Speeding-up \$q\$-gram mining on grammar-based compressed texts

Goto, Keisuke Department of Informatics, Kyushu University

Bannai, Hideo Department of Informatics, Kyushu University

Inenaga, Shunsuke Department of Informatics, Kyushu University

Takeda, Masayuki Department of Informatics, Kyushu University

https://hdl.handle.net/2324/20601

出版情報:2012-02-16 バージョン: 権利関係:

Speeding-up q-gram mining on grammar-based compressed texts

Keisuke Goto, Hideo Bannai, Shunsuke Inenaga, and Masayuki Takeda

Department of Informatics, Kyushu University {keisuke.gotou,bannai,inenaga,takeda}@inf.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Abstract. We present an efficient algorithm for calculating q-gram frequencies on strings represented in compressed form, namely, as a straight line program (SLP). Given an SLP \mathcal{T} of size n that represents string T, the algorithm computes the occurrence frequencies of all q-grams in T, by reducing the problem to the weighted q-gram frequencies problem on a trie-like structure of size $m = |T| - dup(q, \mathcal{T})$, where $dup(q, \mathcal{T})$ is a quantity that represents the amount of redundancy that the SLP captures with respect to q-grams. The reduced problem can be solved in linear time. Since m = O(qn), the running time of our algorithm is $O(\min\{|T| - dup(q, \mathcal{T}), qn\})$, improving our previous O(qn) algorithm when $q = \Omega(|T|/n)$.

1 Introduction

Many large string data sets are usually first compressed and stored, while they are decompressed afterwards in order to be used and analyzed. Compressed string processing (CSP) is an approach that has been gaining attention in the string processing community. Assuming that the input is given in compressed form, the aim is to develop methods where the string is processed or analyzed without explicitly decompressing the entire string, leading to algorithms with time and space complexities that depend on the compressed size rather than the whole uncompressed size. Since compression algorithms inherently capture regularities of the original string, clever CSP algorithms can be theoretically [12, 4, 10, 7], and even practically [17, 9], faster than algorithms which process the uncompressed string.

In this paper, we assume that the input string is represented as a Straight Line Program (SLP), which is a context free grammar in Chomsky normal form that derives a single string. SLPs are a useful tool when considering CSP algorithms, since it is known that outputs of various grammar based compression algorithms [15, 14], as well as dictionary compression algorithms [22, 20, 21, 19] can be modeled efficiently by SLPs [16]. We consider the q-gram frequencies problem on compressed text represented as SLPs. q-gram frequencies have profound applications in the field of string mining and classification. The problem was first considered for the CSP setting in [11], where an $O(|\Sigma|^2 n^2)$ -time $O(n^2)$ -space algorithm for finding the most frequent 2-gram from an SLP of size n representing text T over alphabet Σ was presented. In [3], it is claimed that the most frequent 2-gram can be found in $O(|\Sigma|^2 n \log n)$ -time and $O(n \log |T|)$ -space, if the SLP is pre-processed and a self-index is built. A much simpler and efficient O(qn) time and space algorithm for general $q \ge 2$ was recently developed [9].

Remarkably, computational experiments on various data sets showed that the O(qn) algorithm is actually faster than calculations on uncompressed strings, when q is small [9]. However, the algorithm slows down considerably compared to the uncompressed approach when q increases. This is because the algorithm reduces the q-gram frequencies problem on an SLP of size n, to the weighted q-gram frequencies problem on a weighted string of size at most 2(q-1)n. As q increases, the length of the string becomes longer than the uncompressed string T. Theoretically q can be as large as O(|T|), hence in such a case the algorithm requires O(|T|n) time, which is worse than a trivial O(|T|) solution that first decompresses the given SLP and runs a linear time algorithm for q-gram frequencies computation on T. In this paper, we solve this problem, and improve the previous O(qn) algorithm both theoretically and practically. We introduce a q-gram neighbor relation on SLP variables, in order to reduce the redundancy in the partial decompression of the string which is performed in the previous algorithm. Based on this idea, we are able to convert the problem to a weighted q-gram frequencies problem on a weighted trie, whose size is at most $|T| - dup(q, \mathcal{T})$. Here, $dup(q, \mathcal{T})$ is a quantity that represents the amount of redundancy that the SLP captures with respect to q-grams. Since the size of the trie is also bounded by O(qn), the time complexity of our new algorithm is $O(\min\{qn, |T| - dup(q, \mathcal{T})\})$, improving on our previous O(qn) algorithm when $q = \Omega(|T|/n)$. Preliminary computational experiments show that our new approach achieves a practical speed up as well, for all values of q.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Intervals, Strings, and Occurrences

For integers $i \leq j$, let [i:j] denote the interval of integers $\{i, \ldots, j\}$. For an interval [i:j] and integer q > 0, let pre([i:j], q) and suf([i:j], q) represent respectively, the length-q prefix and suffix interval, that is, $pre([i:j], q) = [i:\min(i+q-1, j)]$ and $suf([i:j], q) = [\max(i, j-q+1):j]$.

Let Σ be a finite alphabet. An element of Σ^* is called a string. For any integer q > 0, an element of Σ^q is called a q-gram. The length of a string T is denoted by |T|. The empty string ε is a string of length 0, namely, $|\varepsilon| = 0$. For a string T = XYZ, X, Y and Z are called a prefix, substring, and suffix of T, respectively. The *i*-th character of a string T is denoted by T[i], where $1 \le i \le |T|$. For a string T and interval $[i:j](1 \le i \le j \le |T|)$, let T([i:j]) denote the substring of T that begins at position *i* and ends at position *j*. For convenience, let $T([i:j]) = \varepsilon$ if j < i. For a string T and integer $q \ge 0$, let pre(T,q) and suf(T,q) represent respectively, the length-*q* prefix and suffix of T, that is, pre(T,q) = T(pre([1:|T|],q)) and suf(T,q) = T(suf([1:|T|],q)).

For any strings T and P, let Occ(T, P) be the set of occurrences of P in T, i.e., $Occ(T, P) = \{k > 0 \mid T[k : k + |P| - 1] = P\}$. The number of elements |Occ(T, P)| is called the *occurrence frequency* of P in T.

2.2 Straight Line Programs

A straight line program (SLP) is a set of assignments $\mathcal{T} = \{X_1 \rightarrow expr_1, X_2 \rightarrow expr_2, \ldots, X_n \rightarrow expr_n\}$, where each X_i is a variable and each $expr_i$ is an expression, where $expr_i = a \ (a \in \Sigma)$, or $expr_i = X_{\ell(i)}X_{r(i)} \ (i > \ell(i), r(i))$. It is essentially a context free grammar in the Chomsky normal form, that derives a single string. Let $val(X_i)$ represent the string derived from variable X_i . To ease notation, we sometimes associate $val(X_i)$ with X_i and denote $|val(X_i)|$ as $|X_i|$, and $val(X_i)([u : v])$ as $X_i([u : v])$ for any interval [u : v]. An SLP \mathcal{T} re program \mathcal{T} is the number n of assignments in \mathcal{T} . we assume as in various previous work on SLP, and hence, values representing lengths and positi



Fig. 1. The derivation tree of SLP $\mathcal{T} = \{X_1 \rightarrow a, X_2 \rightarrow b, X_3 \rightarrow X_1X_2, X_4 \rightarrow X_1X_3, X_5 \rightarrow X_3X_4, X_6 \rightarrow X_4X_5, X_7 \rightarrow X_6X_5\}$, representing string $T = val(X_7) = aababaababaab$.

 $X_i([u:v])$ for any interval [u:v]. An SLP \mathcal{T} represents the string $T = val(X_n)$. The size of the program \mathcal{T} is the number n of assignments in \mathcal{T} . Note that |T| can be as large as $\Theta(2^n)$. However, we assume as in various previous work on SLP, that the computer word size is at least $\log |T|$, and hence, values representing lengths and positions of T in our algorithms can be manipulated in constant time.

The derivation tree of SLP \mathcal{T} is a labeled ordered binary tree where each internal node is labeled with a non-terminal variable in $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$, and each leaf is labeled with a terminal character in Σ . The root node has label X_n . Let \mathcal{V} denote the set of internal nodes in the derivation tree. For any internal node $v \in \mathcal{V}$, let $\langle v \rangle$ denote the index of its label $X_{\langle v \rangle}$. Node v has a single child which is a leaf labeled with c when $(X_{\langle v \rangle} \to c) \in \mathcal{T}$ for some $c \in \Sigma$, or v has a left-child and rightchild respectively denoted $\ell(v)$ and r(v), when $(X_{\langle v \rangle} \to X_{\langle \ell(v) \rangle} X_{\langle r(v) \rangle}) \in \mathcal{T}$. Each node v of the tree derives $val(X_{\langle v \rangle})$, a substring of T, whose corresponding interval itv(v), with $T(itv(v)) = val(X_{\langle v \rangle})$, can be defined recursively as follows. If v is the root node, then itv(v) = [1 : |T|]. Otherwise, if $(X_{\langle v \rangle} \to X_{\langle \ell(v) \rangle} X_{\langle r(v) \rangle}) \in \mathcal{T}$, then, $itv(\ell(v)) = [b_v : b_v + |X_{\langle \ell(v) \rangle}| - 1]$ and $itv(r(v)) = [b_v + |X_{\langle \ell(v) \rangle}| :$ $e_v]$, where $[b_v : e_v] = itv(v)$. Let $vOcc(X_i)$ denote the number of times a variable X_i occurs in the derivation tree, i.e., $vOcc(X_i) = |\{v \mid X_{\langle v \rangle} = X_i\}|$. We assume that any variable X_i is used at least once, that is $vOcc(X_i) > 0$.

For any interval [b:e] of $T(1 \le b \le e \le |T|)$, let $\xi_{\mathcal{T}}(b,e)$ denote the deepest node v in the derivation tree, which derives an interval containing [b:e], that is, $itv(v) \supseteq [b:e]$, and no proper descendant of v satisfies this condition. We say that node v stabs interval [b:e], and $X_{\langle v \rangle}$ is called the variable that stabs the interval. If b = e, we have that $(X_{\langle v \rangle} \to c) \in \mathcal{T}$ for some $c \in \Sigma$, and itv(v) = b = e. If b < e, then we have $(X_{\langle v \rangle} \to X_{\langle \ell(v) \rangle} X_{\langle r(v) \rangle}) \in \mathcal{T}$, $b \in itv(\ell(v))$, and $e \in itv(r(v))$. When it is not confusing, we will sometimes use $\xi_{\mathcal{T}}(b,e)$ to denote the variable $X_{\langle \xi_{\mathcal{T}}(b,e) \rangle}$.

SLPs can be efficiently pre-processed to hold various information. $|X_i|$ and $vOcc(X_i)$ can be computed for all variables $X_i(1 \le i \le n)$ in a total of O(n) time by a simple dynamic programming algorithm. Also, the following Lemma is useful for partial decompression of a prefix of a variable.

Lemma 1 ([8]). Given an $SLP \mathcal{T} = \{X_i \to expr_i\}_{i=1}^n$, it is possible to pre-process \mathcal{T} in O(n) time and space, so that for any variable X_i and $1 \le j \le |X_i|$, $X_i([1:j])$ can be computed in O(j) time.

The formal statement of the problem we solve is:

Problem 1 (q-gram frequencies on SLP). Given integer $q \ge 1$ and an SLP \mathcal{T} of size n that represents string T, output (i, |Occ(T, P)|) for all $P \in \Sigma^q$ where $Occ(T, P) \neq \emptyset$, and some $i \in Occ(T, P)$.

Since the problem is very simple for q = 1, we shall only consider the case for $q \ge 2$ for the rest of the paper. Note that although the number of distinct q-grams in T is bounded by O(qn), we would require an extra multiplicative O(q) factor for the output if we output each q-gram explicitly as a string. In our algorithms to follow, we compute a compact, O(qn)-size representation of the output, from which each q-gram can be easily obtained in O(q) time.

3 O(qn) Algorithm [9]

In this section, we briefly describe the O(qn) algorithm presented in [9]. The idea is to count occurrences of q-grams with respect to the variable that stabs its occurrence. The algorithm reduces Problem 1 to calculating the frequencies of all q-grams in a weighted set of strings, whose total length is O(qn). Lemma 2 shows the key idea of the algorithm.

Lemma 2. For any $SLP \mathcal{T} = \{X_i \to expr_i\}_{i=1}^n$ that represents string T, integer $q \ge 2$, and $P \in \Sigma^q$, $|Occ(T, P)| = \sum_{i=1}^n vOcc(X_i) \cdot |Occ(t_i, P)|$, where $t_i = suf(val(X_{\ell(i)}), q-1)pre(val(X_{r(i)}), q-1)$.

Proof. For any $q \ge 2$, v stabs the interval [u : u + q - 1] if and only if $[u : u + q - 1] \subseteq [s_v : f_v] = suf(itv(\ell(v)), q - 1) \cup pre(itv(r(v)), q - 1))$. (See Fig. 2.) Also, since an occurrence of X_i in the

derivation tree always derives the same string $val(X_i)$, $t_i = T([s_v : f_v])$ for any node v such that $X_{\langle v \rangle} = X_i$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |Occ(T,P)| &= \left| \{u > 0 \mid T([u:u+q-1]) = P \} \right| \\ &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \left| \{u > 0 \mid \xi_{\mathcal{T}}(u,u+q-1) = v, j = u - s_v + 1, X_{\langle v \rangle}([j:j+q-1]) = P \} \right| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}: X_{\langle v \rangle} = X_i} \left| \{u > 0 \mid \xi_{\mathcal{T}}(u,u+q-1) = v, j = u - s_v + 1, X_{\langle v \rangle}([j:j+q-1]) = P \} \right| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}: X_{\langle v \rangle} = X_i} Occ(T([s_v:f_v]), P) = \sum_{i=1}^n vOcc(X_i) \cdot Occ(t_i, P). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2, we have that occurrence frequencies in T are equivalent to occurrence frequencies in t_i weighted by $vOcc(X_i)$. Therefore, the q-gram frequencies problem can be regarded as obtaining the weighted frequencies of all q-grams in the set of strings $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$, where each occurrence of a q-gram in t_i is weighted by $vOcc(X_i)$. This can be further reduced to a weighted qgram frequency problem for a single string z, where each position of z holds a weight associated with the q-gram that starts at that position. String z is constructed by concatenating all t_i 's with length at least q. The weights of positions corresponding to the first $|t_i| - (q-1)$ characters of t_i will be $vOcc(X_i)$, while the last (q-1) positions will be 0 so that superfluous q-grams generated by the concatenation are not counted. The remaining is a simple



Fig. 2. Length-*q* intervals where $X_{\langle \xi_{\mathcal{T}}(u,u+q-1) \rangle} = X_i$, and $(X_i \to X_{\ell(i)} X_{r(i)}) \in \mathcal{T}$.

linear time algorithm using suffix and lcp arrays on the weighted string, thus solving the problem in O(qn) time and space.

4 New Algorithm

We now describe our new algorithm which solves the q-gram frequencies problem on SLPs. The new algorithm basically follows the previous O(qn) algorithm, but is an elegant refinement. The reduction for the previous O(qn) algorithm leads to a fairly large amount of redundantly decompressed regions of the text as q increases. This is due to the fact that the t_i 's are considered independently for each variable X_i , while neighboring q-grams that are stabled by different variables actually share q-1 characters. The key idea of our new algorithm is to exploit this redundancy. (See Fig. 3.) In what follows, we introduce the concept of q-gram neighbors, and reduce the q-gram frequencies problem on SLP to a weighted q-gram frequencies problem on a weighted tree.

4.1 q-gram Neighbor Graph

We say that X_j is a right q-gram neighbor of X_i $(i \neq j)$, or equivalently, X_i is a left q-gram neighbor of X_j , if for some integer $u \in [1 : |T| - q]$, $X_{\langle \xi_T(u, u+q-1) \rangle} = X_i$ and $X_{\langle \xi_T(u+1, u+q) \rangle} = X_j$. Notice that $|X_i|$ and $|X_j|$ are both at least q if X_i and X_j are right or left q-gram neighbors of each other.



Fig. 3. *q*-gram neighbors and redundancies. (Left) X_j is a right *q*-gram neighbor of X_i , and X_i is a left *q*-gram neighbor of X_j . Note that the right *q*-gram neighbor of X_i is uniquely determined since $|X_{r(i)}| \ge q$ and it must be a descendant on the left most path rooted at $X_{r(i)}$, However, X_j may have other left *q*-gram neighbors, since $|X_{\ell(j)}| < q$, and they must be ancestors of X_j . t_i (resp. t_j) represents the string corresponding to the union of intervals [u: u+q-1] where $X_{\langle\xi_{\mathcal{T}}(u,u+q-1)\rangle} = X_i$ (resp. $X_{\langle\xi_{\mathcal{T}}(u,u+q-1)\rangle} = X_j$). The shaded region depicts the string which is redundantly decompressed, if both t_i and t_j are considered independently. (Right) Shows the reverse case, when $|X_{r(i)}| < q$.

Definition 1. For $q \ge 2$, the right q-gram neighbor graph of SLP $\mathcal{T} = \{X_i \to expr_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the directed graph $G_q = (V, E_r)$, where

$$V = \{X_i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, |X_i| \ge q\}$$

$$E_r = \{(X_i, X_j) \mid X_j \text{ is a right q-gram neighbor of } X_i \}$$

Note that there can be multiple right q-gram neighbors for a given variable. However, the total number of edges in the neighbor graph is bounded by 2n, as will be shown below.

Lemma 3. Let X_j be a right q-gram neighbor of X_i . If, $|X_{r(i)}| \ge q$, then X_j is the label of the deepest variable on the left-most path of the derivation tree rooted at a node labeled $X_{r(i)}$ whose length is at least q. Otherwise, if $|X_{r(i)}| < q$, then X_i is the label of the deepest variable on the right-most path rooted at a node labeled $X_{\ell(i)}$ whose length is at least q.

Proof. Suppose $|X_{r(i)}| \ge q$. Let u be a position, where $X_{\langle \xi_{\mathcal{T}}(u,u+q-1)\rangle} = X_i$ and $X_{\langle \xi_{\mathcal{T}}(u+1,u+q)\rangle} = X_j$. Then, since the interval [u+1:u+q] is a prefix of $itv(X_{r(i)})$, X_j must be on the left most path rooted at $X_{r(i)}$. Since $X_j = X_{\langle \xi_{\mathcal{T}}(u+1,u+q)\rangle}$, the lemma follows from the definition of $\xi_{\mathcal{T}}$. The case for $|X_{r(i)}| < q$ is symmetrical and can be shown similarly.

Lemma 4. For an arbitrary SLP $\mathcal{T} = \{X_i \to expr_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and integer $q \ge 2$, the number of edges in the right q-gram neighbor graph G_q of \mathcal{T} is at most 2n.

Proof. Suppose X_j is a right q-gram neighbor of X_i . From Lemma 3, we have that if $|X_{r(i)}| \ge q$, the right q-gram neighbor of X_i is uniquely determined and that $|X_{\ell(j)}| < q$. Similarly, if $|X_{r(i)}| < q$, $|X_{\ell(j)}| \ge q$ and the left q-gram neighbor of X_j is uniquely X_i . Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\{(X_i, X_j) \in E_r \mid |X_{r(i)}| \ge q\}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\{(X_i, X_j) \in E_r \mid |X_{r(i)}| < q\}|$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\{(X_i, X_j) \in E_r \mid |X_{r(i)}| \ge q\}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\{(X_i, X_j) \in E_r \mid |X_{\ell(j)}| \ge q\}| \le 2n.$$

Lemma 5. For an arbitrary SLP $\mathcal{T} = \{X_i \to expr_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and integer $q \ge 2$, the right q-gram neighbor graph G_q of \mathcal{T} can be constructed in O(n) time.

Proof. For any variable X_i , let $lm_q(X_i)$ and $rm_q(X_i)$ respectively represent the index of the label of the deepest node with length at least q on the left-most and right-most path in the derivation tree rooted at X_i , or null if $|X_i| < q$. These values can be computed for all variables in a total of O(n) time based on the following recursion: If $(X_i \to a) \in \mathcal{T}$ for some $a \in \Sigma$, then $lm_q(X_i) =$ $rm_q(X_i) = null$. For $(X_i \to X_{\ell(i)} X_{r(i)}) \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$lm_q(X_i) = \begin{cases} null & \text{if } |X_i| < q, \\ i & \text{if } |X_i| \ge q \text{ and } |X_{\ell(i)}| < q, \\ lm_q(X_{\ell(i)}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

 $rm_q(X_i)$ can be computed similarly. Finally,

$$E_{r} = \{ (X_{i}, X_{lm_{q}(X_{r(i)})}) \mid lm_{q}(X_{r(i)}) \neq null, i = 1, \dots, n \} \\ \cup \{ (X_{rm_{q}(X_{\ell(i)})}, X_{i}) \mid rm_{q}(X_{\ell(i)}) \neq null, i = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

Lemma 6. Let $G_q = (V, E_r)$ be the right q-gram neighbor graph of $SLP \mathcal{T} = \{X_i = expr_i\}_{i=1}^n$ representing string T, and let $X_{i_1} = X_{\langle \xi_{\mathcal{T}}(1,q) \rangle}$. Any variable $X_j \in V(i_1 \neq j)$ is reachable from X_{i_1} , that is, there exists a directed path from X_{i_1} to X_j in G_q .

Proof. Straightforward, since any q-gram of T except for the left most T([1:q]) has a q-gram on its left.

4.2 Weighted q-gram Frequencies Over a Trie

From Lemma 6, we have that the right q-gram neighbor graph is connected. Consider an arbitrary directed spanning tree rooted at $X_{i_1} = X_{\langle \xi_{\mathcal{T}}(1,q) \rangle}$ which can be obtained in linear time by a depth first traversal on G_q from X_{i_1} . We define the label $label(X_i)$ of each node X_i of the q-gram neighbor graph, by

$$label(X_i) = t_i[q:|t_i|]$$

where $t_i = suf(val(X_{\ell(i)}), q-1)pre(val(X_{r(i)}), q-1)$ as before. For convenience, let X_{i_0} be a dummy variable such that $label(X_{i_0}) = T([1:q-1])$, and $X_{r(i_0)} = X_{i_1}$ (and so $(X_{i_0}, X_{i_1}) \in E_r)$.

Lemma 7. Fix a directed spanning tree on the right q-gram neighbor graph of SLP \mathcal{T} , rooted at X_{i_0} . Consider a directed path X_{i_0}, \ldots, X_{i_m} on the spanning tree. The weighted q-gram frequencies on the string obtained by the concatenation $label(X_{i_0})label(X_{i_1})\cdots label(X_{i_m})$, where each occurrence of a q-gram that ends in a position in $label(X_{i_j})$ is weighted by $vOcc(X_{i_j})$, is equivalent to the weighted q-gram frequencies of strings $\{t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_m}\}$ where each q-gram in t_{i_j} is weighted by $vOcc(X_{i_j})$.

Proof. Proof by induction: for m = 1, we have that $label(X_{i_0})label(X_{i_1}) = t_{i_1}$. All q-grams in t_{i_1} end in t_{i_1} and so are weighted by $vOcc(X_{i_1})$. When $label(X_{i_j})$ is added to $label(X_{i_0}) \cdots label(X_{i_{j-1}})$, $|label(X_{i_j})|$ new q-grams are formed, which correspond to q-grams in t_{i_j} , i.e. $|t_{i_j}| = q-1+|label(X_{i_j})|$, and t_{i_j} is a suffix of $label(X_{i_{j-1}})label(X_{i_j})$. All the new q-grams end in $label(X_{i_j})$ and are thus weighted by $vOcc(X_{i_j})$.

Algorithm 1: Constructing weighted trie from SLP

1 Construct right q-gram neighbor graph $G = (V, E_r);$

- **2** Calculate $vOcc(X_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$;
- **3** Calculate $|label(X_i)|$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$;
- 4 for $i = 0, \ldots, n$ do visited[i] = false;
- 5 $X_{i_1} = X_{\langle \xi_{\mathcal{T}}(1,q) \rangle} = lm_q(X_n);$
- **6** Define X_{i_0} so that $X_{r(i_0)} = X_{i_1}$ and $|label(X_{i_0})| = q 1;$
- 7 $root \leftarrow new node; // root of resulting trie$
- **s** BuildDepthFirst $(i_0, root)$;
- 9 return root

Procedure BuildDepthFirst(*i*, *trieNode*)

// add prefix of r(i) to trieNode while right neighbors of i are unique 1 $l \leftarrow 0; k \leftarrow i;$ while true do 2 з $l \leftarrow l + |label(X_k)|;$ 4 visited $[k] \leftarrow true;$ // exit loop if right neighbor is possibly non-unique or is visited if $|X_{r(k)}| < q$ or visited $[lm_q(X_{r(k)})]$ = true then break; 5 6 $k \leftarrow lm_q(X_{r(k)});$ **7** add new branch from *trieNode* with string $X_{r(i)}([1:l])$; **s** let end of new branch be *newTrieNode*; // If $|X_{r(k)}| < q$, there may be multiple right neighbors. // If $|X_{r(k)}| \geq q$, nothing is done because it has already been visited. 9 for $X_c \in \{X_j \mid (X_k, X_j) \in E_r\}$ do 10 if visited[c] = false then BuildDepthFirst $(X_c, newTrieNode);$ 11

From Lemma 7, we can construct a weighted trie Υ based on a directed spanning tree of G_q and label(), where the weighted q-grams in Υ (represented as length-q paths) correspond to the occurrence frequencies of q-grams in T.¹

Lemma 8. Υ can be constructed in time linear in its size.

Proof. See Algorithm 1. Let G be the q-gram neighbor graph. We construct Υ in a depth first manner starting at X_{i_0} . The crux of the algorithm is that rather than computing *label()* separately for each variable, we are able to aggregate the *label()*s and limit all partial decompressions of variables to prefixes of variables, so that Lemma 1 can be used.

Any directed acyclic path on G starting at X_{i_0} can be segmented into multiple sequences of variables, where each sequence X_{i_j}, \ldots, X_{i_k} is such that j is the only integer in [j:k] such that j = 0 or $|X_{r(i_{j-1})}| < q$. From Lemma 3, we have that $X_{i_{j+1}}, \ldots, X_{i_k}$ are uniquely determined. If j > 0, $label(X_{i_j})$ is a prefix of $val(X_{r(i_j)})$ since $|X_{r(i_{j-1})}| < q$ (see Fig. 3 Right), and if j = 0, $label(X_{i_0})$ is again a prefix of $val(X_{r(i_0)}) = val(X_{i_1})$. It is not difficult to see that $label(X_{i_j}) \cdots label(X_{i_k})$ is also a prefix of $X_{r(i_j)}$ since $X_{i_{j+1}}, \ldots, X_{i_k}$ are all descendants of $X_{r(i_j)}$, and each label() extends the partially decompressed string to consider consecutive q-grams in $X_{r(i_j)}$. Since prefixes of variables of SLPs can be decompressed in time proportional to the output size with linear time pre-processing (Lemma 1), the lemma follows.

¹ A minor technicality is that a node in Υ may have multiple children with the same character label, but this does not affect the time complexities of the algorithm.

We only illustrate how the character labels are determined in the pseudo-code of Algorithm 1. It is straightforward to assign a weight $vOcc(X_k)$ to each node of Υ that corresponds to $label(X_k)$.

Lemma 9. The number of edges in Υ is $(q-1) + \sum \{|t_i| - (q-1) \mid |X_i| \ge q, i = 1, ..., n\} = |T| - dup(q, T)$ where

$$dup(q, \mathcal{T}) = \sum \{ (vOcc(X_i) - 1) \cdot (|t_i| - (q - 1)) \mid |X_i| \ge q, i = 1, \dots, n \} \}$$

Proof. $(q-1) + \sum \{|t_i| - (q-1) | |X_i| \ge q, i = 1, ..., n\}$ is straight forward from the definition of $label(X_i)$ and the construction of Υ . Concerning dup, each variable X_i occurs $vOcc(X_i)$ times in the derivation tree, but only once in the directed spanning tree. This means that for each occurrence after the first, the size of Υ is reduced by $|label(X_i)| = |t_i| - (q-1)$ compared to T. Therefore, the lemma follows.

To efficiently count the weighted q-gram frequencies on Υ , we can use suffix trees. A suffix tree for a trie is defined as a generalized suffix tree for the set of strings represented in the trie as leaf to root paths.² The following is known.

Lemma 10 ([18]). Given a trie of size m, the suffix tree for the trie can be constructed in O(m) time and space.

With a suffix tree, it is a simple exercise to solve the weighted q-gram frequencies problem on Υ in linear time. In fact, it is known that the suffix array for the common suffix trie can also be constructed in linear time [6], as well as its longest common prefix array [13], which can also be used to solve the problem in linear time.

Corollary 1. The weighted q-gram frequencies problem on a trie of size m can be solved in O(m) time and space.

From the above arguments, the theorem follows.

Theorem 1. The q-gram frequencies problem on an SLP \mathcal{T} of size n, representing string T can be solved in $O(\min\{qn, |T| - dup(q, \mathcal{T})\})$ time and space.

Note that since each $q \leq |t_i| \leq 2(q-1)$, and $|label(X_i)| = |t_i| - (q-1)$, the total length of decompressions made by the algorithm, i.e. the size of the reduced problem, is at least halved and can be as small as 1/q (when all $|t_i| = q$, for example, in an SLP that represents LZ78 compression), compared to the previous O(qn) algorithm.

5 Preliminary Experiments

We first evaluate the size of the trie Υ induced from the right q-gram neighbor graph, on which the running time of the new algorithm of Section 4 is dependent. We used data sets obtained from Pizza & Chili Corpus, and constructed SLPs using the RE-PAIR [14] compression algorithm. Each data is of size 200MB. Table 1 shows the sizes of Υ for different values of q, in comparison with the total length of strings t_i , on which the previous O(qn)-time algorithm of Section 3 works. We cumulated the lengths of all t_i 's only for those satisfying $|t_i| \ge q$, since no q-gram can occur in t_i 's with $|t_i| < q$. Observe that for all values of q and for all data sets, the size of Υ (i.e., the total number of characters in Υ) is smaller than those of t_i 's and the original string.

² When considering leaf to root paths on Υ , the direction of the string is the reverse of what is in T. However, this is merely a matter of representation of the output.

	XN	ИL	Dì	NA	ENG	LISH	PROTEINS		
q	$\sum t_i $	size of Υ	$\sum t_i $	size of Υ	$\sum t_i $	size of Υ	$\sum t_i $	size of γ	
2	19,082,988	9,541,495	46,342,894	23,171,448	37,889,802	18,944,902	64,751,926	32,375,964	
3	37,966,315	18,889,991	92,684,656	46,341,894	75,611,002	37,728,884	129,449,835	64,698,833	
4	55,983,397	27,443,734	139,011,475	69,497,812	112,835,471	56,066,348	191,045,216	93,940,205	
5	72,878,965	35,108,101	185,200,662	92,516,690	148,938,576	73,434,080	243,692,809	114,655,697	
6	88,786,480	42,095,985	230,769,162	114,916,322	183,493,406	89,491,371	280,408,504	123,786,699	
7	103,862,589	48,533,013	274,845,524	135,829,862	215,975,218	103,840,108	301,810,933	127,510,939	
8	118,214,023	54,500,142	315,811,932	153,659,844	246,127,485	116,339,295	311,863,817	129,618,754	
9	131,868,777	60,045,009	352,780,338	167,598,570	273,622,444	126,884,532	318,432,611	131,240,299	
10	144,946,389	65,201,880	385,636,192	177,808,192	298,303,942	135,549,310	325,028,658	132,658,662	
15	204,193,702	86,915,492	477,568,585	196,448,347	379,441,314	157,558,436	347,993,213	138,182,717	
20	255,371,699	104,476,074	497,607,690	200,561,823	409,295,884	162,738,812	364,230,234	142,213,239	
50	424,505,759	157,069,100	530,329,749	206,796,322	429,380,290	165,882,006	416,966,397	156,257,977	
100	537,677,786	192,816,929	536,349,226	207,838,417	435,843,895	167,313,028	463,766,667	168,544,608	

Table 1. A comparison of the size of Υ and the total length of strings t_i for SLPs that represent textual data from Pizza & Chili Corpus. The length of the original text is 209,715,200. The SLPs were constructed by RE-PAIR [14].

The construction of the suffix tree or array for a trie, as well as the algorithm for Lemma 1, require various tools such as level ancestor queries [5, 2, 1] for which we did not have an efficient implementation. Therefore, we try to assess the practical impact of the reduced problem size using a simplified version of our new algorithm. We compared three algorithms (NSA, SSA, STSA) that count the occurrence frequencies of all q-grams in a text given as an SLP. NSA is the O(|T|)-time algorithm which works on the uncompressed text, using suffix and LCP arrays. SSA is our previous O(qn)-time algorithm [9], and STSA is a simplified version of our new algorithm. STSA further reduces the weighted q-gram frequencies problem on Υ , to a weighted q-gram frequencies problem on a single string as follows: instead of constructing Υ , each branch of Υ (on line 7 of BuildDepthFirst) is appended into a single string. The q-grams that are represented in the branching edges of Υ can be represented in the single string, by redundantly adding $suf(X_{r(i)}([1:l]), q-1)$ in front of the string corresponding to the next branch. This leads to some duplicate partial decompression, but the resulting string is still always shorter than the string produced by our previous algorithm [9]. The partial decompression of $X_{r(i)}([1:l])$ is implemented using a simple O(h+l) algorithm, where h is the height of the SLP which can be as large as O(n).

All computations were conducted on a Mac Pro (Mid 2010) with MacOS X Lion 10.7.2, and 2 x 2.93GHz 6-Core Xeon processors and 64GB Memory, only utilizing a single process/thread at once. The program was compiled using the GNU C++ compiler (g^{++}) 4.6.2 with the -Ofast option for optimization. The running times were measured in seconds, after reading the uncompressed text into memory for NSA, and after reading the SLP that represents the text into memory for SSA and STSA. Each computation was repeated at least 3 times, and the average was taken.

Table 2 summarizes the running times of the three algorithms. SSA and STSA computed weighted q-gram frequencies on t_i and Υ , respectively. Since the difference between the total length of t_i and the size of Υ becomes larger as q increases, STSA outperforms SSA when the value of q is not small. In fact, in Table 2 SSA2 was faster than SSA for all values of q > 3. STSA was even faster than NSA on the XML data whenever $q \leq 20$. What is interesting is that STSA outperformed NSA on the ENGLISH data when q = 100.

References

- 1. Bender, M.A., Farach-Colton, M.: The level ancestor problem simplified. Theor. Comput. Sci. 321(1), 5–12 (2004)
- 2. Berkman, O., Vishkin, U.: Finding level-ancestors in trees. J. Comput. System Sci. 48(2), 214–230 (1994)
- 3. Claude, F., Navarro, G.: Self-indexed grammar-based compression. Fundamenta Informaticae (to appear)

Table 2. Running time in seconds for SLPs that represent textual data from Pizza & Chili Corpus. The SLPs were constructed by RE-PAIR [14]. Bold numbers represent the fastest time for each data and q. STSA is faster than SSA whenever q > 3.

	XML			DNA			ENGLISH			PROTEINS		
q	NSA	SSA	STSA	NSA	SSA	STSA	NSA	SSA	STSA	NSA	SSA	STSA
2	41.67	6.53	7.63	61.28	19.27	22.73	56.77	16.31	19.23	60.16	27.13	30.71
3	41.46	10.96	10.92	61.28	29.14	31.07	56.77	25.58	25.57	60.53	47.53	50.65
4	41.87	16.27	14.5	61.65	42.22	41.69	56.77	37.48	34.95	60.86	74.89	73.51
5	41.85	21.33	17.42	61.57	56.26	54.21	57.09	49.83	45.21	60.53	101.64	79.1
6	41.9	25.77	20.07	60.91	73.11	68.63	57.11	62.91	55.28	61.18	123.74	75.83
7	41.73	30.14	21.94	60.89	90.88	82.85	56.64	75.69	63.35	61.14	136.12	72.62
8	41.92	34.22	23.97	61.57	110.3	93.46	57.27	87.9	69.7	61.39	142.29	71.08
9	41.92	37.9	25.08	61.26	127.29	96.07	57.09	100.24	73.63	61.36	148.12	69.88
10	41.76	41.28	26.45	60.94	143.31	96.26	57.43	110.85	75.68	61.42	149.73	69.34
15	41.95	58.21	32.21	61.72	190.88	84.86	57.31	146.89	70.63	60.42	160.58	66.57
20	41.82	74.61	39.62	61.36	203.03	83.13	57.65	161.12	64.8	61.01	165.03	66.09
50	42.07	134.38	53.98	61.73	216.6	78.0	57.02	166.67	57.89	61.05	181.14	66.36
100	41.81	181.23	60.18	61.46	217.05	75.91	57.3	166.67	56.86	60.69	197.33	69.9

- Crochemore, M., Landau, G.M., Ziv-Ukelson, M.: A subquadratic sequence alignment algorithm for unrestricted scoring matrices. SIAM J. Comput. 32(6), 1654–1673 (2003)
- 5. Dietz, P.: Finding level-ancestors in dynamic trees. In: Proc. WADS. pp. 32-40 (1991)
- Ferragina, P., Luccio, F., Manzini, G., Muthukrishnan, S.: Compressing and indexing labeled trees, with applications. J. ACM 57(1) (2009)
- Gawrychowski, P.: Pattern matching in Lempel-Ziv compressed strings: Fast, simple, and deterministic. In: Proc. ESA 2011. pp. 421–432 (2011)
- Gąsieniec, L., Kolpakov, R., Potapov, I., Sant, P.: Real-time traversal in grammar-based compressed files. In: Proc. DCC'05. p. 458 (2005)
- Goto, K., Bannai, H., Inenaga, S., Takeda, M.: Fast q-gram mining on SLP compressed strings. In: Proc. SPIRE'11. pp. 289–289 (2011)
- Hermelin, D., Landau, G.M., Landau, S., Weimann, O.: A unified algorithm for accelerating edit-distance computation via text-compression. In: Proc. STACS'09. pp. 529–540 (2009)
- Inenaga, S., Bannai, H.: Finding characteristic substring from compressed texts. In: Proc. The Prague Stringology Conference 2009. pp. 40–54 (2009), full version to appear in the International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science
- 12. Karpinski, M., Rytter, W., Shinohara, A.: An efficient pattern-matching algorithm for strings with short descriptions. Nordic Journal of Computing 4, 172–186 (1997)
- Kimura, D., Kashima, H.: A linear time subpath kernel for trees. In: IEICE Technical Report. vol. IBISML2011-85, pp. 291–298 (2011)
- Larsson, N.J., Moffat, A.: Offline dictionary-based compression. In: Proc. DCC'99. pp. 296–305. IEEE Computer Society (1999)
- Nevill-Manning, C.G., Witten, I.H., Maulsby, D.L.: Compression by induction of hierarchical grammars. In: Proc. DCC'94. pp. 244–253 (1994)
- Rytter, W.: Application of Lempel-Ziv factorization to the approximation of grammar-based compression. Theor. Comput. Sci. 302(1–3), 211–222 (2003)
- Shibata, Y., Kida, T., Fukamachi, S., Takeda, M., Shinohara, A., Shinohara, T., Arikawa, S.: Speeding up pattern matching by text compression. In: Proc. CIAC. pp. 306–315 (2000)
- 18. Shibuya, T.: Constructing the suffix tree of a tree with a large alphabet. IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences E86-A(5), 1061–1066 (2003)
- 19. Storer, J., Szymanski, T.: Data compression via textual substitution. Journal of the ACM 29(4), 928–951 (1982)
- 20. Welch, T.A.: A technique for high performance data compression. IEEE Computer 17, 8–19 (1984)
- Ziv, J., Lempel, A.: A universal algorithm for sequential data compression. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory IT-23(3), 337–349 (1977)
- Ziv, J., Lempel, A.: Compression of individual sequences via variable-length coding. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 24(5), 530–536 (1978)