Performance Assessment of Existing Korean School Building (I) : Mechanical and Physical Properties of the Rafter Members

Kim, Gwang-Chul

Department of Housing environmental design, College of Human Ecology, Chonbuk National University

Kang, Chun-Won

Department of Housing environmental design, College of Human Ecology, Chonbuk National University

Matsumura, Junji

Laboratory of Wood Science, Department of Forest and Forest Product Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University

<https://doi.org/10.5109/16127>

出版情報 : 九州大学大学院農学研究院紀要. 54 (2), pp.439-444, 2009-10-29. Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University

バージョン :

権利関係 :

Performance Assessment of Existing Korean School Building (I) – Mechanical and Physical Properties of the Rafter Members –

Gwang-Chul KIM^{*1}, Chun-Won KANG¹
and Junji MATSUMURA²

Laboratory of Wood Science, Department of Forest and Forest Product Science,
Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812–8581, Japan
(Received June 30, 2009 and accepted July 13, 2009)

Many potentially valuable wood residues from buildings are ending up as broken-up waste destined for the landfills in Korea. This practice is a significant economic loss. An accurate estimation of residual capacities of the lumber found in older structures is required prior to the promotion of the reuse and recycle ratio. For this purpose, precursor studies are required on the accurate assessment of residual capacities according to time for typical construction species used in Korea. The case study for this current research is the rafter members of the Iksan national college educational building in Korea. The age of the rafter members is estimated to be 50 years. 196 sample specimens were visually divided into two groups according to annual ring and cross-section features.

From the results of this study, the following conclusions have been made:

1. The moisture content of group 1 was found to be slightly higher than that of group 2. In the case of oven-dried density, the averages between the two groups were almost the same.
2. The strength values of group 2 were all higher than those of group 1 with the exception of shear strength. It is assumed that the domestic major construction species is a type of hard pine according to the obtained physical and mechanical properties, and visual characteristics.
3. The main fracture mode of group 1 is that of cross grain tension. In the case of group 2, the fracture modes are distinguished by a mixed mode rather than by one type of mode. Failure types for compressive strength are all of a crushing failure type with the exception of the splitting failure type for specimen 7 in group 2.
4. There were no significant differences between the two groups in terms of mechanical properties. However, there was an obvious visual difference between the two groups.

Keywords: residual capacity, moisture content, fracture mode, mechanical properties

INTRODUCTION

In Korea, innumerable residential wooden structures were built from sawn lumber and timber during the end of the decade. Many wood-framed complex structures were also built after the restoration of independence from Japan. Specially, almost all of the buildings that were constructed after this time were built with a wooden roof frame. However, many potentially valuable wood materials from these buildings are ending up as broken-up waste destined for the landfills in Korea. This practice is a significant economic loss. Therefore, many opportunities to reuse these materials could be possible provided that the true mechanical properties of the lumber found in these older structures can be determined by an accurate species analysis and residual capacity assessment. For example, for secondary members in wood-framed constructions, where special safety is not required, materials can be reused for the manufacture of antique furniture and can be reprocessed as eco-interior materials. Specifically, because the timber used for the members of

these wood-framed complex structures was untreated, they can be utilized for many reused and recycled eco-materials that require minimal structural performance.

Nonetheless, an accurate estimation of residual capacities of the lumber found in older structures is required prior to the promotion of the reuse and recycle ratio. For this purpose, precursor studies are required on the accurate assessment of residual capacities according to time for typical construction species used in Korea. Unfortunately, such studies associated with residual capacities for existing structures have not been carried out.

The case study for this current research is the rafter members of the Iksan national college educational building in Korea. The roof system of the building has been recently remodeled. A sequence of studies has been carried out by the author on an assessment of the residual capacities of lumber found in this older structure.

The age of the rafter members is estimated to be 50 years. From a visual inspection, the members were categorized into two groups. An assessment of the mechanical and physical properties of the lumber found in the structure was initially carried out. An analysis of variance was conducted to determine if the test results varied between the two groups. The differences were tested to determine if they were significant at the level of 95 percent.

¹ Department of Housing environmental design, College of Human Ecology, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju 561–756, Korea

² Laboratory of Wood Science, Department of Forest and Forest Product Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812–8581, Japan

* Corresponding author (E-mail: gckim@chonbuk.ac.kr)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The target building for this current research is the educational building of Iksan National College in Korea. The building has been used as a lecture hall since 1959. The age of the rafter members is therefore estimated to be 50 years. The building is of a mixed structural type, with stone, wood and brick. In 2007, the building was remodeled for the installation of a heating and air-conditioning system. The rafter members in the roof frame were procured for this study.

There were a total of 196 pieces as sample specimens. These 196 rafters were visually divided into two groups according to annual ring and cross-sectional features. (Group I: 99, group II: 97) Group I had straight and dense a grain of wood than that of group II. And group I had a narrow width of annual ring than that of group II. Also, group I showed a sudden transition of earlywood to late-wood and had a wide width than that of group II. Accordingly, group I had a coarse texture than that of

group II. Group I were almost yellow or yellowish brown color, while, group II were almost light white color and some silk color. Figure 1 is the target building for current research and Fig. 2 is rafter members of target building. Figure 3 show the cross section cutting specimens of rafter members (Left: group I, Right: group II). The size and number of rafter members by group were presented in Table 1.

Small specimens were cut from the rafter members for moisture content measurement and density determination, in accordance with ASTM D4442–92 and ASTM D2395–02. There were more than 10 examples of each sample specimen, which were 25×25×25 mm for MC measurement, and 50×50×150 mm for density determination. To evaluate the mechanical properties of the rafter members, 10 specimens were prepared for each strength category according to ASTM D 143–94. For the compressive strength specimen, the sizes were 25×25×100 mm (1mm/min), for the bending strength specimen, the sizes were 25×25×410 mm (1.3 mm/min), for the shear strength specimen, the sizes were 50×50×63 mm (0.6 mm/min), and for the tensile strength specimen the length was 460 mm, the thickness of the center was 4.8 mm, and the center length was 63 mm (1 mm/min). A domestic testing machine was used to evaluate the mechanical properties. (R&B model Unitech STM, Maximum load capacity 10 ton).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical properties of the rafter members for each group

Table 2 shows the physical properties of rafter mem-

Table 2. Physical properties of the rafter members

Specimen Number	Group I		Group II	
	MC (%)	Oven-dried density (g/cm ³)	MC (%)	Oven-dried density (g/cm ³)
1	16.5	0.39	14.6	0.58
2	23.1	0.49	15.1	0.52
3	23.3	0.44	15.5	0.50
4	23.4	0.45	15.0	0.47
5	17.6	0.48	15.4	0.53
6	23.8	0.45	14.9	0.45
7	17.0	0.43	15.2	0.45
8	22.7	0.52	16.6	0.36
9	23.0	0.50	15.1	0.46
10	26.6	0.52	17.1	0.35
11	23.6	0.44	14.9	0.46
12	23.1	0.52	17.3	0.35
13	23.9	0.45	15.5	0.38
14	–	–	16.7	0.35
15	–	–	14.8	0.45
Average	22.1	0.47	15.6	0.44
Standard deviation	3.1	0.04	0.9	0.07

Fig. 1. The target building for current research.

Fig. 2. Photos of rafter members.

Table 1. The size and number of rafter members by group

	Depth (mm)	Width (mm)	Length (cm)	No.
Group 1	89–140	88–124	165–376	99
Group 2	104–133	114–144	101–367	97

Fig. 3. The cross section cutting specimens of rafter members (Left: group I, Right: group II).

bers by visual grouping. The moisture content of group 1 was found to be higher than that of group 2.

In the case of oven-dried density, the averages were almost the same between the two groups. The oven-dried density of rafter members is similar to that of the domestic construction species. According to the physical properties and visual characteristics, Group 1 could be suggested as hard pine (*Pinus* spp.) and group 2 could be suggested as fir (*Abies* spp.).

Mechanical properties of rafter members

Table 3 shows the test results for the mechanical properties of rafter members according to ASTM. All strength values of group 2 were higher than those of group 1 with the exception of shear strength. Considering the density, all strength values of group 1 should be higher than those of group 2. Specifically, almost all the tensile specimens for group 1 were fractured in the vicinity of the tensile grip. It was therefore impossible to obtain accurate tensile strength values. It is assumed that the primary cause on the fracture in the vicinity of the tensile grip is that group 1 had a higher MC than that of group 2. However, in order to determine more specific reasons, a follow-up study should be carried out. In addition, the tensile strength should be higher than the compressive strength in the standard condition. However, the abnormal fracture in the vicinity of the tensile grip resulted in a lower tensile strength than compressive strength.

The shear strength should be 10% of the bending strength in a normal condition. Similar results have been obtained in this current study.

At the time when the existing structure was built, in Korea, wood construction members were not treated with preservatives. Furthermore, there were no standards or regulations for the structural design of wood construction members. Such structures have therefore suffered from overload during this time period. It can therefore

generally be assumed that rafter members found in older structures have undergone considerable strength reduction. Conversely, rafter members can be reused and recycled for use as eco-interior and antique furniture materials because they were not treated with preservatives.

Fracture modes and failure types of rafter members

Fracture modes of bending strength specimens are shown in Table 4, Fig. 5, Fig. 6, and Fig. 7. The main fracture mode of group 1 is the cross grain tension. The remaining fracture modes of simple tension, brash tension, and compression have the same proportion. In the case of group 2, the fracture modes are distinguished by a mixed mode rather than by one type. The most common case was that where a mixed fracture mode of com-

Fig. 4. Testing machine used to evaluate the mechanical properties.

Fig. 5. Fracture mode of bending strength specimen for group 1.

Table 3. Mechanical properties of the rafter members (MPa)

	Compressive strength		Bending Strength		Shear strength		Tensile strength	
	Group I	Group II	Group I	Group II	Group I	Group II	Group I	Group II
1	35.9	51.5	71.9	91.9	7.0	8.0	43.6	42.3
2	43.8	40.8	55.7	100.7	8.5	7.3	28.6	25.4
3	29.8	32.2	78.7	91.7	8.5	6.9	15.1	25.2
4	29.8	35.3	56.4	83.6	7.9	7.3	—	40.0
5	34.1	34.8	85.4	65.3	9.1	8.1	24.0	46.0
6	26.9	46.2	80.4	79.5	9.0	7.3	26.0	24.0
7	42.7	39.2	91.3	84.6	9.4	7.2	23.0	29.4
8	42.7	44.1	73.8	98.4	5.5	7.9	24.2	30.1
9	37.3	30.6	60.4	64.1	6.1	7.4	9.2	40.7
10	29.9	39.4	71.4	79.0	6.9	6.8	23.4	25.3
Average	35.3	39.4	72.6	83.9	7.8	7.4	24.1	32.8
Standard deviation	6.2	6.5	12.0	12.5	1.3	0.4	9.4	8.5

Table 4. Fracture modes of rafter members

	Group I		Group II	
	Fracture Mode			
	1	compression	1	compression & cross grain tension
	2	brash tension	2	compression & simple tension
	3	cross grain tension	3	cross grain tension
	4	cross grain tension	4	compression & simple tension
	5	compression	5	cross grain tension
	6	cross grain tension	6	compression & cross grain tension
	7	cross grain tension	7	compression & cross grain tension
	8	simple tension	8	brash tension & simple tension
	9	brash tension	9	brash tension & simple tension
	10	simple tension	10	compression & cross grain tension

Fig. 6. Fracture mode of bending strength specimen for group 2. **Fig. 7.** Fracture modes of compressive strength specimens for groups 1 and 2.

pression and cross grain tension occurred simultaneously. The next most common case was that of a simple tension fracture mode.

The failure types for compressive strength are all crushing failure types with the exception of the splitting failure type for specimen 7 in group 2.

Statistical significance test of the mechanical properties between groups

To determine if the results of each strength test from the two groups differed and in order to determine if they differed between the two groups, a variance analysis was conducted. The differences were tested to determine if they were significant at the level of 95 percent. Excel was used for statistical analysis. An F-test was conducted to verify whether the two groups have the

same variance prior to the t-test. If the p-value was less than 0.05, heteroscedasticity should be assumed, otherwise homoscedasticity should be assumed. In the current study, all p-values for four mechanical properties exceeded 0.05 (See appendix A). A t-test was therefore conducted by assuming equal variance. For the t-test results, all p-values are larger than 0.05 (See appendix B). Therefore, there was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of mechanical properties.

However, there was an obvious visual difference between the two groups. Additional research is therefore required in order to estimate the residual strength from the data related to the existing domestic construction wood.

Appendixes A.

F-test result of compressive strength

Summary

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	10	352.9	35.29	38.64322
Column 2	10	394.1	39.41	42.66544

ANOVA

Source of variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F critical
Between groups	84.872	1	84.872	2.08765	0.165678	4.413873
Within groups	731.778	18	40.65433			
Total	816.65	19				

F-test result of tensile strength

Summary				
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	9	217.1	24.12222	88.60444
Column 2	10	328.4	32.84	71.53156

ANOVA						
Source of variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F critical
Between groups	359.9983	1	359.9983	4.524533	0.04835	4.451322
Within groups	1352.62	17	79.56586			
Total	1712.618	18				

F-test result of bending strength

Summary				
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	10	725.4	72.54	145.756
Column 2	10	838.8	83.88	155.4751

ANOVA						
Source of variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F critical
Between groups	642.978	1	642.978	4.269001	0.053513	4.413873
Within groups	2711.08	18	150.6156			
Total	3354.058	19				

F-test result of shear strength

Summary				
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	10	77.9	7.79	1.812111
Column 2	10	74.2	7.42	0.197333

ANOVA						
Source of variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F critical
Between groups	0.6845	1	0.6845	0.681283	0.419951	4.413873
Within groups	18.085	18	1.004722			

Appendix B.

t-test result of compressive strength

t-test: (assuming equal variances)

	Variable 1	Variable 2
Mean	35.29	39.41
Variance	38.64322	42.66544
Observations	10	10
Pooled variance	40.65433	
Hypothesized Mean difference	0	
df	18	
t value	-1.44487	
P (T<=t) one-tail	0.082839	
t critical one-tail	1.734064	
P (T<=t) two-tail	0.165678	
t critical two-tail	2.100922	

t-test result of tensile strength

t-test: (assuming equal variances)

	Variable 1	Variable 2
Mean	24.12222	32.84
Variance	88.60444	71.53156
Observations	9	10
Pooled variance	79.56586	
Hypothesized Mean difference	0	
df	17	
t value	-2.12709	
P (T<=t) one-tail	0.024175	
t critical one-tail	1.739607	
P (T<=t) two-tail	0.04835	
t critical two-tail	2.109816	

t-test result of bending strength
t-test: (assuming equal variances)

	Variable 1	Variable 2
Mean	72.54	83.88
Variance	145.756	155.4751
Observations	10	10
Pooled variance	150.6156	
Hypothesized Mean difference	0	
df	18	
t value	-2.06616	
P (T<=t) one-tail	0.026757	
T critical one-tail	1.734064	
P (T<=t) two-tail	0.053513	
t critical two-tail	2.100922	

t-test result of shear strength
t-test: (assuming equal variances)

	Variable 1	Variable 2
Mean	7.79	7.42
Variance	1.812111	0.197333
Observations	10	10
Pooled variance	1.004722	
Hypothesized Mean difference	0	
df	18	
t value	0.825399	
P (T<=t) one-tail	0.209975	
T critical one-tail	1.734064	
P (T<=t) two-tail	0.419951	
t critical two-tail	2.100922	

CONCLUSION

Because studies associated with residual capacities for existing structures in Korea have not been conducted, this present study has been carried out. The case study building used for this research was the educational building of Iksan National College in Korea. The building has been used as a lecture hall since 1959. There were a total of 196 pieces used as sample specimens. These 196 rafters were visually divided into two groups according to annual ring and cross-section features. Although the sample sizes that were available in this study were rather small, the testing and analysis indicate that lumber recycled from this domestic school building has the potential to be reused in secondary members in wood-framed construction that does not require special safety standards and can be reprocessed as eco-interior or antique furniture materials.

From the results of this study, the following conclusions have been made:

1. The moisture content of group 1 was found to be higher than that of group 2. In the case of oven-dried density, the averages between the two groups were almost the same.
2. The strength values of group 2 were all higher than those of group 1 with the exception of shear strength.
3. The main Fracture mode of group 1 is that of cross

grain tension. In the case of group 2, the fracture modes are distinguished by a mixed mode rather than by one type of mode. Failure types for compressive strength are all of a crushing failure type with the exception of the splitting failure type for specimen 7 in group 2.

4. There were no significant differences between the two groups in terms of mechanical properties. However, because there was an obvious visual difference between the two groups, additional research is required.

REFERENCES

- ASTM D 143-94. Standard Test Methods for Small Clear Specimens of Timber
- ASTM D2395-02 Standard Test Methods for Specific Gravity of Wood and Wood-Based Materials
- ASTM D4442-92 Standard Test Methods for Direct Moisture Content Measurement of Wood and Wood-Base Materials
- Fridley, K. J., Mitchell, J. B., Hunt, M. O., and Senft, J. F. 1996. Effect of 85 years of service on mechanical properties of timber roof members. Part 1. Experimental Observations. *Forest Prod. J.* **46**(5): 72-78
- Lanius, R. M., Tichy, R., and Bulliet, W. M. 1981. Strength of old joists. *J. Of Struct. Engrg.*, ASCE, **107**(12): 2349-2364
- Rammer, D. R., and Lebow, P. K. 1997. Shear strength of unchecked Douglas-fir beams. *J. of Matls. in Const.*, ASCE, **9**(3): 130-138