

An NC Algorithm for Computing a Maximal Independent Set in a Hypergraph of Bounded Valence

Syoudai, Takayosi
Faculty of Engineering, Yamaguchi University

Miyano, Satoru
Research Institute of Fundamental Information Science Kyushu University

<http://hdl.handle.net/2324/3171>

出版情報 : RIFIS Technical Report. 68, 1993-04-18. Research Institute of Fundamental Information Science, Kyushu University

バージョン :

権利関係 :



RIFIS Technical Report

An NC Algorithm for Computing a Maximal Independent Set
in a Hypergraph of Bounded Valence

Takayosi Shoudai
Satoru Miyano

April 18, 1993

Research Institute of Fundamental Information Science
Kyushu University 33
Fukuoka 812, Japan

E-mail: shoudai@rifis.sci.kyushu-u.ac.jp Phone: 092-641-1101 ex. 4472

An NC Algorithm for Computing a Maximal Independent Set in a Hypergraph of Bounded Valence

Takayoshi Shoudai

Faculty of Engineering

Yamaguchi University, Ube 755, Japan

Satoru Miyano

Research Institute of Fundamental Information Science

Kyushu University 33, Fukuoka 812, Japan

Abstract

Let $H = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph. The valence of a vertex $v \in V$ is the number of hyperedges which contain v . We give an NC algorithm for finding a maximal independent set in a hypergraph of bounded valence.

1 Introduction

A *hypergraph* $H = (V, E)$ consists of a set V of *vertices* and a collection E of subsets of V called *hyperedges*. The *dimension* of H is the maximum size of a hyperedge in E and the *valence* of a vertex is the number of hyperedges which contain the vertex. An *independent set* in H is a subset of V which does not contain any hyperedge of E . The hypergraph maximal independent set problem (HMIS) is, given a hypergraph H , to find a maximal independent set in H . The purpose of this paper is to give an NC algorithm for finding a maximal independent set in a hypergraph of bounded valence.

A maximal independent set in a hypergraph is easily computed in polynomial time by a straightforward greedy sequential algorithm. However, the algorithm is hardly parallelizable since it is P-complete [3, 9]. On the other hand, the problem of finding *any* maximal independent set of a graph (or hypergraph of dimension 2) was shown to be in NC [6, 7,

9]. We have studied a way of employing the parallel algorithm for finding any maximal independent set in a graph to solve maximal or minimal problems in NC [10].

Berger et al. [2] showed an NC approximation algorithm for the minimum set cover problem, which is known to be NP-complete [5]. Since the minimum set cover problem is easily reduced from HMIS, their algorithm also computes a maximum independent set approximately. But their algorithm does not necessarily produce a maximal independent set. Recently, Dahlhaus et al. [4, 8] studied the HMIS whose dimension is bounded by constant. They showed that if the dimension is at most 3 then HMIS is computable in NC by using the same technique as Goldberg and Spencer [6]. Moreover, an RNC algorithm is presented for the HMIS when the dimension is greater than 3 but bounded by constant [8]. But it is not known that HMIS can be parallelized efficiently if the dimension is not bounded.

In this paper, we give an HMIS algorithm which runs in $O((\log n)^{s+1} \text{TMIS}(n))$ time on $\text{PMIS}(n)$ processors if the maximum valence is $O((\log n)^s)$ for some fixed $s \geq 0$, where $\text{TMIS}(n)$ and $\text{PMIS}(n)$ are the time and the number of processors needed to find a maximal independent set in a graph with n vertices. Consequently, by using Luby's MIS algorithm [9], our algorithm runs in an $O((\log n)^{s+1}(\log(n+m))^2)$ time on EREW PRAM with n^2m processors.

2 Finding a Maximal Independent Set in a Hypergraph

Let $H = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph where $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $|E| = m$. We also let $\beta = \max\{|\text{deg}(i)| \mid i \in V\}$, where $\text{deg}(i) = \{e \in E \mid i \in e\}$.

Lemma 1 *The algorithm HMIS (Figure 1) correctly computes a maximal independent set in a given hypergraph $H_0 = (V_0, E_0)$.*

The variable W gets a maximal independent set. Let I_i, E_i, U_i, W_i and V_i be the contents of the variables I, E, U, W and V just after the i th iteration of the while-loop, respectively. For convenience, let $W_0 = \emptyset$ and $U_0 = \emptyset$. Let $U_i^* = U_0 \cup \dots \cup U_i$. We also let X_i be the set of edges constructed during lines 7-11. Then from the algorithm we can easily see that V_0, V_{i-1} and W_i are represented as the following disjoint unions:

```

/* A hypergraph  $H_0 = (V_0, E_0)$  where  $H_0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $E_0 = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  is given. */
/* We assume that  $V_0 = \bigcup_{e \in E_0} e$  and  $|e_i| \geq 2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . */
1  begin
2     $V \leftarrow V_0; E \leftarrow E_0;$ 
3     $W \leftarrow \emptyset;$  /*  $W$  gets a maximal independent set */
4    while  $V \neq \emptyset$  do
5      begin
6         $X \leftarrow \emptyset;$ 
7        par  $e \in E$  do
8          begin
9            Choose two distinct vertices  $v, w$  from  $e \cap V;$ 
10           Add the edge  $\{v, w\}$  to  $X$ 
11          end;
12          Find a maximal independent set  $I$  of the graph  $G = (V, X);$ 
13           $W \leftarrow W \cup I;$ 
14           $V \leftarrow V - I;$ 
15           $U \leftarrow \{u \in V \mid e \cap V \subseteq W \cup \{u\} \text{ for some } e \in E\};$ 
16          par  $e \in E$  do if  $e \cap U \neq \emptyset$  then delete  $e$  from  $E;$ 
17           $V \leftarrow V - U;$ 
18           $Y \leftarrow V - \bigcup_{e \in E} e$ 
19           $W \leftarrow W \cup Y$ 
20           $V \leftarrow V - Y;$ 
21        end
22  end

```

Figure 1: Algorithm *HMIS*

- (1) $V_i \cup W_i \cup U_i^* = V_0.$
- (2) $V_{i-1} = I_i \cup U_i \cup Y_i \cup V_i.$
- (3) $W_i = W_{i-1} \cup I_i \cup Y_i.$

Claim 1 For $e \in E_i$, $e \cap V_i$ contains at least two elements.

Proof. By the assumption on the input, Claim 1 obviously holds for $i = 0$. Assume that the claim holds for i and $V_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$. Let e be in E_i . Then $e \cap U_i = \emptyset$ from line 16 and $e \cap Y_i = \emptyset$ from line 18. Therefore from (2) we see that $e \cap V_i = e \cap (V_{i-1} - I_i)$. If $e \cap V_i = \emptyset$, then $U_i = V_{i-1} - I_i$ from line 15. This yields $V_i = \emptyset$ from line 17. This is a contradiction since V_i is assumed not empty. On the other hand, if $e \cap V_i = \{u\}$, then $e \cap V_i \subseteq W_{i-1} \cup I_i \cup \{u\}$. This means that u is in U_i and, therefore, $e \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus $|e \cap V_i| \geq 2$. \square

Claim 2 W_i is an independent set for E_0 .

Proof. We assume that $V_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$. Obviously, $W_0 = \emptyset$ is an independent set for E_0 . Assume that W_{i-1} is an independent set for E_0 . Let e be in E_0 .

Case 1. $e \notin E_i$: e was deleted during the j th iteration for some $1 \leq j \leq i$. Then $e \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$. Hence there is u in $e \cap U_j \subseteq U_i^*$. By (1) u is not in W_i . Therefore we have $e \not\subseteq W_i$.

Case 2. $e \in E_i$: e is also in E_{i-1} . Then by Claim 1 there are v, w in $e \cap E_{i-1}$ with $v \neq w$ and $\{v, w\} \in X_i$. Since I_i is an independent set, $v \notin I_i$ or $w \notin I_i$. Since W_{i-1} is an independent set for E_0 , we have $e \not\subseteq W_{i-1}$. Since no element in V_{i-1} , hence no element in I_i , is in W_{i-1} , v or w is not in $W_{i-1} \cup I_i$. Therefore $e \not\subseteq W_{i-1} \cup I_i$. On the other hand, $e \cap Y_i = \emptyset$ by line 18. Therefore $e \not\subseteq W_{i-1} \cup I_i \cup Y_i = W_i$. \square

Claim 3 For any $u \in U_i$, there is $e \in E_{i-1}$ such that $e \subseteq W_i$.

Proof. By line 15, for $u \in U_i$ there is $e \in E_{i-1}$ such that $e \cap (V_{i-1} - I_i) \subseteq W_{i-1} \cup I_i \cup \{u\}$. Then $e \cap V_{i-1} \subseteq W_{i-1} \cup I_i \cup \{u\}$. Note that for $e \in E_{i-1}$ we have $e \cap U_{i-1}^* = \emptyset$ by line 16. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e &= e \cap (V_{i-1} \cup W_{i-1} \cup U_{i-1}^*) \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\ &= (e \cap V_{i-1}) \cup (e \cap W_{i-1}) \cup (e \cap U_{i-1}^*) \\ &\subseteq W_{i-1} \cup I_i \cup \{u\}. \quad (\text{by } e \cap U_{i-1}^* = \emptyset) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of Lemma 1. Let t be the integer such that $V_t = \emptyset$. Then by (1) $V_0 = W_t \cup U_t^*$. From Claim 2, W_t is an independent set. Claim 3 asserts that for any $u \in U_t^*$ there is some e with $e \subseteq W_t \cup \{u\}$. Therefore W_t is a maximal independent set for H_0 .

The part of finding a maximal independent set can be implemented on an EREW PRAM in $O((\log(n+m))^2)$ time using n^2m processors [9]. The other steps can also be implemented with at most the same amount of time and processors. \square

3 Finding a MIS in a Hypergraph of Bounded Valence is in NC

We show that the algorithm *HMIS* runs in $O((\log n)^{s+1}TMIS(n))$ time on $PMIS(n)$ processors if the valence is $O((\log n)^s)$ for some fixed $s \geq 0$. This analysis is done by using a

classical graph theorem [1].

The algorithm have a following top-level description:

```

/* Let  $H_0 = (V_0, E_0)$  be a hypergraph. */
1  begin
2     $H \leftarrow H_0$ ; (i.e.  $E \leftarrow E_0$ ;  $V \leftarrow V_0$ ;)
3     $W \leftarrow \emptyset$ ; /*  $W$  gets a maximal independent set. */
4    while  $V \neq \emptyset$  do
5      begin
6         $G \leftarrow \text{MAKE\_GRAPH}(H)$ ;
7         $I \leftarrow \text{FINDMIS}(G)$ ;
8         $V \leftarrow V - U$ ; /*  $U$  contains  $I$  which is found at line 7. */
9         $W \leftarrow W \cup U'$ ; /*  $U'$  contains  $I$  which is found at line 7. */
10        $H \leftarrow \text{MAKE\_NEW\_HYPERGRAPH}(H)$ ;
11      end
12 end.

```

It is easy to show that the while-loop of an algorithm with such a top-level structure iterates $O((\log n)^{s+1})$ times if every FINDMIS produces an independent set I such that $|I| = \Omega(\frac{|V|}{(\log |V|)^s})$ for some $s \geq 0$. Thus, if FINDMIS can find such a maximal independent set I , an algorithm with the structure runs in $O((\log n)^{s+1} TMIS(n))$ time. By using Luby's MIS algorithm [9], every application of FINDMIS runs in $O((\log n)^2)$ time. Thus, we can have an $O((\log n)^{s+3})$ time parallel algorithm for HMIS.

Lemma 2 [1] *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph with $|V| = n$ and $|E| = m$, and Δ be the maximum degree of G . For any maximal independent set I of G ,*

$$|I| \geq \lceil \frac{n}{\Delta + 1} \rceil.$$

Then, if a graph made by MAKE_GRAPH has the maximum valence $\Delta = O((\log |V|)^s)$ then FINDMIS always produces a maximal independent set I with $|I| = \Omega(\frac{|V|}{(\log |V|)^s})$.

Let $H = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph with $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $|E| = m$ and also let β be the maximum valence of H , i.e., $\beta = \max\{|d_j| \mid j = 1, \dots, n\}$, where $d_j = \{e \in E \mid j \in e\}$.

Lemma 3 *Let $G = (U, X)$ be a graph constructed by our version of MAKE_GRAPH (line 7-11 of the algorithm HMIS), that is, $U = V$ and $X = \{\{u_i, v_i\} \mid u_i \text{ and } v_i \text{ are arbitrarily chosen from } e_i \in E \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}$. Let Δ be the maximum valence of G . Then,*

$$\Delta \leq \beta.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $|\{j \mid \{i, j\} \in X\}| \leq |\{e \in E \mid i \in e\}|$, for $i \in U = V = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Therefore,

$$\Delta = \max_i |\{j \mid \{i, j\} \in X\}| \leq \max_i |\{e \in E \mid i \in e\}| = \beta.$$

□

The maximum valence of a graph made by MAKE_GRAPH depends on the valence of each instance for HMIS. The next theorem follows from Lemmas 1, 2 and 3:

Theorem 1 *Let $H = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph with $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $|E| = m$ and $\beta = \max\{|\deg(i)| \mid i \in V\}$, where $\deg(i) = \{e \in E \mid i \in e\}$. If $\beta = O((\log n)^s)$ for some fixed $s \geq 0$, then Algorithm HMIS (Figure. 1) computes a maximal independent set in $O((\log n)^{s+1} TMIS(n))$ time on an EREW PRAM with $PMIS(n)$ processors.*

Thus we have the following corollary:

Corollary 1 *The hypergraph maximal independent set problem is in NC^3 for a hypergraph with n vertices of constant valence.*

We have shown that parallel MIS algorithms are useful to compute a maximal independent set in a hypergraph. However, the idea of using MIS does not seem to work unless the valence is bounded. It seems that new approaches are needed for computing a maximal independent set in a hypergraph with no assumptions.

References

- [1] C. Berge. *Graphs and Hypergraphs*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 1973.

- [2] B. Berger, J. Rompel, and P. W. Shor. Efficient NC algorithm for set cover with application to learning and geometry. In *Proc. 30th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 54–59, 1989.
- [3] S. A. Cook. A taxonomy of problems with fast parallel algorithms. *Inform. Control*, 64:2–22, 1985.
- [4] E. Dahlhaus, M. Karpinski and P. Kelsen. An efficient parallel algorithm for computing a maximal independent set in a hypergraph of dimension 3. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 42:09–313, 1992.
- [5] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-completeness*. W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1979.
- [6] M. Goldberg and T. Spencer. A new parallel algorithm for the maximal independent set problem. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 18:419–427, 1989.
- [7] R. M. Karp and A. Wigderson. A fast parallel algorithm for the maximal independent set problem. *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.*, 32:762–773, 1985.
- [8] P. Kelsen. On the parallel complexity of computing a maximal independent set in a hypergraph. In *Proc. 24th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 339–350, 1992.
- [9] M. Luby. A simple parallel algorithm for the maximal independent set problem. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 15:1036–1053, 1986.
- [10] T. Shoudai and S. Miyano. Using maximal independent sets to solve problems in parallel. In *Proc. 17th Intern. Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science WG91, (Lecture Notes in Computer Science 570)*, pages 126–134, 1992.