九州大学学術情報リポジトリ Kyushu University Institutional Repository

An Equivalence Between Log-Sum-Exp Approximation and Entropy Regularization in K-Means Clustering

Zhang, Wei Faculty of Design, Kyushu University

井上, 光平 九州大学大学院芸術工学研究院

原,健二 九州大学大学院芸術工学研究院

https://hdl.handle.net/2324/2544990

出版情報:Proceedings of the International Symposium on Nonlinear Theory and its Applications. 2019, 2019-12-03. Nonlinear Theory and Its Applications, IEICE バージョン: 権利関係:© IEICE 2019

An Equivalence between Log-Sum-Exp Approximation and Entropy Regularization in *K*-Means Clustering

Wei Zhang, Kohei Inoue, and Kenji Hara

Faculty of Design, Kyushu University 4–9–1 Shiobaru, Minami-ku, Fukuoka 815-8540, Japan Email: k-inoue@design.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Abstract—In this paper, we show an equivalence between log-sum-exp approximation and entropy regularization in *K*-means clustering, which is a well-known algorithm for partitional clustering. We derive an identical equation for updating centroids of clusters from the two formulations. We also show experimental results which support the theoretical results.

1. Introduction

Clustering is the task of grouping a set of objects in such a way that objects in the same group or cluster are more similar to each other than to those in other clusters [1]. In centroid-based clustering, each cluster is represented by a single mean vector or a centroid. *K*-means clustering [2] is one of the most popular algorithms in centroid-based clustering, and is categorized into hard clustering.

Dunn [3] developed a fuzzy version of *K*-means, fuzzy *c*-means clustering, and Bezdek [4] improved it [5]. Miyamoto and Mukaidono [6] proposed an entropy regularization of the crisp *K*-means clustering to derive a fuzzy *c*-means clustering, and showed the equivalence to a maximum entropy approach proposed by Li and Mukaidono [7, 8], which is briefly reviewed in this paper.

In this paper, we show an equivalence between the entropy regularization of K-means clustering by Miyamoto and Mukaidono [6] and a log-sum-exp approximation [9] of K-means clustering. Starting from the two different formulations, we derive an identical equation which is used for updating centroids of clusters. As a result, we conclude that the entropy regularization, the maximum entropy approach and the log-sum-exp approximation are equivalent to each other in K-means clustering. We also show experimental results on a clustering benchmark dataset, which support our theoretical results.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes the log-sum-exp approximation and entropy regularization in the context of *K*-means clustering, and shows the equivalence of them. Section 3 shows experimental results, where an advantage of the log-sum-exp approximation and entropy regularization over the maximum entropy approach is demonstrated by showing a nonmonotonic behavior of total entropy. Finally, Section 4 concludes this paper.

2. K-Means Clustering

Given a set of points $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ in *d*dimensional Euclidean space, *K*-means clustering aims to partition the *n* points in *X* into *K* sets $S_1, S_2, ..., S_K$ so as to minimize the objective function

$$J(\boldsymbol{c}_{1}, \boldsymbol{c}_{2}, \dots, \boldsymbol{c}_{K}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min_{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}} \|\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{c}_{k}\|^{2}$$
(1)

with respect to *K* centroids c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_K corresponding to S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_K , respectively.

In this section, we first summarize two methods for solving the above problem of *K*-means clustering, log-sum-exp approximation and entropy regularization, and then show the equivalence of the two methods.

2.1. Log-Sum-Exp Approximation

The log-sum-exp function is a differentiable approximation of the max function [9] as follows:

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \exp(x_i)\right)$$
 (2)

$$\approx \max\left\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right\},\qquad(3)$$

which finds the maximum value in $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$. Applying the log-sum-exp approximation to (1), we have

$$J(\boldsymbol{c}_{1}, \boldsymbol{c}_{2}, \dots, \boldsymbol{c}_{K}) = -T \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max_{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}} \left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{c}_{k}\|^{2}}{T} \right) \quad (4)$$
$$\approx -T \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp \left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{c}_{k}\|^{2}}{T} \right) \right)$$
(5)

$$= J(\boldsymbol{c}_1, \boldsymbol{c}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{c}_K), \qquad (6)$$

where T denotes a temperature. The necessary condition for optimality of (6) is given by

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \boldsymbol{c}_{k}} = -2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\boldsymbol{c}_{k}\|^{2}}{T}\right)}{\sum_{k'=1}^{K} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\boldsymbol{c}_{k'}\|^{2}}{T}\right)} (\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\boldsymbol{c}_{k}) = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ denotes a *d*-dimensional zero vector having all components equal to zero. From (7), we have

$$\boldsymbol{c}_{k} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{c}_{k}\|^{2}}{T}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{c}_{k'}\|^{2}}{T}\right)} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{c}_{k'}\|^{2}}{T}\right)}{\sum_{k'=1}^{K} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{c}_{k'}\|^{2}}{T}\right)}.$$
(8)

Each centroid c_k is updated by (8) until all centroids converge.

2.2. Entropy Regularization

The objective function J in (1) has another expression as follows:

$$J(\{\boldsymbol{c}_k\}, \{u_{ik}\}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K u_{ik} \|\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{c}_k\|^2, \qquad (9)$$

where u_{ik} denotes a nonnegative variable indicating the membership of the *i*th point in the *k*th cluster. Using the expression in (9), the entropy regularization of *K*-means clustering is formulated as follows [6]:

$$\min_{\{\boldsymbol{c}_k\},\{u_{ik}\}} J\left(\{\boldsymbol{c}_k\},\{u_{ik}\}\right) + T \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K u_{ik} \log u_{ik} \qquad (10)$$

subj.to
$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} u_{ik} = 1$$
, for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, (11)

where the constraint condition enforces that u_{ik} is a probability that the *i*th point belongs to the *k*th cluster. The Lagrange function for this constrained optimization problem is given by

$$L = J(\{\boldsymbol{c}_k\}, \{u_{ik}\}) + T \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{K} u_{ik} \log u_{ik} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} u_{ik} - 1\right), \quad (12)$$

where λ_i for i = 1, 2, ..., n denote the Lagrange multipliers. Then we have the following necessary conditions for optimality:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{c}_k} = -2\sum_{i=1}^n u_{ik} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{c}_k \right) = \boldsymbol{0}, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{ik}} = \|\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{c}_k\|^2 + T\left(\log u_{ik} + 1\right) + \lambda_i = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_i} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} u_{ik} - 1 = 0.$$
(15)

Solving (13) for c_k , we have

$$\boldsymbol{c}_{k} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{ik} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{ik}}.$$
(16)

Solving (14) for u_{ik} , we have

$$u_{ik} = \exp\left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{c}_k\|^2}{T} - \frac{\lambda_i}{T} - 1\right).$$
(17)

Substituting this for u_{ik} in (15), we have

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_i}{T} - 1\right) = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{c}_k\|^2}{T}\right)}.$$
 (18)

Substituting this into (17), we have the final form of u_{ik} as follows:

$$u_{ik} = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{c}_{k}\|^{2}}{T}\right)}{\sum_{k'=1}^{K} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{c}_{k'}\|^{2}}{T}\right)}.$$
(19)

In the algorithm for this problem, c_k and u_{ik} are alternately updated by (16) and (19), respectively, until they converge.

Miyamoto and Mukaidono [6] showed that the entropy regularization is equivalent to the maximum entropy method [7, 8] formulated as follows:

$$\max_{\{u_{ik}\}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{K} u_{ik} \log u_{ik}$$
(20)

subj.to
$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} u_{ik} = 1$$
, $J(\{c_k\}, \{u_{ik}\}) = J_0$, (21)

where J_0 is a parameter, and pointed out the difficulty of determining J_0 .

In fact, let L^{Ent} be the Lagrange function of the above constrained maximization problem as follows:

$$L^{\text{Ent}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{K} u_{ik} \log u_{ik} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^{\text{Ent}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} u_{ik} - 1 \right) + \mu \left(J \left(\{ \boldsymbol{c}_k \}, \{ u_{ik} \} \right) - J_0 \right), \quad (22)$$

where λ_i^{Ent} and μ denote the Lagrange multipliers. Then we have a relationship between L^{Ent} and L in (12) as follows:

$$L^{\text{Ent}} = \mu \left(L - J_0 \right),$$
 (23)

where it is assumed that $\mu T = -1$ and $\lambda_i^{\text{Ent}} = \mu \lambda_i$. Therefore, we arrive at the same necessary conditions for optimality in (13)-(15) from the above maximum entropy formulation.

In Section 3, we will demonstrate that the entropy in (20) does not necessarily increase with the progress of the procedure.



Figure 1: Two-dimensional data and initial centroids.



Figure 2: Objective function values.

2.3. The Equivalence

The log-sum-exp approximation of *K*-means clustering described in Section 2.1 derives an equation in (8) for updating centroid c_k . On the other hand, the entropy regularization described in Section 2.2 derives two equations in (16) and (19) for updating centroid c_k and membership u_{ik} , respectively. Substitution of (19) into (16) gives (8). This proves the equivalence of the two methods.

3. Experimental Results

In this section, we show experimental results for confirming the above theoretical results numerically. We used a synthetic 2-dimensional dataset, S1, with n = 5000 points and K = 15 Gaussian clusters with different degree of cluster overlap, which is publicly available at the website "Clustering basic benchmark" [10]. Figure 1 shows the data with blue points and 15 initial centroids with red points.

Figure 2 shows the transition of the objective function values, where the vertical and horizontal axes denote the objective function value and the number of iterations of the procedure for updating centroids, respectively. In this figure, the solid blue line with points denotes the value of the objective function of the log-sum-exp approximation \tilde{J} in (6), and the broken orange line with points denotes that of entropy regularization J in (1). In both methods, we set the temperature as $T = 10^9$. This figure shows that the log-



Figure 3: Ground truth and obtained centroids.



Figure 4: Total entropy.

sum-exp approximation gives the similar objective function values \tilde{J} to the original objective function values J for K-means clustering.

Figure 3 shows the obtained centroids with red points after 14 iterations and the ground truth with yellow points which are globally optimal ones. As shown in the above section, both log-sum-exp approximation and entropy regularization give the same result as each other in this example. Note that the obtained red points do not coincide with the yellow points exactly. The objective function values of the log-sum-exp approximation and the entropy regularization for the ground truth are shown in Figure 2 with solid blue and broken yellow lines without points, which are lower than the corresponding lines of obtained solutions. That is, the obtained solutions are locally optimal ones.

Figure 4 shows the transition of total entropy in (20), where the vertical and horizontal axes denote the total entropy and the number of iterations of the procedure for updating centroids, respectively. Although the maximum entropy method [7, 8] is intended to maximize the total entropy as formulated in (20), the derived procedure fails to increase it monotonically as shown in Figure 4.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we showed an equivalence between the log-sum-exp approximation and the entropy regularization in K-means clustering by deriving the same equation for updating centroids from the two formulations, and demon-

strated that the centroids converged to the same local optimum by the two methods using a synthetic 2-dimensional dataset. Furthermore, we also demonstrated that the derived procedure does not necessarily increase the total entropy monotonically in spite of the equivalence between the entropy regularization method and maximum entropy method which is formulated as a constrained maximization problem of the total entropy.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16H03019.

References

- Cluster analysis. In Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cluster_ analysis This page was last edited on 20 April 2019, at 21:19 (UTC).
- [2] J. MacQueen, "Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observations," *Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symp. on Math. Statist. and Prob.*, vol. 1, pp. 281–297, 1967.
- [3] J. C. Dunn, "A Fuzzy Relative of the ISODATA Process and Its Use in Detecting Compact Well-Separated Clusters," *Journal of Cybernetics*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 32– 57, 1973.
- [4] J. C. Bezdek, *Pattern Recognition with Fuzzy Objective Function Algorithms*, Springer, 1981.
- [5] Fuzzy clustering. In Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fuzzy_ clustering This page was last edited on 4 April 2019, at 06:21 (UTC).
- [6] S. Miyamoto, M. Mukaidono, "Fuzzy c-means as a regularization and maximum entropy approach," *The proceedings of the seventh International Fuzzy Systems Association World Congress (IFSA'97)*, vol. 2, pp. 86– 92, 1997.
- [7] R.-P. Li, M. Mukaidono, "Gaussian clustering and its application to rock classification," *Proc. of Eleventh Fuzzy System Symposium*, Japan Society of Fuzzy Theory and Systems, pp. 697–698, 1995.
- [8] R.-P. Li, M. Mukaidono, "A maximum entropy approach to fuzzy clustering," *Proc. of the 4th IEEE Intern. Conf. on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE/IFES'95)*, Yokohama, Japan, pp. 2227–2232, 1995.
- [9] S. Boyd, L. Vandenberghe, *Convex Optimization*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[10] P. Fränti, S. Sieranoja, "K-means properties on six clustering benchmark datasets," *Applied Intelligence*, vol. 48, no. 12, pp. 4743–4759, 2018. http://cs. joensuu.fi/sipu/datasets/