Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations

Vidunas, Raimundas

出版情報：Linear Algebra and its Applications. 422 (1), pp.39-57, 2007-04-01. Elsevier
バージョン：

MHF Preprint Series

Kyushu University
21st Century COE Program
Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality

Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations

R. Vidūnas

MHF 2005-16

(Received April 19, 2005)

Faculty of Mathematics
Kyushu University
Fukuoka, JAPAN

Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations

Raimundas Vidūnas*

Abstract

Let V denote a vector space with finite positive dimension, and let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. As is known, the linear transformations A, A^* satisfy the Askey-Wilson relations

$$\begin{aligned} A^2A^* - \beta AA^*A + A^*A^2 - \gamma\,(AA^*+A^*A) - \varrho\, A^* &= \gamma^* A^2 + \omega A + \eta\, I, \\ A^{*2}A - \beta A^*AA^* + AA^{*2} - \gamma^*(A^*A+AA^*) - \varrho^* A &= \gamma A^{*2} + \omega A^* + \eta^* I, \end{aligned}$$

for some scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$. The scalar sequence is unique if the dimension of V is at least 4.

If c, c^*, t, t^* are scalars and t, t^* are not zero, then $(tA+c, t^*A^*+c^*)$ is a Leonard pair on V as well. These affine transformations can be used to bring the Leonard pair or its Askey-Wilson relations into a convenient form. This paper presents convenient normalizations of Leonard pairs by the affine transformations, and exhibits explicit Askey-Wilson relations satisfied by them.

1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{K}$ denotes an algebraically closed field. Apart from one remark, we assume the characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$ is not equal to 2.

Recall that a tridiagonal matrix is a square matrix which has non-zero entries only on the main diagonal, on the superdiagonal and the subdiagonal. A tridiagonal matrix is called irreducible whenever all entries on the superdiagonal and superdiagonal are non-zero.

Definition 1.1 Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *Leonard pair* on V we mean an ordered pair (A, A^*), where $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ are linear transformations which satisfy the following two conditions:

(i) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A^* is diagonal, and the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal.

*Supported by the 21 Century COE Programme "Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality" of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

(ii) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is diagonal, and the matrix representing A^* is irreducible tridiagonal.

Remark 1.2 In this paper we do not use the conventional notation A^* for the conjugate-transpose of A. In a Leonard pair (A, A^*), the linear transformations A and A^* are arbitrary subject to the conditions *(i)* and *(ii)* above.

Leonard pairs occur in the theory of orthogonal polynomials, combinatorics, the representation theory of the Lie algebra sl_2 or the quantum group $U_q(sl_2)$. We refer to [Ter04] as a survey on Leonard pairs, and as a source of further references.

We have the following result [TV04, Theorem 1.5].

Theorem 1.3 *Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let (A, A^*) be a Leonard pair on V. Then there exists a sequence of scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$, ω, η, η^* taken from $\mathbb{K}$ such that*

$$A^2A^* - \beta AA^*A + A^*A^2 - \gamma\,(AA^*+A^*A) - \varrho\,A^* \;=\; \gamma^*A^2 + \omega A + \eta\,I, \tag{1}$$

$$A^{*2}A - \beta A^*AA^* + AA^{*2} - \gamma^*(A^*A+AA^*) - \varrho^*A \;=\; \gamma A^{*2} + \omega A^* + \eta^* I. \tag{2}$$

The sequence is uniquely determined by the pair (A, A^*) provided the dimension of V is at least 4.

The equations (1)–(2) are called the *Askey-Wilson relations*. They first appeared in the work [Zhe91] of Zhedanov, where he showed that the Askey-Wilson polynomials give pairs of infinite-dimensional matrices which satisfy the Askey-Wilson relations. We denote this pair of equations by $AW(\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*)$.

It is easy to notice that if (A, A^*) is a Leonard pair, then

$$(tA + c,\ t^*A^* + c^*), \qquad \text{with } c, c^*, t, t^* \in \mathbb{K} \text{ and } t, t^* \neq 0, \tag{3}$$

is a Leonard pair as well. We say that the two Leonard pairs are related by the *affine transformation* $(A, A^*) \mapsto (tA + c,\ t^*A^* + c^*)$. Affine transformations act on Askey-Wilson relations as well, as explained in Section 4 here below. For example, if $\beta \neq 2$ then the Askey-Wilson relations can be normalized so that $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma^* = 0$. Affine transformations can be used to normalize Leonard pairs, parameter arrays representing them, or the Askey-Wilson relations conveniently.

This paper present convenient normalizations of Leonard pairs and their Askey-Wilson relations. We generally assume that the dimension of the underling vector space is at least 4, and use Terwilliger's classification [Ter02b] (or [Ter04, Section 35]) of parameter arrays representing Leonard pairs. For parameter arrays of the q-type, we present two normalizations: one that is close to Terwilliger's general expressions in [Ter02b], and one where Askey-Wilson coefficients are normalized most conveniently. For other parameter arrays, we give one normalization. This work is more of book-keeping kind than of deep research. Examples of Askey-Wilson relations for normalized Leonard pairs are given in [TV04], [RT]. Indirectly, Askey-Wilson relations for Leonard pairs arising from certain distince regular graphs are computed in [Cur01], [Go02].

We note that Terwilliger's classification of parameter arrays by certain families of orthogonal polynomials from the Askey-Wilson scheme can be largely imitated to categorize Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations; see Sections 2 and 8 below. We have the same types of Leonard pairs and of Askey-Wilson relations, except that the quantum q-Krawtchouk and affine q-Krawtchouk types are merged.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next Section we discuss the relation between Leonard pairs and parameter arrays. In Section 3 we recall expressions of the Askey-Wilson coefficients in (1)–(2) in terms of parameter arrays. Section 4 deals with possible normalizations of Askey-Wilson relations. Sections 5 and 6 present two normalizations of q-parameter arrays and Askey-Wilson relations for them. Section 7 presents normalizations of other parameter arrays and Askey-Wilson relations for them. In Section 8 we give a classification of Askey-Wilson relations consistent with the classification of Leonard pairs. In the last Section we make a few general observations.

2 Leonard pairs and parameter arrays

Leonard pairs are represented and classified by parameter arrays. More precisely, parameter arrays are in one-to-one correspondence with *Leonard systems* [Ter04, Definition 3.2], and to each Leonard pair one associates 4 Leonard systems or parameter arrays.

From now on, let d be a non-negative integer, and let V be a vector space with dimension $d+1$ over $\mathbb{K}$.

Definition 2.1 [Har05] Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Let W denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension, and let (B, B^*) denote a Leonard pair on W. By an *isomorphism of Leonard pairs* we mean an isomorphism of vector spaces $\sigma : V \mapsto W$ such that $\sigma A \sigma^{-1} = B$ and $\sigma A^* \sigma^{-1} = B^*$. We say that (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) are *isomorphic* if there is an isomorphism of Leonard pairs from (A, A^*) to (B, B^*).

Definition 2.2 By a *parameter array* over $\mathbb{K}$, of diameter d, we mean a sequence

$$(\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d;\ \theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d;\ \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_d;\ \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d) \tag{4}$$

of scalars taken from $\mathbb{K}$, that satisfy the following conditions:

1. $\theta_i \neq \theta_j$ and $\theta^*_1 \neq \theta^*_j$ if $i \neq j$, for $0 \le i, j \le d$.
2. $\varphi_i \neq 0$ and $\phi_i \neq 0$, for $1 \le i, j \le d$.
3. $\varphi_i = \phi_1 \displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_j - \theta_{d-j}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta^*_i - \theta^*_0)\,(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_d)$, for $1 \le i, j \le d$.
4. $\phi_i = \varphi_1 \displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_j - \theta_{d-j}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta^*_i - \theta^*_0)\,(\theta_{d-i+1} - \theta_0)$, for $1 \le i, j \le d$.

5. The expressions
$$\frac{\theta_{i-2}-\theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1}-\theta_i}, \qquad \frac{\theta^*_{i-2}-\theta^*_{i+1}}{\theta^*_{i-1}-\theta^*_i}$$
are equal and independent of i, for $2 \le i \le d-1$.

To get a Leonard pair from parameter array (4), one must choose a basis for V and define the two linear transformations by the following matrices (with respect to that basis):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & & \\ 1 & \theta_1 & & & \\ & 1 & \theta_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & \theta_d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \theta^*_0 & \varphi_1 & & & \\ & \theta^*_1 & \varphi_2 & & \\ & & \theta^*_2 & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \varphi_d \\ & & & & \theta^*_d \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

Alternatively, the following two matrices define an isomorphic Leonard pair on V:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_d & & & & \\ 1 & \theta_{d-1} & & & \\ & 1 & \theta_{d-2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & \theta_0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \theta^*_0 & \phi_1 & & & \\ & \theta^*_1 & \phi_2 & & \\ & & \theta^*_2 & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \phi_d \\ & & & & \theta^*_d \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

Conversely, if (A, A^*) is a Leonard pair on V, there exists [Ter04, Section 21] a basis for V with respect to which the matrices for A, A^* have the bidiagonal forms in (5), respectively. There exists other basis for V with respect to which the matrices for A, A^* have the bidiagonal forms in (6), respectively, with the same scalars $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d; \theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d$. Then the following 4 sequences are parameter arrays of diameter d:

$$(\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d;\ \theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d;\ \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_d;\ \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d), \tag{7}$$
$$(\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d;\ \theta^*_d, \ldots, \theta^*_1, \theta^*_0;\ \phi_d, \ldots, \phi_1;\ \varphi_d, \ldots, \varphi_1), \tag{8}$$
$$(\theta_d, \ldots, \theta_1, \theta_0;\ \theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d;\ \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d;\ \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_d), \tag{9}$$
$$(\theta_d, \ldots, \theta_1, \theta_0;\ \theta^*_d, \ldots, \theta^*_1, \theta^*_0;\ \varphi_d, \ldots, \varphi_1;\ \phi_d, \ldots, \phi_1). \tag{10}$$

Up to isomorphism of Leonard pairs, each of these parameter arrays gives back (A, A^*) by the construction above. There are no other parameter arrays with this property, hence we associate precisely the parameter arrays in (7)–(10) to (A, A^*). Obviously, $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ and $\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d$ are the eigenvalues of A and A^*, respectively.

We call the parameter arrays in (7)–(10) *relatives* of each other. They are connected by permutations, which form the group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Note that the group action is without fixed points, since the eigenvalues θ_i's (or θ_i^*'s) are distinct. Let $\downarrow$, $\Downarrow$ and $\downarrow\Downarrow$ denote the permutations which transform (7) into (8), (9) and (10) respectively. To be consistent with [Ter04, Section 4], we nominate the 4 parameter arrays associated to the Leonard pair (A^*, A) as relatives of (7)–(10) as well.

Parameter arrays are classified in [Ter04, Section 35] and in [Ter02b]. For each parameter array, certain orthogonal polynomials naturally occur in entries of the transformation matrix between two bases characterized in Definition 1.1 for the corresponding Leonard pair. Terwilliger's classification largely mimics the terminating branch of orthogonal polynomials in the Askey-Wilson scheme [KS94]. Specifically, the classification comprises Racah, Hahn, Krawtchouk polynomials and their q-versions, plus Bannai-Ito and orphan polynomials. Classes of parameter arrays can be identified by the type of corresponding orthogonal polynomials; we refer to them as *Askey-Wilson types*. The type of a parameter array is unambiguously defined if $d \geq 3$. We recapitulate Terwilliger's classification in Sections 5 through 7 by giving general normalized parameter arrays of each type.

By inspecting Terwilliger's general parameter arrays [Ter04, Section 35], one can observe that the relation operators $\downarrow$, $\Downarrow$, $\downarrow\Downarrow$ do not change the Askey-Wilson type of a parameter array (but only the free parameters such as q, h, h^*, s there), except that the $\Downarrow$ and $\downarrow\Downarrow$ relations mix up the quantum q-Krawtchouk and affine q-Krawtchouk types. Consequently, given a Leonard pair, all 4 associated parameter arrays have the same type, except when parameter arrays of the quantum q-Krawtchouk or affine q-Krawtchouk type occur. Therefore we can use the same classifying terminology for Leonard pairs, except that we have to merge the quantum q-Krawtchouk and affine q-Krawtchouk types.

3 Parameter arrays and AW relations

Let us consider a parameter array as in (7). Suppose that the corresponding Leonard pair satisfies Askey-Wilson relations $AW(\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*)$. Note that the Askey-Wilson relations are invariant under isomorphism of Leonard pairs. Expressions for the 8 Askey-Wilson coefficients in terms of parameter arrays are presented in [TV04, Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 5.3]. Here are the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta + 1 &= \frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i} = \frac{\theta^*_{i-2} - \theta^*_{i+1}}{\theta^*_{i-1} - \theta^*_i}, && (11)\\
\gamma &= \theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1}, && (12)\\
\gamma^* &= \theta^*_{i-1} - \beta\theta^*_i + \theta^*_{i+1}, && (13)\\
\varrho &= \theta_i^2 - \beta\,\theta_i\,\theta_{i-1} + \theta_{i-1}^2 - \gamma\,(\theta_i + \theta_{i-1}), && (14)\\
\varrho^* &= \theta_i^{*\,2} - \beta\theta^*_i\theta^*_{i-1} + \theta^{*2}_{i-1} - \gamma^*(\theta^*_i + \theta^*_{i-1}), && (15)\\
\omega &= a_i\,(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i+1}) + a_{i-1}\,(\theta^*_{i-1} - \theta^*_{i-2}) - \gamma\,(\theta^*_i + \theta^*_{i-1}) && (16)\\
&= a^*_i\,(\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}) + a^*_{i-1}\,(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_{i-2}) - \gamma^*\,(\theta_i + \theta_{i-1}), && (17)\\
\eta &= a^*_i\,(\theta_i - \theta_{i-1})\,(\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}) - \gamma^*\,\theta_i^2 - \omega\,\theta_i, && (18)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\eta^* \;=\; a_i\,(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i-1})\,(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i+1}) - \gamma\,\theta^{*2}_i - \omega\,\theta^*_i. \tag{19}$$

The expressions for $\beta+1$ and ω are valid for $2 \le i \le d-1$, the expressions for ϱ, ϱ^* are valid for $1 \le i \le d$, and the expressions for $\gamma, \gamma^*, \eta, \eta^*$ are valid for $1 \le i \le d-1$. The numbers a_i, a^*_i are defined (in the notation of previous Section) as

$$a_i = \text{trace } E^*_i A, \qquad a^*_i = \text{trace } E_i\,A^*, \qquad \text{for} \quad 0 \le i \le d.$$

These numbers are the diagonal entries in the tridiagonal forms of A, A^* of Definition 1.1. In terms of parameter arrays, we have [Ter02a, Section 10]:

$$\begin{aligned} a_i \;&=\; \theta_i + \frac{\varphi_i}{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i-1}} + \frac{\varphi_{i+1}}{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i+1}} && (20)\\ &=\; \theta_{d-i} + \frac{\phi_i}{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i-1}} + \frac{\phi_{i+1}}{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i+1}}, && (21)\\ a^*_i \;&=\; \theta^*_i + \frac{\varphi_i}{\theta_i - \theta_{i-1}} + \frac{\varphi_{i+1}}{\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}} && (22)\\ &=\; \theta^*_{d-i} + \frac{\phi_{d-i+1}}{\theta_i - \theta_{i-1}} + \frac{\phi_{d-i}}{\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}}. && (23) \end{aligned}$$

Here for $i \in \{0, d\}$ we should take

$$\varphi_0 = 0, \quad \varphi_{d+1} = 0, \quad \phi_0 = 0, \quad \phi_{d+1} = 0. \tag{24}$$

The numbers $\theta_{-1}, \theta_{d+1}, \theta^*_{-1}, \theta_{d+1}$ can be left undetermined. Surely, the Askey-Wilson coefficients are invariant under the action of $\downarrow$, $\Downarrow$, $\downarrow\Downarrow$ on parameter arrays.

As stated in Theorem 1.3, the coefficient sequence $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ is unique if $d \ge 3$. If $d = 2$, we can take β freely and other coefficients get determined uniquely. If $d = 1$, we can take the 3 coefficients β, γ, γ^* freely. If $d = 0$, we can take the 6 coefficients $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega$ freely.

4 Normalized Askey-Wilson relations

Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Suppose that it satisfies the Askey-Wilson relations $AW(\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*)$. It can be computed that Leonard pair (3) then satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &AW\big(\beta,\; \gamma\,t + (2-\beta)\,c,\; \gamma^* t^* + (2-\beta)\,c^*,\; \varrho\,t^2 - 2\gamma\,c\,t + (\beta-2)\,c^2,\\ &\quad \varrho^* t^{*2} - 2\gamma^* c^* t^* + (\beta-2)\,c^{*2},\; \omega\,t\,t^* - 2\gamma\,c^* t - 2\gamma^* c\,t^* + 2(\beta-2)\,c\,c^*,\\ &\quad \eta\,t^2 t^* - \varrho\,c^* t^2 - \omega\,c\,t\,t^* + \gamma^* c^2 t^* + 2\gamma^* c\,c^* t + (2-\beta)\,c^2 c^*,\\ &\quad \eta^* t\,t^{*2} - \varrho^* c\,t^{*2} - \omega\,c^* t\,t^* + \gamma\,c^{*2} t + 2\gamma\,c\,c^* t^* + (2-\beta)\,c\,c^{*2}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Note that β stays invariant. The affine transformations

$$(A, A^*) \mapsto (tA + c,\; t^*A^* + c^*), \qquad \text{with } c, c^*, t, t^* \in \mathbb{K},\; t, t^* \ne 0, \tag{26}$$

can be used to normalize Leonard pairs so that their Askey-Wilson relations would have a simple form. We refer to a transformations of the form $(A, A^*) \mapsto (A+c, A^*+c^*)$ as an *affine translation*, and to a transformation of the form $(A, A^*) \mapsto (tA,\, t^*A^*)$ as an *affine scaling*. Generally, we can use an affine translation to set some two Askey-Wilson coefficients to zero, and then use an affine scaling to normalize some two non-zero coefficients. Specifically, by affine translations we can achieve the following.

Lemma 4.1 *The Askey-Wilson relations $AW(\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*)$ can be normalized as follows:*

1. *If $\beta \neq 2$, we can set $\gamma = 0$, $\gamma^* = 0$.*
2. *If $\beta = 2$, $\gamma \neq 0$, $\gamma^* \neq 0$, we can set $\varrho = 0$, $\varrho^* = 0$.*
3. *If $\beta = 2$, $\gamma = 0$, $\gamma^* \neq 0$, we can set $\varrho^* = 0$, $\omega = 0$.*
4. *If $\beta = 2$, $\gamma^* = 0$, $\gamma \neq 0$, we can set $\varrho = 0$, $\omega = 0$.*
5. *If $\beta = 2$, $\gamma = 0$, $\gamma^* = 0$, $\omega^2 \neq \varrho\varrho^*$, we can set $\eta = 0$, $\eta^* = 0$.*
6. *If $\beta = 2$, $\gamma = 0$, $\gamma^* = 0$, $\mathrm{rk}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \omega & \varrho & \eta \\ \varrho^* & \omega & \eta^* \end{smallmatrix}\right) \leq 1$, we can set $\eta = 0$, $\eta^* = 0$.*
7. *Otherwise, we have*

$$\beta = 2, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \gamma^* = 0, \quad \omega^2 = \varrho\varrho^*, \quad \mathrm{rk}\begin{pmatrix} \omega & \varrho & \eta \\ \varrho^* & \omega & \eta^* \end{pmatrix} = 2.$$

   Then can set either $\eta = 0$ or $\eta^* = 0$, but not both.

In the first 5 cases, there is a unique affine translation to make the normalization. In the last 2 cases, there are infinitely many normalizations by affine translations.

Proof. The first 4 cases are straightforward, including the uniqueness statement. If $\beta = 2$, $\gamma = 0$, $\gamma^* = 0$, the new Askey-Wilson relations (25) are

$$AW\left(2,\, 0,\, 0,\, \varrho\, t^2,\, \varrho^* t^{*2},\, \omega\, tt^*,\, \left(\eta - \omega\, a - \varrho\, a^*\right) t^2 t^*,\, \left(\eta^* - \varrho^* a - \omega\, a^*\right) t\, t^{*2}\right),$$

where $a = c/t$ and $a^* = c^*/t^*$. To set the last two parameters to zero, we have to solve two linear equations in a, a^*. If we have $\det\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \omega & \varrho \\ \varrho^* & \omega \end{smallmatrix}\right) \neq 0$, the solution is unique. Otherwise we have either infinitely many or none solutions, which leads us to the last two cases. □

As it turns out, cases 6 and 7 of Lemma 4.1 do not occur for Askey-Wilson relations satisfied by Leonard pairs if $d \geq 3$. See part 3 of Theorem 8.1 below.

In Section 5, we normalize the general q-parameter arrays in Terwilliger's classification [Ter04, Section 35] with most handy changes in the explicit expressions. We use the following simplest action of (26) on parameter arrays, consistent with the transformation of Leonard pairs:

$$\theta_i \mapsto t\,\theta_i + c, \qquad \theta^*_i \mapsto t^*\theta^*_i + c^*, \qquad \varphi_i \mapsto t\,t^*\varphi_i, \qquad \phi_i \mapsto t\,t^*\phi_i. \tag{27}$$

It turns out that the corresponding Askey-Wilson relations follow the specification of part 1 of Lemma 4.1 immediately.

Suppose that we normalized a pair of Askey-Wilson relations to satisfy implications of Lema 4.1, and suppose that cases 6 and 7 do not apply. Then the only affine transformations which preserve two specified zero coefficients are affine scalings. One can use affine scalings to normalize some two non-zero coefficients to convenient values. Sections 6 and 7 present such normalized parameter arrays that in their Askey-Wilson relations two non-zero coefficients are basically constants. (More precisely, in the q-cases they depend on q, or equivalently, on β.) The scaling normalization is explained more thoroughly in Section 8.

5 Normalized q-parameter arrays

Here we present the most straightforward normalizations of the general parameter arrays in [Ter04, Section 35] with the q-parameter. Lemma 5.2 below gives the Askey-Wilson relations for the corresponding Leonard pairs. The Askey-Wilson relations turn out to be normalized according to part 1 of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 5.1 *The parameter arrays in* [Ter04, Examples 35.2–35.8] *can be normalized by affine transformations* (27) *to the following forms:*

- *The q-Racah case:* $\theta_i = q^{-i} + s\,q^{i+1}, \quad \theta^*_i = q^{-i} + s^* q^{i+1}.$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= q^{1-2i}\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right)\left(1-r\,q^i\right)\left(r-s\,s^*q^{d+1+i}\right)/r,\\ \phi_i &= q^{1-2i}\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right)\left(r-s^*q^i\right)\left(s\,q^{d+1}-r\,q^i\right)/r.\end{aligned}$$

- *The q-Hahn case:* $\theta_i = q^{-i}, \quad \theta^*_i = q^{-i} + s^* q^{i+1},$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= q^{1-2i}\,\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right)\left(1-r\,q^i\right),\\ \phi_i &= -q^{1-i}\,\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right)\left(r-s^*q^i\right).\end{aligned}$$

- *The dual q-Hahn case:* $\theta_i = q^{-i} + s\,q^{i+1}, \quad \theta^*_i = q^{-i},$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= q^{1-2i}\,\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right)\left(1-r\,q^i\right),\\ \phi_i &= q^{d+2-2i}\,\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right)\left(s-r\,q^{i-d-1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

- *The q-Krawtchouk case:* $\theta_i = q^{-i}, \quad \theta^*_i = q^{-i} + s^* q^{i+1},$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= q^{1-2i}\,\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right),\\ \phi_i &= s^*q\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

- *The dual q-Krawtchouk case:* $\theta_i = q^{-i} + s\,q^{i+1}, \quad \theta^*_i = q^{-i},$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= q^{1-2i}\,\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right),\\ \phi_i &= s\,q^{d+2-2i}\,\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

- *The quantum q-Krawtchouk case:* $\theta_i = q^{i+1},\ \theta^*_i = q^{-i}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= -r\,q^{1-i}\,\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right),\\ \phi_i &= q^{d+2-2i}\,\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right)\left(1-r\,q^{i-d-1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

- *The affine q-Krawtchouk case:* $\theta_i = q^{-i},\ \theta^*_i = q^{-i}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= q^{1-2i}\,\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right)\left(1-r\,q^i\right),\\ \phi_i &= -r\,q^{1-i}\,\left(1-q^i\right)\left(1-q^{i-d-1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

In each case, q, s, s^*, r are non-zero scalar parameters such that $\theta_i \neq \theta_j$, $\theta^*_i \neq \theta_j$ for $0 \le i < j \le d$, and $\varphi_i \neq 0$, $\phi_i \neq 0$ for $1 \le i \le d$.

Proof. By affine translations, we adjust Terwilliger's parameters θ_0, θ^*_0 so that we have only summands depending on i in the expanded expressions for θ_i, θ^*_i in [Ter04, Examples 35.2–35.8]. By affine scalings, we set Terwilliger's parameters h, h^* to the value 1. In the quantum q-Krawtchouk case [Ter04, Example 35.5] there is no parameter h, so we set $s = 1$. Other parameters remain unchanged, except that in the q-Racah case we rename r_1 to r and set $r_2 = s\,s^* q^{d+1}/r$. □

Lemma 5.2 *Let q, s, s^*, r denote the same scalar parameters as in the previous Lemma. We use the following notations:*

$$S = s\,q^{d+1} + 1, \qquad S^* = s^* q^{d+1} + 1, \qquad R = r + \frac{s\,s^*\,q^{d+1}}{r}, \tag{28}$$

$$Q = q^{d+1} + 1, \qquad K = -\frac{(q^2-1)^2}{q}, \quad K^* = \frac{(q-1)^2}{q^{d+1}}. \tag{29}$$

The Askey-Wilson relations for the parameter arrays of Lemma 5.1 are:

- *For the q-Racah case:*

$$\begin{aligned}AW\big(&q+q^{-1},\,0,\,0,\,s\,K,\,s^*K,\,-K^*(S\,S^* + R\,Q)\,,\\ &(q+1)K^*(S\,R + s\,S^*Q)\,,\,(q+1)K^*(S^*R + s^*S\,Q)\big).\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

- *For the q-Hahn case:*

$$\begin{aligned}AW\big(&q+q^{-1},\,0,\,0,\,0,\,s^*K,\,-K^*(S^* + r\,Q)\,,\\ &(q+1)K^*r,\,(q+1)K^*(S^*r + s^*Q)\big).\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

- *For the dual q-Hahn case:*

$$\begin{aligned}AW\big(&q+q^{-1},\,0,\,0,\,s\,K,\,0,\,-K^*(S + r\,Q)\,,\\ &(q{+}1)K^*(S\,r + s\,Q)\,,\,(q{+}1)K^*r\big).\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

- *For the q-Krawtchouk case:*

$$AW\big(q+q^{-1},\,0,\,0,\,0,\,s^*K,\,-K^*S^*,\,0,\,(q{+}1)K^*s^*Q\big). \tag{33}$$

- *For the dual q-Krawtchouk case:*

$$AW\big(q+q^{-1},\,0,\,0,\,s\,K,\,0,\,-K^*S,\,(q{+}1)K^*s\,Q,\,0\big). \tag{34}$$

- *For the quantum q-Krawtchouk case:*

$$AW\big(q+q^{-1},\,0,\,0,\,0,\,0,\,-K^*\big(q^{d+1}+r\,Q\big)\,,\,(q{+}1)(q{-}1)^2r,\,(q{+}1)K^*r\big). \tag{35}$$

- *For the affine q-Krawtchouk case:*

$$AW\big(q+q^{-1},\,0,\,0,\,0,\,0,\,-K^*(1+r\,Q)\,,\,(q{+}1)K^*r,\,(q{+}1)K^*r\big). \tag{36}$$

Proof. Direct computations with formulas (11)–(22). □

6 Alternative normalized q-arrays

Here we present alternative normalizations of the general parameter arrays in [Ter04, Section 35] with the general q-parameter. The parameters are rescaled, and the free parameters q, s, s^*, r are different. In particular, the q of the previous Section is replaced by q^2. The normalization for the q-Racah case is proposed in [RT].

The corresponding Askey-Wilson relations are normalized according to part 1 of Lemma 4.1, and two non-zero values are q-constants. Other advantages are: these normalized parameter arrays are more symmetric, and the set of these parameter arrays is preserved by the $\downarrow$, $\Downarrow$, $\downarrow\Downarrow$ operations (see Section 9).

Lemma 6.1 *The parameter arrays in* [Ter04, Examples 35.2–35.8] *can be normalized by affine transformations* (27) *to the following forms:*

- *The q-Racah case:* $\theta_i = s\,q^{d-2i}+\dfrac{q^{2i-d}}{s}$, $\quad \theta^*_i = s^*q^{d-2i}+\dfrac{q^{2i-d}}{s^*}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_i &= \frac{q^{2d+2-4i}}{s\,s^*r}\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right)\left(s\,s^*-r\,q^{2i-d-1}\right)\left(s\,s^*r-q^{2i-d-1}\right),\\
\phi_i &= \frac{q^{2d+2-4i}}{s\,s^*r}\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right)\left(s^*r-s\,q^{2i-d-1}\right)\left(s^*-s\,r\,q^{2i-d-1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

- *The q-Hahn case:* $\theta_i = r\,q^{d-2i}$, $\quad \theta^*_i = s^*q^{d-2i}+\dfrac{q^{2i-d}}{s^*}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_i &= \frac{q^{2d+2-4i}}{r}\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right)\left(s^*r^2-q^{2i-d-1}\right),\\
\phi_i &= -\frac{q^{d+1-2i}}{r\,s^*}\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right)\left(s^*-r^2q^{2i-d-1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

- *The dual q-Hahn case:* $\theta_i = s\,q^{d-2i} + \dfrac{q^{2i-d}}{s}, \quad \theta^*_i = r\,q^{d-2i},$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_i &= \frac{q^{2d+2-4i}}{r}\,\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right)\left(s\,r^2-q^{2i-d-1}\right),\\
\phi_i &= \frac{q^{2d+2-4i}}{r\,s}\,\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right)\left(r^2-s\,q^{2i-d-1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

- *The q-Krawtchouk:* $\theta_i = q^{d-2i}, \quad \theta^*_i = s^*q^{d-2i} + \dfrac{q^{2i-d}}{s^*},$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_i &= s^*\,q^{2d+2-4i}\,\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right),\\
\phi_i &= \frac{1}{s^*}\,\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

- *The dual q-Krawtchouk:* $\theta_i = s\,q^{d-2i} + \dfrac{q^{2i-d}}{s}, \quad \theta^*_i = q^{d-2i},$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_i &= s\,q^{2d+2-4i}\,\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right),\\
\phi_i &= \frac{q^{2d+2-4i}}{s}\,\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

- *The quantum q-Krawtchouk:* $\theta_i = r\,q^{2i-d},\ \theta^*_i = r\,q^{d-2i},$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_i &= -\frac{q^{d+1-2i}}{r}\,\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right),\\
\phi_i &= \frac{q^{2d+2-4i}}{r}\,\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right)\left(r^3-q^{2i-d-1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

- *The affine q-Krawtchouk:* $\theta_i = r\,q^{d-2i},\ \theta^*_i = r\,q^{d-2i},$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_i &= \frac{q^{2d+2-4i}}{r}\,\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right)\left(r^3-q^{2i-d-1}\right),\\
\phi_i &= -\frac{q^{d+1-2i}}{r}\,\left(1-q^{2i}\right)\left(1-q^{2i-2d-2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In each case, q, s, s^*, r are non-zero scalar parameters such that $\theta_i \neq \theta_j$, $\theta^*_i \neq \theta_j$ for $0 \le i < j \le d$, and $\varphi_i \neq 0$, $\phi_i \neq 0$ for $1 \le i \le d$.

Proof. In every case of Lemma 5.1, we substitute

$$q \mapsto q^2, \qquad s \mapsto \frac{1}{s^2\,q^{2d+2}}, \qquad s^* \mapsto \frac{1}{s^{*2}q^{2d+2}}.$$

Besides, in the q-Racah, q-Hahn, dual q-Hahn, quantum q-Krawtchouk and affine q-Krawtchouk cases we substitute r by, respectively,

$$\frac{r}{s\,s^*q^{d+1}}, \quad \frac{1}{s^*r^2q^{d+1}}, \quad \frac{1}{s\,r^2q^{d+1}}, \quad \frac{q^{d+1}}{r^3}, \quad \frac{1}{r^3q^{d+1}}.$$

After that, we apply affine scaling. We use formula (27) with $c = 0, c^* = 0$ and (t, t^*) equal to, respectively in the listed order,

$$(s\,q^d, s^* q^d), \quad (r\,q^d, s^* q^d), \quad (s\,q^d, r\,q^d), \quad (q^d, s^* q^d), \quad (s\,q^d, q^d),$$
$$(r\,q^{-d-2}, r\,q^d), \quad (r\,q^d, r\,q^d).$$

□

Lemma 6.2 *As in the previous Lemma, let q, s, s^*, r denote non-zero scalar parameters. We use the following notations:*

$$Q_j = q^j + q^{-j}, \qquad Q_j^* = q^j - q^{-j}, \quad \textit{for } j = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{37}$$
$$S = s + \frac{1}{s}, \qquad S^* = s^* + \frac{1}{s^*}, \qquad R = r + \frac{1}{r}. \tag{38}$$

The Askey-Wilson relations for the parameter arrays of Lemma 6.1 *are:*

- *For the q-Racah case:*

$$AW\big(Q_2,\, 0,\, 0,\, -Q_2^{*2},\, -Q_2^{*2},\, -Q_1^{*2}(S\,S^* + Q_{d+1}R)\,,$$
$$Q_1 Q_1^{*2}(S\,R + Q_{d+1}S^*)\,,\, Q_1 Q_1^{*2}(S^* R + Q_{d+1}S)\,\big). \tag{39}$$

- *For the q-Hahn case:*

$$AW\big(Q_2,\, 0,\, 0,\, 0,\, -Q_2^{*2},\, -Q_1^{*2}\big(S^* r + Q_{d+1}r^{-1}\big)\,,$$
$$Q_1 Q_1^{*2},\, Q_1 Q_1^{*2}\big(S^* r^{-1} + Q_{d+1}r\big)\,\big). \tag{40}$$

- *For the dual q-Hahn case:*

$$AW\big(Q_2,\, 0,\, 0,\, -Q_2^{*2},\, 0,\, -Q_1^{*2}\big(S\,r + Q_{d+1}r^{-1}\big)\,,$$
$$Q_1 Q_1^{*2}\big(S\,r^{-1} + Q_{d+1}r\big)\,,\, Q_1 Q_1^{*2}\big). \tag{41}$$

- *For the q-Krawtchouk case:*

$$AW\big(Q_2,\, 0,\, 0,\, 0,\, -Q_2^{*2},\, -Q_1^{*2}S^*,\, 0,\, Q_1 Q_1^{*2} Q_{d+1}\big). \tag{42}$$

- *For the dual q-Krawtchouk case:*

$$AW\big(Q_2,\, 0,\, 0,\, -Q_2^{*2},\, 0,\, -Q_1^{*2}S,\, Q_1 Q_1^{*2} Q_{d+1},\, 0\big). \tag{43}$$

- *For the quantum q-Krawtchouk and affine q-Krawtchouk cases:*

$$AW\big(Q_2,\, 0,\, 0,\, 0,\, 0,\, -Q_1^{*2}\big(r^2 + Q_{d+1}r^{-1}\big)\,,\, Q_1 Q_1^{*2},\, Q_1 Q_1^{*2}\big). \tag{44}$$

Proof. Transform the Askey-Wilson relations in Lemma 5.2 with the same substitutions and affine scalings as in the previous proof. Note that in the notation of this Lemma, the expressions S, S^*, R, Q, K, K^* of Lemma 5.2 should be replaced by, respectively, S/s, S^*/s^*, $R/q^{d+1}s\,s^*$, $q^{d+1}Q_{d+1}$, $-q^2 Q_2^{*2}$ and $q^{-2d}Q_1^{*2}$. □

7 Other parameter arrays

Here we present normalizations of the remaining general parameter arrays in [Ter04, Section 35]. The corresponding Askey-Wilson relations are normalized according to Lemma 4.1, and two non-zero values are constants. Since we generally assume that char $\mathbb{K} \neq 2$, the orphan case is missing in Lemmas. It is briefly discussed in Remark 7.3.

Lemma 7.1 *The parameter arrays in* [Ter04, Examples 35.9–35.13] *can be normalized by affine transformations* (27) *to the following forms:*

- *The Racah case:* $\theta_i = (i+u)(i+u+1)$, $\theta^*_i = (i+u^*)(i+u^*+1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= i\,(i-d-1)\,(i+u+u^*+v)\,(i+u+u^*+d+1-v),\\ \phi_i &= i\,(i-d-1)\,(i-u+u^*-v)\,(i-u+u^*-d-1+v).\end{aligned}$$

- *The Hahn case:* $\theta_i = i+v-\frac{d}{2}$, $\theta^*_i = (i+u^*)(i+u^*+1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= i\,(i-d-1)\,(i+u^*+2v),\\ \phi_i &= -i\,(i-d-1)\,(i+u^*-2v).\end{aligned}$$

- *The dual Hahn case:* $\theta_i = (i+u)(i+u+1)$, $\theta^*_i = i+v-\frac{d}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= i\,(i-d-1)\,(i+u+2v),\\ \phi_i &= i\,(i-d-1)\,(i-u+2v-d-1).\end{aligned}$$

- *The Krawtchouk case:* $\theta_i = i-\frac{d}{2}$, $\theta^*_i = i-\frac{d}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i &= v\,i\,(i-d-1),\\ \phi_i &= (v-1)\,i\,(i-d-1).\end{aligned}$$

- *The Bannai-Ito case:* $\theta_i = (-1)^i\left(i+u-\frac{d}{2}\right)$, $\theta^*_i = (-1)^i\left(i+u^*-\frac{d}{2}\right)$,

$$\varphi_i = \begin{cases} -i\left(i+u+u^*+v-\frac{d+1}{2}\right), & \textit{for } i \textit{ even, } d \textit{ even.}\\ -(i-d-1)\left(i+u+u^*-v-\frac{d+1}{2}\right), & \textit{for } i \textit{ odd, } d \textit{ even.}\\ -i\,(i-d-1), & \textit{for } i \textit{ even, } d \textit{ odd.}\\ v^2-\left(i+u+u^*-\frac{d+1}{2}\right)^2, & \textit{for } i \textit{ odd, } d \textit{ odd.}\end{cases}$$

$$\phi_i = \begin{cases} i\left(i-u+u^*-v-\frac{d+1}{2}\right), & \textit{for } i \textit{ even, } d \textit{ even.}\\ (i-d-1)\left(i-u+u^*+v-\frac{d+1}{2}\right), & \textit{for } i \textit{ odd, } d \textit{ even.}\\ -i\,(i-d-1), & \textit{for } i \textit{ even, } d \textit{ odd.}\\ v^2-\left(i-u+u^*-\frac{d+1}{2}\right)^2, & \textit{for } i \textit{ odd, } d \textit{ odd.}\end{cases}$$

In each case, u, u^*, v are scalar parameters such that $\theta_i \neq \theta_j$, $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_j$ for $0 \le i < j \le d$, and $\varphi_i \neq 0$, $\phi_i \neq 0$ for $1 \le i \le d$.

Proof. Like in the proof of Lemma 5.1, we adjust Terwilliger's parameters θ_0, θ_0^* by an affine translation, and then adjust other two parameters by some scaling. We also make linear substitutions for the remaining parameters. In the Racah case, we substitute

$$s \mapsto 2u, \quad s^* \mapsto 2u^*, \quad r_1 \mapsto u + u^* + v, \quad \text{so that} \quad r_2 = u + u^* + d + 1 - v.$$

Then we adjust $\theta_0 = u^2 + u$, $\theta_0^* = u^{*2} + u^*$, $h = 1$, $h^* = 1$. In the Hahn case, we substitute $s^* \mapsto 2u^*$, $r \mapsto u^* + 2v$ and adjust $\theta_0 = v - \frac{d}{2}$, $\theta_0^* = u^{*2} + u^*$, $h^* = 1$, $s = 1$. In the dual Hahn case, we substitute $s \mapsto 2u$, $r \mapsto u + 2v$ and adjust $\theta_0 = u^2 + u$, $\theta_0^* = v - \frac{d}{2}$, $h = 1$, $s = 1$. In the Krawtchouk case, we substitute $r \mapsto v$ and adjust $\theta_0 = -\frac{d}{2}$, $\theta_0^* = -\frac{d}{2}$, $s = 1$, $s^* = 1$. In the Bannai-Ito case, we substitute

$$s \mapsto d + 1 - 2u, \quad s^* \mapsto d + 1 - 2u^*, \quad r_1 \mapsto u + u^* + v - \tfrac{d+1}{2},$$

so that $r_2 \mapsto u + u^* - v - \frac{d+1}{2}$, and adjust $\theta_0 = u - \frac{d}{2}$, $\theta_0^* = u^* - \frac{d}{2}$, $h = \frac{1}{2}$, $h^* = \frac{1}{2}$. □

Lemma 7.2 *Let u, u^*, v denote the same scalar parameters as in the previous Lemma. The Askey-Wilson relations for the parameter arrays of Lemma 7.1 are:*

- *For the Racah case:*

$$\begin{aligned} AW\big(&2,\, 2,\, 2,\, 0,\, 0, -2u^2 - 2u^{*2} - 2v^2 - 2(d{+}1)(u + u^* + v) - 2d^2 - 4d,\\ &2\,u\,(u + d + 1)\,(v - u^*)\,(v + u^* + d + 1)\,,\\ &2\,u^*(u^* + d + 1)\,(v - u)\,(v + u + d + 1)\,\big). \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

- *For the Hahn case:*

$$AW\big(2,\, 0,\, 2,\, 1,\, 0,\, 0,\, -(u^* + 1)(u^* + d) - 2v^2 - \tfrac{d^2}{2},\, -4u^*(u^* + d + 1)v\big). \tag{46}$$

- *For the dual Hahn case:*

$$AW\big(2,\, 2,\, 0,\, 0,\, 1,\, 0,\;\, -4u(u + d + 1)\,v,\, -(u + 1)(u + d) - 2v^2 - \tfrac{d^2}{2}\big). \tag{47}$$

- *For the Krawtchouk case:*

$$AW\big(2,\, 0,\, 0,\, 1,\, 1,\, 2v - 1,\, 0,\, 0\big). \tag{48}$$

- *For the Bannai-Ito case, if d is even:*

$$AW\big(-2,\, 0,\, 0,\, 1,\, 1,\, 4uu^* - 2(d{+}1)\,v,\, 2uv - (d{+}1)\,u^*,\, 2u^*v - (d{+}1)\,u\big). \tag{49}$$

- *For the Bannai-Ito case, if d is odd:*

$$\begin{aligned} AW\big(&-2,\, 0,\, 0,\, 1,\, 1,\, -2u^2 - 2u^{*2} + 2v^2 + \tfrac{(d+1)^2}{2},\\ &-u^2 + u^{*2} - v^2 + \tfrac{(d+1)^2}{4},\, u^2 - u^{*2} - v^2 + \tfrac{(d+1)^2}{4}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Proof. Direct computations with formulas (11)–(22). □

Remark 7.3 The orphan case (with char $\mathbb{K} = 2$ and $d = 3$) can be normalized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) &= (0,\, s+1,\, 1,\, s)\,, \\
(\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \theta_2^*, \theta_3^*) &= (0,\, s^*+1,\, 1,\, s^*)\,, \\
(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) &= (r,\, 1,\, r+s+s^*)\,, \\
(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) &= (r+s+s\,s^*,\, 1,\, r+s^*+s\,s^*)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Here adjusted $\theta_0 = 0$, $\theta_0^* = 0$, $h = 1$, $h^* = 1$ in [Ter04, Example 35.14]. The Askey-Wilson relations are

$$AW(0,\, 1,\, 1,\, s^2+s,\, s^{*2}+s^*,\, s\,s^*,\, r\,s,\, r\,s^*). \tag{51}$$

It can be renormalized to $\eta = 0$, $\eta^* = 0$ by affine translations (in 4 ways, generally). The normalized the coefficients η, η^*, ω in (51) are dependent on two free parameters, so there is a relation between them. Here is the relation, in the form invariant under affine rescaling:

$$\left(\omega^2 - \varrho\varrho^*\right)^2 = \omega\,(\gamma\omega - \gamma^*\varrho)(\gamma^*\omega - \gamma\varrho^*). \tag{52}$$

Note that in the characteristic 2, the normalization of part 1 in Lemma 4.1 is not available, so our results are incomplete if char $\mathbb{K} = 2$.

8 Classification of AW relations

Askey-Wilson relations can be consistently classified by families of orthogonal polynomials in the same way as Leonard pairs. The classification is presented in the first two columns of Table 1. In each line, the underlined equalities can be achieved by using affine translations if the preceding conditions are satisfied. If $\beta \neq \pm 2$, by $\widehat{\varrho}$, $\widehat{\varrho}^*$, $\widehat{\omega}$, $\widehat{\eta}$, $\widehat{\eta}^*$ we denote the Askey-Wilson coefficients in a normalization specified by part 1 of Lemma 4.1.

The first part of the following theorem establishes the consistency of Askey-Wilson types for Leonard pairs and for Askey-Wilson relations.

Theorem 8.1 *Assume that $d \geq 3$. Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V, and let AW denote the Askey-Wilson relations satisfied by (A, A^*).*

1. *The Askey-Wilson relations AW have the same Askey-Wilson type as the Leonard pair (A, A^*).*
2. *If there is other Leonard pair on V that satisfies AW, it has the same Askey-Wilson type as (A, A^*).*

Askey-Wilson type	Askey-Wilson coefficients	with Leonard pairs
q-Racah	$\beta \neq \pm 2$, $\underline{\gamma = \gamma^* = 0}$, $\widehat{\varrho}\,\widehat{\varrho}^* \neq 0$	—
q-Hahn	$\beta \neq \pm 2$, $\underline{\gamma = \gamma^* = 0}$, $\widehat{\varrho} = 0$, $\widehat{\varrho}^*\widehat{\eta} \neq 0$	—
Dual q-Hahn	$\beta \neq \pm 2$, $\underline{\gamma = \gamma^* = 0}$, $\widehat{\varrho}^* = 0$, $\widehat{\varrho}\,\widehat{\eta}^* \neq 0$	—
q-Krawtchouk	$\beta \neq \pm 2$, $\underline{\gamma = \gamma^* = 0}$, $\widehat{\varrho} = \widehat{\eta} = 0$	$\widehat{\varrho}^*\widehat{\eta}^* \neq 0$
Dual q-Krawtchouk	$\beta \neq \pm 2$, $\underline{\gamma = \gamma^* = 0}$, $\widehat{\varrho}^* = \widehat{\eta}^* = 0$	$\widehat{\varrho}\,\widehat{\eta} \neq 0$
Quantum/affine q-Krawtchouk	$\beta \neq \pm 2$, $\underline{\gamma = \gamma^* = 0}$, $\widehat{\varrho} = \widehat{\varrho}^* = 0$	$\widehat{\eta}\,\widehat{\eta}^* \neq 0$
Racah	$\beta = 2$, $\gamma\,\gamma^* \neq 0$, $\underline{\varrho = \varrho^* = 0}$	—
Hahn	$\beta = 2$, $\gamma = 0$, $\gamma^* \neq 0$, $\underline{\varrho^* = 0}$	$\varrho \neq 0$, $\underline{\omega = 0}$
Dual Hahn	$\beta = 2$, $\gamma^* = 0$, $\gamma \neq 0$, $\underline{\varrho = 0}$	$\varrho^* \neq 0$, $\underline{\omega = 0}$
Krawtchouk	$\beta = 2$, $\gamma = \gamma^* = 0$	$\varrho\varrho^* \neq 0$, $\underline{\eta = \eta^* = 0}$
Bannai-Ito	$\beta = -2$, $\underline{\gamma = \gamma^* = 0}$	$\widehat{\varrho}\,\widehat{\varrho}^* \neq 0$

Table 1: Classification of Askey-Wilson relations

3. *There exist unique affine translation which normalizes AW according to the specifications of Lemma* 4.1.

4. *The Askey-Wilson relations AW satisfy all inequalities in the last two columns of Table* 1 *on the corresponding line. All underlined equalities can be achieved after an affine translation, and such an affine translation is unique. The indicated non-zero coefficients can be normalized to any chosen values by an affine scaling.*

Proof. For the first statement, check the results in Section 5 (or Section 6) and Section 7, and observe that the Askey-Wilson relations associated to any parameter array have the same Askey-Wilson type as the parameter array, with the exception of the ambiguity between the quantum q-Krawtchouk and affine q-Krawtchouk types.

The second statement is an immediate consequence.

For the third statement, we have to prove that cases 6 and 7 of Lemma 4.1 do not apply to AW. Assuming the contrary, AW would have the Krawtchouk type. In the corresponding normalized form of Lemma 7.2 we would have $v \in \{0, 1\}$. But then the Krawtchouk parameter array of Lemma 7.1 degenerates, since $\phi_i = 0$ or $\psi_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$. The third statement follows.

The inequalities of the last column of Table 1 can be checked by inspecting all Askey-Wilson relations in Lemmas 5.2, 6.2 and 7.2. Normalization by affine translations follows from the Lemma 4.1 and the previous part here. Normalization by affine scalings is clear. $\square$

Note that the normalization specified by Lemma 4.1 follows implications of part

4 of Theorem 8.1. By part 3 of Theorem 8.1, there is a unique affine translation to set two specified Askey-Wilson coefficients to zero. For each type of Leonard pairs, we get two Askey-Wilson coefficients which are certainly non-zero after the normalizing affine translation. These coefficients can be characterized as follows: they are the first non-zero (after the normalizing translation) coefficients in the two sequences

$$(\gamma,\ \varrho,\ \eta,\ \eta^*) \quad \text{and} \quad (\gamma^*, \varrho^*, \eta^*, \eta). \tag{53}$$

By affine scalings, the two coefficients can be normalized to any convenient values. In the Askey-Wilson relations of Lemmas 6.2 and 7.2, the normalized values depend only on β:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lambda, \lambda^*: & 2 \text{ (if } \beta = 2); \\ \varrho, \varrho^*: & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 4-\beta^2, & \text{if } \beta \neq \pm 2, \\ \quad 1, & \text{if } \beta = \pm 2; \end{array} \right. \\ \eta, \eta^*: & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{\beta+2}\,(\beta-2), & \text{if } \eta\eta^* \neq 0 \text{ or } \omega = 0, \\ \sqrt{\beta+2}\,(\beta-2)\,Q_{d+1}, & \text{if } \eta\eta^* = 0 \text{ and } \omega \neq 0. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \tag{54}$$

Q_{d+1} can be independently defined by the linear recurrence $Q_{n+2} = \beta\, Q_n - Q_{n-2}$ with the initial values $Q_{-1} = Q_1 = \sqrt{\beta+2}$, $Q_0 = 2$, $Q_2 = \beta$. One can take for $\sqrt{\beta+2}$ any of the two values of the square root. For Lemma 6.2, we should identify $\sqrt{\beta + 2}$ with $q + q^{-1}$.

The scaling normalization is not unique for individual Leonard pairs, in general. We can usually multiply A and A^* by some small roots of unity and keep the same values of the two non-zero coefficents. A list of these affine scalings is given by the first two columns of Table 2 below. The effect of changing the sign of $\sqrt{\beta+2}$ is multiplication of A or (and) A^* by -1; see Table 3 below.

9 Some conclusions

Our results in Sections 5 and 7 can be conveniently used to compute the Askey-Wilson relations for any Leonard pair on V. To do this, one may take a parameter array corresponding to the Leonard pair, find an affine transformation (26) which normalizes by (27) the parameter array to one of the forms of Lemma 5.1 or Lemma 7.1, pick up the corresponding normalized Askey-Wilson relations in Lemma 5.2 or Lemma 7.2, and apply the inverse affine transformation to them using formula (25). This procedure can be applied for any d, although for $d < 3$ the type of a representing parameter array is ambiguous and the Askey-Wilson relations are not unique.

For the rest of this Section, we refer to the results of Sections 6 and 7. We assume $d \le 3$ and adopt the following terminology. A pair of Askey-Wilson relations is called *normalized* if it satisfies the specifications of Lemma 4.1 and the description in the previous Section; see (53) and (54). A Leonard pair is *normalized* if it satisfies normalized

Askey-Wilson type	Affine scaling (t, t^*)	Converting normalized parameter array
q-Racah	$(-1, 1)$	$s \mapsto -s$, $r \mapsto -r$
	$(1, -1)$	$s^* \mapsto -s^*$, $r \mapsto -r$
q-Hahn	$(\sqrt{-1}, -1)$	$s^* \mapsto -s^*$, $r \mapsto \sqrt{-1}\, r$
Dual q-Hahn	$(-1, \sqrt{-1})$	$s \mapsto -s$, $r \mapsto \sqrt{-1}\, r$
q-Krawtchouk	$(1, -1)$	$s^* \mapsto -s^*$
Dual q-Krawtchouk	$(-1, 1)$	$s \mapsto -s$
Quantum and affine q-Krawtchouk	(ζ_3, ζ_3)	$r \mapsto \zeta_3\, r$
Racah	—	—
Hahn	$(-1, 1)$	$\Downarrow$ and $v \mapsto -v$
Dual Hahn	$(1, -1)$	$\downarrow$ and $v \mapsto -v$
Krawtchouk	$(-1, 1)$	$\Downarrow$
	$(1, -1)$	$\downarrow$
Bannai-Ito	$(-1, 1)$	If d even: $\Downarrow$ and $u \mapsto -u$, $v \mapsto -v$
	$(1, -1)$	If d even: $\downarrow$ and $u^* \mapsto -u^*$, $v \mapsto -v$

Table 2: Reparametrization of different normalizations

Askey-Wilson relations. A parameter array is *normalized* if it can be expressed in one of the forms of Lemma 6.1 or Lemma 7.1.

We consider the following questions:

Question 9.1 Given a Leonard pair, how unique is its normalization?

Question 9.2 Are normalized parameter arrays represented uniquely by the forms in Lemmas 6.2 and 7.2?

Question 9.3 Do the relation operators $\downarrow$, $\Downarrow$, $\downarrow\Downarrow$ preserve the set of normalized parameter arrays?

Question 9.4 Is every normalized Leonard pair representable by a normalized parameter array?

The first question is equivalent to the following: How unique is normalization of the Askey-Wilson relations for a Leonard pair? As explained in the previous Section, non-uniqueness occurs for two reasons:

- There exist affine scalings by small roots of unity that leave the Askey-Wilson relations invariant.

Askey-Wilson type	Change of sign of $\sqrt{\beta+2}$	Parameter array stays invariant
q-Racah	—	$r \mapsto 1/r$; also (55), (56)
q-Hahn	$q \mapsto -q$, $s^* \mapsto (-1)^{d+1}s^*$	—
Dual q-Hahn	$q \mapsto -q$, $s \mapsto (-1)^{d+1}s$	—
q-Krawtchouk	If d odd: $q \mapsto -q$, $s^* \mapsto -s^*$	If d even: $q \mapsto -q$
Dual q-Krawtchouk	If d odd: $q \mapsto -q$, $s \mapsto -s$	If d even: $q \mapsto -q$
Quantum and affine q-Krawtchouk	$q \mapsto -q$, $r \mapsto (-1)^{d+1}r$	—
Racah	—	$v \mapsto -v-d-1$
Bannai-Ito	—	If d odd: $v \mapsto -v$

Table 3: Alternative normalization and invariant reparametrizations

- There exists an alternative normalization of the two-nonzero Aske-Wilson coefficients, with the other sign of $\sqrt{\beta+2}$.

The affine scalings are listed in the first two columns of Table 2. By ζ_3 we denote a primitive root of unity. In the q-Racah, Krawtchouk and Bannai-Ito cases, two given scalings can be composed. In the q-Hahn, dual q-Hahn and quantum/affine q-Krawtchouk cases, there are non-trivial iterations of the given scalings. Normalizations are unique only in the Racah case. The third column of Table 2 gives a conversion of the parameter array for corresponding rescaled Leonard pairs. Apparently, in the Bannai-Ito case with odd d, parameter arrays for rescaled Leonard pairs cannot be reparametrized. (See part 2 of Lemma 9.5 below).

The change of sign of $\sqrt{\beta+2}$ effectively changes the sign of A or A^* (or both). This can happen in q-Hahn cases and q-Krawtchouk cases. Corresponding conversions of parameter arrays are given in the second column of Table 3.

Questions 9.2 and 9.3 determine how unique are representations of normalized Leonard pairs by normalized parameter arrays. Invariant reparametrization of parameter arrays do occur. They are given in the third column of Table 3. In the q-Racah case, we also have the following invariant transformations:

$$q \mapsto 1/q, \qquad s \mapsto 1/s, \qquad s^* \mapsto 1/s^*; \tag{55}$$

$$q \mapsto -q, \quad s \mapsto (-1)^d s, \quad s^* \mapsto (-1)^d s^*, \quad r \mapsto (-1)^{d+1} r. \tag{56}$$

The third question is thoroughly answered in Table 4. ("Switch" means interchanging the quantum q-Krawtchouk and affine q-Krawtchouk types of parameter arrays.) Of course, $\downarrow\Downarrow$ is the composition of $\downarrow$ and $\Downarrow$. As wee see, the relation operators preserve normalization of parameter arrays in all q-cases, in the Racah case, and in the Bannai-Ito case with odd d.

Askey-Wilson type	Conversion to $\Downarrow$	Conversion to $\downarrow$
q-Racah	$s \mapsto 1/s$	$s^* \mapsto 1/s^*$
q-Hahn	$q \mapsto 1/q$, $s^* \mapsto 1/s^*$	$s^* \mapsto 1/s^*$
Dual q-Hahn	$s \mapsto 1/s$	$q \mapsto 1/q$, $s \mapsto 1/s$
q-Krawtchouk	$q \mapsto 1/q$, $s^* \mapsto 1/s^*$	$s^* \mapsto 1/s^*$
Dual q-Krawtchouk	$s \mapsto 1/s$	$q \mapsto 1/q$, $s \mapsto 1/s$
Quantum/affine q-Krawtchouk	Switch	Switch and $q \mapsto 1/q$
Racah	$u \mapsto -u-d-1$	$u^* \mapsto -u^*-d-1$
Hahn	—	$u^* \mapsto -u^*-d-1$
Dual Hahn	$u \mapsto -u-d-1$	—
Bannai-Ito, d odd	$u \mapsto -u$	$u^* \mapsto -u^*$

Table 4: Relative parameter arrays

Question 9.4 is answered by the following Lemma.

Lemma 9.5 *1. All normalized Leonard pairs can be represented by a normalized parameter array, except in the Bannai-Ito case with odd d.*

2. Suppose that d is odd. Let (B, B^*) denote the Leonard pair represented by the parameter array in Lemma 7.1 of the Bannai-Ito type. Then a general normalized Leonard pair of the Bannai-Ito type (with odd d) has one of the following forms:

$$(B, B^*), \quad (-B, B^*), \quad (B, -B^*), \quad (-B, -B^*). \tag{57}$$

Parameter arrays for these 4 Leonard pairs cannot be transformed to each other by change of the parameters u, u^*, v or the relation operations $\downarrow$, $\Downarrow$, $\downarrow\Downarrow$.

Proof. Let (A, A^*) denote a normalized Leonard pair on V. Let Φ denote a parameter array for (A, A^*). Let $\Phi^\#$ denote a normalization of Φ by (27); it can be expressed in one of the forms of Lemmas 6.2 and 7.2. The parameter arrays Φ and $\Phi^\#$ differ by an affine scaling from Table 2, plus (in some q-cases) possibly the change of the sign of $\sqrt{\beta+2}$ in the Askey-Wilson relations. Flipping the sign of the square root can be reparametrized after replacing q by $-q$ b Table 3. Table 2 indicates reparametrizations for all relevant affine scalings, except for the Bannai-Ito case with odd d. Hence Φ is normalized as well, except perhaps when it has the Bannai-Ito type and d is odd.

In the Bannai-Ito case with odd d, $\Phi^\#$ is a parameter array either for (A, A^*), or $(-A, A^*)$, or $(A, -A^*)$, or $(-A, -A^*)$. Besides, $\Phi^\#$ has the following property: even-indexed θ_i's and θ^*_i's form increasing sequences. The relation operators preserve this property, but multiplication of A or A^* by -1 reverses it for θ_i's or θ^*_i's. Therefore only one of the four Leonard pairs $(\pm A, \pm A^*)$ is a specialization of (B, B^*). The conclusion is that (A, A^*) is a specialization of precisely one of the four Leonard pairs in (57). All claims follow. □

References

[Cur01] B. Curtin. The Terwilliger algebra of a 2-homogeneous bipartite distance regular graph. *J. Combin. Theory (B)*, 81:125–141, 2001.

[Go02] J. Go. The Terwilliger algebra of the Hypercube Q_D. *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, 23:399–429, 2002.

[Har05] B. Hartwig. Three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs. Technical report, University of Wisconsin at Madison, 2005.

[KS94] R. Koekoek and R.F. Swarttouw. The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue. Technical Report 94-05/98-17, Delft University of Technology, http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl/∼koekoek/askey, 1994.

[RT] H. Rosengren and P. Terwilliger. The compact representation of a leonard pair. A talk given by at the Com2Mac Conference on Association Schemes, Codes and Designs, July 19 - 23, 2004, Pusan National University, Busan, Korea.

[Ter02a] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs from 24 points of view. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 32(2):827–888, 2002. http://arxiv.org/abs/math.RA/0406577.

[Ter02b] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the parameter array. Technical report, Univ. Wisconsin at Madison, 2002.

[Ter04] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; an algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials. 2004. http://arxiv.org/abs/math.QA/0408390.

[TV04] P. Terwilliger and R. Vidunas. Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations. *Journal of algebra and its applications*, 2004. http://arxiv.org/abs/math.QA/0305356.

[Zhe91] A. S. Zhedanov. "Hidden symmetry" of Askey-Wilson polynomials. *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.*, 89(2):190–204, 1991.

List of MHF Preprint Series, Kyushu University

21st Century COE Program
Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality

MHF2003-1 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Yoshitaka WATANABE
A numerical method to verify the invertibility of linear elliptic operators with applications to nonlinear problems

MHF2003-2 Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI
Error estimates of finite element methods for nonstationary thermal convection problems with temperature-dependent coefficients

MHF2003-3 Tomohiro ANDO, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO
Adaptive learning machines for nonlinear classification and Bayesian information criteria

MHF2003-4 Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA
On systems of algebraic equations with parametric exponents

MHF2003-5 Masao ISHIKAWA & Masato WAKAYAMA
Applications of Minor Summation Formulas III, Plücker relations, Lattice paths and Pfaffian identities

MHF2003-6 Atsushi SUZUKI & Masahisa TABATA
Finite element matrices in congruent subdomains and their effective use for large-scale computations

MHF2003-7 Setsuo TANIGUCHI
Stochastic oscillatory integrals - asymptotic and exact expressions for quadratic phase functions -

MHF2003-8 Shoki MIYAMOTO & Atsushi YOSHIKAWA
Computable sequences in the Sobolev spaces

MHF2003-9 Toru FUJII & Takashi YANAGAWA
Wavelet based estimate for non-linear and non-stationary auto-regressive model

MHF2003-10 Atsushi YOSHIKAWA
Maple and wave-front tracking — an experiment

MHF2003-11 Masanobu KANEKO
On the local factor of the zeta function of quadratic orders

MHF2003-12 Hidefumi KAWASAKI
Conjugate-set game for a nonlinear programming problem

MHF2004-1 Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA
Estimating the Lyapunov exponent from chaotic time series with dynamic noise

MHF2004-2 Rui YAMAGUCHI, Eiko TSUCHIYA & Tomoyuki HIGUCHI
State space modeling approach to decompose daily sales of a restaurant into time-dependent multi-factors

MHF2004-3 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA
Cubic pencils and Painlevé Hamiltonians

MHF2004-4 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA
Estimating the correlation dimension from a chaotic system with dynamic noise

MHF2004-5 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Kentarou KITAMURA, Koji YONEMOTO, Takashi YANAGAWA & Kiyofumi YUMOTO
Detection of auroral breakups using the correlation dimension

MHF2004-6 Ryo IKOTA, Masayasu MIMURA & Tatsuyuki NAKAKI
A methodology for numerical simulations to a singular limit

MHF2004-7 Ryo IKOTA & Eiji YANAGIDA
Stability of stationary interfaces of binary-tree type

MHF2004-8 Yuko ARAKI, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO
Functional discriminant analysis for gene expression data via radial basis expansion

MHF2004-9 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA
Hypergeometric solutions to the q - Painlevé equations

MHF2004-10 Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Expressions for values of the gamma function

MHF2004-11 Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Transformations of Gauss hypergeometric functions

MHF2004-12 Koji NAKAGAWA & Masakazu SUZUKI
Mathematical knowledge browser

MHF2004-13 Ken-ichi MARUNO, Wen-Xiu MA & Masayuki OIKAWA
Generalized Casorati determinant and Positon-Negaton-Type solutions of the Toda lattice equation

MHF2004-14 Nalini JOSHI, Kenji KAJIWARA & Marta MAZZOCCO
Generating function associated with the determinant formula for the solutions of the Painlevé II equation

MHF2004-15 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Ryohei ABE, Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO & Yoshitaka WATANABE
Numerical verification methods of solutions for nonlinear singularly perturbed problem

MHF2004-16 Ken-ichi MARUNO & Gino BIONDINI
Resonance and web structure in discrete soliton systems: the two-dimensional Toda lattice and its fully discrete and ultra-discrete versions

MHF2004-17 Ryuei NISHII & Shinto EGUCHI
Supervised image classification in Markov random field models with Jeffreys divergence

MHF2004-18 Kouji HASHIMOTO, Kenta KOBAYASHI & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO
Numerical verification methods of solutions for the free boundary problem

MHF2004-19 Hiroki MASUDA
Ergodicity and exponential β-mixing bounds for a strong solution of Lévy-driven stochastic differential equations

MHF2004-20 Setsuo TANIGUCHI
The Brownian sheet and the reflectionless potentials

MHF2004-21 Ryuei NISHII & Shinto EGUCHI
Supervised image classification based on AdaBoost with contextual weak classifiers

MHF2004-22 Hideki KOSAKI
On intersections of domains of unbounded positive operators

MHF2004-23 Masahisa TABATA & Shoichi FUJIMA
Robustness of a characteristic finite element scheme of second order in time increment

MHF2004-24 Ken-ichi MARUNO, Adrian ANKIEWICZ & Nail AKHMEDIEV
Dissipative solitons of the discrete complex cubic-quintic Ginzburg-Landau equation

MHF2004-25 Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Degenerate Gauss hypergeometric functions

MHF2004-26 Ryo IKOTA
The boundedness of propagation speeds of disturbances for reaction-diffusion systems

MHF2004-27 Ryusuke KON
Convex dominates concave: an exclusion principle in discrete-time Kolmogorov systems

MHF2004-28 Ryusuke KON
Multiple attractors in host-parasitoid interactions: coexistence and extinction

MHF2004-29 Kentaro IHARA, Masanobu KANEKO & Don ZAGIER
Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values

MHF2004-30 Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI
Generalized partitioned quantum cellular automata and quantization of classical CA

MHF2005-1 Hideki KOSAKI
Matrix trace inequalities related to uncertainty principle

MHF2005-2 Masahisa TABATA
Discrepancy between theory and real computation on the stability of some finite element schemes

MHF2005-3 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI
Functional regression modeling via regularized basis expansions and model selection

MHF2005-4 Yuko ARAKI & Sadanori KONISHI
Functional discriminant analysis via regularized basis expansions

MHF2005-5 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA
Point configurations, Cremona transformations and the elliptic difference Painlevé equations

MHF2005-6 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA
Construction of hypergeometric solutions to the q - Painlevé equations

MHF2005-7 Hiroki MASUDA
Simple estimators for non-linear Markovian trend from sampled data:
I. ergodic cases

MHF2005-8 Hiroki MASUDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA
Edgeworth expansion for a class of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-based models

MHF2005-9 Masayuki UCHIDA
Approximate martingale estimating functions under small perturbations of dynamical systems

MHF2005-10 Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA
One-step estimators for diffusion processes with small dispersion parameters from discrete observations

MHF2005-11 Junichi MATSUKUBO, Ryo MATSUZAKI & Masayuki UCHIDA
Estimation for a discretely observed small diffusion process with a linear drift

MHF2005-12 Masayuki UCHIDA & Nakahiro YOSHIDA
AIC for ergodic diffusion processes from discrete observations

MHF2005-13 Hiromichi GOTO & Kenji KAJIWARA
Generating function related to the Okamoto polynomials for the Painlevé IV equation

MHF2005-14 Masato KIMURA & Shin-ichi NAGATA
Precise asymptotic behaviour of the first eigenvalue of Sturm-Liouville problems with large drift

MHF2005-15 Daisuke TAGAMI & Masahisa TABATA
Numerical computations of a melting glass convection in the furnace

MHF2005-16 Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations