

## Scaling limit of d-inverse of Brownian motion with functional drift

Yano, Kouji  
Graduate School of Science, Kobe University

Yoshioka, Katsutoshi  
Graduate School of Science, Kobe University

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/18489>

---

出版情報 : Journal of Math-for-Industry (JMI). 2 (B), pp.133-138, 2010-10-04. Faculty of  
Mathematics, Kyushu University

バージョン :

権利関係 :

# Scaling limit of d-inverse of Brownian motion with functional drift

Kouji Yano and Katsutoshi Yoshioka

Received on July 2, 2010 / Revised on August 27, 2010

**Abstract.** The d-inverse is a generalized notion of inverse of a stochastic process having a certain tendency of increasing expectations. Scaling limit of the d-inverse of Brownian motion with functional drift is studied. Except for degenerate case, the class of possible scaling limits is proved to consist of the d-inverses of Brownian motion without drift, one with explosion in finite time, and one with power drift.

*Keywords.* d-inverse, domain of attraction, Brownian motion with drift, geometric Brownian motion, option price, Black-Scholes formula

*AMS 2010 subject classifications.* Primary 60F05; secondary 60J65; 60J70.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For (general) stock price  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , the European call option price with strike  $K$  and maturity  $t$  is given as

$$(1.1) \quad C(t) := E[\max\{S_t - K, 0\}].$$

Suppose that the stock price is given as the *geometric Brownian motion* with volatility  $\sigma > 0$  and drift  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$(1.2) \quad dS_t = \sigma S_t dB_t + \mu S_t dt, \quad S_0 = s_0 \in (0, \infty),$$

where  $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  denotes a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion. Letting  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu - \sigma^2/2$ , we have an explicit expression of  $S = S^{(\sigma, \mu)}$  as follows:

$$(1.3) \quad S_t^{(\sigma, \mu)} = s_0 \exp(\sigma B_t + \tilde{\mu}t).$$

If  $\tilde{\mu} = -\sigma^2/2$ , then we may express  $C(t)$  explicitly, in terms of the cumulative distribution function of the standard Gaussian

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{N}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-x^2/2} dx / \sqrt{2\pi},$$

as

$$(1.5) \quad C(t) = s_0 \mathcal{N}\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{t}} \log \frac{K}{s_0} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sqrt{t}\right) - K \mathcal{N}\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{t}} \log \frac{K}{s_0} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sqrt{t}\right),$$

which is a special case of the well-known Black–Scholes formula. We may verify, by a direct computation, that  $C(t)$  is increasing in  $t > 0$ ; see Madan–Roynette–Yor [11].

Note that  $S^{(\sigma, \mu)}$  is a submartingale if and only if  $\mu \geq 0$ . In this case, we can verify, without computing it explicitly, that  $C(t)$  is increasing in  $t > 0$ . (In this paper, we

mean non-decreasing by increasing.) More generally, for any increasing convex function  $\varphi$ , we may apply Jensen’s inequality to see that, for any  $0 < s < t$ ,

$$(1.6) \quad E[\varphi(S_s)] \leq E[\varphi(E[S_t | \mathcal{F}_s])] \leq E[\varphi(S_t)].$$

In this sense, the submartingale property may be considered a tendency of increasing expectations.

To characterize another tendency of increasing expectations, The following notion was introduced by Madan–Roynette–Yor [10] and was developed by Profeta–Roynette–Yor [12]:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $R = (R_t)_{t \geq 0}$  denote a stochastic process taking values on  $[0, \infty)$  defined on a measurable space equipped with a family of probability measures  $(P_x)_{x \geq 0}$ . Suppose that  $R$  is a.s. continuous and such that  $P_x(R_0 = x) = 1$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .

- (i)  $R$  is said to admit an increasing pseudo-inverse if  $P_x(R_t \geq y)$  is increasing in  $t \geq 0$  for all  $y > x$  and if  $P_x(R_t \geq y) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $y > x$ .
- (ii) A family of random variables  $(Y_{x,y})_{y > x}$  defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is called *pseudo-inverse* of  $R$  if for any  $y > x$  it holds that

$$(1.7) \quad P_x(R_t \geq y) = P(Y_{x,y} \leq t).$$

We would like here to introduce the following alternative notion, which is a slight modification of the pseudo-inverse:

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a stochastic process taking values in  $[-\infty, \infty)$ .

- (i)  $X$  is called *d-increasing* on  $[x_0, \infty)$  if  $P(X_t \geq x)$  is increasing in  $t \in (0, \infty)$  for all  $x \in [x_0, \infty)$ .
- (ii) A family of random variables  $(Y_x)_{x \geq x_0}$  is called *d-inverse* of  $X$  on  $[x_0, \infty)$  if the following assertions

hold:

(iia) for any  $x \in [x_0, \infty)$ , the  $Y_x$  is a random variable taking values in  $[0, \infty]$ ;

(iib) for any  $x \in [x_0, \infty)$  and for a.e.  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , it holds that

$$(1.8) \quad P(X_t \geq x) = P(Y_x \leq t).$$

We note that  $X$  is d-increasing on  $[x_0, \infty)$  if and only if  $X$  admits some d-inverse  $(Y_x)_{x \geq x_0}$ . We also note that if  $P(X_t \geq x)$  is right-continuous in  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , then the identity (1.8) holds for all  $t \in (0, \infty)$ .

If  $t \mapsto X_t$  is a.s. increasing, then  $X$  is d-increasing and its d-inverse is given by its inverse in the usual sense. The d-inverse may be a generalized notion of inverse in the sense of probability distribution.

Let  $S$  be a stochastic process such that  $P(S_t \geq x)$  is right-continuous in  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . We note that  $S$  is d-increasing on  $[x_0, \infty)$  if and only if  $E[\varphi(S_t)]$  is increasing in  $t > 0$  for all increasing (possibly non-convex) function  $\varphi$  whose support is contained in  $[x_0, \infty)$  such that  $E[\varphi(S_t)] < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ . In fact, for the sufficiency, it holds that, for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$(1.9) \quad E[\varphi(S_t)] = \varphi(x_0)P(S_t \geq x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{\infty} P(S_t \geq x)d\varphi(x),$$

which shows that  $E[\varphi(S_t)]$  is increasing in  $t > 0$ ; the necessity is obvious since

$$(1.10) \quad E[1_{[x, \infty)}(S_t)] = P(S_t \geq x).$$

In particular, if  $S$  is a non-negative process such that  $P(S_t \geq x)$  is right-continuous in  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , then the condition that  $S$  is d-increasing on  $[0, \infty)$  is stronger than the one that  $S$  has the same one-dimensional marginals with a submartingale; see Remark 1.5.

In this paper, we confine ourselves to the class of processes of the form

$$(1.11) \quad B_t^{(\rho)} = B_t + \rho(t)$$

for some increasing function  $\rho(t)$ . We may call  $B^{(\rho)}$  *Brownian motion with functional drift*. This process appears in *geometric Brownian motion with functional coefficients* as follows. Let  $\sigma(t)$  and  $\mu(t)$  be positive functions on  $[0, \infty)$  and define

$$(1.12) \quad dS_t = \sigma(t)S_t dB_t + \mu(t)S_t dt, \quad S_0 = s_0 > 0.$$

The resulting process  $S = S^{(\sigma, \mu)}$  is given in the explicit form as

$$(1.13) \quad S_t^{(\sigma, \mu)} = s_0 \exp \left( \int_0^t \sigma(s)dB_s + \int_0^t \tilde{\mu}(s)ds \right),$$

where  $\tilde{\mu}(t) = \mu(t) - \sigma^2(t)/2$ . If we set  $a(t) = \int_0^t \sigma(u)^2 du$ ,  $b(t) = \int_0^t \tilde{\mu}(u)du$  and set  $\rho(t) = b(a^{-1}(t))$ , then we obtain

$$(1.14) \quad S_{a^{-1}(t)}^{(\sigma, \mu)} = s_0 \exp(\beta_t + \rho(t)),$$

where  $\beta = (\beta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  denotes a new Brownian motion.

The aim of this paper is to study scaling limit of the d-inverse on  $[0, \infty)$  of  $B^{(\rho)}$  for positive drift  $\rho$ . By *scaling limit* of d-inverse  $Y^{(\rho)} = (Y_x^{(\rho)})_{x \geq 0}$  of  $B^{(\rho)}$  we mean a process  $Z = (Z_x)_{x \geq 0}$  such that

$$(1.15) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} Y_{\phi_2(\lambda)x}^{(\phi_1(\lambda)\rho)} \xrightarrow[\lambda \rightarrow 0+]{d} Z_x \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, \infty)$$

for some scaling functions  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ . We assume that the ratio  $\phi_2(\lambda)/\sqrt{\lambda}$  converges to a constant as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0+$ . We shall prove that the class of possible scaling limits consists, except for degenerate case, of the d-inverses of the following processes:

- (i) Brownian motion without drift  $B_t$ ;
- (ii) *Brownian motion with explosion in finite time*:  $B_t + \infty 1_{\{t \geq t_0\}}$ , with  $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$ ;
- (iii) *Brownian motion with power drift*:  $B_t + ct^\alpha$ , with  $c \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ .

Cases (i) and (ii) can be obtained from (iii) by taking limits; in fact, Case (i) can be obtained from (ii) as  $t_0 \rightarrow \infty$  and Case (ii) can be obtained from (iii) by setting  $c = t_0^{-\alpha}$  and letting  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ .

Here we make several remarks.

**Remark 1.3.** Monotonicity of more general option prices for more general stock processes have been studied by Hobson ([6], [7]), Henderson–Hobson ([3], [4]), and Kijima [9].

**Remark 1.4.** Let  $X^{(1)}$  and  $X^{(2)}$  be two random variables taking values in  $[-\infty, \infty]$  and let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . We write

$$(1.16) \quad X^{(1)} \leq_{st} X^{(2)} \quad \text{on } [x_0, \infty)$$

if

$$(1.17) \quad P(X^{(1)} \geq x) \leq P(X^{(2)} \geq x) \quad \text{for all } x \in [x_0, \infty).$$

The relation  $\leq_{st}$  on  $[x_0, \infty)$  is a partial order on the class of random variables. It may be called *usual stochastic order* on  $[x_0, \infty)$  (see also Shaked–Shanthikumar [15]). We point out that a process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is d-increasing on  $[x_0, \infty)$  if and only if  $t \mapsto X_t$  is increasing in d-order on  $[x_0, \infty)$ .

**Remark 1.5.** Let  $X^{(1)}$  and  $X^{(2)}$  be two random variables taking values in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We write

$$(1.18) \quad X^{(1)} \leq_{icx} X^{(2)}$$

if

$$(1.19) \quad E[\varphi(X^{(1)})] \leq E[\varphi(X^{(2)})] \quad \text{for all increasing convex function } \varphi.$$

The relation  $\leq_{icx}$  is a partial order on the class of random variables, so that it is called *increasing convex order* (see Shaked–Shanthikumar [15]). It is known (Kellerer [8]) that a process  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is increasing in increasing convex order if and only if  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  has the same one-dimensional marginals with a submartingale. Interested readers are referred to Rothschild–Stiglitz ([13],[14]), Baker–Yor [1], and also Hirsch–Yor [5].

**Remark 1.6.** Profeta–Roynette–Yor [12] proved that a Bessel process admits pseudo-inverse if and only if the dimension is greater than one, and investigated several remarkable properties of its pseudo-inverse. See also Yen–Yor [16] for another related study of Bessel process.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss d-inverses of several classes of processes and study scaling limit theorems of d-inverses. In Section 3, we study the inverse problem of scaling limits of d-inverses.

## 2. DISCUSSIONS ON D-INCREASING PROCESSES

For two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ , we write  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$  if  $P(X \leq x) = P(Y \leq x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . For a family of random variables  $(X^{(a)})_{a \in I}$  indexed by an interval  $I$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , we write  $X^{(a)} \xrightarrow{d} X$  as  $a \rightarrow b \in I$  for a random variable  $X$  if  $P(X^{(a)} \leq x) \rightarrow P(X \leq x)$  as  $a \rightarrow b$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $P(X = x) = 0$ .

### 2.1. TRANSFORMATIONS BY INCREASING FUNCTIONS

For an increasing function  $f : I \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  defined on an subinterval  $I$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we denote its left-continuous inverse by  $f^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ , i.e.:

$$(2.1) \quad f^{-1}(y) = \inf\{x \in I : f(x) \geq y\}$$

$$(2.2) \quad = \sup\{x \in I : f(x) < y\},$$

where we adopt the usual convention that  $\inf \emptyset = \sup I$  and  $\sup \emptyset = \inf I$ . By definition, we see that

$$(2.3) \quad f(x) \geq y \text{ implies } x \geq f^{-1}(y),$$

$$(2.4) \quad f(x) < y \text{ implies } x \leq f^{-1}(y).$$

As a general remark, we give the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a stochastic process such that  $X_t \in [x_0, \infty)$  almost surely for all  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $f : [x_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be continuous increasing functions. Suppose that  $X$  admits a d-inverse  $(Y_x)_{x \geq x_0}$ . Then  $\widehat{X} = (\widehat{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined by*

$$(2.5) \quad \widehat{X}_t = f(X_{g(t)}), \quad t \geq 0$$

*admits a d-inverse  $(g^{-1}(Y_{f^{-1}(y)}))_{y \geq f(x_0)}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is continuous and increasing, we see that  $f(f^{-1}(y)) = y$ , and hence that  $f(x) \geq y$  if and only if  $x \geq f^{-1}(y)$ . This proves that

$$(2.6) \quad P(f(X_{g(t)}) \geq y) = P(X_{g(t)} \geq f^{-1}(y))$$

$$(2.7) \quad = P(Y_{f^{-1}(y)} \leq g(t))$$

$$(2.8) \quad = P(g^{-1}(Y_{f^{-1}(y)}) \leq t).$$

The proof is complete. □

### 2.2. BROWNIAN MOTION WITH FUNCTIONAL DRIFT

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a right-continuous function. Then the process  $B_t^{(\rho)} = B_t + \rho(t)$  is d-increasing on  $[0, \infty)$  if and only if the following condition is satisfied:*

$$(2.9) \quad (\mathbf{A}) \quad \frac{\rho(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \text{ is increasing in } t > 0.$$

*In this case, the d-inverse  $(Y_x^{(\rho)})_{x \geq 0}$  is given by*

$$(2.10) \quad Y_x^{(\rho)} \stackrel{d}{=} \eta_x^{-1}(B_1) \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0,$$

*where  $\eta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the increasing function defined by*

$$(2.11) \quad \eta_x(t) = \frac{\rho(t) - x}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad t > 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $B_t \stackrel{d}{=} -\sqrt{t}B_1$ , we have

$$(2.12) \quad P(B_t^{(\rho)} \geq x) = P(B_1 \leq \eta_x(t)),$$

where  $\eta_x$  is defined as (2.11). Now  $B^{(\rho)}$  is d-increasing if and only if  $\eta_x(t)$  is increasing in  $t > 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ , which is equivalent to the condition **(A)**. □

In the remainder of this section, we discuss several particular classes of Brownian motion with functional drifts.

### 2.3. BROWNIAN MOTION WITH EXPLOSION

Using  $B_t \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{t}B_1$ , we obtain the following: The Brownian motion without drift,  $B = B^{(0)}$ , admits a d-inverse  $Y^{(0)} = (Y_x^{(0)})_{x \geq 0}$ . In fact, we have

$$(2.13) \quad Y_x^{(0)} \stackrel{d}{=} \left(\frac{x}{B_1}\right)^2 1_{\{B_1 > 0\}} + \infty 1_{\{B_1 \leq 0\}}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

For a constant  $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$ , the process  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  taking values in  $(-\infty, \infty]$  defined by

$$(2.14) \quad X_t = B_t + \infty 1_{\{t \geq t_0\}}, \quad t \geq 0$$

is called *Brownian motion with explosion in finite time*. It admits a d-inverse  $Y = (Y_x)_{x \geq 0}$  given by

$$(2.15) \quad Y_x \stackrel{d}{=} \min\{Y_x^{(0)}, t_0\}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a right-continuous function satisfying the condition **(A)**. Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be two functions. Suppose that there exist constants  $t_0 \in (0, \infty]$  and  $p \in [0, \infty)$  such that*

$$(2.16) \quad (\mathbf{B}) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\phi_1(\lambda)\rho(\lambda t)}{\sqrt{\lambda t}} \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < t < t_0, \\ \infty & \text{if } t > t_0, \end{cases} \\ \frac{\phi_2(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} p. \end{cases}$$

Then, for any  $x \geq 0$ , it holds that

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} Y_{\phi_2(\lambda)x}^{(\phi_1(\lambda)\rho)} \xrightarrow{d} \min \left\{ Y_{px}^{(0)}, t_0 \right\} \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0+.$$

In particular, for any  $\lambda > 0$ , it holds that

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} \min \left\{ Y_{\sqrt{\lambda}x}^{(0)}, t_0 \right\} \stackrel{d}{=} \min \left\{ Y_x^{(0)}, t_0 \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $B_{\lambda t} \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{\lambda} B_t$ , we have

$$(2.19) \quad P \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} Y_{\phi_2(\lambda)x}^{(\phi_1(\lambda)\rho)} \leq t \right)$$

$$(2.20) \quad = P(B_{\lambda t} + \phi_1(\lambda)\rho(\lambda t) \geq \phi_2(\lambda)x)$$

$$(2.21) \quad = P \left( B_t + \frac{\phi_1(\lambda)\rho(\lambda t)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \geq \frac{\phi_2(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}x \right).$$

The last quantity converges as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0+$  to  $P(B_t \geq px)$  if  $t < t_0$  and to 1 if  $t > t_0$ . Since we have

$$(2.22) \quad P \left( \min \left\{ Y_{px}^{(0)}, t_0 \right\} \leq t \right) = \begin{cases} P(B_t \geq px) & \text{if } t < t_0, \\ 1 & \text{if } t \geq t_0, \end{cases}$$

we obtain (2.17). The scale invariance property (2.18) is obvious. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

#### 2.4. BROWNIAN MOTION WITH CONSTANT DRIFT

By Theorem 2.2, we see that the Brownian motion with constant drift  $B^{(c)} = (B_t + ct)_{t \geq 0}$  admits a d-inverse  $Y^{(c)} = (Y_x^{(c)})_{x \geq 0}$  if and only if  $c \in [0, \infty)$ . If  $c \in (0, \infty)$ , i.e., except for the Brownian case, we obtain, for  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$(2.23) \quad Y_x^{(c)} \stackrel{d}{=} \left( \frac{B_1 + \sqrt{B_1^2 + 4cx}}{2c} \right)^2.$$

We remark that, for any  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$(2.24) \quad Y_x^{(c)} \xrightarrow{d} Y_x^{(0)} \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow 0+.$$

We also remark the following: Using  $B_t \stackrel{d}{=} -tB_{1/t}$ , we can easily see that

$$(2.25) \quad Y_x^{(c)} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{1}{Y_c^{(x)}} \quad \text{for all } c \geq 0 \text{ and } x \geq 0.$$

Scaling property of Brownian motion with constant drifts will be discussed in the next section in a more general setting.

The geometric Brownian motion  $S = S^{(\sigma, \mu)}$  with constant volatility  $\sigma > 0$  and drift  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  given as (1.3) may be represented as  $S_t^{(\sigma, \mu)} = f(B_t^{(\tilde{\mu}/\sigma t)})$  where  $f(x) = s_0 \exp(\sigma x)$ . Hence we may apply Theorem 2.1 and obtain the following:  $S^{(\sigma, \mu)}$  admits a d-inverse  $(T_s^{(\sigma, \mu)})_{s \geq s_0}$  if and only if  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu - \sigma^2/2 \geq 0$ . In this case, we have

$$(2.26) \quad T_s^{(\sigma, \mu)} \stackrel{d}{=} Y_{f^{-1}(s)}^{((\tilde{\mu}/\sigma)\cdot)} \quad \text{for all } s \geq s_0.$$

#### 2.5. BROWNIAN MOTION WITH POWER DRIFT

For  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$  and  $c \in [0, \infty)$ , we define

$$(2.27) \quad R_t^{(c, \alpha)} = B_t + ct^\alpha, \quad t \geq 0$$

and we call  $R^{(c, \alpha)} = (R_t^{(c, \alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$  a *Brownian motion with power drift*. By Theorem 2.2, we see that  $R^{(c, \alpha)}$  admits a d-inverse  $(Z_x^{(c, \alpha)})_{x \geq 0}$  if and only if  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ .

The following theorem tells us that the class of the d-inverses of Brownian motion with power drifts appear as scaling limits, and consequently, satisfy scale invariance property.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a right-continuous function satisfying the condition (A). Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be two functions. Suppose there exist  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ ,  $c \in (0, \infty)$  and  $p \in [0, \infty)$  such that*

$$(2.28) \quad \text{(RV)} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\rho(\lambda t)}{\rho(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} t^\alpha, \\ \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \phi_1(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} c, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \phi_2(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} p. \end{cases}$$

Then, for any  $x \geq 0$ , it holds that

$$(2.29) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} Y_{\phi_2(\lambda)x}^{(\phi_1(\lambda)\rho)} \xrightarrow{d} Z_{px}^{(c, \alpha)} \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0+.$$

In particular, for any  $\lambda > 0$ , it holds that

$$(2.30) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} Z_{\sqrt{\lambda}x}^{(c\lambda^{(1/2)-\alpha}, \alpha)} \stackrel{d}{=} Z_x^{(c, \alpha)}.$$

**Remark 2.5.** The condition (RV) asserts that the functions  $\rho$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  (if  $p \in (0, \infty)$ ) are regularly varying at  $0+$  of index  $\alpha$ ,  $(1/2) - \alpha$ , and  $1/2$ , respectively.

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* Since  $B_{\lambda t} \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{\lambda} B_t$ , we have

$$(2.31) \quad P \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} Y_{\phi_2(\lambda)x}^{(\phi_1(\lambda)\rho)} \leq t \right)$$

$$(2.32) \quad = P \left( B_t + \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \phi_1(\lambda) \cdot \frac{\rho(\lambda t)}{\rho(\lambda)} \geq \frac{\phi_2(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}x \right)$$

$$(2.33) \quad \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} P(B_t + ct^\alpha \geq px)$$

$$(2.34) \quad = P(Z_{px}^{(c, \alpha)} \leq t).$$

Now we have obtained (2.29). The scale invariance property (2.30) is obvious. The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. SCALING LIMITS FOR THE CLASS OF D-INVERSES

In what follows, by *measurable* we mean Lebesgue measurable.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a right-continuous function satisfying the condition **(A)**. Suppose that, for some measurable functions  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and for some family  $Z = (Z_x)_{x \geq 0}$  of  $[0, \infty]$ -valued random variables, it holds that*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} Y_{\phi_2(\lambda)x}^{(\phi_1(\lambda)\rho)} \xrightarrow[\lambda \rightarrow 0+]{d} Z_x \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0.$$

Suppose, moreover, that there exists a constant  $p \in [0, \infty)$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\phi_2(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \xrightarrow[\lambda \rightarrow 0+]{p} p.$$

Then either one of the following four assertions holds:

(i)  $\phi_1(\lambda)\rho(\lambda t)/\sqrt{\lambda} \xrightarrow[\lambda \rightarrow 0+]{0} 0$  for all  $t > 0$ . In this case,

$$(3.3) \quad Z_x \stackrel{d}{=} Y_{px}^{(0)} \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0.$$

(ii) The condition **(B)** holds for some  $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$ . In this case,

$$(3.4) \quad Z_x \stackrel{d}{=} \min \left\{ Y_{px}^{(0)}, t_0 \right\} \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0.$$

(iii) The condition **(RV)** holds for some  $\alpha \geq 1/2$  and  $c \in (0, \infty)$ . In this case,

$$(3.5) \quad Z_x \stackrel{d}{=} Z_{px}^{(c,\alpha)} \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0.$$

(iv) (Degenerate case.)  $P(Z_x = 0) = 1$  for all  $x \in (0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \geq 0$ . Denote  $F_x(t) = P(Z_x \leq t)$  for  $t \geq 0$  and denote by  $C(F_x)$  the set of continuity point of  $F_x$ . We note that

$$(3.6) \quad P \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} Y_{\phi_2(\lambda)x}^{(\phi_1(\lambda)\rho)} \leq t \right)$$

$$(3.7) \quad = P(B_{\lambda t} + \phi_1(\lambda)\rho(\lambda t) \geq \phi_2(\lambda)x)$$

$$(3.8) \quad = P \left( B_1 + \phi_1(\lambda) \frac{\rho(\lambda t)}{\sqrt{\lambda t}} \geq \frac{\phi_2(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda t}} x \right).$$

By the assumption (3.1), we see that

$$(3.9) \quad P \left( B_1 + \phi_1(\lambda) \frac{\rho(\lambda t)}{\sqrt{\lambda t}} - \frac{\phi_2(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda t}} x \in [0, \infty) \right) \xrightarrow[\lambda \rightarrow 0+]{p} P(Z_x \leq t)$$

for all  $t \in C(F_x) \cap (0, \infty)$ .

Hence there exists a function  $g_x : C(F_x) \cap (0, \infty) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  such that

$$(3.10) \quad \phi_1(\lambda) \frac{\rho(\lambda t)}{\sqrt{\lambda t}} - \frac{\phi_2(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda t}} x \xrightarrow[\lambda \rightarrow 0+]{g_x(t)} g_x(t)$$

for all  $t \in C(F_x) \cap (0, \infty)$ .

Since  $\rho$  satisfies the condition **(A)** and since  $C(F_x)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we see that  $g_x$  is increasing, and hence we may extend

$g_x$  on  $[0, \infty)$  so that it is right-continuous. Now we obtain, for any  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$(3.11) \quad Z_x \stackrel{d}{=} g_x^{-1}(B_1).$$

Let us write  $g$  simply for  $g_0$ . Noting that  $g$  is an increasing function taking values in  $[0, \infty]$ , we divide into the following four distinct cases.

(i) *The case where  $g(t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .*

Let  $x \geq 0$  be fixed. By the assumption (3.2) and by (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad g_x(t) = -px/\sqrt{t}, \quad t > 0.$$

From this and (3.11), we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad P(Z_x \leq t) = P(Y_{px}^{(0)} \leq t), \quad t > 0.$$

This proves (3.3). The proof of Claim (i) is now complete.

(ii) *The case where there exist a point  $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$(3.14) \quad g(t) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } 0 < t < t_0, \\ = \infty & \text{if } t > t_0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $x \geq 0$ . By the assumption (3.2) and by (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad g_x(t) = \begin{cases} -px/\sqrt{t} & \text{if } 0 < t < t_0, \\ \infty & \text{if } t > t_0. \end{cases}$$

From this and (3.11), we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad P(Z_x \leq t) = \begin{cases} P(Y_{px}^{(0)} \leq t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t < t_0, \\ 1 & \text{if } t \geq t_0. \end{cases}$$

This proves (3.4). The proof of Claim (ii) is now complete.

(iii) *The case where there are two points  $t_0, t_1 \in C(F_0) \cap (0, \infty)$  with  $t_0 < t_1$  such that  $0 < g(t_0) \leq g(t_1) < \infty$ .*

Since  $g$  is increasing, we see that

$$(3.17) \quad 0 < g(t) < \infty \quad \text{for all } t \in C(F_0) \cap [t_0, t_1].$$

By (3.10), we have, for any  $t \in C(F_0) \cap [t_0, t_1]$ ,

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{\rho(\lambda t)}{\rho(\lambda t_0)} = \frac{\phi_1(\lambda) \frac{\rho(\lambda t)}{\sqrt{\lambda t}}}{\phi_1(\lambda) \frac{\rho(\lambda t_0)}{\sqrt{\lambda t_0}}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t_0}} \xrightarrow[\lambda \rightarrow 0+]{g(t)} \frac{g(t)}{g(t_0)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t_0}} \in (0, \infty).$$

Since  $C(F_0) \cap [t_0, t_1]$  has positive Lebesgue measure, we may apply Characterisation Theorem ([2, Theorem 1.4.1]) to see that the convergence (3.18) and consequently (3.10) are still valid for all  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , and that

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{g(t)}{g(t_0)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t_0}} = t^\alpha, \quad t \in (0, \infty)$$

for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $g$  is increasing, we have  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ . We obtain

$$(3.20) \quad g(t) = ct^{\alpha-1/2}, \quad t \in (0, \infty)$$

for some  $c \in (0, \infty)$ . Hence, by (3.18) and (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{\rho(\lambda t)}{\rho(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} t^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \phi_1(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} c.$$

Now we have seen that the condition **(RV)** is satisfied. The proof of Claim (iii) is now completed by Theorem 2.4.

(iv) *The case where  $g(t) = \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ .*

In this case, by the assumption (3.2) and by (3.10), we obtain  $g_x(t) = \infty$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $x \geq 0$ . By (3.11), we obtain  $P(Z_x = 0) = 1$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . The proof of Claim (iv) is now complete.  $\square$

The research of the first author was partially supported by KAKENHI (20740060). The authors thank the referee whose comments helped improve the introduction of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Baker, D. and Yor, M.: A Brownian sheet martingale with the same marginals as the arithmetic average of geometric Brownian motion, *Electron. J. Probab.* **14** (2009) 1532–1540.
- [2] Bingham, N. H., Goldie, C. M. and Teugels, J. L.: *Regular variation*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **27**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [3] Henderson, V. and Hobson, D.: Local time, coupling and the passport option, *Finance Stoch.* **4** (2000) 69–80.
- [4] Henderson, V. and Hobson, D.: Coupling and option price comparisons in a jump-diffusion model, *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.* **75** (2003) 79–101.
- [5] Hirsch, F. and Yor, M.: A construction of processes with one dimensional martingale marginals, based upon path-space Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes and the Brownian sheet, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **49** (2009) 389–417.
- [6] Hobson, D.: Comparison results for stochastic volatility models via coupling, *Finance Stoch.* **14** (2010) 129–152.
- [7] Hobson, D. G.: Volatility misspecification, option pricing and superreplication via coupling, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **8** (1998) 193–205.
- [8] Kellerer, H. G.: Markov-Komposition und eine Anwendung auf Martingale, *Math. Ann.* **198** (1972) 99–122.
- [9] Kijima, M.: Monotonicity and convexity of option prices revisited, *Math. Finance* **12** (2002) 411–425.
- [10] Madan, D., Roynette, B. and Yor, M.: Option prices as probabilities, *Finance Research Letters* **5** (2008) 79–87.
- [11] Madan, D., Roynette, B. and Yor, M.: Put option prices as joint distribution functions in strike and maturity: the Black-Scholes case, *Int. J. Theor. Appl. Finance* **12** (2009) 1075–1090.
- [12] Profeta, C., Roynette, B. and Yor, M.: *Option prices as probabilities. Another look at generalized Black-Scholes formulae*, Springer Finance, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [13] Rothschild, M. and Stiglitz, J. E.: Increasing risk. I. A definition, *J. Econom. Theory* **2** (1970) 225–243.
- [14] Rothschild, M. and Stiglitz, J. E.: Increasing risk. II. Its economic consequences, *J. Econom. Theory* **3** (1971) 66–84.
- [15] Shaked, M. and Shanthikumar, J. G.: *Stochastic orders*, Springer Series in Statistics, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [16] Yen, J.-Y. and Yor, M.: Call option prices based on Bessel processes, *Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab.*, to appear.

Kouji Yano  
 Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, JAPAN.  
 E-mail: kyano(at)math.kobe-u.ac.jp  
 Katsutoshi Yoshioka  
 Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, JAPAN.  
 Current affiliation: FUJITSU Kansai Systems Ltd.