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Input-dependent left–right asymmetry of NMDA receptor ε2 (NR2B) subunit allocation was discovered in hippocampal Schaffer collateral (Sch) and commissural fiber pyramidal cell synapses (Kawakami et al., 2003). To investigate whether this asymmetrical ε2 allocation is also related to the types of the postsynaptic cells, we compared postembedding immunogold labeling for ε2 in left and right Sch synapses on pyramidal cells and interneurons. To facilitate the detection of ε2 density difference, we used ε1 (NR2A) knock-out (KO) mice, which have a simplified NMDA receptor subunit composition.

The labeling density for ε2 but not ε1 (NR1) and subtype 2/3 glutamate receptor (GluR2/3) in Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses was significantly different between the left and right hippocampus with opposite directions in strata oriens and radiatum; the left to right ratio of ε2 labeling density was 1.50 in stratum oriens and 1.44:1 in stratum radiatum. No significant difference, however, was detected in CA1 stratum radiatum between the left and right Sch-GluR4-positive (mostly parvalbumin-positive) and Sch-GluR4-negative interneuron synapses. Consistent with the anatomical asymmetry, the amplitude ratio of NMDA EPSCs to non-NMDA EPSCs in pyramidal cells was approximately two times larger in right than left stratum radiatum and vice versa in stratum oriens of ε1 KO mice. Moreover, the amplitude of long-term potentiation in the Sch-CA1 synapses of left stratum radiatum was significantly larger than that in the right corresponding synapses. These results indicate that the asymmetry of ε2 distribution is target cell specific, resulting in the left–right difference in NMDA receptor content and plasticity in Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses in ε1 KO mice.
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Introduction
Most of the neurons in the CNS receive excitatory inputs from several sources; meanwhile, single excitatory afferent can also innervate several types of postsynaptic target cells. Hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells and interneurons both receive the vast majority of their excitatory inputs from Schaffer collaterals (Sch) and commissural fibers arising from the ipsilateral and contralateral CA3 pyramidal cells, respectively (Ishizuka et al., 1990). The fast excitatory synaptic transmission in these inputs is mainly mediated by AMPA- and NMDA-type glutamate receptors (GluRs). Localization of the glutamate receptors in the CA area is different depending on input pathways as well as on target cell types (Shigemoto et al., 1996; Gottmann et al., 1997; Nusser et al., 1998a; Ito et al., 2000). For example, mossy fiber synapses had approximately four times higher AMPA receptor number than associational/commissural (A/C) fiber synapses on CA3 pyramidal cells, suggesting input-pathway-dependent receptor distribution (Nusser et al., 1998a). Conversely, A/C synapses on interneurons had approximately four times higher AMPA receptor number than those on pyramidal cells, suggesting target-cell-dependent receptor distribution (Nusser et al., 1998a). The abundance of ε1 subunit of NMDA receptor (NR) in hippocampal neurons was also cell type dependent (Nyiri et al., 2003); pyramidal cell spines had much higher density for NR1 (ε1) than parvalbumin (PV)-positive interneuron dendrites in stratum radiatum.

Among seven known subunits of NMDA receptors, GluRζ1...
(NR1), GluReI–GluRe4 (NR2A–NR2D) and GluRχ1–GluRχ2 (NR3A–NR3B) (Nakanishi, 1992; Sucher et al., 1995; Matsuda et al., 2002). δ1, ε1, and δ2 subunits are most strongly expressed in the CA1 area of the adult rodent hippocampus (Monyer et al., 1994; Fritschy et al., 1998; Watanabe et al., 1998). Little is known, however, about quantitative distribution of synaptic ε subunits in the hippocampus. Recently, asymmetrical ε2 subunit contribution to synaptic NMDA response was discovered in Sch-pyramidal cell synapses between the left and right hippocampus and between the apical and basal dendrites of single pyramidal cells (Kawakami et al., 2003). The ε2 subunit allocation was also suggested to be input dependent; synapses made by ipsilateral (Sch) and contralateral (commissural) fibers had distinct ε2 components of NMDA EPSPs (Kawakami et al., 2003). To further investigate whether this asymmetrical ε2 content is also related to the types of postsynaptic cells, we examined the ε2 distribution in individual synapses made by single type of input (Sch) on different postsynaptic targets, pyramidal cells, and two populations of interneurons in the CA1 area by quantitative postembedding immunogold labeling. This method has been successfully applied to compare GABA_A and ionotropic glutamate receptor contents of distinct synapse populations (Nusser et al., 1996, 1998a; Takumi et al., 1999). We show that ε2 distribution is asymmetrical between left and right Sch-pyramidal cell but not Sch-interneuron synapses, indicating a postsynaptic cell-type-dependent regulation of the asymmetry. Also, we found that, in ε1 knockout (KO) mice, the asymmetry of ε2 allocation results in left–right difference in NMDA receptor content and synaptic plasticity.

Materials and Methods

Ventral hippocampal commissure transection. To examine synapses made by ipsilateral Sch fibers selectively, ventral hippocampal commissure (VHC) was transected 5 d before fixation and electrophysiological recording (Kawakami et al., 2003). Wild-type (WT) and ε1 KO mice (9–10 weeks, C57BL/6 genetic background) (Sakimura et al., 1995) were anesthetized by pentobarbital injection (60 mg/kg, i.p.) and held on a stereotaxic apparatus. A small piece of razor blade (2.5 mm wide) was glued onto a rod that was clamped on a micromanipulator. From an opening (3 mm wide and 4 mm long, including the bregma) made in the skull, the blade was inserted 4.0 mm vertically at the midline of the brain to transect the VHC. To avoid damaging the sagittal sinus, the blade was initially shifted 0.5 mm to the right and inserted 0.5 mm into the cerebral cortex and was then returned to the midline position as the blade was lowered. After slowly removing the blade, a piece of skull was returned to the hole, and the scalp was closed with sutures. Animals that underwent this procedure were viable for more than 3 months. For all animals used in this study, complete transection of VHC (bregma, −0.22 to −0.82 mm) was confirmed in 150-μm-thick horizontal or coronal serial slices. All experiments were performed under the guidance of Animal Experiments in Faculty of Sciences, Kyushu University and the law (number 105) and notification (number 6) of the government.

Tissue preparation for electron microscopy. Five days after the VHC transection, WT and ε1 subunit KO mice were anesthetized by pentobarbital (60 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused with 25 mM PBS, pH 7.4, transcardially after slowly removing the blade, a piece of skull was returned to the hole, and the scalp was closed with sutures. Animals that underwent this procedure were viable for more than 3 months. For all animals used in this study, complete transection of VHC (bregma, −0.22 to −0.82 mm) was confirmed in 150-μm-thick horizontal or coronal serial slices. All experiments were performed under the guidance of Animal Experiments in Faculty of Sciences, Kyushu University and the law (number 105) and notification (number 6) of the government.

Tissue preparation for electron microscopy. Five days after the VHC transection, WT and ε1 subunit KO mice were anesthetized by pentobarbital (60 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused with 25 mM PBS, pH 7.4, transcardially followed by fixative containing 4% paraformaldehyde, 0.05% glutaraldehyde, and 0.5% picric acid in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.4, for 15 min. After perfusion, the brains were removed, and 100- and 350-μm-thick coronal slices were alternately cut from the left and right dorsal hippocampi.

Quantitative analysis of synapse density. For the measurement of synapse density, the 100 μm slices were treated in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M PB, dehydrated, and then flat embedded in Durcupan resin (ACM; Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland). Corresponding small parts (0.5 × 1.0 mm) of the CA1 area in the left and right dorsal hippocampi were trimmed, and 70-nm-thick sections were collected on pioloform-coated single-slot grids, contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and examined with a Jeol (Tokyo, Japan) 1200EX electron microscope.

The disector method using two adjacent (serial) sections was used to measure density of asymmetrical axosomatic synapses in the CA1 area (Calverley and Jones, 1987). In the present experiment, two adjacent pairs were selected at random from serial sections of each group and were photographed without overlapping each other. Electron micrographs were obtained from the middle one-third of CA1 stratum radiatum of the dorsal hippocampus (approximately bregma −1.94 mm) (Franklin and Paxinos, 1997). The corresponding areas were photographed from the paired sections at a magnification of 15,000×. Areas of −500 μm^2 were collected for each pair. Synaptic profiles on spines were identified by the presence of at least three synaptic vesicles accumulated in the presynaptic active zone, postsynaptic density (PSD), visible synaptic cleft, and rigid alignment of the presynaptic and postsynaptic membranes. Synaptic densities were evaluated according to the formula \( n_{x} = \frac{\Sigma Q_{v}}{V_{d} \Delta v_{d}} \), where \( n_{x} \) represents the number of synaptic profiles present in the test section and disappeared in the corresponding area of the adjacent section. \( V_{d} \) is the volume of the test section, obtained by multiplying the area studied by the section thickness. The area studied was measured by Scion (Frederick, MD) Image software. All analyses were performed in a blind manner.

Three mice in each experimental group and one block each from the left and right CA1 regions per animal were used. The Student's t test was used to determine the significance of differences (\( p < 0.05 \)) between the mean values of data groups.

Primary antibodies. Primary antibodies used in this study were extensively characterized in previous studies and were summarized in Table 1. In the present study, we produced guinea pig polyclonal antibodies against the amino acid residues 1194–1273 of the mouse ε4 subunit (GenBank accession number D122822) and the amino acid residues 861–881 of the mouse GluR4 subunit (GenBank accession number AB022913) were generated as described previously (Fukaya and Watanabe, 2000). Using the pGEX4T-2 plasmid vector (Amersham Biosciences, Bucks, UK), the ε4 and GluR4 polypeptides were expressed as glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion proteins and purified using the glutathione-Sepharose 4B (Amersham Biosciences). After in-column thrombin digestion, antigen polypeptides were separated from GST. The purified polypeptides were injected into female guinea pigs at intervals of 2 weeks. Antibodies for ε4 and GluR4 subunits were affinity purified using GST fusion protein-coupled cyanogen bromide-activated Sepharose 4B (Amersham Biosciences).

Immunoblot. Membrane extracts from whole brains of adult wild-type and ε4 KO mice (Ikeda et al., 1995) were prepared by homogenization in 10 vol of ice-cold buffer containing 0.32 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, and 0.4 mM p-chloromercuribenzoic acid (pCMBA), using a Potter homogenizer with 15 strokes at 800 rpm. Supernatants of the homogenates after 1000 × g centrifugation for 10 min were collected, and 50 μg per lane of protein samples were dissolved in SDS sample buffer and fractionated by 7.5% SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions. Proteins in the gel were electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (BioTraceNT; Pall Gelman Laboratory, Ann Arbor, MI). The membranes were incubated with 5% skimmed milk in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST), pH 7.5 for 1 h, followed by incubation with primary antibodies (1 μg/ml) in TBST for 2 h. Immunoreaction was visualized with the ECL chemiluminescence detection system (Amersham Biosciences). As expected, GluR4 antibody recognized a single protein band at 135 kDa in the WT but not ε4 KO, and GluR4 antibody (886–881 aa) did a single band at 99 kDa (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

For the analysis of ε2 and ε1 in ε1 KO mice, CA1 stratum radiatum was dissected from 400-μm-thick transverse hippocampal slices prepared from 16 animals 5 d after VHC transection. Purification of PSD fraction was performed as described previously (Kawakami et al., 2003). Briefly, pooled tissues were homogenized in HEPES-buffered 0.32 M sucrose, and nuclear fraction was removed by centrifugation. Crude membrane fraction was collected by 10,000 × g centrifugation, and the pellet was layered on 0.8 M/1.0 M/1.2 M sucrose after extensive resuspension in 0.25 M sucrose. After ultra-centrifugation at 70,000 × g, 1.0 M/1.2 M sucrose inter-
Table 1. Summary of the sources, concentrations, and combinations of antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody against</th>
<th>Species (raised in)</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Source of primary antibody</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GluR2 (1–48 aa)</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>10 µg/ml</td>
<td>M. Watanabe (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluR2 (1353–1432 aa)</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>10 µg/ml</td>
<td>M. Watanabe (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluR1 (909–938 aa)</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>5 µg/ml</td>
<td>M. Watanabe (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluR4 (861–881 aa)</td>
<td>Guinea pig</td>
<td>10 µg/ml</td>
<td>M. Watanabe (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluR4 (245–273 aa)</td>
<td>Guinea pig</td>
<td>10 µg/ml</td>
<td>M. Watanabe (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluR4 (828–881 aa)</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>10 µg/ml</td>
<td>M. Watanabe (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mGluR1 (859–1199 aa)</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>2 µg/ml</td>
<td>M. Watanabe (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calretinin</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>Daido Sangyo, Toda, Japan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary antibody label:
- Goat anti-rabbit IgG, 5 nM gold 1:100
- Goat anti-rabbit IgG, 10 nM gold 1:100
- Goat anti-guinea pig IgG, 10 nM gold 1:100
- Goat anti-rabbit, Alexa 488 1:500
- Goat anti-rabbit, Alexa 594 1:500
- Goat anti-mouse, Alexa 488 1:100
- Goat anti-mouse, Alexa 594 1:100

Postembedding immunogold labeling. For postembedding labeling, small tissue blocks of the middle CA1 area (0.5 × 1.0 mm) were trimmed from the 350-µm-thick slices of the left and right hippocampus and cryoprotected in 10, 20, and 30% glycerol in 0.1 mM PB, pH 7.4, overnight. They were then frozen by plunging into liquid propane (−185°C) in a cryofixation unit (EM CPC; Leica, Wein, Austria). Freeze substitution and low-temperature embedding in Lowicryl HM20 were performed as described previously (Matsubara et al., 1996). Briefly, the samples were immersed in 1% uranyl acetate dissolved in anhydrous methanol (−90°C, 24 h) in a cryosubstitution unit (EM AFS; Leica, Wein, Austria). The temperature was then raised (4°C/h) from −90°C to −45°C. The samples were washed three times with anhydrous methanol and infiltrated with Lowicryl HM20 resin (Polysciences, Warrington, PA) at −45°C with a progressive increase in the ratio of resin to methanol. Polymerization was performed with ultraviolet light (360 nm) at −45°C for 24 h and 0°C for 36 h. Postembedding immunogold reaction was performed as described previously (Nusser et al., 1998b). To ensure the same incubation condition, Lowicryl-embedded ultrathin sections (85 nm thickness) from both sides of CA1 were picked up onto the same grids (nickel 400 mesh). The grids were coated with coat-quick “G” medium (Daido Sangyo, Toda, Japan) to prevent detachment of the sections during processing. The sections were briefly treated with a saturated solution of NaOH in 100% ethanol for 2 s, washed, and incubated in blocking solution (2% human albumin serum in TBS with 0.1% Triton X-100) for 30 min. The sections were then incubated with the primary antibodies listed in Table 1 overnight at room temperature. After several washes with TBS for 30 min, the sections were incubated in 5 nm gold anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (British Biocell International, Cardiff, UK) diluted (1:100) in blocking solution containing polyethyleneglycol (molecular weight, 7500 kDa, 5 mg/ml) for 3 h. Then the sections were washed in ultrapure water, contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and examined with a Jeol 1200EX electron microscope.

Double-labeling postembedding immunocytochemistry. Immunogold signals for e2 and GluR4 subunits were visualized using 5 and 10 nm gold particles (British Biocell International, respectively). To avoid attenuation of the e2 signal by interference of the two primary antibodies, labeling for e2 was completed before that for GluR4.

Quantification of postembedding immunogold labeling. Electron micrographs with a final magnification of 25,000× were obtained at random from the middle one-third of stratum radiatum and stratum oriens of the CA1 region. For quantification of the e2 labeling in excitatory synapses on pyramidal cells, all asymmetrical axospinous synapses with distinct PSD, synaptic cleft, and presynaptic vesicle accumulation were collected for counting immunoparticle number per unit length of PSD (particles per micrometer). For quantification of e2 particles in asymmetrical synapses on interneuron dendrites, interneurons were classified into two populations. Dendritic shafts with asymmetrical synapses with at least two particles (10 nm) for GluR4 were defined as GluR4 positive, and those with no GluR4 immunogold particles in asymmetrical synapses were defined as GluR4 negative. Synapses with one particle were excluded from the analysis. Particles were counted only if their centers were projected within 30 nm from the lateral edge of PSD. The length of PSD was measured by Scion Image software. Blind comparisons of immunogold particle density were made between the left and right hippocampus for every experimental pair. No significant difference in average...
lengths of PSD between the left and right samples was detected (in most cases), which was regarded as a prerequisite for the density comparison. The Shapiro-Wilks’ W test was used for determining the normality of the distribution of particle density in each animal group. Because data failed to approximate normal distributions, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and Mann–Whitney U test were used to determine statistical significance of difference in distributions and median values, respectively, between the left and right hippocampus. The Student’s t test was used to determine the significance of differences in averaged values of mean density (n = 3–4) between the left and right. A level of confidence of p < 0.05 was adopted for statistical significance.

Double-immunofluorescence labeling. Two WT and e1 KO mice (9–10 weeks) (Ikeda et al., 1995) were used for immunofluorescence labeling for GluR4, e4, and other chemical markers (Table 1). Under deep pentobarbital anesthesia (100 mg/kg body weight, i.p.), animals were fixed transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, and brains were embedded in paraffin as described previously (Fukaya and Watanabe, 2000). Paraffin sections (5 μm) through the CA1 area cut with a sliding microtome (SM2000R; Leica, Nussloch, Germany) were mounted on glass slides coated with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane. Sections for double labeling for GluR4 and chemical markers, including PV, metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR) 1, calbindin (CB), and calretinin (CR), were blocked in 10% normal goat serum for 20 min and incubated in primary antibodies for the chemical markers overnight in room temperature. Immunoreactions were visualized by 2 h incubation with Alexa fluorescein 488-labeled anti-mouse or rabbit secondary antibodies (1:500; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). After acquiring images using a confocal laser-scanning microscope (Fluoview; Olympus Optical, Tokyo, Japan), the sections were further processed for the second immunoreaction for GluR4 as described previously (Fukaya and Watanabe, 2000). First, the sections were pretreated with 1 mg/ml pepsin (DakoCytomation California, Carpen taria, CA) in 0.2N HCl solution at 37°C for 8 min. The sections were then processed for the second immunoreaction with the GluR4 antibody at room temperature for overnight and Alexa 594-labeled goat anti-guinea pig secondary antibody (Molecular Probes) for 2 h. Images were acquired again from the same regions using a confocal laser-scanning microscope. The superimposed images for respective double labeling were obtained by Adobe Systems (San Jose, CA) Photoshop 6.0 software. For double labeling for GluR4 and e4, sections were incubated overnight with a mixture of the primary antibodies after the pepsin treatment. Immunoreactions were visualized by Alexa 594-labeled goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Molecular Probes) for GluR4. Alexa 594-conjugated tyramide signal amplification fluorescence detection system (NEL701; PerkinElmer) for e4.

Electrophysiology. Transverse hippocampal slices (450 μm thick) were cut with a vibrating microtome (VT 1000S; Leica, Nussloch, Germany) in ice-cold artificial CSF (ACSF) [in mM: 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2.5 CaCl2, 1.3 MgSO4, 1.0 NaH2PO4, 26 NaHCO3, and 10 glucose (saturated with 95% O2/5% CO2)]. A mouse brain was fixed on an agar block, which was made by two pieces of agar slopes (with a slope of 20°) stuck together at a right angle and mounted on the cutting stage. We lowered the left rear or right rear of the brain using the agar slopes when cutting the left or right brain, respectively. Slices from a similar septotemporal level of the left and right hippocampi were used for experiments. Recordings were made in a submerged slice chamber perfused with ACSF at room temperature. Electrodes filled with 0.9% NaCl were used for extracellular recording. Synaptic responses were evoked at 0.1 Hz using a bipolar tungsten electrode. A long-term potentiation (LTP)-inducing tetanic stimulus was given at 100 Hz for 1 s at baseline stimulus strength. LTPs of the field EPSP (fEPSP) slope were expressed as a percentage of mean slope value before the tetanic stimulation. Synaptic currents were recorded from CA1 pyramidal neurons using the blind-patch technique in the whole-cell voltage-clamp mode (Axopatch 1D; Molecular Devices, Union City, CA). A high-Mg2+ and Ca2+ (4 mM of MgSO4 and CaCl2) ACSF was used to increase membrane stability in the presence of bicuculline. Patch electrodes (3–5 MΩ) were filled with an intracellular solution (in mM: 122.5 cesium gluconate, 17.5 CsCl, 10 HEPES buffer, 0.2 EGTA, 8 NaCl, 2 Mg-ATP, and 0.3 Na3GTP, pH 7.2). We recorded NMDA EPSCs at +30 mV in the presence of 6,7-dinitroquinocxa-lene-2,3-dione (DNQX; 20 μM) and bicuculline (30 μM). Non-NMDA EPSCs were recorded at −90 mV in the presence of bicuculline (30 μM). Series resistance (10–30 MΩ) was regularly monitored during recordings, and cells were rejected if more than a 20% change occurred during the experiment. All records were filtered at 2 kHz, digitized at 4 kHz, and stored on a computer equipped with an analog-to-digital converter (Mac Lab 2e; ADInstruments, Castle Hill, Australia). No failure was detected in our experiments. All data were expressed as a mean ± SEM and analyzed with Student’s t test.

Results
Reduction of synapse density after VHC transection
To examine synapses made by ipsilateral Sch fibers selectively, synapses made by contralateral commissural fibers were eliminated by VHC transection (Kawakami et al., 2003). At 5 d after VHC transection, reactive synaptogenesis should still be very slight (Steward and Vintant, 1983). Complete transection of VHC was confirmed in every animal operated in the present study. To confirm whether the operation successfully and evenly eliminated commissural fiber synapses in the left and right hippocampus,

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1.** Reduction of synapse density after VHC transection in CA1 stratum radiatum. A, Axospinous asymmetrical synapses (arrows) in CA1 stratum radiatum of naive e1 KO mice. Scale bar, 200 nm. B, Corresponding area of CA1 stratum radiatum in VHC e1 KO mice. Typical electron-dense type of degeneration (arrow) is occasionally observed. Scale bar, 200 nm. C, No significant difference (n = 3 animals; t test, p > 0.05) in density of axospinous asymmetrical synapse was detected between left (1.61 ± 0.09 μm3) and right (1.63 ± 0.05 μm3) stratum radiatum of the CA1 area in naive e1 KO mice. The mean densities decreased to 1.01 ± 0.01 and 0.99 ± 0.03 μm3 in left and right CA1 stratum radiatum, respectively, 5 d after VHC transection (t test, *p < 0.01 compared with respective naive group). No significant difference (n = 3 animals; t test, p > 0.05) in synapse density was detected between left and right CA1 stratum radiatum after VHC transection.
we further examined density of intact synaptic profiles in a middle part of CA1 stratum radiatum in naive and VHC-transsected (VHCT) e1 KO mice. In VHCT mice (Fig. 1B) but not in naive mice (Fig. 1A), typical electron-dense-type degeneration was observed in fiber terminals. The mean density of intact axospinous asymmetrical synapses was significantly reduced (n = 3; Student’s t test, p < 0.05) by 38% after VHC transection. No significant difference in synapse density was detected between left and right stratum radiatum in naive (1.61 ± 0.09 and 1.63 ± 0.05/μm², respectively; n = 3; t test, p > 0.05) (Fig. 1C) and VHCT (1.01 ± 0.01 and 0.99 ± 0.05/μm², respectively; n = 3; t test, p > 0.05) (Fig. 1C) mice. Moreover, the reduction rates were not significantly different between left and right stratum radiatum, indicating that the VHC transection denervates commissure fibers to an equivalent extent in the left and right CA1 areas.

Difference in e2 labeling density between left and right Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses

In naive and VHCT mice, the distribution of e2 subunit in Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses was compared between the left and right hippocampus by using postembedding immunogold labeling. Immunogold particles for e2 were concentrated in type 1 (asymmetrical) axospinous postsynaptic membrane specialization (Fig. 2A–D). Most of the asymmetrical synapses on spines were immunolabeled for e2 in the CA1 area (Fig. 3).

We first measured density of e2 labeling in WT mice by dividing number of particles over synapses by length of the postsynaptic density. The particle density was not significantly different between left and right Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses in both naive and VHCT WT mice (Mann–Whitney U test, p > 0.05 in all animals) (Table 2). In WT mice, despite the asymmetrical contribution of e2 subunit to NMDA EPSCs, the amplitude of NMDA EPSCs relative to that of non-NMDA EPSCs was not significantly different between left and right Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses (Kawakami et al., 2003). This result implies that e1 subunits compensate for the difference in e2 subunits in WT mice, resulting in the same NMDA receptor content in the left and right. In such a situation, postembedding immunogold labeling method may not be sensitive enough to detect difference in e2 number (one or two) per NMDA receptor heteromer because of steric hindrance; two antibody molecules for e2 may fail to bind two e2 subunits in the same receptor channel because of the short distance between the subunits compared with the size of the antibody. Alternatively, NMDA receptors containing two e2 subunits may be masked more by associating proteins than those with one subunit. Nevertheless, in e1 KO mice, which have a simplified subunit composition of NMDA receptors with ε1 and e2 in CA1 pyramidal cells (Sakimura et al., 1995), the number of NMDA receptors is expected to be proportional to the amount of e2 subunits. This situation could facilitate the detection of difference in the e2 content with immunolabeling. Thus, we next examined distribution of e2 labeling in e1 KO mice.

In naive e1 KO mice, the e2 immunoparticle density in pyramidal cell synapses was not significantly different between left and right stratum oriens and between left and right stratum radiatum (mice 8, 9, and 10; Mann–Whitney U test, p > 0.05 in all animals) (Table 3). However, in VHCT e1 KO mice, the density of e2 immunoparticles in pyramidal cell synapses in right stratum oriens was significantly higher than that in left stratum oriens (mice 11, 12, and 13; Mann–Whitney U test, p < 0.05 in all animals) (Table 3). Conversely, in stratum radiatum, an opposite asymmetry was observed; the e2 labeling density in the left side was significantly higher than that in the right side (mice 11, 12, and 13; Mann–Whitney U test, p < 0.05 in all animals) (Table 3). The averaged ratios of mean e2 labeling density in the left to right were ~1:1.5 in stratum oriens and 1.44:1 in stratum radiatum (n = 3, respectively) (see Fig. 6). Distribution of immunoparticle density for e2 in synaptic profiles was all positively

Figure 2. Postembedding immunogold labeling for e2, ε1, and GluR2/3 in pyramidal cell synapses in CA1 stratum radiatum. A, B, Immunogold labeling for e2 in naive and VHCT WT mice as demonstrated with 5 nm gold particles. Immunogold particles are concentrated in asymmetrical postsynaptic membrane specialization (arrows) on pyramidal cell spines in CA1 stratum radiatum. Scale bar, 200 nm. C, D, Immunogold labeling for e2 in naive and VHCT e1 KO mice as demonstrated with 5 nm gold particles. Arrows indicate asymmetrical synapses on pyramidal cell spines. Scale bar, 200 nm. E, F, Immunogold labeling for GluR2/3 (E) and ε1 (F) in VHCT e1 KO mouse as demonstrated by 5 nm gold particles. Immunogold particles for GluR2/3 and ε1 are concentrated in asymmetrical postsynaptic membrane specialization (arrows) on pyramidal cell spines in CA1 stratum radiatum. Arrowhead indicates extrasynaptic labeling. Scale bar, 200 nm.
skewed toward larger value (Shapiro-Wilks’ $W$ test, $p < 0.001$) (shown for mouse 11 in Fig. 3A, B). The distribution of $e2$ labeling density also showed a significant difference (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, $p < 0.05$) between the left and right with opposite directions in stratum oriens and stratum radiatum (shown for mouse 11 in Fig. 3A–D, similar results were obtained from mouse 12 and mouse 13).

Moreover, in VHCT $e1$ KO mice, labeling density for $e2$ was significantly different between synapses on basal and apical dendrites in stratum oriens and stratum radiatum, respectively. The density of immunoparticles for $e2$ in basal dendrites was significantly higher than that in apical dendrites in the right hippocampus (mice 11, 12, and 13; Mann–Whitney $U$ test, $p < 0.05$ in all animals), whereas in the left hippocampus, an opposite asymmetry was observed; the $e2$ labeling density in apical dendrites was significantly higher than that in basal dendrites (mice 11, 12, and 13; Mann–Whitney $U$ test, $p < 0.05$ in all animals). The averaged ratios of mean $e2$ labeling density in the basal to apical dendrites were $1.0:1.36$ in the left hippocampus and $1.59:1$ in the right hippocampus ($n = 3$). The $e2$ labeling density distribution also showed a significant difference (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, $p < 0.05$) between the basal and apical dendrites with opposite directions in the left and right hippocampus (shown for mouse 11 in Fig. 3E, F, similar results were obtained from mice 12 and 13).

As a control experiment, ultrathin sections from the same blocks were reacted with an antibody to AMPA receptor subunits GluR2/3 in CA1 stratum radiatum (Fig. 2E). Most of the spine synapses (81.6% in mouse 13) were immunopositive for GluR2/3, being consistent with previous postembedding immunogold-labeling studies in the rat (Nusser et al., 1998a). In contrast to the asymmetry of $e2$ labeling, immunoparticle density for GluR2/3 was not significantly different between left and right CA1 stratum radiatum in both naive (mice 8 and 9; Mann–Whitney $U$ test, $p > 0.05$ in all animals) (Table 3) and VHCT $e1$ KO mice (mice 11, 12, and 13; Mann–Whitney $U$ test, $p > 0.05$ in all animals) (Table 3). The averaged left/right ratio of mean GluR2/3 labeling density in Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses in stratum radiatum was $0.9 \pm 0.1$ (mean $\pm$ SD; $n = 3$) (see Fig. 6).

**$\xi1$ subunit distribution in left and right Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses**

Because functional activities of the NMDA receptor channel require heteromeric assembly of $\xi1$ subunits with $e2$ subunits, we next compared the $\xi1$ distribution between left and right Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses in $e1$ KO mice.

Most of the asymmetrical spine synapses (83.2% in mouse 11) in stratum radiatum of the CA1 area were immunolabeled with an antibody to all $\xi1$ splice forms (Fig. 2F), being consistent with previous postembedding immunogold-labeling studies in the rat (Racca et al., 2000; Nyiri et al., 2003). In naive $e1$ KO mice, the $\xi1$ immunoparticle density in Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses was not significantly different between left and right stratum radiatum (mice 8 and 9; Mann–Whitney $U$ test, $p > 0.05$) (Table 3). In VHCT $e1$ KO mice, the $\xi1$ labeling density in these synapses was

---

**Figure 3.** Mirror-image asymmetry of $e2$ distribution in Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses in $e1$ KO mice. A, B, Histograms of $e2$ particle density in pyramidal cell spine synapses in left and right strata oriens (A) and radiatum (B) of mouse 11. Significant difference in distribution (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, $p < 0.05$) was detected between the left and right strata oriens and radiatum with opposite directions. Similar results were obtained from mice 12 and 13. C, D, Cumulative probability curves of $e2$ particle density in pyramidal cell spine synapses in strata oriens (C) and radiatum (D) of mouse 11. Mirror-image asymmetry was evident. Similar results were obtained from mice 12 and 13. E, F, Cumulative probability curves of synaptotagmin $\xi2$ density in pyramidal cell spine synapses in left (E) and right (F) hippocampus of mouse 11. Significant difference in distribution (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, $p < 0.05$) was detected between stratum oriens and stratum radiatum in the left and right hippocampus with opposite directions. Similar results were obtained from mice 12 and 13.
Table 2. Densities of e2 immunogold particles in pyramidal cell synapses of wild-type mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal operation</th>
<th>Animal number</th>
<th>Stratum</th>
<th>Densities (particle number/μm²), mean ± SD (synapse number)</th>
<th>Left (L)</th>
<th>Right (R)</th>
<th>Ratios (L/R)</th>
<th>p values (M-W test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naive</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Orains</td>
<td>20.9 ± 19.2 (114)</td>
<td>15.6 ± 16.1 (90)</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>12.7 ± 15.2 (80)</td>
<td>13.3 ± 14.2 (120)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHCT</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Orains</td>
<td>17.6 ± 19.3 (258)</td>
<td>26.8 ± 30.0 (311)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>20.7 ± 20.9 (195)</td>
<td>29.9 ± 22.7 (201)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>21.8 ± 19.8 (153)</td>
<td>33.3 ± 21.0 (153)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>22.5 ± 18.1 (194)</td>
<td>14.5 ± 16.4 (222)</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>31.6 ± 23.1 (121)</td>
<td>20.0 ± 17.0 (129)</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>27.6 ± 22.1 (191)</td>
<td>23.3 ± 21.9 (166)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.046*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>26.6 ± 20.8 (120)</td>
<td>21.1 ± 18.7 (137)</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>17.9 ± 17.3 (152)</td>
<td>19.5 ± 17.1 (130)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>8.5 ± 10.6 (177)</td>
<td>8.8 ± 11.6 (167)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>37.0 ± 27.8 (67)</td>
<td>41.1 ± 33.9 (65)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>23.8 ± 25.2 (81)</td>
<td>29.7 ± 25.8 (79)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>24.1 ± 18.4 (85)</td>
<td>24.7 ± 17.3 (62)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M-W test, Mann–Whitney U test. *p < 0.05.

Table 3. Densities of immunogold particles for NMDA and AMPA receptor subunits in pyramidal cell synapses of e1 KO mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal operation</th>
<th>Animal number</th>
<th>Subunits</th>
<th>Stratum</th>
<th>Densities (particle number/μm²), mean ± SD (synapse number)</th>
<th>Left (L)</th>
<th>Right (R)</th>
<th>Ratios (L/R)</th>
<th>p values (M-W test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naive</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Orains</td>
<td>20.9 ± 19.2 (114)</td>
<td>15.6 ± 16.1 (90)</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>12.7 ± 15.2 (80)</td>
<td>13.3 ± 14.2 (120)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHCT</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Orains</td>
<td>17.6 ± 19.3 (258)</td>
<td>26.8 ± 30.0 (311)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>20.7 ± 20.9 (195)</td>
<td>29.9 ± 22.7 (201)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>21.8 ± 19.8 (153)</td>
<td>33.3 ± 21.0 (153)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>22.5 ± 18.1 (194)</td>
<td>14.5 ± 16.4 (222)</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>31.6 ± 23.1 (121)</td>
<td>20.0 ± 17.0 (129)</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>27.6 ± 22.1 (191)</td>
<td>23.3 ± 21.9 (166)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.046*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>26.6 ± 20.8 (120)</td>
<td>21.1 ± 18.7 (137)</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>17.9 ± 17.3 (152)</td>
<td>19.5 ± 17.1 (130)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>8.5 ± 10.6 (177)</td>
<td>8.8 ± 11.6 (167)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>37.0 ± 27.8 (67)</td>
<td>41.1 ± 33.9 (65)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>23.8 ± 25.2 (81)</td>
<td>29.7 ± 25.8 (79)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>e2</td>
<td>Radiatum</td>
<td>24.1 ± 18.4 (85)</td>
<td>24.7 ± 17.3 (62)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M-W test, Mann–Whitney U test. *p < 0.05.

Identification of GluR4-positive interneurons
To further elucidate whether this asymmetrical e2 distribution is dependent on types of postsynaptic cells, we investigated the e2 distribution in Sch-interneuron synapses. Interneurons in the hippocampus possess a rich diversity and include multiple populations.

To identify synapses between Sch and a certain population of interneurons, we used labeling for GluR4, which is strongly expressed in PV-positive interneurons but not in pyramidal cells in the rat hippocampus (Catania et al., 1998). By double immunofluorescence, we found that GluR4-positive interneurons are immunoreactive for PV (Fig. 4A) but not for calbindin and calretinin (Fig. 4C,D). Most of the GluR4-immunopositive cell bodies were PV immunopositive (55 of 62, 88.7%), and all PV-immunonegative interneurons were GluR4 immunonegative (55 of 55, 100%) in the CA1 area. The GluR4 immunoreactivity was also localized to radially oriented dendrites of interneurons in both strata oriens and radiatum of the CA1 area. The pyramidal cells were immunonegative for GluR4 (Fig. 4A1). The GluR4-immunonegative interneurons negative for PV seem to be mostly mGluR1α positive because 11.1% (10 of 90) of GluR4-immunonegative cell bodies were immunoreactive for mGluR1α (Fig. 4B). However, these double-labeled interneurons had dendrites mostly localized to the alveus and adjoining stratum oriens but not to the stratum radiatum in CA1, resembling O-LM cells (Ferraguti et al., 2004).

No difference in e2 labeling density between left and right Sch-CA1 interneuron synapses
The density of e2 labeling in synapses between Sch and dendrites of the GluR4-immunopositive and -immunonegative interneurons was investigated in CA1 stratum radiatum of VHCT e1 KO mice. At the electron microscopic level, immunoparticles for e2 were concentrated in asymmetrical synapses on dendritic shafts (Fig. 5B–D). Immunogold labeling for GluR4 was found less fre-
Immunoreactivity for e4 in GluR4-positive interneurons

Some in situ hybridization studies suggested that GluR4 is expressed in hippocampal pyramidal cells, whereas e2, e1, and e4 mRNAs are expressed in several subsets of GABAergic interneurons, including PV-positive interneurons in CA1, CA3, and dentate gyrus of adult hippocampus (Monyer et al., 1994; Standaert et al., 1996), indicating that subunit compositions of the NMDA receptor may be different between pyramidal cell and interneuron synapses. If so, NMDA receptors containing e4 subunit may hamper detection of asymmetrical e2 distribution in interneuron synapses even in KO mice, just as e1 may do in WT mice. To investigate whether e4 is also localized to GluR4-positive synapses, we conducted double-immunofluorescence experiments (Fig. 7). Immunoreactivity for e4 was scattered in a punctate manner in all layers of the CA1 area but mostly dense in pyramidal cell layer (Fig. 7B). Some interneuron cell bodies were also e4 immunopositive. These e4-immunopositive profiles were totally abolished in e4 KO mice (data not shown). We found overlap of GluR4 and e4 immunoreactivity in some interneuron somata (6 of 8, 75%) but not in the dendrites of GluR4-immunopositive interneurons (Fig. 7C), suggesting that e4 subunit is expressed in GluR4-positive interneurons but not a major component of NMDA receptors in GluR4-positive synapses.

Asymmetry of NMDA EPSCs between left and right Sch-CA1 synapses in KO VHCT mice

To examine whether the asymmetrical e2 allocation actually causes differences in left–right NMDA receptor content in KO mice, we further characterized NMDA EPSCs at CA1 pyramidal neuron synapses in slices prepared from WT and KO VHCT mice. To record NMDA EPSCs, whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made from CA1 pyramidal neurons in the presence of DNQX (20 μM) and bicuculline (30 μM) at a holding potential of +30 mV. Because excitatory synapses on CA1 pyramidal neurons localized on both apical and basal dendrites, NMDA EPSCs were independently elicited by electrical stimuli applied to either stratum oriens (basal dendritic synapses) or stratum radiatum (apical dendritic synapses) of the CA1 area. In VHCT WT mice, stimulating the Sch fibers to CA1 stratum oriens elicited NMDA EPSCs with similar amplitude between left and right (Fig. 8A, left, middle panel, WT). The NMDA components of EPSCs evaluated by the ratio of NMDA and non-NMDA EPSCs evoked at the same stimulation intensity were indistinguishable between the left and right side slices (left basal, 57 ± 7.3%, n = 5 from 5 animals; right basal, 64 ± 5.7%, n = 5 from 5KO VHCT mice.
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NMDS EPSCs with similar amplitude in both sides (Fig. 8B, left, middle panel). The amplitude ratios of NMDS EPSCs to non-NMDS EPSCs, evoked at the same stimulation intensity, were indistinguishable between the left and right slices (left apical, 62 ± 7.4%, n = 5 from 5 animals; right apical, 61 ± 3.9%, n = 5 from 5 animals; p > 0.05, t test) (Fig. 8B, right, WT). In contrast, in VHCT e1 KO mice, stimulating the Sch fibers to CA1 stratum radiatum elicited NMDS EPSCs with mirror-image asymmetry of that found in stratum oriens (Fig. 8B, left, bottom panel). The amplitude ratios of NMDS EPSCs to non-NMDS EPSCs were larger in the left than right hippocampal slices (left apical, 39 ± 3.4%, n = 6 from 6 animals; right apical, 16 ± 3.1%, n = 5 from 5 animals; p < 0.01, t test) (Fig. 8B, right, e1−/−).

Asymmetry in LTP

NMDS receptors mediate associative activity-dependent changes in synaptic efficacy, including LTP in the hippocampus. Consistent with the asymmetrical content of NMDS receptors in VHCT e1 KO mice, different amplitudes of LTP were found in Sch-CA1 synapses in stratum radiatum (Fig. 9). The amplitude of LTP was significantly higher in left Sch-CA1 synapses than that in the right Sch-CA1 synapses (left, 138 ± 2.8%, n = 7 from 7 animals; right, 104 ± 2.8%, n = 6 from 6 animals; p < 0.05, t test), whereas the LTP amplitudes were similar between left (153 ± 4.7%; n = 6 from 6 animals) and right (150 ± 6.3%; n = 5 from 5 animals) Sch-CA1 synapses in WT mice.

Discussion

Target-cell-specific asymmetry of e2 allocation in synapses made by Sch fibers in CA1

By quantitative immunogold labeling method, we found target-cell-specific left–right asymmetry of e2 allocation in synapses made by Sch fibers on CA1 pyramidal cells in e1 KO mice. In the same experimental conditions, no such asymmetry was detected for e1 and GluR2/3 distribution in commissural fiber synapses (Shigemoto et al., 1996; Nusser et al., 1998a; Kumar and Huguenard, 1998). Perforant path (pp) fibers from entorhinal cortex form synapses on CA1 pyramidal neurons in the stratum lacunosum molecular (Amaral and Witter, 1995). Synaptic responses elicited by the pp-CA1 pathway were suppressed by the activation of presynaptic group II mGluRs expressed in these fibers (Petralia et al., 1996; Shigemoto et al., 1997; Kilbride et al., 1998; Contractor et al., 2000). Application of the group II selective mGluR agonist (2S,1’S,2’S)-2-(carboxycyclopentyl)-glycine (20 μM) did not depress EPSCs evoked by stimulation at CA1 stratum radiatum (102 ± 7% of control; n = 5 from 5 animals), verifying that the currents were not contaminated by pp inputs.

An opposite asymmetrical effect was observed in response to stimulation at stratum radiatum (Fig. 8B). In VHCT WT mice, stimulating the Sch fibers to CA1 stratum radiatum elicited animals; p > 0.05, t test) (Fig. 8A, right, WT). In contrast, in VHCT e1 KO mice, stimulating the Sch fibers to CA1 stratum oriens elicited NMDS EPSCs with different amplitudes (Fig. 8A, left, bottom panel). The amplitude ratios of NMDS EPSCs to DNQX-sensitive non-NMDS EPSCs, evoked at the same stimulation intensity, were larger in the right than left hippocampal slices (left basal, 22 ± 2.6%, n = 5 from 5 animals; right basal, 40 ± 3.4%, n = 5 from 5 animals; p < 0.01, t test) (Fig. 8A, right, e1−/−). Perforant path (pp) fibers from entorhinal cortex form synapses on CA1 pyramidal neurons in the stratum lacunosum molecular (Amaral and Witter, 1995). Synaptic responses elicited by the pp-CA1 pathway were suppressed by the activation of presynaptic group II mGluRs expressed in these fibers (Petralia et al., 1996; Shigemoto et al., 1997; Kilbride et al., 1998; Contractor et al., 2000). Application of the group II selective mGluR agonist (2S,1’S,2’S)-2-(carboxycyclopentyl)-glycine (20 μM) did not depress EPSCs evoked by stimulation at CA1 stratum radiatum (102 ± 7% of control; n = 5 from 5 animals), verifying that the currents were not contaminated by pp inputs.

An opposite asymmetrical effect was observed in response to stimulation at stratum radiatum (Fig. 8B). In VHCT WT mice, stimulating the Sch fibers to CA1 stratum radiatum elicited...
positive interneurons showed a major overlap (88.7%) in CA1, being consistent with in situ hybridization studies suggesting dominant GluR4 mRNA expression in hippocampal PV-positive interneurons (Geiger et al., 1995; Catania et al., 1998). Dendrites of the PV-containing interneurons have a much higher synaptic coverage than other interneuron dendrites (Gulyas et al., 1999). Consistent with this observation, the GluR4-positive dendrites also had a higher synaptic coverage (Fig. 5) than the GluR4-negative ones and showed almost continuous fluorescence signals for GluR4 along dendritic arbor (Figs. 4, 7). At least three kinds of interneurons, basket cells, bistratified cells, and axo-axonic cells in the CA1 stratum pyramidale, express PV and extend their dendrites to stratum radiatum (Oliva et al., 2000; Klausberger et al., 2003, 2004), therefore, contributing to the population of GluR4-immunopositive dendrites we examined in the present study.

Previous postembedding immunogold analyses revealed that the χ1 density in asymmetrical synapses randomly found on interneuron dendritic shafts was approximately three times as high as that on PV-positive interneuron dendrites in CA1 (Nyiri et al., 2003). Consistent with this study, we found that the e2 density in asymmetrical synapses on GluR4-negative interneurons was approximately four times as high as that on dendrites of GluR4-positive interneurons, which were mostly PV positive. The low content of e2 and χ1 subunits in PV-immunoreactive neurons may cause their relative resistance to excitotoxic insults (Nitsch et al., 1989a, b).

We also found a small proportion (11.1%) of GluR4-positive interneurons expressing mGluR1α in stratum oriens. However, these neurons contribute to only a minor subpopulation of the GluR4-immunopositive dendrites we examined because their dendrites are very sparse in stratum radiatum (Ferraguti et al., 2004). The GluR4-immunonegative interneuron dendrites may at least originate from calretinin- and calbindin-containing interneurons (Fig. 4C, D), as well as from interneurons that were not identified in the present study. Although we did not detect e2 density difference between left and right Sch-CA1 interneuron synapses on either GluR4-immunopositive or GluR4-immunonegative dendrites, existence of asymmetrical e2 distribution in a small subpopulation of interneurons cannot be entirely excluded because the diversity of the interneurons may hamper detection of the asymmetry. Nevertheless, no clear e2 asymmetry like in pyramidal cell synapses should occur in major populations of interneuron synapses in CA1 stratum radiatum.

### Expression of e4 in hippocampal interneurons

In situ hybridization studies suggested weak expression of e4 in PV- and somatostatin-positive interneurons besides the dominant expression of χ1, e1, and e2 (Monyer et al., 1994; Standaert et al., 1996). Our immunofluorescence results

### Table 4. Density of immunogold particles for e2 in pyramidal cell and interneuron synapses in stratum radiatum of VHCT e1 KO mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal number</th>
<th>Pyr</th>
<th>GluR4(+) interneurons</th>
<th>GluR4(-) interneurons</th>
<th>p values (M-W test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Ratios (L/R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>31.0 ± 22.4 (46)</td>
<td>11.9 ± 13.1 (14)</td>
<td>13.1 ± 12.7 (28)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.3 ± 18.3 (33)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 4.0 (11)</td>
<td>3.5 ± 5.5 (21)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>29.9 ± 19.7 (27)</td>
<td>9.5 ± 14.7 (21)</td>
<td>11.3 ± 13.9 (10)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L/R: Left/Right; M-W test; Mann–Whitney U test; Pyr, pyramidal cells. *p < 0.05.
showed the predominant ε4 immunoreactivity in scattered puncta and cell bodies of GluR4-immunopositive interneurons but not in the GluR4-immunopositive dendrites in CA1. Although immunogold particles for GluR4 were mostly concentrated in postsynaptic membrane specialization on the interneuron dendrites, ε4 may have a nonsynaptic localization in the GluR4-positive interneurons. NMDA receptors composed of ζ1/ε4 have distinctively slow deactivation kinetics, low single-channel conductance, and low sensitivity to voltage-dependent Mg<sup>2+</sup> block (Monyer et al., 1994; Wyllie et al., 1998; Misra et al., 2000b) and are suggested to be localized to extrasynaptic membrane in several cell types in the cerebellum and hippocampus (Misra et al., 2000a,b; Brickley et al., 2003; Lozovaya et al., 2004). It is thus unlikely that ε4 composes NMDA receptors as a major subunit in the GluR4-positive interneuron synapses.

**Asymmetrical NMDA receptor content between left and right Sch-pyramidal cell synapses in ε1 KO mice**

In WT mice, although the amount of ε2 was different between left and right Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses, the amplitude of NMDA EPSCs relative to that of non-NMDA EPSCs was the same in these synapses, indicating the same amount of NMDA receptors between left and right Sch-CA1 pyramidal cell synapses (Kawakami et al., 2003). However, in ε1 KO mice, because the NMDA subunit composition was simplified to ζ1 and ε2 in pyramidal cells (Sakimura et al., 1995), the different amount of ε2 results in different content of functional NMDA channels (Fig. 8) and distinct amplitudes of LTP between the left and right hippocampus (Fig. 9). This situation is similar to that in 2-week-old WT mice (Kawakami et al., 2003), in which expression of ε1 is still very low (Watanabe et al., 1992). Based on electrophysiological and biochemical studies on subunit composition of the NMDA receptor complex (Premkumar and Auerbach, 1997; Laube et al., 1998; Hawkins et al., 1999), the functional NMDA receptor complexes in CA1 pyramidal cell synapses are assumed to consist of two or three ζ1 subunits and two or three ε2 subunits in ε1 KO mice. Although Western blot analyses indicated that the total amount of ζ1 subunit proteins was equal between left and right Sch-CA1 synapses in WT mice (Kawakami et al., 2003), deletion of ionotropic receptor subunits could alter expression of other subunits in the heteromer at the synaptic sites (Forrest et al., 1994; Fukaya et al., 2003). Although the ζ1 expression at mRNA level had no appreciable difference in adult ε1 KO mice compared with WT mice (Sakimura et al., 1995), the present finding of functional asymmetry in NMDA receptors in Sch-CA1 synapses of ε1 KO mice raises the possibility that not only ε2 but also ζ1 subunit may have asymmetrical synaptic distribution in ε1 KO mice. However, no clear left–right asymmetry of ζ1 allocation in pyramidal cell synapses was detected (except one animal) in the present study. This may be attributable to a technical limitation in the ζ1 immunodetection or more ζ1 subunits involved in nonfunctional subunit compositions in the ε2 nondominant than ε2 dominant side of CA1. However, the former possibility is unlikely because the asymmetrical amount of ε2 but not ζ1 was also detected by immunoblot analysis (supplemental Fig. 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) in VHCT ε1 KO mice.

The physiological implications of left–right asymmetry of ε2 allocation

The physiological significance of the asymmetrical ε2 allocation has not yet been elucidated. The ε2 subunit plays an important role in synaptic plasticity, learning, and memory in the hippocampus (Tang et al., 1999; Clayton et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2004; Berberich et al., 2005), and left and right hippocampus could differently contribute to these behaviors (Bernasconi-Guastalla et al., 1994; Zaidel et al., 1998; Gagliardi et al., 2001). Elucidating precise allocation of the ε2 subunit is thus of fundamental importance for further understanding of hippocampal function and even the different contribution of left and right hippocampus to learning and memory. Different ε2 subunit contribution to NMDA response on the same cells was suggested depending on the side of input origin (ipsilateral or contralateral CA3 pyramidal cells) in WT mice (Kawakami et al., 2003). Considering similar and even enhanced situation in ε1 KO mice, larger contribution of NMDA receptor-mediated transmission from left than right hippocampus to stratum radiatum of both sides (Fig. 10), for example, should result in distinct information processing of inputs originated from the left and right CA3 pyramidal cells. Also, such ε2 subunit organization may provide side-dependent difference in development of synaptic strength in ε1 KO mice. The ε1 KO mice with the enhanced asymmetry in the NMDA receptor function would thus be a useful model for studying such possibilities and elucidating physiological significance of the left–right asymmetry in hippocampus-related behaviors.
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