + \|z_\xi - z_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;(H^1(\Omega))^*)} \\ & \leq C(\varepsilon + \sigma(\varepsilon))^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_\xi = \phi_1^\xi(z_\xi)$ ,  $v_\xi = \phi_2(z_\xi)$ ,  $w_\xi = \phi_3^\xi(z_\xi)$ ,  $\theta_\xi = \beta_\xi(z_\xi)$ ,  $\theta_\xi^\varepsilon := d_1 u_\xi^\varepsilon - d_2 v_\xi^\varepsilon + \xi w_\xi^\varepsilon$ ,  $z_\xi^\varepsilon := u_\xi^\varepsilon - v_\xi^\varepsilon + w_\xi^\varepsilon$ ,  $\sigma(\varepsilon) := \|z_0 - z_0^{\varepsilon,\xi}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ , and  $z_0^{\varepsilon,\xi} := u_0^{\varepsilon,\xi} - v_0^{\varepsilon,\xi} + w_0^{\varepsilon,\xi}$ .

The rate of convergence is  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$  if  $\sigma$  is of order  $\varepsilon$ . Corollary 5 also implies that the solution of (4) converges to the weak solution of the Stefan problem without the latent heat and that its rate of convergence in  $L^2(Q)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ .

### 3 A proof of Theorem 3

In this section, a proof of Theorem 3 is given. To this end, a number of lemmas are presented for the functions  $u^\varepsilon$ ,  $v^\varepsilon$  and  $w^\varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 6** *The functions  $u^\varepsilon$ ,  $v^\varepsilon$  and  $w^\varepsilon$  are uniformly bounded with respect to  $\varepsilon$  in  $L^\infty(Q)$ . More precisely, the functions  $u^\varepsilon$ ,  $v^\varepsilon$  and  $w^\varepsilon$  satisfy*

$$0 \leq u^\varepsilon \leq M, \quad 0 \leq v^\varepsilon \leq M, \quad 0 \leq w^\varepsilon \leq \lambda \quad \text{in } Q.$$

**Proof.** The assertion follows from the maximum principle.  $\square$

**Lemma 7** *There exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that*

$$\int_0^T \{ \langle u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon \rangle + \langle \lambda - w^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon \rangle + \langle v^\varepsilon, w^\varepsilon \rangle \} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

**Proof.** Integration of the equation for  $u^\varepsilon$  in  $Q$  yields

$$\iint_Q \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u^\varepsilon v^\varepsilon + (\lambda - w^\varepsilon) u^\varepsilon) = \int_\Omega (u_0^\varepsilon - u^\varepsilon(\cdot, T)) \leq M|\Omega|,$$

which implies the first and second terms of the desired estimate. Similarly, the third term can be shown by integrating the equation for  $v^\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8** *There exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that*

$$d_1 \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} + d_2 \|\nabla v^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C.$$

**Proof.** Multiplying the equation for  $u^\varepsilon$  by  $u^\varepsilon$  and integrating by parts on  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (u^\varepsilon)^2 + d_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} ((u^\varepsilon)^2 v^\varepsilon + (\lambda - w^\varepsilon)(u^\varepsilon)^2) = 0.$$

Integrating on  $(0, T)$  and using Lemmas 6 and 7, we can obtain the first term. The second term can be similarly obtained.  $\square$

Lemmas 6 and 8 imply that the functions  $u^\varepsilon$  and  $v^\varepsilon$  are uniformly bounded with respect to  $\varepsilon$  in  $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ .

From  $(RD)_0$ , we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial z^\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \Delta \theta^\varepsilon.$$

Multiplying this expression by a test function  $\zeta \in \mathcal{K}$  and integrating by parts, we obtain the identity

$$\int_0^T \langle z^\varepsilon, \zeta_t \rangle + \langle z_0^\varepsilon, \zeta(\cdot, 0) \rangle = \int_0^T \langle \nabla \theta^\varepsilon, \nabla \zeta \rangle. \quad (10)$$

After subtraction from (10) to (7), we obtain the relation

$$- \int_0^T \langle e_z, \zeta_t \rangle - \langle e_{z_0}, \zeta(\cdot, 0) \rangle + \int_0^T \langle \nabla e_\theta, \nabla \zeta \rangle = 0 \quad (11)$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{K}$ . Here, errors are defined as

$$e_z := z - z^\varepsilon, \quad e_\theta := \theta - \theta^\varepsilon, \quad e_{z_0} := z_0 - z_0^\varepsilon.$$

The concept behind the proof is to use a stable test function in (11).

**Proof of Theorem 3.** For fixed  $t_0 \in (0, T]$ , the following test function is proposed:

$$\zeta(x, t) = \begin{cases} \int_t^{t_0} e_\theta(x, s) ds & \text{if } 0 \leq t < t_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t_0 \leq t \leq T. \end{cases}$$

The first term of the left-hand side of (11) yields

$$-\int_0^T \langle e_z, \zeta_t \rangle = \int_0^{t_0} \langle e_z, e_\theta \rangle.$$

The term  $\langle e_z, e_\theta \rangle$  is then estimated. For a.e.  $(x, t) \in Q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_z, e_\theta \rangle &= \langle e_z, \theta - \beta(z^\varepsilon) \rangle + \langle e_z, \beta(z^\varepsilon) - \theta^\varepsilon \rangle \\ &= d_1 \langle e_z, u - \phi_1(z^\varepsilon) \rangle - d_2 \langle e_z, v - \phi_2(z^\varepsilon) \rangle + \langle e_z, \beta(z^\varepsilon) - \theta^\varepsilon \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is estimated by means of the definition of  $\phi_1$ ; namely

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 \langle z - z^\varepsilon, \phi_1(z) - \phi_1(z^\varepsilon) \rangle &\geq d_1 \|\phi_1(z) - \phi_1(z^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq d_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \|e_u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|\phi_1(z^\varepsilon) - u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_u := u - u^\varepsilon$ . In the same way, we obtain

$$-d_2 \langle z - z^\varepsilon, \phi_2(z) - \phi_2(z^\varepsilon) \rangle \geq d_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \|e_v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|\phi_2(z^\varepsilon) - v^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

Here,  $e_v := v - v^\varepsilon$ . Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\langle e_z, \beta(z^\varepsilon) - \theta^\varepsilon \rangle \leq \|e_z\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\beta(z^\varepsilon) - \theta^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

The inequalities for  $u, v \geq 0$  and  $w \in [0, \lambda]$  are easily obtained:

$$\begin{cases} |u - \phi_1(u - v + w)|^2 \leq uv + (\lambda - w)u, \\ |v - \phi_2(u - v + w)|^2 \leq uv + vw, \\ |w - \phi_3(u - v + w)|^2 \leq vw + (\lambda - w)u. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

It follows from Lemma 7 and (12) that

$$\|\phi_1(z^\varepsilon) - u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\phi_2(z^\varepsilon) - v^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\beta(z^\varepsilon) - \theta^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon,$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant that is independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

From the elementary relation

$$2ab \leq \alpha a^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} b^2 \quad (13)$$

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_{z_0}, \zeta(\cdot, 0) \rangle &= \int_0^{t_0} \langle e_{z_0}, e_\theta \rangle = d_1 \int_0^{t_0} \langle e_{z_0}, e_u \rangle - d_2 \int_0^{t_0} \langle e_{z_0}, e_v \rangle \\ &\leq (d_1 + d_2) T \|e_{z_0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{d_1}{4} \int_0^{t_0} \|e_u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{d_2}{4} \int_0^{t_0} \|e_v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The third term of the left-hand side of (11) can be estimated easily as follows:

$$\int_0^T \langle \nabla e_\theta, \nabla \zeta \rangle = \int_0^{t_0} \langle \nabla e_\theta, \int_t^{t_0} \nabla e_\theta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \int_0^{t_0} \nabla e_\theta \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Collecting all of the previous bounds yields

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 \|e_u\|_{L^2(0,t_0;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + d_2 \|e_v\|_{L^2(0,t_0;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \left\| \int_0^{t_0} \nabla e_\theta \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq C(\varepsilon^{1/2} + \|e_{z_0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for all  $t_0 \in (0, T)$  and some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be a function belonging to  $H^1(\Omega)$ , and let  $t_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $(0, T)$ . The function  $\chi_\delta = \chi_\delta(t)$  is defined as

$$\chi_\delta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t \in [0, t_0 - \delta], \\ (t_0 + \delta - t)/2\delta & t \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta), \\ 0 & t \in [t_0 + \delta, T]. \end{cases}$$

The function  $\chi_\delta$  converges in  $L^2(0, T)$  to the characteristic function of  $(0, t_0)$ . Taking  $\zeta(x, t) = \phi(x)\chi_\delta(t)$  in (11), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{t_0-\delta}^{t_0+\delta} \langle e_z, \varphi \rangle - \langle e_{z_0}, \varphi \rangle + \int_0^T \chi_\delta \langle \nabla e_\theta, \nabla \varphi \rangle = 0.$$

Using the Lebesgue differentiation theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for a.e.  $t_0 \in (0, T)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle e_z(t_0), \varphi \rangle| &\leq |\langle e_{z_0}, \varphi \rangle| + \left| \int_0^{t_0} \langle \nabla e_\theta, \nabla \varphi \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left( \|e_{z_0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \int_0^{t_0} \nabla e_\theta \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \|\varphi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality and (14), the desired estimate is obtained.  $\square$

#### 4 A proof of Theorem 4

In this section, a proof of Theorem 4 is presented. The strategy is the same as that for the proof of Theorem 3. The following lemmas hold for the functions  $u_\xi^\varepsilon$ ,  $v_\xi^\varepsilon$  and  $w_\xi^\varepsilon$  in the same way as in Lemmas 6–8.

**Lemma 9** *The following relations hold:*

$$0 \leq u_\xi^\varepsilon \leq M, \quad 0 \leq v_\xi^\varepsilon \leq M, \quad 0 \leq w_\xi^\varepsilon \leq \eta \quad \text{in } Q.$$

**Lemma 10** *There exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$  such that*

$$\int_0^T \{ \langle u_\xi^\varepsilon, v_\xi^\varepsilon \rangle + \langle \eta - w_\xi^\varepsilon, u_\xi^\varepsilon \rangle + \langle v_\xi^\varepsilon, w_\xi^\varepsilon \rangle \} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

**Lemma 11** *There exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$  such that*

$$d_1 \|\nabla u_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} + d_2 \|\nabla v_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} + \xi \|\nabla w_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C.$$

From  $(RD)_\xi$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial z_\xi^\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \Delta \theta_\xi^\varepsilon.$$

Multiplying this expression by a test function  $\zeta \in \mathcal{K}$  and integrating by parts gives the following identity:

$$\int_0^T \langle z_\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta_t \rangle + \langle z_0^\varepsilon, \zeta(\cdot, 0) \rangle = \int_0^T \langle \nabla \theta_\xi^\varepsilon, \nabla \zeta \rangle. \quad (15)$$

After subtraction from (15) to (7), the following relation is obtained:

$$- \int_0^T \langle e_z, \zeta_t \rangle - \langle e_{z_0}, \zeta(\cdot, 0) \rangle + \int_0^T \langle \nabla e_\theta, \nabla \zeta \rangle = 0 \quad (16)$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{K}$ . Here, the errors are defined as follows:

$$e_z := z - z_\xi^\varepsilon, \quad e_\theta := \theta - \theta_\xi^\varepsilon, \quad e_{z_0} := z_0 - z_0^\varepsilon.$$

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 4.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** The strategy is the same as that in the proof of Theorem 3. To this end, we take

$$\zeta(x, t) = \begin{cases} \int_t^{t_0} e_\theta(x, s) ds & \text{if } 0 \leq t < t_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t_0 \leq t \leq T \end{cases}$$

for fixed  $t_0 \in (0, T]$  in (16).

The term  $\langle e_z, e_\theta \rangle$  is then estimated. For a.e.  $(x, t) \in Q$ ,

$$\langle e_z, e_\theta \rangle = \langle e_z, \theta - \beta_\xi(z) \rangle + \langle e_z, \beta_\xi(z) - \beta_\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) \rangle + \langle e_z, \beta_\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - \theta_\xi^\varepsilon \rangle. \quad (17)$$

Using the elementary relation (13), the first term is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e_z, \theta - \beta_\xi(z) \rangle &= \langle e_u - e_v + e_w, \beta(z) - \beta_\xi(z) \rangle \\ &\geq -\frac{d_1}{8} \|e_u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{d_2}{8} \|e_v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{\xi}{8} \|e_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{2}{d_1} + \frac{2}{d_2} + \frac{2}{\xi} \right) \|\beta(z) - \beta_\xi(z)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,\end{aligned}$$

where  $e_u := u - u_\xi^\varepsilon$ ,  $e_v := v - v_\xi^\varepsilon$  and  $e_w := w - w_\xi^\varepsilon$ .

The last term of (17) can be estimated in a similar manner:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e_z, \beta_\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - \theta_\xi^\varepsilon \rangle &\geq -\frac{d_1}{16} \|e_u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{d_2}{16} \|e_v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{\xi}{16} \|e_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{4}{d_1} + \frac{4}{d_2} + \frac{4}{\xi} \right) \|\beta_\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - \theta_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the property of  $\phi_1^\xi$  and the triangle inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e_z, \phi_1^\xi(z) - \phi_1^\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) \rangle &\geq \|\phi_1^\xi(z) - \phi_1^\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_1(z) - \phi_1^\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|\phi_1(z) - \phi_1^\xi(z)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|e_u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_1^\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - u_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|\phi_1(z) - \phi_1^\xi(z)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$-\langle e_z, \phi_2(z) - \phi_2(z_\xi^\varepsilon) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} \|e_v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|\phi_2(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - v_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e_w, \phi_3^\xi(z) - \phi_3^\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) \rangle &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|e_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_3^\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - w_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|\phi_3(z) - \phi_3^\xi(z)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the second term of (17) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e_z, \beta_\xi(z) - \beta_\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) \rangle &\geq \frac{d_1}{4} \|e_u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{d_2}{2} \|e_v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\xi}{4} \|e_w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{d_1}{2} \|\phi_1^\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - u_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - d_2 \|\phi_2(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - v_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{\xi}{2} \|\phi_3^\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - w_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - d_1 \|\phi_1(z) - \phi_1^\xi(z)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \xi \|\phi_3(z) - \phi_3^\xi(z)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.\end{aligned}$$



It follows from the property of  $\phi_i^\xi$  ( $i = 1, 3$ ) and the property  $\beta(z) = \beta_\xi(z)$  if  $(x, t) \notin A_\xi(z)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_1(z) - \phi_1^\xi(z)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\phi_3(z) - \phi_3^\xi(z)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq |\Omega|\xi^2, \\ \|\beta(z) - \beta_\xi(z)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \xi^2 |A_\xi(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 10 and the inequalities for  $u, v \geq 0$  and  $w \in [0, \eta]$ :

$$\begin{cases} |u - \phi_1^\xi(u - v + w)|^2 \leq uv + (\eta - w)u, \\ |v - \phi_2(u - v + w)|^2 \leq uv + vw, \\ |w - \phi_3^\xi(u - v + w)|^2 \leq vw + (\eta - w)u \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_1^\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - u_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\phi_2(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - v_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|\phi_3^\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - w_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \\ + \|\beta_\xi(z_\xi^\varepsilon) - \theta_\xi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$ .

The other terms of (16) can be estimated in the same manner as in Theorem 3. Collecting these bounds yields

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 \|e_u\|_{L^2(0, t_0; L^2(\Omega))}^2 + d_2 \|e_v\|_{L^2(0, t_0; L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \xi \|e_w\|_{L^2(0, t_0; L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \left\| \int_0^{t_0} \nabla e_\theta \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq C \left( \xi |A_\xi(z)| + \xi^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\xi} + \sigma(\varepsilon, \xi) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t_0 \in (0, T)$ .

The assertion can be proven analogously as Theorem 3.  $\square$

## 5 Concluding remarks

A new reaction-diffusion system approximation to the classical Stefan problem with sufficiently small parameters  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$  was proposed from a regularization point of view. The rates of convergence with respect to  $\varepsilon$  and  $\xi$  have been investigated theoretically. Numerical experiments indicate that the present results for the convergence rates can be improved [13]. The optimal rate of convergence, including the convergence of the interface, should be investigated.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and by the Kyushu University 21st Century COE Program, Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality, of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

## References

- [1] G. Beckett, J. A. Mackenzie and M. L. Robertson, A moving mesh finite element method for the solution of two-dimensional Stefan problems, *J. Comp. Phys.* **168** (2001) 500–518.
- [2] J. Crank, *Free and moving boundary problems* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1984).
- [3] E. C. M. Grooks, E. N. Dancer, D. Hilhorst, M. Mimura and H. Ninomiya, Spatial segregation limit of a competition-diffusion system with Dirichlet boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* **5** (2004) 645–665.
- [4] E. N. Dancer, D. Hilhorst, M. Mimura and L. A. Peletier, Spatial segregation limit of a competition-diffusion system, *Euro. J. Appl. Math.* **10** (1999) 97–115.
- [5] A. Friedman, The Stefan problem in several space variables, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (1968) 51–87.
- [6] C. Grossmann and A. Noack, Smoothing and Rothe’s method for Stefan problems in enthalpy form, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **138** (2002) 347–366.
- [7] D. Hilhorst, M. Iida, M. Mimura and H. Ninomiya, A competition-diffusion system approximation to the classical two-phase Stefan problem, *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.* **18** (2001) 161–180.
- [8] D. Hilhorst, M. Iida, M. Mimura and H. Ninomiya, A reaction-diffusion system approximation to the classical two-phase Stefan problem, *Nonlinear Analysis* **47** (2001) 801–812.
- [9] D. Hilhorst, M. Mimura and R. Schätzle, Vanishing latent heat limit in a Stefan-like problem arising in biology, *Nonlinear Analysis* **4** (2003) 261–285.
- [10] O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov and N. N. Ural’ceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type* (Trans. Math. Monographs **23**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1968).
- [11] A. Lunardi, *Analytic semigroups and regularity in parabolic problems* (Progress in nonlinear differential equations and their applications, **16**, Birkhäuser, Basel·Boston·Berlin, 1995).

- [12] A. M. Meirmanov, *The Stefan problem* (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992).
- [13] H. Murakawa, On reaction-diffusion system approximations to the classical Stefan problems, preprint.
- [14] R. H. Nochetto, Error estimates for two-phase Stefan problems in several space variables, I: linear boundary conditions, *Calcolo* **22** (1985) 457–499.
- [15] R. H. Nochetto, A class of non-degenerate two-phase Stefan problems in several space variables, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **12** (1987) 111–138.

# List of MHF Preprint Series, Kyushu University

## 21st Century COE Program

### Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality

- MHF2003-1 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Yoshitaka WATANABE  
A numerical method to verify the invertibility of linear elliptic operators with applications to nonlinear problems
- MHF2003-2 Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI  
Error estimates of finite element methods for nonstationary thermal convection problems with temperature-dependent coefficients
- MHF2003-3 Tomohiro ANDO, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO  
Adaptive learning machines for nonlinear classification and Bayesian information criteria
- MHF2003-4 Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA  
On systems of algebraic equations with parametric exponents
- MHF2003-5 Masao ISHIKAWA & Masato WAKAYAMA  
Applications of Minor Summation Formulas III, Plücker relations, Lattice paths and Pfaffian identities
- MHF2003-6 Atsushi SUZUKI & Masahisa TABATA  
Finite element matrices in congruent subdomains and their effective use for large-scale computations
- MHF2003-7 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
Stochastic oscillatory integrals - asymptotic and exact expressions for quadratic phase functions -
- MHF2003-8 Shoki MIYAMOTO & Atsushi YOSHIKAWA  
Computable sequences in the Sobolev spaces
- MHF2003-9 Toru FUJII & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Wavelet based estimate for non-linear and non-stationary auto-regressive model
- MHF2003-10 Atsushi YOSHIKAWA  
Maple and wave-front tracking — an experiment
- MHF2003-11 Masanobu KANEKO  
On the local factor of the zeta function of quadratic orders
- MHF2003-12 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
Conjugate-set game for a nonlinear programming problem

- MHF2004-1 Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Estimating the Lyapunov exponent from chaotic time series with dynamic noise
- MHF2004-2 Rui YAMAGUCHI, Eiko TSUCHIYA & Tomoyuki HIGUCHI  
State space modeling approach to decompose daily sales of a restaurant into time-dependent multi-factors
- MHF2004-3 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Cubic pencils and Painlevé Hamiltonians
- MHF2004-4 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Estimating the correlation dimension from a chaotic system with dynamic noise
- MHF2004-5 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Kentarou KITAMURA, Koji YONEMOTO, Takashi YANAGAWA & Kiyofumi YUMOTO  
Detection of auroral breakups using the correlation dimension
- MHF2004-6 Ryo IKOTA, Masayasu MIMURA & Tatsuyuki NAKAKI  
A methodology for numerical simulations to a singular limit
- MHF2004-7 Ryo IKOTA & Eiji YANAGIDA  
Stability of stationary interfaces of binary-tree type
- MHF2004-8 Yuko ARAKI, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO  
Functional discriminant analysis for gene expression data via radial basis expansion
- MHF2004-9 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Hypergeometric solutions to the  $q$ -Painlevé equations
- MHF2004-10 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Expressions for values of the gamma function
- MHF2004-11 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Transformations of Gauss hypergeometric functions
- MHF2004-12 Koji NAKAGAWA & Masakazu SUZUKI  
Mathematical knowledge browser
- MHF2004-13 Ken-ichi MARUNO, Wen-Xiu MA & Masayuki OIKAWA  
Generalized Casorati determinant and Positon-Negaton-Type solutions of the Toda lattice equation
- MHF2004-14 Nalini JOSHI, Kenji KAJIWARA & Marta MAZZOCCO  
Generating function associated with the determinant formula for the solutions of the Painlevé II equation

- MHF2004-15 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Ryohei ABE, Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO & Yoshitaka WATANABE  
Numerical verification methods of solutions for nonlinear singularly perturbed problem
- MHF2004-16 Ken-ichi MARUNO & Gino BIONDINI  
Resonance and web structure in discrete soliton systems: the two-dimensional Toda lattice and its fully discrete and ultra-discrete versions
- MHF2004-17 Ryuei NISHII & Shinto EGUCHI  
Supervised image classification in Markov random field models with Jeffreys divergence
- MHF2004-18 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Kenta KOBAYASHI & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
Numerical verification methods of solutions for the free boundary problem
- MHF2004-19 Hiroki MASUDA  
Ergodicity and exponential  $\beta$ -mixing bounds for a strong solution of Lévy-driven stochastic differential equations
- MHF2004-20 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The Brownian sheet and the reflectionless potentials
- MHF2004-21 Ryuei NISHII & Shinto EGUCHI  
Supervised image classification based on AdaBoost with contextual weak classifiers
- MHF2004-22 Hideki KOSAKI  
On intersections of domains of unbounded positive operators
- MHF2004-23 Masahisa TABATA & Shoichi FUJIMA  
Robustness of a characteristic finite element scheme of second order in time increment
- MHF2004-24 Ken-ichi MARUNO, Adrian ANKIEWICZ & Nail AKHMEDIEV  
Dissipative solitons of the discrete complex cubic-quintic Ginzburg-Landau equation
- MHF2004-25 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Degenerate Gauss hypergeometric functions
- MHF2004-26 Ryo IKOTA  
The boundedness of propagation speeds of disturbances for reaction-diffusion systems
- MHF2004-27 Ryusuke KON  
Convex dominates concave: an exclusion principle in discrete-time Kolmogorov systems

- MHF2004-28 Ryusuke KON  
Multiple attractors in host-parasitoid interactions: coexistence and extinction
- MHF2004-29 Kentaro IHARA, Masanobu KANEKO & Don ZAGIER  
Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values
- MHF2004-30 Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Generalized partitioned quantum cellular automata and quantization of classical CA
- MHF2005-1 Hideki KOSAKI  
Matrix trace inequalities related to uncertainty principle
- MHF2005-2 Masahisa TABATA  
Discrepancy between theory and real computation on the stability of some finite element schemes
- MHF2005-3 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Functional regression modeling via regularized basis expansions and model selection
- MHF2005-4 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Functional discriminant analysis via regularized basis expansions
- MHF2005-5 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Point configurations, Cremona transformations and the elliptic difference Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-6 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Construction of hypergeometric solutions to the  $q$ -Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-7 Hiroki MASUDA  
Simple estimators for non-linear Markovian trend from sampled data:  
I. ergodic cases
- MHF2005-8 Hiroki MASUDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA  
Edgeworth expansion for a class of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-based models
- MHF2005-9 Masayuki UCHIDA  
Approximate martingale estimating functions under small perturbations of dynamical systems
- MHF2005-10 Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA  
One-step estimators for diffusion processes with small dispersion parameters from discrete observations
- MHF2005-11 Junichi MATSUKUBO, Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA  
Estimation for a discretely observed small diffusion process with a linear drift

- MHF2005-12 Masayuki UCHIDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA  
AIC for ergodic diffusion processes from discrete observations
- MHF2005-13 Hiromichi GOTO & Kenji KAJIWARA  
Generating function related to the Okamoto polynomials for the Painlevé IV equation
- MHF2005-14 Masato KIMURA & Shin-ichi NAGATA  
Precise asymptotic behaviour of the first eigenvalue of Sturm-Liouville problems with large drift
- MHF2005-15 Daisuke TAGAMI & Masahisa TABATA  
Numerical computations of a melting glass convection in the furnace
- MHF2005-16 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations
- MHF2005-17 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Askey-Wilson relations and Leonard pairs
- MHF2005-18 Kenji KAJIWARA & Atsushi MUKAIHIRA  
Soliton solutions for the non-autonomous discrete-time Toda lattice equation
- MHF2005-19 Yuu HARIYA  
Construction of Gibbs measures for 1-dimensional continuum fields
- MHF2005-20 Yuu HARIYA  
Integration by parts formulae for the Wiener measure restricted to subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- MHF2005-21 Yuu HARIYA  
A time-change approach to Kotani's extension of Yor's formula
- MHF2005-22 Tadahisa FUNAKI, Yuu HARIYA & Mark YOR  
Wiener integrals for centered powers of Bessel processes, I
- MHF2005-23 Masahisa TABATA & Satoshi KAIZU  
Finite element schemes for two-fluids flow problems
- MHF2005-24 Ken-ichi MARUNO & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Determinant form of dark soliton solutions of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation
- MHF2005-25 Alexander V. KITAEV & Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Quadratic transformations of the sixth Painlevé equation
- MHF2005-26 Toru FUJII & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling via regularized wavelets and smoothing parameter selection



- MHF2005-27 Shuichi INOKUCHI, Kazumasa HONDA, Hyen Yeal LEE, Tatsuro SATO,  
Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI & Yasuo KAWAHARA  
On reversible cellular automata with finite cell array
- MHF2005-28 Toru KOMATSU  
Cyclic cubic field with explicit Artin symbols
- MHF2005-29 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Kaori NAGATOU  
A computational approach to constructive a priori and a posteriori error  
estimates for finite element approximations of bi-harmonic problems
- MHF2005-30 Kaori NAGATOU, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
Numerical verification of stationary solutions for Navier-Stokes problems
- MHF2005-31 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
A duality theorem for a three-phase partition problem
- MHF2005-32 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
A duality theorem based on triangles separating three convex sets
- MHF2005-33 Takeaki FUCHIKAMI & Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
An explicit formula of the Shapley value for a cooperative game induced from  
the conjugate point
- MHF2005-34 Hideki MURAKAWA  
A regularization of a reaction-diffusion system approximation to the two-phase  
Stefan problem