

On the convergence rates for the compressible Navier–Stokes equations with potential force

Okita, Masatoshi
Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/25586>

出版情報 : MI Preprint Series. 2012-13, 2012-12-25. 九州大学大学院数理学研究院
バージョン :
権利関係 :



MI Preprint Series

**Kyushu University
The Global COE Program
Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub**

On the convergence rates for the compressible Navier- Stokes equations with potential force

Masatoshi Okita

MI 2012-13

(Received December 25, 2012)

Faculty of Mathematics
Kyushu University
Fukuoka, JAPAN

On the convergence rates for the compressible Navier- Stokes equations with potential force

Masatoshi Okita

Graduate School of Mathematics,
Kyushu University,
Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
Email: m-okita@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Abstract: In this paper we are concerned with the convergence rates to the stationary solutions for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with a potential external force $\nabla\Phi$ in the whole space \mathbb{R}^n for $n \geq 2$. It is proved that the perturbation decays in L^2 norm in the same order as that of the n -dimensional heat kernel, if the initial perturbation is small in $H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s_0 = [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ and the potential Φ is small in some Sobolev space. The results also hold for $n = 2$ when $\Phi = 0$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification Numbers. 35Q30, 76N15.

1 Introduction

This paper studies the initial value problem for the compressible Navier-Stokes equation with potential force in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \frac{\nabla P(\rho)}{\rho} = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \Delta u + \frac{\mu + \mu'}{\rho} \nabla(\nabla \cdot u) - \nabla \Phi(x), \\ (\rho, u)(0, x) = (\rho_0, u_0)(x) \longrightarrow (\rho_\infty, 0) \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Here $t > 0$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$); the unknown functions $\rho = \rho(t, x) > 0$ and $u = u(t, x) = (u_1(t, x), u_2(t, x), \dots, u_n(t, x))$ denote the density and velocity, respectively; $P = P(\rho)$ is the pressure that are assumed to be a function of the density ρ ; $-\nabla\Phi(x)$ is a time independent potential force; μ and μ' are the viscosity coefficients satisfying the conditions $\mu > 0$ and $\mu' + \frac{2}{n}\mu \geq 0$; ρ_∞ is a given positive constant; and $\nabla \cdot$, ∇ and Δ denote the usual divergence, gradient and Laplacian with respect to x , respectively.

We assume that $P(\rho)$ is smooth in a neighborhood of ρ_∞ with $P'(\rho) > 0$ ($\rho \in [\frac{1}{2}\rho_\infty, \frac{3}{2}\rho_\infty]$).

When Φ is small, the Navier-Stokes equation $(1)_1 - (1)_2$ with potential force has the stationary solution $(\rho_*, u_*) = (\rho_*(x), 0)$, where ρ_* satisfies

$$\int_{\rho_\infty}^{\rho_*(x)} \frac{P'(s)}{s} ds + \Phi(x) = 0. \quad (2)$$

In this paper we derive the convergence rate of solution of problem (1) to the stationary solution $(\rho_*, 0)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ when the initial perturbation $(\rho_0 - \rho_*, u_0)$ is sufficiently small in $H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s_0 = [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$, $n \geq 2$.

When $\Phi = 0$, $(1)_1 - (1)_2$ has a (constant) stationary solution $(\rho_*(x), u_*) = (\rho_\infty, 0)$. In this case Matsumura-Nishida [8] showed the global in time existence of solution of (1) for $n = 3$, provided that the initial perturbation $(\rho_0 - \rho_\infty, u_0)$ is sufficiently small in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Furthermore, the following decay estimate was obtained in [8];

$$\|\nabla^k(\rho - \rho_\infty, u)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} \quad k = 0, 1. \quad (3)$$

These results were proved by combining the energy method and the decay estimates of the semigroup $E(t)$ generated by the linearized operator A at the constant state $(\rho_\infty, 0)$.

When $\Phi \neq 0$, Matsumura-Nishida [10] proved the global in time existence of solution of (1) for $n = 3$, provided that the initial perturbation $(\rho_0 - \rho_*, u_0)$ and Φ are sufficiently small. Moreover, Duan-Liu-Ukai-Yang [1] established the decay estimates :

$$\|\nabla^k(\rho - \rho_*, u)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} \quad k = 0, 1$$

for initial perturbation $(\rho_0 - \rho_*, u_0)$ sufficiently small in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The proof of these estimates was given by a suitable energy estimate for $\|\nabla(\rho - \rho_*, u)(t)\|_{H^2}$ and the decay estimates for $\nabla E(t)$.

On the other hand, Kawashita [7] showed the global existence of solution for initial perturbations sufficiently small in $H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s_0 = [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$, $n \geq 2$, when $\Phi = 0$. (Note that $s_0 = 2$ for $n = 3$). Wang-Tan [13] then considered the case $n = 3$ and $\Phi = 0$ when the initial perturbation $(\rho_0 - \rho_\infty, u_0)$ is sufficiently small in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and proved the decay estimates (3). The proof in [13] is similar to that in [1]; and the key in the proof of [13] is to use the bound $\int_0^\infty \|\nabla u(t)\|_{H^2}^2 dt \leq C\|(\rho_0 - \rho_\infty, u_0)\|_{H^2}^2$, which is obtained by the energy method, in the estimate of the nonlinearity to obtain the decay estimates under the less regularity assumption on $(\rho_0 - \rho_\infty, u_0)$.

In this paper we will extend the results in [1] and [13] in the following way by an approach different to [1, 13].

We show that if $n \geq 3$ then the following estimates hold true for the solution (ρ, u) of (1) :

$$\|\nabla^k(\rho - \rho_*, u)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} \quad k = 0, 1, \quad (4)$$

provided that $(\rho_0 - \rho_*, u_0)$ is sufficiently small in $H^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s_0 = [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ and that Φ is sufficiently small but $\Phi \neq 0$. Furthermore, if $\Phi = 0$, then the estimates (4) also hold for the case $n = 2$, provided that $(\rho_0 - \rho_*, u_0)$ is sufficiently small in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. (Note that $s_0 = [\frac{n}{2}] + 1 = 2$ for $n = 2$.)

To prove (4), as in [6], we introduce a decomposition of the perturbation $U(t) = (\rho - \rho_*, u)(t)$ associated with the spectral properties of the linearized operator A at the constant state $(\rho_\infty, 0)$. In the case of our problem, we simply decompose the perturbation $U(t)$ into low and high frequency parts. As for the low frequency part, we apply the decay estimates for the low frequency part of $E(t)$; while the high frequency part is estimated by using the energy method. One of the points of our approach is that by restricting the use of the decay estimates for $E(t)$ to its low frequency part, one can avoid the derivative loss due to the convective term of the transport equation $(1)_1$. On the other hand, the convective term of $(1)_1$ can be controlled by the energy method which we apply to the high frequency part. Another point is that in the high frequency part we have a Poincaré type inequality: $\|\nabla U_\infty\|_{L^2} \geq C\|U_\infty\|_{L^2}$, where U_∞ is the high frequency part of the perturbation U . This yields the strict positivity inequality $(AU_\infty, U_\infty)_{L^2} + \gamma\|\nabla \sigma_\infty\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C_0\|U_\infty\|_{L^2}^2$ for some positive constants C_0 and γ , where σ_∞ denotes the density component of U_∞ . Furthermore, the Poincaré type inequality makes the estimate of the nonlinearity a little bit simpler in the energy method. Using these properties we can deal with the time decay of $\|U(t)\|_{H^{s_0}}$ in contrast to the approach in [1, 13] which, roughly speaking, deals mainly with $\|\nabla U(t)\|_{H^{s_0-1}}$. In particular, by our approach, we can treat the case $n = 2$ if $\Phi = 0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notation and auxiliary Lemmas used in this paper. In Section 3 we state the main result of this paper. In Section 4 we introduce a decomposition of the solution. In Section 5 we give the proof of the main result.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we first introduce the notation which will be used throughout this paper. We then introduce some auxiliary lemmas which will be useful in the proof of the main result.

Let $L^p(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ denote the usual L^p -Lebesgue space on \mathbb{R}^n with norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. For nonnegative integer m , we denote by $W^{m,p}(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ the usual L^p -Sobolev space of order m whose norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{W^{m,p}}$. When $p = 2$, we define $H^m = W^{m,2}$. The inner-product of L^2 is denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) . We denote by H^{-1} the dual space of H^1 , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the pairing between H^{-1} and H^1 .

We introduce the following notation for spatial derivatives. For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, we denote

$$\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}, \quad |\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i,$$

and for any integer $l \geq 0$, $\nabla^l f$ denotes all of l -th derivatives of f .

For a function f , we denote its Fourier transform by $\mathfrak{F}[f] = \hat{f}$:

$$\mathfrak{F}[f](\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

The inverse of \mathfrak{F} is denoted by $\mathfrak{F}^{-1}[f] = \check{f}$,

$$\mathfrak{F}^{-1}[f](\xi) = \check{f}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\xi) e^{i\xi \cdot x} d\xi.$$

For operators A, B , we denote the commutator of A and B by $[A, B]$:

$$[A, B]f = A(Bf) - B(Af).$$

BC^k denotes the set of all functions such that $\nabla^l f$ is a bounded function for $l \leq k$.

We next state some basic Lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (Hardy's inequality). *Assume that $n \geq 3$. Then there holds the inequality*

$$\left\| \frac{u}{|x|} \right\|_2 \leq C \|\nabla u\|_2$$

for $u \in H^1$.

See, e.g., [2], for the proof.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that $n \geq 3$. Then there holds the inequality*

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{H^{s_0-1}}$$

for $f \in H^{s_0}$.

Lemma 2.2 is proved as follows. Let $p = \frac{2n}{n-2}$. Then, since $s_0 - 1 > \frac{n}{p}$, by the Sobolev inequalities, we have

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq C \|f\|_{W^{s_0-1,p}} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{H^{s_0-1}}.$$

This proves Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $a(x) \in BC^1$. For $u \in L^2$ set*

$$[a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \eta_\epsilon *] u(x) = a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\eta_\epsilon * u)(x) - (\eta_\epsilon * (a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}))(x).$$

Here $\eta_\epsilon * u$ is standard Friedrichs mollifier. Then it holds that

$$\| [a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \eta_\epsilon *] u(x) \|_2 \leq C \|\nabla a\|_\infty \|u\|_2.$$

and

$$\| [a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \eta_\epsilon *] u(x) \|_2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\epsilon \rightarrow 0).$$

See, e.g., [11], for the proof.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $u \in L^2(0, T; H^1)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1})$. Then, the mapping $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_2^2$ is absolutely continuous, with

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_2^2 = 2 \langle u'(t), u(t) \rangle$$

in the sense of distribution.

See, e.g., [2], for the proof.

Lemma 2.5. If $0 \leq s_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, l$) satisfy $s_j \leq \frac{n}{2}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, l$) and $s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_l > (\frac{n}{2})(l - 1)$, then there holds

$$\|f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdots f_l\|_2 \leq C_{s_1 \dots s_l} \prod_{j=1}^l \|f_j\|_{H^{s_j}}.$$

See, e.g., [7], for the proof.

By using Lemma 2.5 we have the following estimates.

Lemma 2.6. (i) If $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0$, $g \in H^{s_0}$ and $f \in H^{|\alpha|}$, then

$$\|[\partial_x^\alpha, g]f\|_2 \leq C \begin{cases} \|\nabla g\|_{H^{s_0-1}} \|f\|_{H^{|\alpha|}} \\ \|\nabla g\|_{H^{s_0}} \|f\|_{H^{|\alpha|-1}}. \end{cases}$$

(ii) Let I be a compact interval of \mathbb{R} and let $R(y, x) \in C^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

If $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0$, then there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^\alpha (R(g(x), x)f)\|_2 &\leq C \{ R_0(g) \|f\|_2 + R_1(g) \|\nabla f\|_{H^{|\alpha|-1}} \\ &\quad + R_2(g) (1 + \|g\|_{H^{s_0}})^{|\alpha|-1} \|\nabla g\|_{H^{s_0-1}} \|f\|_{H^{|\alpha|}} \} \end{aligned}$$

for $g \in H^{s_0}$ such that $g(x) \in I$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^n$) and $f \in H^{|\alpha|}$. Here

$$R_0(g) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(\partial_x^\alpha R)(g(x), x)|,$$

$$R_1(g) := \sup_{\beta < \alpha, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(\partial_x^\beta R)(g(x), x)|,$$

$$R_2(g) := \max_{k \geq 1, k+|\beta| \leq |\alpha|} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(\partial_y^k \partial_x^\beta R)(g(x), x)|.$$

Lemma 2.6 can be proved in a similar way to the proof of [7, Lemma 3]. (See also [5, Lemma 4.3] and [4, Lemma A.2])

3 Main result

In this section, we first state the existence of stationary solution $(\rho_*, 0)$ and some estimates on ρ_* which were obtained in Matsumura-Nishida [10]. We then state our main result on the convergence rate of solutions $(\rho(t), u(t))$ to $(\rho_*, 0)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 3.1 (Matsumura-Nishida [10]). *There exist positive constants ϵ and C such that if*

$$\|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1 + |x|)\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon,$$

the problem $(1)_1 - (1)_2$ has a stationary solution $(\rho_, u) = (\rho_*(x), 0)$ in a small neighborhood of $(\rho_\infty, 0)$; and it satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\rho_*(x) - \rho_\infty\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1 + |x|)\nabla\rho_*(x)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \left(\|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1 + |x|)\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2} \right), \\ & |\rho_*(x) - \rho_\infty| < \frac{1}{2}\rho_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let us rewrite the problem (1). By the change of variables,

$$\tilde{\rho}(t, x) = \rho(t, x) - \rho_*(x), \quad \tilde{u}(t, x) = u(t, x),$$

problem (1) is transformed into

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{\rho} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_* \tilde{u}) = \tilde{F}_1, \\ \partial_t \tilde{u} - \frac{\mu}{\rho_*} \Delta \tilde{u} - \frac{\mu + \mu'}{\rho_*} \nabla \nabla \cdot \tilde{u} + \frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*} \nabla \tilde{\rho} + \left(\frac{P''(\rho_*)}{\rho_*} - \frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*^2} \right) \nabla \rho_* \tilde{\rho} = \tilde{F}_2, \\ (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{u})(0, x) = (\rho_0 - \rho_*, u_0)(x) \longrightarrow (0, 0) \quad (|x| \rightarrow \infty), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\tilde{F}_1 = -\nabla \cdot (\tilde{\rho} \tilde{u}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_2 = & -(\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{u} - \mu \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\rho_*(\tilde{\rho} + \rho_*)} \Delta \tilde{u} - (\mu + \mu') \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\rho_*(\tilde{\rho} + \rho_*)} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \tilde{u}) \\ & + \left(\frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*(\tilde{\rho} + \rho_*)} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho} + \rho_*} \int_0^1 P''(s\tilde{\rho} + \rho_*) ds \right) \tilde{\rho} \nabla \tilde{\rho} \\ & + \left(\frac{P''(\rho_*)}{\rho_*(\tilde{\rho} + \rho_*)} \nabla \rho_* - \frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*^2(\tilde{\rho} + \rho_*)} \nabla \rho_* - \frac{\nabla \rho_*}{\tilde{\rho} + \rho_*} \int_0^1 (1-s) P'''(s\tilde{\rho} + \rho_*) ds \right) \tilde{\rho}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we define μ_1, μ_2 and γ by

$$\mu_1 = \frac{\mu}{\rho_\infty}, \quad \mu_2 = \frac{\mu + \mu'}{\rho_\infty}, \quad \gamma = \sqrt{P'(\rho_\infty)}.$$

We also set

$$\bar{\rho} = \rho_*(x) - \rho_\infty.$$

By using the new unknown functions

$$\sigma(t, x) = \frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \tilde{\rho}(t, x), \quad w(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P'(\rho_\infty)}} \tilde{u}(t, x),$$

the initial value problem (1) is reformulated as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \sigma + \gamma \nabla \cdot w - B_1 U = F_1(U), \\ \partial_t w - \mu_1 \Delta w - \mu_2 \nabla(\nabla \cdot w) + \gamma \nabla \sigma - B_2 U = F_2(U), \\ (\sigma, w)(0, x) = (\sigma_0, w_0)(x), \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where, $U = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ w \end{pmatrix}$,

$$B_1 U = -\frac{\gamma}{\rho_\infty} (w \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho} + \bar{\rho} \nabla \cdot w),$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 U &= -\mu_1 \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\rho_*} \Delta w - \mu_2 \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\rho_*} \nabla(\nabla \cdot w) + \gamma \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\rho_*} \nabla \sigma \\ &\quad - \frac{\bar{\rho} \rho_\infty}{\gamma \rho_*} \nabla \sigma \int_0^1 P''(s \bar{\rho} + \rho_\infty) ds - \frac{\rho_\infty \nabla \rho_*}{\gamma} \left(\frac{P''(\rho_*)}{\rho_*} - \frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*^2} \right) \sigma, \end{aligned}$$

$$F_1(U) = -\gamma (w \cdot \nabla \sigma + \sigma \nabla \cdot w),$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(U) &= -\gamma (w \cdot \nabla) w - \mu_1 \frac{\rho_\infty^2}{\rho_* (\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} \sigma \Delta w - \mu_2 \frac{\rho_\infty^2}{\rho_* (\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} \sigma \nabla(\nabla \cdot w) \\ &\quad + \frac{\rho_\infty^2}{\gamma} \left(\frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_* (\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*} \int_0^1 P''(s \rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*) ds \right) \sigma \nabla \sigma \\ &\quad + \frac{\rho_\infty^2 \nabla \rho_*}{\gamma} \left(\frac{P''(\rho_*)}{\rho_* (\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} - \frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*^2 (\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*} \int_0^1 (1-s) P'''(s \rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*) ds \right) \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. When $\Phi = 0$, we have $\rho_* = \rho_\infty$, and thus, $\bar{\rho} = 0$ and $\nabla \rho_* = 0$. It then follows that $B_1 U = 0$ and $B_2 U = 0$ and $F(U) = -\gamma (w \cdot \nabla) w - \mu_1 \frac{1}{\sigma+1} \sigma \Delta w - \mu_2 \frac{1}{\sigma+1} \nabla(\nabla \cdot w) + \frac{\gamma}{\sigma+1} \sigma \nabla \sigma$.

For problem (5), Kawashita [7] proved the following global existence result.

Proposition 3.3 (Kawashita [7]). *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $U_0 = (\rho_0, w_0) \in H^{s_0}$. There exist a positive constant ϵ_1 such that if*

$$\|U_0\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq \epsilon_1,$$

$$\begin{cases} \|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1+|x|)\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon_1 & (n \geq 3), \\ \Phi = 0 & (n = 2), \end{cases}$$

then problem (5) has a unique global solution U :

$$U = (\sigma, w) \in \bigcap_{j=0}^1 C^j([0, \infty); H^{s_0-j}) \times C^j([0, \infty); H^{s_0-2j}),$$

$$w \in L^2(0, \infty; H^{s_0+1}) \cap H^1(0, \infty; H^{s_0-1}).$$

Proposition 3.3 were proved for the case $\Phi = 0$ in [7]. In a similar manner one can see that Proposition 3.3 holds for $\Phi \neq 0$ satisfying the smallness condition of Proposition 3.3 when $n \geq 3$.

We now state our main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $U = (\sigma, w)$ be a unique global solution of (5) with initial value $U_0 = (\sigma_0, w_0)$ obtained in Proposition 3.3. Assume that $n \geq 3$. Then there exist $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that if $U_0 \in H^{s_0} \cap L^1$ and*

$$\|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1} \leq \epsilon_2$$

$$\|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1+|x|)\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon_2$$

then, the estimates

$$\|\nabla^k U(t)\|_2 \leq C_0(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \quad (6)$$

hold for $t \geq 0$.

The estimates (6) also hold for $n = 2$ if $\Phi = 0$.

The proof of Theorem 3.4 will be given in section 5

Remark 3.5. *When $\Phi = 0$, one can also obtain the decay rates for the perturbation of higher-order spatial derivatives. In fact, one can prove the following estimates. Let U and U_0 satisfy the assumption of Theorem 3.4. When $\Phi = 0$ ($n \geq 2$), we have*

$$\|\nabla^k U(t)\|_2 \leq C_0(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, s_0$$

for $t \geq 0$.

4 Decomposition of solution

In this section we introduce a decomposition of solutions to prove Theorem 3.4.

We set

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ w \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 \\ w_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma \nabla \cdot \\ -\gamma \nabla & \mu_1 \Delta + \mu_2 \nabla \nabla \cdot \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then problem (5) is written as

$$\partial_t U - AU - BU = F(U), \quad U|_{t=0} = U_0, \quad (7)$$

where

$$BU = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 U \\ B_2 U \end{pmatrix}, \quad F(U) = \begin{pmatrix} F_1(U) \\ F_2(U) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We next decompose a solution U of (7) into low and high frequency parts. Let $\hat{\chi}_1$ be a cutoff function defined by

$$\hat{\chi}_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & (|\xi| < r) \\ 0 & (|\xi| \geq r) \end{cases}, \quad \hat{\chi}_\infty(\xi) = 1 - \hat{\chi}_1(\xi).$$

Here $r = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2}}$. (As for the number r , see Lemma 5.1 below.)

We define operator $Q_j (j = 1, \infty)$ on L^2 by

$$Q_j u := \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\hat{\chi}_j \hat{u}) \quad (j = 1, \infty), \quad u \in L^2.$$

The operators $Q_j (j = 1, \infty)$ have the following properties.

Lemma 4.1. $Q_j (j = 1, \infty)$ satisfy the following relations.

- (i) $Q_1 + Q_\infty = I$.
- (ii) $Q_j^2 = Q_j$.
- (iii) $Q_1 Q_\infty = 0$.
- (iv) $(Q_j u, v) = (u, Q_j v)$ for $u, v \in L^2$.

Lemma 4.1 can be easily verified; and we omit the proof.

We next state boundedness properties of $Q_j (j = 1, \infty)$.

Lemma 4.2. (i) For each nonnegative integer k , $Q_j (j = 1, \infty)$ are bounded linear operator on H^k .

- (ii) For each nonnegative integer k , it holds that $\|\nabla^k Q_1 u\|_2 \leq \|u\|_2$ ($u \in L^2$).
- (iii) For each nonnegative integer k , it holds that $\|\nabla^k Q_1 u\|_\infty \leq C \|u\|_2$ ($u \in L^2$).
- (iv) Q_∞ satisfies $\|\nabla Q_\infty u\|_2 \geq C \|Q_\infty u\|_2$ ($u \in H^1$).

The assertions (i), (ii), (iv) easily follow from the Plancherel theorem. The inequality (iii) is obtained by (ii) and the Sobolev inequality.

In terms of Q_1 and Q_∞ , we decompose a solution $U(t)$ of (7) as

$$U(t) = U_1(t) + U_\infty(t), \quad U_j(t) = Q_j U(t) \quad (j = 1, \infty).$$

It then follows that $U_1(t)$ and $U_\infty(t)$ are governed by equations (13) and (14) given in Proposition 4.3 below.

To state Proposition 4.3 we introduce a semigroup associated with a low frequency part of A . We set

$$E_1(t)u := \mathfrak{F}^{-1}[\hat{\chi}_1 e^{\hat{A}(\xi)t} \hat{u}] \quad \text{for } u \in L^2,$$

where

$$\hat{A}(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\gamma\xi^t \\ -i\gamma\xi & -\mu_1|\xi|^2 I_n - \mu_2\xi\xi^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here and in what follows the superscript \cdot^t means the transposition.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $T > 0$ and let $U = (\sigma, w)^t$ be a solution of problem (7) on $[0, T]$ such that*

$$U = (\sigma, w)^t \in \bigcap_{j=0}^1 C^j([0, T]; H^{s_0-j}) \times C^j([0, T]; H^{s_0-2j}), \quad (8)$$

$$w \in L^2(0, T; H^{s_0+1}) \cap H^1(0, T; H^{s_0-1}), \quad (9)$$

and let

$$U_j = Q_j U, \quad \sigma_j = Q_j \sigma, \quad w_j = Q_j w \quad (j = 1, \infty).$$

Then,

$$U_1 \in C^1([0, T]; H^k), \quad \forall k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (10)$$

$$U_\infty \in \bigcap_{j=0}^1 C^j([0, T]; H^{s_0-j}) \times C^j([0, T]; H^{s_0-2j}), \quad (11)$$

$$w_\infty \in L^2(0, T; H^{s_0+1}) \cap H^1(0, T; H^{s_0-1}). \quad (12)$$

Furthermore $U_1(t)$ and $U_\infty(t)$ satisfy

$$U_1(t) = E_1(t)U_{01} + \int_0^t E_1(t-s)Q_1(B(U_1 + U_\infty)(s) + F(U_1 + U_\infty)(s))ds \quad (13)$$

and

$$\partial_t U_\infty - AU_\infty - Q_\infty B(U_1 + U_\infty) = Q_\infty F(U_1 + U_\infty), \quad (14)$$

$$U_\infty|_{t=0} = U_{0\infty}, \quad (15)$$

where $U_{0j} = Q_j U_0$ ($j = 1, \infty$).

Proof. Let $U(t) = (\sigma, w)^t$ be a solution of (7) satisfying (8) and (9). It then follow from Lemma 4.2 that $U_1(t)$ and $U_\infty(t)$ satisfy (10), (11) and (12), respectively.

Since $Q_j AU = AQ_j U$ for $U \in H^{s_0}$ ($j = 1, \infty$), applying Q_j to (7), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U_1 - AU_1 - Q_1 B(U_1 + U_\infty) = Q_1 F(U_1 + U_\infty), & U_1|_{t=0} = U_{01}, \\ \partial_t U_\infty - AU_\infty - Q_\infty B(U_1 + U_\infty) = Q_\infty F(U_1 + U_\infty), & U_\infty|_{t=0} = U_{0\infty}. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Taking the Fourier transform of (16)₁, we have

$$\hat{\chi}_1 \partial_t \hat{U} = \hat{\chi}_1 \hat{A} \hat{U} + \hat{\chi}_1 \widehat{BU} + \hat{\chi}_1 \widehat{F(U)}. \quad (17)$$

It follows from (17) that

$$\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{U}(t) = e^{\hat{A}t} \hat{\chi}_1 \hat{U}(0) + \int_0^t e^{\hat{A}(t-s)} (\hat{\chi}_1 \widehat{BU} + \hat{\chi}_1 \widehat{F(U)})(s) ds.$$

We thus obtain

$$U_1(t) = E_1(t)U_{01} + \int_0^t E_1(t-s)Q_1(B(U_1 + U_\infty) + F(U_1 + U_\infty))(s) ds.$$

This completes the proof. \square

5 Proof of main result

In this section we prove Theorem 3.4. In subsections 5.1 and 5.2 we establish the necessary estimates for $U_1(t)$ and $U_\infty(t)$, respectively. In subsection 5.3 we derive the a priori estimate to complete the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Set

$$M_1(t) := \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \sum_{k=0}^1 (1 + \tau)^{\frac{n}{4} + \frac{k}{2}} \|\nabla^k U_1(\tau)\|_2,$$

$$M_\infty(t) := \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (1 + \tau)^{\frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2}} \|U_\infty(\tau)\|_{H^{s_0}},$$

$$M(t) := M_1(t) + M_\infty(t).$$

We also set $\delta = \frac{\rho_\infty}{4C_s}$, where C_s is constant such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq C_s \|f\|_{H^{s_0}}$ for all $f \in H^{s_0}$. Hereafter, we assume that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\sigma(t)\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq \delta.$$

Then we have

$$\|\sigma(t)\|_\infty \leq C_s \|\sigma(t)\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq \frac{\rho_\infty}{4}.$$

5.1 Estimate of $U_1(t)$

In this subsection we derive the estimate of $U_1(t)$, in other words, we estimate $M_1(t)$.

Lemma 5.1 (Matsumura-Nishida [9]). (i) *The set of all eigenvalues of $\hat{A}(\xi)$ consists of $\lambda_i(\xi)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), where*

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1(\xi) = \frac{-(\mu_1 + \mu_2)|\xi|^2 + i|\xi|\sqrt{4\gamma^2 - (\mu_1 + \mu_2)|\xi|^2}}{2}, \\ \lambda_2(\xi) = \overline{\lambda_1(\xi)}, \\ \lambda_3(\xi) = -\mu_1|\xi|^2, \end{cases}$$

for $|\xi| \leq r$, where $r = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2}}$. Here $\overline{\lambda_1(\xi)}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\lambda_1(\xi)$.

(ii) $e^{t\hat{A}(\xi)}$ has the spectral resolution

$$e^{t\hat{A}(\xi)} = \sum_{j=1}^3 e^{t\lambda_j(\xi)} P_j(\xi),$$

where $P_j(\xi)$ is the eigenprojection for $\lambda_j(\xi)$ and $P_j(\xi)$ satisfies

$$\|P_j(\xi)\| \leq C \quad (|\xi| \leq r).$$

where $r = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\mu_1 + \mu_2}}$.

$E_1(t)$ satisfies the following estimate:

Lemma 5.2. *Let k be a nonnegative integer. Then there holds*

$$\|\nabla^k E_1(t) Q_1 U_0\|_2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{n}{4} + \frac{k}{2})} \|U_0\|_1$$

for $t \geq 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1 (i) we see that there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that

$$e^{2\operatorname{Re}\lambda_j(\xi)t} \leq C e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} \quad (1 \leq j \leq 3).$$

Therefore, by Plancherel's theorem and Lemma 5.1 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^k E_1(t) Q_1 U_0(t)\|_2 &\leq C \left(\int_{|\xi| \leq r} |\xi|^{2k} |e^{\hat{A}(\xi)t} \hat{U}_0|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} |\hat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C t^{-(\frac{n}{4} + \frac{k}{2})} \|U_0\|_1. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

We also find that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla^k E_1(t) Q_1 U_0\|_2 &\leq C \|\hat{U}_0\|_\infty \left(\int_{|\xi| < 1} e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|U_0\|_1.\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

The estimate of Lemma 5.2 follows from (18) and (19). \square

As for $M_1(t)$, we show the following estimate.

Proposition 5.3. *There exists a $\epsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1 + |x|)\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2} &\leq \epsilon, \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\sigma(t)\|_{H^{s_0}} &\leq \delta,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$M(t) \leq 1$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of T such that

$$M_1(t) \leq C \|U_0\|_1 + C\epsilon M(t) + CM^2(t)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$

To prove Proposition 5.3, we will use the following estimates on $B(U)$ and $F(U)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $n \geq 3$. There exists a $\epsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$\|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1 + |x|)\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon,$$

and

$$M(t) \leq 1$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of T such that

$$\|B(U_1(t) + U_\infty(t))\|_1 \leq C\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} M(t)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $n \geq 2$. There exists a $\epsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$M(t) \leq 1$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1 + |x|)\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon & (n \geq 3), \\ \Phi = 0 & (n = 2) \end{cases}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of T such that

$$\|F(U_1(t) + U_\infty(t))\|_1 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} M^2(t)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$.

We will prove Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5 later. Now we prove Proposition 5.3.

Proof of Proposition 5.3. We first consider the case $n \geq 3$. By Lemma 5.2 and (13), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla^k U_1(\tau)\|_2 \leq \|\nabla^k E_1(\tau)U_{01}\|_2 \\
& + \int_0^\tau \|\nabla^k E_1(\tau-s)(Q_1 B(U_1(s) + U_\infty(s)) + Q_1 F(U_1(s) + U_\infty(s)))\|_2 ds \\
& \leq C(1+\tau)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})}\|U_0\|_1 \\
& + \int_0^t (1+\tau-s)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})}(\|B(U_1(s) + U_\infty(s))\|_1 \\
& \quad + \|F(U_1(s) + U_\infty(s))\|_1) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Using Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\tau (1+\tau-s)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})}(\|B(U_1(s) + U_\infty(s))\|_1 + \|F(U_1(s) + U_\infty(s))\|_1) ds \\
& \leq C \int_0^\tau (1+\tau-s)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} \{\epsilon(1+s)^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} M(t) + (1+s)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} M^2(t)\} ds \\
& \leq C\epsilon M(t) \int_0^\tau (1+\tau-s)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} (1+s)^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} ds \\
& + CM^2(t) \int_0^\tau (1+\tau-s)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} (1+s)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} ds \\
& \leq C\epsilon(1+\tau)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} M(t) + C(1+\tau)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} M^2(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Here we used $\frac{n+2}{4} > 1$ for $n \geq 3$ to handle the term $\epsilon(1+s)^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} M(t)$. By (20) and (21), we obtain

$$\|\nabla^k U_1(\tau)\|_2 \leq C(1+\tau)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})}\|U_0\|_1 + C\epsilon(1+\tau)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} M(t) + C(1+\tau)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2})} M^2(t),$$

and hence,

$$(1+\tau)^{\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k}{2}} \|\nabla^k U_1(\tau)\|_2 \leq C\|U_0\|_1 + C\epsilon M(t) + CM^2(t).$$

Taking the supremum in $\tau \in [0, t]$, we obtain the desired estimate for $n \geq 3$.

When $n = 2$, we have $BU = 0$. Therefor the term $\epsilon(1+s)^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} M(t)$ in the computation above is missing; and one can obtain the desired estimate for $n = 2$. \square

It remains to prove Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho}\|_1 & \leq \|(1+|x|)\nabla \bar{\rho}\|_2 \left\| \frac{1}{1+|x|} (w_1 + w_\infty) \right\|_2 \\
& \leq \epsilon(\|\nabla w_1\|_2 + \|\nabla w_\infty\|_2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| -\frac{\rho_\infty \nabla \rho_*}{\gamma} \left(\frac{P''(\rho_*)}{\rho_*} + \frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*^2} \right) \sigma \right\|_1 \\
& \leq C \left\| \frac{P''(\rho_*)}{\rho_*} + \frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*^2} \right\|_\infty \|(1+|x|)\nabla \rho_*\|_2 \left\| \frac{1}{1+|x|} \sigma \right\|_2 \\
& \leq C\epsilon (\|\nabla \sigma_1\|_2 + \|\nabla \sigma_\infty\|_2).
\end{aligned}$$

By using the Hölder inequality and Lemma 4.2, one can see that the L^1 norms of the others terms are bounded by $C\epsilon(\|\nabla \sigma_1\|_2 + \|\nabla \sigma_\infty\|_2)$. We thus conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B(U_1 + U_\infty)\|_1 & \leq C\epsilon(\|\nabla U_1\|_2 + \|\nabla U_\infty\|_{H^1}) \\
& \leq C\epsilon(1+s)^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} M(t).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 5.5. When $n \geq 3$, we see from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \nabla \rho_* \left(\frac{P''(\rho_*)}{\rho_*(\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} - \frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*^2(\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*} \int_0^1 (1-s) P'''(s\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*) ds \right) \sigma^2 \right\|_1 \\
& \leq C \|\nabla \rho_*\|_2 \|\sigma\|_\infty \|\sigma\|_2 \\
& \leq C \|\nabla \sigma\|_{H^{s_0-1}} \|\sigma\|_2 \\
& \leq C(1+s)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} M^2(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that this term does not appear when $n = 2$ since it is assumed that $\Phi = 0$.

The L^1 norm of the other terms are estimated by using the Hölder inequality, and bounded by $C(1+s)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} M^2(t)$. Hence, we have

$$\|F(U_1 + U_\infty)\|_1 \leq C(1+s)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} M^2(t).$$

This completes the proof. \square

5.2 Estimate of $U_\infty(t)$

We next derive estimates for U_∞ . The system (14) is written as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \sigma_\infty + \gamma \nabla \cdot w_\infty = Q_\infty(B_1 U + F_1(U)), \\ \partial_t w_\infty - \mu_1 \Delta w_\infty - \mu_2 \nabla \cdot (\nabla w_\infty) + \gamma \nabla \sigma_\infty = Q_\infty(B_2 U + F_2(U)). \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Proposition 5.6. *There holds*

$$\sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha U_\infty(t)\|_2^2 + \mu_1 \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2^2 + \mu_2 \|\nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty(t)\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 I_i \quad (23)$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Here,

$$I_1 := \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0} (\partial_x^\alpha B_1 U, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty),$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 := & \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} (\partial_x^\alpha F_1(U), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \\ & - \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho_\infty} [\partial_x^\alpha, w \cdot] \nabla \sigma, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty \right) - \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho_\infty} \partial_x^\alpha (\sigma \nabla \cdot w), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} (\nabla \cdot w, |\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty|^2) + \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} (w \cdot \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty), \end{aligned}$$

$$I_3 := - \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} \sum_{|\gamma|=1} (\partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} B_2 U, \partial_x^{\alpha+\gamma} w_\infty) + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} (\partial_x^\alpha B_2 U, \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty),$$

$$I_4 := - \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} \sum_{|\gamma|=1} (\partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} F_2(U), \partial_x^{\alpha+\gamma} w_\infty) + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} (\partial_x^\alpha F_2(U), \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty).$$

Proof. Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\eta \geq 0$, $\text{supp } \eta \subset \{x; |x| \leq 1\}$, $\eta(-x) = \eta(x)$ and $\int \eta(x) dx = 1$. Set $\eta_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-n} \eta(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$. Note that due to $\eta(-x) = \eta(x)$ we have

$$(\eta_\epsilon * f, g) = (f, \eta_\epsilon * g).$$

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ and $|\alpha| = s_0$. We take the inner product of (22)₁ with $\partial_x^\alpha (\eta_\epsilon * \varphi)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t \sigma_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \eta_\epsilon * \varphi) + (\gamma \nabla \cdot w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \eta_\epsilon * \varphi) \\ = & (Q_\infty(B_1 U + F_1(U)), \partial_x^\alpha (\eta_\epsilon * \varphi)) \\ = & (Q_\infty B_1 U, \partial_x^\alpha \eta_\epsilon * \varphi) - \gamma \{ (Q_\infty(\sigma \nabla \cdot w), \partial_x^\alpha \eta_\epsilon * \varphi) \\ & + (w \cdot \nabla \sigma, \partial_x^\alpha \eta_\epsilon * \varphi) - (Q_1(w \cdot \nabla \sigma), \partial_x^\alpha \eta_\epsilon * \varphi) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty), \varphi) + \gamma (\eta_\epsilon * \nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \varphi) \\ = & (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty B_1 U, \varphi) - \gamma \{ (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty(\sigma \nabla \cdot w), \varphi) \\ & + (\eta_\epsilon * ([\partial_x^\alpha, w] \nabla \sigma), \varphi) - ([\eta_\epsilon *, w \cdot \nabla] \partial_x^\alpha \sigma, \varphi) \\ & - (w \cdot \nabla \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma, \varphi) - (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha Q_1(w \cdot \nabla \sigma), \varphi) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Next, we multiply (25) by $h \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$ and take $\varphi = \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty \in C^\infty \cap L^2$. Integrating the resulting equation over $[0, T]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty\|_2^2 \frac{d}{dt} h dt + \int_0^T (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha (\gamma \nabla \cdot w_\infty), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& = \int_0^T -(\eta_\epsilon * (\partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty B_1 U), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& \quad + \gamma \int_0^T (w \cdot \nabla (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& \quad + \gamma \int_0^T ([\eta_\epsilon *, w \cdot \nabla] \partial_x^\alpha \varphi, \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& \quad + \gamma \int_0^T (\eta_\epsilon * [\partial_x^\alpha, w], \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& \quad - \gamma \int_0^T (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty (\sigma \nabla \cdot w), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& \quad + \gamma \int_0^T (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha Q_1 (w \cdot \nabla \sigma), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt.
\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite this equality to let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The second term on the right hand-side is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& (w \cdot \nabla (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \\
& = (w \cdot \nabla (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) + (w \cdot \nabla (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} (w, \nabla |\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty|^2) + (w \cdot \nabla (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot w, |\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty|^2) + (w \cdot \nabla (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty\|_2^2 \frac{d}{dt} h dt + \gamma \int_0^T (\eta_\epsilon * \nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& = - \int_0^T (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty B_1 U, \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& \quad - \gamma \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot w, |\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty|^2) h dt \\
& \quad + \gamma \int_0^T (w \cdot \nabla (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& \quad + \gamma \int_0^T ([\eta_\epsilon *, w \cdot \nabla] \partial_x^\alpha \varphi, \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& \quad + \gamma \int_0^T (\eta_\epsilon * [\partial_x^\alpha, w] \nabla \sigma, \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& \quad - \gamma \int_0^T (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty (\sigma \nabla \cdot w), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha Q_1 (w \cdot \nabla \sigma), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (26), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty\|_2^2 h dt + \gamma \int_0^T (\nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
= & - \int_0^T (\partial_x^\alpha B_1 U, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& - \gamma \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot w, |\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty|^2) h dt \\
& + \gamma \int_0^T (w \cdot \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& + \gamma \int_0^T ([\partial_x^\alpha, w] \nabla \sigma, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\
& - \gamma \int_0^T (\partial_x^\alpha (\sigma \nabla \cdot w), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt. \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

In fact, as for the third term on the right hand-side of (26), by Lemma 4.2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (w \cdot \nabla (\eta_\epsilon * (\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1)), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \\
\leq & \|w\|_\infty \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1\|_2 \|\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty\|_2 \\
\leq & \|w\|_{H^{s_0}} \|\nabla \sigma_1\|_2 \|\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty\|_2 \in L^1(0, T).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T (w \cdot \nabla (\eta_\epsilon * (\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1)), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) dt \\
\longrightarrow & \int_0^T (w \cdot \nabla (\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The fourth term on the right hand-side of (26) can be shown to go to zero by using Lemma 2.3. In fact, since $\partial_x^\alpha \sigma \in C([0, T]; L^2)$, $w \in L^2(0, T; H^{s_0+1}) \subset L^2(0, T; BC^1)$, applying Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\|[\eta_\epsilon *, w \cdot \nabla] \partial_x^\alpha \sigma\|_2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\epsilon \rightarrow 0),$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$. We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |([\eta_\epsilon *, w \cdot \nabla] \partial_x^\alpha \sigma, \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h| \\
\leq & C \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \|\nabla w(t)\|_\infty \|\partial_x^\alpha \sigma(t)\|_2^2 |h(t)| \\ & \|[\eta_\epsilon *, w \cdot \nabla] \partial_x^\alpha \sigma\|_2 \|\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty\|_2 |h(t)| \end{aligned} \right\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\epsilon \rightarrow 0).
\end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$. Since, $\|\nabla w(t)\|_\infty \leq C \|w(t)\|_{H^{s_0+1}} \in L^2(0, T)$, we see that

$$\int_0^T ([\eta_\epsilon *, w \cdot \nabla] \partial_x^\alpha \sigma, \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \longrightarrow 0.$$

As for the seventh term on the right hand-side of (26), by Lemma 4.2 and the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (\eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha Q_1(w \cdot \nabla \sigma), \eta_\epsilon * \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt \\ \rightarrow & \int_0^T (\partial_x^\alpha Q_1(w \cdot \nabla \sigma), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $(\partial_x^\alpha Q_1(w \cdot \nabla \sigma), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) = (Q_1 \partial_x^\alpha Q_1(w \cdot \nabla \sigma), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) = (\partial_x^\alpha Q_1(w \cdot \nabla \sigma), \partial_x^\alpha Q_1 \sigma_\infty) = 0$.

For the other terms of (26), one can apply the dominated convergence theorem to pass the limit and we obtain (27). It then follows from (27) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty(t)\|_2^2 + \gamma(\nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) &= (\partial_x^\alpha B_1 U, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) - \gamma \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot w, |\partial_x^\alpha \sigma|^2) \\ &\quad + \gamma(w \cdot \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \\ &\quad + \gamma([\partial_x^\alpha, w] \nabla \sigma, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \\ &\quad - \gamma(\partial_x^\alpha(\sigma \nabla \cdot w), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$ and $|\alpha| = s_0$.

When $|\alpha| \leq s_0 - 1$, by simply taking the inner product of $\partial_x^\alpha(22)_1$ with $\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty(t)\|_2^2 + \gamma(\nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) = (\partial_x^\alpha B_1 U, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) + (\partial_x^\alpha F_1 U, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty). \quad (29)$$

We see from (28) and (29) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty(t)\|_2^2 + \gamma(\nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0} (\partial_x^\alpha B_1 U, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0 - 1} (\partial_x^\alpha F_1(U), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha| = s_0} \left(-\frac{\gamma}{\rho_\infty} [\partial_x^\alpha, w \cdot] \nabla \sigma, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty \right) + \sum_{|\alpha| = s_0} \left(-\frac{\gamma}{\rho_\infty} \partial_x^\alpha(\sigma \nabla \cdot w), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha| = s_0} (\nabla \cdot w, |\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty|^2) + \sum_{|\alpha| = s_0} (w \cdot \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_1, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$.

We next consider $(22)_2$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ and let $|\alpha| = s_0$. We take the inner-product of $(22)_2$ with $\partial_x^\alpha \varphi$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \varphi) - \mu_1(\Delta w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \varphi) - \mu_2(\nabla(\nabla \cdot w_\infty), \partial_x^\alpha \varphi) \\ & + \gamma(\nabla \sigma_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \varphi) = (Q_\infty B_2 U, \partial_x^\alpha \varphi) + (Q_\infty F_2(U), \partial_x^\alpha \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_t w_\infty, \varphi \rangle + \mu_1 (\nabla \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \nabla \varphi) \\
& + \mu_2 (\nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \nabla \cdot \varphi) - \gamma (\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty, \nabla \cdot \varphi) \\
& = \sum_{|\gamma|=1} (\partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} Q_\infty B_2 U, \partial_x^\gamma \varphi) + \sum_{|\gamma|=1} (\partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} Q_\infty F_2(U), \partial_x^\gamma \varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that $\sum_{|\gamma|=1} \partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} Q_\infty F_2 \in L^2$, which can be seen from the proof of Lemma 5.7 below. By density, we can set $\varphi = \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty$. So we obtain by Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2^2 + \mu_1 \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2^2 + \mu_2 \|\nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2^2 - \gamma (\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty, \nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty) \\
& = - \sum_{|\gamma|=1} (\partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} B_2 U, \partial_x^\gamma \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty) - \sum_{|\gamma|=1} (\partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} F_2(U), \partial_x^\gamma \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty). \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$.

When $|\alpha| \leq s_0 - 1$, in a similar way as above we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2^2 + \mu_1 \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2^2 + \mu_2 \|\nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2^2 - \gamma (\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty, \nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty) \\
& = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0 - 1} (\partial_x^\alpha B_2 U, \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty) + (\partial_x^\alpha F_2(U), \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty). \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

We see from (31) and (32) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2^2 + \mu_1 \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2^2 + \mu_2 \|\nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2^2 \\
& - \gamma (\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty, \nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty) \\
& = - \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} \sum_{|\gamma|=1} (\partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} B_2 U, \partial_x^\gamma \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty) - \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} \sum_{|\gamma|=1} (\partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} F_2(U), \partial_x^\gamma \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty) \\
& + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0 - 1} (\partial_x^\alpha B_2 U, \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty) + (\partial_x^\alpha F_2(U), \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty). \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

A linear combination of (30) and (33) yields the desired result. \square

We next estimate I_1 and I_3 .

Proposition 5.7. *Let $n \geq 3$. There exists a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1 + |x|) \nabla \Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon, \\
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\sigma(t)\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq \delta,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$M(t) \leq 1$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, then

$$|I_1| + |I_3| \leq C \epsilon \{ (1+t)^{-\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} M^2(t) + \|\nabla^{s_0+1} w_\infty(t)\|_2^2 \}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. Here $C > 0$ is a constant independent of T .

Proof. First we show the estimate of I_1 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &= \left| \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0} (\partial_x^\alpha (w \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho}), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) + (\partial_x^\alpha (\bar{\rho} \cdot \nabla w), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0} (\|\partial_x^\alpha (w \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho})\|_2 + \|\partial_x^\alpha (\bar{\rho} \cdot \nabla w)\|_2) \|\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty\|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6, the terms on the right-hand side of (34) is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^\alpha (w \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho})\|_2 &\leq C\{\|w\|_\infty \|\nabla \bar{\rho}\|_{H^{s_0}} + \|\nabla w\|_{s_0-1} \|\nabla \bar{\rho}\|_{s_0}\} \\ &\leq C\epsilon(1+t)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2})} M(t), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^\alpha (\bar{\rho} \cdot \nabla w)\|_2 &\leq C\|\bar{\rho}\|_{H^{s_0}} \|\nabla w\|_{H^{s_0}} \\ &\leq C\epsilon(1+t)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2})} M(t) + C\epsilon \|\nabla^{s_0+1} w_\infty\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain the estimate of I_1 .

Let us next consider I_3 :

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &= \left| - \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} \sum_{|\gamma|=1} (\partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} B_2 U, \partial_x^{\alpha+\gamma} w_\infty) + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} (\partial_x^\alpha B_2 U, \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty) \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq s_0-1} \|\partial_x^\alpha B_2 U\|_2 \right) \|\nabla w_\infty\|_{H^{s_0}} \end{aligned}$$

We estimate $\|\partial_x^\alpha B_2 U\|_2$ ($|\alpha| \leq s_0 - 1$). We write $B_2 U$ as

$$B_2 U = G_1(\bar{\rho}, x) \Delta w + G_2(\bar{\rho}, x) \nabla(\nabla \cdot w) + G_3(\bar{\rho}, x) \nabla \sigma + G_4(x) \sigma,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(\bar{\rho}, x) &= -\mu_1 \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\rho_*} \\ G_2(\bar{\rho}, x) &= -\mu_2 \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\rho_*} \\ G_3(\bar{\rho}, x) &= -\gamma \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\rho_*} + \frac{\bar{\rho} \rho_\infty}{\gamma \rho_*} \int_0^1 P''(s\bar{\rho} + \rho_\infty) ds, \\ G_4(x) &= -\frac{\rho_\infty \nabla \rho_*}{\gamma} \left(\frac{P''(\rho_*)}{\rho_*} - \frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^\alpha B_2 U\|_2 &\leq C\{\|\bar{\rho}\|_{H^{s_0}} \|\nabla^2 w\|_{H^{s_0-1}} + \|\bar{\rho}\|_{H^{s_0+1}} \|\sigma\|_{H^{s_0}} + \|\partial_x^\alpha G_4(x)\|_2 \|\sigma\|_\infty\} \\ &\leq C\epsilon\{(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{1}{2}} M(t) + \|\nabla^{s_0+1} w_\infty\|_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$|I_3| \leq C\epsilon(1+t)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)} M^2(t) + C\epsilon \|\nabla^{s_0+1} w_\infty\|_2^2.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Let $n \geq 2$. There exists a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$\begin{cases} \|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1+|x|)\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon & (n \geq 3), \\ \Phi = 0 & (n = 2), \end{cases}$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\sigma(t)\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq \delta,$$

and

$$M(t) \leq 1$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, then

$$|I_2| + |I_4| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}} M(t) \{(1+t)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)} M^2(t) + \|\nabla^{s_0+1} w_\infty(t)\|_2^2\}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. Here $C > 0$ is a constant independent of T .

Proof. We first consider the case $n \geq 3$. Let us estimate I_2 . For the first term of I_2 , by Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} (\partial_x^\alpha F_1(U), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) \right| \\ & \leq C(\|\nabla \sigma\|_{H^{s_0-1}} \|w\|_{H^{s_0}} + \|\sigma\|_{H^{s_0}} \|\nabla w\|_{H^{s_0-1}}) \|\sigma_\infty\|_{H^{s_0}} \\ & \leq C\{(1+t)^{-(\frac{3n}{4}+1)} M^3(t) + (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}} M(t) \|\nabla^{s_0+1} w_\infty\|_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6, the second term of I_2 is estimated as

$$\left| \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} \left(-\frac{\gamma}{\rho_\infty} [\partial_x^\alpha, w \cdot] \nabla \sigma, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty \right) \right| \leq C \|\nabla w\|_{H^{s_0}} \|\nabla \sigma\|_{H^{s_0-1}} \|\sigma_\infty\|_{H^{s_0}}$$

We finally, we consider I_4 :

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| &= \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} \sum_{|\gamma|=1} (\partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} F_2(U), \partial_x^{\alpha+\gamma} w_\infty) + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} (\partial_x^\alpha F_2(U), \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=s_0} \sum_{|\gamma|=1} \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\gamma} F_2(U)\|_2 \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\gamma} w_\infty\|_2 + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} \|\partial_x^\alpha F_2(U)\|_2 \|\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} \|\partial_x^\alpha F_2(U)\|_2 \right) \|\nabla w_\infty\|_{H^{s_0}} \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate $\|\partial_x^\alpha F_2(U)\|_2$ for $|\alpha| \leq s_0 - 1$. $F_2(U)$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(U) &= R_0(w) \cdot \nabla w + R_1(\sigma, x) \triangle w + R_2(\sigma, x) \nabla(\nabla \cdot w) \\ &\quad + R_3(\sigma, x) \sigma + R_4(\sigma, x) \nabla \sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_0(w) = -\gamma w$$

$$R_1(\sigma, x) = -\mu_1 \frac{\rho_\infty^2}{\rho_*(\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} \sigma, \quad R_2(\sigma, x) = -\mu_2 \frac{\rho_\infty^2}{\rho_*(\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} \sigma,$$

$$R_3(\sigma, x) = \frac{\rho_\infty^2 \nabla \rho_*}{\gamma} \left(\frac{P''(\rho_*)}{\rho_*(\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} - \frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*^2(\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*} \int_0^1 (1-s) P'''(s\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*) ds \right) \sigma,$$

$$R_4(\sigma, x) = \frac{\rho_\infty^2}{\gamma} \left(\frac{P'(\rho_*)}{\rho_*(\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*)} - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*} \int_0^1 P''(s\rho_\infty \sigma + \rho_*) ds \right) \sigma.$$

From Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^\alpha (R_0(w) \cdot \nabla w)\|_2 &\leq C \|\nabla w\|_{H^{s_0-1}}^2, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha (R_1(\sigma, x) \Delta w)\|_2 &\leq C \|\nabla \sigma\|_{H^{s_0-1}} \|\Delta w\|_{H^{s_0-1}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha (R_2(\sigma, x) \nabla(\nabla \cdot w))\|_2 &\leq C \|\nabla \sigma\|_{H^{s_0-1}} \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot w)\|_{H^{s_0-1}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha (R_3(\sigma, x) \sigma)\|_2 &\leq C \|\nabla \sigma\|_{H^{s_0-1}} \|\sigma\|_{H^{s_0-1}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha (R_4(\sigma, x) \nabla \sigma)\|_2 &\leq C \|\nabla \sigma\|_{H^{s_0-1}} \|\nabla \sigma\|_{H^{s_0-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$|I_4| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}} M(t) \{(1+t)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)} M^2(t) + \|\nabla^{s_0+1} w_\infty(t)\|_2^2\}.$$

When $n = 2$, by using the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities we see that

$$|I_2| + |I_4| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}} M(t) \{(1+t)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)} M^2(t) + \|\nabla^{s_0+1} w_\infty(t)\|_2^2\}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.9. *There holds the inequality*

$$\sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} \frac{d}{dt} (\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty(t), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty(t)\|_2^2 \leq C \|\nabla w_\infty\|_{H^{s_0}}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^4 J_i \quad (35)$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$, where,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} |(\partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty B_1 U, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty)|, & J_2 &= \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} |(\partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty F_1(U), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty)|, \\ J_3 &= C \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} |(\partial_x^\alpha B_2 U, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty)|, & J_4 &= \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} |(\partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty F_2(U), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty)|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $|\alpha| \leq s_0 - 1$. We take the inner-product of $\partial_x^\alpha (22)_2$ with $\partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty) + \gamma \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty\|_2 \\ &= \mu_1 (\partial_x^\alpha \Delta w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty) + \mu_2 (\partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot (\nabla w_\infty), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty) \\ &\quad + (\partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty F_2(U), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty) - (\partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty B_2 U, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

We next take the inner-product of $\partial_x^\alpha (22)_1$ with $-\partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t \sigma_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty) = +\gamma(\partial_x^\alpha (\nabla \cdot w_\infty), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty) \\ & -(\partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty B_1 U, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty) - (\partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty F_1(U), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Since

$$\mu_1(\partial_x^\alpha \Delta w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty) \leq C \|\partial_x^\alpha \Delta w_\infty\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{4} \|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty\|_2^2,$$

and

$$\mu_2(\partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot (\nabla w_\infty), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty) \leq C \|\partial_x^\alpha \Delta w_\infty\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{4} \|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty\|_2^2,$$

by adding (36) and (37), we obtain the desired inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} \frac{d}{dt} (\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty(t), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty(t)\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C(\|\nabla w\|_{H^{s_0}}^2 + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} |(\partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty B_1 U, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty)| + |(\partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty F_1(U), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty)| \\ & \quad + |(\partial_x^\alpha B_2 U, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty)| + |(\partial_x^\alpha Q_\infty F_2(U), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty)|) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. In fact, let $h \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$ and let η_ϵ is standard Friedrichs mollifier, as for the first term on the left hand side of (38)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \eta_\epsilon * \sigma_\infty) h dt \\ & = \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} (\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \eta_\epsilon * \sigma_\infty) h dt - \int_0^T (\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_t (\nabla \eta_\epsilon * \sigma_\infty)) h dt \\ & = - \int_0^T (\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla (\eta_\epsilon * \sigma_\infty)) \frac{d}{dt} h dt + \int_0^T (\partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty, \eta_\epsilon * \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_\infty) h dt. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Since $\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_t \sigma$ and $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t \sigma \in C([0, T]; L^2)$ for $|\alpha| \leq s_0 - 1$, letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (39) we can obtain by similar to proof of Lemma 5.6

$$(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty) = \frac{d}{dt} (\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty) + (\partial_x^\alpha \nabla \cdot w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_t \sigma_\infty)$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 5.10. *Let $n \geq 3$. There exists a $\epsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$\|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1 + |x|)\nabla \Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon,$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\sigma(t)\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq \delta,$$

and

$$M(t) \leq 1$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, then there holds

$$|J_1| + |J_3| \leq C\epsilon\{(1+t)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}M^2(t) + \|\nabla^{s_0+1}w_\infty(t)\|_2^2\}.$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. Here $C > 0$ is a constant independent of T .

The proof is similar to that of Proposition 5.7 . We omit it.

Proposition 5.11. *There exists a $\epsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$\begin{cases} \|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0+1}} + \|(1+|x|)\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon & (n \geq 3), \\ \Phi = 0 & (n = 2), \end{cases}$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\sigma(t)\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq \delta,$$

and

$$M(t) \leq 1$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, then there hold

$$|J_2| + |J_4| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}}M(t)\{(1+t)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}M^2(t) + \|\nabla^{s_0+1}w_\infty(t)\|_2^2\}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. Here $C > 0$ is a constant independent of T .

The proof is similar to that of Proposition 5.8. We omit it.

Proposition 5.12. *There exists a $\epsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$\begin{cases} \|\Phi\|_{H^{s_0}} + \|(1+|x|)\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon & (n \geq 3), \\ \Phi = 0 & (n = 2), \end{cases}$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\sigma(t)\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq \delta,$$

and

$$M(t) \leq 1$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, then there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}E_\infty(t) + C_1E_\infty(t) + C_2D_\infty(t) &\leq C\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}M^2(t) \\ &+ C(1+t)^{-\frac{3n+4}{4}}M^3(t) + C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}}M(t)D_\infty(t) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. Here, $E_\infty(t)$ and $D_\infty(t)$ are equivalent to $\|U_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0}}^2$ and $\|\nabla w_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0}}^2 + \|\nabla \sigma_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0-1}}^2$ respectively. That is, there exist $d_1, d_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{d_1}E_\infty(t) \leq \|U_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0}}^2 \leq d_1E_\infty(t),$$

$$\frac{1}{d_2}D_\infty(t) \leq \|\nabla w_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0}}^2 + \|\nabla \sigma_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0-1}}^2 \leq d_2D_\infty(t).$$

Proof. We add $\kappa \times (23)$ to (35) with a constant $\kappa > 0$ to be determined later. Then, by Proposition 5.7 and Proposition 5.11, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} \|U_\infty\|_{H^{s_0}}^2 + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} (\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty, \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty) \right) \\
& + \kappa \left(\sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0} \mu_1 \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty\|_2^2 + \mu_2 \|\nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty(t)\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla \sigma_\infty\|_{H^{s_0-1}}^2 \\
& \leq C \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} \|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla w_\infty\|_{H^{s_0-1}}^2 + C\epsilon \{ (1+t)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} M(t)^2 + \|\nabla^{s_0+1} w_\infty\|_2^2 \} \\
& + C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}} M(t) \left((1+t)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} M(t)^2 + \|\nabla^{s_0+1} w_\infty\|_2^2 \right). \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\infty(t) &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \|U_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0}}^2 + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0-1} (\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty(t), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty(t)), \\
D_\infty(t) &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0} (\mu_1 \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty(t)\|_2^2 + \mu_2 \|\nabla \cdot \partial_x^\alpha w_\infty(t)\|_2^2) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla \sigma_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0-1}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For each $\kappa > 0$, $D_\infty(t)$ and $\|\nabla w_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0}}^2 + \|\nabla \sigma_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0-1}}^2$ are equivalent. Since

$$\left| \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s_0} (\partial_x^\alpha w_\infty(t), \partial_x^\alpha \nabla \sigma_\infty(t)) \right| \leq C' \|U_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0}}^2,$$

if κ is fixed in such a way that $\kappa > 2C'$, then one can see that $E_\infty(t)$ and $\|U_\infty(t)\|_{H^{s_0}}^2$ are equivalent. With this $\kappa > 0$, we see from (41) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} E_\infty(t) + 2C_2 D_\infty \leq C\epsilon (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} M^2(t) \\
& + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3n+4}{4}} M^3(t) + C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}} M(t) D_\infty(t). \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$E_\infty(t) \leq C D_\infty(t).$$

This, together with (42), gives the desired inequality (40). \square

5.3 Proof of Theorem 3.4.

Proposition 5.13. *There exists a constant $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that if*

$$\|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}^2 \leq \epsilon_2,$$

then there holds

$$M(t) \leq C \|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$, where the constant C does not depend on T .

Proof. By (40) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_\infty(t) + C_2 \int_0^t e^{-C_1(t-\tau)} D_\infty(\tau) d\tau \\
& \leq e^{-C_1 t} E_\infty(0) + C\epsilon M^2(t) \int_0^t e^{-C_1(t-\tau)} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} d\tau \\
& \quad + C \left\{ M^3(t) \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{3n+4}{4}} e^{-C_1(t-\tau)} d\tau \right. \\
& \quad \left. + M(t) \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4}} e^{-C_1(t-\tau)} D_\infty(\tau) d\tau \right\} \\
& \leq e^{-C_1 t} E_\infty(0) + C\epsilon (1+t)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} M^2(t) + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3n+4}{4}} M^3(t) \\
& \quad + CM(t) \int_0^t e^{-C_1(t-\tau)} D_\infty(\tau) d\tau. \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

We set $\mathcal{D}_\infty(t) := (1+t)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \int_0^t e^{-C_1(t-\tau)} D_\infty(\tau) d\tau$. Since $\frac{3n+4}{4} > \frac{n+2}{2}$, we see from (43) that

$$M_\infty^2(t) + C_2 \mathcal{D}_\infty(t) \leq C(E_\infty(0) + \epsilon M^2(t) + M^3(t) + CM(t) \mathcal{D}_\infty(t)).$$

This, together with Proposition 5.3, gives

$$M^2(t) + C_2 \mathcal{D}_\infty(t) \leq C(E_\infty(0) + \|U_0\|_1^2 + M^4(t) + M^3(t) + M(t) \mathcal{D}_\infty(t) + \epsilon M^2(t)).$$

By taking $\epsilon > 0$ suitable small, we obtain

$$M^2(t) + C'_2 \mathcal{D}_\infty(t) \leq C_3(\|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}^2 + M^3(t) + M(t) \mathcal{D}_\infty(t)). \tag{44}$$

We observe that there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$M(0) \leq C_4 \|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}.$$

Since $M(t)$ is continuous in t , there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$M(t) < 2C_4 \|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}$$

for all $t \in [0, t_0]$. Moreover there exists constants $C_6 > 0$ and C_7 such that

$$\|\sigma_0\|_{H^{s_0}} + \|w_0\|_{H^{s_0}} \leq C_6 M(0).$$

We set $C_5 := \max\{\sqrt{\frac{C_3}{2}}, C_4\}$, and take ϵ_2 in such a way that $0 < \epsilon_2 < \min\{\frac{1}{4C_5^2}, \frac{\delta}{4C_5^2}, \frac{\epsilon_1^2}{C_4^2 C_6^2}, \frac{1}{16C_3^2 C_5^2}, \frac{C'_2}{16C_3^2 C_5^2}\}$. We will show $M(t) < 2C_5 \|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}$, $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Assume that there exists $t_1 \in (t_0, T]$ such that

$$M(t) < 2C_5 \|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}$$

for $0 \leq t < t_1$ and

$$M(t_1) = 2C_5 \|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}.$$

It then follows from (44) that

$$\begin{aligned} M^2(t) + C'_2 \mathcal{D}_\infty(t) &\leq C_3 \|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}^2 + C_3 M(t) (M^2(t) + \mathcal{D}_\infty(t)) \\ &< C_3 \|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (M^2(t) + C'_2 \mathcal{D}_\infty(t)) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, t_1]$, and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} M^2(t) + C'_2 \mathcal{D}_\infty(t) &< 2C_3 (E_\infty(0) + \|U_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^2}^2) \\ &\leq 4C_5^2 \|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, t_1]$. But this contradicts to $M(t_1) = 2C_5 \|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}$. We thus conclude that

$$M(t) < 2C_5 \|U_0\|_{H^{s_0} \cap L^1}$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T$. □

It follows from Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 5.13 that

$$M(t) \leq C_0 \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Hence we obtain the desired decay estimate in Theorem 3.4.

Acknowledgment: The author would like to thank Professor Yoshiyuki Kagei for his valuable advice.

References

- [1] R. Duan, H. Liu, S. Ukai, T. Yang, Optimal L^p - L^q convergence rates for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with potential force, J. Differential Equations **238** (2007), 220-233.
- [2] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equation*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1998.
- [3] G. B. Folland, *Real Analysis Modern Techniques and Their Applications*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1999.
- [4] Y. Kagei, T. Kobayashi, Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of the Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations on the Half Space, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **177** (2005), 231-330.
- [5] Y. Kagei, S. Kawashima, Stability of Planar Stationary Solutions to the Compressible Navier-Stokes Equation on the Half Space, Commun. Math. Phys. **266** (2006), 401-430.

- [6] Y. Kagei, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a parallel flow, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **205** (2012), 585-650.
- [7] M. Kawashita, On global solution of Cauchy problems for compressible Navier-Stokes equation , Nonlinear Analysis **48** (2002), 1087-1105.
- [8] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equation of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A **55** (1979), 337-342.
- [9] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida, The initial value problems for the equation of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive gases, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **20** (1980), 67-104.
- [10] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equation of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids. Commun. Math. Phys. **89** (1983), 445-464.
- [11] S. Mizohata, *The Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, S. Cambridge 1979.
- [12] S. Ukai, T. Yang, H-J. Zhao, Convergence rate for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with external force, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **3** (2006), 561-574.
- [13] Y. Wang, Z. Tan, Global existence and optimal decay rate for the strong solution in H^2 to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation, Applied Mathematics Letters **24** (2011), 1778-1784.

List of MI Preprint Series, Kyushu University

The Global COE Program

Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub

MI

- MI2008-1 Takahiro ITO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI
Abstract collision systems simulated by cellular automata
- MI2008-2 Eiji ONODERA
The initial value problem for a third-order dispersive flow into compact almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-3 Hiroaki KIDO
On isosceles sets in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space
- MI2008-4 Hirofumi NOTSU
Numerical computations of cavity flow problems by a pressure stabilized characteristic-curve finite element scheme
- MI2008-5 Yoshiyasu OZEKI
Torsion points of abelian varieties with values in infinite extensions over a p-adic field
- MI2008-6 Yoshiyuki TOMIYAMA
Lifting Galois representations over arbitrary number fields
- MI2008-7 Takehiro HIROTSU & Setsuo TANIGUCHI
The random walk model revisited
- MI2008-8 Silvia GANDY, Masaaki KANNO, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA
Optimizing a particular real root of a polynomial by a special cylindrical algebraic decomposition
- MI2008-9 Kazufumi KIMOTO, Sho MATSUMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA
Alpha-determinant cyclic modules and Jacobi polynomials
- MI2008-10 Sangyeol LEE & Hiroki MASUDA
Jarque-Bera Normality Test for the Driving Lévy Process of a Discretely Observed Univariate SDE
- MI2008-11 Hiroyuki CHIHARA & Eiji ONODERA
A third order dispersive flow for closed curves into almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-12 Takehiko KINOSHITA, Kouji HASHIMOTO and Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO
On the L^2 a priori error estimates to the finite element solution of elliptic problems with singular adjoint operator
- MI2008-13 Jacques FARAUT and Masato WAKAYAMA
Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type and multivariate Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials

- MI2008-14 Takashi NAKAMURA
Riemann zeta-values, Euler polynomials and the best constant of Sobolev inequality
- MI2008-15 Takashi NAKAMURA
Some topics related to Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions
- MI2009-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Global time evolution of viscous vortex rings
- MI2009-2 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI
Regularized functional regression modeling for functional response and predictors
- MI2009-3 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI
Variable selection for functional regression model via the L_1 regularization
- MI2009-4 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-5 Toshiro HIRANOUCI & Yuichiro TAGUCHI
Flat modules and Groebner bases over truncated discrete valuation rings
- MI2009-6 Kenji KAJIWARA & Yasuhiro OHTA
Bilinearization and Casorati determinant solutions to non-autonomous 1+1 dimensional discrete soliton equations
- MI2009-7 Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around the plane Couette flow
- MI2009-8 Shohei TATEISHI, Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear regression modeling via the lasso-type regularization
- MI2009-9 Takeshi TAKAISHI & Masato KIMURA
Phase field model for mode III crack growth in two dimensional elasticity
- MI2009-10 Shingo SAITO
Generalisation of Mack's formula for claims reserving with arbitrary exponents for the variance assumption
- MI2009-11 Kenji KAJIWARA, Masanobu KANEKO, Atsushi NOBE & Teruhisa TSUDA
Ultradiscretization of a solvable two-dimensional chaotic map associated with the Hesse cubic curve
- MI2009-12 Tetsu MASUDA
Hypergeometric q -functions of the q -Painlevé system of type $E_8^{(1)}$
- MI2009-13 Hidenao IWANE, Hitoshi YANAMI, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA
A Practical Implementation of a Symbolic-Numeric Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition for Quantifier Elimination
- MI2009-14 Yasunori MAEKAWA
On Gaussian decay estimates of solutions to some linear elliptic equations and its applications

- MI2009-15 Yuya ISHIHARA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Large time behavior of the semigroup on L^p spaces associated with the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation in a cylindrical domain
- MI2009-16 Chikashi ARITA, Atsuo KUNIBA, Kazumitsu SAKAI & Tsuyoshi SAWABE
Spectrum in multi-species asymmetric simple exclusion process on a ring
- MI2009-17 Masato WAKAYAMA & Keitaro YAMAMOTO
Non-linear algebraic differential equations satisfied by certain family of elliptic functions
- MI2009-18 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Local Instability of an Elliptical Flow Subjected to a Coriolis Force
- MI2009-19 Mitsunori KAYANO & Sadanori KONISHI
Sparse functional principal component analysis via regularized basis expansions and its application
- MI2009-20 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-21 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Yoshihiro MIWA & Masanobu KANEKO
Elliptic curves and Fibonacci numbers arising from Lindenmayer system with symbolic computations
- MI2009-22 Eiji ONODERA
A remark on the global existence of a third order dispersive flow into locally Hermitian symmetric spaces
- MI2009-23 Stjepan LUGOMER & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Generation of ribbons, helicoids and complex scherk surface in laser-matter Interactions
- MI2009-24 Yu KAWAKAMI
Recent progress in value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss map
- MI2009-25 Takehiko KINOSHITA & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO
On very accurate enclosure of the optimal constant in the a priori error estimates for H_0^2 -projection
- MI2009-26 Manabu YOSHIDA
Ramification of local fields and Fontaine's property (Pm)
- MI2009-27 Yu KAWAKAMI
Value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss maps for flat fronts in hyperbolic three-space
- MI2009-28 Masahisa TABATA
Numerical simulation of fluid movement in an hourglass by an energy-stable finite element scheme
- MI2009-29 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA
Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to evolution equations in the presence of translation and scaling invariance

- MI2009-30 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA
On asymptotic behaviors of solutions to parabolic systems modelling chemotaxis
- MI2009-31 Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI
Hecke's zeros and higher depth determinants
- MI2009-32 Olivier PIRONNEAU & Masahisa TABATA
Stability and convergence of a Galerkin-characteristics finite element scheme of lumped mass type
- MI2009-33 Chikashi ARITA
Queueing process with excluded-volume effect
- MI2009-34 Kenji KAJIWARA, Nobutaka NAKAZONO & Teruhisa TSUDA
Projective reduction of the discrete Painlevé system of type $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$
- MI2009-35 Yosuke MIZUYAMA, Takamasa SHINDE, Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI
Finite element computation for scattering problems of micro-hologram using DtN map
- MI2009-36 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
Exact simulation of finite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2009-37 Hiroki MASUDA
On statistical aspects in calibrating a geometric skewed stable asset price model
- MI2010-1 Hiroki MASUDA
Approximate self-weighted LAD estimation of discretely observed ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2010-2 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
Infinite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with discrete observations
- MI2010-3 Kei HIROSE, Shuichi KAWANO, Daisuke MIIKE & Sadanori KONISHI
Hyper-parameter selection in Bayesian structural equation models
- MI2010-4 Nobuyuki IKEDA & Setsuo TANIGUCHI
The Itô-Nisio theorem, quadratic Wiener functionals, and 1-solitons
- MI2010-5 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear regression modeling and detecting change point via the relevance vector machine
- MI2010-6 Shuichi KAWANO, Toshihiro MISUMI & Sadanori KONISHI
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via graph-based regularization
- MI2010-7 Teruhisa TSUDA
UC hierarchy and monodromy preserving deformation
- MI2010-8 Takahiro ITO
Abstract collision systems on groups

- MI2010-9 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Kinji KIMURA, Naoki YOSHIDA, Junko TANAKA & Yoshihiro MIWA
An algebraic approach to underdetermined experiments
- MI2010-10 Kei HIROSE & Sadanori KONISHI
Variable selection via the grouped weighted lasso for factor analysis models
- MI2010-11 Katsusuke NABESHIMA & Hiroshi YOSHIDA
Derivation of specific conditions with Comprehensive Groebner Systems
- MI2010-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI, Yu NAGAFUCHI & Takeshi SUDOU
Decay estimates on solutions of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a Poiseuille type flow
- MI2010-13 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
On simulation of tempered stable random variates
- MI2010-14 Yoshiyasu OZEKI
Non-existence of certain Galois representations with a uniform tame inertia weight
- MI2010-15 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Local Instability of a Rotating Flow Driven by Precession of Arbitrary Frequency
- MI2010-16 Yu KAWAKAMI & Daisuke NAKAJO
The value distribution of the Gauss map of improper affine spheres
- MI2010-17 Kazunori YASUTAKE
On the classification of rank 2 almost Fano bundles on projective space
- MI2010-18 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
Scaling limits for the system of semi-relativistic particles coupled to a scalar bose field
- MI2010-19 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
Local asymptotic normality for normal inverse Gaussian Lévy processes with high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-20 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO, Makoto HIROTA & Youichi MIE
Lagrangian approach to weakly nonlinear stability of an elliptical flow
- MI2010-21 Hiroki MASUDA
Approximate quadratic estimating function for discretely observed Lévy driven SDEs with application to a noise normality test
- MI2010-22 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
A Generalized Scaling Limit and its Application to the Semi-Relativistic Particles System Coupled to a Bose Field with Removing Ultraviolet Cutoffs
- MI2010-23 Takahiro ITO, Mitsuhiro FUJIO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI
Composition, union and division of cellular automata on groups
- MI2010-24 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
A Hardy's Uncertainty Principle Lemma in Weak Commutation Relations of Heisenberg-Lie Algebra

- MI2010-25 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
On the Essential Self-Adjointness of Anti-Commutative Operators
- MI2010-26 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
On the local asymptotic behavior of the likelihood function for Meixner Lévy processes under high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-27 Chikashi ARITA & Daichi YANAGISAWA
Exclusive Queueing Process with Discrete Time
- MI2010-28 Jun-ichi INOGUCHI, Kenji KAJIWARA, Nozomu MATSUURA & Yasuhiro OHTA
Motion and Bäcklund transformations of discrete plane curves
- MI2010-29 Takanori YASUDA, Masaya YASUDA, Takeshi SHIMOYAMA & Jun KOGURE
On the Number of the Pairing-friendly Curves
- MI2010-30 Chikashi ARITA & Kohei MOTEGI
Spin-spin correlation functions of the q -VBS state of an integer spin model
- MI2010-31 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear regression modeling and spike detection via Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2010-32 Nobutaka NAKAZONO
Hypergeometric τ functions of the q -Painlevé systems of type $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$
- MI2010-33 Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Global existence of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around parallel flows
- MI2010-34 Nobushige KUROKAWA, Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI
Milnor-Selberg zeta functions and zeta regularizations
- MI2010-35 Kissani PERERA & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI
Laplacian energy of directed graphs and minimizing maximum outdegree algorithms
- MI2010-36 Takanori YASUDA
CAP representations of inner forms of $Sp(4)$ with respect to Klingen parabolic subgroup
- MI2010-37 Chikashi ARITA & Andreas SCHADSCHNEIDER
Dynamical analysis of the exclusive queueing process
- MI2011-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Alexander B. SAMOKHIN
Singular electromagnetic modes in an anisotropic medium
- MI2011-2 Hiroki KONDO, Shingo SAITO & Setsuo TANIGUCHI
Asymptotic tail dependence of the normal copula
- MI2011-3 Takehiro HIROTSU, Hiroki KONDO, Shingo SAITO, Takuya SATO, Tatsushi TANAKA & Setsuo TANIGUCHI
Anderson-Darling test and the Malliavin calculus
- MI2011-4 Hiroshi INOUE, Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear regression modeling via Compressed Sensing

- MI2011-5 Hiroshi INOUE
Implications in Compressed Sensing and the Restricted Isometry Property
- MI2011-6 Daeju KIM & Sadanori KONISHI
Predictive information criterion for nonlinear regression model based on basis expansion methods
- MI2011-7 Shohei TATEISHI, Chiaki KINJYO & Sadanori KONISHI
Group variable selection via relevance vector machine
- MI2011-8 Jan BREZINA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Decay properties of solutions to the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow
Group variable selection via relevance vector machine
- MI2011-9 Chikashi ARITA, Arvind AYYER, Kirone MALLICK & Sylvain PROLHAC
Recursive structures in the multispecies TASEP
- MI2011-10 Kazunori YASUTAKE
On projective space bundle with nef normalized tautological line bundle
- MI2011-11 Hisashi ANDO, Mike HAY, Kenji KAJIWARA & Tetsu MASUDA
An explicit formula for the discrete power function associated with circle patterns of Schramm type
- MI2011-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a parallel flow
- MI2011-13 Vladimír CHALUPECKÝ & Adrian MUNTEAN
Semi-discrete finite difference multiscale scheme for a concrete corrosion model: approximation estimates and convergence
- MI2011-14 Jun-ichi INOGUCHI, Kenji KAJIWARA, Nozomu MATSUURA & Yasuhiro OHTA
Explicit solutions to the semi-discrete modified KdV equation and motion of discrete plane curves
- MI2011-15 Hiroshi INOUE
A generalization of restricted isometry property and applications to compressed sensing
- MI2011-16 Yu KAWAKAMI
A ramification theorem for the ratio of canonical forms of flat surfaces in hyperbolic three-space
- MI2011-17 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA
Matroid intersection with priority constraints
- MI2012-1 Kazufumi KIMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA
Spectrum of non-commutative harmonic oscillators and residual modular forms
- MI2012-2 Hiroki MASUDA
Mighty convergence of the Gaussian quasi-likelihood random fields for ergodic Levy driven SDE observed at high frequency

- MI2012-3 Hiroshi INOUE
A Weak RIP of theory of compressed sensing and LASSO
- MI2012-4 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Youich MIE
Hamiltonian bifurcation theory for a rotating flow subject to elliptic straining field
- MI2012-5 Yu KAWAKAMI
On the maximal number of exceptional values of Gauss maps for various classes of surfaces
- MI2012-6 Marcio GAMEIRO, Yasuaki HIRAOKA, Shunsuke IZUMI, Miroslav KRAMAR, Konstantin MISCHAIKOW & Vidit NANDA
Topological Measurement of Protein Compressibility via Persistence Diagrams
- MI2012-7 Nobutaka NAKAZONO & Seiji NISHIOKA
Solutions to a q -analog of Painlevé III equation of type $D_7^{(1)}$
- MI2012-8 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA
A new approach to the Pareto stable matching problem
- MI2012-9 Jan BREZINA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Spectral properties of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow
- MI2012-10 Jan BREZINA
Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a time-periodic parallel flow
- MI2012-11 Daeju KIM, Shuichi KAWANO & Yoshiyuki NINOMIYA
Adaptive basis expansion via the extended fused lasso
- MI2012-12 Masato WAKAYAMA
On simplicity of the lowest eigenvalue of non-commutative harmonic oscillators
- MI2012-13 Masatoshi OKITA
On the convergence rates for the compressible Navier- Stokes equations with potential force