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Abstract. Pollard’s rho method is the asymptotically fastest known attack for the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) except special cases. It works by giving a pseudo-random sequence defined by an iteration function and then detecting a collision in the sequence. We note that the number of iterations before obtaining a collision is significant for the running time of the rho method and depends on the choice of an iteration function. For many iteration functions suitable for the ECDLP on elliptic curves except Koblitz curves, the number of iterations before obtaining a collision had been investigated. In this paper, we propose a new iteration function on Koblitz curves which is an extension of the iteration function proposed by Gallant et al. and analyze the performance on our iteration function experimentally.
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1. Introduction

In 1985, Neal Koblitz and Victor Miller independently proposed using elliptic curves to design public-key cryptographic systems (see [4, 9, 12]). The hardness of the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) is essential for the security of all elliptic curve cryptographic schemes. The ECDLP is as follows: given an elliptic curve $E$ defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, a point $S \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of order $n$, and a point $T \in \langle S \rangle$, find the integer $k \in [0, n - 1]$ with $T = kS$. Although a number of ways to solve the ECDLP is known, Pollard’s rho method [14] is the asymptotically fastest known attack for the ECDLP except special cases including the supersingular cases and the anomalous cases (see [9, 13, 15, 16, 18]).

In the rho method, an iteration function $f : \langle S \rangle \to \langle S \rangle$ is used to define a sequence $\{X_i\}$ by $X_{i+1} = f(X_i)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ with a starting point $X_0$. Furthermore, $f$ should have the characteristic of a random function. Since the set $\langle S \rangle$ is finite, the sequence will eventually meet a point that has occurred before, which is called a collision, and then cycle forever. Since a collision gives the solution of the ECDLP with high probability, the number of iterations before obtaining a collision is significant for the running time of the rho method. Improved by Wiener and Zuccherato [22], van Oorschot and Wiener [21], and Gallant, Lambert and Vanstone [8], the rho method can be efficiently parallelized and can be sped up using group automorphisms. In particular, the rho method can be sped up by a factor of $\sqrt{2m}$ for Koblitz curves over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ using the Frobenius map and the Negation map. By the birthday paradox, the expected number of iterations on Koblitz curves before obtaining a collision is approximately $\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{m}$ (see [9] for details). However, since the rho method is a probabilistic algorithm, the number of iterations before obtaining a collision is dependent on the choice of an iteration function $f$ and a starting point $X_0$.

In this paper, we propose a new iteration function on Koblitz curves which is an extension of the iteration function proposed by Gallant et al. and analyze the performance of our iteration function experimentally. To analyze the performance of an iteration function $f$ on elliptic curves, we consider the value

$$\delta(f) := \frac{\text{The number of iterations } f \text{ before obtaining a collision}}{\text{Exp}},$$

where ‘Exp’ is the expected number of iterations before obtaining a collision. For many iteration functions $f$ suitable for solving the ECDLP on elliptic curves except Koblitz curves, the average value of $\delta(f)$ was investigated by Teske [19, 20], and Bai and Brent [1]. By many experiments of solving the ECDLP on Koblitz curves of relatively short parameters, we estimate the average value of $\delta(f)$ with our iteration function $f$. In particular, we estimate the average value of $\delta(f)$ with the iteration function $f$ proposed by Gallant, Lambert, and Vanstone [8] experimentally.

2. Review on Pollard’s rho method for the ECDLP

To fix our notation, we here review on the rho method for the ECDLP due to [9].

Received on August 24, 2011
2.1. The basic idea of the rho method for the ECDLP

Definition 1. The elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) is as follows: given an elliptic curve $E$ defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, a point $S \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of order $n$, and a point $T \in \langle S \rangle$, find the integer $k \in [0, n-1]$ with $T = kS$.

We define an iteration function $f : \langle S \rangle \rightarrow \langle S \rangle$ such that it is easy to compute $X = f(X)$ and $c', d' \in [0, n-1]$ with $X' = c'S + d'T$ for given $X = cS + dT$. For a starting point $X_0 = c_0S + d_0T$ with randomly chosen $c_0, d_0 \in [0, n-1]$, we define a sequence $\{X_i\}$ by $X_i = f(X_i)$ for $i \geq 0$. It follows from the definition of iteration functions that we can compute $c_i, d_i \in [0, n-1]$ with $X_i = c_iS + d_iT$. Since the set $\langle S \rangle$ is finite, the sequence will eventually meet a point that has occurred before, which is called a collision, and then cycle forever. A collision $X_i = X_j$ with $i \neq j$ gives the equation

$$c_iS + d_iT = c_jS + d_jT.$$ 

Since we have $(c_i - c_j)S = (d_j - d_i)T = (d_j - d_i)kS$, we can compute the solution

$$k = (c_i - c_j) \cdot (d_j - d_i)^{-1} \mod n$$

of the ECDLP if $d_j - d_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$. This is the idea of the rho method for the ECDLP (see [9, pp. 157–158] for details).

For solving the ECDLP efficiently, an iteration function $f$ should have the characteristic of a random function, and the expected number of iterations before obtaining a collision is approximately $\sqrt{n}$ by the birthday paradox if $f$ is a random function [9, p. 157]. However, in fact, the number of iterations before obtaining a collision is heavily dependent on the choice of an iteration function.

Remark 1. A typical iteration function is as follows: Let $\{H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_L\}$ be a random partition of the set $\langle S \rangle$ into $L$ sets of roughly the same size. We write $H(X) = j$ if $X \in H_j$ and call $H$ the partition function. For $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{P} [0, n-1]$ for $1 \leq j \leq L$, set $M_j = a_jS + b_jT \in \langle S \rangle$. Then we can give an iteration function $f : \langle S \rangle \rightarrow \langle S \rangle$ defined by

$$f(X) = X + M_j, \text{ where } j = H(X).$$

For given $X = cS + dT$, we can compute $X' = f(X) = c'S + d'T$ with $c' = c + a_j \mod n$ and $d' = d + b_j \mod n$. This iteration function is called an $L$-adding walk proposed by Teske (see [1, 19, 20]). For many iteration functions suitable for the ECDLP on elliptic curves except Koblitz curves, the number of iterations before obtaining a collision was investigated by Teske [19, 20], and Bai and Brent [1]. For example, we give Table 1: All the data in Table 1 denote the average number of iterations before obtaining a collision by solving the ECDLP on prime fields of 5–13 digits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration functions</th>
<th>$\overline{fP}$</th>
<th>$\overline{fPG}$</th>
<th>$\overline{fTM[20]}$</th>
<th>$\overline{fTM[16:4]}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\langle \text{average of iterations} \rangle / \sqrt{n}$</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle \text{average of iterations} \rangle / \text{Exp}$</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exp = $\sqrt{n}/2$ (in this case), $\overline{fP}$: Pollard’s original iteration function [14], $\overline{fPG}$: Pollard’s iteration function generalized by Teske, $\overline{fTM[20]}$: Teske’s L-adding walk with $L = 20$ explained in Remark, and $\overline{fTM[16:4]}$: Teske’s mixed-walk with 16 multipliers and 4 squarings (see [19] for details)

2.2. Improving Pollard’s rho method

2.2.1. Parallelized Pollard’s rho method:

Van Oorschot and Wiener [21] proposed a variant of Pollard’s rho method that yields a factor $M$ speed up when $M$ processors are employed. The idea is to allow the sequences $\{X_i\}$ generated by the processors to collide with one another. More precisely, each processor randomly selects its own starting point $X_0$, but all processors use the same iteration function $f$ to compute subsequent points $X_i$.

2.2.2. Collision detection:

Floyd’s cycle-finding algorithm [11] finds a collision in the sequence generated by a single processor. The following strategy enables efficient finding of a collision in the sequences generated by different processors. An easy testable distinguishing property of points is selected. For example, a point may be distinguished if the leading $t$ bits of its $x$-coordinate are zero. Let $0 < \theta < 1$ be the proportion of points in the set $\langle S \rangle$ having this distinguishing property. Whenever a processor encounters a distinguished point, it transmits the point to a central server which store it in a sorted list. When the server receives the same distinguished point for the second time, it computes the desired logarithm and terminates all processors. The expected number of iterations per processor before obtaining a collision is $(\sqrt{n}/2)/M$, when $M$ processors are employed. A subsequent distinguished point is expected after $1/\theta$ iterations. Hence the expected number of elliptic curve operations performed by each processor before observing a collision of distinguished points is

$$\frac{1}{M} \sqrt{\frac{n}{\theta}} + \frac{1}{\theta}.$$ 

We note that the running time of $1/\theta$ iterations after a collision occurs is negligible for the total running time if we select $\theta$ such that $1/\theta$ is small enough compared to the order $n$ of the point $S$.

3. Speeding Pollard’s rho method for Koblitz curves

Koblitz curves were first suggested for use in cryptography by Koblitz [12]. The defining equation for a Koblitz curve
where $E$ is
\[ y^2 + xy = x^3 + ax^2 + b, \]
where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2$ with $b \neq 0$. The Frobenius map $\phi : E(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}) \to E(\mathbb{F}_{2^m})$ is defined by
\[ \phi : (x, y) \mapsto (x^2, y^2) \]
and $\phi : \mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{O},$
where $\mathcal{O}$ is the point of infinity. We note that the Frobenius map is a group homomorphism of order $m$ and can be efficiently computed since squaring in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ is relatively inexpensive (see [9] for details). Using the Frobenius map and the Negation map, the rho method for the ECDLP on Koblitz curves $E$ is sped up. The relation $\sim$ on the set $\langle S \rangle$ defined by
\[ P \sim Q \text{ if and only if } P = \pm \phi^j(Q) \text{ for some } j \in [0, m - 1] \]
is an equivalence relation. We denote the set of equivalence classes by $E/\sim$, and let $[P]$ denote the equivalence class containing a point $P$. The idea behind the speedup is to modify an iteration function on $E$ so that it is defined on $E/\sim$. Since most equivalence classes have size $2m$, then the collision search space has size approximately $n/2m$. Hence the expected running time of the rho method accelerated by Frobenius map is
\[ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}, \]
which is a speed up by a factor of $\sqrt{2m}$.

### 3.1. A typical iteration function on Koblitz curves

Gallant, Lambert and Vanstone in [8] proposed an iteration function suitable for the rho method with speedup by the Frobenius map as follows. We define an iteration function on $E$ $g : R \to R + \phi^i(R)$, where $i = \text{hash}_m(\mathcal{L}(R))$, $\mathcal{L}$ is a labelling function from the equivalence classes in $E/\sim$ to some set of representatives. For example, the labelling function $\mathcal{L}$ takes the lexicographically least $x$-coordinate of the elements of the equivalence class. We note that computing $R + \phi^i(R)$ is about the same work as a point addition on $E$ since computing $\phi^i(R)$ is reasonably easy. Using the iteration function $g$, we give an iteration function $f$ on $E/\sim$ defined by
\[ f([R]) = [g(R)], \text{ for } R \in E, \]
which is the iteration function proposed by Gallant, Lambert and Vanstone [8]. We note that the iteration function $f$ is a well-defined map on $E/\sim$.

**Remark 2.** For solving the ECC2K-130 challenge problem [5, 6], Bailey et al. in [3] proposed an iteration function on $E/\sim$ as follows:
\[ f([R]) = [g(R)], \quad g(R) = R + \phi^i(R), \]
\[ t = ((\text{HW}(x_R)/2 \text{ mod } 8) + 3), \]
where $\text{HW}(x_R)$ is the Hamming weight of the $x$-coordinate $x_R$ of a point $R \in E$. Compared to the iteration function proposed by Gallant, Lambert, and Vanstone, this iteration function may reduce the randomness of the walk in the rho sequence since the index $t$ satisfies $3 \leq t \leq 10$ (see [3] for details). We note that this iteration function has an advantage of the computational speed.

### 3.2. Our iteration function

For $0 \leq s \leq m$, we define an iteration function on $E$ given by
\[ g_s(R) = \begin{cases} 2R & \text{if } 0 \leq t < s, \\ R + \phi^i(R) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \]
where $t = \text{hash}_m(\mathcal{L}(R)) \in [0, m - 1]$. Our iteration function $f_s$ on $E/\sim$ is defined by $f_s([R]) = [g_s(R)]$. Clearly, our iteration function $f_s$ with $s = 0$ is the same as the iteration function proposed by Gallant, Lambert and Vanstone. Hence our iteration function is an extension of the iteration function proposed by Gallant, Lambert and Vanstone, based on the Teske’s idea [19]. We note that our iteration function is also a well-defined map on $E/\sim$. The cost $t(g_s)$ of computing $g_s$ is
\[ t(g_s) = \frac{s}{m} \cdot S + \frac{m - s}{m} \cdot M, \]
where $M$ is the cost of a point addition on $E$ and $S$ is the cost of a point doubling on $E$, if we neglect the cost of computing $\phi^i(R)$. Since we must compute $g_s$ and $\mathcal{L}$ in computing $f_s$, we see that the cost $t(f_s)$ of computing $f_s$ is equal to $t(g_s) + t(\mathcal{L})$ where $t(\mathcal{L})$ is the cost of computing $\mathcal{L}$. In the case of $s = 0$, Gallant, Lambert and Vanstone in [8, p. 1702] estimate $t(\mathcal{L}) = 0.2 \cdot t(g_0)$ and hence $t(f_s) = 1.2 \cdot t(g_0)$. For the further comparison in this paper, we choose $t(f_s) = 1.2 \cdot t(g_s)$ for any $s$, which is the highest cost estimation for our proposed scheme. Therefore we can compute $g_s$ and $f_s$ with high speed as the parameter $s$ becomes large if a point doubling is faster than a point addition on $E$.

### 4. Experimental investigation

In this section, we analyze the performance on our iteration function $f_s$ by many experiments of solving the ECDLP on Koblitz curves of relatively small parameters.

#### 4.1. Description of experiments

To analyze the performance on our iteration function $f_s$, we solved the ECDLP on Koblitz curves under the following conditions and collected the data of the number of iterations before obtaining a collision:

- We used parallelized Pollard’s rho method with $M = 10$ processors, collision detection using distinguished points and our iteration function $f_s$ for $s = 0, m/5, m/3, m/2$. 

• For each parameter of the ECDLP on Koblitz curves and each iteration function, we solved the ECDLP for 100 times with randomly chosen starting points.

• The solving ECDLP parameters are denoted by ECC2K-41, ECC2K-53, ECC2K-83 and ECC2K-89 (see below).

4.2. PARAMETERS OF THE ECDLP ON KOBLITZ CURVES

We describe the parameters of the ECDLP on Koblitz curves as follows:

• Description of each parameter
  – \( m \): the order of the finite field is \( 2^m \).
  – \( a, b \): the field elements in \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) which define the elliptic curve \( E : y^2 + xy = x^3 + ax^2 + b \).
  – \( f \): the reduction polynomial which defines the polynomial basis representation of \( \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \) (\( f \) is represented in hexadecimal).
  – \( n \): the order of the point \( S \); \( n \) is a prime number.
  – \( h \): the co-factor (the number of points in \( E(\mathbb{F}_{2^m}) \) divided by \( n \)).
  – \( x_s, y_s \): the \( x \)- and \( y \)-coordinates of the point \( S \).
  – \( x_T, y_T \): the \( x \)- and \( y \)-coordinates of the point \( T \).

• List of the parameters of the ECDLP on Koblitz curves

  – ECC2K-41
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    m &= 41, \ a = 0, \ b = 1 \\
    f &= 20000000009 \\
    n &= 800008CE1F (\approx 39\text{bit}) \\
    h &= 4 \\
    x_S &= 11CA4FC912 \\
    y_S &= 14C103D4BF1 \\
    x_T &= 173AE716000 \\
    y_T &= 1F8511BA580
    \end{align*}
    \]

  – ECC2K-53
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    m &= 53, \ a = 0, \ b = 1 \\
    f &= 200000000047 \\
    n &= 1323E342FD1 (\approx 44\text{bit}) \\
    h &= 1AC \\
    x_S &= D1372FE8A9D59 \\
    y_S &= 817E6D0A220B9 \\
    x_T &= AEEFC3814586 \\
    y_T &= 1AE8BD2DD175D6
    \end{align*}
    \]

  – ECC2K-83
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    m &= 83, \ a = 1, \ b = 1 \\
    f &= 800000002000000007 \\
    n &= 1E722CD0C26963 (\approx 53\text{bit}) \\
    h &= 434442CA \\
    x_S &= 1B4C858FD773EFE30A41 \\
    y_S &= 22F9797E1981BD7750CBC \\
    x_T &= 5374B9306A5A75E910C9 \\
    y_T &= 2E7F26B3A696E98555167
    \end{align*}
    \]

  – ECC2K-89
    \[
    \begin{align*}
    m &= 89, \ a = 0, \ b = 1 \\
    f &= 20000000000400000001 \\
    n &= 61D038AC14F7357 (\approx 58\text{bit}) \\
    h &= 53C05A24 \\
    x_S &= E757BC1D4B1ED8AAE2F53F \\
    y_S &= 1C4EDA2EA3480E1820958 \\
    x_T &= 5BF5E0A5C3D0740EFAF3 \\
    y_T &= F6DA9E32BD2677E43856
    \end{align*}
    \]

Remark 3. The Koblitze curve used in cryptography has a cofactor \( h = 2.4 \). Since the defining equation for a Koblitz curve is special, there exist few Koblitz curves with \( h = 2.4 \) for \( m < 100 \). Here we choose Koblitz curves with small cofactor \( h \) as the parameters of the ECDLP for Koblitz curves. Moreover, we used parallelized Pollard’s rho method with collision detection using distinguished points having \( 1/\theta \) small enough compared with the order \( n \) of the point \( S \), where \( \theta \) is the proportion of points in \( \langle S \rangle \) having the distinguishing property (\( 1/\theta : 8 \approx 12\text{bit} \)). Therefore \( 1/\theta \) iterations after a collision occurs is negligible.

4.3. OUR EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In Table 2, we summarize the performance of our iteration function \( f_s \) for \( s = 0, m/5, m/3, m/2 \) on each parameter of the ECDLP on Koblitz curves. In Table 2, we give the following data:

• \( \mu \): the average number of iterations before a collision with \( f_s \).

• \( \delta(f_s) \): the value given by \( \mu/\text{Exp} \), where \( \text{Exp} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi n/m} \) is the expected number of iterations before obtaining a collision (see [3]).

• \( \sigma/\text{Exp} \): standard deviation \( \sigma \) divided by \( \text{Exp} \).

In Table 3, we summarize the average value of \( \delta(f_s) \) from Table 2. From Table 3, we can see the followings in our experiments:

• For a random function \( f \), we have \( \delta(f) = 1 \). Since the average value of \( \delta(f_s) \) with \( s = 0 \) is 1.05, the iteration function proposed by Gallant, Lambert and Vanstone has a performance almost equal to a random function on \( E/\sim \).

• The average number of iterations \( f_s \) before obtaining a collision increases as the parameter \( s \) become large. Therefore it follows from \( \|3.2 \) that there is a relation of trade-off between the computational speed of \( f_s \) and the number of iterations before obtaining a collision with \( f_s \), if a point doubling is faster than a point addition on \( E \).

• From our assumption \( t(f_s) = 1.2 \times t(g_s) \) in \( \|3.2 \), the average running time of the rho method with our iteration function \( f_s \) is upper-bounded by

\[
\Delta(s) = \text{(average value of } \delta(f_s)) \times t(f_s).
\]
Table 2: Performance of our iteration function on ECC2K-41, 53, 83, 89

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter of the ECDLP</th>
<th>Iteration function $f_s$</th>
<th>Av. of the number of iterations ($\mu$)</th>
<th>Av. value for $\delta(f_s)$ ($\mu/\Exp$)</th>
<th>St. deviation of $\delta(f_s)$ ($\sigma/\exp$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECC 2K-41</td>
<td>$s = 0$</td>
<td>109789</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/5$</td>
<td>117708</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/3$</td>
<td>133100</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/2$</td>
<td>120467</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC2K-53</td>
<td>$s = 0$</td>
<td>616273</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/5$</td>
<td>540220</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/3$</td>
<td>628533</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/2$</td>
<td>706519</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC2K-83</td>
<td>$s = 0$</td>
<td>9362605</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/5$</td>
<td>897974</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/3$</td>
<td>11278465</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/2$</td>
<td>10531561</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC2K-89</td>
<td>$s = 0$</td>
<td>64270064</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/5$</td>
<td>74849712</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/3$</td>
<td>67509759</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/2$</td>
<td>80695338</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Average value of $\delta(f_s)$ for $s = 0, m/5, m/3, m/2$ on ECC2K-41, 53, 83, 89.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter of the ECDLP</th>
<th>Iteration function $f_s$</th>
<th>$s = 0$</th>
<th>$s = m/5$</th>
<th>$s = m/3$</th>
<th>$s = m/2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECC 2K-41</td>
<td>$s = 0$</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/5$</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/3$</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/2$</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC2K-53</td>
<td>$s = 0$</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/5$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC2K-83</td>
<td>$s = 0$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/5$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC2K-89</td>
<td>$s = 0$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/5$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s = m/2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the case $S = 0.8M$, we have

$$\Delta(s) = \begin{cases} 
1.05 \cdot 1.2M \approx 1.26M & (s = 0) \\
1.07 \cdot 1.15M \approx 1.23M & (s = m/5) \\
1.18 \cdot 1.12M \approx 1.32M & (s = m/3) \\
1.22 \cdot 1.08M \approx 1.32M & (s = m/5) 
\end{cases}$$

from Table 3 and §3.2. Therefore we see that our iteration function $f_s$ with $s = m/5$ is the most suitable for solving the ECDLP on Koblitz curves in the case $S = 0.8M$ on average.

5. Conclusion

Gallant, Lambert and Vanstone in [8] proposed an iteration function suitable for solving the ECDLP on Koblitz curves. In this paper, we proposed a new iteration function $f_s$ on Koblitz curves which is an extension of their iteration function based on the Teske’s idea [19] and analyzed the performance of our iteration function experimentally. By many experiments of solving the ECDLP on Koblitz curves of relatively small parameters (ECC2K-41, ECC2K-53, ECC2K-83, ECC2K-89), we obtained the average value of the number of iterations before obtaining a collision in Table 3. We showed the followings in our experiments: The iteration function proposed by Gallant, Lambert and Vanstone has a performance almost equal to a random function on $E/\sim$. Furthermore, in the case $S = 0.8M$, our iteration function $f_s$ with $s = m/5$ is more suitable for solving the ECDLP on Koblitz curves than the iteration function proposed by Gallant, Lambert and Vanstone.
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