## 九州大学学術情報リポジトリ Kyushu University Institutional Repository

## On the Question of Inostemma seoulis or Inostemma seoule

Hirashima, Yoshihiro

https://doi.org/10.5109/2462

出版情報: ESAKIA. 22, pp.163-164, 1984-11-20. Entomological Laboratory, Faculty of

Agriculture, Kyushu University

バージョン: 権利関係:



## On the Question of Inostemma seoulis or Inostemma seoule

## Yoshihiro Hirashima

Inostemma seoulis is a Hymenopterous parasitoid that has been successfully utilized for the control of the pine gall-midge, *Thecodiplosis japonensis*, in Korea. This wasp was discovered in Seoul in 1962 by Dr. Je Ho Ko of the Forest Research Institute, Seoul, Korea. He described it, as a new species, *Isostasius seoulis*, in 1965. Later, Yoshida and Hirashima (1979) transferred the species to the genus *Inostemma* and treated it as *Inostemma seoulis*.

In 1982, Dr. R. W. Carlson, Asian Parasite Laboratory, United States Department of Agriculture, Seoul, Korea, proposed that *Inostemma seoule* is the correct combination because the gender of this genus is neuter and the specific name, an adjective (personal communication, 1982). Hence this wasp has been known as *Inostemma seoule* in Korean literature. I do not agree with Dr. Carlson on this change in the specific name.

I agree with Dr. Carlson that the gender of *Inostemma* is neuter because the last component, -stemma, is derived from stemma ( $\sigma\tau\epsilon\mu\mu\alpha$ ), a Greek neuter noun. At issue is whether or not seoulis should be changed to seoule, as proposed by Dr. Carlson.

According to the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, a species-group name based on a geographical name should be (a) preferably an adjective derived from the geographical name, and ending in a suitable suffix, such as -ensis or -iensis, or (b) a noun in the genitive case (Appendix D, IV, 22). In addition to -(i)ensis, the Latin suffixes include :-(a)nus, -ianus, -icus or -inus, but not -is. In Latin, there are other adjectival suffixes, such as -alis, -aris, -estris or -(b)ilis, but these are not equivalent to or identical with -is. The only case in which the ending -is is treated as an adjectival suffix is in such words as bicornis (bis+cornu), Zongipennis (longus+penna), uniformis (unus + forma), etc. It should be pointed out, however, that this is recognizable only when the nouns become the last component of a compound word. This is not the case in seoulis.

There are also many Latin adjectives which end in -is, such as affinis, brevis, grandis, similis, etc., but one cannot make an adjective like seoulis (Seoul+-is) by simply adding -is to the noun or noun stem. Accordingly, the specific name seoulis should not be considered to be an authentic adjective.

On the contrary, there are many Latin nouns (the third declension or i-nouns) which end in -is in the nominative singular form, such as auris, basis, canalis, canis, felis, penis, unguis, etc., which end in -is in the genitive singular form, such as bos, bovis (Hypoderma bovis, a fly), caput, capitis (Aphelinus capitis, a wasp), corpus, corporis

164 Y. HIRASHIMA

(Pediculus humanus corporis, the body louse), vespertilion, vespertilionis (Argas vespertilionis, a mite), etc.

The question now is this: Is there a possibility that *seoulis* is a noun in the genitive case? Seoul may be Latinized as Seoulia as in Japan and Japonia; Seoulium, as in Mannheim (W. Germany) and Mannheimium; Seoulis, as in Naples and Neapolis; and Seoul, as in Arabia and Arabia. The genitive case of Seoulis is Seoulis only if the former is a Latinized name.

Thus, we can see that, the specific name *seoulis*, may be regarded either as an adjective or a noun. Unfortunately the original author failed to show the etymology of it. In such a case we have to apply Article 30 (i) of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, which reads:

"Where the original author of a species-group name did not indicate whether he regarded the name as a noun or as an adjective, and where it may be regarded as either, and where the evidence of usage is not decisive, it is to be treated as a noun in apposition to the generic name."

Accordingly, it is now very clear that *seoulis* should be treated as a noun in apposition to the generic name. Again, if the specific name *seoulis* is not considered to be an adjective, as stated above, it should be treated as an indeclinable noun. Therefore, *Inostemma seoulis* is correct, regardless of the gender of the genus.

I thank Dr. T. Nishida, Professor Emeritus, Department of Entomology, University of Hawaii at Manoa for reading of the manuscript and useful comment.