

# Analysis of an algorithm to compute the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves and its applications

Kudo, Momonari  
Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/1650651>

---

出版情報 : MI Preprint Series. 2016-1, 2016-03-22. 九州大学大学院数理学研究院  
バージョン :  
権利関係 :



# **MI Preprint Series**

**Mathematics for Industry  
Kyushu University**

## **Analysis of an algorithm to compute the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves and its applications**

**Momonari Kudo**

**MI 2016-1**

( Received March 22, 2016 )

Institute of Mathematics for Industry  
Graduate School of Mathematics  
Kyushu University  
Fukuoka, JAPAN

# Analysis of an algorithm to compute the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves and its applications

Momonari Kudo\*

March 22, 2016

## Abstract

In algebraic geometry, a number of invariants for classifying algebraic varieties are obtained from the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves. Some typical algorithms to compute the dimensions of the cohomology groups have been proposed by Decker and Eisenbud, and their algorithms have been implemented over computer algebra systems such as Macaulay2 and Magma. On the other hand, M. Maruyama showed an alternative method to compute the dimensions in his textbook. However, Maruyama's method was not described in an algorithmic format, and it has not been implemented yet. In this paper, we give an explicit algorithm of his method to compute the dimensions and bases of the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves. We also analyze the complexity of our algorithm, and implemented it over Magma. By our implementation, we examine the computational practicality of our algorithm. Moreover, we give some possible applications of our algorithm in algebraic geometry over fields of positive characteristics.

**Key words**— Computer algebra Gröbner bases Algebraic geometry Cohomology groups

---

\*Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University. E-mail: [m-kudo@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp](mailto:m-kudo@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp)

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Fundamental properties of the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves . . . . .	5
2.2	Maruyama’s method . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Explicit algorithm of Maruyama’s method</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Interpretation of Maruyama’s method . . . . .	8
3.2	Algorithm based on Maruyama’s method . . . . .	10
3.2.1	Outline of our algorithm . . . . .	10
3.2.2	Procedures in Step B . . . . .	11
3.3	Detailed description on sub-procedures in Step B . . . . .	12
3.3.1	Description on <code>CohomologyOfStructureSheafSum</code> . . . . .	13
3.3.2	Description on <code>RepresentationMatrix</code> . . . . .	14
3.3.3	Description on <code>QuotientSpaceBasisMatrix</code> . . . . .	18
3.4	Correctness of Algorithm 3.2.1 . . . . .	19
3.5	Complexity analysis . . . . .	20
<b>4</b>	<b>Implementation and experiments</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	Experiments on the performance of our implementation . . . . .	23
4.2	Benchmarks . . . . .	27
<b>5</b>	<b>Applications</b>	<b>28</b>
5.1	Background . . . . .	29
5.2	Computing morphisms of cohomology groups . . . . .	30
5.3	Computing the action of Frobenius to the cohomology groups . . . . .	32
<b>6</b>	<b>Concluding remarks and future works</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Computation of global sections</b>	<b>34</b>

# 1 Introduction

For a positive integer  $r$ , let  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$  be the polynomial ring of  $(r + 1)$  variables over a field  $K$ . The polynomial ring  $S$  can be represented as the graded ring  $S = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S_d$ , by taking  $S_d$  for each  $d \geq 0$  to be the set of all linear combinations of monomials of total degree  $d$  in  $X_0, \dots, X_r$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  denote the projective  $r$ -space, and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  the structure sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . Given a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denote by  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F})$  its  $q$ -th cohomology group. It is important to compute the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves since the groups are used to compute a number of invariants such as Hilbert functions, Euler characteristics, and arithmetic genera of algebraic varieties. Thus the computation of the cohomology groups is one of the most crucial topics in algebraic geometry.

J.-P. Serre [11] theoretically showed a possibility to compute the dimension  $\dim_K H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F})$  of the cohomology group  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F})$  as a  $K$ -vector space. After that, some algorithms to compute  $\dim_K H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F})$  have been proposed by Smith [12] and Decker-Eisenbud [4]. Their algorithms are based on computational techniques of commutative algebra such as Gröbner bases for free modules, free resolutions, exterior algebra and Tate resolutions. In particular, algorithms proposed by Decker-Eisenbud have been implemented over computer algebra systems such as Macaulay2 [6] and Magma [1]. On the other hand, M. Maruyama showed an alternative method<sup>1</sup> to compute  $\dim_K H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F})$  in his textbook [9] (unfortunately, it is written in Japanese). Different from Decker-Eisenbud's algorithms, Maruyama's method enables to directly compute  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F})$  by computing projective resolutions and the Čech cohomology via Gröbner bases for free modules and linear algebra. We can also compute an explicit basis of  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F})$  by Maruyama's method. As we will see in Section 5.2, this basis is useful to compute important objects in algebraic geometry. For example, for given finitely generated graded  $S$ -modules  $M, N$  and a graded homomorphism  $\psi : M \rightarrow N$  of degree zero, the induced  $K$ -linear map  $H^q(\tilde{\psi}) : H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \tilde{N})$  can be computed via the basis for  $q \geq 1$ , where  $\tilde{M}$  (resp.  $\tilde{N}$ ) is the coherent sheaf associated with  $M$  (resp.  $N$ ) on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ .

In this paper, we focus on Maruyama's method to compute the cohomology group  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F})$  for  $q \geq 1$  (in Appendix, we also introduce a method by Maruyama of the computation in the case of  $q = 0$ ). His method is not described in an algorithmic format, and it has not been implemented yet over computer algebra systems. Then our main contributions are as follows:

- (1) We write down Maruyama's method as an explicit algorithm (Algorithm 3.2.1 in Subsection 3.2) to compute the dimension and a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F})$  for  $q \geq 1$ . We also implemented our algorithm over Magma as a new function "CohomologyBasis". (cf. Magma has the function "CohomologyDimension"<sup>2</sup>, which outputs only the dimension but not any basis of  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F})$ ). In particular, our algorithm enables to compute a representation matrix of a morphism of the cohomology groups.
- (2) We analyze the complexity of our algorithm. We also examine the efficiency of our algorithm by experiments.
- (3) We present some applications of our algorithm, which are useful to study algebraic geometry over fields of positive characteristics. In particular, as a typical application of our algorithm,

---

<sup>1</sup>In [13, Chapter 8], only an example is computed by using a similar method to the method while Maruyama gave the method in a general style.

<sup>2</sup>The source code of our implementation and the computation results are available at <http://www2.math.kyushu-u.ac.jp/~m-kudo/> (our source code is in the file `CohomologyBasis.txt`).

we show a method to compute the action of Frobenius to varieties defined over fields of positive characteristics (we briefly describe the application below).

One of the most valuable applications of our algorithm is to compute the action of Frobenius to varieties defined over a field  $K$  of positive characteristic  $p$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$  be homogeneous polynomials. We set  $X$  as the locus  $V(f_1, \dots, f_s) \subset \mathbb{P}_K^r$  of the zeros of the polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_s$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_X$  be the structure sheaf on  $X$ . Then the computation of the action of Frobenius  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  can be reduced to the computation of the morphism  $H^q(X^{(p)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{(p)}}) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  induced by the canonical inclusion  $X \hookrightarrow X^{(p)}$ , where  $X^{(p)}$  denotes  $V(f_1^p, \dots, f_s^p)$ . As we will describe in Section 5, our algorithm gives a useful tool to compute a representation matrix of the morphism  $H^q(X^{(p)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{(p)}}) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  of  $K$ -vector spaces, by which the structure of the action of Frobenius  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  can be obtained explicitly.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce Maruyama's method to compute the dimensions and bases of the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on the projective  $r$ -space  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . In Section 3, we give an explicit algorithm of Maruyama's method, and analyze its complexity. In Section 4, we show experimental results obtained from our implementation of our algorithm over Magma. We examine the computational behavior of our algorithm, by which it is concluded that our algorithm and implementation are practical. In Section 5, we discuss possible applications of our function `CohomologyBasis`. In Section 6, we conclude our work, and give our future works.

## Notation

- $\bigoplus_{j=1}^t M_j$  : the direct sum of  $R$ -modules  $M_1, \dots, M_t$ ,
- $M(m)$  : the  $m$ -th twisted graded  $R$ -module  $\bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{m+t}$  of a graded  $R$ -module  $M = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} M_t$ , where each  $M_t$  is the homogeneous part with degree  $t$  of  $M$ ,
- $\mathcal{O}_Y$  : the structure sheaf on a scheme  $Y$ ,
- $\widetilde{M}$  : the sheaf associated with an  $S$ -module  $M$ ,
- $\mathcal{F}(m)$  : the  $m$ -th Serre twist of a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules,
- $H^q(Y, \mathcal{F})$  : the  $q$ -th cohomology group of a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a scheme  $Y$ ,
- $R_{(f)}$  : the localization of a ring  $R$  by an element  $f \in R$ ,
- $R_d$  : the homogeneous part with degree  $d$  of a graded ring  $R$ ,
- $\binom{m}{n}$  : the binomial coefficient of two non-negative integers  $m$  and  $n$  with  $m \geq n$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce Maruyama's method given in [9, Chapter 6] to compute the dimensions of the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on a projective space.

## 2.1 Fundamental properties of the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves

In this subsection, we review some general facts on the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on projective schemes (see [7, Chapter 3] for details). These properties are necessary to describe Maruyama's method in the next subsection.

**Theorem 2.1.1** ([7], **Theorem 5.1**) *Let  $K$  be a field. Let  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  be the projective  $r$ -space  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$  with  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  the structure sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . Let  $S = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S_d$  denote the graded ring, where  $S_d$  is the set of all linear combinations of monomials of total degree  $d$  in  $X_0, \dots, X_r$  for  $d \geq 0$ . Let  $S(m)$  denote the  $m$ -th twisted graded ring  $\bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{m+t}$  of  $S$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have the following results:*

- (1) *For all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exist isomorphisms of  $K$ -vector spaces as follows:*

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}(m)) \cong \begin{cases} S_m & \text{for } m \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } m < 0. \end{cases}$$

*In other words, for every  $m \geq 0$ , the set*

$$\{X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r} ; l_i \geq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r, \text{ and } l_0 + \cdots + l_r = m\}$$

*of monomials of total degree  $m$  is a basis of  $H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}(m))$ .*

- (2)  *$H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}(m)) = 0$  for  $0 < q < r$  and arbitrary  $m$ .*

- (3) *Let  $(S(m)_{(X_0 \cdots X_r)})_0$  denote the homogeneous part with degree 0 of the localization  $S(m)_{(X_0 \cdots X_r)}$  by  $X_0 \cdots X_r$ . Note that  $(S(m)_{(X_0 \cdots X_r)})_0$  is the  $K$ -vector space spanned by the set*

$$\{aX_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r} ; a \in K, l_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r, \text{ and } l_0 + \cdots + l_r = m\}.$$

*Let  $W$  be the  $K$ -vector subspace of  $(S(m)_{(X_0 \cdots X_r)})_0$  spanned by*

$$\{X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r} ; l_i \geq 0 \text{ for some } i, \text{ and } l_0 + \cdots + l_r = m\}.$$

*Then we have the following isomorphism of  $K$ -vector spaces:*

$$H^r(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}(m)) \cong (S(m)_{(X_0 \cdots X_r)})_0 / W. \quad (2.1.1)$$

*Hence for every  $m < 0$ , the set*

$$\{X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r} ; l_i < 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r, \text{ and } l_0 + \cdots + l_r = m\}$$

*gives rise to a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}(m))$  via the above isomorphism (2.1.1).*

**Corollary 2.1.2** ([7], **Theorem 5.1**) *For all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_K H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}(m)) &= \begin{cases} \binom{m+r}{r} & \text{for } m \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } m < 0. \end{cases} \\ \dim_K H^r(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}(m)) &= \begin{cases} \binom{-m-1}{r} & \text{for } m \leq -r-1, \\ 0 & \text{for } m > -r-1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*We also have  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}(m)) = 0$  for  $q \neq 0, r$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Theorem 2.1.3** ([9], **Theorem 4.78**) *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}_K^r$  be a projective scheme over a field  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . Then for all  $q > r$ , we have  $H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ .*

**Theorem 2.1.4** ([7], **Chapter 3, Theorem 5.2**) *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}_K^r$  be a projective scheme over a field  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $X$ .*

- (1) *For each  $q \geq 0$ , the  $q$ -th cohomology group  $H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a finite-dimensional  $K$ -vector space.*
- (2) *There exists an integer  $m_0$ , depending on  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $H^q(X, \mathcal{F}(m)) = 0$  for each  $q > 0$  and  $m \geq m_0$ .*

## 2.2 Maruyama's method

In this subsection, we introduce a result (Theorem 2.2.1) by Maruyama. The result gives us a method to compute the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on a projective space.

Let  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  be the projective  $r$ -space with  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  the structure sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . To simplify the notations, we denote  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ . By the definition of coherent sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ , there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+2}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j^{(r+2)}) \xrightarrow{f_{r+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{f_0} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.2.1)$$

for some  $t_i$  and  $m_j^{(i)}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq r+2$  and  $1 \leq j \leq t_i$ . For an index  $i$  with  $t_i = 0$ , we identify  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j^{(i)}) = 0$ . Put

$$\mathcal{G}_{i+1} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{i+1}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j^{(i+1)}), \quad (2.2.2)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_i := \text{Ker}(f_i) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r+1, \quad \mathcal{K}_{-1} := \mathcal{F}.$$

In general, for a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a topological space, the cohomology groups are defined by a (canonical) injective resolution of  $\mathcal{F}$  and they are computed by the injective resolution (see [7, Chapter 3] for details). However, in Maruyama's method, we have a *projective* resolution (2.2.1) for a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^r$ . This implies that the cohomology groups are computed by the projective resolution without computing an injective resolution. In this case, it requires the following result to compute the cohomology groups by using the projective resolution.

**Theorem 2.2.1** ([9], **Chapter 6**) *Let  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  be the projective  $r$ -space with  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  the structure sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . To simplify the notations, we denote  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ . Recall that the coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  has a projective resolution of the form (2.2.1). Put  $\mathcal{G}_i$  and  $\mathcal{K}_i$  as in (2.2.2). Then there exist the following isomorphisms of  $K$ -vector spaces:*

- (1)  $H^q(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q})) / \text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1}))$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r-1$ ,
- (2)  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Coker}(H^r(f_1))$ ,

where  $H^r(f_i)$  denotes the morphism  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_{i+1}) \rightarrow H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_i)$  induced by  $f_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ .



*Proof.* As only a sketch of a proof is given in [9], we give a complete proof here. To simplify the notations, we denote  $H^q(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{H})$  by  $H^q(\mathcal{H})$  for a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^r$  in this proof.

First we show the second statement  $H^r(\mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Coker}(H^r(f_1))$ . The sequence of coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ -modules  $\mathcal{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$  is exact, and the functor  $H^r(\cdot)$  is right exact. Hence the sequence

$$H^r(\mathcal{G}_2) \xrightarrow{H^r(f_1)} H^r(\mathcal{G}_1) \xrightarrow{H^r(f_0)} H^r(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, and thus  $H^r(\mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Coker}(H^r(f_1))$ .

Next we show the first statement

$$H^q(\mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q})) / \text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1})) \text{ for } 1 \leq q \leq r-1.$$

For every  $1 \leq i \leq r+2$ , we have the following short exact sequence of coherent sheaves:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{i-2} \rightarrow 0. \quad (E_i)$$

Thus there exists a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{K}_{i-1}) & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{G}_i) & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{K}_{i-2}) \\ & & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{K}_{i-1}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{G}_i) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{K}_{i-2}) \\ & & \rightarrow & \dots & & & & \\ & & \rightarrow & H^{r-1}(\mathcal{K}_{i-1}) & \rightarrow & H^{r-1}(\mathcal{G}_i) & \rightarrow & H^{r-1}(\mathcal{K}_{i-2}) \\ & & \rightarrow & H^r(\mathcal{K}_{i-1}) & \rightarrow & H^r(\mathcal{G}_i) & \rightarrow & H^r(\mathcal{K}_{i-2}) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad (L_i)$$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq r+2$ .

Then we claim  $H^q(\mathcal{G}_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r-1$ . In fact, by its definition in (2.2.2), it follows that

$$H^q(\mathcal{G}_i) = H^q\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j^{(i)})\right) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} H^q\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j^{(i)})\right)$$

since  $\mathbb{P}^r$  is a noetherian topological space, and the cohomology commutes with arbitrary direct sums on a noetherian topological space in general. By Theorem 2.1.1 (2), we have  $H^q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j^{(i)})) = 0$  for all indexes  $i, j$  and  $1 \leq q \leq r-1$ . Hence we have  $H^q(\mathcal{G}_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r-1$ .

From the long exact sequences  $(L_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r+2$ , it follows that

$$H^q(\mathcal{F}) \cong H^{q+1}(\mathcal{K}_0) \cong \dots \cong H^{r-1}(\mathcal{K}_{r-q-2}).$$

Recall that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^q(\mathcal{F}) \cong H^{r-1}(\mathcal{K}_{r-q-2}) \rightarrow H^r(\mathcal{K}_{r-q-1}) \rightarrow H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q})$$

is exact. We have  $H^q(\mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Ker}(\sigma_q)$ , where  $\sigma_q$  denotes the  $K$ -linear map  $H^r(\mathcal{K}_{r-q-1}) \rightarrow H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q})$  in the above exact sequence. Note that the following diagram of morphisms of coherent sheaves commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{G}_{r-q+2} & \xrightarrow{f_{r-q+1}} & \mathcal{G}_{r-q+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{r-q-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \searrow & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \mathcal{G}_{r-q} & & \end{array}$$

where the horizontal sequence is exact. Since the functor  $H^r(\cdot)$  is right exact, the horizontal sequence of the following commutative diagram is also exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q+2}) & \xrightarrow{H^r(f_{r-q+1})} & H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q+1}) & \longrightarrow & H^r(\mathcal{K}_{r-q-1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & & \searrow & \downarrow \sigma_q & & \\
& & & H^r(f_{r-q}) & H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q}) & & 
\end{array}$$

Thus we have  $H^q(\mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Ker}(\sigma_q) \cong \text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1}))$  as  $K$ -vector spaces.  $\square$

By Theorem 2.2.1, we have the following explicit formulae.

**Corollary 2.2.2** *The notations are same as in Theorem 2.2.1. Then we have*

$$\dim_K H^q(\mathcal{F}) = \dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q+1}) - \text{rank} H^r(f_{r-q}) - \text{rank} H^r(f_{r-q+1})$$

for  $1 \leq q \leq r-1$ , and

$$\dim_K H^r(\mathcal{F}) = \dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_1) - \text{rank} H^r(f_1).$$

**Remark 2.2.3** In a similar way to the proof of Theorem 2.2.1, it is possible to give an explicit formula for computing the dimension of the global section  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F}) = H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F})$ . We shall introduce the formula in Appendix A.

### 3 Explicit algorithm of Maruyama's method

In this section, we give an algorithm (Algorithm 3.2.1) of Maruyama's method to compute a basis of the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on the projective  $r$ -space  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$  over a field  $K$ .

#### 3.1 Interpretation of Maruyama's method

In this subsection, we give an interpretation of Maruyama's key results (Theorem 2.2.1 and Corollary 2.2.2) to compute the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves. As in the previous section, let  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  be the projective  $r$ -space over a field  $K$  with  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  the structure sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ , let  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{H})$  denote the  $q$ -th cohomology group of  $\mathcal{H}$ . To simplify the notations, we denote  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  and  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{H})$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$  and  $H^q(\mathcal{H})$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ . Let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$ .

For an integer  $n$ , we now describe Maruyama's method to compute the cohomology groups  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r$  for the  $n$ -th twisted coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}(n)$ . We note that  $\mathcal{F}(n) = \widetilde{M(n)}$ , where  $M(n)$  is the  $n$ -th twisted graded  $S$ -module of  $M$ . As  $\mathcal{F}$  has the projective resolution (2.2.1), the  $S$ -module  $M$  has the (minimal) graded resolution of length at most  $r+1$

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+2}} S(-d_j^{(r+2)}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} S(-d_j^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.1.1)$$

for some integers  $t_i$  and  $d_j^{(i)}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r+2$  and  $1 \leq j \leq t_i$ ), where  $S(m)$  denotes the  $m$ -th twisted graded ring  $\bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{m+t}$  of  $S$  for an integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If the resolution (3.1.1) has length  $\ell$ , we set  $t_i = 0$  for  $\ell + 2 \leq i \leq r+2$  (i.e., we may assume that the resolution always has length  $r+1$ ). Note that each morphism  $\varphi_i$  is a graded homomorphism of degree zero between two free  $S$ -modules  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{i+1}} S(-d_j^{(i+1)})$  and  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} S(-d_j^{(i)})$ . Thus, for each  $0 \leq i \leq r+1$ , we can represent each morphism  $\varphi_i$  as a  $t_{i+1} \times t_i$ -matrix

$$A_i := \begin{bmatrix} g_{1,1}^{(i)} & \cdots & g_{1,t_i}^{(i)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_{t_{i+1},1}^{(i)} & \cdots & g_{t_{i+1},t_i}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.1.2)$$

where the  $(k, \ell)$ -entry  $g_{k,\ell}^{(i)} \in S$  is homogeneous of degree  $(d_\ell^{(i)} - d_k^{(i+1)})$ . For the twisted sheaf  $\mathcal{F}(n)$ , by the resolution (3.1.1), we have an exact sequence of coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+2}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j^{(r+2)}) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi_{r+1}(n)}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi_1(n)}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi_0(n)}} \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.1.3)$$

where each twisted morphism  $\widetilde{\varphi_i(n)}$  is a morphism induced by  $\varphi_i(n)$ . Here  $\varphi_i(n)$  denotes the morphism

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{i+1}} S(n - d_j^{(i+1)}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} S(n - d_j^{(i)}) ; \mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathbf{u} \cdot A_i. \quad (3.1.4)$$

Note that each  $\varphi_i(n)$  is also represented by  $A_i$  as well as  $\varphi_i$  (but the graded  $S$ -modules of the domains and codomains of  $\varphi_i$  and  $\varphi_i(n)$  are different if  $n \neq 0$ ). The exact sequence (3.1.3) gives a projective resolution for the twisted sheaf  $\mathcal{F}(n)$ . With the projective resolution, we can compute cohomology groups  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r$  by Theorem 2.2.1.

Specifically, we compute the dimensions of the cohomology groups as follows: As in the previous section, put

$$\mathcal{G}_{i+1} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{i+1}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j^{(i+1)}), \quad f_i := \widetilde{\varphi_i(n)}, \quad (3.1.5)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_i := \text{Ker}(f_i) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq r+1, \quad \mathcal{K}_{-1} := \mathcal{F}.$$

Then we can apply Corollary 2.2.2 to compute  $\dim_K H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$ . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_i) &= \dim_K \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} H^r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j^{(i)})) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{t_i} \dim_K H^r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j^{(i)})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.6)$$

Moreover, for  $d = d_j^{(i)}$ , we have

$$\dim_K H^r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d)) = \begin{cases} \binom{-1-n+d}{r} & \text{for } n - d \leq -r - 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } n - d > -r - 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.1.7)$$

by Corollary 2.1.2. Note that the morphism  $H^r(f_i)$  can be represented by the matrix  $A_i$ . Since  $K$ -bases of  $H^r\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}(n - d_j^{(i)})\right)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq t_i$  can be obtained by Theorem 2.1.1 (3), it is possible to compute  $\text{rank} H^r(f_{r-q})$  and  $\text{rank} H^r(f_{r-q+1})$ , and thus  $\dim_K H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$  can be computed. As a summary, once

$$t_i, d_j^{(i)} \text{ and } A_i = \left( g_{k,\ell}^{(i)} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq t_{i+1}, \\ 1 \leq \ell \leq t_i}}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r+2 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq t_i \quad (3.1.8)$$

are determined, we can easily compute  $\dim_K H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r$ .

## 3.2 Algorithm based on Maruyama's method

In this subsection, we present an algorithm to compute a basis of cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on the projective  $r$ -space  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$  over a field  $K$ . Before we give our algorithm, we first give an outline of our algorithm in order to make procedures of our algorithm clear. Our algorithm consists of some sub-procedures, which shall be explained precisely in Subsection 3.3.

### 3.2.1 Outline of our algorithm

Let  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  be the projective  $r$ -space over a field  $K$  with the polynomial ring  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  the structure sheaf of  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ , let  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{H})$  denote the  $q$ -th cohomology group of  $\mathcal{H}$ . To simplify the notations, as in Subsection 3.1, we denote  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  and  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{H})$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$  and  $H^q(\mathcal{H})$ , respectively.

Given a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^r$  and an integer  $n$ , we give an algorithm based on Maruyama's method to compute a basis of the cohomology group  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r$ . As in Subsection 3.1, let  $M$  denote a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Our algorithm has the following two main procedures Steps A and B, and Step B consists of four sub-procedures (B-1)–(B-4):

Step A. Given a set of explicit  $S$ -generators of  $M$ , we first compute a (minimal projective) resolution of the form (3.1.1) for  $M$ . Specifically, we compute all elements of (3.1.8), which are determined from the resolution (3.1.1).

Step B. Given the elements of (3.1.8), we next compute a basis of  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$  for each  $1 \leq q \leq r$ . This step can be divided in the following four steps:

(B-1) Given the elements of (3.1.8), we compute a basis of  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$  for  $r - q \leq i \leq r - q + 2$  by Theorem 2.1.1 (3), where  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$  denotes the cohomology group of  $\mathcal{G}_i$  given in (3.1.5).

(B-2) From bases of  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$  for  $r - q \leq i \leq r - q + 2$  and  $A_i$  for  $r - q \leq i \leq r - q + 1$ , we compute the representation matrices of the maps  $H^r(f_{r-q})$  and  $H^r(f_{r-q+1})$ , where

$$H^r(f_i) : H^r(\mathcal{G}_{i+1}) \longrightarrow H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$$

is a  $K$ -linear map given by  $v \mapsto vA_i$  for  $i = r - q$  and  $r - q + 1$ .

(B-3) We next compute bases of the  $K$ -vector spaces  $\text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q}))$  and  $\text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1}))$ .

(B-4) Finally, we compute a basis of

$$\text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q})) / \text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1})) \cong H^q(\mathcal{F}(n)).$$

In Step A, we utilize theory of Gröbner bases. For Step B, we utilize partition and sorting techniques in (B-1), and linear algebra techniques in (B-2)–(B-4). In particular, in (B-4), we utilize a linear algebra technique to extend a given basis of a vector space to a basis of a higher dimensional space, and to compute a basis of a quotient vector space  $\text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1}))$  over  $K$ .

**Remark 3.2.1** In Step A, we compute a (minimal) free resolution for the input module. Several computational methods for free resolutions are proposed and implemented in computer algebra systems. Such computations for free resolutions can be done in exponential time in general. However, objects such as the cohomology groups should be determined by mathematical invariants of input structures. From this, in our complexity analysis of Subsection 3.5, we set such mathematical invariants obtained from the form of free resolutions as inputs.

### 3.2.2 Procedures in Step B

Computation of Step A is well-known in theory of Gröbner bases (see [3, Chapter 6] for details), and we skip Step A. Here we give an algorithm of Step B. We also describe an outline of sub-procedures in Step B (details of the sub-procedures shall be described in Subsection 3.3).

Recall that we obtain a minimal free resolution of length  $\ell = r + 1$  for the graded  $S$ -module  $M$  in Step A. Then we assume that we have the following:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{\ell+1}} S(-d_j^{(\ell)}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_\ell} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} S(-d_j^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.2.1)$$

Put

$$M_i := \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} S(-d_j^{(i)}) & (0 \leq i \leq \ell + 1), \\ M & (i = 0), \end{cases} \quad t := [t_1, \dots, t_{\ell+1}], \quad (3.2.2)$$

$$d^{(i)} := [d_1^{(i)}, \dots, d_{t_i}^{(i)}] \quad (1 \leq i \leq \ell + 1), \quad d := [d^{(1)}, \dots, d^{(\ell+1)}].$$

Recall that  $\varphi_i$  is represented by the matrix  $A_i$  given in (3.1.2) for each  $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ . In Step A, all entries  $g_{k,\ell}^{(i)}$  of  $A_i$  are also determined explicitly.

In Algorithm 3.2.1, we show our algorithm to compute a basis of the cohomology group  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r$ . In our algorithm, we take  $M$ ,  $F(M) := ((M_i, \varphi_i, t_i, d^{(i)}, A_i))_{0 \leq i \leq \ell+1}$ ,  $q$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  as inputs. In Subsection 3.3, we shall prove the correctness of Algorithm 3.2.1 to output a basis of  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$ .

Algorithm 3.2.1 includes several sub-procedures as subroutines. In the following, we describe each of the sub-procedures (detailed description of the sub-procedures shall be given in Subsection 3.3):

- (1) **CohomologyOfStructureSheafSum**: Given  $n$ ,  $t$  and  $d = [d_1, \dots, d_t]$ , this sub-procedure computes a basis of  $H^r(\mathcal{G})$ , where  $\mathcal{G} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j)$ . In Step (B-1) of Algorithm 3.2.1, we apply this sub-procedure to compute a basis of  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$  for  $r - q \leq i \leq r - q + 2$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_i$  is defined by (3.1.5).
- (2) **RepresentationMatrix**: Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism defined by

$$\varphi : \bigoplus_{j=1}^t S(m_j) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t'} S(m'_j) ; \mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathbf{u} \cdot A, \quad (3.2.3)$$

where  $A$  is a  $(t \times t')$  matrix such that the  $(k, \ell)$ -entry  $g_{k, \ell}$  of the matrix  $A$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $(m'_\ell - m_k)$  in  $S$  for each  $k$  and  $\ell$ . Let

$$\mathcal{G} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j) \text{ and } \mathcal{G}' := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t'} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m'_j) \quad (3.2.4)$$

denote the coherent sheaves associated with  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^t S(m_j)$  and  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t'} S(m'_j)$ , respectively. Given  $\varphi$  and bases of  $H^r(\mathcal{G})$  and  $H^r(\mathcal{G}')$ , this sub-procedure computes the representation matrix of the induced morphism

$$H^r(\tilde{\varphi}) : H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{G}') ; w \mapsto w \cdot A. \quad (3.2.5)$$

In our implementation for this sub-procedure, we minimize the representation matrix for efficiency. We use this sub-procedure in Step (B-2) of Algorithm 3.2.1. This sub-procedure calls the following two functions:

- **Action:** For each element  $v$  of a basis of  $H^r(\mathcal{G})$ , this function computes the element  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(v) \in H^r(\mathcal{G}')$ .
  - **ColumnOfRepresentationMatrix:** This function computes a column of a representation matrix of  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})$ .
- (3) **QuotientSpaceBasisMatrix:** Given a  $K$ -vector space  $V$  with a (row) basis matrix  $A$  and its subspace  $W \subseteq V$  with a (row) basis matrix  $B$ , this sub-procedure computes a basis matrix  $C$  of the quotient vector space  $V/W$ . We use this sub-procedure in Step (B-4) of Algorithm 3.2.1.

In Table 1, we give an outline of our algorithm (Algorithm 3.2.1) to compute a basis  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r$ .

### 3.3 Detailed description on sub-procedures in Step B

In this subsection, we give a precise description on the sub-procedures given in Subsection 3.2.2. Recall that the following sub-procedures are used as subroutines in Algorithm 3.2.1:

- (1) **CohomologyOfStructureSheafSum**,
- (2) **RepresentationMatrix**,
  - **Action**,
  - **ColumnOfRepresentationMatrix**, and
- (3) **QuotientSpaceBasisMatrix**.

Let  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  be the projective  $r$ -space with  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  the structure sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . Let  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{H})$  denote the  $q$ -th cohomology group of a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . To simplify the notations, we denote  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ , respectively, and denote  $H^q(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{H})$  by  $H^q(\mathcal{H})$  for a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .

Table 1: Outline of our algorithm (Algorithm 3.2.1) of Maruyama's method to compute  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r$

Procedures		Techniques
Step A	Compute a (minimal) free resolution of $M$	Gröbner basis
Step B	(B-1) Compute a basis of $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$ for each $r - q \leq i \leq r - q + 2$ ( <code>CohomologyOfStructureSheafSum</code> ).	Partition, Sorting
	(B-2) Compute representation matrices of $H^r(f_{r-q})$ and $H^r(f_{r-q+1})$ ( <code>RepresentationMatrix</code> , <code>ColumnOfRepresentationMatrix</code> and <code>Action</code> ).	
	(B-3) Compute bases of $\text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q}))$ and $\text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1}))$ (Solve a linear system and compute an echelon form of a matrix)	Linear algebra
	(B-4) Compute a basis of $\text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q})/\text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1})))$ ( <code>QuotientSpaceBasisMatrix</code> )	

### 3.3.1 Description on `CohomologyOfStructureSheafSum`

Recall that given  $n$ ,  $t$  and  $d = [d_1, \dots, d_t]$ , this sub-procedure computes a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathcal{G})$ , where  $\mathcal{G} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j)$ . In Algorithm 3.3.1, we show an algorithm for this sub-procedure.

**Proposition 3.3.1** *Given  $n$ ,  $t$  and  $d = [d_1, \dots, d_t]$ , Algorithm 3.3.1 outputs a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathcal{G})$ , where  $\mathcal{G} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j)$ . In particular, if  $H^r(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ , Algorithm 3.3.1 outputs  $\emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to consider the case of  $t \geq 1$ . We have  $H^r(\mathcal{G}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t H^r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j))$ . For an element  $v \in H^r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j))$ , we denote by  $\iota_j(v)$  an element  $(0, \dots, 0, v, 0, \dots, 0)$  in  $H^r(\mathcal{G})$ , where we let  $\iota_j$  denote the embedding

$$\iota_j : H^r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j)) \hookrightarrow H^r(\mathcal{G}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t H^r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j)) \quad (3.3.1)$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . Put  $R_j := \text{Im}(\iota_j) = \{\iota_j(v) ; v \in H^r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j))\}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . For each  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , the set

$$\{X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r} ; l_i < 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r, \text{ and } l_0 + \cdots + l_r = n - d_j\} \quad (3.3.2)$$

is a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j))$  if  $n - d_j \leq -r - 1$  by Theorem 2.1.1 (3). Thus

$$\left\{ \iota_j \left( X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r} \right) ; l_i < 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r, \text{ and } l_0 + \cdots + l_r = n - d_j \right\} \quad (3.3.3)$$

---

**Algorithm 3.2.1** CohomologyBasis( $M, F(M), q, n$ )

---

**Input:** A finitely generated graded  $S$ -module  $M$ , the minimal free resolution  $F(M) := ((M_i, \varphi_i, t_i, d^{(i)}, A_i))_{0 \leq i \leq r+2}$  of  $M$ , an integer  $q \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

**Output:** A basis of  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$ , where  $\mathcal{F} := \widetilde{M}$

```
1: /*Step A has been finished*/
2:  $q' \leftarrow r - q + 1$ 
3: /*Step (B-1)*/
4: for  $i = q' - 1$  to  $q' + 1$  do
5:    $\mathcal{V}_i \leftarrow \text{CohomologyOfStructureSheafSum}(t_i, d^{(i)}, n)$  /*Basis of  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$ */
6:    $k_i \leftarrow \#(\mathcal{V}_i)$  /* $\dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$ */
7: end for
8: if  $\mathcal{V}_{q'} = \emptyset$  then
9:   return  $\emptyset$ 
10: else
11:   /*Case of  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_{q'}) \neq 0$ */
12:    $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k_{q'}}\} \leftarrow \mathcal{V}_{q'}$ 
13:   /*Step (B-2)*/
14:   for  $i = q' - 1$  to  $q'$  do
15:      $R_i \leftarrow \text{RepresentationMatrix}(\mathcal{V}_{i+1}, \mathcal{V}_i, A_i)$  /*Representation matrix of  $H^r(\widetilde{\varphi}_i)$ */
16:   end for
17:   /*Step (B-3)*/
18:   /*Solve the linear system  $\mathbf{v} \cdot {}^t R_{q'-1} = \mathbf{0}$  over  $K$ */
19:    $B_{\text{Ker}} \leftarrow (\text{basis matrix of } \{\mathbf{v} \in K^{k_{q'}} ; \mathbf{v} \cdot {}^t R_{q'-1} = \mathbf{0}\} \subseteq K^{k_{q'}})$ 
20:   /*Compute the reduced row echelon form of the matrix  $R_{q'}$ */
21:    $B_{\text{Im}} \leftarrow (\text{basis matrix of } \{\mathbf{u} \cdot {}^t R_{q'} ; \mathbf{u} \in K^{k_{q'+1}}\} \subseteq K^{k_{q'}})$ 
22:   /*Step (B-4)*/
23:    $B_{\text{coh}} \leftarrow \text{QuotientSpaceBasisMatrix}(B_{\text{Ker}}, B_{\text{Im}})$ 
24:   return (the set of the row vectors of the matrix  $B_{\text{coh}} \cdot {}^t[v_1, \dots, v_{k_{q'}}]$ )
25: end if
```

---

is a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $R_j$  if  $n - d_j \leq -r - 1$ . We also note that  $H^r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j)) = 0$  if  $n - d_j > -r - 1$ . Thus the output basis  $\mathcal{V}$  of Algorithm 3.3.1 is a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathcal{G})$  if there exists an index  $j$  such that  $n - d_j \leq r - 1$ . In the case of  $n - d_j > r - 1$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , we have  $H^r(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ . In this case, Algorithm 3.3.1 outputs  $\emptyset$ .  $\square$

### 3.3.2 Description on RepresentationMatrix

Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism defined by (3.2.3). Let  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}'$  be coherent sheaves as in (3.2.4). Given  $\varphi$  and bases of  $H^r(\mathcal{G})$  and  $H^r(\mathcal{G}')$ , this sub-procedure computes the representation matrix of  $H^r(\widetilde{\varphi})$ , defined in (3.2.5). In Algorithm 3.3.2, we give an algorithm for this sub-procedure.

Algorithm 3.3.2 calls **Action** and **ColumnOfRepresentationMatrix** as subroutines. In the following, let us first describe each function:



---

**Algorithm 3.3.1** CohomologyOfStructureSheafSum( $t, d, n$ )

---

**Input:** An integer  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , a sequence  $d = [d_1, \dots, d_t]$  of integers, and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

**Output:** A basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{G})$ , or  $\emptyset$ , where  $\mathcal{G} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(n - d_j)$

```
1:  $\mathcal{V} \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2: if  $t \geq 1$  then
3:   for  $j = 1$  to  $t$  do
4:     if  $n - d_j \leq -r - 1$  then
5:        $\mathcal{V}_j \leftarrow \{\iota_j(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r})\}$  ;  $l_i < 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r$ , and  $l_0 + \cdots + l_r = n - d_j$ 
6:        $\mathcal{V} \leftarrow \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{V}_j$ 
7:     end if
8:   end for
9: end if
10: return  $\mathcal{V}$ 
```

---

---

**Algorithm 3.3.2** RepresentationMatrix( $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}', A$ )

---

**Input:** Ordered bases  $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$  and  $\mathcal{V}' = \{v'_1, \dots, v'_{s'}\}$  of the  $K$ -vector spaces

$H^r\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j)\right)$  and  $H^r\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{t'} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m'_j)\right)$ , respectively, and a  $(t \times t')$  matrix  $A$  representing a given homomorphism  $\varphi : \bigoplus_{j=1}^t S(m_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t'} S(m'_j)$

**Output:** The  $(s' \times s)$  matrix  $R$  such that  $[H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(v_1), \dots, H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(v_s)] = [v'_1, \dots, v'_{s'}] \cdot R$

```
1:  $R \leftarrow$  (the  $(s' \times s)$  zero matrix over  $K$ )
2: for  $i = 1$  to  $s$  do
3:    $\text{Im}_i \leftarrow \text{Action}(v_i, A)$  /*Compute  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(v_i)$ */
4:    $\text{Cols}_i \leftarrow \text{ColumnOfRepresentationMatrix}(\text{Im}_i, \mathcal{V}')$  /*Compute the  $i$ -th column of  $R^*$ */
5:   Replace the  $i$ -th column of  $R$  by  ${}^t(\text{Cols}_i)$ 
6: end for
7: return  $R$ 
```

---

**Description on Action:** Recall that the function **Action** computes the element  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(v) \in H^r(\mathcal{G}')$  for each element  $v$  of a basis of  $H^r(\mathcal{G})$ . In Algorithm 3.3.3, we give an algorithm for this function.

**Proposition 3.3.2** *Let  $\varphi$  be a graded homomorphism of degree zero of free  $S$ -modules defined by (3.2.3). Fix  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , and let  $\iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r})$  be an element of a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r\left(\mathbb{P}^r, \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j)\right)$ , where  $\iota_i$  is defined by (3.3.1). Let  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})$  denote the  $K$ -linear map given in (3.2.5). For  $1 \leq j \leq t'$ , let  $h_j$  denote the  $j$ -th entry of  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(\iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r}))$ , namely,*

$$H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(\iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r})) = [h_1, \dots, h_{t'}].$$

Then Algorithm 3.3.3 outputs the set

$$\{\iota_j(h_{j,k}) ; 1 \leq j \leq t', h_{j,k} \in \{\text{term of } h_j\}\}$$

with  $\sum_{j=1}^{t'} \sum_k \iota_j(h_{j,k}) = H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(\iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r}))$ .

---

**Algorithm 3.3.3** Action  $(\iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r}), A)$ 


---

**Input:** An element  $\iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r})$  of a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j))$  and a  $(t \times t')$  matrix  $A$  representing a given homomorphism  $\varphi : \bigoplus_{j=1}^t S(m_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t'} S(m'_j)$

**Output:** The set  $\{\iota_j(h_{j,k}) ; 1 \leq j \leq t', h_{j,k} \in \{\text{term of } h_j\} \text{ or } \emptyset, \text{ where each } h_j \text{ is the } j\text{-th entry of the vector } H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(\iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r}))\}$

```

1: Im  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2: for  $j = 1$  to  $t'$  do
3:    $g_{i,j} \leftarrow$  (the  $(i, j)$ -entry of  $A$ )
4:    $N_{i,j} \leftarrow$  (the number of the terms of  $g_{i,j}$ ) /* $g_{i,j}$  is a homogeneous polynomial*/
5:   if  $N_{i,j} \neq 0$  then
6:      $T \leftarrow$  (the (lexicographical) ordered set of the terms of  $g_{i,j}$ )
7:     for  $k = (k_0, \dots, k_r) \in T$  do
8:        $h_{j,k} \leftarrow 1_K$ 
9:        $a_k \leftarrow$  (the coefficient of  $X_0^{k_0} \cdots X_r^{k_r}$  in  $g_{i,j}$ )
10:       $break(i') \leftarrow 0$ 
11:      for  $i' = 0$  to  $r$  do
12:        if  $l_{i'} + k_{i'} \leq -1$  then
13:           $h_{j,k} \leftarrow h_{j,k} \cdot X_{i'}^{(l_{i'} + k_{i'})}$ 
14:        else
15:           $break(i') \leftarrow 1$ 
16:        break  $i$  /*Means  $a_k X_0^{k_0 + l_0} \cdots X_r^{k_r + l_r} = 0$  in  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m'_j))$ */
17:        end if
18:      end for
19:      if  $break(i') \neq 0$  then
20:         $h_{j,k} \leftarrow a_k \cdot h_{j,k}$ 
21:        Im  $\leftarrow$  Im  $\cup \{\iota_j(h_{j,k})\}$ 
22:      end if
23:    end for
24:  end if
25: end for
26: return Im

```

---

*Proof.* Let  $A = (g_{i,j})_{i,j}$  denote the representation matrix of  $\varphi$  defined in (3.2.3). Note that each  $g_{i,j}$  is a homogeneous polynomial in  $S$ . We denote by  $N_{i,j}$  the number of the terms of each  $g_{i,j}$ . Suppose  $N_{i,j} \geq 1$  (the case  $N_{i,j}$  is trivial). Then we can write

$$g_{i,j} = \sum a_k X_0^{k_0} \cdots X_r^{k_r} \in S$$

for some  $a_k \in K \setminus \{0\}$  with  $k = (k_0, \dots, k_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{r+1}$ . Let  $T$  be the lexicographical ordered set consisting of the terms of  $g_{i,j}$ . The  $j$ -th entry of the vector  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(\iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r}))$  is

$$X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r} g_{i,j} = \sum a_k X_0^{l_0 + k_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r + k_r}.$$

If  $l_{i'} + k_{i'} \geq 0$  for some  $0 \leq i' \leq r$ , then the term  $a_k X_0^{l_0 + k_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r + k_r}$  can be regarded as 0 via the isomorphism (2.1.1) in Theorem 2.1.1 (3). Thus  $\iota_i(h_{j,k})$  is equal to  $a_k X_0^{l_0 + k_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r + k_r}$  if

$l_{i'} + k_{i'} \leq -1$  for all  $i'$ . It also follows that  $\{\iota_i(h_{j,k})\}_k$  is the set of the terms of the  $j$ -th entry of the vector  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(\iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r}))$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq t'$ . Then Proposition 3.3.2 holds.  $\square$

**Description on ColumnOfRepresentationMatrix:** Recall that this function computes a column of a representation matrix of  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})$ . In Algorithm 3.3.4, we give an algorithm for this function.

---

**Algorithm 3.3.4** ColumnOfRepresentationMatrix (Im,  $\mathcal{W}$ )

---

**Input:** A finite subset  $\text{Im} = \{\iota_{i(k)}(a_k X_0^{k_0} \cdots X_r^{k_r}) ; k_i < 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r, \text{ and } \sum_i k_i = m_{i(k)}\}_k$  indexed by  $k = (k_0, \dots, k_r)$ 's of the  $K$ -vector space  $W := H^r\left(\mathbb{P}^r, \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j)\right)$  and its basis  $\mathcal{W} = \{\iota_j(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r}) ; 1 \leq j \leq t, l_i < 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r, \text{ and } l_0 + \cdots + l_r = m_j\}$ , where  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $m_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq t$ ) are given

**Output:** The vector  $[b_1, \dots, b_s] \in K^s$  such that  $\sum_{h \in \text{Im}} h = \sum_{j=1}^s b_j w_j$ , where  $s = \#(\mathcal{W})$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \{w_1, \dots, w_s\}$

```

1:  $\mathbf{b} \leftarrow [0_K, \dots, 0_K] \in K^s$ 
2:  $N_{\text{Im}} \leftarrow$  (the (ordered) finite set of the indexes  $k = (k_0, \dots, k_r)$  of Im)
3: for  $k = (k_0, \dots, k_r) \in N_{\text{Im}}$  do
4:    $\iota_{i(k)}(a_k X_0^{k_0} \cdots X_r^{k_r}) \leftarrow$  (the element of Im corresponding to the index  $k$ )
5:    $\mathcal{W}_k \leftarrow \mathcal{W}$ 
6:    $\{\iota_{i(j)}(X_0^{j_0} \cdots X_r^{j_r})\}_j \leftarrow \mathcal{W}_k$ 
7:    $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{W}_k) \leftarrow$  (the set of the indexes  $j = (j_0, \dots, j_r)$  of  $\mathcal{W}_k$ )
8:   for  $j = (j_0, \dots, j_r) \in \text{Ind}(\mathcal{W}_k)$  do
9:      $\iota_{i(j)}(X_0^{j_0} \cdots X_r^{j_r}) \leftarrow$  (the element of  $\mathcal{W}_k$  corresponding to the index  $j$ )
10:    if  $(k_0, \dots, k_r, i(k)) = (j_0, \dots, j_r, i(j))$  then
11:      Replace by  $a_k$  the entry of  $\mathbf{b}$  corresponding to  $\iota_{i(j)}(X_0^{j_0} \cdots X_r^{j_r})$ 
12:       $\mathcal{W}_k \leftarrow \mathcal{W}_k \setminus \{\iota_{i(j)}(X_0^{j_0} \cdots X_r^{j_r})\}$ 
13:      break  $j$ 
14:    end if
15:  end for
16: end for
17: return  $\mathbf{b}$ 

```

---

**Proposition 3.3.3** *Let*

$$\text{Im} = \left\{ \iota_{i(k)}(a_k X_0^{k_0} \cdots X_r^{k_r}) ; k_i < 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r, \text{ and } \sum_i k_i = m_{i(k)} \right\}_k$$

be a (ordered) finite subset indexed by  $k = (k_0, \dots, k_r)$ 's of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r\left(\mathbb{P}^r, \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j)\right)$  with the basis

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\iota_j(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r}) ; 1 \leq j \leq t, l_i < 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r, \text{ and } l_0 + \cdots + l_r = m_j\},$$

where  $\iota_j$  is defined by (3.3.1). We put  $s := \#(\mathcal{W})$ . Let  $\{w_1, \dots, w_s\}$  be the (ordered) set of all elements of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Then Algorithm 3.3.4 outputs the vector  $[b_1, \dots, b_s] \in K^s$  such that  $\sum_{h \in \text{Im}} h = \sum_{j=1}^s b_j w_j$ .

*Proof.* The iteration starts with some  $k = (k_0, \dots, k_r) \in N_{\text{Im}}$ , where  $N_{\text{Im}}$  denotes the (ordered) finite set of the indexes  $k = (k_0, \dots, k_r)$  of  $\text{Im}$ . We set  $\mathcal{W}_k := \mathcal{W}$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}_k$  is a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r \left( \mathbb{P}^r, \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j) \right)$ , there exists unique  $w_{j(k')} \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $\iota_{i(k)}(X_0^{k_0} \cdots X_0^{k_r}) = w_{j(k')}$ . Then we set  $b_{j(k')} := a_k$ . Note that  $\iota_{i(l)}(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_0^{l_r}) \neq w_{j(k')}$  for each  $l \neq k$ . Thus we obtain  $b_{j(k')} \in K$  such that  $\iota_{i(k)}(a_k X_0^{k_0} \cdots X_0^{k_r}) = b_{j(k')} w_{j(k')}$  for each  $k \in N_{\text{Im}}$ . As a result, we have  $\sum_{h \in \text{Im}} h = \sum_{j=1}^s b_j w_j$ , where we set  $b_j := 0$  if  $\iota_{i(k)}(a_k X_0^{k_0} \cdots X_0^{k_r}) \neq b_j w_j$  for each  $k \in N_{\text{Im}}$ .  $\square$

**Correctness of RepresentationMatrix:** Here we prove the correctness of the function `RepresentationMatrix` (Algorithm 3.3.2).

**Proposition 3.3.4** *Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism defined by (3.2.3). Let  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}'$  be coherent sheaves as in (3.2.4). Given  $\varphi$  and bases  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $H^r(\mathcal{G})$  and  $H^r(\mathcal{G}')$ , Algorithm 3.3.2 outputs the representation matrix of  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})$ , defined in (3.2.5) via the bases.*

*Proof.* Each element  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  can be written as  $v = \iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r})$  for some  $i$  and  $l_0, \dots, l_r$ . By Proposition 3.3.2, Algorithm 3.3.3 outputs the set

$$\{ \iota_j(h_{j,k}) ; 1 \leq j \leq t', h_{j,k} \in \{\text{term of } h_j\} \}$$

such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{t'} \sum_k \iota_j(h_{j,k}) = H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(\iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r}))$ , where each  $h_j$  is an element in  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(m_j))$ . Put  $s := \#(\mathcal{W})$ . By Proposition 3.3.3, Algorithm 3.3.4 outputs the vector  $[b_1, \dots, b_s] \in K^s$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{t'} \sum_k \iota_j(h_{j,k}) = \sum_{j=1}^s b_j w_j$ , and thus we have  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})(\iota_i(X_0^{l_0} \cdots X_r^{l_r})) = \sum_{j=1}^s b_j w_j$ . Consequently Algorithm 3.3.2 outputs the representation matrix of  $H^r(\tilde{\varphi})$  via  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$ .  $\square$

### 3.3.3 Description on QuotientSpaceBasisMatrix

Recall that given a  $K$ -vector space  $V$  with a (row) basis matrix  $A$  and its subspace  $W \subseteq V$  with a (row) basis matrix  $B$ , this sub-procedure computes a basis matrix  $C$  of the quotient vector space  $V/W$ . In Algorithm 3.3.5, we show an algorithm for this sub-procedure.

**Proposition 3.3.5** *Given a  $K$ -vector space  $V$  with a (row) basis matrix  $A$  and its subspace  $W \subseteq V$  with a (row) basis matrix  $B$ , Algorithm 3.3.5 outputs a basis matrix of the  $K$ -quotient space  $V/W$ .*

*Proof.* We denote by  $\mathbf{a}_i = [a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,m}]$  and  $\mathbf{b}_j = [b_{j,1}, \dots, b_{j,m}]$  the  $i$ -th row vector of the matrix  $A$  and the  $j$ -th row vector of the matrix  $B$ , respectively. Since the  $K$ -vector space  $W$  is a subspace of the  $K$ -vector space  $V$ , there exist unique  $u_{1,j}, \dots, u_{m_1,j} \in K$  such that  $\mathbf{b}_j = u_{1,j} \mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + u_{m_1,j} \mathbf{a}_{m_1}$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq m_2$ . Note that  $\mathbf{u}_j := [u_{1,j}, \dots, u_{m_1,j}]$  is the  $j$ -th row of  $U$  in Algorithm 3.3.5 for each  $1 \leq j \leq m_2$ , where  $U$  is the  $(m_2 \times m_1)$  matrix such that  $B = U \cdot A$ . Let  $U'$  be a basis matrix of  $K^{m_1}$  obtained by extending the basis of the row vectors of the matrix  $U$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{u}'_j = [u'_{1,j}, \dots, u'_{m_1,j}]$  the  $j$ -th row vector of the matrix  $U'$  for each  $m_2 + 1 \leq j \leq m_1$ . Let  $U''$  be the  $((m_1 - m_2) \times m_1)$ -matrix such that its  $j$ -th row vector is  $\mathbf{u}'_{j+m_2}$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq m_1 - m_2$ . The  $m_1 - m_2$  elements  $u'_{1,j} \mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + u'_{m_1,j} \mathbf{a}_{m_1} \in V$  for  $m_2 + 1 \leq j \leq m_1$  are linearly independent since  $U''$  is a full-rank matrix. In addition, the dimension of the  $K$ -vector space  $V/W$  is precisely equal to  $m_1 - m_2$ . Thus the matrix  $U'' \cdot A$  can be regarded as a basis matrix of the  $K$ -quotient space  $V/W$ .  $\square$

---

**Algorithm 3.3.5** QuotientSpaceBasisMatrix( $A, B$ )

---

**Input:** A basis matrix  $A$  of a  $K$ -vector subspace  $V$  of  $K^m$  and a basis matrix  $B$  of a  $K$ -vector subspace  $W \subseteq V$  of  $K^m$

**Output:** A basis matrix  $C$  of the  $K$ -quotient space  $V/W$

```
1: if  $B$  is a zero matrix then
2:   return  $A$ 
3: else
4:    $m_1 \leftarrow$  (the number of the rows of  $A$  (the dimension of  $V$ ))
5:    $m_2 \leftarrow$  (the number of the rows of  $B$  (the dimension of  $W$ ))
6:    $U \leftarrow$  (the  $(m_2 \times m_1)$  matrix such that  $B = U \cdot A$ )
7:    $U' \leftarrow$  (a basis matrix of  $K^{m_1}$  obtained by extending the basis of the row vectors of  $U$ )
8:    $U'' \leftarrow U'$ 
9:   for  $j = 1$  to  $m_2$  do
10:     $\mathbf{b}_j \leftarrow$  (the  $j$ -th row vector of  $U$ )
11:    Remove  $\mathbf{b}_j$  from  $U''$  and update  $U''$ 
12:   end for
13:   return  $U'' \cdot A$ 
14: end if
```

---

### 3.4 Correctness of Algorithm 3.2.1

In Subsection 3.3, we gave a precise description on the sub-procedures of Algorithm 3.2.1. In this subsection, we prove the correctness of Algorithm 3.2.1.

Let  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  be the projective  $r$ -space over a field  $K$  with the polynomial ring  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  be the structure sheaf of  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . To simplify the notations, we denote  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ , respectively, and denote  $H^q(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{H})$  by  $H^q(\mathcal{H})$  for a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ . Recall that for a given coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , integers  $q \geq 1$  and  $n$ , our aim is to compute a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$ . As in the previous subsection, let  $M$  denote a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Recall that  $M$  has a (minimal) free resolution of length  $\ell = r + 1$  of the form (3.1.1). We also recall that the input parameters of Algorithm 3.2.1 are  $M$ ,  $F(M) := ((M_i, \varphi_i, t_i, d^{(i)}, A_i))_{0 \leq i \leq \ell+1}$ ,  $q \geq 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $M_i$ ,  $t_i$ ,  $d^{(i)}$  and  $A_i$  are given in (3.1.2) and (3.2.2) for  $0 \leq i \leq \ell + 1$ .

**Theorem 3.4.1** *The notations are same as above. For input parameters  $M$ ,  $F(M) = ((M_i, \varphi_i, t_i, d^{(i)}, A_i))_{0 \leq i \leq \ell+1}$ ,  $q \geq 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , Algorithm 3.2.1 outputs a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that by Theorem 2.2.1 (1), the cohomology group  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$  is isomorphic to the  $K$  vector space  $\text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q})) / \text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1}))$  via the following complex:

$$H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q+2}) \xrightarrow{H^r(f_{r-q+1})} H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q+1}) \xrightarrow{H^r(f_{r-q})} H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q}).$$

Put  $q' := r - q + 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}_i = \{v_1^{(i)}, \dots, v_{k_i}^{(i)}\}$  be the ordered set output by Algorithm 3.3.1 for inputs  $t_i$ ,  $d^{(i)}$  and  $n$ . By Proposition 3.3.1,  $\mathcal{V}_i$  is a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$  for  $q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1$ . If  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_{q'}) = 0$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V}_{q'} = \emptyset$ ), then  $\text{Ker}(H^r(f_{q'-1})) = \text{Im}(H^r(f_{q'})) = 0$  and  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n)) = 0$ . Thus the output  $\emptyset$  by Algorithm 3.2.1 is correct if  $\mathcal{V}_{q'} = \emptyset$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{V}_{q'} \neq \emptyset$ . Put  $k_{q'} := \#(\mathcal{V}_{q'}) = \dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_{q'})$ . Let  $R_i$  denote the matrix output by Algorithm 3.3.2 for inputs  $\mathcal{V}_{i+1}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_i$  and  $A_i$  for  $i = q' - 1$  and  $q'$ . By Propositions 3.3.4,  $R_i$  is the representation matrix of  $H^r(f_i)$  with respect to the ordered bases  $\mathcal{V}_{i+1}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_i$  for  $i = q' - 1$  and  $q'$ . By the construction of  $B_{\text{Ker}}$  (resp.  $B_{\text{Im}}$ ), the matrix  $B_{\text{Ker}} \cdot {}^t[v_1^{(q')}, \dots, v_{k_{q'}}^{(q')}]$  (resp.  $B_{\text{Im}} \cdot {}^t[v_1^{(q')}, \dots, v_{k_{q'}}^{(q')}]$ ) is clearly a basis matrix of  $\text{Ker}(H^r(f_{q'-1}))$  (resp.  $\text{Im}(H^r(f_{q'}))$ ). Let  $B_{\text{coh}}$  be the matrix output by Algorithm 3.3.5 for inputs  $B_{\text{Ker}}$  and  $B_{\text{Im}}$ . By Proposition 3.3.5,  $B_{\text{coh}} \cdot {}^t[v_1^{(q')}, \dots, v_{k_{q'}}^{(q')}]$  is a basis matrix of  $\text{Ker}(H^r(f_{q'-1}))/\text{Im}(H^r(f_{q'}))$ . Hence Theorem 3.4.1 holds.  $\square$

### 3.5 Complexity analysis

In this subsection, we investigate the complexity of Algorithm 3.2.1. Recall that from Remark 3.2.1, we estimated the complexity of our algorithm for mathematical invariants of the input object since the cohomology groups in algebraic geometry should be determined by such invariants.

The notations are same as in Algorithm 3.2.1. Let  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  be the projective  $r$ -space with  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  the structure sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . Let  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{H})$  denote the  $q$ -th cohomology group of a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . To simplify the notations, we denote  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ , respectively, and denote  $H^q(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{H})$  by  $H^q(\mathcal{H})$  for a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ .

First we recall the input and output parameters and the objects to compute in Algorithm 3.2.1 (cf. Step. B in Table 1 of Subsection 3.2.2). Let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module. The module  $M$  has a (minimal) free resolution of the form (3.2.1). The input parameters of Algorithm 3.2.1 are  $M$ ,  $F(M) := ((M_i, \varphi_i, t_i, d^{(i)}, A_i))_{0 \leq i \leq \ell+1}$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq r$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $M_i$ ,  $\varphi_i$ ,  $t_i$ ,  $d^{(i)}$  and  $A_i$  are given in (3.1.2) and (3.2.2),  $q$  is the degree of the output cohomology group  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$ , and  $n$  is the twist number of the sheaf  $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$  associated with the module  $M$  on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ . Throughout this section, we set

$$q' := r - q + 1. \quad (3.5.1)$$

The output of Algorithm 3.2.1 is a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$ . In Algorithm 3.2.1, the objects to compute in Step B are bases of the  $K$ -vector spaces  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$  for  $r - q \leq i \leq r - q + 2$ , where each sheaf  $\mathcal{G}_i$  is defined in (3.1.5). From Step B-2 to Step B-4 of Algorithm 3.2.1, the objects to compute are

(B-2) The representation matrices  $R_i$  of the  $K$ -linear maps  $H^r(f_i)$  via the bases obtained in Step B-1 for  $i = r - q$  and  $r - q + 1$ , where  $H^r(f_i) = H^r(\widetilde{\varphi_i(n)})$  is given as in (3.2.5) for each  $i$ ,

(B-3) The basis matrix  $B_{\text{Ker}}$  (resp.  $B_{\text{Im}}$ ) of the  $K$ -vector space  $\text{Ker}(R_{r-q}) := \{\mathbf{v} \in K^{k_{q'}} ; \mathbf{v} \cdot {}^t R_{q'-1} = \mathbf{0}\}$  (resp.  $\text{Im}(R_{r-q+1}) := \{\mathbf{v} \cdot {}^t R_{q'} ; \mathbf{v} \in K^{k_{q'+1}}\}$ ), where  $k_i := \dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$  for  $r - q \leq i \leq r - q + 2$ ,

(B-4-1) The basis matrix  $B_{\text{coh}}$  of the  $K$ -quotient space  $\text{Ker}(R_{r-q})/\text{Im}(R_{r-q+1})$ ,

(B-4-2) The matrix  $B_{\text{coh}} \cdot {}^t[v_1^{(q')}, \dots, v_{k_{q'}}^{(q')}]$ .

Here  $\mathcal{V}_i = \{v_1^{(i)}, \dots, v_{k_i}^{(i)}\}$  is a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$  for each  $q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1$ . For fixed  $r$  and  $q$ , all of the above objects to compute are determined by  $t_i$ ,  $d^{(i)}$ ,  $A_i$  and  $n$  for  $q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1$ . From this, we analyze the complexity of Algorithm 3.2.1 according to the parameters  $n$ ,  $t^{(\max)} := \max\{t_i ; q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1\}$  and  $d^{(\max)} := \max\{d^{(i, \max)} ; q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1\}$ ,

where  $d^{(i, \max)} := \max\{d_j^{(i)}; 1 \leq j \leq t_i\}$  for  $q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1$ . For a simplicity, suppose that  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i) \neq 0$  for all  $q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1$ .

**Proposition 3.5.1** *We use the same notations as above. Algorithm 3.2.1 (not counting the computation of a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_i)$  for  $r - q \leq i \leq r - q + 1$ ) runs in*

$$O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)} - n)^r\right)^4\right) \quad (3.5.2)$$

arithmetic operations over  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ .

*Proof.* We determine the complexity based on a theory of linear algebra (see [10] for details). Let  $\mathcal{V}_i = \{v_1^{(i)}, \dots, v_{k_i}^{(i)}\}$  denote the basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$  in Algorithm 3.2.1 for  $q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1$ .

(B-2) *The representation matrices  $R_i$  of the  $K$ -linear maps  $H^r(f_i)$  for  $i = r - q$  and  $r - q + 1$ : In this part, we first compute the image of  $\{v_1^{(i)}, \dots, v_{k_i}^{(i)}\}$  by the  $K$ -linear map  $H^r(f_{i-1})$ . Recall that by (3.1.6) and (3.1.7), the dimension  $k_i := \#\mathcal{V}_i$  of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$  is*

$$k_i = \dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_i) = O\left(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)} - n)^r\right)$$

for  $q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1$ . We compute the vector  $v_j^{(i)} \cdot A_{i-1}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k_i$ . Note that  $A_{i-1}$  is a  $(t_i \times t_{i-1})$ -matrix over  $K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ . Thus the computation runs in

$$O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}\right)^2(d^{(\max)} - n)^r\right) \quad (3.5.3)$$

arithmetic operations over the polynomial ring  $K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ . We then obtain  $O\left(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)} - n)^r\right)$  elements in  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_{i-1})$ . By comparing the elements with the ordered basis  $\{v_1^{(i-1)}, \dots, v_{k_{i-1}}^{(i-1)}\}$ , we obtain the representation matrix  $R_{i-1}$  in

$$O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}\right)^2(d^{(\max)} - n)^{2r}\right) \quad (3.5.4)$$

arithmetic operations over  $K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ . Thus the arithmetic complexity in this step is given by (3.5.4).

(B-3) *The basis matrix  $B_{\text{Ker}}$  (resp.  $B_{\text{Im}}$ ) of the  $K$ -vector space  $\text{Ker}(R_{r-q})$  (resp.  $\text{Im}(R_{r-q+1})$ ): We assume that the computation is done by the Gaussian elimination over the field  $K$ . In this case, since  $R_i$  is a matrix over  $K$  and the number of the rows and the columns of  $R_i$  is bounded by  $t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)} - n)^r$ , the naive computation gives*

$$O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}\right)^3(d^{(\max)} - n)^{3r}\right) \quad (3.5.5)$$

arithmetic operations over  $K$ .

(B-4-1) *The basis matrix  $B_{\text{coh}}$  of the  $K$ -quotient space  $\text{Ker}(R_{r-q})/\text{Im}(R_{r-q+1})$ :* In this step, we first compute the matrix  $U$  such that  $B_{\text{Im}} = U \cdot B_{\text{Ker}}$ . We solve the linear system  $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{u} \cdot B_{\text{Ker}}$  by the Gaussian elimination over  $K$  for each  $i$ , where  $\mathbf{b}_i$  is the  $i$ -th row of the matrix  $B_{\text{Im}}$ . Thus the above  $U$  is determined in

$$\begin{aligned} & O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)} - n)^r\right)\left(\left(t^{(\max)}\right)^3(d^{(\max)} - n)^{3r}\right)\right) \\ &= O\left(\left(\left(t^{(\max)}\right)^4(d^{(\max)} - n)^{4r}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5.6)$$

arithmetic operations over  $K$  because the number of the rows of both of the matrices  $B_{\text{Im}}$  and  $B_{\text{Ker}}$  are  $O\left(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)} - n)^r\right)$ . We then compute the square matrix of full rank by extending the basis of the row vectors of  $U$ . Since  $U$  is a matrix over  $K$  and the number of the rows and the columns of  $U$  is bounded by  $t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)} - n)^r$ , this computation can be done in

$$O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}\right)^2(d^{(\max)} - n)^{2r}\right) \quad (3.5.7)$$

arithmetic operations over  $K$ .

(B-4-2) *The matrix  $B_{\text{coh}} \cdot {}^t[v_1^{(q')}, \dots, v_{k_{q'}}^{(q')}]$ :* Finally, we compute the basis matrix  $B_{\text{coh}} \cdot {}^t[v_1^{(q')}, \dots, v_{k_{q'}}^{(q')}]$  of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$ . The number of the rows and the columns of  $B_{\text{coh}}$  is bounded by  $t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)} - n)^r$ . Recall that  $k_{q'} = O\left(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)} - n)^r\right)$ . Thus the multiplication of the matrix  $B_{\text{coh}}$  and the vector  ${}^t[v_1, \dots, v_{k_{q'}}]$  requires

$$O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}\right)^2(d^{(\max)} - n)^{2r}\right) \quad (3.5.8)$$

arithmetic operations over  $K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ .

Putting all the steps together, namely considering (3.5.3)-(3.5.8), Proposition 3.5.1 holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.2** *It is possible to estimate the complexity of Algorithm 3.2.1 over  $K$ . The notations are same as in Proposition 3.5.1. Let  $\alpha$  be the maximum of the number of the terms of the components of  $A_i$  for  $q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1$ . The arithmetic complexity of Algorithm 3.2.1 over  $K$  (not counting the computation of a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_i)$  for  $q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1$ ) is*

$$O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}(-n + d^{(\max)})^r\right)^4 + \alpha^2 \left(t^{(\max)}(-n + d^{(\max)})^r\right)^2\right). \quad (3.5.9)$$

The value

$$D := \max\{\dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_i) ; q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1\} \quad (3.5.10)$$

is appropriate as an asymptotic parameter of Algorithm 3.2.1. We describe the reason why the parameter  $D$  is appropriate as an asymptotic parameter of Algorithm 3.2.1. Recall that we have

$$\dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{t_i} \binom{n - d_j^{(i)}}{r} \text{ for } q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1 \quad (3.5.11)$$



and thus  $\dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_i) = O(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)} - n)^r)$ . The values  $t_i$  and  $d_j^{(i)}$  are uniquely determined for the input module  $M$  since the form of the projective resolution of  $M$  is uniquely determined. Thus each value  $\sum_{j=1}^{t_i} \binom{n-d_j^{(i)}}{r}$  is also uniquely determined by  $M$  and  $n$ . From this we can take  $D$  as an asymptotic parameter of Algorithm 3.2.1. In a similar way to Corollary 3.5.2, the arithmetic complexity of Algorithm 3.2.1 with respect to  $D$  over  $K$  is as follows.

**Corollary 3.5.3** *The notations are same as in Proposition 3.5.1. We set  $D := \max\{\dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_i) ; q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1\}$  as in (3.5.10). Then the arithmetic complexity of Algorithm 3.2.1 over  $K$  is*

$$O(D^4 + \alpha^2 D^2), \quad (3.5.12)$$

where  $\alpha$  is same as in Corollary 3.5.2.

## 4 Implementation and experiments

In this section, we show experimental results on our implementation of Algorithm 3.2.1. Our aim is to confirm the performance of our implementation, and we observe that our implementation performs more efficiently than the complexity estimated in Subsection 3.5 for several benchmark examples. This behavior is considered as a result of our computational improvement and its details are given in Observation 4.1.2. We use a computer with 2.60GHz CPU (Intel Corei5) and 8GB memory. The OS is Windows 8.1 Pro 64bit. We implemented Algorithm 3.2.1 over Magma V2.20-10 [1]. The source code of our implementation and the computation results in this section are available at <http://www2.math.kyushu-u.ac.jp/~m-kudo/> (our source code is in the file `CohomologyBasis.txt`).

### 4.1 Experiments on the performance of our implementation

Let  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  be the projective  $r$ -space on the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers, where  $S := \mathbb{Q}[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ . For given  $m$  and  $T$ , we choose a set  $\mathcal{C}$  of 10 tuples of homogeneous polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in S$  randomly chosen so that it satisfies the following:

- (1) For each tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \mathcal{C}$ ,
  - (a)  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle \neq S$ , where  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$  denotes the ideal of  $S$  generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ .
  - (b) The number of the terms of  $f_i$  is equal to  $T$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .
  - (c) All coefficients of  $f_i$  are equal to 1 for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .
  - (d)  $\sqrt{\langle f_i \rangle} \not\subseteq \sqrt{\langle f_j \rangle}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ , where  $\sqrt{I}$  denotes the radical of an ideal  $I \subseteq S$ .
  - (e) The polynomial  $f_i$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .
- (2) For two different tuples  $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  and  $(f'_1, \dots, f'_m)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle \neq \langle f'_1, \dots, f'_m \rangle$  as ideals.
- (3) For two tuples  $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  and  $(f'_1, \dots, f'_m)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , two graded  $S$ -modules  $M = S/\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$  and  $M' := S/\langle f'_1, \dots, f'_m \rangle$  have the same form of minimal free resolutions, that is, they have the minimal free resolutions

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+2}} S(-d_j^{(r+2)}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} S(-d_j^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.1.1)$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+2}} S(-d_j^{(r+2)}) \xrightarrow{\varphi'_{r+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi'_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} S(-d_j^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\varphi'_0} M' \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.1.2)$$

Note that  $\varphi_i \neq \varphi'_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r+1$  in general.

For each set  $\mathcal{C}$  and each tuple  $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \mathcal{C}$ , we compute bases of the  $K$ -vector spaces  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \leq q \leq r$  by Algorithm 3.2.1, where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the coherent sheaf associated with  $M := \mathbb{Q}[X_0, \dots, X_r]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r}$  be the structure sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r$ . We fix the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} q' &:= r - q + 1, & t^{(\max)} &:= \max\{t_i; q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1\}, \\ d^{(i, \max)} &:= \max\{d_j^{(i)}; 1 \leq j \leq t_i\} \text{ for } q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1, \\ d^{(\max)} &:= \max\{d^{(i, \max)}; q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_i &:= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r}(n - d_j^{(i)}) \text{ for } q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1, \\ D &:= \max\{\dim_K H^r(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r, \mathcal{G}_i); q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notations, we denote by  $H^q(\mathcal{H})$  the  $q$ -th cohomology group  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r, \mathcal{H})$  of a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r$ . Let  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \mathcal{C}$ . For the representation matrix  $A_i = (g_{k,\ell}^{(i)})_{1 \leq k \leq t_{i+1}, 1 \leq \ell \leq t_i}$  of each homomorphism  $\varphi_i$  in the resolution (4.1.1), we denote by  $\alpha_{i,\mathbf{f}}$  the maximum of the number of the terms of  $g_{k,\ell}^{(i)}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq t_{i+1}$  and  $1 \leq \ell \leq t_i$ . Then let  $\alpha_{\mathbf{f}}$  be the maximum of  $\alpha_{r-q,\mathbf{f}}$  and  $\alpha_{r-q+1,\mathbf{f}}$ . Moreover, let  $\alpha^{(\text{bound})}$  be the maximum of  $\alpha_{\mathbf{f}}$  for  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Remark 4.1.1** As the twist number  $n$  decreases, the asymptotic parameter  $D$  increases by (3.5.10) and (3.5.11). Thus it is possible to investigate the performance of our implementation by decreasing  $n$ .

In the following, we describe the form of the minimal free resolutions in our experiments.

Case 1 Put  $r := 3$ ,  $m := 2$ ,  $T := 3$  and  $q := 1$ . We choose a pair of two homogeneous polynomials  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]$  (uniformly) at random so that the following conditions hold:

(a) The minimal free resolution of  $M := S/\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$  forms

$$0 \rightarrow S(-6) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j=1}^2 S(-3) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} S \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \rightarrow 0.$$

(b) The number of the terms of  $f_i$  is equal to 3 for  $i = 1$  and 2.

In this case, it follows that

$$t = [1, 2, 1, 0, 0], \quad d^{(1)} = [0], \quad d^{(2)} = [3, 3], \quad d^{(3)} = [6].$$

We compute bases of  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^3, \mathcal{F}(n))$  for  $n = 0, -5, -10$  and  $-15$ . Note that  $q' = r - q + 1 = 3$ ,  $t^{(\max)} = 2$  and  $d^{(\max)} = 6$ .

Case 2 Put  $r := 3$ ,  $m := 2$ ,  $T := 3$  and  $q := 1$ . We choose a pair of two homogeneous polynomials  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]$  (uniformly) at random so that the following conditions hold:

(a) The minimal free resolution of  $M := S/\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$  forms

$$0 \rightarrow S(-8) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j=1}^2 S(-4) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} S \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \rightarrow 0.$$

(b) The number of the terms of  $f_i$  is equal to 4 for  $i = 1$  and 2.

In this case, it follows that

$$t = [1, 2, 1, 0, 0], \quad d^{(1)} = [0], \quad d^{(2)} = [4, 4], \quad d^{(3)} = [8].$$

We compute bases of  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$  for  $n = 0, -5, -10$  and  $-15$ . Note that  $q' = r - q + 1 = 3$ ,  $t^{(\max)} = 2$  and  $d^{(\max)} = 8$ .

Case 3 Put  $r := 3$ ,  $m := 3$ ,  $T := 3$  and  $q := 1$ . We choose a tuple of three homogeneous polynomials  $f_1, f_2$  and  $f_3$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]$  (uniformly) at random so that the following conditions hold:

(a) The minimal free resolution of  $M := S/\langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$  forms

$$0 \rightarrow S(-9) \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 S(-6) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 S(-3) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} S \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \rightarrow 0.$$

(b) The number of the terms of  $f_i$  is equal to 3 for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ .

In this case, it follows that

$$t = [1, 3, 3, 1, 0], \quad d^{(1)} = [0], \quad d^{(2)} = [3, 3, 3], \\ d^{(3)} = [6, 6, 6], \quad d^{(4)} = [9].$$

We compute bases of  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$  for  $n = 0, -5, -10$  and  $-15$ . Note that  $q' = r - q + 1 = 3$ ,  $t^{(\max)} = 3$  and  $d^{(\max)} = 9$ .

Case 4 Put  $r := 5$ ,  $m := 4$ ,  $T := 3$  and  $q := 1$ . We choose a tuple of four homogeneous polynomials  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  and  $f_4$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$  (uniformly) at random so that the following conditions hold:

(a) Put  $I := \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \rangle$ . The minimal free resolution of  $M := S/I$  forms

$$0 \rightarrow S(-8) \xrightarrow{\varphi_4} \bigoplus_{j=1}^4 S(-6) \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} \bigoplus_{j=1}^6 S(-4) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j=1}^4 S(-2) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} S \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \rightarrow 0.$$

(b) The number of the terms of  $f_i$  is equal to 3 for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

In this case, it follows that

$$t = [ 1, 4, 6, 4, 1, 0, 0 ], \quad d^{(1)} = [0], \quad d^{(2)} = [2, 2, 2, 2], \\ d^{(3)} = [4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4], \quad d^{(4)} = [6, 6, 6, 6], \quad d^{(5)} = [8].$$

We compute bases of  $H^1\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r, \mathcal{F}(n)\right)$  for  $n = 0, -2, -4$  and  $-6$ . Note that  $q' = r - q + 1 = 5$ ,  $t^{(\max)} = 4$  and  $d^{(\max)} = 8$ .

Case 5 Put  $r := 7$ ,  $m := 5$ ,  $T := 2$  and  $q := 2$ . We choose a tuple of five homogeneous polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_5$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[X_0, \dots, X_7]$  (uniformly) at random so that the following conditions hold:

(a) Put  $I := \langle f_1, \dots, f_5 \rangle$ . The minimal free resolution of  $M := S/I$  forms

$$0 \rightarrow S(-10) \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} \bigoplus_{j=1}^5 S(-8) \xrightarrow{\varphi_4} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{10} S(-6) \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{10} S(-4) \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j=1}^5 S(-2) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} S \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \rightarrow 0.$$

(b) The number of the terms of  $f_i$  is equal to 2 for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ .

In this case, it follows that

$$t = [ 1, 5, 10, 10, 5, 1, 0, 0, 0 ], \\ d^{(1)} = [0], \quad d^{(2)} = [2, 2, 2, 2, 2], \quad d^{(3)} = [4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4], \\ d^{(4)} = [6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6], \quad d^{(5)} = [8, 8, 8, 8, 8], \quad d^{(6)} = [10].$$

We compute bases of  $H^2\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^r, \mathcal{F}(n)\right)$  for  $n = 0, -2, -4$  and  $-5$ . Note that  $q' = r - q + 1 = 6$ ,  $t^{(\max)} = 5$  and  $d^{(\max)} = 10$ .

In Table 2, we show the results of the computations for each case.

**Observation 4.1.2** From the timing (“Average”) in Table 2, we see that our implementation of Algorithm 3.2.1 performs more efficiently than the complexity estimated in Subsection 3.5. The timing in Table 2 implies that the practical complexity is  $O(D^2)$  while the complexity estimated in Subsection 3.5 is  $O(D^4)$  for a fixed  $\alpha$ . This seems due to that we pruned unnecessary operations in our implementation. More precisely, in Step B-2, our implementation minimizes the representation matrices, by which the linear systems in Step B-3 are solved more efficiently than the estimated complexity  $O(D^3)$ . Step B-4 can be also terminated more efficiently than the estimated one  $O(D^4)$ . From this, the practical complexity of our algorithm is considered  $O(D^2)$ . We conclude that our implementation of Algorithm 3.2.1 has sufficiently practical performance to experimentally investigate some properties of algebraic varieties.

Table 2: Experimental results on Algorithm 3.2.1. We performed experiments for Cases 1-5. Parameters  $r$  and  $q$  are the dimensions of the projective space and the degree of the cohomology group to compute, respectively. The values of the parameters  $t^{(\max)}$ ,  $d^{(\max)}$  and  $\alpha^{(\text{bound})}$  are determined from the forms of minimal free resolutions. The parameter  $D$  is the asymptotic parameter for the complexity estimated in Subsection 3.5. (The parameter  $D$  depends on the value of  $n$  in our experiments.) “Average” means the average of time for performing our implemetation.

Case	Parameters fixed in each case		Parameters ( $t^{(\max)}$ , $d^{(\max)}$ and $\alpha^{(\text{bound})}$ ) are determined from resolutions)					Experimental results on our algorithm (Algorithm 3.2.1)	
	$r$	$q$	$n$	$t^{(\max)}$	$d^{(\max)}$	$\alpha^{(\text{bound})}$	$D$	The dimension of the output $H^q(\mathcal{F}(n))$	Average (sec.)
<b>1</b>	3	1	0	2	6	3	10	10	0.01
	3	1	-5	2	6	3	120	54	0.12
	3	1	-10	2	6	3	455	99	1.91
	3	1	-15	2	6	3	1,360	144	14.14
<b>2</b>	3	1	0	2	8	4	35	33	0.02
	3	1	-5	2	8	4	220	112	0.29
	3	1	-10	2	8	4	680	192	3.54
	3	1	-15	2	8	4	1,632	272	22.06
<b>3</b>	3	1	0	3	9	9	56	0	0.03
	3	1	-5	3	9	9	360	0	1.28
	3	1	-10	3	9	9	1,365	0	17.52
	3	1	-15	3	9	9	3,420	0	123.12
<b>4</b>	5	1	0	4	8	11	21	17	0.01
	5	1	-2	4	8	11	126	48	0.19
	5	1	-4	4	8	11	504	80	2.27
	5	1	-6	4	8	11	1,848	112	21.90
<b>5</b>	7	2	0	5	10	4	36	31	0.03
	7	2	-2	5	10	4	330	160	0.58
	7	2	-4	5	10	4	1,716	416	19.51
	7	2	-5	5	10	4	3,960	592	86.19

## 4.2 Benchmarks

We also show benchmarks by our implementation of Algorithm 3.2.1. The notations are same as in Subsection 4.1. Recall from Subsection 3.5 that main computations of Algorithm 3.2.1 are the following:

Each procedure and its complexity of Algorithm 3.2.1		
Object to compute		Complexity
(B-2)	The representation matrices $R_i$ of the $K$ -linear maps $H^r(f_i)$ for $i = r - q$ and $r - q + 1$	$O(\alpha^2 D^2)$
(B-3)	The basis matrix $B_{\text{Ker}}$ (resp. $B_{\text{Im}}$ ) of the $K$ -vector space $\text{Ker}(R_{r-q}) := \{\mathbf{v} \in K^{k_{q'}} ; \mathbf{v} \cdot {}^t R_{q'-1} = \mathbf{0}\}$ (resp. $\text{Im}(R_{r-q+1}) := \{\mathbf{v} \cdot {}^t R_{q'} ; \mathbf{v} \in K^{k_{q'+1}}\}$ )	$O(D^3)$
(B-4-1)	The basis matrix $B_{\text{coh}}$ of the $K$ -quotient space $\text{Ker}(R_{r-q}) / \text{Im}(R_{r-q+1})$	$O(D^4)$
(B-4-2)	The matrix $B_{\text{coh}} \cdot {}^t[v_1^{(q')}, \dots, v_{k_{q'}}^{(q)}]$ , where $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1^{(q')}, \dots, v_{k_{q'}}^{(q')}\}$ is a basis of $H^r(\mathcal{G}_{q'})$	$O(\alpha^2 D^2)$

Note that the above complexity is estimated over  $K$ . Table 3 shows the result of the benchmarks for one sample in Case 4 (see Subsection 4.1). We denote by  $C^{(\max)}$  the maximum of the coefficients of the components of the representation matrices  $A_i$  (for  $q' - 1 \leq i \leq q' + 1$ ).

Table 3: The result of the benchmarks for one sample in Case 4 by our implementation of Algorithm 3.2.1. The parameter  $D$  is the asymptotic parameter for the complexity estimated in Subsection 3.5. (The parameter  $D$  depends on the value of  $n$  in our experiments.)

Parameters ( $C^{(\max)}$ ) is determined from resolutions				Time for each procedure and total time (sec.)				
$n$	$D$	$\alpha$	$C^{(\max)}$	B-2	B-3	B-4-1	B-4-2	Total time (sec.)
-2	126	3	1	0.094	0.000	0.000	0.063	0.157
-4	504	3	1	1.750	0.031	0.000	0.313	2.094
-5	1,848	3	1	18.671	0.359	0.000	1.265	20.295

**Observation 4.2.1** It is implied that our implementation follows the complexity determined in Subsection 3.5 for any case. (It does not take much time for the computation of  $B_{\text{Ker}}$  in certain cases because we use the built-in function over Magma to compute the kernel of a matrix, and because the algorithms implemented in the function include the LU decomposition, which performs faster than the Gaussian elimination.)

## 5 Applications

In this section, we introduce two possible applications of Algorithm 3.2.1. The first application is to compute the rank of morphisms of the cohomology groups via Maruyama's method. The second one

is an algorithm to compute the action of Frobenius to the cohomology groups on algebraic varieties (e.g., algebraic curves). In particular, the rank of the action of Frobenius on a (non-singular) algebraic curve is said to be the *Hasse-Witt rank* of the curve. The Hasse-Witt rank is a very important invariant of such varieties in algebraic geometry over fields of positive characteristics.

## 5.1 Background

Let  $K$  be a field of positive characteristic  $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ . We assume that  $K$  is a perfect field (e.g.,  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  or  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ ). Let  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  be homogeneous polynomials in the graded ring  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ . For homogeneous polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_t \in K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ , we denote by  $V_K(f_1, \dots, f_t)$  the locus of the zeros in the projective  $r$ -space  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  of the system of  $f_1, \dots, f_t$ . Put  $X := V_K(f_1, \dots, f_t)$  and  $X^{(p)} = V_K(f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p)$ . Let  $F : X \rightarrow X$  be the (absolute) Frobenius morphism on  $X$ . In algebraic geometry over fields of positive characteristics, it is important to compute the action of Frobenius  $F^* : H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is the structure sheaf on  $X$  and  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  denotes the  $q$ -th cohomology group of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  on  $X$ . In algebraic geometry over fields of positive characteristic and arithmetic geometry, it is very important to compute the rank of  $F^*$  via a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . The variety  $X$  is said to be *superspecial* if  $F^* = 0$  and  $X$  is non-singular over  $\overline{K}$ .

Now we describe a basic strategy to compute the action of Frobenius  $F^*$ . For a simplicity, we assume that  $X$  is a non-singular algebraic curve, and we consider the case of  $q = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} := I$  and  $\mathcal{I}^p := I^p$  be the ideal sheaves associated with the ideals  $I := \langle f_1, \dots, f_t \rangle_S$  and  $I^p := \langle f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p \rangle_S$ , respectively. Let  $F_1$  be the (absolute) Frobenius morphism on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{I}) \\
\downarrow F^* & \searrow (F_1|_{X^{(p)}})^* & \downarrow F_1^* \\
& H^1(X^{(p)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{(p)}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{I}^p) \\
& \swarrow & \downarrow \\
H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{I})
\end{array}$$

Here the morphism  $H^1(X^{(p)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{(p)}}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  (resp.  $H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{I}^p) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{I})$ ) is induced by the canonical morphism from  $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(p)}}$  to  $\mathcal{O}_X$  (resp.  $\mathcal{I}^p$  to  $\mathcal{I}$ ) corresponding to the homomorphism  $S/I^p \rightarrow S/I$  (resp.  $I^p \rightarrow I$ ). In other words,  $H^1(X^{(p)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{(p)}}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is the morphism induced by the immersion  $X \hookrightarrow X^{(p)}$ . In our method, to compute the rank of  $F^*$ , it requires to compute

- (1) An explicit basis of  $H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{I})$  and
- (2) The representation matrix of the homomorphism  $H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{I}^p) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{I})$  via the basis.

By Algorithm 3.2.1, we can compute a basis of  $H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{I})$ . In the next subsections, we first give an algorithm to compute the representation matrix, after that, we also give an algorithm to compute the rank of  $F^*$ .

**Remark 5.1.1** Let  $X$  be an elliptic curve in  $\mathbb{P}_K^2$  defined by a homogeneous polynomial  $f \in S = K[x, y, z]$  with  $\deg f = 3$ . The action of Frobenius  $F^*$  is the zero map or a bijective map on

$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  since  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a 1-dimensional  $K$ -space and since  $K$  is a perfect field with the positive characteristic  $p$ . The condition  $F^* \neq 0$  (resp.  $F^* = 0$ ) is said that  $X$  has *Hasse invariant 1* (resp.  $X$  has *Hasse invariant 0*). In this case, it is easy to determine whether  $X$  has Hasse invariant 1 or 0. In fact,  $H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^2, \mathcal{I})$  always has the canonical basis  $\{\frac{1}{xyz}\}$  and  $F_1\left(\frac{1}{xyz}\right) = \frac{1}{x^p y^p z^p}$ . The morphism  $H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^2, \mathcal{I}^p) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^2, \mathcal{I})$  is represented by  $f^{p-1}$ . Thus the Hasse invariant of  $X$  is determined by the coefficients of  $(xyz)^{p-1}$  in  $f^{p-1}$  (see [7, Chapter 4] for details).

## 5.2 Computing morphisms of cohomology groups

Let  $K$  be a field (in this subsection, the characteristic  $\text{char}(K)$  is not necessary to be positive). Let  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  be homogeneous polynomials in the graded ring  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ . As in the previous subsection, put  $X := V_K(f_1, \dots, f_t)$  (resp.  $X^{(p)} = V_K(f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p)$ ), where  $V_K(f_1, \dots, f_t)$  (resp.  $V_K(f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p)$ ) is the locus of the zeros in the projective  $r$ -space  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  of the system of  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  (resp.  $f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p$ ). In the case of  $\text{char}(K) > 0$ , to compute the action of Frobenius on  $X$ , it requires to compute the representation matrix of the morphism  $H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{I}^p) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{I})$  in the previous subsection. Here  $\mathcal{I}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{I}^p$ ) is the ideal sheaf induced by the ideal  $I := \langle f_1, \dots, f_t \rangle_S$  (resp.  $I^p := \langle f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p \rangle_S$ ).

In this subsection, we consider a more general case. In more detail, we consider to compute the morphism  $H^q(\Psi) : H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{F}')$  induced by a morphism  $\Psi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  of given coherent sheaves on  $X$ . (The characteristic of  $K$  does not need to be a positive integer.) We propose an algorithm to compute  $H^q(\Psi)$  for  $1 \leq q \leq r$  as an application of Algorithm 3.2.1. To simplify the notations, we denote  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  and  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{H})$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$  and  $H^q(\mathcal{H})$ , respectively. Let  $\psi : M \rightarrow M'$  be a homomorphism of finitely generated graded  $S$ -modules. For free resolutions of  $M$  and  $M'$ , there exist  $\psi_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r+2$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+2}} S(-d_j^{(r+2)}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r+1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} S(-d_j^{(1)}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi_{r+2} & & & & \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t'_{r+2}} S(-c_j^{(r+2)}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi'_{r+1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\varphi'_1} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t'_1} S(-c_j^{(1)}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi'_0} & M' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Note that it is possible to compute each morphism  $\psi_i$  (e.g., see [5, Chapter 15] for details). We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{i+1} &:= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{i+1}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-d_j^{(i+1)}), \quad f_i := \tilde{\varphi}_i, \quad \mathcal{K}_i := \text{Ker}(f_i) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r+1, \quad \mathcal{K}_{-1} := \mathcal{F}, \\ \mathcal{G}'_{i+1} &:= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t'_{i+1}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-c_j^{(i+1)}), \quad f'_i := \tilde{\varphi}'_i, \quad \mathcal{K}'_i := \text{Ker}(f'_i) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r+1, \quad \mathcal{K}'_{-1} := \mathcal{F}'. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $\Psi_i$  the induced morphism  $\tilde{\psi}_i : \mathcal{G}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'_i$ . Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_i & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{i-2} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_i & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}'_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}'_i & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}'_{i-2} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$



commutes, where the horizontal sequences are exact. In a similar way to the proof of Theorem 2.2.1, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H^q(\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{q+1}(\mathcal{K}_0) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{r-1}(\mathcal{K}_{r-q-2}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Ker}(\sigma_q) \\
H^q(\Psi) \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
H^q(\mathcal{F}') & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{q+1}(\mathcal{K}'_0) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{r-1}(\mathcal{K}'_{r-q-2}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Ker}(\sigma'_q)
\end{array}$$

where  $\sigma_q$  and  $\sigma'_q$  denote the  $K$ -linear maps  $H^r(\mathcal{K}_{r-q-1}) \rightarrow H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q})$  and  $H^r(\mathcal{K}'_{r-q-1}) \rightarrow H^r(\mathcal{G}'_{r-q})$ , respectively. Here a morphism between the quotient spaces  $\text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1}))$  and  $\text{Ker}(H^r(f'_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(f'_{r-q+1}))$  is induced as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q+2}) & \xrightarrow{H^r(f_{r-q+1})} & \text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q})) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1})) \\
\downarrow H^r(\Psi_{r-q+2}) & & \downarrow H^r(\Psi_{r-q+1}) & & \downarrow \vdots \\
H^r(\mathcal{G}'_{r-q+2}) & \xrightarrow{H^r(f'_{r-q+1})} & \text{Ker}(H^r(f'_{r-q})) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(H^r(f'_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(f'_{r-q+1}))
\end{array}$$

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1})) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \text{Ker}(\sigma_q) & \longrightarrow & H^r(\mathcal{K}_{r-q-1}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Ker}(H^r(f'_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(f'_{r-q+1})) & \xrightarrow{\tau'} & \text{Ker}(\sigma'_q) & \longrightarrow & H^r(\mathcal{K}'_{r-q-1})
\end{array}$$

commutes, where  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  are the  $K$ -isomorphisms naturally induced by  $H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r-q+1}) \rightarrow H^r(\mathcal{K}_{r-q-1})$  and  $H^r(\mathcal{G}'_{r-q+1}) \rightarrow H^r(\mathcal{K}'_{r-q-1})$ , respectively. Hence the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^q(\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{r-1}(\mathcal{K}_{r-q-2}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(f_{r-q+1})) \\
H^q(\Psi) \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow H^r(\Psi_{r-q+1}) \\
H^q(\mathcal{F}') & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{r-1}(\mathcal{K}'_{r-q-2}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Ker}(H^r(f'_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(f'_{r-q+1}))
\end{array}$$

Thus it follows that  $\text{rank}H^q(\Psi) = \text{rank}H^r(\Psi_{r-q+1})$ . From the above commutative diagrams and the equality  $\text{rank}H^q(\Psi) = \text{rank}H^r(\Psi_{r-q+1})$ ,  $\text{rank}H^q(\Psi)$  is computable as follows:

- (1) Compute bases of the  $K$ -vector spaces  $H^q(\mathcal{F})$  and  $H^q(\mathcal{F}')$  by Algorithm 3.2.1. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{V}'$  be the bases of the  $K$ -vector spaces  $H^q(\mathcal{F})$  and  $H^q(\mathcal{F}')$ , respectively.
- (2) Compute the lifting map  $\psi_{r-q+1}$  (e.g., see [5, Chapter 15] for details). Let  $C_{r-q+1}$  be the representation matrix of  $\psi_{r-q+1}$ .
- (3) Compute  $\text{rank}H^r(\Psi_{r-q+1})$  by  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}', C_{r-q+1})$ , and it.

### 5.3 Computing the action of Frobenius to the cohomology groups

As in Section 5.1, let  $K$  be a field of positive characteristic  $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ . Suppose that  $K$  is a perfect field (e.g.,  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  or  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ ). Let  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  be homogeneous polynomials in  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$  with  $\gcd(f_i, f_j) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq t$ . Put  $X := V_K(f_1, \dots, f_t)$  and  $X^{(p)} = V_K(f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p)$ , where  $V_K(f_1, \dots, f_t)$  (resp.  $V_K(f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p)$ ) denotes the locus of the zeros in the projective  $r$ -space  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  of the system of  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  (resp.  $f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p$ ). Let  $F : X \rightarrow X$  be the (absolute) Frobenius morphism on  $X$ , and  $F^* : H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  the action of Frobenius for  $q = 1$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is the structure sheaf on  $X$  and  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  denotes the 1st cohomology group of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  on  $X$ .

In this subsection, for given  $p$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_t$ , we give an algorithm to compute the action of Frobenius  $F^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} := \tilde{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^p := \tilde{I}^p$  be the ideal sheaves associated with the ideals  $I := \langle f_1, \dots, f_t \rangle_S$  and  $I^p := \langle f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p \rangle_S$ , respectively. Let  $\psi : S/I^p \rightarrow S/I$  denote the homomorphism defined by  $f + I^p \mapsto f + I$ , which corresponds to the immersion  $X \hookrightarrow X^{(p)}$ . For free resolutions of  $S/I^p$  and  $S/I$ , there exist  $\psi_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r+2$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & M_{r+2}^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r+1}^{(p)}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^{(p)}} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_2} S(-d_j^{(1)p}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^{(p)}} & S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^{(p)}} & S/I^p & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \psi_{r+2} & & & & \downarrow \psi_2 & & \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M_{r+2} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r+1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_2} S(-d_j^{(1)}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & S/I & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Here we give an algorithm to compute a representation matrix of  $F^*$ .

- (1) Compute a basis  $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \dots, v_g\}$  of the  $K$ -vector space  $H^2(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{I})$  by Algorithm 3.2.1.
- (2) Compute the lifting map  $\psi_r$  (e.g., see [5, Chapter 15] for details). Let  $C_r$  be the representation matrix of  $\psi_r$  as a homomorphism of  $S$ -modules.
- (3) Compute  $C_r \cdot (v_i)^p$  for  $1 \leq i \leq g$ , and the representation matrix of  $F^*$  via the basis  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## 6 Concluding remarks and future works

In this paper, we introduced and analyzed Maruyama's method to compute the dimensions of the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on a projective space. Our main contributions are as follows:

- (1) We wrote down Maruyama's method as an explicit algorithm (Algorithm 3.2.1 in Subsection 3.2) which compute not only the dimension but also a basis. As mentioned below, this basis is very useful to compute important invariants. We also implemented the algorithm over Magma as a new function "CohomologyBasis".
- (2) We analyzed the complexity of our algorithm to verify that our implementation has no unnecessary operations. We also examined the efficiency of our algorithm by experiments. In fact, the practical complexity of Algorithm 3.2.1 estimated by our implementation and experiments is  $O(D^2)$  while the complexity estimated theoretically in Subsection 3.5 is  $O(D^4)$ , where  $D$  is the asymptotic parameter of Algorithm 3.2.1 in our analysis. This is due to apply the pruning unnecessary operations to our implementation. Thus Algorithm 3.2.1 and our implementation are practical to investigate the structures of varieties in algebraic geometry.

- (3) As applications of Algorithm 3.2.1, we gave two further algorithms. One is an algorithm to compute the rank of morphisms of cohomology groups via bases obtained by Algorithm 3.2.1. Another one is to compute the rank of the representation matrix of the action of the Frobenius to varieties such as modular curves over fields of positive characteristics. The rank of the representation matrix of the action of the Frobenius is said to be the *Hasse-Witt rank* which is a very important invariant of varieties in algebraic geometry over fields of positive characteristics.

From our contributions of this work, it is concluded that Maruyama's method provides a very computationally useful tool to investigate the structures of varieties in algebraic geometry.

However, our algorithm works well under the assumption that a free resolution of a module has been computed. Thus it is necessary to improve the computation of a free resolution for a finitely generated module. From this, our future works are the following:

- Improve the efficiency of computing syzygies and free resolutions.
- Investigate the behavior of the Hasse-Witt rank of varieties over fields of positive characteristics and find a special class of varieties whose Hasse-Witt ranks take strange behavior.

**Acknowledgements** The author dedicates this paper to the late Masaki Maruyama and would like to offer the author's deepest sympathy. The author thanks Kazuhiro Yokoyama, Masayuki Noro, Yuichiro Taguchi and Masaya Yasuda for many helpful comments, corrections, and suggestions on this work. The author thanks Eiichi Sato and Shushi Harashita for helpful suggestions. The author also thanks Shun'ichi Yokoyama for many helpful comments and discussions on our implementation over Magma. The author is grateful to Toshiro Hiranouchi for helpful comments, and to Shinya Okumura for giving valuable information about Magma.

## References

- [1] Bosma, W., Cannon, J., Playoust, C.: The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **24**, 235–265 (1997)
- [2] Cox, D., Little, J., O'shea, D.: *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1992)
- [3] Cox, D., Little, J., O'shea, D.: *Using Algebraic Geometry*. GTM **185**, Springer-Verlag, New York – Berlin (1998)
- [4] Decker, W., Eisenbud, D.: Sheaf algorithms using the Exterior algebra. In: *Computations in Algebraic Geometry with Macaulay2*, Springer Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics Series **8**, 215–247, Springer-Verlag (2002)
- [5] Eisenbud, D.: *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*. GTM **150**, Springer (1996)
- [6] Eisenbud, D., Grayson, D., Stillman, M.: Appendix C: Using Macaulay 2. pp. 355–379. In: *Computational Methods in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry [V]* (1998)
- [7] Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic Geometry*. GTM **52**, Springer-Verlag (1977)

- [8] Kudo, M.: On the computation of the dimensions of the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on a projective space. Kyushu University, 2015/2/6 (2015) available at <http://www2.math.kyushu-u.ac.jp/~m-kudo/>
- [9] Maruyama, M.: [Gröbner Bases and the Application] (in Japanese). Kyoritsu Publisher (2002)
- [10] Schrijver, A.: Theory of Linear and Integral Programming. Wiley-Interscience series in discrete mathematics and optimization, Wiley (1998)
- [11] Serre, J.-P.: Faisceaux algébriques cohérents. Ann. of Math. **61**, 197–278 (1955)
- [12] Simith, G. G.: Computing Global Extension Module. Journal of Symbolic Computation **29**, 729–746 (2000)
- [13] Vasconcelos, W.: Computational Methods in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry. Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics **2**, Springer (1998)

## A Computation of global sections

Let  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$  be the polynomial ring with  $r + 1$  variables over a field  $K$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  the projective  $r$ -space on the field  $K$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  the structure sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ , let  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{H})$  denote the  $q$ -th cohomology group of  $\mathcal{H}$ . To simplify the notations, we denote  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  and  $H^q(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{H})$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$  and  $H^q(\mathcal{H})$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ , and  $M$  a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$ .

In this appendix, we give an algorithm to compute the dimension of the global section  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F}(n)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$ , where  $\mathcal{F}(n)$  denotes the  $n$ -th Serre twist of the coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ . The coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$  (resp. the finitely generated graded  $S$ -module  $M$ ) has a resolution (2.2.1) (resp. a (minimal) free resolution (3.1.1)). In the following, we give an explicit formula of  $\dim_K H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ .

**Theorem A.0.1 ([9], Chapter 6)** *Let  $\mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$  be the projective  $r$ -space with  $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  the structure sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$ . To simplify the notations, we denote  $\mathbb{P}_K^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$  by  $\mathbb{P}^r$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^r$ . Recall that the coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  has a projective resolution in a form (2.2.1). Put  $\mathcal{G}_i$  and  $\mathcal{K}_i$  as in (2.2.2). Then there exist the following isomorphisms of  $K$ -vector spaces::*

- (1)  $H^0(\mathcal{F}) \cong (H^0(\mathcal{G}_1)/\text{Ker}(H^0(f_0))) \oplus (\text{Ker}(H^r(f_r))/\text{Im}(H^r(f_{r+1})))$ .
- (2)  $\text{Ker}(H^0(f_0)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{K}_0)$ .
- (3)  $H^0(\mathcal{K}_0) \cong \text{Im}(H^0(f_1)) \oplus \text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r+1}))$ ,

where  $H^q(f_i)$  denotes the morphism  $H^q(X, \mathcal{G}_{i+1}) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{G}_i)$  induced by  $f_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r + 1$ . Thus we have the following formula of  $\dim_K H^0(\mathcal{F})$ :

$$\dim_K H^0(\mathcal{F}) = \dim_K H^0(\mathcal{G}_1) - \dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r+2}) + \dim_K H^r(\mathcal{G}_{r+1}) - \text{rk} H^0(f_1) - \text{rk} H^r(f_r),$$

where we set  $\text{rk} H^r(f_i) := \dim_K \text{Im}(H^r(f_i))$ .

*Proof.* As only a sketch of a proof is given in [9], we give a complete proof here.

(1) We have the following exact sequence of cohomology groups:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{K}_0) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{G}_1) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{K}_0) \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{A.0.1})$$

Since the  $K$ -homomorphism  $H^0(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{K}_0)$  is surjective, it follows that

$$H^0(\mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Im}(H^0(f_0)) \oplus H^1(\mathcal{K}_0) \cong (H^0(\mathcal{G}_1)/\text{Ker}(H^0(f_0))) \oplus H^1(\mathcal{K}_0).$$

In the same way as  $H^q(\mathcal{F})$  ( $1 \leq q \leq r$ ) (Theorem 2.2.1), we have the isomorphism  $H^1(\mathcal{K}_0) \cong \text{Ker}(H^r(f_r))/\text{Im}(H^r(f_{r+1}))$ .

(2) The result clearly holds by the exact sequence (A.0.1).

(3) By the exact sequence

$$H^0(\mathcal{G}_2) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{K}_0) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{K}_1) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have  $H^0(\mathcal{K}_0) \cong \text{Im}(\tau) \oplus H^1(\mathcal{K}_1)$ , where  $\tau$  denotes the morphism  $H^0(\mathcal{G}_2) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{K}_0)$ . Note that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_2) & \xrightarrow{H^0(f_1)} & H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_1) \\ & \searrow \tau & \nearrow \\ & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_0) & \end{array}$$

Since the morphism  $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_0) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_1)$  is injective, we have  $\text{Ker}(H^0(f_1)) = \text{Ker}(\tau)$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}(\tau) &\cong H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_2)/\text{Ker}(\tau) \\ &\cong H^0(X, \mathcal{G}_2)/\text{Ker}(H^0(f_1)) \\ &\cong \text{Im}(H^0(f_1)). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as  $H^q(\mathcal{F})$  ( $1 \leq q \leq r$ ) (Theorem 2.2.1), we have the isomorphism  $H^1(\mathcal{K}_1) \cong \text{Ker}(H^r(f_{r+1}))$  because  $\mathcal{K}_r \cong \mathcal{G}_{r+2}$ .  $\square$

By Theorem A.0.1, in a similar way to the case of  $1 \leq q \leq r$  (Section 3), it is possible to give an explicit algorithm to compute the dimension of the global section  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}(n)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$  (let us omit to write down it explicitly in this paper).

**List of MI Preprint Series, Kyushu University**  
**The Global COE Program**  
**Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub**

MI

- MI2008-1 Takahiro ITO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Abstract collision systems simulated by cellular automata
- MI2008-2 Eiji ONODERA  
The initial value problem for a third-order dispersive flow into compact almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-3 Hiroaki KIDO  
On isosceles sets in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space
- MI2008-4 Hirofumi NOTSU  
Numerical computations of cavity flow problems by a pressure stabilized characteristic-curve finite element scheme
- MI2008-5 Yoshiyasu OZEKI  
Torsion points of abelian varieties with values in infinite extensions over a p-adic field
- MI2008-6 Yoshiyuki TOMIYAMA  
Lifting Galois representations over arbitrary number fields
- MI2008-7 Takehiro HIROTSU & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The random walk model revisited
- MI2008-8 Silvia GANDY, Masaaki KANNO, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA  
Optimizing a particular real root of a polynomial by a special cylindrical algebraic decomposition
- MI2008-9 Kazufumi KIMOTO, Sho MATSUMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA  
Alpha-determinant cyclic modules and Jacobi polynomials
- MI2008-10 Sangyeol LEE & Hiroki MASUDA  
Jarque-Bera Normality Test for the Driven Lévy Process of a Discretely Observed Univariate SDE
- MI2008-11 Hiroyuki CHIHARA & Eiji ONODERA  
A third order dispersive flow for closed curves into almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-12 Takehiko KINOSHITA, Kouji HASHIMOTO and Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
On the  $L^2$  a priori error estimates to the finite element solution of elliptic problems with singular adjoint operator
- MI2008-13 Jacques FARAUT and Masato WAKAYAMA  
Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type and multivariate Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials

- MI2008-14 Takashi NAKAMURA  
Riemann zeta-values, Euler polynomials and the best constant of Sobolev inequality
- MI2008-15 Takashi NAKAMURA  
Some topics related to Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions
- MI2009-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Global time evolution of viscous vortex rings
- MI2009-2 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Regularized functional regression modeling for functional response and predictors
- MI2009-3 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Variable selection for functional regression model via the  $L_1$  regularization
- MI2009-4 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-5 Toshiro HIRANOUCI & Yuichiro TAGUCHI  
Flat modules and Groebner bases over truncated discrete valuation rings
- MI2009-6 Kenji KAJIWARA & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Bilinearization and Casorati determinant solutions to non-autonomous 1+1 dimensional discrete soliton equations
- MI2009-7 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around the plane Couette flow
- MI2009-8 Shohei TATEISHI, Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling via the lasso-type regularization
- MI2009-9 Takeshi TAKAISHI & Masato KIMURA  
Phase field model for mode III crack growth in two dimensional elasticity
- MI2009-10 Shingo SAITO  
Generalisation of Mack's formula for claims reserving with arbitrary exponents for the variance assumption
- MI2009-11 Kenji KAJIWARA, Masanobu KANEKO, Atsushi NOBE & Teruhisa TSUDA  
Ultradiscretization of a solvable two-dimensional chaotic map associated with the Hesse cubic curve
- MI2009-12 Tetsu MASUDA  
Hypergeometric  $\tau$ -functions of the q-Painlevé system of type  $E_8^{(1)}$
- MI2009-13 Hidenao IWANE, Hitoshi YANAMI, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA  
A Practical Implementation of a Symbolic-Numeric Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition for Quantifier Elimination
- MI2009-14 Yasunori MAEKAWA  
On Gaussian decay estimates of solutions to some linear elliptic equations and its applications

- MI2009-15 Yuya ISHIHARA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Large time behavior of the semigroup on  $L^p$  spaces associated with the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation in a cylindrical domain
- MI2009-16 Chikashi ARITA, Atsuo KUNIBA, Kazumitsu SAKAI & Tsuyoshi SAWABE  
Spectrum in multi-species asymmetric simple exclusion process on a ring
- MI2009-17 Masato WAKAYAMA & Keitaro YAMAMOTO  
Non-linear algebraic differential equations satisfied by certain family of elliptic functions
- MI2009-18 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Local Instability of an Elliptical Flow Subjected to a Coriolis Force
- MI2009-19 Mitsunori KAYANO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Sparse functional principal component analysis via regularized basis expansions and its application
- MI2009-20 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-21 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Yoshihiro MIWA & Masanobu KANEKO  
Elliptic curves and Fibonacci numbers arising from Lindenmayer system with symbolic computations
- MI2009-22 Eiji ONODERA  
A remark on the global existence of a third order dispersive flow into locally Hermitian symmetric spaces
- MI2009-23 Stjepan LUGOMER & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Generation of ribbons, helicoids and complex scherk surface in laser-matter Interactions
- MI2009-24 Yu KAWAKAMI  
Recent progress in value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss map
- MI2009-25 Takehiko KINOSHITA & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
On very accurate enclosure of the optimal constant in the a priori error estimates for  $H_0^2$ -projection
- MI2009-26 Manabu YOSHIDA  
Ramification of local fields and Fontaine's property (Pm)
- MI2009-27 Yu KAWAKAMI  
Value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss maps for flat fronts in hyperbolic three-space
- MI2009-28 Masahisa TABATA  
Numerical simulation of fluid movement in an hourglass by an energy-stable finite element scheme
- MI2009-29 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA  
Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to evolution equations in the presence of translation and scaling invariance



- MI2009-30 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA  
On asymptotic behaviors of solutions to parabolic systems modelling chemotaxis
- MI2009-31 Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI  
Hecke's zeros and higher depth determinants
- MI2009-32 Olivier PIRONNEAU & Masahisa TABATA  
Stability and convergence of a Galerkin-characteristics finite element scheme of lumped mass type
- MI2009-33 Chikashi ARITA  
Queueing process with excluded-volume effect
- MI2009-34 Kenji KAJIWARA, Nobutaka NAKAZONO & Teruhisa TSUDA  
Projective reduction of the discrete Painlevé system of type  $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$
- MI2009-35 Yosuke MIZUYAMA, Takamasa SHINDE, Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI  
Finite element computation for scattering problems of micro-hologram using DtN map
- MI2009-36 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
Exact simulation of finite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2009-37 Hiroki MASUDA  
On statistical aspects in calibrating a geometric skewed stable asset price model
- MI2010-1 Hiroki MASUDA  
Approximate self-weighted LAD estimation of discretely observed ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2010-2 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
Infinite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with discrete observations
- MI2010-3 Kei HIROSE, Shuichi KAWANO, Daisuke MIIKE & Sadanori KONISHI  
Hyper-parameter selection in Bayesian structural equation models
- MI2010-4 Nobuyuki IKEDA & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The Itô-Nisio theorem, quadratic Wiener functionals, and 1-solitons
- MI2010-5 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling and detecting change point via the relevance vector machine
- MI2010-6 Shuichi KAWANO, Toshihiro MISUMI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via graph-based regularization
- MI2010-7 Teruhisa TSUDA  
UC hierarchy and monodromy preserving deformation
- MI2010-8 Takahiro ITO  
Abstract collision systems on groups

- MI2010-9 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Kinji KIMURA, Naoki YOSHIDA, Junko TANAKA & Yoshihiro MIWA  
An algebraic approach to underdetermined experiments
- MI2010-10 Kei HIROSE & Sadanori KONISHI  
Variable selection via the grouped weighted lasso for factor analysis models
- MI2010-11 Katsusuke NABESHIMA & Hiroshi YOSHIDA  
Derivation of specific conditions with Comprehensive Groebner Systems
- MI2010-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI, Yu NAGAFUCHI & Takeshi SUDO  
Decay estimates on solutions of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a Poiseuille type flow
- MI2010-13 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
On simulation of tempered stable random variates
- MI2010-14 Yoshiyasu OZEKI  
Non-existence of certain Galois representations with a uniform tame inertia weight
- MI2010-15 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Local Instability of a Rotating Flow Driven by Precession of Arbitrary Frequency
- MI2010-16 Yu KAWAKAMI & Daisuke NAKAJO  
The value distribution of the Gauss map of improper affine spheres
- MI2010-17 Kazunori YASUTAKE  
On the classification of rank 2 almost Fano bundles on projective space
- MI2010-18 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
Scaling limits for the system of semi-relativistic particles coupled to a scalar bose field
- MI2010-19 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
Local asymptotic normality for normal inverse Gaussian Lévy processes with high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-20 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO, Makoto HIROTA & Youichi MIE  
Lagrangian approach to weakly nonlinear stability of an elliptical flow
- MI2010-21 Hiroki MASUDA  
Approximate quadratic estimating function for discretely observed Lévy driven SDEs with application to a noise normality test
- MI2010-22 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
A Generalized Scaling Limit and its Application to the Semi-Relativistic Particles System Coupled to a Bose Field with Removing Ultraviolet Cutoffs
- MI2010-23 Takahiro ITO, Mitsuhiro FUJIO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Composition, union and division of cellular automata on groups
- MI2010-24 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
A Hardy's Uncertainty Principle Lemma in Weak Commutation Relations of Heisenberg-Lie Algebra

- MI2010-25 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
On the Essential Self-Adjointness of Anti-Commutative Operators
- MI2010-26 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
On the local asymptotic behavior of the likelihood function for Meixner Lévy processes under high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-27 Chikashi ARITA & Daichi YANAGISAWA  
Exclusive Queueing Process with Discrete Time
- MI2010-28 Jun-ichi INOBUCHI, Kenji KAJIWARA, Nozomu MATSUURA & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Motion and Bäcklund transformations of discrete plane curves
- MI2010-29 Takanori YASUDA, Masaya YASUDA, Takeshi SHIMOYAMA & Jun KOGURE  
On the Number of the Pairing-friendly Curves
- MI2010-30 Chikashi ARITA & Kohei MOTEGI  
Spin-spin correlation functions of the  $q$ -VBS state of an integer spin model
- MI2010-31 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling and spike detection via Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2010-32 Nobutaka NAKAZONO  
Hypergeometric  $\tau$  functions of the  $q$ -Painlevé systems of type  $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$
- MI2010-33 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Global existence of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around parallel flows
- MI2010-34 Nobushige KUROKAWA, Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI  
Milnor-Selberg zeta functions and zeta regularizations
- MI2010-35 Kissani PERERA & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Laplacian energy of directed graphs and minimizing maximum outdegree algorithms
- MI2010-36 Takanori YASUDA  
CAP representations of inner forms of  $Sp(4)$  with respect to Klingen parabolic subgroup
- MI2010-37 Chikashi ARITA & Andreas SCHADSCHNEIDER  
Dynamical analysis of the exclusive queueing process
- MI2011-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Alexander B. SAMOKHIN  
Singular electromagnetic modes in an anisotropic medium
- MI2011-2 Hiroki KONDO, Shingo SAITO & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
Asymptotic tail dependence of the normal copula
- MI2011-3 Takehiro HIROTSU, Hiroki KONDO, Shingo SAITO, Takuya SATO, Tatsushi TANAKA & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
Anderson-Darling test and the Malliavin calculus
- MI2011-4 Hiroshi INOUE, Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling via Compressed Sensing

- MI2011-5 Hiroshi INOUE  
Implications in Compressed Sensing and the Restricted Isometry Property
- MI2011-6 Daeju KIM & Sadanori KONISHI  
Predictive information criterion for nonlinear regression model based on basis expansion methods
- MI2011-7 Shohei TATEISHI, Chiaki KINJYO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Group variable selection via relevance vector machine
- MI2011-8 Jan BREZINA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Decay properties of solutions to the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow  
Group variable selection via relevance vector machine
- MI2011-9 Chikashi ARITA, Arvind AYYER, Kirone MALLICK & Sylvain PROLHAC  
Recursive structures in the multispecies TASEP
- MI2011-10 Kazunori YASUTAKE  
On projective space bundle with nef normalized tautological line bundle
- MI2011-11 Hisashi ANDO, Mike HAY, Kenji KAJIWARA & Tetsu MASUDA  
An explicit formula for the discrete power function associated with circle patterns of Schramm type
- MI2011-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a parallel flow
- MI2011-13 Vladimír CHALUPECKÝ & Adrian MUNTEAN  
Semi-discrete finite difference multiscale scheme for a concrete corrosion model: approximation estimates and convergence
- MI2011-14 Jun-ichi INOBUCHI, Kenji KAJIWARA, Nozomu MATSUURA & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Explicit solutions to the semi-discrete modified KdV equation and motion of discrete plane curves
- MI2011-15 Hiroshi INOUE  
A generalization of restricted isometry property and applications to compressed sensing
- MI2011-16 Yu KAWAKAMI  
A ramification theorem for the ratio of canonical forms of flat surfaces in hyperbolic three-space
- MI2011-17 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Matroid intersection with priority constraints
- MI2012-1 Kazufumi KIMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA  
Spectrum of non-commutative harmonic oscillators and residual modular forms
- MI2012-2 Hiroki MASUDA  
Mighty convergence of the Gaussian quasi-likelihood random fields for ergodic Levy driven SDE observed at high frequency

- MI2012-3 Hiroshi INOUE  
A Weak RIP of theory of compressed sensing and LASSO
- MI2012-4 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Youich MIE  
Hamiltonian bifurcation theory for a rotating flow subject to elliptic straining field
- MI2012-5 Yu KAWAKAMI  
On the maximal number of exceptional values of Gauss maps for various classes of surfaces
- MI2012-6 Marcio GAMEIRO, Yasuaki HIRAOKA, Shunsuke IZUMI, Miroslav KRAMAR, Konstantin MISCHAIKOW & Vidit NANDA  
Topological Measurement of Protein Compressibility via Persistence Diagrams
- MI2012-7 Nobutaka NAKAZONO & Seiji NISHIOKA  
Solutions to a  $q$ -analog of Painlevé III equation of type  $D_7^{(1)}$
- MI2012-8 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
A new approach to the Pareto stable matching problem
- MI2012-9 Jan BREZINA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Spectral properties of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow
- MI2012-10 Jan BREZINA  
Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a time-periodic parallel flow
- MI2012-11 Daeju KIM, Shuichi KAWANO & Yoshiyuki NINOMIYA  
Adaptive basis expansion via the extended fused lasso
- MI2012-12 Masato WAKAYAMA  
On simplicity of the lowest eigenvalue of non-commutative harmonic oscillators
- MI2012-13 Masatoshi OKITA  
On the convergence rates for the compressible Navier- Stokes equations with potential force
- MI2013-1 Abuduwaili PAERHATI & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
A Counter-example to Thomson-Tait-Chetayev's Theorem
- MI2013-2 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Hirofumi SAKUMA  
A unified view of topological invariants of barotropic and baroclinic fluids and their application to formal stability analysis of three-dimensional ideal gas flows
- MI2013-3 Hiroki MASUDA  
Asymptotics for functionals of self-normalized residuals of discretely observed stochastic processes
- MI2013-4 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
On Counting Output Patterns of Logic Circuits
- MI2013-5 Hiroshi INOUE  
RIPless Theory for Compressed Sensing

- MI2013-6 Hiroshi INOUE  
Improved bounds on Restricted isometry for compressed sensing
- MI2013-7 Hidetoshi MATSUI  
Variable and boundary selection for functional data via multiclass logistic regression modeling
- MI2013-8 Hidetoshi MATSUI  
Variable selection for varying coefficient models with the sparse regularization
- MI2013-9 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Packing Arborescences in Acyclic Temporal Networks
- MI2013-10 Masato WAKAYAMA  
Equivalence between the eigenvalue problem of non-commutative harmonic oscillators and existence of holomorphic solutions of Heun's differential equations, eigenstates degeneration, and Rabi's model
- MI2013-11 Masatoshi OKITA  
Optimal decay rate for strong solutions in critical spaces to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations
- MI2013-12 Shuichi KAWANO, Ibuki HOSHINA, Kazuki MATSUDA & Sadanori KONISHI  
Predictive model selection criteria for Bayesian lasso
- MI2013-13 Hayato CHIBA  
The First Painleve Equation on the Weighted Projective Space
- MI2013-14 Hidetoshi MATSUI  
Variable selection for functional linear models with functional predictors and a functional response
- MI2013-15 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
The Fault-Tolerant Facility Location Problem with Submodular Penalties
- MI2013-16 Hidetoshi MATSUI  
Selection of classification boundaries using the logistic regression
- MI2014-1 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Popular Matchings under Matroid Constraints
- MI2014-2 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Youichi MIE  
Lagrangian approach to weakly nonlinear interaction of Kelvin waves and a symmetry-breaking bifurcation of a rotating flow
- MI2014-3 Reika AOYAMA  
Decay estimates on solutions of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a Parallel flow in a cylindrical domain
- MI2014-4 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
The Popular Condensation Problem under Matroid Constraints

- MI2014-5 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Kazuyuki TSUDA  
Existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation for time periodic external force with symmetry
- MI2014-6 This paper was withdrawn by the authors.
- MI2014-7 Masatoshi OKITA  
On decay estimate of strong solutions in critical spaces for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations
- MI2014-8 Rong ZOU & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Local stability analysis of azimuthal magnetorotational instability of ideal MHD flows
- MI2014-9 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Naoki MAKIO  
Spectral properties of the linearized semigroup of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation on a periodic layer
- MI2014-10 Kazuyuki TSUDA  
On the existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation on the whole space
- MI2014-11 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Takaaki NISHIDA  
Instability of plane Poiseuille flow in viscous compressible gas
- MI2014-12 Chien-Chung HUANG, Naonori KAKIMURA & Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Exact and approximation algorithms for weighted matroid intersection
- MI2014-13 Yusuke SHIMIZU  
Moment convergence of regularized least-squares estimator for linear regression model
- MI2015-1 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Yuta UMEZU  
Sparse regularization for multivariate linear models for functional data
- MI2015-2 Reika AOYAMA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Spectral properties of the semigroup for the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a parallel flow in a cylindrical domain
- MI2015-3 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Stable Matchings with Ties, Master Preference Lists, and Matroid Constraints
- MI2015-4 Reika AOYAMA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Large time behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations around a parallel flow in a cylindrical domain
- MI2015-5 Kazuyuki TSUDA  
Existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system on  $R^3$
- MI2015-6 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Popular Matchings with Ties and Matroid Constraints

- MI2015-7 Shoichi EGUCHI & Hiroki MASUDA  
Quasi-Bayesian model comparison for LAQ models
- MI2015-8 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Ryouta OOMACHI  
Stability of time periodic solution of the Navier-Stokes equation on the half-space  
under oscillatory moving boundary condition
- MI2016-1 Momonari KUDO  
Analysis of an algorithm to compute the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves and  
its applications