On the $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy of a rational homology three-sphere

Takata, Toshie

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

<http://hdl.handle.net/2324/25493>

出版情報 : International Journal of Mathematics. 22 (4), pp.465-482, 2011-04. World Scientific Publishing Company

バージョン :

権利関係 : (C) 2012 World Scientific Publishing Company

ON THE $SO(N)$ AND $Sp(N)$ FREE ENERGY OF A RATIONAL HOMOLOGY 3-SPHERE

TOSHIE TAKATA

Dedicated to Professor Akio Kawauchi on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, JAPAN
ttakata@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT. We give an explicit presentation of the $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy of lens spaces and show that the genus g terms of it are analytic in a neighborhood at zero, where we can choose the neighborhood independently of g . Moreover, we prove that for any rational homology 3-sphere M and any g , the genus g terms of $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy of M agree up to sign. We also observe new weight systems related to the free energy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G_N be a compact Lie group parameterized by N such as $SU(N)$, $SO(N)$ or $Sp(N)$, and let $\mathfrak{g}_N$ be the Lie algebra of G_N . The LMO invariant $Z_M \in \mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$ [6] of a closed 3-manifold M is presented by a linear sum of (a kind of) trivalent graphs, where $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$ denotes the $\mathbb{Q}$ vector space spanned by such trivalent graphs (subject to some relations). The $\mathfrak{g}_N$ weight system $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}$ is a map $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[h]]$ which “substitutes” $\mathfrak{g}_N$ to trivalent graphs, such that $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}(D)$ of a trivalent graph D of degree d is defined to be h^d times some polynomial in N of degree $\leq d + 2$. When we fix a value of N , $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}(\log Z_M)$ is a power series in h with $\mathbb{Q}$ coefficients, which presents the perturbative expansion of the path integral of the Chern-Simons theory on the trivial G_N bundle over M . When we regard N as a variable, the weight system can be regarded as a map $W_{\mathfrak{g}_*} : \mathcal{A}(\emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[N][[h]]$, and $W_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(\log Z_M)$ is a power series in h whose coefficients are polynomials in N . Putting τ to be Nh if $G_N = SU(N)$, $(N - 1)h$ if $G_N = SO(N)$, and $(N + 1)h$ if $G_N = Sp(N)$, $W_{\mathfrak{g}_*}(\log Z_M)$ is a power series in τ and h . We denote it by $F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h) \in h^{-2}\mathbb{Q}[[\tau, h]]$, and call it the G_N free energy of M [5]. Further, we put the coefficient of h^{g-2} in $F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h)$ to be $F_{M,g}^{G_N}(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}[[\tau]]$, i.e.,

$$F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} h^{g-2} F_{M,g}^{G_N}(\tau),$$

where the value of g implies the genus of some surface appearing in the definition of the weight system.

Recently, in [4], S. Garoufalidis, T.T.Q. Le and M. Mariño proved that the power series $F_{M,g}^{SU(N)}(\tau)$ of a closed oriented 3-manifold M for any g is analytic in a neighborhood of zero, where the neighborhood is independent of g , and gave an explicit presentation of the $SU(N)$ free energy for lens spaces to illustrate the analyticity. Further, S. Sinha and C. Vafa [8] gave a formula of the $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy of S^3 from Chern-Simons gauge theory.

In this paper, when $G_N = SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$, we give an explicit presentation of the G_N free energy for lens spaces, and show that $F_{L(d,b),g}^{G_N}(\tau)$ of the lens space $L(d,b)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of zero, where we can choose the neighborhood independently of g (Theorem 2). This analyticity has been conjectured by Le, Garoufalidis and Mariño [4]. Moreover, we show that for any g , the genus g terms of $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy agree up to sign (Corollary 2) and observe new weight systems related to the G_N free energy (Section 4).

An idea of the proof of Theorem 2 is to use a presentation of $F_{L(d,b),g}^{G_N}(\tau)$ given in [4], which is a presentation in terms of the sum of some function of h over positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}_N$. We calculate this sum concretely when $G_N = SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$, to present $F_{L(d,b),g}^{G_N}(\tau)$ by a function of τ and h .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the definition of the G_N free energy and results on the $SU(N)$ free energy for lens spaces obtained by Garoufalidis, Le and Mariño. In Section 3, we present an explicit presentation of the $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy for lens spaces and study these analyticity. We also show that the genus g terms of $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy for a rational homology 3-sphere agree up to sign. In Section 4, we recall properties of the $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ and $\mathfrak{so}_N$ weight systems and observe new weight systems related to the free energy. In Section 5, we prove a relation between the $\mathfrak{so}_N$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_N$ weight systems.

Acknowledgment The author wishes to thank S. Garoufalidis, T. Ohtsuki, D. Bar-Natan, T.T.Q. Le, and M. Mariño for valuable comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we review the definition of the free energy and some results about the $SU(N)$ free energy of lens spaces in [4].

We briefly review the LMO invariant Z_M of a closed oriented 3-manifold M , constructed by T.T.Q. Le, J. Murakami and T. Ohtsuki in [6]. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$ the vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ spanned by trivalent graphs whose vertices are oriented, modulo the AS, IHX and STU relations and denote by $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)_{\text{conn}}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$ spanned by connected trivalent graphs. The degree of a trivalent graph is half the number of vertices. The LMO invariant Z_M takes values in $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$. It is known that $\log Z_M$ takes values in $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)_{\text{conn}}$.

Let us recall the weight system associated with a semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. It is known that for a semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, one obtains a $\mathbb{Q}$ linear map $W_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{A}(\emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[h]]$, called the weight system associated with $\mathfrak{g}$ (for general references, see [2, 7]). From a trivalent graph D of degree d in $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)$, $W_{\mathfrak{g}}(D)$ is obtained by substituting $\mathfrak{g}$ into D , contracting a tensor at vertices and multiplying by h^d . When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_N = \mathfrak{sl}_N, \mathfrak{so}_N$ or $\mathfrak{sp}_N$, regarding N as a variable, $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}(D)$ of a connected trivalent graph D of degree d is h^d times some polynomial in N of degree $\leq d + 2$ by Lemma 1 below, and we regard the weight system $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}$ as a map $W_{\mathfrak{g}_*} : \mathcal{A}(\emptyset) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[N][[h]]$.

Lemma 1. *For $\mathfrak{g}_N = \mathfrak{sl}_N, \mathfrak{so}_N, \mathfrak{sp}_N$ and a connected trivalent graph D of degree d , $W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}(D)$ can be presented in the following form,*

$$(1) \quad W_{\mathfrak{g}_N}(D) = \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} a_{\mathfrak{g}_N, g}(D) N^{d+2-g} h^d,$$

for some $a_{\mathfrak{g}_N, g}(D) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We show a proof of the lemma in Section 4.

Let G_N be a simple compact Lie group $SU(N)$, $SO(N)$ or $Sp(N)$ and let $\mathfrak{g}_N$ be the Lie algebra of G_N . Putting τ to be Nh for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}$, $(N-1)h$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}$, and $(N+1)h$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}$,

$W_{\mathfrak{g},*}(D)$ has the following form,

$$(2) \quad W_{\mathfrak{g},*}(D) = \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} c_{\mathfrak{g},g}(D) \tau^{d+2-g} h^{g-2},$$

for some $c_{\mathfrak{g},g}(D) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\log Z_M \in A(\emptyset)_{conn}$, $W_{\mathfrak{g},*}(\log Z_M)$ can be presented in the following form,

$$(3) \quad W_{\mathfrak{g},*}(\log Z_M) = \sum_{d>0} \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} c_{\mathfrak{g},d,g}(M) \tau^{d+2-g} h^{g-2} \in h^{-2} \mathbb{Q}[[\tau, h]],$$

for some $c_{\mathfrak{g},d,g}(M) \in \mathbb{Q}$. As in [4], we define the G_N free energy of a rational homology 3-sphere M by

$$F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h) := W_{\mathfrak{g},*}(\log Z_M) \in h^{-2} \mathbb{Q}[[\tau, h]],$$

and put the coefficient of h^{g-2} in $F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h)$ to be $F_{M,g}^{G_N}(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}[[\tau]]$, i.e.,

$$F_M^{G_N}(\tau, h) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} F_{M,g}^{G_N}(\tau) h^{g-2}.$$

Let us review a presentation of the G_N free energy of a lens space given in [4]. Let $L(d, b)$ be the lens space of type (d, b) . It is shown in [4] that, for any semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$(4) \quad W_{\mathfrak{g},*}(Z_{L(d,b)}) = \exp \left(\frac{\lambda_{L(d,b)}}{4} C_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot \dim \mathfrak{g} \cdot h \right) d^{|\Psi_+|} \prod_{\alpha \in \Psi_+} \frac{\sinh((\alpha, \rho)h/(2d))}{\sinh((\alpha, \rho)h/2)},$$

where λ_M denotes Casson-Walker invariant for a rational homology 3-sphere M , Ψ_+ denotes the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, $|\Psi_+|$ denotes the number of positive roots, and $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the quadratic Casimir of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $F_{L(d,b)}^{G_N}(\tau, h) = W_{\mathfrak{g},*}(\log Z_{L(d,b)}) = \log W_{\mathfrak{g},*}(Z_{L(d,b)})$ by definition, we obtain the following proposition from (4).

Proposition 2 ([4, Proposition 6.1]).

$$(5) \quad F_{L(d,b)}^{G_N}(\tau, h) = \frac{\lambda_{L(d,b)}}{4} C_{\mathfrak{g}_N} \cdot \dim \mathfrak{g}_N \cdot h + \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_+} (f((\alpha, \rho)h/d) - f((\alpha, \rho)h)),$$

where we define the function f by

$$f(x) := \log \left(\frac{\sinh(x/2)}{x/2} \right).$$

By a concrete computation of (5) in the case that $G_N = SU(N)$, Garoufalidis, Le, and Mariño gave an explicit presentation of the $SU(N)$ free energy of the lens space $L(d, b)$:

Theorem 3 ([4, Theorem 1.4]). *The $SU(N)$ free energy of the lens space $L(d, b)$ is presented by*

$$F_{L(d,b),g}^{SU(N)}(\tau) = \begin{cases} (g-1) \frac{B_g}{g!} (d^{2-g} \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau/d}) - \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau})) + a_g(\tau), & \text{if } g \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } g \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$a_g(\tau) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\tau^3}{12}(d^{-1} - 1) - \frac{\pi^2 \tau}{6}(d - 1) + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \log d + (d^2 - 1)\zeta(3) + \lambda_{L(d,b)} \frac{\tau^3}{2} & \text{if } g = 0, \\ -\frac{\tau}{24}(d^{-1} - 1) + \frac{1}{12} \log d - \lambda_{L(d,b)} \frac{\tau}{2} & \text{if } g = 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Here the k th Bernoulli number B_k is defined by the generating series

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \frac{x^k}{k!},$$

and the polylogarithm function Li_p is defined by

$$\text{Li}_p(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n^p}$$

for any integer p and $\zeta(3) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3}$.

One sees that the power series $F_{L(d,b),g}^{SU(N)}(\tau)$ with even g are analytic in a common neighborhood at zero, independently of g . Moreover, it is proved in [4] that for any closed 3-manifold M , the power series $F_{M,g}^{SU(N)}(\tau)$ with even g is analytic in a neighborhood at zero, where the neighborhood can be chosen independently of g . They conjectured such analyticity of the $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy of a closed oriented 3-manifold M , which was discussed in [5].

In the next section, when $G_N = SO(N)$ or $Sp(N)$, by a concrete computation of the second term in the formula (5), we show their conjecture for lens spaces.

3. RESULTS

In this section, we give an explicit presentation of the $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy for lens spaces and show that the genus g terms of $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy for a rational homology 3-sphere agree up to sign.

We have

Theorem 4. *The $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy of the lens space $L(d, b)$ is presented by*

$$F_{L(d,b),g}^{G_N}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \{ (g-1) \frac{B_g}{g!} (d^{2-g} \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau/d}) - \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau})) + a_g(\tau) \} & \text{if } g \text{ is even,} \\ \varepsilon_{G_N} \left[\frac{(2^{g-2}-1)B_{g-1}}{(g-1)!} \left\{ d^{2-g} (2^{2-g} \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau/2d}) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau/d})) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - 2^{2-g} \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau/2}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_{3-g}(e^{\tau}) \right\} + a'_g(\tau) \right] & \text{if } g \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where ε_{G_N} is 1 for $G_N = SO(N)$ and -1 for $G_N = Sp(N)$,

$$a_g(\tau) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\tau^3}{12}(d^{-1}-1) - \frac{\pi^2 \tau}{6}(d-1) + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \log d + (d^2-1)\zeta(3) + \lambda_{L(d,b)} \frac{\tau^3}{2} & \text{if } g=0, \\ -\frac{\tau}{24}(d^{-1}-1) + \frac{1}{12} \log d - \lambda_{L(d,b)} \frac{\tau}{2} & \text{if } g=2, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

$$a'_g(\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau}{2} \log d - \frac{\pi^2}{4}(d-1) & \text{if } g=1, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $F_{L(d,b),g}^{SO(N)}(\tau)$ and $F_{L(d,b),g}^{Sp(N)}(\tau)$ are analytic in a neighborhood at zero, where we can choose the neighborhood independently of g .

Proof. In the case that $G_N = SO(N)$ with even $N = 2n$, we show the required formula by calculating the right-hand side of (5) as follows.

The first term of the right-hand side of (5) is given by

$$\frac{\lambda_{L(d,b)}}{4} C_{\mathfrak{so}_N} \cdot \dim \mathfrak{so}_N \cdot h = \frac{\lambda_{L(d,b)}}{4} N(N-1)(N-2)h = \frac{\lambda_{L(d,b)}}{4} \left(\frac{\tau^3}{h^2} - \tau \right),$$

where $\tau = (N-1)h$.

We calculate the second term of the right-hand side of (5). For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $m(j)$ be the number of positive roots α such that $(\alpha, \rho) = j$. By definition,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_+} f((\alpha, \rho)h) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} m(j) f(jh).$$

Further, by Lemma 5 below,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_+} f((\alpha, \rho)h) &= \sum_{\substack{j: \text{odd} \\ 1 \leq j \leq n-1}} \frac{2n-j+1}{2} f(jh) + \sum_{\substack{j: \text{even} \\ 1 \leq j \leq n-1}} \frac{2n-j}{2} f(jh) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{j: \text{odd} \\ n \leq j \leq 2n-3}} \frac{2n-j-1}{2} f(jh) + \sum_{\substack{j: \text{even} \\ n \leq j \leq 2n-3}} \frac{2n-j-2}{2} f(jh). \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of $\sinh$, we have the following presentation of $f(x)$,

$$(6) \quad f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} x^{2k},$$

where B_k is the k th Bernoulli number. So, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_+} f((\alpha, \rho)h) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} h^{2k} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2n-2} \frac{2n-j-1}{2} j^{2k} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} j^{2k} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j: \text{even} \\ 1 \leq j \leq 2n-2}} j^{2k} \right\} \\ (7) \quad &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} h^{2k} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2n-2} \frac{2n-j-1}{2} j^{2k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} h^{2k} (1 - 2^{2k-1}) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} j^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

By using $2n-1 = N-1 = \tau/h$, from the formulas (6.7), (6.8), and (6.10) in [4], the first term of (7) is presented by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} h^{2k} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2n-2} \frac{2n-j-1}{2} j^{2k} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-2s)B_{2s}h^{2s-2}}{(2s)!} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2l+2s}}{(2l+2)!(2l+2s)} \tau^{2l+2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-2s)B_{2s}h^{2s-2}}{(2s)!} F_s^{\text{even}}(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where we put

$$F_s^{\text{even}}(\tau) := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2l+2s}}{(2l+2)!(2l+2s)} \tau^{2l+2}.$$

Using the formula

$$\sum_{j=1}^n j^{2k} = \frac{(n + \frac{1}{2})^{2k+1}}{2k+1} + \sum_{s=1}^k \frac{2^{1-2s} - 1}{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{2s} B_{2s} (n + \frac{1}{2})^{2k+1-2s},$$

the second term of (7) is presented by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} h^{2k} (1 - 2^{2k-1}) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} j^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} h^{2k} (1 - 2^{2k-1}) \left\{ \frac{(n - \frac{1}{2})^{2k+1}}{2k+1} + \sum_{s=1}^k \frac{2^{1-2s} - 1}{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{2s} B_{2s} (n - \frac{1}{2})^{2k+1-2s} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} h^{2k} (1 - 2^{2k-1}) \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{(2n-1)^{2k+1}}{2k+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2k+1} + \sum_{s=1}^k \frac{2^{1-2s} - 1}{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{2s} B_{2s} (2n-1)^{2k+1-2s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2k+1-2s} \right\} \\ &= h^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} (1 - 2^{2k-1}) \frac{\tau^{2k+1}}{2k+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2k+1} \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} h^{2g-1} (1 - 2^{2k-1}) \sum_{s=1}^k \frac{2^{1-2s} - 1}{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{2s} B_{2s} \tau^{2k+1-2s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2k+1-2s} \\ &= h^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k+1)!} \left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2k+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k+1)!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \tau^{2k+1} \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2^{1-2s} - 1) B_{2s}}{(2s)!} h^{2s-1} \\ & \quad \times \left(\sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k+1-2s)!} \left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2k+1-2s} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2-2s} \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k+1-2s)!} \tau^{2k+1-2s} \right) \\ &= h^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k+1)!} \left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2k+1} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k+1)!} \tau^{2k+1} \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2^{1-2s} - 1) B_{2s}}{(2s)!} h^{2s-1} \\ & \quad \times \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2l+2s}}{(2l+1)!(2l+2s)!} \left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2l+1} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2-2s} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2l+2s}}{(2l+1)!(2l+2s)!} \tau^{2l+1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - 2^{2s-1}) B_{2s}}{(2s)!} h^{2s-1} (2^{1-2s} F_s^{odd}(\tau/2) - \frac{1}{2} F_s^{odd}(\tau)), \end{aligned}$$

where we put

$$F_s^{odd}(\tau) := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2l+2s}}{(2l+2s)(2l+1)!} \tau^{2l+1}.$$

Thus, it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_+} f((\alpha, \rho)h) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-2s)B_{2s}h^{2s-2}}{(2s)!} F_s^{\text{even}}(\tau) + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-2^{2s-1})B_{2s}}{(2s)!} h^{2s-1} (2^{1-2s} F_s^{\text{odd}}(\tau/2) - \frac{1}{2} F_s^{\text{odd}}(\tau)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the formula (5), with the replacement of (τ, h) to $(\tau/d, h/d)$, we obtain

$$F_{M,g}^{SO(N)}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(1-2s)B_{2s}}{(2s)!} (d^{2-2s} F_s^{\text{even}}(\tau/d) - F_s^{\text{even}}(\tau)) + \frac{\lambda_{L(d,b)}}{2} (\tau^3 \delta_{s,0} - \tau \delta_{s,1}) \right\} & \text{if } g = 2s, \\ \frac{(1-2^{2s-1})B_{2s}}{(2s)!} \left\{ d^{1-2s} \left(2^{1-2s} F_s^{\text{odd}}(\tau/2d) - \frac{1}{2} F_s^{\text{odd}}(\tau/d) \right) \right. \\ \quad \left. - \left(2^{1-2s} F_s^{\text{odd}}(\tau/2) - \frac{1}{2} F_s^{\text{odd}}(\tau) \right) \right\} & \text{if } g = 2s + 1. \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 7 below, we obtain the required formula for $G_N = SO(N)$ with even N .

In the case that $G_N = SO(N)$ with odd $N = 2n + 1$, from Lemma 6 below, it follows that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_+} f((\alpha, \rho)h) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} h^{2k} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2n-1} \frac{2n-j}{2} j^{2k} + \frac{1-2^{2k-1}}{2^{2k}} \sum_{\substack{j: \text{odd} \\ 1 \leq j \leq 2n-1}} j^{2k} \right\}.$$

By a similar calculation, we obtain the required formula for $G_N = SO(N)$ with odd $N = 2n + 1$.

We obtain the required formula for $G_N = Sp(N)$, since

$$F_{L(d,b),g}^{Sp(N)}(\tau) = (-1)^g F_{L(d,b),g}^{SO(N)}(\tau),$$

by Proposition 8 below.

In particular, we see that for any g , $F_{L(d,b),g}^{SO(N)}(\tau)$ and $F_{L(d,b),g}^{Sp(N)}(\tau)$ are analytic in the unit disk, which is not trivial, since the function $\text{Li}_{3-g}(e^\tau)$ for $g \geq 4$ has poles at $2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $F_{L(d,b),g}^{SO(N)}(\tau)$ and $F_{L(d,b),g}^{Sp(N)}(\tau)$ are analytic in a neighborhood of zero, where we can choose the neighborhood independently of g . $\square$

Lemma 5. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $m(j)$ be the number of positive roots α of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ such that $(\alpha, \rho) = j$. We have that

$$m(j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2n-j+1}{2} & \text{if } j : \text{odd}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ \frac{2n-j}{2} & \text{if } j : \text{even}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ \frac{2n-j-1}{2} & \text{if } j : \text{odd}, n \leq j \leq 2n-3, \\ \frac{2n-j-2}{2} & \text{if } j : \text{even}, n \leq j \leq 2n-3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ is

$$\Psi_+ = \{\varepsilon_k \pm \varepsilon_l \mid 1 \leq k < l \leq n\},$$

$(\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_l) = \delta_{kl}$, and $\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)\varepsilon_k$. Since $(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l, \rho) = l - k$ for $1 \leq k < l \leq n$, it holds that for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of $\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l$ with $(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l, \rho) = j$ is $n - j$ if $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and 0 otherwise.

Since $(\varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_l, \rho) = 2n - k - l$ for $1 \leq k < l \leq n$, it holds that for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of $\varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_l$ with $(\varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_l, \rho) = j$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{j+1}{2} && \text{if } j : \text{odd}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ & \frac{j}{2} && \text{if } j : \text{even}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ & \frac{2n-j-1}{2} && \text{if } j : \text{odd}, n \leq j \leq 2n-3, \\ & \frac{2n-j-2}{2} && \text{if } j : \text{even}, n \leq j \leq 2n-3, \\ & 0 && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain the required formula. $\square$

Lemma 6. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $m(j)$ be the number of positive roots α of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ such that $(\alpha, \rho) = j$. We have that

$$m(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = \frac{2l-1}{2}, 1 \leq l \leq n, \\ \frac{2n-j-1}{2} & \text{if } j : \text{odd}, n+1 \leq j \leq 2n-1, \\ \frac{2n-j}{2} & \text{if } j : \text{even}, n+1 \leq j \leq 2n-2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 7. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F_s^{\text{even}}(\tau) &= -\text{Li}_{3-2s}(e^\tau) + \begin{cases} -\frac{\tau^2}{2} \log(-\tau) - \frac{\tau^3}{12} + \frac{3\tau^2}{4} - \frac{\pi^2\tau}{6} + \zeta(3) & \text{if } s = 0, \\ -\log(-\tau) - \frac{\tau}{2} & \text{if } s = 1, \\ (2s-3)!\tau^{2-2s} - \frac{B_{2s-2}}{2s-2} & \text{if } s \geq 2, \end{cases} \\ F_s^{\text{odd}}(\tau) &= -\text{Li}_{2-2s}(e^\tau) + \begin{cases} -\tau \log(-\tau) - \frac{1}{4}\tau^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \tau & \text{if } s = 0, \\ -\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } s = 1, \\ -\frac{\tau}{(2s-2)!\tau^{1-2s}} & \text{if } s \geq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first formula follows from [4], by noting that $F_s^{\text{even}}(\tau)$ equals (6.8) in [4]. As $F_s^{\text{odd}}(\tau) = \partial_\tau F_s^{\text{even}}(\tau)$ and $\partial_\tau \text{Li}_p(e^\tau) = \text{Li}_{p-1}(e^\tau)$ for any integer p , the second formula follows from the first formula. $\square$

We show a relation between the genus g terms of $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ free energy for a rational homology 3-sphere, which we used in the proof of Theorem 4.

Proposition 8. For any rational homology 3-sphere M and any g ,

$$F_{M,g}^{Sp(N)}(\tau) = (-1)^g F_{M,g}^{SO(N)}(\tau).$$

Proof. Noting that $\tau = N - 1$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}$ and that $\tau = N + 1$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}$, it follows from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathfrak{sp}_\star}(D) &= \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} c_{\mathfrak{sp},g}(D) (N+1)^{d+2-g} h^{g-2}, \\ W_{\mathfrak{so}_\star}(D) &= \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} c_{\mathfrak{so},g}(D) (N-1)^{d+2-g} h^{g-2} \end{aligned}$$

for a connected trivalent graph D of degree d . Hence,

$$(-1)^d W_{\mathfrak{so}_\star}(D)|_{N \rightarrow -N} = (-1)^d \sum_{\substack{0 \leq g \leq d+1 \\ 8}} c_{\mathfrak{so},g}(D) (-N-1)^{d+2-g} h^{g-2}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} (-1)^g c_{\mathfrak{so},g}(D) (N+1)^{d+2-g} h^{g-2}.$$

Comparing $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_*}(D)$ and $(-1)^d W_{\mathfrak{so}_*}(D)|_{N \rightarrow -N}$ by Proposition 9 below, we have

$$c_{\mathfrak{sp},g}(D) = (-1)^g c_{\mathfrak{so},g}(D)$$

for any g . Since $\log Z_M$ is a linear sum of such D , it follows from (3) that

$$c_{\mathfrak{sp},d,g}(M) = (-1)^g c_{\mathfrak{so},d,g}(M)$$

for any rational homology 3-sphere M , any d , and any g . Further, since

$$F_{M,g}^{G_N}(\tau) = \sum_{d>0, d \geq g-1} c_{\mathfrak{g},d,g}(M) \tau^{d+2-g}$$

by definition, we obtain the required formula. $\square$

Proposition 9. *For a connected trivalent graph D of degree d , $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(D)$ is obtained from $(-1)^d W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(D)$ by replacing N with $-N$, i.e., $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(D) = (-1)^d W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(D)|_{N \rightarrow -N}$.*

This proposition was proved up to sign in [3, Chapter 13], while we give a complete proof in another way in Section 5. As a corollary of Theorems 3 and 4, we obtain

Corollary 10. *For the lens space $L(d,b)$ and any even g ,*

$$\frac{1}{2} F_{L(d,b),g}^{SU(N)}(\tau) = F_{L(d,b),g}^{SO(N)}(\tau) = F_{L(d,b),g}^{Sp(N)}(\tau).$$

Proof. The first equality follows from Theorems 3 and 4 and the second equality follows from Proposition 8. $\square$

4. OBSERVATION

In this section, we review the descriptions of $W_{\mathfrak{sl}_N}$ and $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}$ given by Bar-Natan in [1, 2] and observe new weight systems related to the free energy.

We consider the weight system $W_{\mathfrak{sl}_N}$. We double any edge and replace any trivalent vertex of D in the following:

FIGURE 1

This diagrammatic interpretation comes from the fact that $\mathfrak{gl}_N = V \otimes V^*$ for the defining representation V of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ and the $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ weight system at a trivalent vertex is defined by the Lie bracket. We note that the $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ and $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ weight systems agree on a trivalent graph, since an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ does not contribute on any trivalent vertex applied with the $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ weight system. Let D be a connected trivalent graph and $v(D)$ the set of trivalent vertices. Given a map $m_v : v(D) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, called a vertex marking of D , choosing one of the two possibilities for the replacement of a trivalent vertex depending on m_v , connecting up, we obtain an orientable

surface S_{D,m_v} of the genus $g(S_{D,m_v})$ with b_{D,m_v} boundary components. It is showed that for a connected trivalent graph D of degree d ,

$$(8) \quad W_{\mathfrak{sl}_N}(D) = \sum_{m_v} (-1)^{s_{m_v}} N^{b_{D,m_v}} h^d,$$

where $s_{m_v} = \sum_{x \in v(D)} m_v(x)$ and the sum is over all possible vertex marking m_v of D . On the other hand, It holds that $2 - 2g(S_{D,m_v}) = \chi(D) + b_{D,m_v}$, where $\chi(D)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of D . As the degree of D is a half of the number of trivalent vertices and $\chi(D) = -d$, we get

$$(9) \quad W_{\mathfrak{sl}_N}(D) = \sum_{m_v} (-1)^{s_{m_v}} N^{d+2-2g(S_{D,m_v})} h^d.$$

For example, if $D = x_1 \bigcirc x_2$ and $m_v(x_1) = 0$, $m_v(x_2) = 1$, then $s_{m_v} = 1$ and $S_{D,m_v} = \bigcirc$ is a torus with one boundary component, i.e., $g(S_{D,m_v}) = 1$, $b_{D,m_v} = 1$. This contributes $-Nh$ to $W_{\mathfrak{sl}_N}(D)$. We get that $W_{\mathfrak{sl}_N}(D) = 2N^3h - 2Nh = 2N(N^2 - 1)h$.

Moreover, we have the following description of the weight system $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}$. We replace any trivalent vertex and any edge in the following:

FIGURE 2

We denote by $e(D)$ the set of edges of a connected trivalent graph D . Given a map $m_e : e(D) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, called an edge marking of D , choosing one of the two possibilities for the replacement of an edge depending on m_e , connecting up, we obtain an orientable or a nonorientable surface S_{D,m_e} of the genus $g(S_{D,m_e})$ with b_{D,m_e} boundary components. Then, we have

$$(10) \quad W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(D) = \sum_{m_e} (-1)^{s_{m_e}} N^{b_{D,m_e}} h^d = \sum_{m_v} (-1)^{s_{m_v}} N^{d+2-g'_{D,m_v}} h^d,$$

where $s_{m_e} = \sum_{y \in e(D)} m_e(y)$, the sum is over all possible edge marking m_e of D , and $g'_{D,m_e} = 2g(S_{D,m_e})$ if the surface S_{D,m_e} is orientable and $g'_{D,m_e} = g(S_{D,m_e})$ if the surface S_{D,m_e} is nonorientable. For example, from $\bigcirc$, we obtain $S_{D,m_e} = \bigcirc$ is a projective plane with two boundary components. This contributes $-N^2h$ to $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(\bigcirc)$. We get that $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(\bigcirc) = N^3h - 3N^2h + 3Nh - Nh = N(N-1)(N-2)h$. We remark that the inner product for $\mathfrak{so}_N$ here is the one in [2] multiplied by $\frac{1}{2}$.

Using the above descriptions of $W_{\mathfrak{sl}_N}$ and $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}$, we show Lemma 1.

Proof of Lemma 1 By noting that $b_{D,m_v} > 0$ in (8) and that $b_{D,m_e} > 0$ in (10), Lemma 1 follows from the above descriptions (9) and (10) and Proposition 9. $\square$

Let us observe new weight systems related to the G_N free energy. We recall the presentation (2) of $W_{\mathfrak{g}\star}(D)$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}, \mathfrak{so}, \mathfrak{sp}$ and a connected trivalent graph D of degree d ,

$$(11) \quad W_{\mathfrak{g}\star}(D) = \sum_{0 \leq g \leq d+1} c_{\mathfrak{g},g}(D) \tau^{d+2-g} h^{g-2},$$

for some $c_{\mathfrak{g},g}(D) \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}, \mathfrak{so}, \mathfrak{sp}$ and any g , we get the weight system $w_{\mathfrak{g}\star,g} : \mathcal{A}(\emptyset)_{\text{conn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[\tau]]$ defined by

$$w_{\mathfrak{g}\star,g}(D) := \begin{cases} c_{\mathfrak{g},g}(D) \tau^{d+2-g} & \text{if } d \geq g-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for a connected trivalent graph D of degree d .

We study relations among the weight systems $w_{\mathfrak{sl}\star,g}$, $w_{\mathfrak{so}\star,g}$ and $w_{\mathfrak{sl}\star,g}$. Since only orientable surface appears in the above description of the weight system $W_{\mathfrak{sl}_N}$, $w_{\mathfrak{sl}\star,g} \equiv 0$ for any odd g , and Proposition 9 implies

Proposition 11. *For any connected trivalent graph D and any g ,*

$$w_{\mathfrak{so}\star,g}(D) = (-1)^g w_{\mathfrak{sp}\star,g}(D).$$

We consider the weight systems $w_{\mathfrak{sl}\star,g}$ for even g and $w_{\mathfrak{so}\star,g}$ for any g . In the case that $g = 0$, we have

Proposition 12. *For any connected trivalent graph D ,*

$$w_{\mathfrak{so}\star,0}(D) = \frac{1}{2} w_{\mathfrak{sl}\star,0}(D).$$

Proof. One sees that two different vertex markings m_v and m'_v of D induce the same edge marking of D if and only if $m'_v(x) - m_v(x) = 1 \pmod{2}$ for any vertex x of D . Conversely, if an edge marking m_e of D gives an orientable surface, then there exists a vertex marking of D which induces the edge marking m_e . Noting that only edge marking of D such that gives orientable surface contributes to $w_{\mathfrak{so}_N,0}(D)$, we obtain the required formula. $\square$

Moreover, we obtain

Proposition 13. *The family $\{w_{\mathfrak{sl}\star,g} \mid g \text{ is even, } g > 0\} \cup \{w_{\mathfrak{so}\star,g} \mid g \geq 0\}$ of the weight systems are linearly independent in the space spanned over $\mathbb{Q}$ by these weight systems.*

To show Proposition 13, we need some lemmas. We define tD (resp. uD) for a connected trivalent graph D in $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)_{\text{conn}}$ to be a connected trivalent graph obtained by replacing a trivalent vertex in D with (resp.), which was introduced by Vogel in [9]. By the AS and IHX relations, these replacements are independent of a choice of a trivalent vertex. We have the following lemma (for example, see [9]).

Lemma 14. *For any simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and any connected trivalent graph D in $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)_{\text{conn}}$,*

$$W_{\mathfrak{g}}(-\bigcirc-) = C_{\mathfrak{g}} W_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{---}), \quad W_{\mathfrak{g}}(tD) = \frac{1}{2} C_{\mathfrak{g}} W_{\mathfrak{g}}(D),$$

where $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the quadratic Casimir of $\mathfrak{g}$.

From Lemma 14, we also have

Lemma 15. For any simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and any connected trivalent graph D in $\mathcal{A}(\emptyset)_{\text{conn}}$,

$$W_{\mathfrak{g}}(uD) = \frac{W_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triangle with square inside})}{W_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triangle})} W_{\mathfrak{g}}(D) = \frac{\sum d_i \alpha_i^4}{C_{\mathfrak{g}} \dim \mathfrak{g}} W_{\mathfrak{g}}(D).$$

Here $\{\alpha_i\}$ are the eigenvalues of the $\mathfrak{g}$ -homomorphism from $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ to itself defined by $x \otimes y \mapsto \sum_{\alpha} [x, \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}] \otimes [\mathfrak{g}'_{\alpha}, y]$ with the Casimir element $\sum_{\alpha} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{g}'_{\alpha}$, where $\{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\{\mathfrak{g}'_{\alpha}\}$ is the dual basis on the Killing form, and d_i is the dimension of the eigenspace of α_i .

Proof. From Lemma 14, for $\text{triangle with blue dot} = \text{triangle with circle and blue dot}$, there exists a scalar $\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that

$$W_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triangle with blue dot}) = \frac{1}{C_{\mathfrak{g}}} W_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triangle with circle and blue dot}) = \frac{1}{C_{\mathfrak{g}}} W_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triangle with circle and blue dot}) = \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}} W_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triangle}),$$

and so $W_{\mathfrak{g}}(uD) = \lambda_{\mathfrak{g}} W_{\mathfrak{g}}(D)$. Applying to this $D = \text{triangle}$, we get that

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{g}} = W_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triangle with square inside}) / W_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{triangle}).$$

The second equality can be obtained from [9, Proposition 6.2]. □

Using Lemmas 14 and 15, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 16. For the connected trivalent graph $T_{m,n} := t^m u^n \text{triangle}$ of degree $m + 3n + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\star}}(T_{m,n}) &= 2N^{m+n+1} (N^2 + 12)^n (N^2 - 1) h^{m+3n+1} \\ &= 2\tau^{m+n+1} \left(\left(\frac{\tau}{h} \right)^2 + 12 \right)^n \left(\left(\frac{\tau}{h} \right)^2 - 1 \right) h^{2n}, \\ W_{\mathfrak{so}_{\star}}(T_{m,n}) &= (N - 2)^{m+1} (N^3 - 9N^2 + 54N - 104)^n N(N - 1) h^{m+1} \\ &= \tau \left(\frac{\tau}{h} - 1 \right)^m \left(\left(\frac{\tau}{h} \right)^3 - 6 \left(\frac{\tau}{h} \right)^2 + 39 \left(\frac{\tau}{h} \right) - 58 \right)^n \left(\left(\frac{\tau}{h} \right)^2 - 1 \right) h^m, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = Nh$ for $W_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\star}}$ and $\tau = (N - 1)h$ for $W_{\mathfrak{so}_{\star}}$.

Proof. We have that $C_{\mathfrak{sl}_N} = 2N$ and $C_{\mathfrak{so}_N} = 2(N - 2)$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathfrak{sl}_N}(\text{triangle}) &= 2N(N^2 - 1), \\ W_{\mathfrak{sl}_N}(\text{triangle with square inside}) &= 2N^2(N^2 - 1)(N^2 + 12), \\ W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(\text{triangle}) &= N(N - 1)(N - 2), \\ W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(\text{triangle with square inside}) &= N(N - 1)(N - 2)(N^3 - 9N^2 + 54N - 104). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemmas 14 and 15, we obtain the required formulas. □

Now let us show Proposition 13.

Proof of Proposition 13 From Lemma 16, we calculate that for $g \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\star},2}(T_{g-2,0}) &= -2\tau^{g-1}, \quad w_{\mathfrak{sl}_{\star},m}(T_{g-2,0}) = 0 \text{ if } m \geq 4, \text{ } m \text{ is even,} \\ w_{\mathfrak{so}_{\star},0}(T_{g-2,0}) &= \tau^{g+1}, \quad w_{\mathfrak{so}_{\star},1}(T_{g-2,0}) = -(g - 2)\tau^g, \\ w_{\mathfrak{so}_{\star},2}(T_{g-2,0}) &= \frac{(g - 1)(g - 4)}{2} \tau^{g-1}, \quad w_{\mathfrak{so}_{\star},3}(T_{g-2,0}) = -\frac{(g - 1)(g - 2)(g - 6)}{6} \tau^{g-2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$w_{\mathfrak{so}^*,g}(T_{g-2,0}) = (-1)^{g-1}\tau, \quad w_{\mathfrak{so}^*,m}(T_{g-2,0}) = 0 \text{ if } m > g,$$

and that for any even g with $g \geq 4$,

$$w_{\mathfrak{sl}^*,g}(T_{0,\frac{g-2}{2}}) = -2 \cdot 12^{\frac{g-2}{2}} \tau^{\frac{g}{2}}, \quad w_{\mathfrak{sl}^*,m}(T_{0,\frac{g-2}{2}}) = 0 \text{ if } m \geq g+2, \text{ } m \text{ is even.}$$

Then, we get the proposition. $\square$

5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 9

Let us state some results about the $\mathfrak{sp}_N$ weight system. From [1], we get the following diagrammatic description of the $\mathfrak{sp}_N$ weight system with $N = 2n$, which comes from that $\mathfrak{sp}_N$ has a basis $E_{ij} - E_{n+j,n+i}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$), $E_{i,n+j} + E_{j,n+i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$), and $E_{n+i,j} + E_{n+j,i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$). and that the inner product is given by $(E_{ij}, E_{kl}) = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(E_{ij}E_{kl})$ ($1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2n$). Let D be a connected trivalent graph, $v(D)$ the set of vertices of D , and $Y_0(\circ, \bullet)$ the set of the diagrams and the diagrams obtained by the $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ -rotation or $\frac{4\pi}{3}$ -rotation of the above

FIGURE 3

diagrams except the first and second diagrams. We double any edge in D and replace each vertex with one diagram in $Y := Y_0(\circ, \bullet) \cup Y_0(\bullet, \circ)$, in such a way that connecting up, the two ends of each edge in any double edge have the same symbol. Such a replacement defines a map $m : v(D) \rightarrow Y$, called an admissible vertex marking of D , and we obtain an orientable or a nonorientable surface $S_{D,m}$ with $b_{D,m}$ boundary components with even symbols $\circ$ and even symbols $\bullet$. We comment that the symbol $\circ$ (resp. $\bullet$) corresponds to index i (resp. $n+i$) with $1 \leq i \leq n$ in the above basis of $\mathfrak{sp}_N$. Then, we have

$$W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(D) = 2^{-3d} \sum_m (-1)^{s_m} n^{b_{D,m}} h^d,$$

where s_m is the number of $\begin{smallmatrix} \diagup \diagdown \\ \circ \end{smallmatrix}$ and $\begin{smallmatrix} \diagup \diagdown \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix}$ in $S_{D,m}$, and the sum is over all possible admissible vertex marking m of D . We note that the symbols $\circ$ and $\bullet$ correspond to the symbols P and Q respectively in [1].

We have a simpler description of the weight system $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}$. We denote by $e(D)$ the set of edges of a connected trivalent graph D and Y' the set of the diagrams We replace any trivalent

FIGURE 4

vertex in the same way as the weight system $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}$ and replace each edge with one diagram in Y' , in such a way that connecting up, the two ends of each arc in any doubled vertex have the same symbol. Such a replacement defines a map $m' : e(D) \rightarrow Y'$, called an admissible edge marking of D , and we obtain an orientable or a nonorientable surface $S_{D,m'}$ with $b_{D,m'}$ boundary components with even symbols $\circ$ and even symbols $\bullet$. Then, we have

$$W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(D) = \sum_{m'} (-1)^{s_{m'}} n^{b_{D,m'}} h^d,$$

where $s_{m'}$ is the number of $\bullet \times \circ$ and $\circ \times \bullet$ in $S_{D,m'}$ and the sum is over all possible admissible edge marking m' of D . For example, when $D = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix}$, $m'(y_1) = \circ \text{---} \circ$, $m'(y_2) = \bullet \times \bullet$, and $m'(y_3) = \bullet \text{---} \bullet$, the surface $S_{D,m'} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet \times \bullet \\ \bullet \times \bullet \\ \bullet \times \bullet \end{pmatrix}$ is a nonorientable surface of the genus 1 with 2 boundary components and so contributes $n^2 h$ to $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(\begin{pmatrix} \bullet \times \bullet \\ \bullet \times \bullet \\ \bullet \times \bullet \end{pmatrix})$. We compute that $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(\begin{pmatrix} \bullet \times \bullet \\ \bullet \times \bullet \\ \bullet \times \bullet \end{pmatrix}) = 8n^3 h + 12n^2 h + 4nh = 2n(2n+1)(2n+2)h = N(N+1)(N+2)h$.

Now let us prove Proposition 9.

Proof of Proposition 9 Let D be a connected trivalent graph. One sees that an admissible edge marking $m' : v(D) \rightarrow Y$ in the above description of $W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}$ induces an edge marking $m_e : e(D) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ in the description of $W_{\mathfrak{so}_N}$ in Section 4, by ignoring the symbols $\circ$ and $\bullet$. Let $m_e : e(D) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be an edge marking. We construct an admissible marking $m' : v(D) \rightarrow Y'$ which induces m_e as follows. Let B be a boundary component of the surface S_{D,m_e} . We decompose B into a sequence $\alpha_1 \beta_1 \dots \alpha_k \beta_k$ of arcs, where α_i is one of two arcs in the diagram --- or $\times$ and β_i is one of three arcs in the diagram $\begin{pmatrix} \times \\ \times \\ \times \end{pmatrix}$. Let p_i be the intersection point of β_{i-1} and α_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$, where $\beta_0 := \beta_k$, and q_i be the intersection point of α_i and β_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Next, we assign p_i and q_i with $\circ$ or $\bullet$ in such a way that q_{i-1} and p_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ are assigned with the same symbol, where $q_0 := q_k$, and that if α_i is an arc in the diagram --- (resp. $\times$), then p_i and q_i are assigned with the same symbol (resp. the different symbol). As the number of α_i which is an arc in the diagram $\times$ is even and an assignment of p_1 determines such an assignment, such two assignments exist. A surface S_{D,m_e} with any boundary component given one of two possible assignments is said to be decorated. It follows from the definition of Y' that a decorated surface S_{D,m_e} determines $S_{D,m'}$ for an admissible edge marking $m' : e(D) \rightarrow Y'$ inducing m_e . For any edge marking m_e , there exist $2^{b_{D,m_e}}$ admissible edge markings m' that induces m_e . Moreover, it holds that for any admissible edge marking m' , there exists an edge marking m_e such that a decorated surface S_{D,m_e} coincides with $S_{D,m'}$. Noting that the number of $\circ \text{---} \bullet$, $\bullet \text{---} \circ$ on each boundary component of $S_{D,m'}$ is even, one also sees that if admissible edge markings m'_1 and m'_2 induce the same edge marking m_e , then $s_{m'_1} \equiv s_{m'_2} \pmod{2}$. Consequently, we obtain that

$$(12) \quad W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(D) = \sum_{m_e} (-1)^{s_{m'}} 2^{b_{D,m_e}} n^{b_{D,m_e}} h^d,$$

where the sum is over all possible edge marking $m_e : e(D) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, m' is an admissible edge marking inducing m_e , and d is the degree of D . Moreover, by the definition of s_{m_e} and $s_{m'}$, we have that $s_{m_e} = s_{m'} + j_{m'}$, where $j_{m'}$ is the number of $\bullet \times \bullet$, $\circ \times \circ$ in $S_{D,m'}$. Hence, we obtain that

$$(13) \quad W_{\mathfrak{sp}_N}(D) = \sum_{m_e} (-1)^{s_{m_e} - j_{m'}} (2n)^{b_{D,m_e}} h^d = \sum_{m_e} (-1)^{s_{m_e} - j_{m'}} N^{b_{D,m_e}} h^d.$$

From the formula (10), to prove Proposition 9, it is enough to show that $d + b_{D, m_e} \equiv j_{m'} \pmod{2}$. We remark that $2 - g'_{D, m'} = -d + b_{D, m_e}$. In the case that $j_{m'} = 0$, one sees that $S_{D, m'}$ is an orientable surface and that $-d + b_{D, m_e} = 2 - 2g(S_{D, m'}) \equiv 0 = j_{m'} \pmod{2}$. Suppose that $j_{m'} \neq 0$. From the definition of an admissible edge marking, we see that the surface $S_{D, m'}$ is nonorientable. Replacing all (resp.) with (resp.) , we get an orientable surface $S_{D, m'}^o$. Then, it follows that $g'_{D, m'} \equiv 2g(S_{D, m'}^o) + j_{m'} \equiv j_{m'} \pmod{2}$ and so we get that $-d + b_{D, m_e} = 2 - g'_{D, m'} \equiv j_{m'} \pmod{2}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 9. $\square$

Remark. Proposition 9 is noted as Exercise 6.37 in [2]. It can also be obtained from a result on the weight system associated with the super Lie algebra $\mathfrak{osp}(m, n)$ in [9], noting that $\mathfrak{osp}(m, 1) = \mathfrak{so}_m$ and that $\mathfrak{osp}(1, n) = \mathfrak{sp}_n$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bar-Natan, *Weights of Feynman diagrams and the Vassiliev knot invariants*, preprint, available at <http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/LOP.html#Weights.>, February 1991.
- [2] ———, *On the Vassiliev knot invariant*, *Topology* **34** (1995), 423–472.
- [3] P. Cvitanovic, *Group Theory. Birdtracks, Lie's, and exceptional groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2008.
- [4] S. Garoufalidis, T.T.Q. Le, and M. Mariño, *Analyticity of the free energy of a closed 3-manifold*, *SIGMA* **4** (2008), no. 080, 20 pages.
- [5] S. Garoufalidis and M. Mariño, *Universality and asymptotics of graph counting problems in unoriented surfaces*, to appear in *Journal of Combinatorial Theory A*, [math.CO/0812.1295](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0812.1295).
- [6] T. T. Q. Le, J. Murakami, and T. Ohtsuki, *On a universal perturbative invariant of 3-manifolds*, *Topology* **37** (1998), no. 3, 539–574.
- [7] T. Ohtsuki, *Quantum invariants. A study of knots, 3-manifolds, their sets*, Series on Knots and Everything, vol. 29, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [8] S. Sinha and C. Vafa, *SO and Sp Chern Simons at large N*, [hep-th/0012136](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0012136).
- [9] P. Vogel, *Algebraic structures on modules of diagrams*, available at <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~vogel/>.

Dedicated to Professor Akio Kawauchi on the occasion of his 60th birthday

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA, JAPAN, TTAKATA@MATH.KYUSHU-U.AC.JP