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Abstract

This paper conducts an analysis on accuracy of biometric authentication. By increasing the number of biometric images for authentication, authentication accuracy is expected to be improved. However, the relation between the number of images and accuracy is not trivial. This paper considers simple algorithms for verification and identification with multiple biometric images for each person. The algorithms are based on the ideas of a majority vote and the mean of similarities for treating results of comparisons with multiple images. The effects of the number of images on the error rates of the algorithms are examined with practical palmprint images. The result implies that considering the mean of the similarities with multiple images is useful to improve authentication accuracy.
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1 Introduction

Personal authentication has been an essential issue in many social infrastructure systems. Biometric authentication has attracted attention as a technology to compensate some weaknesses of token- and knowledge-based authentication [8]. With the spread of computers and networks, the scope of applications of personal authentication was extended into a wide area, and the number of persons who use each application system is supposed to become huge. Especially for biometric authentication, accuracy of personal authentication becomes an important factor by the increase of the number of persons.

The aim of our research is to find a way to improve accuracy of biometric authentication. One of the straightforward approaches for the improvement is increasing the amount of information for authentication such as the number of biometric images registered in an authentication system (such registered images are called templates). This trial to improve authentication accuracy by several biometric images can be a typical application of statistical analyses [4]. However, in order to apply such analyses appropriately into biometric images, some knowledge of biology about the part of human beings or of image processing about the feature extraction will be required after all.

We simply focus on the results of comparisons of biometric images. Our approach is on the assumption that the basic authentication with a single template for each person is conducted on the basis of the result of a comparison between the template and an inputted image, and then the effects of the number of templates on accuracy are evaluated as the change from the basic authentication. Then, we consider two simple ideas in order to treat the results of comparisons for multiple templates, that is, a majority vote and the arithmetic mean of the similarities. There exist two possible procedures of biometric authentication, that is, verification and identification [8]. At least for identification, the effects of the number of templates for each person on accuracy are not trivial even for the simple methods to treat multiple results of comparisons.

In this paper, we define simple algorithms for verification and identification based on the idea of a majority vote and the mean of similarities. And then, we apply the algorithms to practical palmprint images in order to examine the error rates as accuracy of authentication. In order to measure the similarity of two images, we consider the matching of the features extracted by Scale-Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) [9, 10]. There already exist some researches that apply SIFT to authentication with biometric images such as fingerprints [7, 12, 3] and palmprints [5, 11, 6]. The ideas to treat multiple comparisons in this paper are applicable straightforwardly to the previous researches. Additionally, it is expected to be applicable to general comparison-based authentication algorithms with multiple biometric images.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 formalizes the target problems, verification and identification, and the criteria for accuracy of algorithms. Section 3 introduces algorithms for verification and identification and the method of image matching in this paper. Section 4 reports the experimental results with practical palmprint images.
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2 Preliminaries

We define two problems concerned with personal authentication with biometric images. Assume that each biometric image corresponds to a person and a set of biometric images (called templates) is given. Verification is to verify that the target person is a particular person. The input of verification is a pair of an image (called an input image) and the name of a person (called an input person), and the output is “accept” (that is, the input image corresponds to the input person) or “reject” (that is, the input image does not correspond to the input person). Identification is to search who the target person is. The input of identification is an input image and the output is the name of the person judged to correspond to the input image. The output of identification can be “null” (that is, the input image corresponds to no one in the persons of the templates) if a threshold is given with the input.

We consider the standard error rates in verification [8]. The false rejection rate (FRR) is the rate that the output is “reject” and the input image corresponds to the input person, and the false acceptance rate (FAR) the rate that the output is “accept” and the input image does not correspond to the input person. FRR and FAR depend on the threshold for the image similarity, and then the equal error rate (EER) is the value of FRR and FAR at the threshold where the two error rates have the same value. For identification, the error rate (ER) is the rate that the person who corresponds to the output image is different from the person who corresponds to the input image.

3 Algorithms

This section defines algorithms for verification and identification and the similarity on images for image matching in this paper.

3.1 Verification

We consider verification algorithms on the situation that multiple templates correspond to a single person. Let $k$ be the number of templates that correspond to each person. Any algorithm for verification first conducts the following process.

1. Compare the input image with the $k$ templates of the input person.

Then, the $n/k$-algorithm for verification is,

2. If at least $n$ similarities in the $k$ similarities are larger than the threshold, then output “accept” and terminate;

3. Otherwise, output “reject” and terminate.

Intuitively, this method is based on the idea of a majority vote about the similarities of multiple templates.

We also consider another algorithm based on the idea of the mean of similarities. The mean algorithm is, after the process 1,

2. If the arithmetic mean of the $k$ similarities is larger than the threshold, then output “accept” and terminate;

3. Otherwise, output “reject” and terminate.

Note that the $n/k$-algorithm for $(k,n) = (1,1)$ is same as the mean algorithm for $k = 1$.

3.2 Identification

As the base algorithm for identification, we consider the nearest algorithm, that is,

1. Compare the input image with all templates;

2. If the largest similarity is larger than the threshold, then output the person of the template and terminate;

3. Otherwise, output “null” and terminate.

The mean nearest algorithm is obtained by replacing the process 2 in the nearest algorithm with the following process.

2. If the largest value of the arithmetic mean of the similarities with $k$ templates for a person is larger than the threshold, then output the person of the $k$ templates and terminate;

Clearly, the mean nearest algorithm for $k = 1$ is the nearest algorithm for $k = 1$.

We also consider the linear search algorithm, that is,

1. Compare the input image with each template successively in an order;

2. If a template whose similarity with the input image is larger than the threshold is found, then output the person of the template and terminate;

3. If the similarities with every template are not larger than the threshold, output “null” and terminate.

In the similar way of the $n/k$-algorithm, we define the $n/k$-linear search algorithm

1. Compare the input image with each set of $k$ templates for a person successively in an order;

2. If at least $n$ similarities in the $k$ similarities are larger than the threshold, then output the person of the $k$ templates and terminate;
3. If no set of $k$ templates has $n$ similarities larger than the threshold, output “null” and terminate.

We also consider the idea of the mean of similarities for identification. The mean linear search algorithm is, after the process 1 in the previous algorithm,

2. If a set of $k$ templates such that the arithmetic mean of the similarities with the input image is larger than the threshold is found, then output the person of the $k$ templates and terminate;

3. If the arithmetic mean of the similarities with every set of $k$ templates is not larger than the threshold, output “null” and terminate.

Note that when $k = 1$ the $n/k$- and the mean linear search algorithms are same as the linear search algorithm.

### 3.3 Image Matching

The experiments in this paper are conducted with practical palmprint images. We consider a matching of SIFT features for the comparison of palmprint images. SIFT is one of the popular methods for image matching and object recognition, and the detailed mechanism can be found in [9, 10].

Prior to applying SIFT feature extraction to palmprint images, the region of interest (ROI) on each palmprint should be extracted. In the SIFT-based verification by Chen and Moon [5], the ROI on a palmprint is extracted as a square based on the method in [13]. In this paper, we extract the ROI as the circle that covers the maximal part on a palm.

SIFT translates an image into a set of key points and each key point has a vector as its feature. Then, a comparison of two images is done by matching two sets of key points. There exist several possible procedures for the matching of key points. In this paper, the similarity on images (that is, sets of key points) is defined as follows. Let $P$ and $Q$ be two sets of key points and $v(p)$ the feature vector of a key point $p$.

- For any $p \in P$, $q_p \in Q$ satisfies that $||v(q_p) - v(p)||$ is the smallest in $Q$.
- For any $q \in Q$, $p_q \in P$ satisfies that $||v(p_q) - v(q)||$ is the smallest in $P$.
- $m$ is the number of the pairs of $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ such that $q_p = q$ and $p_q = p$.

Then, the similarity of two images whose features are respectively $P$ and $Q$ is defined to be

$$\frac{m}{\max\{|P|, |Q|\}}.$$
algorithm for $k = 1$ and 4, the $n/k$-linear search algorithm for $(k, n) = (4, 2)$, and the mean linear search algorithm for $k = 4$. The $n/k$-linear search algorithm for $(k, n) = (1, 1)$ and the mean linear search algorithm for $k = 1$ respectively equal to the linear search algorithm for $k = 1$. The optimum ERs of the five algorithms are shown in Table 2.

By the results, we found that the ER of identification was improved in the five algorithms by the number of templates for a single person, especially, by considering the arithmetic mean of similarities we achieved a larger reduction of ER than the other simple methods. When $k = 4$, the optimum ER of the nearest algorithm was improved from 10.4% to 5.00% by considering the mean of similarities. The optimum ER of the linear search algorithm was improved from 24.9% to 16.1% by the idea of the mean, while the optimum value by the idea of a majority vote was 20.5%.

5 Conclusion

We conducted an accuracy analysis of personal authentication with biometric images. The effects of the number of templates for each person on accuracy of verification and identification were examined by considering simple algorithms based on the ideas of a majority vote and the arithmetic mean of similarities. As the result of the experiments with practical palmprint images, we achieved improvements of the error rates in verification and identification, especially, the algorithms based on the mean of similarities yielded better results than the algorithm based on the idea of a majority vote.
Table 2: The optimum ERs of the nearest algorithm, the mean nearest algorithm, the linear search algorithm, the $n/k$-linear search algorithm, and the mean linear search algorithm for $1 \leq n \leq k \leq 4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n \backslash k$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nearest algorithm</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean nearest algorithm</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear search algorithm</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n/k$-linear search algorithm</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean linear search algorithm</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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