Estimating the Agricultural Water Productivity of the Yellow River Basin Based on Remote Sensing Data
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INTRODUCTION

The Yellow River is the second largest river in China and flows across nine provinces in the Northwest and North China, covering an area of about $7.93 \times 10^5$ km$^2$ (see Fig. 1). In recent years, the water scarcity in the Yellow River basin has been getting worse because of human activity and climate change (Fu et al., 2004; Liu and Xia, 2004; Xia et al., 2004; Zheng et al., 2007). The agricultural water uses accounted for about 90% of all water consumption in 1999 and 2000 (see Table 1). Irrigation for wheat and maize has the largest share of the total water use in the basin. Thus, how to estimate the crop water productivity is necessary for water management in the Yellow River basin.

Many methods have been used to estimate the crop water productivity (e.g. lysimeters, eddy correlation, Bowen ration). Zhang and Kang (2007) used the Bowen ratio energy balance method to estimate the seasonal crop water productivity in a vineyard in an arid region with accurate results. Mo and Liu (2001) used eddy correlation measurement, and Lei and Yang (2010) used physical models to estimate the crop water productivity, and

### Table 1. Water consumptions in the Yellow River basin ($10^9$ m$^3$) (YRCC, 1999 and 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water consumptions</th>
<th>Total water consumption</th>
<th>Agricultural water consumption</th>
<th>Industrial water consumption</th>
<th>Household water consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface water in 1999</td>
<td>298.74</td>
<td>273.26 (91.5%)</td>
<td>16.14 (5.4%)</td>
<td>5.26 (1.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater in 1999</td>
<td>94.00</td>
<td>64.74 (68.9%)</td>
<td>13.74 (14.6%)</td>
<td>4.37 (4.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface water in 2000</td>
<td>272.32</td>
<td>239.29 (87.9%)</td>
<td>20.57 (7.6%)</td>
<td>8.76 (3.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater in 2000</td>
<td>93.57</td>
<td>64.15 (68.6%)</td>
<td>13.14 (14.0%)</td>
<td>4.46 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
obtained the acceptable results. However, their methods are difficult to use due to too many parameters in the models or lack of regional representativeness by the measurements. In recent years, remote sensing (RS) has been proved to be a useful method for estimating crop water productivity with acceptable confidences (Bastiaanssen et al., 1998a; Bastiaanssen et al., 1998b; Li et al., 2008). In this study, the RS based method is used for calculating the crop water productivities of wheat and maize in the Yellow River basin. The objectives of the research are 1) to investigate the land cover patterns by using RS data and then 2) to estimate the crop water productivity in these identified areas.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Land cover pattern

The land cover patterns were investigated through three approaches: 1) NDVI, 2) NDVI and meteorological data and 3) NDVI, meteorological data and supervised classification. Under each scenario, the land cover patterns were calculated using the grid method.

In our research, the resolution of the RS data was 30-second. Thus, to calculate the metric distance for each second, the following formula was used:

\[ \text{Lat}(1\text{sec}) = \frac{\pi}{64800} \times \frac{a(1-e^2)}{(1-e^2 \sin(\phi))^2} \]  

\[ \text{Lon}(1\text{sec}) = \frac{1}{3600} \times \frac{\pi}{180} \times \frac{a \cos(\phi)}{\sqrt{1-e^2 \sin^2(\phi)}} \]  

where \( \phi \) is latitude, \( a \) is equatorial radius (\( a = 6,378,136 \) m), \( e \) is eccentricity of the earth (\( e^2 = 0.006694470 \)). Thus, the metric area \( S \) (m²) for one grid can be calculated from:

\[ S = \frac{\text{Lat}(p+1)+\text{Lat}(p)}{2} \times \frac{\text{Lon}(p+1)+\text{Lon}(p)}{2} \]  

where \( p \) represents the \( p \)th grid.

Remote sensing and meteorological data

The SPOT VEGETATION data was used for calculating Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) under supervised classification. The VEGETATION includes new sensors for vegetation detection, developed by France, the European Union, Belgium, Italy and Sweden. They were loaded in SPOT–4 satellite launched in March 1998 and the SPOT–5 satellite launched in May 2002. The VEGETATION sensors are more specific for moisture content, chlorophyll absorption, structure characteristics, and spectral measurements (Guo et al., 2009). In this study, the VEGETATION data recorded from 1999 to 2000 were used to calculate the total crop water productivities of wheat and maize.

For supervised classification, two sampling points were selected in the Yellow River basin, which can represent the general land cover patterns in the regions. The sampling points are located in Henan province and Anhui province respectively. The observation periods were from 1998 to 2001. The meteorological data were collected from the Chinese statistical yearbook (National Bureau of Statistics, 1994 to 2000). The RS data used for representing the land cover patterns in the Yellow River basin (see Fig. 2) were collected from Center for International Earth Science Information Network in 1990 (CIESIN, 1990), which are more detailed compared to the other RS data in this region (e.g. JAWF, Frolking et al., 2000). The land cover pattern in the Yellow River basin have kept relatively stable since 1980s (Shao and Yan, 2007), which indicates that RS data recorded in 1990 can be used for representing the land cover pattern in the following decade.

Crop water productivity

The crop water productivity per unit area \( W_i \) (m³/ha) can be calculated using crop water productivity per unit yield \( U_{i} \) (m³/t) and yield per unit area \( U_{i} \) (t/ha).

\[ W_i = U_{i} \times U_{i} \]  

The \( U_{i} \) values for wheat and maize were set to 2000 (m³/t) and 1900 (m³/t) respectively by referring to the research works done by Oki et al. (2002).

The provincial crop water productivity \( W_i \) (m³/ha) was calculated as follows:

\[ W_i = U_{i} \times U_{i} \]  

where \( U_{i} \) (t/ha) is the provincial yield per unit area mass and \( i \) represents the \( i \)th province in the Yellow River.

Fig. 2. Spatial distributions of wheat (a) and maize (b) identified using CIESIN data in 1990.
basin. The $U_{mi}$ values for wheat and maize were determined using the China Statistical Yearbook (1995–1998) data.

The average crop water productivity $W^*_u$ was calculated using the area weighted average yield per unit area $U^*_u$.

$$W^*_u = U_u \times U^*_u$$

$$U^*_u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} U^*_i \cdot \frac{A_i}{A}$$

where $A_i$ is the crop area of $i$th province, $A^*$ is the total crop area, and $n$ is the number of provinces in the Yellow River basin. Table 2 shows the $U^*_u$ values for wheat and maize from 1995 to 1998. The average $W^*_u$ values calculated for wheat and maize were 7640 (m³/ha) and 9462 (m³/ha), respectively.

### Method–2
Method–2 calculates the total crop water productivity $W$ by summing all the provincial crop water productivities calculated by multiplying the provincial $W^*_i$ and crop area $A_i$.

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W^*_i \cdot A_i$$

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

**Land cover pattern**

The land cover patterns were calculated through three approaches: 1) NDVI, 2) NDVI and meteorological data and 3) NDVI, meteorological data and supervised classification.

**Approach–1: NDVI**

The NDVI criterions for wheat and maize were referenced to Wan et al. (2004). The detailed values are as follows:

For wheat:
1. NDVI is smaller than 0.7 in the middle ten days of last October;
2. NDVI is larger than 0.5 in the middle ten days of April.

For maize:
1. NDVI is smaller than 0.36 four months before the maximum month;
2. NDVI is smaller than 0.19 four months after the maximum month;
3. NDVI is larger in the three months before the maximum month than that in three months after the maximum month;
4. NDVI is smaller than 0.22 six months after the maximum month.

According to the above conditions for wheat and maize, the spatial distributions of wheat and maize were identified (Fig. 3).
The identified wheat areas (Fig. 3a, b) are similar to the reference map derived from the CIESIN data (Fig. 2a). The difference between the wheat areas identified by Approach–1 and CIESIN data is less than 25% of the total wheat area. In detail, the identified wheat area in 2000 is larger than that in 1999, especially in Shanxi province. On the other hand, the identified maize area (Fig. 3c, d), approximately the same in 1999 and 2000, are different from the reference map derived from the CIESIN data (Fig. 2b). While maize does not exist in the northern part of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region and the western part of Qinghai province in the CIESIN data, maize was identified in these regions by Approach–1. These regions are considerably cooler than the other regions. These results imply that the meteorological condition may not affect the identification of wheat distribution, but have impacts on identifying the maize distributions.

**Approach–2: NDVI and meteorological data**

The meteorological data and corresponding criterions for growth of wheat and maize were used to improve the accuracy of Approach–1. The proper meteorological conditions for the growth of crops are shown in Table 3.

Based on Table 3, unsuited regions for the growth of crops were subtracted from the crop areas identified by Approach–1. The criteria for identifying wheat and maize distributions were then revised as follows:

For wheat:
1. NDVI is smaller than 0.7 in the middle ten days of last October;
2. NDVI is larger than 0.5 in the middle ten days of April;
3. The annual accumulative daily temperature (for temperature values above 8°C) is larger than 2400 °C, and the total precipitation is less than 900 mm.

For maize:
1. NDVI is smaller than 0.36 four months before the maximum month;
2. NDVI is smaller than 0.19 four months after the maximum month;
3. NDVI is larger in the three months before the maximum month than that in three months after the maximum month;
4. NDVI is smaller than 0.22 six months after the maximum month;
5. The annual accumulative daily temperature (for temperature values above 8°C) is larger than 2400 °C, and the total precipitation is less than 900 mm.

Table 3. Proper meteorological conditions for the growth of crops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Annual total daily temperature above 8°C</th>
<th>Annual cumulative precipitation (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best suited</td>
<td>Heat (proper); Water (proper)</td>
<td>3000–3800</td>
<td>500–700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suited</td>
<td>Water (proper)</td>
<td>2700–3000</td>
<td>500–700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight suited</td>
<td>Heat (proper); Water (excess)</td>
<td>3000–3600</td>
<td>700–800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water (proper); Heat (insufficient)</td>
<td>2400–3000</td>
<td>400–700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uns suited</td>
<td>Water (excess)</td>
<td>3000–3200</td>
<td>&gt;900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heat (insufficient)</td>
<td>&lt;2400</td>
<td>400–800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Wheat and maize distribution in 1999 and 2000 calculated by Approach–2 using NDVI and meteorological data: (a) wheat in 1999; (b) wheat in 2000; (c) maize in 1999; (d) maize in 2000.
The identified wheat distribution (Fig. 4a, b) is similar to the reference map derived from the CIESIN data (Fig. 2a) in the whole Yellow River basin. There is no big difference between identified wheat distribution by Approach–1 (Fig. 3a, b) and Approach–2 (Fig. 4a, b), which confirms the implication that the NDVI is an efficient indicator of wheat distribution. Meanwhile, the inclusion of meteorological data is found to be helpful in improving the accuracy of image interpretation in some regions.

The identified maize distribution (Fig. 4c, d) is similar to Fig. 3c, d with the total area decreased by about 10%, which is altered by the inclusion of meteorological data. However, this result is still considerably larger than the reference map derived from the CIESIN data (Fig. 2b).

**Approach–3: NDVI, meteorological data and supervised classification**

The seasonal variations of NDVI at the two sampling

---

**Fig. 5.** NDVI values calculated at sample sites 1 (a), 2 (b) and the averaged NDVI values (c).

**Fig. 6.** Maximum NDVI for maize in 1999(a), 2000(b) and 2001(c).
sites calculated from 1998 to 2001 are shown in Fig. 5.

The four year NDVI curves vary during winter from November to next March, while they match well in October, April, and August. October and April correspond the beginning of wheat cultivation and the peak of wheat growth respectively, whereas August when the NDVI is at a maximum corresponds the maize growing period. Therefore, the NDVIs in October and April were selected as the criteria for wheat distribution and the annual maximum NDVI was selected as the criterion for the maize distribution (see Fig. 6).

Thus, the overall criterions for wheat and maize are as follows:

For wheat:
(1) NDVI is smaller than 0.255 in the middle ten days of last October;
(2) NDVI is larger than 0.530 in the middle ten days of April;
(3) The annual accumulative daily temperature (for temperature values above 8 °C) is larger than 2400 °C, and the total precipitation is less than 900 mm.

For maize:
(1) NDVI is smaller than 0.36 four months before the maximum month;
(2) NDVI is smaller than 0.19 four months after the maximum month;
(3) NDVI is larger in the three months before the maximum value month than that in three months after the maximum month;
(4) NDVI is smaller than 0.22 six months after the maximum month;
(5) The annual accumulative daily temperature (for temperature values above 8 °C) is larger than 2400 °C, and the total precipitation is less than 900 mm;
(6) Maximum NDVI is larger than 0.4.

According to the above conditions for wheat and maize, their spatial distributions in 1999 and 2000 were identified (Fig. 7).

Supervised classification improved the result of identified wheat distribution in a small part of areas in Shanxi and Hebei provinces, which can be proven by comparing the identified results through Approach 1–3 and the wheat distribution result generated using CIESIN data, respectively. This shows that NDVI with meteorological data and supervised classification could accurately identify the wheat distribution.

The identified maize areas by Approach–3 (Fig. 7c, d) become similar to the reference map derived from the CIESIN data (Fig. 2b) as a whole due to the decrease of the maize areas in north Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, Gansu and Ningxia provinces. By comparing the identified results through Approach–2 and Approach–3 to the CIESIN data respectively, it is found that the difference deviation coefficients decreased from 1.76 to 0.17, which reveals that the supervised classification improved the accuracy of the maize distribution.

**Crop water productivity**

Two methods were used to calculate the crop water productivities of wheat and maize: the first method (Method–1) calculated the total crop yield using average crop yield and the basin area; the second method

![Fig. 7. Wheat and maize distribution in 1999 and 2000 calculated by Approach–3 using NDVI, meteorological data and supervised classification: (a) wheat in 1999; (b) wheat in 2000; (c) maize in 1999; (d) maize in 2000.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Approach–1</th>
<th>Approach–2</th>
<th>Approach–3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>2287.7</td>
<td>1709.8</td>
<td>440.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3176.3</td>
<td>2645.7</td>
<td>828.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.** Crop water productivities calculated by Method–2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Approach–1</th>
<th>Approach–2</th>
<th>Approach–3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>2624.0</td>
<td>1745.0</td>
<td>479.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3462.5</td>
<td>2705.8</td>
<td>851.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Method–2) calculated the crop yields in all provinces within the Yellow River basin and then sums up the total crop yield. Fig. 8 shows the crop water productivities of wheat and maize estimated using both methods. The crop water productivities of wheat and maize were calculated using the crop distributions generated through the three approaches (see Table 4 and Table 5).

Table 4 and Table 5 show that the crop water productivities of wheat and maize calculated by Approach–1 and Approach–2 are much larger than that by Approach–3 using either Method–1 or Method–2. The calculated crop water productivities of wheat and maize through Approach–3 are about 440 to 850 (10^9 m^3). Compared with the reference annual agricultural water productivities (see Table 1) of about 300 to 350 (10^9 m^3), the crop water productivities of wheat and maize calculated through Approach–1 and Approach–2 are too large, the results calculated through Approach–3 are relatively close to the reference values. In addition, calculated crop water productivities using Method–2 are generally larger than that from Method–1 in both 1999 and 2000, which shows that different crop yield calculation methods have impacts on the final results from crop water productivity simulations.

CONCLUSIONS

This paper introduces an application of remote sensing data to calculate the crop water productivities of wheat and maize in the Yellow River basin. Three approaches are used to identify the spatial distributions of wheat and maize, that is, 1) application of NDVI, 2) combined application of NDVI and metrological data and 3) combined application of NDVI, metrological data and supervised classification. Through the case study in 1999 and 2000, it is found that crop water productivities of wheat and maize calculated through Approach–1 and Approach–2 are much larger than that through Approach–3, and the results calculated through Approach–3 are close to the reference values recorded in Yellow River Water Resources Bulletin. This shows that application of remote sensing data in the estimation of crop water productivity can generally give reasonable results, and the different image interpretation methods have great impacts on the accuracy of simulated crop water productivity.
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