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Abstract

Many papers have studied theoretical properties of a kernel type estimator of a distribution function. Especially mean squared errors are precisely studied. The asymptotic distribution of the estimator is also discussed, and it is easy to show asymptotic normality. In this paper, we will discuss higher order approximation of the distribution of the kernel estimator. We will obtain an Edgeworth expansion, which takes an explicit form. Assuming a bandwidth $h_n = o(n^{-c})$ ($\frac{1}{2} \leq c < \frac{1}{2}$), we obtain the explicit form of the expansion with residual term $o(n^{-1})$. We also discuss a bias term precisely.
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1. Introduction

Let $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n$ be independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with distribution and density functions $F(x)$, $f(x)$. The kernel type estimator of the density function $f(x_0)$ is

$$\hat{f}_n(x_0) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K \left( \frac{x_0 - X_i}{h_n} \right)$$

where $h_n$ is a bandwidth parameter, and $h_n \to 0$ ($n \to \infty$). $K$ is a kernel function which satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x)dx = 1.$$ 

The kernel estimator of the distribution function $F(x_0)$ is given by

$$\hat{F}_n(x_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W \left( \frac{x_0 - X_i}{h_n} \right)$$

where

$$W(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} K(u)du.$$
Mean squared errors and asymptotic normality are precisely studied by many papers. Azzalini (1981) proved that \( h_n = O(n^{-1/3}) \) attained a minimum mean squared error.

García-Soidán et al. (1997) have obtained Edgeworth expansions of standardized and studentized estimators \( \hat{F}_n(x_0) \), and proved validity of them. Residual terms of the expansions are \( O(n^{1/2}h_n^3 + h_n^2 + n^{-1/2}h_n) \). They have also discussed an bias estimator which includes an consistent estimator of \( f'(x_0) \). In this paper, we will obtain an explicit form of the expansion with residual terms \( o(n^{-1}) \).

For the kernel \( K \), let us assume the following conditions. Hereafter, for the sake of simplicity, we use \( \int \) which means \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \).

\[(k1) \quad \int K(z)dz = 1,\]
\[(k2) \quad \int zK(z)dz = 0,\]
\[(k3) \quad \int z^\ell K(z)dz < \infty \quad (\ell = 2, 3, 4).\]

The kernel estimator of the distribution \( F \) was introduced by Nadaraya (1964), and showed that its asymptotic mean and variance are same as the empirical distribution. Under some regularity conditions, we can easily show the asymptotic normality of the estimator \( \hat{F}_n(x_0) \).

In section 2, we will discuss the asymptotic normality and the Edgeworth expansion. After obtaining an explicit form of the bias term, we will give the expansion, which enable us to make a confidence interval of \( F(x_0) \) in section 3. In section 4, we will compare the normal approximation and the expansion by simulation.

2. Asymptotic expansion

Since the kernel estimator of the distribution function is a sample mean of the \( i.i.d. \) random variables, we have an asymptotic distribution of the estimator. If the bandwidth \( h_n = o(n^{-1/4}) \) and the conditions \( (k1) \sim (k3) \) are satisfied, it is easy to show that

\[
P\left( \frac{\sqrt{n}[\hat{F}_n(x_0) - F(x_0)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var} \left[ W \left( \frac{x_0 - X_i}{h_n} \right) \right]}} \leq y \right) = \Phi(y) + o(1)
\]

where \( \Phi(y) \) is a distribution function of the standard normal \( N(0, 1) \) and \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) is a fixed value. At first we will discuss the Edgeworth expansion for the standardized
Edgeworth expansion for kernel estimators of a distribution function

Let us define

\[ W_i = W \left( \frac{x_0 - X_i}{h_n} \right) \]

\[ \sigma_n^2 = Var(W_1) \]

\[ \kappa_{3,n} = \frac{E[(W_1 - E(W_1))^3]}{\sigma_n^3} \]

\[ \kappa_{4,n} = \frac{E[(W_1 - E(W_1))^4]}{\sigma_n^4} \]

\[ Q_{1,n}(y) = -\frac{\kappa_{3,n}^2}{6} H_2(y) \]

\[ Q_{2,n}(y) = -\frac{\kappa_{4,n}}{24} H_3(y) - \frac{\kappa_{3,n}^3}{72} H_5(y) \]

where \( \{H_k(y)\} \) are Hermite polynomials

\[ H_2(y) = y^2 - 1, \]

\[ H_3(y) = y^3 - 3y, \]

\[ H_5(y) = y^5 - 10y^3 - 15y. \]

Then using Lemma 3.1 of Garsia-Soidán et al. (1997), we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that \( f' \) exists and is continuous on a neighborhood of \( x_0 \), \( h_n = cn^{-d} \) (\( c > 0, \frac{1}{4} \leq d < \frac{1}{2} \)) and the conditions (k1) \( \sim \) (k3) are satisfied. Then we have

\[ \frac{\sqrt{n} \{ \hat{F}_n(x_0) - E[\hat{F}_n(x_0)] \}}{\sigma_n} \leq y \]

\[ P_n(y) = P_n(y) + o(n^{-1}) \]

where

\[ P_n(y) = \Phi(y) + n^{-1/2} \phi(y)Q_{1,n} + n^{-1} \phi(y)Q_{2,n}(y). \]

**Proof.** Since the estimator \( \hat{F}_n \) is the sample mean of \( \{W_i\} \), we can obtain the formal Edgeworth expansion. Instead of the Cramer condition, we can apply Lemma 3.1 of Garsia-Soidán et al. (1997), and prove the validity of the expansion.

Next we will obtain approximations of the moments of \( W_1 \). Using a transformation \( u = W(z) \), we get

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(z)K(z)dz = \frac{1}{2}, \]

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W^2(z)K(z)dz = \frac{1}{3}, \]

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W^3(z)K(z)dz = \frac{1}{4}. \]
Then, changing the variable, it follows from (k1) ~ (k3) that

\[ E(W_1) = \int W \left( \frac{x_0 - y}{h_n} \right) f(y) dy \]
\[ = h_n \int W(z) f(x_0 - h_n z) dz \]
\[ = \left[ -W(z) F(x_0 - h_n z) \right]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} + \int K(z) F(x_0 - h_n z) dz \]
\[ = \int K(z) F(x_0 - h_n z) dz \]
\[ = \int K(z) \{ F(x_0) - h_n z f(x_0) + O(h_n^2) \} dz \]
\[ = F(x_0) - h_n f(x_0) A_{1,1} + O(h_n^2) \]

Let us define

\[ A_{i,j} = \int W^i(z) z^j K(z) dz. \]  \hspace{1cm} (1) \]

Similarly, for the second moment, we have

\[ E(W_2^2) = \int W^2 \left( \frac{x_0 - y}{h_n} \right) f(y) dy \]
\[ = h_n \int W^2(z) f(x_0 - h_n z) dz \]
\[ = 2 \int W(z) K(z) F(x_0 - h_n z) dz \]
\[ = 2 \int W(z) K(z) \{ F(x_0) - h_n z f(x_0) + O(h_n^2) \} dz \]
\[ = F(x_0) - 2h_n f(x_0) A_{2,1} + O(h_n^2) \]

and for the third and fourth moment, we get

\[ E(W_3^3) = 3 \int W^3(z) K(z) F(x_0 - h_n z) dz \]
\[ = F(x_0) - 3h_n f(x_0) A_{3,1} + O(h_n^2), \]
\[ E(W_4^4) = F(x_0) + O(h_n). \]

Combing the above evaluations, we can get the approximations of the cumulants \( \kappa_{3,n} \) and \( \kappa_{4,n} \). Using the Taylor expansion of \((x + a)^{-3/2}\) and \((x + a)^{-2}\), it is easy to see
that

\[ \sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}(W_1) = E(W_1^2) - \{E(W_1)\}^2 \]

\[ = F(x_0) - 2h_n f(x_0)A_{1,1} - \{F(x_0)\}^2 + O(h_n^2) \]

\[ = F(x_0)\{1 - F(x_0)\} - 2h_n f(x_0)A_{1,1} + O(h_n^2), \]

\[ \sigma_n^{-3/2} = \frac{1}{[F(x_0)\{1 - F(x_0)\}]^{3/2}} + h_n \frac{3f(x_0)A_{1,1}}{[F(x_0)\{1 - F(x_0)\}]^{5/2}}, \]

\[ \sigma_n^{-2} = \frac{1}{[F(x_0)\{1 - F(x_0)\}]^2} + O(h_n). \]

Thus we have the approximations of \( \kappa_{3,n} \) and \( \kappa_{4,n} \). Since

\[ E[(W_1 - E(W_1))^3] = E(W_1^3) - 3E(W_1^2)E(W_1) + 2\{E(W_1)\}^3 \]

\[ = F(x_0)\{1 - F(x_0)\}\{1 - 2F(X_0)\} + 3h_n f(x_0)\{2F(x_0)A_{1,1} - A_{2,1}\} + O(h_n^2), \]

and

\[ E[(W_1 - E(W_1))^4] = F(x_0)\{1 - F(x_0)\}\{1 - 3F(x_0) + 3F^2(x_0)\} + O(h_n), \]

we get

\[ \kappa_{3,n} = \frac{E[(W_1 - E(W_1))^3]}{\sigma_n^3} \]

\[ = \frac{1 - 2F(x_0)}{[F(x_0)\{1 - F(x_0)\}]^{1/2}} + \frac{3f(x_0)(A_{1,1} - A_{2,1})}{[F(x_0)\{1 - F(x_0)\}]^{3/2}} + O(h_n^2) \]

\[ = B_{3,0} + h_n B_{3,1} + O(h_n^2), \]

\[ \kappa_{4,n} = \frac{E[(W_1 - E(W_1))^4]}{\sigma_n^4} = B_{4,0} + O(h_n) \]

where

\[ B_{3,0} = \frac{1 - 2F(x_0)}{[F(x_0)\{1 - F(x_0)\}]^{1/2}}, \quad B_{3,1} = \frac{3f(x_0)(A_{1,1} - A_{2,1})}{[F(x_0)\{1 - F(x_0)\}]^{3/2}} \]

\[ B_{4,0} = \frac{1 - 3F(x_0) + 3F^2(x_0)}{F(x_0)\{1 - F(x_0)\}}. \]

Using these approximations, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume that \( f' \) exists and is continuous on a neighborhood of \( x_0 \), and \( h_n = cn^{-d} \) \((c > 0, \frac{1}{2} \leq d < \frac{3}{2})\). Then we have

\[ P\left( \frac{\sqrt{n}[\hat{F}_n(x_0) - E[\hat{F}_n(x_0)]]}{\sigma_n} \leq y \right) = \tilde{P}_n(y) + o(n^{-1}) \]
where
\[ P_n(y) = \Phi(y) - n^{-1/2} \phi(y) \tilde{Q}_1(y) - n^{-1/2} h_n \phi(y) \tilde{Q}_1'(y) - n^{-1} \phi(y) \tilde{Q}_2(y) \]
and
\[ \tilde{Q}_1(y) = \frac{B_{3,0}}{6} H_2(y), \quad \tilde{Q}_1'(y) = \frac{B_{3,1}}{6} H_2(y), \quad \tilde{Q}_2(y) = \frac{B_{4,0}}{24} H_3(y) - \frac{B^2_{3,0}}{72} H_5(y). \]

3. Asymptotic representation of bias

In order to construct a confidence interval of \( F(x_0) \), we have to obtain an Edgeworth expansion of
\[ P \left( \frac{\sqrt{n} \{ \tilde{F}_n(x_0) - F(x_0) \}}{\sigma_n} \leq y \right). \]
Let us define the bias term
\[ \Delta_n = \frac{n^{1/2} \{ E[\tilde{F}_n(x_0)] - F(x_0) \}}{\sigma_n}. \]
Then we have
\[ P \left( \frac{\sqrt{n} \{ \tilde{F}_n(x_0) - F(x_0) \}}{\sigma_n} \leq y \right)
= P \left( \frac{\sqrt{n} \{ \tilde{F}_n(x_0) - E[\tilde{F}_n(x_0)] \}}{\sigma_n} \leq y - \Delta_n \right) = P_n(y - \Delta_n). \]
Since \( h_n = cn^{-d} (c > 0, \ 1/4 < d < 1/2) \), \( \Delta_n = O(1) \).
If the density function has bounded 5-th derivative \( f^{(5)} \), it follows from (k2) that
\[ E[\tilde{F}_n(x_0)] - F(x_0) = \frac{h^2}{2} f'(x_0) A_{0,2} - \frac{h^3}{6} f''(x_0) A_{0,3} + \frac{h^4}{24} f^{(3)}(x_0) A_{0,4} - \frac{h^5}{120} f^{(4)}(x_0) A_{0,5} + O(h^6_n). \]
Similarly, using Taylor expansion, we have
\[ E(W_1) = F(x_0) + \frac{h^2}{2} f'(x_0) A_{0,2} - \frac{h^3}{6} f''(x_0) A_{0,3} + O(h^4_n), \]
\[ E(W_1^2) = F(x_0) - 2h_n f(x_0) A_{1,1} + h_n^2 f'(x_0) A_{1,2} - \frac{h^3}{3} f''(x_0) + O(h^4_n), \]
and then
\[ \sigma_n^2 = F(x_0) \{ 1 - F(x_0) \} - 2h_n f(x_0) A_{1,1} + h_n^2 f'(x_0) \{ A_{1,2} - F(x_0) A_{0,2} \}
- \frac{h^3}{3} f''(x_0) \{ A_{1,3} - F(x_0) A_{0,3} \} + O(h^4_n). \]
Further, we can get

\[ \sigma_n^{-1} = \frac{1}{[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{1/2}} + h_n^{2} \frac{f(x_0)A_{1,1}}{[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{3/2}} \]

\[ + h_n^{2} \left( -\frac{f'(x_0)\{A_{1,2} - F(x_0)A_{0,2}\}}{2[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{3/2}} + \frac{3f'(x_0)A_{1,1}^2}{2[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{3/2}} \right) \]

\[ + h_n^{3} \left( \frac{f''(x_0)\{A_{1,3} - F(x_0)A_{0,3}\}}{6[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{3/2}} - \frac{3f(x_0)f'(x_0)A_{1,1}\{A_{1,2} - F(x_0)A_{0,2}\}}{2[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{5/2}} \]

\[ + \frac{5f^3(x_0)A_{1,1}^3}{2[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{7/2}} \right) + O(h_n^4). \]

Combining the above evaluations, we have the asymptotic representation for \( h_n = cn^{-d} (c > 0, \frac{1}{4} \leq d < \frac{1}{2}) \)

\[ n^{-1/2} \Delta_n = h_n^{2}b_2 + h_n^{3}b_3 + h_n^{4}b_4 + h_n^{5}b_5 + o(n^{-3/2}) \quad (2) \]

where

\[ b_2 = \frac{f'(x_0)A_{0,2}}{2[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{1/2}}, \]

\[ b_3 = -\frac{f''(x_0)A_{0,3}}{6[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{1/2}} + \frac{f(x_0)f'(x_0)A_{1,1}A_{0,2}}{2[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{3/2}}, \]

\[ b_4 = \frac{f'''(x_0)A_{0,4}}{24[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{1/2}} \]

\[ - \frac{2f(x_0)f''(x_0)A_{1,1}A_{0,3} + 3[f'(x_0)]^2A_{0,2}\{A_{1,2} - F(x_0)A_{0,2}\}}{12[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{5/2}} \]

\[ + \frac{3[f(x_0)]^2f'(x_0)A_{1,1}^2A_{0,2}}{4[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{5/2}} \]

and

\[ b_5 = \]

\[ - \frac{f^{(4)}(x_0)A_{0,5}}{120[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{1/2}} \]

\[ + \frac{f(x_0)f^{(3)}(x_0)A_{1,1}A_{0,4} + 2f'(x_0)f''(x_0)\{A_{0,3}A_{1,2} + A_{0,2}A_{1,3} - 2F(x_0)A_{0,2}A_{0,3}\}}{24[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{3/2}} \]

\[ - \frac{[f(x_0)]^2f''(x_0)A_{1,1}^2A_{0,3} + 3f(x_0)f'(x_0)^2A_{0,2}A_{1,1}\{A_{1,2} - F(x_0)A_{0,2}\}}{4[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{5/2}} \]

\[ + \frac{5[f(x_0)]^3f'(x_0)A_{0,2}A_{1,1}^3}{4[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{7/2}}. \]
If the kernel is symmetric around 0, we have $A_{0,3} = A_{0,5} = 0$ and then

\[
b_2 = \frac{f'(x_0)A_{0,2}}{2[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{1/2}},
\]
\[
b_3 = \frac{f(x_0)f'(x_0)A_{1,1}A_{0,2}}{2[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{3/2}},
\]
\[
b_4 = \frac{f^{(3)}(x_0)A_{0,4}}{24[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{1/2}} - \frac{3[f'(x_0)]^2A_{2,0}A_{1,2} - F(x_0)A_{0,2}}{12[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{3/2}} + \frac{3[f(x_0)]^2f'(x_0)A_{1,1}^2A_{0,2}}{4[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{5/2}}
\]
and

\[
b_5 = \frac{f(x_0)f^{(3)}(x_0)A_{1,1}A_{0,4} + 2f'(x_0)f''(x_0)A_{0,2}A_{1,3}}{24[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{3/2}} - \frac{3f(x_0)[f'(x_0)]^2A_{2,0}A_{1,1}A_{1,2} - F(x_0)A_{0,2}}{4[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{5/2}} + \frac{5[f(x_0)]^3f'(x_0)A_{0,2}A_{1,1}^2}{4[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{7/2}}
\]

Furthermore, if we use a symmetric and 4-th order kernel, that is $A_{0,2} = A_{0,3} = A_{0,5} = 0$, we have a simple form as follows

\[
n^{-1/2}\Delta_n = h_n^4\delta_1 + h_n^5\delta_2 + o(n^{-3/2})
\]

where

\[
\delta_1 = \frac{f^{(3)}(x_0)A_{0,4}}{24[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{1/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2 = \frac{f(x_0)f^{(3)}(x_0)A_{1,1}A_{0,4}}{24[F(x_0)(1-F(x_0))]^{3/2}}.
\]

In this case, it is easy to see that

\[
\Phi(y - \Delta_n) = \Phi(y) - \Delta_n\phi(y) + o(n^{-1})
\]
\[
= \Phi(y) - (n^{1/2}h_n^4\delta_1 + n^{1/2}h_n^5\delta_2)\phi(y) + o(n^{-1}),
\]
\[
n^{-1/2}\phi(y - \Delta_n)Q_1(y - \Delta_n) = n^{-1/2}\phi(y)Q_1(y) + o(n^{-1}),
\]
\[
n^{-1/2}h_n\phi(y - \Delta_n)Q_1^2(y - \Delta_n) = n^{-1/2}h_n\phi(y)Q_1^2(y) + o(n^{-1})
\]

and

\[
n^{-1}\phi(y - \Delta_n)Q_2(y - \Delta_n) = n^{-1}\phi(y)Q_2(y) + o(n^{-1}).
\]

Thus we get a simple form of the Edgeworth expansion

\[
P\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{F}_n(x_0) - F(x_0))}{\sigma_n} \leq y\right) = \bar{P}_{4,n}(y) + o(n^{-1})
\]

where

\[
\bar{P}_{4,n}(y) = \Phi(y) - n^{-1/2}\phi(y)Q_1(y) - n^{1/2}h_n^4\delta_1\phi(y) - n^{-1/2}h_n\phi(y)Q_1^2(y) - h^{1/2}h_n^5\delta_2\phi(y) - n^{-1}Q_2(y).
\]
Müller (1984) discussed higher order kernel, and gave the following 4-th order kernel
\[ K(u) = \frac{315}{512}(11u^8 - 36u^6 + 42u^4 - 20u^2 + 3)I(|u| \leq 1) \]
where \( I(\cdot) \) is an indicator function.

4. Simulation

In this section, we will compare the simple normal approximation and the Edgeworth expansion by simulation. Here we use the Epanechnikov kernel
\[ K(u) = \frac{3}{4}(1 - u^2)I(|u| \leq 1) \]
with bandwidth \( h_n = n^{-\frac{1}{4}} \). In the tables, ”True” means an estimate of
\[ P\left( \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{F}_n(x_0) - F(x_0))}{\sigma_n} \leq y \right) \]
based on 1,000,000 replications of the sample sets \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \). Table 1～3. denote the results of the comparison when \( x_0 = 1.645 \) and \( F(x) \) is the normal distribution.

### Table 1. \( x_0 = 1.645, \ (n = 20) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( y )</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Edgeworth</th>
<th>True</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>0.0062097</td>
<td><strong>0.0005635</strong></td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.0227501</td>
<td><strong>0.0011811</strong></td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.0668072</td>
<td><strong>0.0505320</strong></td>
<td>0.00002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1586553</td>
<td><strong>0.1872352</strong></td>
<td>0.19727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td><strong>0.3085375</strong></td>
<td>0.3753120</td>
<td>0.33068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5000000</td>
<td><strong>0.5421862</strong></td>
<td>0.55341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td><strong>0.6914625</strong></td>
<td>0.6780195</td>
<td>0.71257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8413447</td>
<td><strong>0.8054994</strong></td>
<td>0.82570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.9331928</td>
<td><strong>0.9057585</strong></td>
<td>0.91194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9772499</td>
<td><strong>0.9567984</strong></td>
<td>0.95216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.9937903</td>
<td><strong>0.9755485</strong></td>
<td>0.98049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. \( x_0 = 1.645, \ (n = 50) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( y )</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Edgeworth</th>
<th>True</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>0.0062097</td>
<td><strong>0.0001790</strong></td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.0227501</td>
<td><strong>0.0065772</strong></td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.0668072</td>
<td><strong>0.0484223</strong></td>
<td>0.04581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1586553</td>
<td><strong>0.1569024</strong></td>
<td>0.15497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td><strong>0.3085375</strong></td>
<td>0.3267333</td>
<td>0.31143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5000000</td>
<td><strong>0.5148187</strong></td>
<td>0.52078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td><strong>0.6914625</strong></td>
<td>0.6839743</td>
<td>0.70333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8413447</td>
<td><strong>0.8184529</strong></td>
<td>0.82738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.9331928</td>
<td><strong>0.9095608</strong></td>
<td>0.91453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9772499</td>
<td><strong>0.9588444</strong></td>
<td>0.96210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.9937903</td>
<td><strong>0.9813390</strong></td>
<td>0.98439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. $x_0 = 1.645$, $(n = 100)$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Edgeworth</th>
<th>True</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>0.0062097</td>
<td><strong>0.0008896</strong></td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.0227501</td>
<td><strong>0.0098472</strong></td>
<td>0.00685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.0668072</td>
<td><strong>0.0500268</strong></td>
<td>0.04898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.1586553</td>
<td><strong>0.1492084</strong></td>
<td>0.14800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.3085375</td>
<td><strong>0.3109683</strong></td>
<td>0.31087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5000000</td>
<td><strong>0.5031549</strong></td>
<td>0.50483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td><strong>0.6914625</strong></td>
<td>0.6830423</td>
<td>0.69578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8413447</td>
<td><strong>0.8227624</strong></td>
<td>0.83010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.9331928</td>
<td><strong>0.9134912</strong></td>
<td>0.91947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9772499</td>
<td><strong>0.9622814</strong></td>
<td>0.96439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.9937903</td>
<td><strong>0.9847047</strong></td>
<td>0.98629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The simulation results when $F(x)$ is $\chi^2$ and Laplace are similar.

From the above simulation study, we can see that the Edgeworth expansion improves the normal approximation in most cases.
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