

## Wiener integrals for centered powers of Bessel processes, I

Funaki, Tadahisa

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo

Hariya, Yuu

Departement de Mathematiques, Institut Elie Cartan

Yor, Marc

Laboratoire de Probabilites et Modeles Aleatoires, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/3368>

---

出版情報 : MHF Preprint Series. MHF2005-22, 2005-05-19. 九州大学大学院数理学研究院  
バージョン :  
権利関係 :



# MHF Preprint Series

Kyushu University  
21st Century COE Program  
Development of Dynamic Mathematics with  
High Functionality

## Wiener integrals for centered powers of Bessel processes, I

T. Funaki, Y. Hariya  
M. Yor

MHF 2005-22

( Received May 19, 2005 )

Faculty of Mathematics  
Kyushu University  
Fukuoka, JAPAN

# Wiener integrals for centered powers of Bessel processes, I

Tadahisa Funaki\*, Yuu Hariya<sup>†</sup> and Marc Yor<sup>‡</sup>

## Abstract

The stochastic integrals of Wiener's type may be constructed relatively to the centered  $\delta$ -dimensional Bessel processes (BES( $\delta$ )-processes in short) and their variants based on two different approaches. One approach, developed in [3], is via the Brascamp-Lieb inequality which works especially well for the BES( $\delta$ )-processes, BES( $\delta$ )-bridges with  $\delta \geq 3$  or for the Brownian meander. The other approach, which is the subject of the present paper, goes via Hardy's  $L^2$  inequality which is effective for general BES( $\delta$ )-processes and their powers. We shall also discuss an interplay of these two methods.

## 1 Introduction and main results

Consider, on a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0), P)$ , a continuous semimartingale  $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ , with canonical decomposition:

$$X_t = M_t + V_t,$$

where  $(M_t)$  denotes an  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -local martingale, and  $(V_t)$  an  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted, continuous process of bounded variation.

The theory of stochastic integration with respect to  $X$  consists in defining:

$$\int_0^t \phi_s dX_s = \int_0^t \phi_s dM_s + \int_0^t \phi_s dV_s,$$

---

\*Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

<sup>†</sup>Département de Mathématiques, Institut Elie Cartan, BP239, 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France

<sup>‡</sup>Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4, Place Jussieu, Boîte Courrier 188, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

*Keywords:* Wiener integrals, Bessel Processes, Bessel bridges, Hardy's  $L^2$  inequality.

*Abbreviated title (running head):* Wiener integrals for BES processes, I.

for  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -predictable processes  $(\phi_t)$  which satisfy:

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^t \phi_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t |\phi_s| |dV_s| < \infty$$

a.s. for every  $t$ . However, in a number of situations, one would like to be able to define  $(\int_0^t \phi_s dX_s, t \geq 0)$  for predictable processes  $(\phi_t)$  which only satisfy:

$$(1.1') \quad \int_0^t \phi_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty \quad \text{a.s. for every } t.$$

This question arose very naturally in some discussions of enlargements of the Brownian filtration. More precisely, consider a Brownian motion  $(\beta_t, t \geq 0)$  (and its filtration), and assume that, in a larger filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ ,  $(\beta_t)$  remains a semimartingale, which then decomposes as:

$$(1.2) \quad \beta_t = B_t + V_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $(B_t)$  is an  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -Brownian motion. In [9], examples were given for which, although (1.2) holds, nonetheless, there exist even deterministic functions  $f$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^2(ds)$ , i.e.,  $\int_0^t f(s)^2 ds \equiv \int_0^t f(s)^2 d\langle B \rangle_s < \infty$  such that

$$\int_0^t |f(s)| |dV_s| = \infty.$$

To be precise, starting from a Brownian motion  $(B_t)$ , consider the “second” Brownian motion:

$$(1.3) \quad \beta_t = B_t - \int_0^t \frac{B_s}{s} ds,$$

whose proper filtration is strictly smaller than that of  $B$ , but trivially (1.2) holds with:

$$V_t = - \int_0^t \frac{B_s}{s} ds.$$

It then follows from Jeulin’s lemma [7, p.44] (see also [8]) that, if  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(ds)$ , then  $(\int_0^t f(s) d\beta_s, t \geq 0)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_t \equiv \sigma\{B_s, s \leq t\}$ -semimartingale if and only if

$$\int_{0+} \frac{|f(s)|}{\sqrt{s}} ds < \infty.$$

(For several applications of Jeulin’s lemma, we also refer to [12, 18].) Nonetheless, for every  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(ds)$ ,

$$\int_0^t f(s) \left( \frac{B_s}{s} \right) ds := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_\varepsilon^t f(s) \left( \frac{B_s}{s} \right) ds$$

exists both a.s. and in  $L^2$ , as follows easily from (1.3). Thus the identity:

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^t f(s) d\beta_s = \int_0^t f(s) dB_s - \int_0^t f(s) \left( \frac{B_s}{s} \right) ds$$

is meaningful for every  $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(ds)$ .

In the present paper, we intend to make a similar discussion when instead of (1.2), we consider the semimartingale:

$$X_t = R_\delta(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $(R_\delta(t), t \geq 0)$  is a  $\delta$ -dimensional Bessel process (BES( $\delta$ )-process in short),  $\delta \geq 1$ , starting from 0. We recall the canonical decomposition:

$$(1.5) \quad R_\delta(t) = B_t + V_\delta(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad V_\delta(t) = \begin{cases} L_t, & \delta = 1, \\ \frac{\delta - 1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{R_\delta(s)}, & \delta > 1. \end{cases}$$

(For  $\delta = 1$ , we may consider:  $R_1(t) = |\beta_t|$ ;  $B_t = \int_0^t \text{sgn}(\beta_s) d\beta_s$ , and  $(L_t)$  the local time at 0 of  $\beta$ .) Then, again as a consequence of Jeulin's lemma, we can show that, for  $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(ds)$ , there is the equivalence:

$$\int_0^t |f(s)| |dV_\delta(s)| < \infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \int_0^t \frac{|f(s)|}{\sqrt{s}} ds < \infty.$$

Despite this, we shall show the following analogue of (1.4): define the centered Bessel process

$$\widehat{R}_\delta(t) = R_\delta(t) - E[R_\delta(t)] \equiv B_t + \widehat{V}_\delta(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then the integral  $\int_0^t f(s) d\widehat{R}_\delta(s)$  makes sense for every  $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(ds)$ . In fact, we have obtained the much more precise results. For simplicity, we restrict our argument to the time interval  $[0, 1]$ : For a bounded, measurable function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we set

$$I(f; \widehat{R}_\delta) = \int_0^1 f(u) d\widehat{R}_\delta(u).$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *For every  $\delta \geq 1$ , it holds that, for all bounded, measurable functions  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$(1.7) \quad E[I(f; \widehat{R}_\delta)^2] \leq \|f\|_2^2.$$

*Moreover, the constant 1 implicit in (1.7) is the optimal constant  $K$  such that  $E[I(f; \widehat{R}_\delta)^2] \leq K \|f\|_2^2$ .*

Note that, for each  $f^* \in L^2([0, 1])$ , we may find a sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of bounded, measurable functions on  $[0, 1]$ , such that  $\|f_n - f^*\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From (1.7), we see that  $\{I(f_n; \widehat{R}_\delta)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  forms a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2(P)$ . So we may define the Wiener integral  $I(f^*; \widehat{R}_\delta)$  as its limit. Thus we obtain, as a corollary to Theorem 1.1:

**Corollary 1.2.** *For all  $f \in L^2([0, 1])$ , the Wiener integral  $I(f; \widehat{R}_\delta)$  is well-defined relative to  $\text{BES}(\delta)$ ,  $\delta \geq 1$ , starting from 0. Moreover, it enjoys the property (1.7).*

We may extend the above results by replacing  $(R_\delta(t), t \geq 0)$  by  $(R_\delta(t))^\alpha$ , for every  $\alpha$  such that  $(R_\delta(t))^\alpha$  is a semimartingale; that is,

$$\alpha \geq (2 - \delta)_+.$$

Here, for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(a)_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$ . In this case, we may modify the above as follows: for  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\delta + \beta > 0$ , set

$$\kappa_\beta = E[R_1^\beta] \equiv 2^{\beta/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta+\beta}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2})}.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** *For  $\delta > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , suppose that  $\alpha \geq (2 - \delta)_+$ . Then it holds that, for all bounded, measurable functions  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,*

$$(1.8) \quad E[I(f; \widehat{R}_\delta^\alpha)^2] \leq \alpha^2 \kappa_{2(\alpha-1)} \|f_\alpha\|_2^2,$$

where  $f_\alpha(u) := f(u)u^{(\alpha-1)/2}$ .

**Remark 1.1.** *The constant  $\alpha^2 \kappa_{2(\alpha-1)}$  in (1.8) is also optimal (see Remark 3.1).*

We denote by  $L_\alpha^2([0, 1])$  the weighted  $L^2$  space defined by

$$L_\alpha^2([0, 1]) = \{f; f_\alpha \in L^2([0, 1])\}.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1.3, we also have the following:

**Corollary 1.4.** *Suppose that  $\delta$  and  $\alpha$  satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.3. Then, for all  $f \in L_\alpha^2([0, 1])$ , the Wiener integral  $I(f; \widehat{R}_\delta^\alpha)$  is well-defined.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, after developing some Hardy-like inequalities, we prove Theorem 1.1; Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3; in Section 4, we show that as a consequence of the previous results, Wiener integral may be defined relatively to the centered  $\text{BES}(\delta)$ -bridges, for  $\delta \geq 1$ ; finally, in Section 5, which we consider as an Appendix, we have gathered several topics we refer to in our discussions.

## 2 An approach via Hardy's $L^2$ inequality

### 2.1 Some Hardy-like inequalities

**Proposition 2.1.** *For a non-negative, integrable function  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , the operator*

$$T_\Phi : f \rightarrow \left\{ \int_0^1 dt f(ut) \Phi(t), u \leq 1 \right\}$$

*which is well-defined on bounded Borel measurable functions  $f$ , extends as a bounded linear operator on  $L^2([0, 1])$  if and only if*

$$(2.1) \quad K_\Phi := \int_0^1 dt \frac{\Phi(t)}{\sqrt{t}} < \infty.$$

*Moreover,*

$$\|T_\Phi f\|_2 \leq K_\Phi \|f\|_2 \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2([0, 1]),$$

*and the constant  $K_\Phi$  is the optimal constant  $K$  such that:  $\|T_\Phi f\|_2 \leq K \|f\|_2$ ; that is, the operator norm  $\|T_\Phi\|$  of  $T_\Phi$  is equal to  $K_\Phi$ .*

For  $\Phi$  satisfying (2.1), we define the quadratic form  $J_\Phi$  on  $L^2([0, 1]) \times L^2([0, 1])$  by:

$$(2.2) \quad J_\Phi(f, g) = \int_0^1 du f(u) T_\Phi g(u), \quad f, g \in L^2([0, 1]).$$

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* As is well known, it holds that:

$$\|T_\Phi\| = \sup\{|J_\Phi(f, g)|; f, g \in L^2([0, 1]), \|f\|_2 \leq 1, \|g\|_2 \leq 1\}.$$

By Fubini's theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |J_\Phi(f, g)| &\leq \int_0^1 dt \Phi(t) \|f\|_2 \left( \int_0^1 du g(ut)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \int_0^1 dt \frac{\Phi(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

which shows  $\|T_\Phi\| \leq K_\Phi$ . On the other hand, if we assume that  $|J_\Phi(f, g)| \leq K \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$ , then, by taking  $f \equiv g \equiv \psi_\alpha$ , where  $\psi_\alpha(u) = u^\alpha$  for  $\alpha > -1/2$ , we get  $J_\Phi(\psi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \leq K(1 + 2\alpha)^{-1}$ , but

$$J_\Phi(\psi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) = \int_0^1 dt \Phi(t) t^\alpha \times \frac{1}{1 + 2\alpha}.$$

Therefore,  $\int_0^1 dt \Phi(t) t^\alpha \leq K$  for every  $\alpha > -1/2$ , and thus letting  $\alpha \downarrow -1/2$ , we get  $K_\Phi \leq K$ , which ends the proof.  $\square$

The next two propositions were proven and kindly proposed to us by F. Hirsh [6].

**Proposition 2.2.** *Suppose  $\Phi \geq 0$  and satisfies (2.1). Moreover, suppose that there exists  $\alpha > -1/2$  such that  $\Phi$  is expanded as:*

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(t) = t^\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n, \quad a_n \geq 0.$$

*Then the quadratic form  $J_\Phi$  is non-negative definite; i.e.,  $J_\Phi(f, f) \geq 0$  for all  $f \in L^2([0, 1])$ .*

*Proof.* If we denote  $\phi_\beta(t) = t^\beta$ , we have:

$$\Phi(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \phi_{n+\alpha}(t),$$

and, in order to prove the assertion, it suffices to show: for any  $\beta > -1/2$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad J_{\phi_\beta}(f, f) \geq 0.$$

Moreover, by approximating each  $f \in L^2([0, 1])$  by functions in  $C([0, 1])$ , we only have to prove (2.4) for  $f \in C([0, 1])$ . By change of variables, and by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\phi_\beta}(f, f) &= \int_0^1 du u^{-2\beta-1} u^\beta f(u) \int_0^u dv v^\beta f(v) \\ &= F_\beta(1) + (2\beta + 1) \int_0^1 du u^{-2\beta-2} F_\beta(u). \end{aligned}$$

Here we set

$$F_\beta(u) = \int_0^u ds f(s) s^\beta \int_0^u dv f(v) v^\beta \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^u ds f(s) s^\beta \right)^2,$$

which is non-negative. So the assertion is proved.  $\square$

In fact, the functions  $\Phi$  such that  $J_\Phi$  is non-negative definite may be characterized as follows: for  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we denote  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\tilde{f}(x) = e^{-x/2} f(e^{-x})$ . The mapping  $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$  is an onto isometry from  $L^2([0, 1])$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Let  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|\phi|$  satisfies (2.1). Then  $\int_0^\infty |\tilde{\phi}(x)| dx < \infty$ . Let

$$H(x) = \tilde{\phi}(|x|) = e^{-|x|/2} \phi(e^{-|x|})$$



**Proposition 2.3.** *The operator  $T_\phi$  is positive if and only if  $H$  is positive definite in the sense that, for every  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,*

$$(2.5) \quad \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(x)h(y)H(x-y) dx dy \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\widetilde{T_\phi f}(s) = \int_0^\infty \widetilde{f}(t+s)\widetilde{\phi}(t) dt.$$

Thus, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\phi(f, f) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty ds dt \widetilde{f}(s)\widetilde{f}(t+s)\widetilde{\phi}(t) \\ &= \int_0^\infty ds \widetilde{f}(s) \int_s^\infty dt \widetilde{f}(t)\widetilde{\phi}(t-s) \\ &= \int_0^\infty ds \widetilde{f}(s) \int_s^\infty dt \widetilde{f}(t)H(t-s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the symmetry of  $H$ ,

$$J_\phi(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} ds dt \widetilde{f}(s)\widetilde{f}(t)H(t-s).$$

Thus,  $J_\phi \geq 0$  if and only if

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} ds dt g(s)g(t)H(t-s) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

It follows immediately that, if  $H$  is positive definite, then  $J_\phi$  is positive. Conversely, if  $J_\phi \geq 0$  and if  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then, for every  $a \leq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^\infty \int_a^\infty ds dt h(s)h(t)H(t-s) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} ds dt h(a+s)h(a+t)H(t-s) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $a$  go to  $-\infty$ , we have:

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} ds dt h(s)h(t)H(t-s) \geq 0.$$

So the proof is complete. □

As a corollary, we have the following (from Bochner's theorem):

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $\phi$  is continuous on  $(0, 1]$ ,  $J_\phi \geq 0$  if and only if there exists a finite positive symmetric measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$(2.6) \quad \phi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} t^{ix} d\mu(x).$$

**Example 2.1.** (i) *When  $\phi$  is given in the form (2.3), the measure  $\mu$  in the above corollary is given by:*

$$(2.7) \quad d\mu(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{n + \alpha + \frac{1}{2}}{(n + \alpha + \frac{1}{2})^2 + x^2} dx.$$

(ii) *An interesting class of functions  $\phi$  which satisfy (2.6) are those  $\phi$ 's given by:*

$$e^{-|x|/2} \phi(e^{-|x|}) = c \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x^2 y/2} dm(y)$$

*for some constant  $c > 0$ , and for a probability measure  $m$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ; the measure  $\mu$  in the above corollary then appears as the law of  $B_A$ , where  $B$  is a Brownian motion, and  $A$  is a random variable independent of  $B$  and distributed as  $m$ .*

## 2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first look for some rather explicit expression for  $E[I(f; \widehat{R}_\delta)^2]$ :

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded measurable function. Then*

$$(2.8) \quad E[I(f; \widehat{R}_\delta)^2] = \|f\|_2^2 - J_{\Phi_\delta}(f, f),$$

where

$$\Phi_\delta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} (1 - \sqrt{1-t}) + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{1-t}} \right\}, & \delta = 1, \\ -(\delta - 1) \frac{d}{dt} E[\widehat{R_\delta(1)^{-1} R_\delta(t)}], & \delta > 1, \end{cases}$$

and  $J_{\Phi_\delta}$  is defined through (2.2).

**Example 2.2.** *In the case  $\delta = 3$ ,  $\Phi_\delta$  is given by*

$$\Phi_3(t) = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} (1 - \sqrt{1-t}).$$

*For some connection between  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_3$ , which may be deduced from Pitman's representation theorem for BES(3), see Lemma 5.3 in the appendix.*

Suppose that  $(X_t, t \geq 0)$  is an  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -semimartingale with decomposition

$$(2.9) \quad X_t = M_t + V_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $(M_t)$  is an  $L^2$ -integrable  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -martingale and  $(V_t)$  is an  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted process of bounded variation satisfying  $E[(\int_0^t |dV_u|)^2] < \infty$  for every  $t$ . For a bounded, measurable function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ , we set

$$X_t^f = \int_0^t f(u) dX_u, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Clearly,  $(X_t^f)$  is a semimartingale which decomposes as:

$$X_t^f = \int_0^t f(u) dM_u + \int_0^t f(u) dV_u, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

**Lemma 2.6.** *It holds that*

$$E[(X_1^f)^2] = E\left[\int_0^1 f(u)^2 d\langle M \rangle_u\right] + 2E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) dV_u \left(\int_0^u f(s) dX_s\right)\right].$$

*Proof.* By Itô's formula,

$$(X_1^f)^2 = 2 \int_0^1 X_u^f dX_u^f + \langle X^f \rangle_1.$$

Taking the expectation on both sides, and noting  $\langle X^f \rangle_1 = \int_0^1 f(u)^2 d\langle M \rangle_u$ , we obtain the lemma.  $\square$

By using Lemma 2.6, we prove Proposition 2.5. We begin with the case  $\delta = 1$ .

*Proof of Proposition 2.5 for  $\delta = 1$ .* Noting (1.5), we take  $X_t = R_\delta(t) \equiv |\beta_t|$ ,  $M_t = B_t$  and  $V_t = V_\delta(t) \equiv L_t$  in (2.9). Then by Lemma 2.6,

$$(2.10) \quad E\left[\left(\int_0^1 f(u) d|\beta_u|\right)^2\right] = \|f\|_2^2 + 2E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) dL_u \left(\int_0^u f(s) d|\beta_s|\right)\right].$$

Recall that  $dL_u$  is carried by the set of zeros of  $\beta$ . So, if we denote by  $(b^u(s), 0 \leq s \leq u)$  a Brownian bridge of length  $u$ , the expectation on the RHS of (2.10) may be written as:

$$(2.11) \quad \int_0^1 f(u) dE[L_u] E\left[\int_0^u f(s) db^u(s)\right].$$

Note that  $E[L_u] \equiv E[|\beta_u|] = \sqrt{2u/\pi}$ , hence  $dE[L_u] = du/\sqrt{2\pi u}$ . Moreover, since  $b^u(s)$  is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance  $s(u-s)/u$ ,

$$E[|b^u(s)|] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{s(u-s)}{u}},$$

hence

$$dE[|b^u(s)|] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s(1-s/u)}} \left(1 - \frac{2s}{u}\right) ds.$$

Combining these, we see that (2.11) is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{du}{\sqrt{u}} f(u) \int_0^u ds f(s) \frac{1}{\sqrt{s(1-s/u)}} \left(1 - \frac{2s}{u}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 du f(u) \int_0^1 dt f(ut) \frac{1-2t}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, for the equality, we changed variables with  $s = ut, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ . From this and (2.10),

$$(2.12) \quad E\left[\left(\int_0^1 f(u) d|\beta_u|\right)^2\right] = \|f\|_2^2 + J_{\Psi_1}(f, f), \quad \Psi_1(t) := \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1-2t}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}}.$$

On the other hand,  $E[\int_0^1 f(u) d|\beta_u|] = \int_0^1 du f(u)/\sqrt{2\pi u}$ , hence

$$\left(E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) d|\beta_u|\right]\right)^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{du}{\sqrt{u}} f(u) \int_0^u \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} f(s) = J_{\Psi_2}(f, f),$$

where  $\Psi_2(t) := 1/(\pi\sqrt{t})$ . Combining this with (2.12), we see that

$$E[I(f; \widehat{R}_1)^2] \equiv \text{var} \left( \int_0^1 f(u) d|\beta_u| \right) = \|f\|_2^2 - J_{\Psi_2 - \Psi_1}(f, f).$$

Noting the identity:  $\Phi_1 = \Psi_2 - \Psi_1$ , we obtain (2.8) for  $\delta = 1$ . □

Next, we turn to the case  $\delta > 1$ .

*Proof of Proposition 2.5 for  $\delta > 1$ .* By the decomposition (1.5), we take  $X_t = \widehat{R_\delta(t)}$ ,  $M_t = B_t$  and  $V_t = \widehat{V_\delta(t)}$  in (2.9). Then, noting  $dV_u = 2^{-1}(\delta-1)\widehat{R_\delta(u)}^{-1} du$ , we see from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X_1^f)^2] &\equiv E[I(f; \widehat{R_\delta})^2] \\ &= \|f\|_2^2 + (\delta-1)E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) \widehat{R_\delta(u)}^{-1} du \left(\int_0^u f(s) d\widehat{R_\delta(s)}\right)\right] \\ &= \|f\|_2^2 + (\delta-1) \int_0^1 du f(u) \int_0^u ds f(s) \frac{d}{ds} E[\widehat{R_\delta(u)}^{-1} \widehat{R_\delta(s)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Now (2.8) follows from this and the change of variables with  $s = ut$ . □

**Lemma 2.7.** (i) For every  $\delta \geq 1$ ,  $\Phi_\delta$  is non-negative and satisfies:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \Phi_\delta(t) < \infty.$$

(ii)  $J_{\Phi_\delta}$  extends as a quadratic form on  $L^2([0, 1]) \times L^2([0, 1])$ . Moreover, it is positive-definite.

*Proof.* (i) This assertion for  $\delta = 1$  is obvious by definition. For  $\delta > 1$ , we denote  $\varphi_\delta(t) = E[\widehat{R_\delta(1)^{-1} R_\delta(t)}]$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and use the fact that  $\varphi_\delta$  admits the following representation:

$$\varphi_\delta(t) = C_\delta t^{1/2} \{ {}_2F_1(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\delta}{2}; t) - 1 \}, \quad C_\delta = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\delta-1}{2})}{\{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2})\}^2}.$$

Here  ${}_2F_1$  denotes Gauss' hypergeometric function; see Proposition 5.1 in the appendix. Recalling the series expansion (in fact, the definition) of  ${}_2F_1$  (e.g., [10, (9.1.1)]), we see that:

$$(a) \ \varphi_\delta \leq 0; \quad (b) \ \varphi'_\delta \leq 0; \quad (c) \ \liminf_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^3}} \varphi_\delta(t) > -\infty.$$

Indeed,

$$(2.13) \quad t^{1/2} \{ {}_2F_1(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\delta}{2}; t) - 1 \} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n t^{n+1/2}, \quad b_n = \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{2})_n}{(\frac{\delta}{2})_n n!} < 0,$$

from which the properties (a)–(c) of  $\varphi_\delta$  follow. Here, for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\gamma)_n := \gamma(\gamma+1) \cdots (\gamma+n-1)$ . (In fact, for (c), the limit itself exists:  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} t^{-3/2} \varphi_\delta(t) = -C_\delta/(2\delta)$ .) So, by (b) and by definition,  $\Phi_\delta(t) = -(\delta-1)\varphi'_\delta(t) \geq 0$ . Moreover, by (c), we may use integration by parts to see:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \Phi_\delta(t) &\equiv -(\delta-1) \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \varphi'_\delta(t) \\ &= -(\delta-1) \left\{ \varphi_\delta(1) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t^3}} \varphi_\delta(t) \right\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The former assertion now follows from (i) and Proposition 2.1. To show the latter, we shall show that  $\Phi_\delta$  can be developed as:

$$(2.14) \quad \Phi_\delta(t) = t^{1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n, \quad a_n \geq 0.$$

Then the positivity of  $J_{\Phi_\delta}$  follows immediately from Proposition 2.2. For the case  $\delta = 1$ , note that the function  $1 - \sqrt{1-t}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , can be developed as:

$$(2.15) \quad 1 - \sqrt{1-t} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n t^n, \quad c_n = \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^2(2n-1)},$$

from which it also follows that, by differentiating both sides,

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-t}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n c_n t^{n-1}.$$

Combining these, we see that  $\Phi_1$  is expanded as (2.14) with

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \{1 + 2(n+1)\} c_{n+1} > 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

On the other hand, for  $\delta > 1$ , we see from (2.13) that

$$\varphi'_\delta(t) = C_\delta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) b_n t^{n-1/2}.$$

Since  $\Phi_\delta(t) = -(\delta-1)\varphi'_\delta(t)$  by definition,  $\Phi_\delta$ ,  $\delta > 1$ , is also expanded as (2.14) with

$$a_n = C_\delta(\delta-1) \left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right) \times (-b_{n+1}) > 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

So the proof is complete. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1:

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The former assertion (1.7) is immediate from Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.7. By Proposition 2.5, in order to prove the latter, it suffices to find a sequence  $(f_n)$  of functions such that

$$J_{\Phi_\delta}(f_n, f_n) \leq \varepsilon_n \|f_n\|_2^2$$

with  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is satisfied by the choice:  $f_n(u) \equiv f_{\alpha_n}(u) = u^{\alpha_n}$  for  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\Phi_\delta}(f_\alpha, f_\alpha) &= \left( \int_0^1 du u^{2\alpha} \right) \int_0^1 dt t^{\alpha-1/2} \Phi_\delta(t) \\ &= \|f_\alpha\|_2^2 \int_0^1 dt t^{\alpha-1/2} \Phi_\delta(t), \end{aligned}$$

in which the integral relative to  $t$  decreases to 0 as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  by the dominated convergence theorem since it is bounded from above by  $\int_0^1 dt t^{-1/2} \Phi_\delta(t) < \infty$ . □

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we denote by the pair  $(R = \{R_t, t \geq 0\}, P_x^{(\delta)})$  a BES( $\delta$ )-process starting from  $x$ :  $P_x^{(\delta)}(R_0 = x) = 1$ . We denote by  $E_x^{(\delta)}$  the expectation with respect to  $P_x^{(\delta)}$ . When  $x = 0$ , we often write  $E$  for  $E_0^{(\delta)}$  and  $P$  for  $P_0^{(\delta)}$ .

#### 3.1 Proof for the case $\delta > 1$ and $\alpha > (2 - \delta)_+$

In this subsection, we prove the assertion of Theorem 1.3 in the case  $\delta > 1$  and  $\alpha > (2 - \delta)_+$ . Set  $\delta_\alpha = \alpha(\delta + \alpha - 2)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $\delta > 1$  and  $\alpha > (2 - \delta)_+$ . Then we have, for every bounded, measurable function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,*

$$(3.1) \quad E[I(f; \widehat{R}^\alpha)^2] = \alpha^2 \kappa_{2(\alpha-1)} \|f_\alpha\|_2^2 - J_{\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}}(f_\alpha, f_\alpha),$$

where

$$\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) = -\delta_\alpha t^{-(\alpha-1)/2} \varphi'_{\delta,\alpha}(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) = E[\widehat{R_1^{\alpha-2}} \widehat{R_t^\alpha}], \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* Recall that, for  $\delta > 1$ , BES( $\delta$ ) admits a semimartingale decomposition of the following form:

$$(3.2) \quad R_t = B_t + \frac{\delta - 1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{du}{R_u},$$

where  $B = (B_t, t \geq 0)$  is a Brownian motion. By Itô's formula,

$$(3.3) \quad R_t^\alpha = \alpha \int_0^t R_u^{\alpha-1} dB_u + \frac{\delta_\alpha}{2} \int_0^t R_u^{\alpha-2} du.$$

We take the expectation on both sides to get

$$(3.4) \quad E[R_t^\alpha] = \frac{\delta_\alpha}{2} \int_0^t E[R_u^{\alpha-2}] du.$$

From these, we have

$$\widehat{R_t^\alpha} = \alpha \int_0^t R_u^{\alpha-1} dB_u + \frac{\delta_\alpha}{2} \int_0^t \widehat{R_u^{\alpha-2}} du.$$

Now we take, in (2.9),  $X_t = \widehat{R_t^\alpha}$ ,  $M_t = \int_0^t R_u^{\alpha-1} dB_u$  and  $V_t = (\delta_\alpha/2) \int_0^t \widehat{R_u^{\alpha-2}} du$ . Then by Lemma 2.6, it holds that, for a bounded, measurable function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} E[(X_1^f)^2] & (\equiv E[I(f; \widehat{R}^\alpha)^2]) \\ &= \delta_\alpha E\left[\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^u f(s) d\widehat{R_s^\alpha}\right) f(u) \widehat{R_u^{\alpha-2}} du\right] + \alpha^2 \int_0^1 f(u)^2 E[R_u^{2(\alpha-1)}] du \\ &= \delta_\alpha \int_0^1 du f(u) \int_0^u ds f(s) \left\{ \frac{d}{ds} E[\widehat{R_u^{\alpha-2}} \widehat{R_s^\alpha}] \right\} + \alpha^2 E[R_1^{2(\alpha-1)}] \int_0^1 f(u)^2 u^{\alpha-1} du. \end{aligned}$$

Now the assertion of the proposition is immediate by the change of variables.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.**  $J_{\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}}$  extends as a quadratic form on  $L^2([0, 1]) \times L^2([0, 1])$ . Moreover, it is positive-definite.

Once this lemma is shown, then, combining this with Proposition 3.1, we may prove the assertion of Theorem 1.3 for  $\delta > 1$  and  $\alpha > (2 - \delta)_+$ :

*Proof of Theorem 1.3 for  $\delta > 1$  and  $\alpha > (2 - \delta)_+$ .* By Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have:

$$E_0^{(\delta)}[I(f; \widehat{R^\alpha})^2] \leq \alpha^2 \kappa_{2(\alpha-1)} \|f_\alpha\|_2^2,$$

which is (1.8).  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we may see that the constant  $\alpha^2 \kappa_{2(\alpha-1)}$  is optimal.

The proof of Lemma 3.2 may be given along the lines of that of Theorem 1.1 for  $\delta > 1$ ,  $\Phi_\delta$  and  $\varphi_\delta$  being replaced by  $\Phi_{\delta,\alpha}$  and  $\varphi_{\delta,\alpha}$ , respectively. Instead of giving details (and in order to avoid duplications), we present here a different way, other than relying on series expansions, to show that the function  $\varphi_{\delta,\alpha}$  has similar properties to those (a)–(c) of  $\varphi_\delta$ , which we shall present in the next proposition:

**Proposition 3.3.** Suppose that  $\delta > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  satisfy  $\alpha > 2 - \delta$ . Then the function  $\varphi_{\delta,\alpha}$  has the following properties:

$$(a') \quad \varphi_{\delta,\alpha} \leq 0; \quad (b') \quad \varphi'_{\delta,\alpha} \leq 0; \quad (c') \quad \liminf_{t \downarrow 0} t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \varphi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) > -\infty.$$

**Remark 3.2.** By using the series expansion of  $\varphi_{\delta,\alpha}$ , we may see that, for the property (c'), the limit itself exists; see Remark 5.1 in the appendix.

For  $x \geq 0$  and  $t > 0$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\alpha(x, t) &\equiv \rho_\alpha^{(\delta)}(x, t) = E_x^{(\delta)}[R_t^\alpha], \\ \sigma_\alpha(x, t) &\equiv \sigma_\alpha^{(\delta)}(x, t) = E_x^{(\delta)}[R_t^{\alpha-2}]. \end{aligned}$$

To prove Proposition 3.3, we begin with the following lemma:



**Lemma 3.4.** *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.3, the following assertions hold for every fixed  $t > 0$ :*

- (i)  $\rho_\alpha(x, t)$  is increasing in  $x$ .
- (ii)  $\sigma_\alpha(x, t)$  is decreasing in  $x$ .
- (iii) The following lower bound for  $\sigma_\alpha(x, t)$  holds:

$$\sigma_\alpha(x, t) \geq e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}} \sigma_\alpha(0, t) \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* The assertions (i) and (ii) follow from the additive property of Bessel processes; that is, if  $R_x^{(\delta)}(t), t \geq 0$ , denotes BES( $\delta$ ) starting from  $x$ , then it holds that:

$$(3.6) \quad (R_x^{(\delta)}(t))^2 \stackrel{(d)}{=} (R_x^{(0)}(t))^2 + (R_0^{(\delta)}(t))^2,$$

where, on the RHS, the two processes are independent. Moreover, considering two SDE's with common Brownian motion  $B$ :

$$(R_x^{(0)}(t))^2 = x^2 + \int_0^t 2R_x^{(0)}(u) dB_u, \quad (R_y^{(0)}(t))^2 = y^2 + \int_0^t 2R_y^{(0)}(u) dB_u,$$

we see that

$$R_x^{(0)}(t) \leq R_y^{(0)}(t) \quad \text{if } x \leq y,$$

by comparison. The assertion (iii) also follows from (3.6) since we deduce from there that

$$\sigma_\alpha(x, t) \geq E_0^{(\delta)}[R_t^{\alpha-2}] P_x^{(0)}(T_0(R) \leq t),$$

where  $T_0(R)$  is the time at which  $R$  reaches to 0. It is well-known (e.g., [16, Chapter XI]) that, under  $P_x^{(0)}$ ,  $T_0(R)$  is identical in law with  $x^2/2\mathbf{e}$ ,  $\mathbf{e}$  being a standard exponential variable, hence  $P_x^{(0)}(T_0(R) \leq t) = e^{-x^2/2t}$ . Combining these yields the assertion (iii).  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** *The assertions (i) and (ii) may be seen from the FKG inequality; an application of a generalized FKG inequality due to Preston [14], to the laws of BES( $\delta$ ),  $\delta > 0$ , asserts that, for increasing functions  $F$  on  $C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+)$ , it holds that  $E_x^{(\delta)}[F(R)] \leq E_y^{(\delta)}[F(R)]$  if  $0 \leq x \leq y$ . Here we say that a function  $F$  defined on  $C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+)$  is increasing if  $F(w_1) \leq F(w_2)$  for all  $w_1, w_2 \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+)$  satisfying  $w_1(t) \leq w_2(t)$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . So, if we take  $F(R) = R_t^\alpha$  (resp.  $F(R) = -R_t^{\alpha-2}$ ), we recover the assertion (i) (resp. the assertion (ii)). For the applicability of this inequality to Bessel processes, see Proposition 5.6 in the appendix.*

Set

$$\psi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) = E[\widehat{R_1^{\alpha-2} R_t^{\alpha-2}}].$$

The two functions  $\varphi_{\delta,\alpha}$  and  $\psi_{\delta,\alpha}$  are related via:

**Lemma 3.5.** *The following identity holds:*

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi'_{\delta,\alpha}(t) = -\frac{\delta_\alpha}{2}\psi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) + \frac{\alpha}{t}\varphi_{\delta,\alpha}(t).$$

*Proof.* By definition,  $\varphi'_{\delta,\alpha}(t)$  is written as:

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt}E[R_1^{\alpha-2}R_t^\alpha] - E[R_1^{\alpha-2}]\frac{d}{dt}E[R_t^\alpha].$$

To work on the first term, we use the time-inversion and the Markov property to see that:

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} E[R_1^{\alpha-2}R_t^\alpha] &= t^\alpha E[R_1^{\alpha-2}R_{1/t}^\alpha] \\ &= t^\alpha E[R_1^{\alpha-2}\rho_\alpha(R_1, \frac{1}{t} - 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Noting (3.4) with changing the starting point to  $x \geq 0$ , we deduce that

$$\rho_\alpha(x, t) = x^\alpha + \frac{\delta_\alpha}{2} \int_0^t \sigma_\alpha(x, u) du,$$

hence

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_\alpha(x, t) = \frac{\delta_\alpha}{2}\sigma_\alpha(x, t).$$

Therefore, by (3.9) and (3.10),

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}E[R_1^{\alpha-2}R_t^\alpha] &= \alpha t^{\alpha-1}E[R_1^{\alpha-2}R_{1/t}^\alpha] + t^\alpha E[R_1^{\alpha-2} \times \frac{\delta_\alpha}{2}\sigma_\alpha(R_1, \frac{1}{t} - 1) \times (-\frac{1}{t^2})] \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{t}E[R_1^{\alpha-2}R_t^\alpha] - \frac{\delta_\alpha}{2}E[R_1^{\alpha-2}R_t^{\alpha-2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Here, for the second equality, we used the Markov property and the time-inversion. On the other hand, for the second term of (3.8), the factor  $(d/dt)E[R_t^\alpha]$  may be expressed in two ways:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}E[R_t^\alpha] &= \frac{\delta_\alpha}{2}E[R_t^{\alpha-2}] \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2t}E[R_t^\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

The first expression is nothing but (3.10) with  $x = 0$ , while the second one is a consequence of the scaling property:  $E[R_t^\alpha] = (\sqrt{t})^\alpha E[R_1^\alpha]$ . Thus, we may write (3.8) as:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\delta_\alpha}{2} E[R_1^{\alpha-2} R_t^{\alpha-2}] + \frac{\alpha}{t} E[R_1^{\alpha-2} R_t^\alpha] - E[R_1^{\alpha-2}] \left( -\frac{\delta_\alpha}{2} E[R_t^{\alpha-2}] + \frac{\alpha}{t} E[R_t^\alpha] \right) \\ & = -\frac{\delta_\alpha}{2} \{ E[R_1^{\alpha-2} R_t^{\alpha-2}] - E[R_1^{\alpha-2}] E[R_t^{\alpha-2}] \} + \frac{\alpha}{t} \{ E[R_1^{\alpha-2} R_t^\alpha] - E[R_1^{\alpha-2}] E[R_t^\alpha] \}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the RHS of (3.7).  $\square$

To prove Proposition 3.3, we also use the following:

**Lemma 3.6.** *The function  $\psi_{\delta,\alpha}$  is non-negative.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\widehat{R_t^{\alpha-2}}, t > 0$ , admits the following representation (Itô's representation):

$$(3.12) \quad \widehat{R_t^{\alpha-2}} = \int_0^t \frac{\partial \sigma_\alpha}{\partial x}(R_u, t-u) dB_u,$$

where  $B$  is the Brownian motion appearing in (3.2). Therefore, by definition,

$$\psi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) (= E[\widehat{R_1^{\alpha-2}} \widehat{R_t^{\alpha-2}}]) = \int_0^t du E\left[\frac{\partial \sigma_\alpha}{\partial x}(R_u, 1-u) \frac{\partial \sigma_\alpha}{\partial x}(R_u, t-u)\right].$$

Note that, by Lemma 3.4 (ii),  $(\partial/\partial x)\sigma_\alpha \leq 0$ , which implies  $\psi_{\delta,\alpha} \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** *This lemma may also be seen from the FKG inequality; from the (classical) formulation of the FKG inequality, we may also see that, for each fixed  $x \geq 0$ , and for increasing functions  $F, G$  on  $C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}_+)$ , it holds that  $E_x^{(\delta)}[F(R)G(R)] \geq E_x^{(\delta)}[F(R)]E_x^{(\delta)}[G(R)]$ . So we may recover this lemma by taking  $F(R) = -R_1^{\alpha-2}$  and  $G(R) = -R_t^{\alpha-2}$ .*

Now we are prepared to prove Proposition 3.3:

*Proof of Proposition 3.3. Property (a'):* By Itô's representation:

$$\widehat{R_t^\alpha} = \int_0^t \frac{\partial \rho_\alpha}{\partial x}(R_u, t-u) dB_u,$$

we have, together with (3.12) for  $t = 1$ ,

$$\varphi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) = \int_0^t du E\left[\frac{\partial \sigma_\alpha}{\partial x}(R_u, 1-u) \frac{\partial \rho_\alpha}{\partial x}(R_u, t-u)\right].$$

By Lemma 3.4 (i) and (ii), we see that the inside of the expectation is negative. This shows (a').

*Property (b')*: This property is now immediate from Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, and (a').

*Property (c')*: To prove this property, note that, by definition,

$$\varphi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) = E[R_1^{\alpha-2}R_t^\alpha] - E[R_1^{\alpha-2}]E[R_t^\alpha].$$

For the second term, we easily see that, by scaling,

$$(3.13) \quad E[R_1^{\alpha-2}]E[R_t^\alpha] = t^{\alpha/2}\kappa_{\alpha-2}\kappa_\alpha.$$

On the other hand, to estimate the first term, we rewrite it as, by using the Markov property,

$$(3.14) \quad E[R_1^{\alpha-2}R_t^\alpha] = E[R_t^\alpha\sigma_\alpha(R_t, 1-t)].$$

By Lemma 3.4 (iii), and by scaling, (3.14) is bounded from below by

$$\begin{aligned} & E[R_t^\alpha \exp\{-\frac{R_t^2}{2(1-t)}\}\sigma_\alpha(0, 1-t)] \\ &= \kappa_{\alpha-2}t^{\alpha/2}(1-t)^{(\alpha-2)/2}E[R_1^\alpha \exp\{-\frac{t}{2(1-t)}R_1^2\}]. \end{aligned}$$

By using the fact that  $R_1^2/2 \stackrel{(d)}{=} \gamma_{\delta/2}$ , a gamma variable of index  $\delta/2$ , the expectation on the RHS is computed explicitly as  $\kappa_\alpha(1-t)^{(\delta+\alpha)/2}$ . So (3.14) is bounded from below by

$$(3.15) \quad \kappa_{\alpha-2}\kappa_\alpha t^{\alpha/2}(1-t)^{(\delta+2\alpha-2)/2}.$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.15) yields

$$\varphi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) \geq -\kappa_{\alpha-2}\kappa_\alpha t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\{1 - (1-t)^{\frac{\delta}{2}+\alpha-1}\},$$

from which the property (c') follows.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** We may also see the property (a') directly from the FKG inequality.

### 3.2 Proof for the case $0 < \delta < 2$ and $\alpha = 2 - \delta$

For  $0 < \delta < 2$ , define  $\mu \in (0, 1)$  via:  $\delta = 2(1 - \mu)$ . Then  $\alpha$  and  $\mu$  are related as  $\alpha = 2\mu$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** For every bounded, measurable function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ , it holds that

$$E[I(f; \widehat{R^\alpha})^2] = \alpha^2 \kappa_{2(\alpha-1)} \|f_\alpha\|_2^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} (\kappa_\alpha)^2 J^{(\alpha)}(f_\alpha, f_\alpha),$$

where  $J^{(\alpha)} \equiv J_{\psi^{(\mu)}}$  with

$$\psi^{(\mu)}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\{1 - (1-t)^\mu\} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{(1-t)^{1-\mu}}.$$

**Remark 3.6.** Taking  $\alpha = 1$  ( $\iff \mu = 1/2$ ) above, we recover (2.8) for  $\delta = 1$ .

The key fact to Proposition 3.7 is that  $R_t^{2\mu}$  may be decomposed as:

$$(3.16) \quad R_t^{2\mu} = M_t^{(\mu)} + L_t,$$

where  $(M_t^{(\mu)}, t \geq 0)$  is a martingale with  $\langle M^{(\mu)} \rangle_t = 4\mu^2 \int_0^t R_u^{2(2\mu-1)} du$ , and  $(L_t)$  is a possible choice of the local time at 0 of  $(R_t)$  as a diffusion.

*Proof of Proposition 3.7.* We take  $X_t = R_t^{2\mu}$ ,  $M_t = M_t^{(\mu)}$  and  $V_t = L_t$  in (2.6). Then by Lemma 2.6, we have, for a bounded, measurable function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\left(\int_0^1 f(u) dR_u^{2\mu}\right)^2\right] &= E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) d\langle M^{(\mu)} \rangle_u\right] + 2E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) dL_u \left(\int_0^u f(s) dR_s^{2\mu}\right)\right] \\ &=: I_1^f + 2I_2^f. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(d/du)E[\langle M^{(\mu)} \rangle_u] = 4\mu^2 E[R_u^{2(2\mu-1)}] \equiv 4\mu^2 \kappa_{2(2\mu-1)} u^{2\mu-1}$ , we have

$$I_1^f = 4\mu^2 \kappa_{2(2\mu-1)} \|f_{2\mu}\|_2^2.$$

On the other hand, for  $I_2^f$ , recall that  $dL_u$  is carried by the set of zeros of  $R$ . So, denoting by  $(r_s^u, 0 \leq s \leq u)$  a Bessel bridge of length  $u$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^f &= \int_0^1 f(u) dE[L_u] E\left[\int_0^u f(s) dR_s^{2\mu} | R_u = 0\right] \\ &= \int_0^1 f(u) dE[L_u] \int_0^u f(s) dE[(r_s^u)^{2\mu}] \\ &= \int_0^1 f(u) dE[L_u] \int_0^1 f(ut) u^\mu dE[(r_t^1)^{2\mu}], \end{aligned}$$

where we changed variables with  $s = ut, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , for the third line. Note that  $E[L_u] = E[R_u^{2\mu}] = \kappa_{2\mu} u^\mu$ , hence  $dE[L_u] = \mu \kappa_{2\mu} u^{\mu-1} du$ . Moreover, noting  $r_t^1 \stackrel{(d)}{=} (1-t)R_{t/(1-t)}$ , we see  $E[(r_t^1)^{2\mu}] = \kappa_{2\mu} t^\mu (1-t)^\mu$ . Combining these yields:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^f &= \mu(\kappa_{2\mu})^2 \int_0^1 du f(u) u^{2\mu-1} \int_0^1 dt f(ut) \frac{d}{dt} \{t^\mu (1-t)^\mu\} \\ &= \int_0^1 du f_{2\mu}(u) \int_0^1 dt f_{2\mu}(ut) \Psi_1^{(\mu)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Psi_1^{(\mu)}(t) := \mu(\kappa_{2\mu})^2 t^{-\mu+1/2} (d/dt) \{t^\mu (1-t)^\mu\}$ . Besides these, setting

$$I_3^f = E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) dR_u^{2\mu}\right] \equiv \mu \kappa_{2\mu} \int_0^1 f(u) u^{\mu-1} du,$$

we easily see that

$$(I_3^f)^2 = \int_0^1 du f_{2\mu}(u) \int_0^1 dt f_{2\mu}(ut) \Psi_2^{(\mu)}(t), \quad \Psi_2^{(\mu)}(t) := 2\mu^2(\kappa_{2\mu})^2 t^{-1/2}.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E[I(f; \widehat{R^{2\mu}})^2] &\equiv I_1^f + 2I_2^f - (I_3^f)^2 \\ &= (2\mu)^2 \kappa_{2(2\mu-1)} \|f_{2\mu}\|_2^2 - J_{\Psi_2^{(\mu)} - 2\Psi_1^{(\mu)}}(f_{2\mu}, f_{2\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

with the identity

$$\Psi_2^{(\mu)}(t) - 2\Psi_1^{(\mu)}(t) = 2\mu^2(\kappa_{2\mu})^2 \psi^{(\mu)}(t).$$

Recalling  $\alpha = 2\mu$ , we obtain the proposition.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.**  $J^{(\alpha)}$  extends as a quadratic form on  $L^2([0, 1]) \times L^2([0, 1])$ . Moreover, it is positive-definite.

*Proof.* Clearly  $\psi^{(\mu)}$  is non-negative and satisfies  $\int_0^1 dt t^{-1/2} \psi^{(\mu)}(t) < \infty$ . So the first assertion follows from Proposition 2.1. So, by Proposition 2.2, it suffices to show that  $\psi^{(\mu)}$  is expanded as (2.3). To this end, first note that

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{1}{(1-t)^{1-\mu}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1-\mu)}{n!} t^n.$$

Indeed, using the elementary formula:  $a^{-\gamma} = \Gamma(\gamma)^{-1} \int_0^\infty dx x^{\gamma-1} e^{-ax}$  for  $a, \gamma > 0$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{1-\mu}} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \int_0^\infty dx x^{-\mu} e^{-(1-t)x} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \int_0^\infty dx x^{-\mu} e^{-x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tx)^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty dx x^{n-\mu} e^{-x}, \end{aligned}$$

and arrive at (3.17). Moreover, since  $1 - (1-t)^\mu = \int_0^t ds (1-s)^{-(1-\mu)}$ , we also have:

$$(3.18) \quad 1 - (1-t)^\mu = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1-\mu)}{(n+1)!} t^{n+1}.$$

Combining (3.17) and (3.18), we see that  $\psi^{(\mu)}$  is expanded as (2.3) with  $\alpha = 1/2$  and

$$a_n = \frac{\Gamma(n+1-\mu)}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \left( \frac{1}{n!} + \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \right) > 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This concludes the assertion.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.3 for the present case is now immediate from Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 3.8:

*Proof of Theorem 1.3 for  $0 < \delta < 2$  and  $\alpha = 2 - \delta$ .* By Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 3.8,

$$E[I(f; \widehat{R}^\alpha)^2] \leq \alpha^2 \kappa_{2(\alpha-1)} \|f_\alpha\|_2^2.$$

So the assertion for the present case is proved.  $\square$

### 3.3 Proof for the case $0 < \delta \leq 1$ and $\alpha > 2 - \delta$

For  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ , let  $\mu$  be as given in the previous subsection:  $\delta = 2(1 - \mu)$ . By the decomposition (3.16), and by Itô's formula, it is not hard to see that, for  $\alpha > 2\mu$ ,  $(R_t^\alpha)$  is a semimartingale which decomposes as

$$R_t^\alpha \equiv (R_t^{2\mu})^{\alpha/2\mu} = M_t^{(\alpha)} + V_t^{(\alpha)},$$

where  $(M_t^{(\alpha)})$  is a martingale with quadratic variation  $\langle M^{(\alpha)} \rangle_t = \alpha^2 \int_0^t R_u^{2(\alpha-1)} du$ , and  $V_t^{(\alpha)} = (\delta_\alpha/2) \int_0^t R_u^{\alpha-2} du$ . Now the proof in the present case can be done in the same way as that of the proof in the case  $\delta > 1$  and  $\alpha > (2 - \delta)_+$  (Subsection 3.1). So we omit the proof.

## 4 Defining Wiener integrals relative to the centered BES( $\delta$ )-bridges for $\delta \geq 1$

In this section, we denote by  $(r_\delta(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1)$  a BES( $\delta$ )-bridge,  $\delta \geq 1$ , with length 1 such that  $r_\delta(0) = r_\delta(1) = 0$ . With the help of the results obtained in Section 2, we may also prove that the Wiener integral  $I(f; \widehat{r}_\delta)$  is well-defined for all  $f \in L^2([0, 1])$ ; in fact, we obtain the following a priori estimate:

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\delta \geq 1$ . Then, for all  $f \in C([0, 1])$ , it holds that*

$$(4.1) \quad E[I(f; \widehat{r}_\delta)^2] \leq \|f\|_2^2.$$

**Remark 4.1.** *As we see in the proof below, we have, more precisely that*

$$(4.2) \quad E[I(f; \widehat{r}_\delta)^2] \leq \|f\|_2^2 - \left( \int_0^1 du f(u) \right)^2.$$

*This estimate coincides with that obtained by the approach via the Brascamp-Lieb inequality for  $\delta \geq 3$ ; in fact, if we denote by  $(b(u), 0 \leq u \leq 1)$  a Brownian bridge of length 1 such that  $b(0) = b(1) = 0$ , then the RHS of (4.2) is nothing but  $E[I(f; b)^2]$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 4.1.* It is well-known that  $(r_\delta(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1)$  is identical in law with the process  $(X_\delta(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1)$  defined by

$$X_\delta(t) = \begin{cases} (1-t)R_\delta(\frac{t}{1-t}), & 0 \leq t < 1, \\ 0, & t = 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $R_\delta$  is a BES( $\delta$ )-process starting from 0. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I(f; \widehat{r}_\delta) &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \int_0^1 f(u) d\widehat{X}_\delta(u) \\ &= - \int_0^1 f(u) \widehat{R}_\delta(\frac{u}{1-u}) du + \int_0^1 f(u)(1-u) d_u \widehat{R}_\delta(\frac{u}{1-u}). \end{aligned}$$

We use integration by parts to see that the first term on the RHS is equal to:

$$- \int_0^1 \left( \int_u^1 dv f(v) \right) d_u \widehat{R}_\delta(\frac{u}{1-u}).$$

Thus, setting  $G(u) = f(u) - (1-u)^{-1} \int_u^1 dv f(v)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad I(f; \widehat{r}_\delta) &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \int_0^1 (1-u)G(u) d_u \widehat{R}_\delta(\frac{u}{1-u}) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+s} G(\frac{s}{1+s}) d\widehat{R}_\delta(s) \end{aligned}$$

So, denoting  $\widetilde{G}(s) = (1+s)^{-1}G(s/(1+s))$  and using the similar argument to that in the proof of Proposition 2.5, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad E[I(f; \widehat{r}_\delta)^2] &= E\left[\left(\int_0^\infty \widetilde{G}(u) d\widehat{R}_\delta(u)\right)^2\right] \\ &= \|\widetilde{G}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 - \widetilde{J}_{\Phi_\delta}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{G}), \end{aligned}$$

where, for  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,

$$\widetilde{J}_{\Phi_\delta}(F, F) = \int_0^\infty du F(u) \int_0^1 dt F(ut) \Phi_\delta(t)$$

and  $\Phi_\delta$  is as given in Proposition 2.5. With the help of the argument used in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we may also deduce that  $\widetilde{J}_{\Phi_\delta}$  is non-negative definite. So, by (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} E[I(f; \widehat{r}_\delta)^2] &\leq \|\widetilde{G}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 \\ &= \|G\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 \\ &= \|f\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 - \left(\int_0^1 du f(u)\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.1). □



## 5 Appendix

### 5.1 An explicit representation for $\varphi_{\delta,\alpha}$ in terms of hypergeometric functions

Following [17], for complex numbers  $a, b, c$ , we denote by  ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; w)$ ,  $|w| < 1$ , Gauss's hypergeometric functions. Recall that, when  $\operatorname{Re} c > \operatorname{Re} b > 0$ , they admit the following integral representation:

$$(5.1) \quad {}_2F_1(a, b; c; w) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 dz z^{b-1} (1-z)^{c-b-1} (1-wz)^{-a}.$$

See, e.g., [10, (9.1.4)].

In this subsection, we discuss an explicit representation for the function  $\varphi_{\delta,\alpha}(t) \equiv E[\widehat{R_1^{\alpha-2}} \widehat{R_t^\alpha}]$  in terms of  ${}_2F_1$ . We do this in a slightly general situation: For dimension  $\delta > 0$ , and for two exponents  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$ , satisfying  $\delta + \alpha_i > 0$ , set

$$\phi_{\alpha_1;\alpha_2}(t) = E[\widehat{R_t^{\alpha_1}} \widehat{R_1^{\alpha_2}}], \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Suppose  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta > 0$  satisfy  $\delta + \alpha > 0$  and  $\delta > \beta$ , respectively. Then the function  $\phi_{\alpha;-\beta}(t)$  admits the following representation:*

$$\phi_{\alpha;-\beta}(t) = 2^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta+\alpha}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\delta-\beta}{2})}{\{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2})\}^2} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left\{ {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}; \frac{\delta}{2}; t\right) - 1 \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\beta > 0$ , we may rewrite  $R_1^{-\beta}$  into:

$$R_1^{-\beta} = 2^{-\beta/2} (R_1^2/2)^{-\beta/2} = 2^{-\beta/2} \Gamma(\beta/2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x} x^{\beta/2} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{2} R_1^2\right).$$

Then by Fubini's theorem,

$$(5.2) \quad E[R_t^\alpha R_1^{-\beta}] = 2^{-\beta/2} \Gamma(\beta/2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x} x^{\beta/2} E[R_t^\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{x}{2} R_1^2\right)].$$

By the Markov property, we have, conditionally on  $\mathcal{F}_t^R$ ,

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} E_0^{(\delta)}[\exp\left(-\frac{x}{2} R_1^2\right) | \mathcal{F}_t^R] &= E_{R_t}^{(\delta)}[\exp\left(-\frac{x}{2} R_{1-t}^2\right)] \\ &= \{1 + x(1-t)\}^{-\delta/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{x}{2(1+x(1-t))} R_t^2\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality follows from the well-known fact that: for  $a \geq 0$ ,

$$E_a^{(\delta)}[\exp\left(-\frac{x}{2} R_s^2\right)] = (1 + xs)^{-\delta/2} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{1 + xs} a^2\right).$$

See, e.g., [16, p.441]. By (5.3), we then have:

$$\begin{aligned} E[R_t^\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{x}{2}R_1^2\right)] &= \{1 + x(1-t)\}^{-\delta/2} E[R_t^\alpha \exp\left\{-\frac{x}{2(1+x(1-t))}R_t^2\right\}] \\ &= (2t)^{\alpha/2} \Gamma(\delta/2)^{-1} \Gamma((\delta+\alpha)/2) \frac{1}{(1+x)^{\delta/2}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{1+x}t\right)^{\alpha/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where, for the second line, we used the fact that  $R_t \stackrel{(d)}{=} \sqrt{2t\gamma_{\delta/2}}$ . Plugging this into (5.2), and changing variables with  $x/(1+x) = z$ , we arrive at

$$E[R_t^\alpha R_1^{-\beta}] = 2^{(\alpha-\beta)/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta+\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\beta}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2})} t^{\alpha/2} \int_0^1 dz z^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} (1-z)^{\frac{\delta-\beta}{2}-1} (1-tz)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

By the integral representation (5.1), the above integral in  $z$  is expressed as:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\beta}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\delta-\beta}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2})} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}; \frac{\delta}{2}; t\right).$$

Now we see

$$(5.4) \quad E[R_t^\alpha R_1^{-\beta}] = 2^{(\alpha-\beta)/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta+\alpha}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\delta-\beta}{2})}{\{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2})\}^2} t^{\alpha/2} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}; \frac{\delta}{2}; t\right).$$

On the other hand, by scaling,

$$E[R_t^\alpha]E[R_1^{-\beta}] = t^{\alpha/2} E[R_1^\alpha]E[R_1^{-\beta}] \equiv t^{\alpha/2} \times 2^{(\alpha-\beta)/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta+\alpha}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\delta-\beta}{2})}{\{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2})\}^2}.$$

Therefore, combining this with (5.4), and noting  $\phi_{\alpha;-\beta}(t) = E[R_t^\alpha R_1^{-\beta}] - E[R_t^\alpha]E[R_1^{-\beta}]$ , we have the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 5.1.** By Proposition 5.1, and by recalling the definition (series expansion) of hypergeometric functions (cf. [10, (9.1.1)]), we may easily see that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \phi_{\alpha;-\beta}(t) = 2^{(\alpha-\beta)/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\delta+\alpha}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\delta-\beta}{2})}{\{\Gamma(\frac{\delta}{2})\}^2} \times \left(-\frac{\alpha\beta}{2\delta}\right).$$

We may compare this with the assertion (c') of Proposition 3.3.

## 5.2 On a connection between $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_3$ via perturbations

In this part, we shall consider the family of processes  $(X_t^{(\gamma)}, 0 \leq t \leq 1), \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$(5.5) \quad X_t^{(\gamma)} = |\beta_t| + \gamma L_t,$$

and discuss the Wiener integrals  $I(f; \widehat{X^{(\gamma)}})$  relative to the centered processes  $\widehat{X^{(\gamma)}}$ . Here, as in the proof of Proposition 2.5 for  $\delta = 1$ ,  $(\beta_t)$  is a Brownian motion and  $(L_t)$  the local time of  $\beta$  at 0. Note that, in particular,

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} \gamma = -1 & : X^{(-1)} \text{ is a Brownian motion (Tanaka's formula);} \\ \gamma = 0 & : X^{(0)} \text{ is a reflecting Brownian motion;} \\ \gamma = +1 & : X^{(+1)} \text{ is BES(3) (Pitman's theorem).} \end{cases}$$

We shall prove that, for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I(f; \widehat{X^{(\gamma)}})$  is well-defined for all  $f \in L^2([0, 1])$ . In fact, we obtain the following a priori estimate:

**Proposition 5.2.** *There exists a positive constant  $K_\gamma$  such that, for all bounded, measurable functions  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,*

$$(5.7) \quad E[I(f; \widehat{X^{(\gamma)}})^2] \leq K_\gamma \|f\|_2^2.$$

*In particular, if  $|\gamma| \leq 1$ , then (5.7) holds with  $K_\gamma = 1$ .*

To prove this proposition, we prepare the following:

**Lemma 5.3.** *For every bounded, measurable function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ , it holds that*

$$E[I(f; \widehat{X^{(\gamma)}})^2] = \|f\|_2^2 - J_{\Phi^{(\gamma)}}(f, f),$$

where

$$\Phi^{(\gamma)}(t) = \frac{(1 + \gamma)^2}{\pi\sqrt{t}}(1 - \sqrt{1 - t}) + \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{1 - t}}$$

Note that, in the three particular cases  $\gamma = -1, 0, +1$ , we recover the previous results; indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{(-1)}(t) &\equiv 0 \text{ (since } X^{(\gamma)} \text{ is the Brownian motion);} \\ \Phi^{(0)}(t) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}(1 - \sqrt{1 - t}) + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{1 - t}} \right\} \equiv \Phi_1(t); \\ \Phi^{(+1)}(t) &= \frac{4}{\pi\sqrt{t}}(1 - \sqrt{1 - t}) \equiv \Phi_3(t). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this lemma is given in the same manner as that of Proposition 2.5 for  $\delta = 1$ :

*Proof of Lemma 5.3.* Since  $|\beta_t|$  decomposes as  $|\beta_t| = B_t + L_t$ ,  $X_t^{(\gamma)}$  admits the following decomposition:

$$(5.8) \quad X_t^{(\gamma)} = B_t + (\gamma + 1)L_t.$$

We take  $X_t = X_t^{(\gamma)}$ ,  $M_t = B_t$  and  $V_t = (\gamma + 1)L_t$  in (2.9). Then by Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\left(\int_0^1 f(u) dX_u^{(\gamma)}\right)^2\right] &= \|f\|_2^2 + 2(\gamma + 1)E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) dL_u \left(\int_0^u f(s) dX_s^{(\gamma)}\right)\right] \\ &= \|f\|_2^2 + 2(\gamma + 1) \int_0^1 f(u) dE[L_u] E\left[\int_0^u f(s) dX_s^{(\gamma)} \mid \beta_u = 0\right]. \end{aligned}$$

We have already seen that  $dE[L_u] = du/\sqrt{2\pi u}$  just below (2.11). Moreover, by the definition of  $X^{(\gamma)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} E[X_s^{(\gamma)} \mid \beta_u = 0] &= \frac{d}{ds} E[|\beta_s| \mid \beta_u = 0] + \gamma \frac{d}{ds} E[L_s \mid \beta_u = 0] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s(1-s/u)}} \left(1 - \frac{2s}{u}\right) + \gamma \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s(1-s/u)}}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^1 f(u) dX_u^{(\gamma)}\right)^2\right] = \|f\|_2^2 + J_{\varphi_1^{(\gamma)}}(f, f), \quad \varphi_1^{(\gamma)}(t) := \frac{(\gamma + 1)\{(1 - 2t) + \gamma\}}{\pi\sqrt{t(1-t)}}.$$

On the other hand, since  $E[\int_0^1 f(u) dX_u^{(\gamma)}] = (\gamma + 1) \int_0^1 du f(u)/\sqrt{2\pi u}$ ,

$$\left(E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) dX_u^{(\gamma)}\right]\right)^2 = J_{\varphi_2^{(\gamma)}}(f, f), \quad \varphi_2^{(\gamma)}(t) := \frac{(\gamma + 1)^2}{\pi\sqrt{t}}.$$

Combining these, we obtain

$$E[I(f; \widehat{X^{(\gamma)}})^2] = \|f\|_2^2 - J_{\varphi_2^{(\gamma)} - \varphi_1^{(\gamma)}}(f, f)$$

with the identity  $\varphi_2^{(\gamma)} - \varphi_1^{(\gamma)} = \Phi^{(\gamma)}$ . So the proposition is proved.  $\square$

With Lemma 5.3 at disposal, we prove Proposition 5.2:

*Proof of Proposition 5.2. The case  $|\gamma| > 1$ :* Since it is clear that  $K_{\Phi^{(\gamma)}} := \int_0^1 dt t^{-1/2} |\Phi^{(\gamma)}(t)|$  is finite, we may use Proposition 2.1 to have that

$$|J_{\Phi^{(\gamma)}}(f, f)| \leq K_{\Phi^{(\gamma)}} \|f\|_2^2.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.3, (5.7) holds with  $K_\gamma = 1 + K_{\Phi^{(\gamma)}}$ .

*The case  $|\gamma| \leq 1$ :* Using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.7 for  $\delta = 1$ , we

easily see that  $J_{\Phi(\gamma)}$  extends as a positive-definite quadratic form on  $L^2([0, 1]) \times L^2([0, 1])$  if  $|\gamma| \leq 1$ ; indeed,  $\Phi^{(\gamma)}$  is expanded as (2.14) with

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \{ (1 + \gamma)^2 + 2(n + 1)(1 - \gamma^2) \} c_{n+1},$$

which is positive for every  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , as long as  $|\gamma| \leq 1$ . Here  $(c_n)$  is the positive sequence given in (2.15). Thus, if  $|\gamma| \leq 1$ , then by Lemma 5.3, the a priori estimate (5.7) holds with  $K_\gamma = 1$ .  $\square$

The latter assertion of this proposition may also be explained by the following perturbation argument: Let  $X$  be a semimartingale which decomposes as  $X_t = B_t + V_t$  with  $V$  of finite variation satisfying  $E[(\int_0^1 |dV_u|)^2] < \infty$ . As we have seen in Lemma 2.6, it holds that, for every bounded, measurable function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$E[I(f; X)^2] = \|f\|_2^2 - \mathfrak{I}_X(f), \quad \mathfrak{I}_X(f) := -2E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) dV_u \int_0^u f(s) dX_s\right].$$

Now we suppose  $\mathfrak{I}_X(f) \geq 0$ . As we have already seen, there are a number of such situations. For  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$X_t^\eta = B_t + \eta V_t.$$

Then it is easily seen that  $E[I(f; X^\eta)^2] = \|f\|_2^2 - \mathfrak{I}_{X^\eta}(f)$  with

$$(5.9) \quad J_{X^\eta}(f) = \eta \mathfrak{I}_X(f) - \eta(\eta - 1)E\left[\left(\int_0^1 f(u) dV_u\right)^2\right].$$

Indeed, by Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}_{X^\eta}(f) &= -2E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) dV_u \int_0^u f(s) dX_s^\eta\right] \\ &= -2\eta E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) dV_u \int_0^u f(s) (dX_u + (\eta - 1)dV_u)\right] \\ &= \eta \mathfrak{I}_X(f) - 2\eta(\eta - 1)E\left[\int_0^1 f(u) dV_u \int_0^u f(s) dV_s\right], \end{aligned}$$

hence (5.9) follows. From this, it is clear that,

$$(5.10) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \text{ then } \mathfrak{I}_{X^\eta}(f) \geq 0.$$

Now we turn to the case that we have just discussed above; we may recover the latter assertion of Proposition 5.2 by using (5.10):

*Alternative proof of the latter assertion of Proposition 5.2.* We rewrite the decomposition (5.8) above as

$$X_t^{(\gamma)} = B_t + \eta \times (2L_t), \quad \text{with } \eta = \frac{1+\gamma}{2}.$$

In the case  $\eta = 1$  ( $\iff \gamma = 1$ ), it is already seen that  $\mathfrak{J}_{\widehat{X^{(1)}}}(f) \geq 0$  since  $X^{(1)}$  is BES(3). So, by the above perturbation result (5.10), we may conclude that  $\mathfrak{J}_{\widehat{X^{(\gamma)}}}(f) \geq 0$  when

$$0 \leq \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \leq 1 \quad (\iff -1 \leq \gamma \leq 1),$$

which is nothing but the latter assertion of Proposition 5.2.  $\square$

### 5.3 On the applicability of the FKG inequality to BES( $\delta$ ), $\delta > 0$

In this part, we prove that the FKG inequality is applicable to the laws of BES( $\delta$ ),  $\delta > 0$ , (or, more precisely, to their finite-dimensional marginals). For the formulation of the FKG inequality, we refer to [14, 15].

For  $t > 0$  and  $x_1, x_2 > 0$ , let  $p^\delta(t; x_1, x_2)$  denote the transition density function of BES( $\delta$ ):

$$p^\delta(t; x_1, x_2) = t^{-1} x_1^{-\nu} x_2^{\nu+1} \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2t}\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{x_1 x_2}{t}\right).$$

Here  $\nu = \delta/2 - 1$  ( $> -1$ ), the index of BES( $\delta$ ). Set

$$\mathfrak{J}_\nu(x) = \frac{x I_{\nu+1}(x)}{I_\nu(x)}, \quad x > 0.$$

**Lemma 5.4.** *If  $\nu > -1$ , then  $\mathfrak{J}_\nu$  is non-decreasing.*

*Proof.* We compute the derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \mathfrak{J}_\nu(x) &\equiv \frac{d}{dx} \frac{x^{\nu+1} I_{\nu+1}(x)}{x^\nu I_\nu(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\{x^\nu I_\nu(x)\}^2} \{x^{\nu+1} I_\nu(x) x^\nu I_\nu(x) - x^{\nu+1} I_{\nu+1}(x) x^\nu I_{\nu-1}(x)\} \\ &= \frac{x^{2\nu+1}}{\{x^\nu I_\nu(x)\}^2} \{I_\nu(x)^2 - I_{\nu+1}(x) I_{\nu-1}(x)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the recurrence relation:  $\{x^\mu I_\mu(x)\}' = x^\mu I_{\mu-1}(x)$ . By [11, (9.t5)], the last quantity is non-negative for  $\nu > -1$ . This shows the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** It is known (e.g., [20, (11.27)]) that, for  $\nu > -1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{x^2} \mathfrak{J}_\nu(x) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2 + j_{\nu,n}^2},$$

where  $(j_{\nu,n})$  is the simple, positive zeros of  $J_\nu$ , the Bessel function of the first kind of order  $\nu$ . From here, we may also deduce Lemma 5.4.

**Lemma 5.5.** For each fixed  $t > 0$ , it holds that

$$(5.11) \quad p^\delta(t; x_1 \vee y_1, x_2 \vee y_2) p^\delta(t; x_1 \wedge y_1, x_2 \wedge y_2) \geq p^\delta(t; x_1, x_2) p^\delta(t; y_1, y_2)$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ . Here  $x \vee y = \max\{x, y\}$ ,  $x \wedge y = \min\{x, y\}$ .

*Proof.* We divide the case into four cases: (i)  $x_1 \geq y_1, x_2 \geq y_2$ ; (ii)  $x_1 \leq y_1, x_2 \leq y_2$ ; (iii)  $x_1 \geq y_1, x_2 \leq y_2$ ; (iv)  $x_1 \leq y_1, x_2 \geq y_2$ . In both cases (i) and (ii), (5.11) holds as an equality. So, by symmetry, we only need to consider either (iii) or (iv). Here we give a proof in the case (iii). By the definition of  $p^\delta(t; x, y)$ , the proof is reduced to showing the following: for  $x_1 \geq y_1$  and  $x_2 \leq y_2$ ,

$$(5.12) \quad I_\nu\left(\frac{x_1 y_2}{t}\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{x_2 y_1}{t}\right) \geq I_\nu\left(\frac{x_1 x_2}{t}\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{y_1 y_2}{t}\right).$$

Rewriting (5.12) as

$$\frac{I_\nu\left(\frac{y_2}{t} x_1\right)}{I_\nu\left(\frac{x_2}{t} x_1\right)} \geq \frac{I_\nu\left(\frac{y_2}{t} y_1\right)}{I_\nu\left(\frac{x_2}{t} y_1\right)},$$

we see that it suffices to prove, for  $\beta > \alpha > 0$ ,

$$(5.13) \quad \frac{I_\nu(\beta x)}{I_\nu(\alpha x)} \text{ is non-decreasing in } x > 0.$$

To this end, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \frac{I_\nu(\beta x)}{I_\nu(\alpha x)} \right\} \times \frac{\beta^{-\nu}}{\alpha^{-\nu}} &\equiv \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \frac{(\beta x)^{-\nu} I_\nu(\beta x)}{(\alpha x)^{-\nu} I_\nu(\alpha x)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha \beta x^2)^{-\nu}}{\{(\alpha x)^{-\nu} I_\nu(\alpha x)\}^2} \{ \beta I_{\nu+1}(\beta x) I_\nu(\alpha x) - \alpha I_\nu(\beta x) I_{\nu+1}(\alpha x) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where, for the equality, we used the recurrence relation:  $\{x^{-\mu} I_\mu(x)\}' = x^{-\mu} I_{\mu+1}(x)$ . We rewrite the last factor as:

$$\beta I_{\nu+1}(\beta x) I_\nu(\alpha x) - \alpha I_\nu(\beta x) I_{\nu+1}(\alpha x) = \frac{I_\nu(\alpha x) I_\nu(\beta x)}{x} \{ \mathfrak{J}_\nu(\beta x) - \mathfrak{J}_\nu(\alpha x) \}$$

to see that this is non-negative by Lemma 5.4. Hence

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \frac{I_\nu(\beta x)}{I_\nu(\alpha x)} \right\} \geq 0,$$

which shows (5.13). So the lemma is proved.  $\square$

Let  $\Delta = \{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n\}$  be an increasing sequence in  $[0, \infty)$ . For  $a > 0$ , we denote by  $\Phi_\Delta^\delta(x; a)$  ( $x = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ ) the finite-dimensional distribution function of BES( $\delta$ ) starting from  $a$  taken at the time sequence  $(t_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ :

$$(5.14) \quad \Phi_\Delta^\delta(x; a) = p^\delta(t_1; a, x_1) p^\delta(t_2 - t_1; x_1, x_2) \cdots p^\delta(t_n - t_{n-1}; x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

The next proposition shows  $\Phi_\Delta^\delta(\cdot; a)$  fulfills the assumption of [14, Theorem 3]:

**Proposition 5.6.** *For  $a \geq a' > 0$ , it holds that*

$$\Phi_\Delta^\delta(x \vee y; a) \Phi_\Delta^\delta(x \wedge y; a') \geq \Phi_\Delta^\delta(x; a) \Phi_\Delta^\delta(y; a')$$

for all  $x = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \in (0, \infty)^n$  and  $y = (y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \in (0, \infty)^n$ . Here  $x \vee y = (x_i \vee y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  and  $x \wedge y = (x_i \wedge y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ .

*Proof.* The assertion is immediate from Lemma 5.5 and (5.14).  $\square$

**Remark 5.3.** *It is easily checked that the assertion of this lemma still holds even if  $a'$  is equal to 0; in that case,  $\Phi_\Delta^\delta(x; a')$  should be replaced by*

$$\Phi_\Delta^\delta(x; 0) = \tilde{p}^\delta(t_1; x_1) p^\delta(t_2 - t_1; x_1, x_2) \cdots p^\delta(t_n - t_{n-1}; x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

where

$$\tilde{p}^\delta(t; x) = 2^{-\nu} t^{-(\nu+1)} \Gamma(\nu + 1)^{-1} x^{2\nu+1} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2t}\right).$$

**Remark 5.4.** *There is a probabilistic understanding of (5.13): For  $x > 0$ , we denote  $T_x(R) = \inf\{t > 0; R_t = x\}$ . It is well-known (e.g., [20, (11.31)]) that, for every dimension  $\delta > 0$ , and for  $\gamma > 0$ ,*

$$E_0^{(\delta)}[\exp(-\frac{\gamma^2}{2} T_x(R))] = \frac{(x\gamma)^\nu}{2^\nu \Gamma(1 + \nu) I_\nu(x\gamma)}.$$

Therefore, for  $0 < y < x$ , we get, from the strong Markov property,

$$E_0^{(\delta)}[\exp(-\frac{\gamma^2}{2} T_x(R))] = E_0^{(\delta)}[\exp(-\frac{\gamma^2}{2} T_y(R))] E_y^{(\delta)}[\exp(-\frac{\gamma^2}{2} T_x(R))]$$

hence

$$\frac{I_\nu(y\gamma)}{I_\nu(x\gamma)} = \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^\nu E_y^{(\delta)}[\exp(-\frac{\gamma^2}{2} T_x(R))],$$

which indicates that, for  $0 < y < x$ , the function  $\gamma \mapsto I_\nu(y\gamma)/I_\nu(x\gamma)$  is strictly decreasing.



# References

- [1] H.J. BRASCAMP AND E.H. LIEB, *On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation*, J. Funct. Anal., **22** (1976), pp. 366–389.
- [2] C. DONATI-MARTIN AND M. YOR, *Mouvement brownien et inégalité de Hardy dans  $L^2$* , Sémin. Prob., XXIII, pp. 315–323, Lect. Notes Math., **1372**, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [3] T. FUNAKI, Y. HARIYA AND M. YOR, *Wiener integrals for centered powers of Bessel processes, II*, preprint, 2005.
- [4] T. FUNAKI AND K. ISHITANI, *Integration by parts formulae for Wiener measures on a path space between two curves*, preprint, 2005, available at <http://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~funaki/publ/publ.htm>
- [5] G. GIACOMIN, *On stochastic domination in the Brascamp-Lieb framework*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **134** (2003), pp. 507–514.
- [6] F. HIRSCH, *personal communication*.
- [7] T. JEULIN, *Semi-Martingales et Grossissement d’une Filtration*, Lect. Notes Math., **833**, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [8] T. JEULIN, *Sur la convergence absolue de certaines intégrales*, Sémin. Prob., XVI, pp. 248–256, Lect. Notes Math., **920**, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [9] T. JEULIN AND M. YOR, *Inégalité de Hardy, semimartingales, et faux-amis*, Sémin. Prob., XIII, pp. 332–359, Lect. Notes Math., **721**, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [10] N.N. LEBEDEV, *Special Functions and their Applications*, Dover, New York, 1972.
- [11] J.W. PITMAN AND M. YOR, *Bessel processes and infinitely divisible laws*, In: “Stochastic Integrals” (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1980), pp. 285–370, Lect. Notes Math., **851**, Springer, Berlin, 1981.
- [12] J.W. PITMAN AND M. YOR, *A decomposition of Bessel bridges*, Zeit. Wahrsch. Geb., **59** (1982), pp. 425–457.

- [13] J.W. PITMAN AND M. YOR, *Some divergent integrals of Brownian motion*, In: “Analytic and Geometric Stochastics” (ed. D.G. Kendall), Adv. in Appl. Probab., 1986, suppl., pp. 109–116.
- [14] C.J. PRESTON, *A generalization of the FKG inequality*, Comm. Math. Phys., bf 36 (1974), pp. 233–241.
- [15] B. SIMON, *Functional Integration and Quantum Physics*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [16] D. REVUZ AND M. YOR, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, 3rd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [17] G.N. WATSON, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1962.
- [18] M. YOR, *Application d’un lemme de T. Jeulin au grossissement de la filtration brownienne*, Sémin. Prob., XIV, pp. 189–199, Lect. Notes Math., **784**, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [19] M. YOR, *Some Aspects of Brownian Motion. Part I: Some special functionals*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.
- [20] M. YOR, *Some Aspects of Brownian Motion. Part II: Some recent martingale problems*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.

# List of MHF Preprint Series, Kyushu University

## 21st Century COE Program

### Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality

- MHF2003-1 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Yoshitaka WATANABE  
A numerical method to verify the invertibility of linear elliptic operators with applications to nonlinear problems
- MHF2003-2 Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI  
Error estimates of finite element methods for nonstationary thermal convection problems with temperature-dependent coefficients
- MHF2003-3 Tomohiro ANDO, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO  
Adaptive learning machines for nonlinear classification and Bayesian information criteria
- MHF2003-4 Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA  
On systems of algebraic equations with parametric exponents
- MHF2003-5 Masao ISHIKAWA & Masato WAKAYAMA  
Applications of Minor Summation Formulas III, Plücker relations, Lattice paths and Pfaffian identities
- MHF2003-6 Atsushi SUZUKI & Masahisa TABATA  
Finite element matrices in congruent subdomains and their effective use for large-scale computations
- MHF2003-7 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
Stochastic oscillatory integrals - asymptotic and exact expressions for quadratic phase functions -
- MHF2003-8 Shoki MIYAMOTO & Atsushi YOSHIKAWA  
Computable sequences in the Sobolev spaces
- MHF2003-9 Toru FUJII & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Wavelet based estimate for non-linear and non-stationary auto-regressive model
- MHF2003-10 Atsushi YOSHIKAWA  
Maple and wave-front tracking — an experiment
- MHF2003-11 Masanobu KANEKO  
On the local factor of the zeta function of quadratic orders
- MHF2003-12 Hidefumi KAWASAKI  
Conjugate-set game for a nonlinear programming problem

- MHF2004-1 Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Estimating the Lyapunov exponent from chaotic time series with dynamic noise
- MHF2004-2 Rui YAMAGUCHI, Eiko TSUCHIYA & Tomoyuki HIGUCHI  
State space modeling approach to decompose daily sales of a restaurant into time-dependent multi-factors
- MHF2004-3 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Cubic pencils and Painlevé Hamiltonians
- MHF2004-4 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA  
Estimating the correlation dimension from a chaotic system with dynamic noise
- MHF2004-5 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Kentarou KITAMURA, Koji YONEMOTO, Takashi YANAGAWA & Kiyofumi YUMOTO  
Detection of auroral breakups using the correlation dimension
- MHF2004-6 Ryo IKOTA, Masayasu MIMURA & Tatsuyuki NAKAKI  
A methodology for numerical simulations to a singular limit
- MHF2004-7 Ryo IKOTA & Eiji YANAGIDA  
Stability of stationary interfaces of binary-tree type
- MHF2004-8 Yuko ARAKI, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO  
Functional discriminant analysis for gene expression data via radial basis expansion
- MHF2004-9 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Hypergeometric solutions to the  $q$ -Painlevé equations
- MHF2004-10 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Expressions for values of the gamma function
- MHF2004-11 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Transformations of Gauss hypergeometric functions
- MHF2004-12 Koji NAKAGAWA & Masakazu SUZUKI  
Mathematical knowledge browser
- MHF2004-13 Ken-ichi MARUNO, Wen-Xiu MA & Masayuki OIKAWA  
Generalized Casorati determinant and Positon-Negaton-Type solutions of the Toda lattice equation
- MHF2004-14 Nalini JOSHI, Kenji KAJIWARA & Marta MAZZOCCO  
Generating function associated with the determinant formula for the solutions of the Painlevé II equation

- MHF2004-15 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Ryohei ABE, Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO & Yoshitaka WATANABE  
Numerical verification methods of solutions for nonlinear singularly perturbed problem
- MHF2004-16 Ken-ichi MARUNO & Gino BIONDINI  
Resonance and web structure in discrete soliton systems: the two-dimensional Toda lattice and its fully discrete and ultra-discrete versions
- MHF2004-17 Ryuei NISHII & Shinto EGUCHI  
Supervised image classification in Markov random field models with Jeffreys divergence
- MHF2004-18 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Kenta KOBAYASHI & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
Numerical verification methods of solutions for the free boundary problem
- MHF2004-19 Hiroki MASUDA  
Ergodicity and exponential  $\beta$ -mixing bounds for a strong solution of Lévy-driven stochastic differential equations
- MHF2004-20 Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The Brownian sheet and the reflectionless potentials
- MHF2004-21 Ryuei NISHII & Shinto EGUCHI  
Supervised image classification based on AdaBoost with contextual weak classifiers
- MHF2004-22 Hideki KOSAKI  
On intersections of domains of unbounded positive operators
- MHF2004-23 Masahisa TABATA & Shoichi FUJIMA  
Robustness of a characteristic finite element scheme of second order in time increment
- MHF2004-24 Ken-ichi MARUNO, Adrian ANKIEWICZ & Nail AKHMEDIEV  
Dissipative solitons of the discrete complex cubic-quintic Ginzburg-Landau equation
- MHF2004-25 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Degenerate Gauss hypergeometric functions
- MHF2004-26 Ryo IKOTA  
The boundedness of propagation speeds of disturbances for reaction-diffusion systems
- MHF2004-27 Ryusuke KON  
Convex dominates concave: an exclusion principle in discrete-time Kolmogorov systems

- MHF2004-28 Ryusuke KON  
Multiple attractors in host-parasitoid interactions: coexistence and extinction
- MHF2004-29 Kentaro IHARA, Masanobu KANEKO & Don ZAGIER  
Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values
- MHF2004-30 Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Generalized partitioned quantum cellular automata and quantization of classical CA
- MHF2005-1 Hideki KOSAKI  
Matrix trace inequalities related to uncertainty principle
- MHF2005-2 Masahisa TABATA  
Discrepancy between theory and real computation on the stability of some finite element schemes
- MHF2005-3 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Functional regression modeling via regularized basis expansions and model selection
- MHF2005-4 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Functional discriminant analysis via regularized basis expansions
- MHF2005-5 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Point configurations, Cremona transformations and the elliptic difference Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-6 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA  
Construction of hypergeometric solutions to the  $q$ -Painlevé equations
- MHF2005-7 Hiroki MASUDA  
Simple estimators for non-linear Markovian trend from sampled data:  
I. ergodic cases
- MHF2005-8 Hiroki MASUDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA  
Edgeworth expansion for a class of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-based models
- MHF2005-9 Masayuki UCHIDA  
Approximate martingale estimating functions under small perturbations of dynamical systems
- MHF2005-10 Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA  
One-step estimators for diffusion processes with small dispersion parameters from discrete observations
- MHF2005-11 Junichi MATSUKUBO, Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA  
Estimation for a discretely observed small diffusion process with a linear drift

- MHF2005-12 Masayuki UCHIDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA  
AIC for ergodic diffusion processes from discrete observations
- MHF2005-13 Hiromichi GOTO & Kenji KAJIWARA  
Generating function related to the Okamoto polynomials for the Painlevé IV equation
- MHF2005-14 Masato KIMURA & Shin-ichi NAGATA  
Precise asymptotic behaviour of the first eigenvalue of Sturm-Liouville problems with large drift
- MHF2005-15 Daisuke TAGAMI & Masahisa TABATA  
Numerical computations of a melting glass convection in the furnace
- MHF2005-16 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations
- MHF2005-17 Raimundas VIDŪNAS  
Askey-Wilson relations and Leonard pairs
- MHF2005-18 Kenji KAJIWARA & Atsushi MUKAIHIRA  
Soliton solutions for the non-autonomous discrete-time Toda lattice equation
- MHF2005-19 Yuu HARIYA  
Construction of Gibbs measures for 1-dimensional continuum fields
- MHF2005-20 Yuu HARIYA  
Integration by parts formulae for the Wiener measure restricted to subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- MHF2005-21 Yuu HARIYA  
A time-change approach to Kotani's extension of Yor's formula
- MHF2005-22 Tadahisa FUNAKI, Yuu HARIYA & Mark YOR  
Wiener integrals for centered powers of Bessel processes, I