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#### Abstract

Plane Poiseuille flow in viscous compressible fluid is known to be asymptotically stable if Reynolds number R and Mach number M are sufficiently small. On the other hand, for R and M being not necessarily small, an instability criterion for plane Poiseuille flow is known; and the criterion says that, when R increases, a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues of the linearized operator cross the imaginary axis. In this paper it is proved that a spatially periodic traveling wave bifurcates from plane Poiseuille flow when the critical eigenvalues cross the imaginary axis.
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## 1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the bifurcation of traveling waves from plane Poiseuille flow of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation. We consider the following system of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} \rho+\operatorname{div}(\rho v)=0  \tag{1.1}\\
\rho\left(\partial_{t} v+v \cdot \nabla v\right)-\mu \Delta v-\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \nabla \operatorname{div} v+\nabla P(\rho)=\rho \boldsymbol{g} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

in a 2-dimensional infinite layer $\Omega_{\ell}=\mathbb{R} \times(0, \ell)$ :

$$
\Omega_{\ell}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right): x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, 0<x_{2}<\ell\right\} .
$$

Here $\rho=\rho(x, t)$ and $v=^{\top}\left(v^{1}(x, t), v^{2}(x, t)\right)$ denote the density and velocity, respectively, at time $t \geq 0$ and position $x \in \Omega_{\ell} ; P=P(\rho)$ is the pressure that is assumed to be a smooth function of $\rho$ satisfying

$$
P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{*}\right)>0
$$

for a given constant $\rho_{*}>0 ; \mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ are the viscosity coefficients that are assumed to be constants and satisfy

$$
\mu>0, \quad \mu+\mu^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

div, $\nabla$ and $\Delta$ denote the usual divergence, gradient and Laplacian with respect to $x$; and $\boldsymbol{g}$ is a given external force. Here and in what follows ${ }^{\top}$. stands for the transposition.

We assume that the external force $\boldsymbol{g}$ takes the form

$$
\boldsymbol{g}=g \boldsymbol{e}_{1},
$$

where $g$ is a positive constant and $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}=^{\top}(1,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
The system (1.1)-(1.2) is considered under the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.v\right|_{x_{2}=0, \ell}=0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also require periodicity of $\rho$ and $v$ in $x_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(x_{1}+\frac{2 \pi}{\alpha}, x_{2}\right)=\rho\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \quad v\left(x_{1}+\frac{2 \pi}{\alpha}, x_{2}\right)=v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha>0$ is a given wave number.
It is easily seen that (1.1)-(1.4) has a stationary solution $\bar{u}_{s}={ }^{\top}\left(\bar{\rho}_{s}, \bar{v}_{s}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\bar{\rho}_{s}=\rho_{*}, \quad \bar{v}_{s}=\frac{\rho_{*} g}{2 \mu} x_{2}\left(\ell-x_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{1}
$$

that is the so-called plane Poiseuille flow.
The aim of this paper is to show the bifurcation of traveling wave solutions from plane Poiseuille flow.

The function $\bar{v}_{s}$ also gives a stationary solution representing parallel flow of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation. It is known that stationary parallel flow of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation is stable under any initial perturbations in $L^{2}$ if the Reynolds number R is sufficiently small. Furthermore, plane Poiseuille flow is stable under sufficiently small initial perturbations if $\mathrm{R}<\mathrm{R}_{c}$ for a critical number $\mathrm{R}_{c} \sim 5772$, and unstable if $\mathrm{R}>\mathrm{R}_{c}([9])$.

As for the compressible case, the stability of parallel flow in the infinite layer $\Omega_{\ell}$ was studied in [7]; and it was proved that parallel flow is asymptotically stable under perturbations sufficiently small in some Sobolev space over $\Omega_{\ell}$ if the Reynolds and Mach numbers are sufficiently small. In [8] an instability criterion was established; plane Poiseuille flow of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation (1.1)-(1.4) is linearly unstable if $\alpha \ll 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{280}>\gamma^{2}, \quad \frac{1}{280}-\gamma^{2}>\frac{\nu}{30 \gamma^{2}}\left(3 \nu+\nu^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu=\frac{\mu}{8 \rho_{*}+V_{0}}, \nu^{\prime}=\frac{\mu^{\prime}}{8 \rho_{*} \ell V_{0}}$ and $\gamma=\frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{*}\right)}}{8 V_{0}}$ with $V_{0}=\frac{\rho_{*} \ell \ell}{8 \mu}$ being the maximum velocity of plane Poiseuille flow $\bar{v}_{s}$. More precisely, the spectrum of the linearized operator $-L$ consists of simple eigenvalues $\lambda_{\alpha k}\left(|k|=1, \cdots, n_{0}\right)$ for some $n_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lambda_{\alpha k}=-\frac{i}{6}(\alpha k)+\kappa_{0}(\alpha k)^{2}+O\left(|\alpha k|^{3}\right) \quad(\alpha k \rightarrow 0) .
$$

Here $\kappa_{0}$ is the number given by

$$
\kappa_{0}=\frac{1}{12 \nu}\left[\left(\frac{1}{280}-\gamma^{2}\right)-\frac{\nu}{30 \gamma^{2}}\left(3 \nu+\nu^{\prime}\right)\right] .
$$

As a consequence, if $\alpha \ll 1$ and (1.5) is satisfied, then $\kappa_{0}>0$ and plane Poiseuille flow $\bar{u}_{s}={ }^{\top}\left(\bar{\rho}_{s}, \bar{v}_{s}\right)$ is linearly unstable. Note that the Reynolds number R and Mach number M are given by $\mathrm{R}=\frac{1}{16 \nu}$ and $\mathrm{M}=\frac{1}{8 \gamma}$, respectively. Instability condition (1.5) is thus restated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}>\sqrt{\frac{35}{8}} \sim 2.09, \quad \frac{1}{35}-\frac{1}{8 \mathrm{M}^{2}}>\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{15 \mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{3}{\mathrm{R}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{16 \nu^{\prime}}$. Therefore, Reynolds and Mach numbers are not small when (1.5) (i.e., (1.6)) is satisfied. For example, if $\mathrm{M}=2.5, \mathrm{R}=\frac{173}{16} \sim 10.81$ and $\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}=-\frac{2}{3 \mathrm{R}}$ (i.e., $\nu^{\prime}=-\frac{2 \nu}{3}$ ), then instability condition (1.6) (i.e., (1.5)) is satisfied. ${ }^{1}$

When the instability described above occurs, there seems to appear the Hopf bifurcation. In fact, if $\gamma^{2}$ is fixed so that $\frac{1}{280}-\gamma^{2}>0$, one can find the value $\nu_{1}>0$ such that $\kappa_{0}<0$ for $\nu=\nu_{1}$. When $\nu$ is decreased from $\nu_{1}$, complex conjugate eingenvalues $\lambda_{ \pm \alpha}$ cross the imaginary axis at some $\nu=\nu_{0}$. We will show that there are traveling wave solutions, which are periodic in $x_{1}$ and $t$, bifurcating from plane Poiseuille flow for $\nu \sim \nu_{0}$, provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(-L) \cap\{\lambda ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda=0\}=\left\{\lambda_{\alpha}, \lambda_{-\alpha}\right\} \text { at } \nu=\nu_{0} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since Iooss and Padula ([6]) proved that $\sigma(-L) \cap\{\lambda ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda>-c\}$ consists of finite number of eigenvalues with finite multiplicities for some constant $c>0$, it seems very unlikely that the assumption (1.7) is not satisfied for all $\alpha \ll 1$. We also note that we construct bifurcating solutions from Poiseuille flow when $\nu$ and $\gamma$ are small, which implies that Poiseuille flow is large, in other words, we show the bifurcation from large stationary solution.

The bifurcation problem for compressible fluid was firstly treated by Nishida-Padula-Teramoto [11] (cf., [10]); and the existence of the bifurcating convection solutions was proved for thermal convection problem. The main difficulty in the proof of the bifurcation arises from the convection term $v \cdot \nabla \rho$ in (1.1) which may cause the derivative-loss, in other words, it is not Frechét differentiable in a standard setting. In [11], the effective viscous flux was used to overcome this difficulty

[^0]and establish the necessary estimates for the proof of the bifurcation of stationary convective patterns. (Cf., [1, 5].) In this paper we will not use the effective viscous flux but employ the iterative method in which the convection term $v \cdot \nabla \rho$ in (1.1) is regarded as a part of the principal part as in the proof of the local solvability of the time evolution problem. The method of this paper will be widely applicable to the bifurcation problem for certain classes of quasilinear hyperbolic-parabolic systems.

To prove the existence of bifurcating traveling waves, we rewrite the time evolution problem to a stationary problem in a moving coordinates. We then decompose the stationary problem into the null space of the linearized operator and its complementary subspace. One of the points of the proof is to establish the solvability in the complementary subspace, for which we apply the Matsumura-Nishida energy method [12] and the results on the resolvent problem for transport equation by Heywood and Padula [4] for a linear system which includes the convective term $v \cdot \nabla \rho$ as in (1.1) with a given velocity $v$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we derive a non-dimensional form of system (1.1)-(1.2) and rewrite it into the system of equations for the perturbation. We also introduce notation used in this paper. In section 3 we state the instability result of Poiseuille flow obtained in [8], and in section 4, we state the main result of this paper on the existence of bifurcating traveling waves. Sections 5-8 are devoted to the proof of the main result. In section 5 we first formulate the problem. We then rewrite the time evolution problem to a stationary problem in a moving coordinates, and we give a proof of the main result. In section 6 we prove the solvability in the complementary subspace. Section 7 is devoted to a proof of a periodic version of Bogovskii's lemma. In section 8 we present a proof of the solvability in the null space of the linearized operator.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we first derive a non-dimensional form of system (1.1)-(1.2) and then give the system of equations for the perturbation. In the end of this section we introduce notations used in this paper.

### 2.1 Non-dimensionalization

We introduce the following non-dimensional variables:

$$
x=\ell \tilde{x}, t=\frac{\ell}{V} \tilde{t}, v=V \tilde{v}, \rho=\rho_{*} \tilde{\rho}, P=\rho_{*} V^{2} p
$$

with

$$
V=\frac{\rho_{*} g \ell^{2}}{\mu}
$$

Under this transformation, (1.1) and (1.2) on $\Omega_{\ell}$ are written, by omitting tildes, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \rho+\operatorname{div}(\rho v)=0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\partial_{t} v+v \cdot \nabla v\right)-\nu \Delta v-\left(\nu+\nu^{\prime}\right) \nabla \operatorname{div} v+\nabla p(\rho)=\nu \rho \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the infinite layer $\Omega=\Omega_{1}$ :

$$
\Omega=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right): x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, 0<x_{2}<1\right\} .
$$

Here and in what follows we denote $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}=^{\top}(1,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; \nu$ and $\nu^{\prime}$ are the nondimensional parameters given by

$$
\nu=\frac{\mu}{\rho_{*} \ell V}, \quad \nu^{\prime}=\frac{\mu^{\prime}}{\rho_{*} \ell V} .
$$

The assumption $P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{*}\right)>0$ is restated as

$$
p^{\prime}(1)>0 \text {. }
$$

To derive (2.2) we have used the relation $\frac{\ell g}{V^{2}}=\nu$.
We will show the existence of traveling wave solutions of (2.1)-(2.2) bifurcating from Poiseuille flow. Due to the above non-dimensionalization, the Poiseuille flow is transformed to

$$
u_{s}={ }^{\top}\left(\rho_{s}, v_{s}\right),
$$

where

$$
\rho_{s}=1, \quad v_{s}=^{\top}\left(v_{s}^{1}\left(x_{2}\right), 0\right), \quad v_{s}^{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-x_{2}^{2}+x_{2}\right) .
$$

We next derive the system of equations for the perturbation. We substitute $u(t)=^{\top}(\phi(t), w(t)) \equiv{ }^{\top}\left(\gamma^{2}\left(\rho(t)-\rho_{s}\right), v(t)-v_{s}\right)$ into (2.1) and (2.2), where $\gamma$ is the non-dimensional number given by

$$
\gamma=\sqrt{p^{\prime}(1)}=\frac{\sqrt{P^{\prime}\left(\rho_{*}\right)}}{V}
$$

Noting that $\rho_{s}=1, v_{s}={ }^{\top}\left(v_{s}^{1}\left(x_{2}\right), 0\right)$ and $-\Delta v_{s}=\boldsymbol{e}_{1}$, we obtain the following system of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} \phi+v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} \phi+\gamma^{2} \operatorname{div} w=f^{0}  \tag{2.3}\\
\partial_{t} w-\nu \Delta w-\tilde{\nu} \nabla \operatorname{div} w+\nabla \phi-\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}} \phi \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} w+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right) w^{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}=f . \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\tilde{\nu}=\nu+\nu^{\prime}$; and $f^{0}$ and $f={ }^{\top}\left(f^{1}, f^{2}\right)$ denote the nonlinearities:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{0}=-\operatorname{div}(\phi w) \\
f=-w \cdot \nabla w-\frac{\phi}{\gamma^{2}+\phi}\left(\nu \Delta w+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}} \phi \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\tilde{\nu} \nabla \operatorname{div} w\right)+P^{(1)}(\phi) \phi \nabla \phi
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
P^{(1)}(\phi)=\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}+\phi}\left(1-\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} P^{\prime \prime}\left(1+\theta \gamma^{-2} \phi\right) d \theta\right)
$$

We consider (2.3)-(2.4) under the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.w\right|_{x_{2}=0,1}=0, \quad \phi, w: \frac{2 \pi}{\alpha} \text {-periodic in } x_{1}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0}=^{\top}\left(\phi_{0}, w_{0}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\alpha$ is a given positive number.

### 2.2 Notation

We introduce some notations used in this paper. For given $\alpha>0$, we denote the basic period cell by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}=\left[-\frac{\pi}{\alpha}, \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\right) .
$$

We set

$$
\Omega_{\alpha}=\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} \times(0,1)
$$

We denote by $C_{p e r}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\alpha}\right)$ the space of restrictions to $\bar{\Omega}_{\alpha}$ of functions in $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ which are $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$-periodic in $x_{1}$. We also denote by $C_{p e r, 0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$ the space of restrictions to $\bar{\Omega}_{\alpha}$ of functions in $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ which are $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$-periodic in $x_{1}$ and vanish near $x_{2}=0,1$.

We set

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{p e r}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)=\text { the } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right) \text {-closure of } C_{p e r, 0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right), \\
H_{p e r}^{k}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)=\text { the } H^{k}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right) \text {-closure of } C_{p e r}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\alpha}\right), \\
H_{p e r, 0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)=\text { the } H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right) \text {-closure of } C_{p e r, 0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We note that if $f \in H_{p e r, 0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$, then $\left.f\right|_{x_{1}=-\pi / \alpha}=\left.f\right|_{x_{1}=\pi / \alpha}$ and $\left.f\right|_{x_{2}=0,1}=0 . H_{p e r}^{-1}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$ stands for the dual space of $H_{p e r, 0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$. The inner product of $f_{j} \in L_{p e r}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)(j=1,2)$ is denoted by

$$
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\int_{\Omega_{\alpha}} f_{1}(x) \overline{f_{2}(x)} d x
$$

where $\bar{z}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $z$.
The mean value of a function $\phi(x)$ over $\Omega_{\alpha}$ is denoted by $\langle\phi\rangle$ :

$$
\langle\phi\rangle=\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{\alpha}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{\alpha}} \phi(x) d x .
$$

The set of all $\phi \in L_{p e r}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$ with $\langle\phi\rangle=0$ is denoted by $L_{p e r, *}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
L_{p e r, *}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)=\left\{\phi \in L_{p e r}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right):\langle\phi\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

Furthermore, we set

$$
H_{p e r, *}^{k}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)=H_{p e r}^{k}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right) \cap L_{p e r, *}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right) .
$$

For simplicity the set of all vector fields whose components are in $L_{p e r}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$ (resp. $H_{\text {per }, 0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right), H_{\text {per }}^{k}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$ ) is also denoted by $L_{\text {per }}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$ (resp. $H_{p e r, 0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right), H_{p e r}^{k}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$ ) if no confusion will occur.

We also use notation $L_{\text {per }}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$ for the set of all $u=^{\top}(\phi, w)$ with $\phi \in L_{\text {per }}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$ and $w={ }^{\top}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}\right) \in L_{p e r}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)$ if no confusion will occur. The inner product of $u_{j}={ }^{\top}\left(\phi_{j}, w_{j}\right) \in L_{p e r}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right)(j=1,2)$ is defined by

$$
\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\alpha}} \phi_{1}(x) \overline{\phi_{2}(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega_{\alpha}} w_{1}(x) \cdot \overline{w_{2}(x)} d x .
$$

In what follows we abbreviate $\Omega_{\alpha}$ in $L_{p e r}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right), H_{p e r}^{k}\left(\Omega_{\alpha}\right), \cdots$, and etc., and write them as $L_{p e r}^{2}, H_{p e r}^{k}, \cdots$, and etc.

We denote by $L^{2}(0,1)$ the usual $L^{2}$ space on $(0,1)$ with norm $|\cdot|_{L^{2}}$, and, likewise, by $H^{k}(0,1)$ the $k$ th order $L^{2}$-Sobolev space on $(0,1)$ with norm $|\cdot|_{H^{k}}$. The $H^{1}$ closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(0,1)$ is denoted by $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. As in the case of functions on $\Omega_{\alpha}$, function spaces of vector fields $w=^{\top}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}\right)$ and, also, those of $u=^{\top}(\phi, w)$, are simply denoted by $L^{2}(0,1), H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$, and so on. We define an inner product $\left\langle\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ of $u_{j}=^{\top}\left(\phi_{j}, w_{j}\right) \in L^{2}(0,1)(j=1,2)$, by

$$
\left\langle\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) \overline{\phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)} d x_{2}+\int_{0}^{1} w_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdot \overline{w_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)} d x_{2} .
$$

We denote the resolvent set of a closed operator $A$ by $\rho(A)$ and the spectrum of $A$ by $\sigma(A)$. The null space and the range of $A$ are denoted by $N(A)$ and $R(A)$, respectively.

## 3 Instability of Poiseuille flow

In this section we consider the instability of Poisueille flow.
Let us consider the linearized problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} \phi+v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} \phi+\gamma^{2} \operatorname{div} w=0,  \tag{3.1}\\
\partial_{t} w-\nu \Delta w-\tilde{\nu} \nabla \operatorname{div} w+\nabla \phi-\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}} \phi \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} w+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right) w^{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}=0,  \tag{3.2}\\
\left.w\right|_{x_{2}=0,1}=0, \quad \phi, w: \frac{2 \pi}{\alpha} \text {-periodic in } x_{1},  \tag{3.3}\\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0}=^{\top}\left(\phi_{0}, w_{0}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

We set

$$
X=L_{p e r, *}^{2} \times\left(L_{p e r}^{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

We define the operator $L$ on $X$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
D(L)=\left\{u=^{\top}(\phi, w) \in X ; w \in\left(H_{p e r, 0}^{1}\right)^{2}, L u \in X\right\} \\
L=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} & \gamma^{2} \operatorname{div} \\
\nabla & -\nu \Delta-\tilde{\nu} \nabla \operatorname{div}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} & v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}}+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{1}^{\top} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Recall that $\tilde{\nu}=\nu+\nu^{\prime} \geq 0$. As in [6] one can show that $-L$ generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup in $X$.

We state an instability criterion for Poiseuille flow.
Theorem 3.1. ([8]) There exist constants $r_{0}>0$ and $\eta_{0}>0$ such that if $\alpha \leq r_{0}$, then

$$
\sigma(-L) \cap\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:|\lambda| \leq \eta_{0}\right\}=\left\{\lambda_{\alpha k}:|k|=1, \cdots, n_{0}\right\}
$$

for some $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\lambda_{\alpha k}$ are simple eigenvalues of $-L$ that satisfies

$$
\lambda_{\alpha k}=-\frac{i}{6}(\alpha k)+\kappa_{0}(\alpha k)^{2}+O\left(|\alpha k|^{3}\right)
$$

as $\alpha k \rightarrow 0$. Here $\kappa_{0}$ is the number given by

$$
\kappa_{0}=\frac{1}{12 \nu}\left[\left(\frac{1}{280}-\gamma^{2}\right)-\frac{\nu}{30 \gamma^{2}}\left(3 \nu+\nu^{\prime}\right)\right] .
$$

As a consequence, if $\gamma^{2}<\frac{1}{280}$ and $\nu\left(3 \nu+\nu^{\prime}\right)<30 \gamma^{2}\left(\frac{1}{280}-\gamma^{2}\right)$, then $\kappa_{0}>0$ and plane Poiseuille flow $u_{s}={ }^{\top}\left(\phi_{s}, v_{s}\right)$ is linearly unstable.

Remark 3.2. The eigenspace for $\lambda_{\alpha k}$ is spanned by a function of the form $u\left(x_{2}\right) e^{i \alpha k x_{1}}$ where $u\left(x_{2}\right)$ is an eigenfunction for $\lambda_{\alpha k}$ of $-L_{\eta, k}$. Here $L_{\eta, k}$ is an operator appearing in (5.2) below. See [8, Sections 4-6].

## 4 Traveling wave solutions

In this section we state the result on the existence of traveling wave solutions bifurcating from the Poiseuille flow when it becomes unstable as in Theorem 3.1.

We fix $\gamma$ such that $\frac{1}{280}-\gamma^{2}>0$. We will take $\nu$ as a bifurcation parameter, and therefore, denote the eigenvalue $\lambda_{\alpha k}$ by $\lambda_{\alpha k}(\nu)$ :

$$
\lambda_{\alpha k}=\lambda_{\alpha k}(\nu),
$$

and the linearized operator $L$ by $L_{\nu}$ :

$$
L=L_{\nu} .
$$

Let $\tilde{\nu}_{0}>0$ be the number satisfying $\kappa_{0}=0$, where $\kappa_{0}$ is the coefficient of $(\alpha k)^{2}$ in $\lambda_{\alpha k}(\nu)$ given in Theorem 3.1. Then, by a perturbation argument, one can see that for each $0<\alpha \ll 1$, there exists $\nu_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{ \pm \alpha}\left(\nu_{0}\right)=0 ; \\
\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{ \pm \alpha}(\nu)<0 \Leftrightarrow \nu>\nu_{0} ; \\
\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{ \pm \alpha}(\nu)>0 \Leftrightarrow \nu<\nu_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From [8, Section 6], one can see that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{\alpha}(\nu)$ is analytic in $\alpha^{2}$. Setting $\zeta\left(\alpha^{2}, \nu\right)=$ $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{\alpha}(\nu) / \alpha^{2}$, we see that $\partial_{\nu} \zeta\left(\alpha^{2}, \nu\right)=-\frac{1}{12 \nu^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{1}{280}-\gamma^{2}\right)+\frac{\nu^{2}}{10 \gamma^{2}}\right]+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)<0$ for $\alpha \ll 1$, and so $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{\alpha}(\nu)$ crosses the imaginary axis from left to right at $\nu=\nu_{0}$ when $\nu$ is decreased.

We make the following assumption:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(-L_{\nu_{0}}\right) \cap\{\lambda ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda=0\}=\left\{\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\nu_{0}\right), \lambda_{-\alpha}\left(\nu_{0}\right)\right\} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Assume that (4.1) holds true. Then there is a solution branch $\{\nu, u\}=\left\{\nu_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}\right\} \quad(|\varepsilon| \ll 1)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{\varepsilon}=\nu_{0}+O(\varepsilon), \\
& u_{\varepsilon}=u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}-c_{\varepsilon} t, x_{2}\right), \\
& u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}+\frac{2 \pi}{\alpha}, x_{2}\right)=u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \\
& u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\varepsilon\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\frac{1}{2 \gamma^{2}}\left(-x_{2}^{2}+x_{2}\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right) \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cos \alpha x_{1}(1+O(\alpha))+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \\
& c_{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{6}+O(\varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.2. Iooss and Padula ([6]) showed that, for each $\nu$, there exists a positive number $c$ such that the set

$$
\sigma\left(-L_{\nu}\right) \cap\{\lambda ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-c\}
$$

consists of a finite number of eigenvalues with finite multiplicities. (See Lemma 6.10 below.) Therefore, it seems very unlikely that assumption (4.1) does not hold true for all $\alpha \ll 1$.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 4.1

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 4.1.
We set $\eta=\nu-\nu_{0}$ that will be taken as a new bifurcation parameter. For simplicity, we write $L_{\eta}$ for $L_{\eta+\nu_{0}}$ omitting $\nu_{0}$.

### 5.1 Spectrum of $-L_{0}$

We first make an observation of the spectrum of $-L_{\eta}$. Let us consider the resolvent problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda u+L u=F . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We expand $u$ and $F$ into the Fourier series in $x_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} u_{k}\left(x_{2}\right) e^{i \alpha k x_{1}}, \quad u_{k}=^{\top}\left(\phi_{k}, w_{k}\right), \\
& F=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{k}\left(x_{2}\right) e^{i \alpha k x_{1}}, \quad F_{k}=^{\top}\left(f_{k}^{0}, f_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{0}\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{2}=\int_{0}^{1} f_{0}^{0}\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{2}=0$. Then the problem is reduce to the following problems for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda+L_{\eta, k}\right) u_{k}=F_{k} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $L_{\eta, k}$ is the operator on $L_{k}^{2}(0,1) \times L^{2}(0,1)^{2}$ obtained by replacing $\partial_{x_{1}}$ in $L$ by $i \alpha k$ with domain $D\left(L_{\eta, k}\right)=\left\{u_{k}={ }^{\top}\left(\phi_{k}, w_{k}\right) \in L_{k}^{2}(0,1) \times L^{2}(0,1)^{2} ; w_{k} \in\right.$
$\left.H_{0}^{1}(0,1), L_{\eta, k} u_{k} \in L_{k}^{2}(0,1) \times L^{2}(0,1)^{2}\right\}$, where $L_{k}^{2}(0,1)=L^{2}(0,1)$ when $k \neq 0$ and $L_{0}^{2}(0,1)=L^{2}(0,1) \cap\left\{\phi ; \int_{0}^{1} \phi\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{2}=0\right\}$.

Let $\tilde{X}=L_{p e r}^{2} \times\left(L_{p e r}^{2}\right)^{2}$. We denote by $\tilde{L}$ the extension of $L$ to $\tilde{X}$, more precisely, $\tilde{L}$ is an operator on $\tilde{X}$ with domain $D(\tilde{L})=\left\{u=^{\top}(\phi, w) \in \tilde{X} ; w \in\left(H_{p e r, 0}^{1}\right)^{2}, \tilde{L} u \in \tilde{X}\right\}$ and $\tilde{L}$ has the same form as $L$. Similarly, we define an operator $\tilde{L}_{\eta, k}$ on $L^{2}(0,1) \times$ $L^{2}(0,1)^{2}$ by the extension of $L_{\eta, k}$ to $L_{\tilde{L}}^{2}(0,1) \times L^{2}(0,1)^{2}$. Note that $\tilde{L}_{\eta, k}=L_{\eta, k}$ when $k \neq 0$ and $L_{\eta, 0}$ is the restriction of $\tilde{L}_{0, \eta}$ to $L_{0}^{2}(0,1) \times L^{2}(0,1)^{2}$. We also introduce the adjoint operator $\tilde{L}^{*}$ (with respect to the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ ) which is given by

$$
\tilde{L}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} & -\nu^{\top} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}-\gamma^{2} \operatorname{div} \\
-\nabla & -\nu \Delta-\tilde{\nu} \nabla \operatorname{div}-v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}}+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{2}^{\top} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Similarly, the adjoint operators $\tilde{L}_{\eta, k}^{*}$ of $\tilde{L}_{\eta, k}$ are defined.
Since $X$ is an invariant set of $\tilde{L}$, we see that if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $-L$, then the eigenprojection for $\lambda$ of $-L$ is the restriction of the eigenprojection for $\lambda$ of $-\tilde{L}$. The same also holds for eigenprojections of $L_{\eta, 0}$ and $\tilde{L}_{\eta, 0}$.

Under the assumption (4.1), the following claims are concluded. In what follows we denote the critical eigenvalues $\lambda_{ \pm \alpha}\left(\nu_{0}\right)$ by $\pm i a$ with $a=-\frac{\alpha}{6}\left(1+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ :

$$
\lambda_{ \pm \alpha}\left(\nu_{0}\right)= \pm i a .
$$

As for $\sigma\left(-L_{0, k}\right)$, we have

- $k= \pm 1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(-L_{0, \pm 1}\right) \cap\{\lambda ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda=0\}=\{ \pm i a\}, \\
& \pm i a \text { are isolated simple eigenvalues of }-L_{0, \pm 1}, \\
& N\left( \pm i a+L_{0, \pm 1}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{ \pm 1}\right\}, \quad v_{-1}=\overline{v_{+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $k \neq \pm 1$ : there exists a constant $\beta>0$ such that $\sigma\left(-L_{0, k}\right) \subset\{\lambda ;|\operatorname{Re} \lambda| \geq \beta\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \neq \pm 1$.

The eigenprojections for $\pm i a$ are given in terms of eigenfunctions of the adjoint operator $\tilde{L}_{0, k}^{*}$. Namely, we have
the eigenprojections $\Pi_{ \pm}$for $\pm i a$ are given by $\Pi_{ \pm} u=\left\langle\left\langle u, v_{ \pm 1}^{*}\right\rangle\right\rangle v_{ \pm 1}$, where $N\left(\mp i a+\tilde{L}_{0, \pm 1}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{ \pm 1}^{*}\right\},\left\langle\left\langle v_{ \pm 1}, v_{ \pm 1}^{*}\right\rangle\right\rangle=1$.

It then follows that $\sigma\left(-L_{0}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(-L_{0}\right) \cap\{\lambda ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda=0\}=\{ \pm i a\}, \\
& \pm i a \text { are isolated simple eigenvalues of }-L_{0}, \\
& N\left( \pm i a+L_{0}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{V_{ \pm}\right\}, \\
& \text {where } V_{ \pm}=v_{ \pm 1}\left(x_{2}\right) e^{ \pm i \alpha x_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, $V_{ \pm}^{*}=\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi} v_{ \pm 1}^{*}\left(x_{2}\right) e^{ \pm i \alpha x_{1}}$ satisfy

$$
-\tilde{L}_{0}^{*} V_{ \pm}^{*}=\mp i a V_{ \pm}^{*},\left\langle V_{ \pm}, V_{ \pm}^{*}\right\rangle=1,\left\langle V_{ \pm}, V_{\mp}^{*}\right\rangle=0
$$

and the eigenprojections $P_{ \pm}$for $\pm i a$ of $-L$ are given by

$$
P_{ \pm} V=\left\langle V, V_{ \pm}^{*}\right\rangle V_{ \pm} .
$$

It was proved in [7] that eigenfunctions $V_{ \pm}$and $V_{ \pm}^{*}$ are smooth and, for each nonnegative integer $k$, eigenprojections $P_{ \pm}$are bounded from $L_{p e r, *}^{2} \times L_{p e r}^{2}$ to $H_{p e r, *}^{k} \times H_{p e r}^{k}$ :

$$
\left\|P_{ \pm} u\right\|_{H^{k} \times H^{k}} \leq C_{k}\|u\|_{2} .
$$

See [7, Lemma 4.3]. These boundedness properties of $P_{ \pm}$will be employed later.

### 5.2 Traveling wave solution

Let us consider the nonlinear problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \tilde{u}+L_{\eta} \tilde{u}=F(\eta, \tilde{u}), \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(\eta, \tilde{u})$ denotes the nonlinear term.
We look for a solution in the form

$$
\tilde{u}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=u\left(x_{1}-c t, x_{2}\right) .
$$

We substitute this into (5.3). Then the problem is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{c, \eta} u=F(\eta, u), \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{L}_{c, \eta}=L_{\eta}-c \partial_{x_{1}} .
$$

We first investigate the spectrum of $-\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}$.

### 5.3 Spectrum of $-\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}$

The following proposition on the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}$ follows from the observation in section 5.1.

Proposition 5.1. Set $c_{0}=-\frac{a}{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\sigma\left(-\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}\right) \cap\{\lambda ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda=0\}=\{0\},
$$

0 is an isolated semisimple eigenvalue of $-\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}$,
$N\left(-\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{V_{+}, V_{-}\right\}, \quad V_{-}=\overline{V_{+}}$.

Let us next introduce the eigenprojection for the eigenvalue 0 of $-\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}$. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} V_{+}, \quad V_{2}=\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} V_{+} \\
V_{1}^{*} & =\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} V_{+}^{*}, \quad V_{2}^{*}=\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} V_{+}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N\left(-\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}\right\}, \\
& \left\langle V_{j}, V_{k}^{*}\right\rangle=\delta_{j k}, \quad j, k=1,2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We introduce the following notation $\llbracket u \rrbracket_{j}(j=1,2)$ :

$$
\llbracket u \rrbracket_{j}=\left\langle u, V_{j}^{*}\right\rangle .
$$

Proposition 5.2. Define $P, P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ by

$$
P u=P_{1} u+P_{2} u, \quad P_{j} u=\llbracket u \rrbracket_{j} V_{j} \quad(j=1,2) .
$$

Then $P$ is the eigenprojection for eigenvalue 0 of $-\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}$; and

$$
R\left(P_{j}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{V_{j}\right\}, \quad P_{j}^{2}=P_{j}, \quad P_{j} P_{k}=O(j \neq k)
$$

For each nonnegative integer $k, P_{j}$ are bounded from $L_{p e r, *}^{2} \times L_{p e r}^{2}$ to $H_{p e r, *}^{k} \times H_{p e r}^{k}$ :

$$
\left\|P_{j} u\right\|_{H^{k} \times H^{k}} \leq C\|u\|_{2} .
$$

Furthermore, $u \in R\left(I-P_{j}\right)$ if and only if $\llbracket u \rrbracket_{j}=0$.

### 5.4 Formulation of the problem

We look for solutions of (5.4) in a neighborhood of $\{c, \eta, u\}=\left\{c_{0}, 0,0\right\}$ in the form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=\varepsilon\left(V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right), \quad V \in R(Q), \quad Q=I-P, \\
c=c_{0}+\varepsilon \sigma .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter. Note that $P_{2} u=0$.
We set

$$
K_{0}=\frac{1}{\eta}\left(L_{\eta}-L_{0}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \boldsymbol{e}_{1} & -\Delta-\nabla \text { div }
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
L_{\eta}=L_{0}+\eta K_{0}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{L}_{c, \eta}=\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}-\varepsilon \sigma \partial_{x_{1}}+\eta K_{0}
$$

We scale $\eta$ as

$$
\eta=\varepsilon \omega .
$$

Problem (5.4) is then written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0} V-\sigma \partial_{x_{1}}\left(V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right)+\omega K_{0}\left(V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} F\left(\varepsilon \omega, \varepsilon\left(V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right)\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the right-hand side by

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} F\left(\varepsilon \omega, \varepsilon\left(V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right)\right)=-N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right]\left(V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right)+G\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon \omega, V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right),
$$

where

$$
N[\tilde{u}] u=^{\top}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}), 0)
$$

for $\tilde{u}={ }^{\top}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{w})$ and $u={ }^{\top}(\phi, w)$, and

$$
G(\varepsilon, \omega, u)=^{\top}(0, g(\varepsilon, \omega, u))
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\varepsilon, \omega, u)= & -w \cdot \nabla w-\frac{\phi}{\gamma^{2}+\varepsilon \phi}\left(\left(\nu_{0}+\omega\right) \Delta w+\frac{\left(\nu_{0}+\omega\right)}{\gamma^{2}} \phi \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\left(\tilde{\nu}_{0}+\omega\right) \nabla \operatorname{div} w\right) \\
& +P^{(1)}(\varepsilon \phi) \phi \nabla \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

for $u={ }^{\top}(\phi, w)$, where $\tilde{\nu}_{0}=\nu_{0}+\nu^{\prime}$.
We decompose (5.5) into the $P_{j}$-parts $(j=1,2)$ and $Q$-part. Here and in what follows we set

$$
Q=I-P=I-P_{1}-P_{2} .
$$

We take the inner product of (5.5) with $V_{j}^{*}(j=1,2)$ and apply $Q$ to (5.5). Since

$$
\llbracket \partial_{x_{1}}\left(V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right) \rrbracket_{1}=0, \quad \llbracket \partial_{x_{1}}\left(V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right) \rrbracket_{2}=-\alpha,
$$

we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega \llbracket K_{0} V_{1} \rrbracket_{1}= & -\varepsilon \omega \llbracket K_{0} V \rrbracket_{1}-\llbracket N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right]\left(V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right) \rrbracket_{1} \\
& +\llbracket G\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon \omega, V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right) \rrbracket_{1}, \\
\omega \llbracket K_{0} V_{1} \rrbracket_{2}+\alpha \sigma= & -\varepsilon \omega \llbracket K_{0} V \rrbracket_{2}-\llbracket N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right]\left(V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right) \rrbracket_{2} \\
& +\llbracket G\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon \omega, V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right) \rrbracket_{2}, \\
\omega Q K_{0} V_{1}+\left(\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}-\right. & \left.\varepsilon \sigma Q \partial_{x_{1}}+\varepsilon Q N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right]\right) V \\
=-\varepsilon \omega Q K_{0} V- & Q N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right] V_{1}+Q G\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon \omega, V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus arrive at the following problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(\varepsilon, \sigma, V) U=\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, U) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
U=^{\top}(\omega, \sigma, V) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{2}
$$

Here $X^{\ell}$ denotes the function space

$$
X^{\ell}=H_{p e r, *}^{\ell} \times\left[H_{p e r}^{\ell+1} \cap H_{p e r, 0}^{1}\right], \quad \ell=1,2,
$$

and, for a given $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V}) \in \mathbb{R} \times X^{2}, T(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})$ is the linear map defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V}): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times Q X^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times Q\left(H^{\ell} \times H^{\ell-1}\right), \ell=1,2, \\
T(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\llbracket K_{0} V_{1} \rrbracket_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\llbracket K_{0} V_{2} \rrbracket_{1} & \alpha & 0 \\
Q K_{0} V_{1} & 0 & \mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} Q \partial_{x_{1}}+\varepsilon Q N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon \tilde{V}\right]
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, U)$ is the nonlinear map given by

$$
\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, U)=^{\top}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}(\varepsilon, U), \mathcal{F}_{2}(\varepsilon, U), \mathcal{F}_{3}(\varepsilon, U)\right) \quad\left(U={ }^{\top}(\omega, \sigma, V)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{j}(\varepsilon, U)= & -\varepsilon \omega \llbracket K_{0} V \rrbracket_{j}-\llbracket N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right]\left(V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right) \rrbracket_{j}+\llbracket G\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon \omega, V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right) \rrbracket_{j}, \\
& (j=1,2), \\
\mathcal{F}_{3}(\varepsilon, U)= & -\varepsilon \omega Q K_{0} V-Q N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right] V_{1}+Q G\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon \omega, V_{1}+\varepsilon V\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Concerning $T(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})$ we have the following
Proposition 5.3. (i) $\llbracket K_{0} V_{1} \rrbracket_{1}>0$.
(ii) For given $M>0$, there exists $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that if $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{1}$ and $|\tilde{\sigma}|+\|\tilde{V}\|_{X^{2}} \leq$ $M$, then $\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} Q \partial_{x_{1}}+\varepsilon Q N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon \tilde{V}\right]$ has a bounded inverse from $Q\left(H_{\text {per }, *}^{\ell} \times H_{\text {per }}^{\ell-1}\right)$ to $Q X^{\ell}(\ell=1,2)$.
(iii) Under the assumption of (ii), $T(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})$ has a bounded inverse from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times$ $Q\left(H_{\text {per }, *}^{\ell} \times H_{\text {per }}^{\ell-1}\right)$ to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times Q X^{\ell}(\ell=1,2)$, and it holds that for $U={ }^{\top}(\tilde{\eta}, \sigma, V)$,

$$
\left\|T(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})^{-1} U\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{\ell}} \leq C_{1}\|U\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times H^{\ell} \times H^{\ell-1}}, \quad \ell=1,2 .
$$

We will give a proof of Proposition 5.3 (ii) and (iii) in section 6, and a proof of (i) will be given in section 8 .

As for $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, U)$, using Sobolev inequalities, we have the following estimates by a straightforward computation.

Proposition 5.4. For given $M \in\left(0, \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2 C_{S}}\right]$, there exists $\varepsilon_{2}>0$ such that if $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{2}$, $\|U\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{2}} \leq M$ and $\left\|U^{(j)}\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{2}} \leq M(j=1,2)$, then the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, U)-\mathcal{F}(0,0)\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times H^{2} \times H^{1}} \leq C(M) M|\varepsilon| \\
\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(\varepsilon, U^{(1)}\right)-\mathcal{F}\left(\varepsilon, U^{(2)}\right)\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times H^{1} \times H^{0}} \leq C(M) \mid \varepsilon\| \| U^{(1)}-U^{(2)} \|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C(M)>0$ is a nondecreasing continuous function of $M$.

### 5.5 Iteration

The desired solution branch in Theorem 4.1 can now be obtained by an iteration argument.

We define $U^{(n)}={ }^{\top}\left(\omega^{(n)}, \sigma^{(n)}, V^{(n)}\right)(n \geq 1)$ in the following way. $U^{(1)}$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(0,0,0) U^{(1)} & =\mathcal{F}(0,0) \\
& ={ }^{\top}\left(\llbracket F\left(0, V_{1}\right) \rrbracket_{1}, \llbracket F\left(0, V_{1}\right) \rrbracket_{2}, Q F\left(0, V_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $F\left(0, V_{1}\right)=-N\left[V_{1}\right] V_{1}+G\left(0,0, V_{1}\right)$. By Propositions 5.3 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U^{(1)}\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{2}} \leq C_{1}\|\mathcal{F}(0,0)\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times H^{2} \times H^{1}}<\infty . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=2 C_{1}\|\mathcal{F}(0,0)\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times H^{2} \times H^{1}} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ satisfy $|\varepsilon| \leq \min \left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \frac{1}{2 C_{1} C(M)}\right\}$. Then for $n \geq 2$ we can define $U^{(n)}$ by the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\varepsilon, \sigma^{(n-1)}, V^{(n-1)}\right) U^{(n)}=\mathcal{F}\left(\varepsilon, U^{(n-1)}\right), \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $U^{(n)}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|U^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{2}} \leq M
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. In fact, assume that $\left\|U^{(n-1)}\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{2}} \leq M$. Then, $\mathcal{F}\left(\varepsilon, U^{(n-1)}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times Q\left(H_{p e r, *}^{2} \times H_{p e r}^{1}\right)$, and thus, Proposition 5.3 implies that (5.9) has a solution $U^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{2}$. Furthermore, since

$$
T\left(\varepsilon, \sigma^{(n-1)}, V^{(n-1)}\right) U^{(n)}=\mathcal{F}(0,0)+\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\varepsilon, U^{(n-1)}\right)-\mathcal{F}(0,0)\right)
$$

and $|\varepsilon| \leq \min \left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \frac{1}{2 C_{1} C(M)}\right\}$, we see from Propositions 5.3 and 5.4 that

$$
\left\|U^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{2}} \leq \frac{M}{2}+C_{1} C(M) M|\varepsilon| \leq M
$$

Therefore, with this observation and (5.7), we conclude by induction that $\left\|U^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{2}} \leq$ $M$ for all $n \geq 1$.

We next prove that $\left\{U^{(n)}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{1}$. We set

$$
\mathcal{D} V={ }^{\top}\left(0,0, \partial_{x_{1}} V\right), \quad \mathcal{N}_{Q}[\tilde{V}] V=^{\top}(0,0, Q N[\tilde{V}] V) .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(\varepsilon, & \left.\sigma^{(n)}, V^{(n)}\right) U^{(n+1)}-T\left(\varepsilon, \sigma^{(n-1)}, V^{(n-1)}\right) U^{(n)} \\
= & T\left(\varepsilon, \sigma^{(n)}, V^{(n)}\right)\left(U^{(n+1)}-U^{(n)}\right)-\varepsilon\left(\sigma^{(n)}-\sigma^{(n-1)}\right) \mathcal{D} V^{(n)} \\
& +\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{N}_{Q}\left[V^{(n)}-V^{(n-1)}\right] V^{(n)},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(\varepsilon, \sigma^{(n)}, V^{(n)}\right)\left(U^{(n+1)}-U^{(n)}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon\left(\sigma^{(n)}-\sigma^{(n-1)}\right) \mathcal{D} V^{(n)}-\varepsilon^{2} \mathcal{N}_{Q}\left[V^{(n)}-V^{(n-1)}\right] V^{(n)} \\
& \quad+\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\varepsilon, U^{(n)}\right)-\mathcal{F}\left(\varepsilon, U^{(n-1)}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and by Propositions 5.3 and 5.4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|U^{(n+1)}-U^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{1}} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left\{\left|\varepsilon \left\|\sigma^{(n)}-\sigma^{(n-1)}\left|\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} V^{(n)}\right\|_{H^{1} \times H^{0}}+|\varepsilon|^{2}\left\|Q N\left[V^{(n)}-V^{(n-1)}\right] V^{(n)}\right\|_{H^{1} \times H^{0}}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.+\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(\varepsilon, U^{(n)}\right)-\mathcal{F}\left(\varepsilon, U^{(n-1)}\right)\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times H^{1} \times H^{0}}\right\} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left\{C M \left|\varepsilon\left\|\sigma^{(n)}-\left.\sigma^{(n-1)}|+C M| \varepsilon\right|^{2}\right\| V^{(n)}-V^{(n-1)} \|_{X^{1}}\right.\right. \\
&\left.+C(M)|\varepsilon|\left\|U^{(n)}-U^{(n-1)}\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{1}}\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|U^{(n)}-U^{(n-1)}\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $|\varepsilon| \leq \frac{1}{2 C_{1}(2 C M+C(M))}$. It then follows that there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that if $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, then $\left\{U^{(n)}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{1}$. We thus conclude if $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, there exists $U={ }^{\top}(\omega, \sigma, V) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X^{2}$ satisfying

$$
T(\varepsilon, \sigma, V) U=\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, U)
$$

With this $U={ }^{\top}(\omega, \sigma, V)$, setting

$$
\nu=\nu_{0}+\varepsilon \omega, \quad u=\varepsilon V_{1}\left(x_{1}-c t, x_{2}\right)+\varepsilon^{2} V\left(x_{1}-c t, x_{2}\right), \quad c=c_{0}+\varepsilon \sigma,
$$

we have the desired traveling wave solutions.
To complete the proof of Theorem 4.1, it remains to prove Proposition 5.3.

## 6 Proof of Proposition 5.3 (ii), (iii)

In this section we give a proof of Proposition 5.3 (ii), (iii).
By a perturbation argument for $\alpha \ll 1$, one can compute $u_{ \pm 1}$ and $u_{ \pm 1}^{*}$ to see assertion (i) $\llbracket K_{0} V_{1} \rrbracket_{1}>0$ for $\alpha \ll 1$. See section 8 for the proof of (i). If assertion (ii) holds, then $T(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})$ has a bounded inverse $T(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})^{-1}$ which is given by

$$
T(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathscr{A}^{-1} & 0 \\
-\mathscr{L}(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})^{-1} \mathscr{B} \mathscr{A}^{-1} & \mathscr{L}(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\mathscr{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\llbracket K_{0} V_{1} \rrbracket_{1} & 0 \\
\llbracket K_{0} V_{2} \rrbracket_{2} & \alpha
\end{array}\right), \\
\mathscr{B}=\left(Q K_{0} V_{1}\right. \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad \begin{gathered}
\mathscr{L}(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})=\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} Q \partial_{x_{1}}+\varepsilon Q N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon \tilde{V}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, in the rest of this section we will prove assertion (ii), i.e, $\mathscr{L}(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})$ has a bounded inverse.

### 6.1 Basic estimates

From now on, we simply write $N[\tilde{w}] u$ for $N[\tilde{u}] u$ with $\tilde{u}={ }^{\top}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{w})$ :

$$
N[\tilde{w}] u={ }^{\top}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}), 0), \quad u=^{\top}(\phi, w) .
$$

In this subsection we establish basic a priori estimates of solution $u$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda u+L u+N[\tilde{w}] u=F, \quad u \in X^{\ell} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{w}$ is a given function in $H_{p e r}^{3} \cap H_{p e r, 0}^{1}$ with $\tilde{w}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter.
We introduce some notations. We define the new norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{2}$ of $L_{p e r}^{2}$ by

$$
\|u u\|_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}+\|w\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

for $u=^{\top}(\phi, w)$. We also define $D[w]$ and $\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}$ by

$$
D[w]=\nu\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}+\tilde{\nu}\|\operatorname{div} w\|_{2}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}=\lambda \phi+v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} \phi+\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}),
$$

respectively. For operators $A$ and $B$, we denote by $[A, B]$ the commutator of $A$ and $B$ :

$$
[A, B] f=A(B f)-B(A f)
$$

We will prove the following
Proposition 6.1. There exists a number $\Lambda$ satisfying $0<\Lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}$ such that if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\Lambda$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda)\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}  \tag{6.2}\\
& \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} \\
& (\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda)\left(\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{3} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|F\|_{2}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\left(\|\phi\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right.  \tag{6.3}\\
& \left.\quad+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

To prove Proposition 6.1, we will employ the following Bogovskii lemma.

Lemma 6.2. ([2]) There exists a bouded operator $\mathcal{B}: L_{\text {per }, *}^{2} \rightarrow H_{p e r, 0}^{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{div} \mathcal{B} g=g, \quad g \in L_{\text {per,* }}^{2} \\
\|\nabla \mathcal{B} g\|_{2} \leq C_{B}\|g\|_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C_{B}$ is a positive constant depending only on $\alpha$. Furthermore, if $g=\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{g}$ with $\boldsymbol{g}={ }^{\top}\left(g^{1}, g^{2}\right)$ satisfying $\left.g^{1}\right|_{x_{1}=-\frac{\pi}{\alpha}}=\left.g^{1}\right|_{x_{1}=\frac{\pi}{\alpha}},\left.g^{2}\right|_{x_{2}=0,1}=0$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{g})=\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{g} \\
\|\mathcal{B}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{g})\|_{2} \leq C_{B}\|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

An outline of the proof of Lemma 6.2 will be given in Section 7. We will also employ the Poincaré inequalities

$$
\|\phi\|_{2} \leq C\|\nabla \phi\|_{2}, \quad\|w\|_{2} \leq\|\nabla w\|_{2}
$$

for $\phi \in H_{p e r, *}^{1}$ and $w \in H_{p e r, 0}^{1}$, and the Sobolev inequality

$$
\|f\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{2}}
$$

for $f \in H_{p e r}^{2}$. Here $C$ is a positive constant depending only on $\alpha$.
We begin with the following $L^{2}$ energy estimates.
Proposition 6.3. There exists a positive number $\Lambda_{0}$ such that the following inequalities hold uniformly for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \Lambda_{0}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{0}\right)|\lambda|^{2 k}\left|\left\|\left.u\left|\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right| \lambda\right|^{2 k} D[w]\right.\right. \\
& \quad \leq C|\lambda|^{2 k}\left\{\left|\left\|F\left|\left\|_{2}\right\|\|u \mid\|_{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{\infty}\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{4}}\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}+\frac{\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}}{\gamma}\|\phi\|_{2}\| \| u \|_{2}\right\}\right.\right.\right. \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for $k=0,1$,

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+ & \left.\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{0}\right)\|\|u\|\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{8} D[w]+\frac{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}{32 \gamma^{4}}\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq C & C\left\{\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2} \Lambda_{0}}+\frac{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{4}}\right)\left\|f^{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{\nu}\|f\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}+\frac{\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}}{\gamma^{2}}\left(1+\frac{\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}}{\nu}\right)\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{\infty}\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{4}}\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} \\
(\operatorname{Re} \lambda & \left.+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{0}\right)\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} u\right\|\left\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{16} D\left[\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} w\right]+\frac{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}{32 \gamma^{4}}\right\| \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \dot{\phi}_{\lambda} \|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq C & \left\{\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{2} \Lambda_{0}}+\frac{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{4}}\right)\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} f^{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{\nu}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} f\right\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}+\frac{\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}}{\gamma^{2}}\left(1+\frac{\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}}{\nu}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{j}}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{4}}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \phi\right\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} \tag{6.6}
\end{array}
$$

for $j=1,2$.

Proof. We follow the argument in [6]. We take the weighted inner product of (6.1) with $u$. Since

$$
\operatorname{Re}\langle L u, u\rangle=D[w]+\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}\left(\phi, w^{1}\right)+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1} w^{2}, w^{1}\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}), \phi)=\frac{1}{2 \gamma^{2}}\left(\operatorname{div} \tilde{w},|\phi|^{2}\right),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re} \lambda\left\|\|u\|_{2}^{2}+D[w]=\right. & \operatorname{Re}\langle F, u\rangle-\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{2 \gamma^{2}}\left(\operatorname{div} \tilde{w},|\phi|^{2}\right)-\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}\left(\phi, w^{1}\right)+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1} w^{2}, w^{1}\right)\right\} \\
\leq & |\langle F, u\rangle|+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}\|\nabla w\|_{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{\infty}\|w\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \gamma^{2}}\|\operatorname{div} \tilde{w}\|_{\infty}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2} . \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We next introduce a new inner product $\left(\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right)$ defined by

$$
\left(\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right)=\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}\right\rangle-\delta\left[\left(w_{1}, \mathcal{B} \phi_{2}\right)+\left(\mathcal{B} \phi_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right]
$$

for $u_{j}={ }^{\top}\left(\phi_{j}, w_{j}\right)(j=1,2)$. Here $\delta$ is a positive number to be determined later. Note that $((u, u))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is equivalent to $\|u\|_{2}$ if $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2 C_{B} \gamma}$. We also write the density and velocity components of $L u$ as $(L u)_{d}$ and $(L u)_{v}$, respectively, i.e., $L u={ }^{\top}\left((L u)_{d},(L u)_{v}\right)$. Then, by Lemma 6.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left((L u)_{v}, \mathcal{B} \phi\right)= & \nu(\nabla w, \nabla \mathcal{B} \phi)+\tilde{\nu}(\operatorname{div} w, \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B} \phi)-(\phi, \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B} \phi) \\
& -\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}\left(\phi \boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \mathcal{B} \phi\right)-\left(v_{s}^{1} w, \partial_{x_{1}} \mathcal{B} \phi\right)+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1} w^{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \mathcal{B} \phi\right) \\
= & \nu(\nabla w, \nabla \mathcal{B} \phi)+\tilde{\nu}(\operatorname{div} w, \phi)-\|\phi\|_{2}^{2} \\
& -\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}\left(\phi \boldsymbol{e}^{1}, \mathcal{B} \phi\right)-\left(v_{s}^{1} w, \partial_{x_{1}} \mathcal{B} \phi\right)+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1} w^{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \mathcal{B} \phi\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 6.2 again, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-\operatorname{Re}\left((L u)_{v}, \mathcal{B} \phi\right) \geq & \|\phi\|_{2}^{2}-\nu C_{B}\|\nabla w\|_{2}\|\phi\|_{2}-\tilde{\nu}\|\operatorname{div} w\|_{2}\|\phi\|_{2} \\
& -\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}\|\nabla \mathcal{B} \phi\|_{2}-\left\|v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{C^{1}}\left(\|w\|_{2}+\|w\|_{H^{-1}}\right)\|\nabla \mathcal{B} \phi\|_{2} \\
\geq & \frac{3}{4}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}-C\left\{\nu^{2} C_{B}^{2}\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}+\tilde{\nu}^{2}\|\operatorname{div} w\|_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\nu^{2} C_{B}^{2}}{\gamma^{4}}\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}-C_{B}^{2}\left\|v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{C^{1}}^{2}\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}\right\} . \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $(L u)_{d}=\operatorname{div}\left(\phi v_{s}+\gamma^{2} w+\phi \tilde{w}\right)$, we see from Lemma 6.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(\mathcal{B}(L u)_{d}, w\right)\right| & \leq C_{B}\left\|\phi v_{s}+\gamma^{2} w+\phi \tilde{w}\right\|_{2}\|w\|_{2} \\
& \leq C_{B}\left(\left\|v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{\infty}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{\infty}\right)\|\phi\|_{2}\|w\|_{2}+C_{B} \gamma^{2}\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\|\phi\|^{2}+C\left\{\left(C_{B}^{2}\left\|v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}+C_{B} \gamma^{2}\right)\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}+C_{B}\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\|\phi\|_{2}\|w\|_{2}\right\} . \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\delta>0$ such that $\delta \leq \delta_{1}$ with $\delta_{1}=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 C_{B} \gamma}, \frac{1}{16 C C_{B}^{2} \nu}, \frac{\nu}{16 C C_{B}^{2}\left\|v_{s}\right\|_{C^{1}}^{2}}, \frac{\nu}{16 C C_{B} \gamma^{2}}, \frac{1}{2 C \tilde{\nu}}\right\}$, we deduce from (6.7)-(6.9) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re} \lambda((u, u))+\frac{1}{2} D[w]+\frac{\delta}{2}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left\{|\langle F, u\rangle|+\delta\left(|(f, \mathcal{B} \phi)|+\left|\left(\mathcal{B} f^{0}, w\right)\right|\right)\right.  \tag{6.10}\\
& \left.+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{4}}\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{\infty}\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}}{\gamma}\|\phi\|_{2}\| \| u\| \|_{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

By using the Poincaré inequalities, (6.4) follows from (6.10). As for (6.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\langle F, u\rangle|+\delta\left(|(f, \mathcal{B} \phi)|+\left|\left(\mathcal{B} f^{0}, w\right)\right|\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\left\|f^{0}\right\|_{2}\|\phi\|_{2}+\|f\|_{H^{-1}}\|\nabla w\|_{2}+\delta\left\{\|f\|_{H^{-1}}\|\nabla \mathcal{B} \phi\|_{2}+\left\|\mathcal{B} f^{0}\right\|_{2}\|w\|_{2}\right\} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\delta}{4}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\nu}{16}\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}+C\left\{\left(\frac{1}{\delta \gamma^{2}}+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{4}}\right)\left\|f^{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{\nu}\|f\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\}, \\
& \quad \frac{\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}}{\gamma}\|\phi\|_{2}\| \| u\left\|_{2} \leq \frac{16 C\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}}{\gamma^{2}}\left(1+\frac{\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}}{\nu}\right)\right\| \phi\left\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\nu}{32 C}\right\| \nabla w \|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|-\gamma^{2} \operatorname{div} w+f^{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\left\{\gamma^{4}\|\operatorname{div} w\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|f^{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
$$

Combining these inequalities with (6.10), we obtain (6.5). As for (6.6), we observe that

$$
\partial_{x_{1}}^{j}(L u)_{d}=\operatorname{div}\left(\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \phi v_{s}+\gamma^{2} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} w+\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \phi \tilde{w}+\left[\partial_{x_{1}}^{j}, \tilde{w}\right] \phi\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\|\operatorname{div}\left(\left[\partial_{x_{1}}^{j}, \tilde{w}\right] \phi\right)\right\|_{2}+\left\|\left[\partial_{x_{1}}^{j}, \tilde{w}\right] \phi\right\|_{2} \leq C\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\|\phi\|_{H^{j}} \quad(j=1,2) .
$$

Therefore, as in the case of (6.4) and (6.5), we can obtain (6.6). This completes the proof.
Proposition 6.4. There holds the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re} \lambda D[w]+\frac{1}{2}|\lambda|^{2}\left|\|u \mid\|_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \leq C  \tag{6.11}\\
& \quad\left\{\left\lvert\,\|f\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\left\|v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}\|\nabla \phi\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\nu^{2}}{\gamma^{4}}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\left\|v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{C^{1}}^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We take the inner product of (6.1) with $\lambda u$. Then the real part of the resulting equation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\lambda|^{2}\|| | u \mid\|_{2}^{2}+\operatorname{Re} \lambda D[w]= & \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\gamma^{2}}\left(f^{0}, \phi\right)+\bar{\lambda}(f, w)-\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\gamma^{2}}\left(v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} \phi, \phi\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\gamma^{2}}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}), \phi)-\bar{\lambda}(\operatorname{div} w, \phi)+\bar{\lambda}(\phi, \operatorname{div} w) \\
& \left.+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}} \bar{\lambda}\left(\phi, w^{1}\right)-\bar{\lambda}\left(v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} w, w\right)-\bar{\lambda}\left(\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1} w^{3}, w^{1}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a direct computation, the right-hand side is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{2} \left\lvert\,\|u\|_{2}^{2}+C\left\{\|\mid\| f\left\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\left\|v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}\right\| \partial_{x_{1}} \phi\left\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}\right\| \nabla \phi\left\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\nu^{2}}{\gamma^{4}}\right\| \phi \|_{2}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left\|v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{C^{1}}^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus obtain the desired estimate. This completes the proof.
Proposition 6.5. Let $j$ and $k$ be integers satisfying $0 \leq j+k \leq 1$. Then there holds the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda+ & \left.\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \right\rvert\,\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi\right\|_{2} \\
\leq C & \left\{\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} f^{0}\right\|_{2}+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} f^{2}\right\|_{2}\right. \\
& +\left\|\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{C^{k}}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} \phi\right\|_{H^{k}}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\|\phi\|_{H^{j+k+1}} \\
& \left.+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}\left(|\lambda|\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} w\right\|_{2}+\nu\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} \nabla w\right\|_{2}+\left\|v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{C^{k}}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} w\right\|_{H^{k}}\right)\right\} \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq C \\
& \leq
\end{align*} \begin{array}{ll} 
& \left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} f^{0}\right\|_{2}+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} f^{2}\right\|_{2} \\
& +\left\|\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{C^{k}}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} \phi\right\|_{H^{k}}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\|\phi\|_{H^{j+k+1}}  \tag{6.13}\\
& \left.+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}\left(|\lambda|\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} w\right\|_{2}+\nu\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} \nabla w\right\|_{2}+\left\|v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{C^{k}}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} w\right\|_{H^{k}}\right)\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Applying $\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1}$ to the first component of equation (6.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi+v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi+\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi\right) \tilde{w}\right)+\gamma^{2} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+2} w^{2} \\
& =\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} f^{0}-\left\{\left[\partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1}, v_{s}^{1}\right] \partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} \phi+\operatorname{div}\left(\left[\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1}, \tilde{w}\right] \phi\right)+\gamma^{2} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} w^{1}\right\} . \tag{6.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We also apply $\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k}$ to the third component of equation (6.1) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(\nu+\tilde{\nu}) \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+2} w^{2}+\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi \\
& =\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} f^{2}-\left\{\lambda \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} w^{2}-\nu \partial_{x_{1}}^{j+2} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} w^{2}-\tilde{\nu} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} w^{1}+\partial_{x_{2}}^{k}\left(v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} w^{2}\right)\right\} \tag{6.15}
\end{align*}
$$

By adding (6.14) and $\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \times(6.15)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi+v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi+\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi\right) \tilde{w}\right)=H \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
H= & \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} f^{0}+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} f^{2}-\left\{\left[\partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1}, v_{s}^{1}\right] \partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} \phi+\operatorname{div}\left(\left[\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1}, \tilde{w}\right] \phi\right)\right\} \\
& -\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}\left\{\lambda \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} w^{2}-\nu \partial_{x_{1}}^{j+2} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k} w^{2}+\nu \partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} w^{1}+\partial_{x_{2}}^{k}\left(v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} w^{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the inner product of (6.16) with $\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi$, we have $\operatorname{Re} \lambda\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{div} \tilde{w},\left|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi\right|^{2}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(H, \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi\right)$, from which estimate (6.12) is obtained. As for (6.13), we rewrite (6.16) as

$$
\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \dot{\phi}_{\lambda}=-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\nu+\tilde{\nu}} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1} \phi+\tilde{H}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{H}=H+\left[\partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1}, v_{s}^{1}\right] \partial_{x_{1}}^{j+1} \phi+\operatorname{div}\left(\left[\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \partial_{x_{2}}^{k+1}, \tilde{w}\right] \phi\right) .
$$

This, together with (6.12), yields (6.13). This completes the proof.
We next prepare the following estimate for the Stokes system to estimate the higher order derivatives.

Lemma 6.6. Let $(\phi, w) \in H_{p e r, *}^{k+1} \times\left[H_{p e r}^{k+2} \cap H_{p e r, 0}^{1}\right]$ be a solution of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{div} w=h^{0}, \\
-\Delta w+\nabla \phi=h
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\left(h^{0}, h\right) \in H_{p e r, *}^{k+1} \times H_{p e r}^{k}$. Then

$$
\left\|\partial_{x}^{k+2} w\right\|_{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{k+1} \phi\right\|_{2} \leq C\left\{\left\|h^{0}\right\|_{H^{k+1}}+\|h\|_{H^{k}}\right\} .
$$

See, e.g., $[3,13]$ for the proof. Applying Lemma 6.6 we have the following
Proposition 6.7. Let $j$ and $k$ be integers satisfying $0 \leq j+k \leq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\partial_{x}^{k+2} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} w\right\|_{2}+\frac{1}{\nu}\left\|\partial_{x}^{k+1} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \phi\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq C  \tag{6.17}\\
& \leq
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We apply $\partial_{x_{1}}^{j}$ to (6.1) and write the resulting equation as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{div} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} w=\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} h^{0}, \\
-\Delta \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} w+\nabla\left(\frac{1}{\nu} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \phi\right)=\frac{1}{\nu} \partial_{x_{1}}^{j} h,
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
h^{0}=f^{0}-\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}, \\
h=f-\left\{\lambda w-\frac{\tilde{\nu}}{\gamma^{2}} \nabla h^{0}-\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}} \phi \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+v_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} w+\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1} w^{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying Lemma 6.6 we have the desired estimate. This completes the proof.
The following proposition follows from the first equation of (6.1).
Proposition 6.8. There holds the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda|\left\|\partial_{x}^{k} \phi\right\|_{2} \leq C\left\{\left\|\partial_{x}^{k} f^{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{C^{k}}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} \phi\right\|_{H^{k}}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left\|\partial_{x} \phi\right\|_{H^{k}}+\gamma^{2}\left\|\partial_{x}^{k} \operatorname{div} w\right\|_{2}\right\} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=0,1$.
We are now in a position to prove Proposition 6.1.
Proof of Proposition 6.1. Observe first that $\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} g\right\|_{H^{-1}} \leq\|g\|_{2}$. We see from (6.4) with $k=0$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{0}\right)^{2}\| \| u\| \|_{2}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq C\left\{\|\mid\| F\| \|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\nu^{2}}{\gamma^{6}}\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}+\frac{\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}\right\} . \tag{6.19}
\end{align*}
$$

We compute (6.19) $+(6.5)+b_{1} \times\left.(6.6)\right|_{j=1}$. Taking $b_{1}$ suitably small, we see that if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\Lambda_{0} / 2$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{0}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{0}\right)\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=0}^{1}\left(D\left[\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} w\right]+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)  \tag{6.20}\\
& \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We next consider (6.20) $+b_{2} \times(6.11)$. Taking $b_{2}$ suitably small, we see that there exists a positive number $\Lambda_{1}$ such that if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\Lambda_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{1}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{1}\right)\left(\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x} w\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+D\left[\partial_{x_{1}} w\right]+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=0}^{1}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{j} \dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{6.21}\\
& \quad \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We then compute $(6.21)+b_{3} \times\left\{\left.(6.12)\right|_{j=k=0}+\left.(6.13)\right|_{j=k=0}\right\}^{2}$. Taking $b_{3}$ suitably small, we see that there exists a positive number $\Lambda_{2}$ such that if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\Lambda_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{2}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{2}\right)\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+D\left[\partial_{x_{1}} w\right]+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}  \tag{6.22}\\
& \quad \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We next compute $(6.22)+b_{4} \times\left\{\left.(6.17)\right|_{j=k=0}\right\}^{2}$. We take $b_{4}$ suitably small to see that there exists a positive number $\Lambda_{3}$ such that if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\Lambda_{3}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{3}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{3}\right)\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}  \tag{6.23}\\
& \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

This shows (6.2).
Let us prove (6.3). We compute $(6.23)+b_{5} \times\left.(6.6)\right|_{j=2}$. Taking $b_{5}$ suitably small, we see that there exists a positive number $\Lambda_{4}$ such that if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\Lambda_{4}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{4}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{4}\right)\left(\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla \partial_{x_{1}}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} \dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{6.24}\\
& \quad \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We next compute (6.24) $+b_{6} \times\left.(6.4)\right|_{k=1}$. Taking $b_{6}$ suitably small, we see that there exists a positive number $\Lambda_{5}$ such that if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\Lambda_{5}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{5}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{5}\right)\left(\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla \partial_{x_{1}}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} \dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq C  \tag{6.25}\\
& \quad C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|F\|_{2}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\left(\|\phi\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We next consider (6.25) $+b_{7} \times\left\{\left.(6.12)\right|_{j=1, k=0}+\left.(6.13)\right|_{j=1, k=0}\right\}^{2}$. Taking $b_{7}$ suitably small, we see that there exists a positive number $\Lambda_{6}$ such that if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\Lambda_{6}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{6}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{6}\right)\left(\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} \partial_{x_{2}} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla \partial_{x_{1}}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\left\|\nabla \partial_{x_{1}} \dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|F\|_{2}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\left(\|\phi\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right.  \tag{6.26}\\
& \left.\quad+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

It then follows from $(6.26)+b_{8} \times\left\{\left.(6.17)\right|_{j=1, k=0}\right\}^{2}$ with suitably small $b_{8}$ that there exists a positive number $\Lambda_{7}$ such that if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\Lambda_{7}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{7}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{7}\right)\left(\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} \partial_{x_{2}} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} \partial_{x_{1}} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\left\|\nabla \partial_{x_{1}} \dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|F\|_{2}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\left(\|\phi\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right.  \tag{6.27}\\
& \left.\quad+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We then compute $(6.27)+b_{9} \times\left\{\left.(6.12)\right|_{j=0, k=1}+\left.(6.13)\right|_{j=0, k=1}\right\}^{2}$ and take $b_{9}$ suitably small so that there exists a positive number $\Lambda_{8}$ such that if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\Lambda_{8}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{8}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{8}\right)\left(\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} \partial_{x_{1}} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq C  \tag{6.28}\\
& \quad C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|F\|_{2}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\left(\|\phi\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, consider $(6.28)+b_{10} \times\left\{\left.(6.17)\right|_{j=0, k=1}+\left.(6.18)\right|_{k=1}\right\}^{2}$. Taking $b_{10}$ suitably small, we deduce that there exists a positive number $\Lambda_{9}$ such that if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\Lambda_{9}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{9}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{9}\right)\left(\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x_{1}}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{3} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\dot{\phi}_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq C  \tag{6.29}\\
& \quad C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|F\|_{2}^{2}+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\left(\|\phi\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We thus obtain (6.3). This completes the proof.

### 6.2 A priori estimates

We consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda u+\mathscr{L}(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V}) u=F, \quad u \in Q X^{\ell} \quad(\ell=1,2) \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F \in Q\left(H_{p e r}^{\ell} \times H_{p e r}^{\ell-1}\right)$ and

$$
\mathscr{L}(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})=\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} Q \partial_{x_{1}}+\varepsilon Q N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon \tilde{V}\right]
$$

with $\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{V} \in X^{2}$ satisfying $|\tilde{\sigma}|+\|\tilde{V}\|_{X^{2}} \leq M$. In this subsection we show the a priori estimates for solution $u$ of (6.30).

We show the following a priori estimates.

Proposition 6.9. Let $M>0$ and assume that $|\tilde{\sigma}|+\|\tilde{V}\|_{X^{2}} \leq M$. Then there exist $\varepsilon_{3}>0, r_{0}>0, \Lambda>0$ and $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $\left|\lambda_{j}\right| \geq 2 r_{0}$ such that if $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{3}$ and

$$
\lambda \in \Sigma_{0}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\Lambda,\left|\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right| \geq r_{0}, j=1, \cdots, K\right\}
$$

the solution $u \in Q X^{1}$ of (6.30) satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda)\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C\|F\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2} \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{0}$. In addition, if $u \in Q X^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda)\left(\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right)  \tag{6.32}\\
& \quad+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|F\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{0}$.
We note that $0 \in \Sigma_{0}$.
Proof. We first introduce frequency cut off operators. We expand $f \in L_{\text {per }}^{2}$ into the Fourier series $f=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{k}\left(x_{2}\right) e^{i \alpha k x_{1}}$. We define $\Pi_{\leq N}$ and $\Pi_{\geq N}$ by

$$
\Pi_{\leq N} f=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi}} \sum_{|k| \leq N} f_{k}\left(x_{2}\right) e^{i \alpha k x_{1}}
$$

and

$$
\Pi_{\geq N} f=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi}} \sum_{|k| \geq N} f_{k}\left(x_{2}\right) e^{i \alpha k x_{1}}
$$

respectively. $\Pi_{<N}$ and $\Pi_{>N}$ are defined similarly. Observe that they are orthogonal projections on $L_{p e r}^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha N}\|\nabla w\|_{2}, \quad\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha N}\|\phi\|_{2} \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $w \in \Pi_{\geq N} H_{p e r}^{1}$ and $\phi \in \Pi_{\geq N} L_{\text {per }}^{2}$ with $N \geq 1$.
We first prove (6.31). We write (6.30) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda u+\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0} u-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} Q J u+\varepsilon Q N[\tilde{w}] u=F . \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\tilde{w}$ is the function defined by

$$
\tilde{w}=-\tilde{\sigma} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+W_{1}+\varepsilon \tilde{W}
$$

with $W_{1}$ and $\tilde{W}$ being the velocity components of $V_{1}$ and $\tilde{V}$ respectively; and $J u$ and $N[\tilde{w}] u$ are defined by $J u={ }^{\top}\left(0, \partial_{x_{1}} w\right)$ and $N[\tilde{w}] u={ }^{\top}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}), 0)$ for $u=^{\top}(\phi, w)$, respectively. Since $Q=I-P,(6.34)$ is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda u+\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0} u+\varepsilon N[\tilde{w}] u=F+\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} Q J u+\varepsilon P N[\tilde{w}] u . \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\|Q J u\|_{H^{\ell+1} \times H^{\ell}} \leq C\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} w\right\|_{H^{\ell}} \quad(\ell=0,1)
$$

and

$$
\|P N[\tilde{w}] u\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}} \leq C\|N[\tilde{w}] u\|_{2} \leq C\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}
$$

Applying (6.2) with $v_{s}, \tilde{w}$ and $F$ replaced by $v_{s}-c_{0} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \varepsilon \tilde{w}$ and $F+\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} Q J u+\varepsilon P N[\tilde{w}] u$, respectively, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\operatorname{Re} \lambda & +\Lambda)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda)\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq & C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}+|\varepsilon|^{2}|\tilde{\sigma}|^{2}\|Q J u\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}+|\varepsilon|^{2}\|P N[\tilde{w}] u\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}+\|w\|_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\|\phi\|_{H^{-1}}^{2}+|\varepsilon|\|w\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+|\varepsilon|\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right\} \\
\leq & C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{<N}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{2} N^{2}}\left(\left\|\nabla w w_{\geq N}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\phi_{\geq N}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+|\varepsilon|^{2}|\tilde{\sigma}|^{2}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} w\right\|_{2}+|\varepsilon|\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+|\varepsilon|\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows that there exists $N_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{10}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{10}\right)\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{<N}\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\varepsilon|^{2}|\tilde{\sigma}|^{2}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} w\right\|_{2}^{2}\right.  \tag{6.36}\\
& \left.\quad+|\varepsilon|\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+|\varepsilon|\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

holds with $\Lambda_{10}=\frac{1}{2} \Lambda$ uniformly for $N \geq N_{0}$.
To proceed further, we apply the following result on the spectral distribution proved by Iooss and Padula [6].
Lemma 6.10. ([6]) There exists a constant $\tilde{\Lambda}>0$ with $\tilde{\Lambda} \leq \Lambda_{10}$ such that

$$
\sigma\left(-\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}\right) \cap\{\lambda ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\tilde{\Lambda}\}=\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{K}
$$

where $\lambda_{j}(j=0,1, \cdots, K)$ are eigenvalues of $-\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}$ with finite multiplicities.
We may assume $N_{0} \geq 2$. Furthermore, by assumption (4.1), we may assume that $\lambda_{0}=0$ and $\lambda_{j} \neq 0$ for $j=1, \cdots, K$. By Lemma 6.10, we see that there is a positive number $r_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{k}\right| & \geq 4 r_{0}, j \neq k, j, k=0,1, \cdots, K, \\
\rho\left(-\left.\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}\right|_{\Pi_{\leq N_{0}} Q X}\right) \supset \Sigma_{0} & \equiv\left\{\lambda ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\tilde{\Lambda},\left|\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right| \geq r_{0}, j=1, \cdots, K\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(|\lambda|+1)\left\|\left(\lambda+\left.\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}\right|_{\Pi_{\leq N_{0}} Q X}\right)^{-1} F\right\|_{2} \leq C\|F\|_{2} \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{0}$. Note that $\Sigma_{0} \ni 0$ since $\lambda_{0}=0$.

Let us estimate $\left\|u_{<N}\right\|_{2}$. Applying $\Pi_{<N_{0}}$ to (6.35), we have

$$
\lambda u_{<N_{0}}+\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0} u_{<N_{0}}=F_{<N_{0}}-\varepsilon \Pi_{<N_{0}} N[\tilde{w}] u+\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} Q J u+\varepsilon P N[\tilde{w}] u .
$$

Here we have used the fact $\Pi_{<N_{0}} P=P$. It then follows from (6.37) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{<N_{0}}\right\|_{2} & \leq C\left\{\left\|F_{<N_{0}}\right\|_{2}+|\varepsilon|\left\|\Pi_{<N_{0}} N[\tilde{w}] u\right\|_{2}+\left|\varepsilon \left\|\tilde{\sigma}\left|\|Q J u\|_{2}+|\varepsilon|\|P N[\tilde{w}] u\|_{2}\right\}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{2}+\left|\varepsilon \left\|\tilde{\sigma}\left|\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} w\right\|_{2}+|\varepsilon|\|\tilde{w}\|_{\infty}\|\nabla \phi\|_{2}\right\}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{2}+\left|\varepsilon \left\|\tilde{\sigma}\left|\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} w\right\|_{2}+|\varepsilon|\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\|\nabla \phi\|_{2}\right\} .\right.\right.\right. \tag{6.38}
\end{align*}
$$

We see from (6.36) and (6.38) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\tilde{\Lambda})^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\tilde{\Lambda})\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{6.39}\\
& \quad \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2}+|\varepsilon|^{2}|\tilde{\sigma}|^{2}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\varepsilon|\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+|\varepsilon|\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{0}$. Since $|\tilde{\sigma}| \leq M$ and $\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}} \leq C\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{H^{3}}+M\right)$, we conclude that there exists $\varepsilon_{3}>0$ such that if $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{3}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{11}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{11}\right)\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C\|F\|_{H^{1} \times L^{2}}^{2} \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{0}$ with $\Lambda_{11}=\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Lambda}$. This shows (6.31).
As for (6.32), by (6.3) and (6.40), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{11}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{11}\right)\left(\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|F\|_{2}^{2}+|\varepsilon|^{2}|\tilde{\sigma}|^{2}\left(\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} w\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\left\|\partial_{x_{1}} w\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+|\varepsilon|\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\left(1+|\varepsilon|\|\tilde{w}\|_{H^{3}}\right)\left(\|\nabla \phi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{0}$. Therefore, if $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{3}$ (by taking $\varepsilon_{3}$ smaller if necessary), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{12}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda+\Lambda_{12}\right)\left(\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} \phi\right\|_{2}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{6.41}\\
& \quad \leq C\left\{\|F\|_{H^{2} \times H^{1}}^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\|F\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{0}$ with $\Lambda_{12}=\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{11}$. This completes the proof.

### 6.3 Invertibility

We finally prove the invertibility of $\mathscr{L}(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})$. We first show the existence of solution of (6.30) in $Q X^{\ell}(\ell=1,2)$ for sufficiently large $\lambda>0$.

Proposition 6.11. Let $\ell=1,2$ and assume that $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{1}$. There exists $\mu_{0}>0$ such that if $\lambda \geq \mu_{0}$, then for any $F={ }^{\top}\left(f^{0}, f\right) \in Q\left(H_{p e r, *}^{\ell} \times H_{p e r}^{\ell-1}\right)$, there exists a unique solution $u={ }^{\top}(\phi, w) \in Q X^{\ell}$ of (6.30), and $u=^{\top}(\phi, w)$ satisfies

$$
\lambda\|\phi\|_{H^{\ell}}+\sum_{j=0}^{\ell+1} \lambda^{\frac{\ell+1-j}{2}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{j} w\right\|_{2} \leq C\left\|f^{0}\right\|_{H^{\ell}}+C \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \lambda^{\frac{\ell-1-j}{2}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{j} f\right\|_{2}
$$

Proof. We consider (6.35) instead of (6.30). Suppose that $u \in X^{\ell}$ is a solution of (6.35). Then

$$
\lambda u+\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0} u=\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} Q J u-\varepsilon Q N[\tilde{w}] u+F .
$$

Applying $P$ to both sides, we see that $\lambda P u=0$. Since $\lambda>0$, we have $P u=0$, and hence $u \in Q X^{\ell}$. Therefore, it suffices to show the existence of solution of (6.35) in $X^{\ell}$.

Hereafter in the proof, we simply denote the density and velocity components of $P J u=P^{\top}\left(0, \partial_{x_{1}} w\right)\left(u=^{\top}(\phi, w)\right)$ by $P_{d}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right)$ and $P_{v}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right)$ respectively, i.e.,

$$
P J u=P^{\top}\left(0, \partial_{x_{1}} w\right)=^{\top}\left(P_{d}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right), P_{v}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right)\right),
$$

and likewise, we denote the density and velocity components of $P N[\tilde{w}] u=P^{\top}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}), 0)$ with $u=^{\top}(\phi, w)$ by $P_{d}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}))$ and $P_{v}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}))$ respectively, i.e.,

$$
P N[\tilde{w}] u=P^{\top}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}), 0)=^{\top}\left(P_{d}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w})), P_{v}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}))\right) .
$$

We write (6.35) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda \phi+\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\tilde{v}_{s}+\varepsilon \tilde{w}\right) \phi\right) & =-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} P_{d}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right)+\varepsilon P_{d}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}))-\gamma^{2} \operatorname{div} w+f^{0},  \tag{6.42}\\
\lambda w+A w & =B \phi-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} P_{v}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right)+\varepsilon P_{v}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}))+f . \tag{6.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\tilde{v}_{s}=v_{s}-\left(c_{0}+\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{1} ; A$ denotes the elliptic operator on $L_{p e r}^{2}$ defined by

$$
A w=-\nu \Delta w-\tilde{\nu} \nabla \operatorname{div} w+\tilde{v}_{s}^{1} \partial_{x_{1}} w+\left(\partial_{x_{2}} \tilde{v}_{s}^{1}\right)\left(w \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{1}
$$

with domain $D(A)=H_{p e r}^{2} \cap H_{p e r, 0}^{1} ; B$ is the operator on $H_{p e r}^{1}$ defined by

$$
B \phi=-\nabla \phi+\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}} \phi \boldsymbol{e}_{1} .
$$

By [4], there exists $\mu_{1}>0$ such that if $\lambda \geq \mu_{1}$, then, for any $f^{0} \in H_{p e r, *}^{\ell}$, there exists a unique solution $\Phi \in H_{p e r, *}^{\ell}$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \Phi+\operatorname{div}\left(\Phi\left(\tilde{v}_{s}+\varepsilon \tilde{w}\right)\right)=f^{0} \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Phi$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\|\Phi\|_{H^{\ell}} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}\left\|f^{0}\right\|_{H^{\ell}}
$$

We denote by $\Phi_{\lambda}$ the solution map $f^{0} \mapsto \Phi$ for (6.44). Then $\Phi_{\lambda}$ is a bounded linear operator on $H_{p e r, *}^{\ell}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Phi_{\lambda} f^{0}\right\|_{H^{\ell}} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}\left\|f^{0}\right\|_{H^{\ell}} \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows that (6.42) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\lambda} \phi=\Phi_{\lambda}\left(-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} P_{d}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right)-\gamma^{2} \operatorname{div} w+f^{0}\right) \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{\lambda}$ is the operator defined by

$$
\Psi_{\lambda} \phi=\phi-\varepsilon \Phi_{\lambda} P_{d}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w})) .
$$

To solve (6.46), we show that the map $\Psi_{\lambda}: H_{p e r, *}^{\ell} \rightarrow H_{p e r, *}^{\ell}$ has a bounded inverse. By (6.45), we have

$$
\left\|\varepsilon \Phi_{\lambda} P_{d}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}))\right\|_{H^{e}} \leq \frac{|\varepsilon| C}{\lambda}\|\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w})\|_{2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{3} C}{\lambda}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{C^{1}}+M\right)\|\phi\|_{H^{1}}
$$

This implies that if $\lambda \geq \mu_{2}=\max \left\{\mu_{1}, 2 C \varepsilon_{3}\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{C^{1}}+M\right)\right\}$, then $\left\|\varepsilon \Phi_{\lambda} P_{d}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w}))\right\|_{H^{\ell}} \leq$ $\frac{1}{2}\|\phi\|_{H^{\ell}}$ for $\ell=1,2$, and hence, $\Psi_{\lambda}: H_{p e r, *}^{\ell} \rightarrow H_{p e r, *}^{\ell}$ has a bounded inverse $\Psi_{\lambda}^{-1}$, and $\Psi_{\lambda}^{-1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Psi_{\lambda}^{-1} \phi\right\|_{H^{\ell}} \leq 2\|\phi\|_{H^{\ell}} \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $\Psi_{\lambda}^{-1}$, the solution $\phi$ of (6.46) is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\Psi_{\lambda}^{-1} \Phi_{\lambda}\left(-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} P_{d}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right)-\gamma^{2} \operatorname{div} w+f^{0}\right), \tag{6.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, by (6.45) and (6.47), $\phi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\phi\|_{H^{\ell}} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}\left\{\|w\|_{H^{\ell+1}}+\left\|f^{0}\right\|_{H^{\ell}}\right\} \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.43) and (6.48), we have

$$
(\lambda+A) w=B_{1}[\tilde{w}] \Psi_{\lambda}^{-1} \Phi_{\lambda}\left(-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} P_{d}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right)-\gamma^{2} \operatorname{div} w+f^{0}\right)-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} P_{v}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right)+f
$$

with

$$
B_{1}[\tilde{w}] \phi=B \phi+\varepsilon P_{v}(\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w})) .
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-\Gamma_{\lambda}\right) w=(\lambda+A)^{-1}\left(B_{1}[\tilde{w}] \Psi_{\lambda}^{-1} \Phi_{\lambda} f^{0}+f\right), \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ is the operator defined by

$$
\Gamma_{\lambda} w=(\lambda+A)^{-1}\left(B_{1}[\tilde{w}] \Psi_{\lambda}^{-1} \Phi_{\lambda}\left(-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} P_{d}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right)-\gamma^{2} \operatorname{div} w\right)-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} P_{v}\left(\partial_{x_{1}} w\right)\right) .
$$

Since $A$ is strongly elliptic, there exists $\mu_{3}>0$ such that if $\lambda \geq \mu_{3}$, then $(\lambda+A)^{-1} f \in$ $H_{p e r}^{\ell+1} \cap H_{p e r, 0}^{1}$ for $f \in H^{\ell-1}$ and it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\ell+1} \lambda^{\frac{\ell+1-j}{2}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{j}(\lambda+A)^{-1} f\right\|_{2} \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \lambda^{\frac{\ell-1-j}{2}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{j} f\right\|_{2} \tag{6.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for $j=1,2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{1}[\tilde{w}] \phi\right\|_{H^{j-1}} \leq C\left\{\|\phi\|_{H^{j}}+|\varepsilon|\|\operatorname{div}(\phi \tilde{w})\|_{2}\right\} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^{j}} \tag{6.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now introduce the norm $\|\mid w\|_{(\lambda)}=\sum_{j=0}^{\ell+1} \lambda^{\frac{\ell+1-j}{2}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{j} w\right\|_{2}$ of $H_{p e r}^{\ell+1}$ and show that the map $\Gamma_{\lambda}: H_{p e r}^{\ell+1} \cap H_{p e r, 0}^{1} \rightarrow H_{p e r}^{\ell+1} \cap H_{\text {per }, 0}^{1}$ has a bounded inverse $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{-1}$. By (6.49) with $f^{0}=0$, (6.51) and (6.52), we see that if $\lambda \geq \max \left\{\mu_{3}, 1\right\}$, then

$$
\left\|\mid \Gamma_{\lambda} w\right\|_{(\lambda)} \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \lambda^{\frac{\ell-1-j}{2}} \frac{1}{\lambda}\|w\|_{H^{j+2}} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell+1} \lambda^{\frac{\ell+1-j}{2}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{j} w\right\|_{2} .
$$

Therefore, there exists $\mu_{4}>0$ such that if $\lambda \geq \mu_{4}$, then

$$
\left\|\left.\left\|\Gamma_{\lambda} w\right\|_{(\lambda)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\,\right\| w \|_{(\lambda)}
$$

and hence, $I-\Gamma_{\lambda}$ has a bounded inverse $\left(I-\Gamma_{\lambda}\right)^{-1}$, and $\left(I-\Gamma_{\lambda}\right)^{-1}$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\left\|\left\|\left(I-\Gamma_{\lambda}\right)^{-1} f\right\|\right\|_{(\lambda)} \leq 2\| \| f \|_{(\lambda)} .
$$

In terms of $\left(I-\Gamma_{\lambda}\right)^{-1}$, the solution $w \in H^{\ell+1} \cap H_{p e r, 0}^{1}$ of (6.50) is given by

$$
w=\left(I-\Gamma_{\lambda}\right)^{-1}(\lambda+A)^{-1}\left(B_{1}[\tilde{w}] \Psi_{\lambda}^{-1} \Phi_{\lambda} f^{0}+f\right)
$$

and $w$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\ell+1} \lambda^{\frac{\ell+1-j}{2}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{j} w\right\|_{2} \leq C\left\|f^{0}\right\|_{H^{\ell}}+C \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \lambda^{\frac{\ell-1-j}{2}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{j} f\right\|_{2} \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this $w$, we define $\phi$ by (6.48). Then, by (6.49) and (6.53), we see that $\phi \in H_{p e r, *}^{\ell}$ and it holds that

$$
\lambda\|\phi\|_{H^{\ell}} \leq C\left\{\left\|f^{0}\right\|_{H^{\ell}}+\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \lambda^{\frac{\ell-1-j}{2}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{j} f\right\|_{2}\right\} .
$$

This completes the proof.
We are now in a position to prove Proposition 5.3 (ii).
Proof of Proposition 5.3 (ii). Let $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_{3}$ and $|\tilde{\sigma}|+\|\tilde{V}\|_{X^{2}} \leq M$. Define the operator $\mathscr{L}$ on $Q\left(H_{\text {per }, *}^{\ell} \times H_{\text {per }}^{\ell-1}\right)(\ell=1,2)$ by

$$
D(\mathscr{L})=Q X^{\ell}
$$

$$
\mathscr{L}=\mathscr{L}(\varepsilon, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})=\mathcal{L}_{c_{0}, 0}-\varepsilon \tilde{\sigma} Q \partial_{x_{1}}+\varepsilon Q N\left[V_{1}+\varepsilon \tilde{V}\right] .
$$

Set

$$
\Sigma_{1}=\Sigma_{0} \cap\left\{\lambda ;|\lambda| \leq \mu_{0}\right\} .
$$

It follows from Proposition 6.9 that there exists a positive constant $C_{2}$ such that if $\lambda \in \rho(-\mathscr{L}) \cap \Sigma_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(\lambda+\mathscr{L})^{-1} F\right\|_{X^{\ell}} \leq C_{2}\|F\|_{H^{\ell} \times H^{\ell-1}} \tag{6.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\mu \in \rho(-\mathscr{L}) \cap \Sigma_{1}$. Then, by (6.54), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\lambda ;|\lambda-\mu|<\frac{1}{C_{2}}\right\} \cap \Sigma_{1} \subset \rho(-\mathscr{L}) \tag{6.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the estimate (6.54) holds for $\lambda \in \Sigma_{1}$ with $|\lambda-\mu|<\frac{1}{C_{2}}$.
Since $\Sigma_{1}$ is compact, there exists a finite number of balls $B_{j}\left(j=1, \cdots, N_{1}\right)$ with radius $\frac{1}{2 C_{2}}$ such that $\Sigma_{1} \subset \cup_{j=1}^{N_{1}} B_{j}$. By Proposition 6.11, we have $\lambda_{0} \in \rho(-\mathscr{L}) \cap \Sigma_{1}$, and hence, $\mu_{0} \in B_{j}$ for some $j$. Since $\Sigma_{1}$ is connected, we see from (6.55) that $\Sigma_{1} \subset \rho(-\mathscr{L})$. Since $0 \in \Sigma_{1}$, we conclude that $0 \in \rho(-\mathscr{L})$ and the estimate (6.54) holds for $\lambda=0$. This completes the proof.

## 7 Proof of Lemma 6.2

In this section we give an outline of the proof of Lemma 6.2.
Proof of Lemma 6.2. Let $a=\frac{2 \pi}{\alpha}$. In this section we write $\Omega_{a}=(0, a) \times(0,1)$ instead of $\Omega_{\alpha}$. We set

$$
G_{1}=\left(-\frac{a}{4}, \frac{a}{4}\right), \quad G_{2}=\left(\frac{a}{8}, \frac{7}{8} a\right),
$$

and take $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in C^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1} \geq 0, \quad\left(-\frac{3}{16} a, \frac{3}{16} a\right) \subset \operatorname{supp} \psi_{1} \subset G_{1} \\
& \psi_{2} \geq 0, \quad\left(\frac{5}{32} a, \frac{27}{32} a\right) \subset \operatorname{supp} \psi_{2} \subset G_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define $\eta\left(x_{1}\right)$ by

$$
\eta\left(x_{1}\right)=\sum_{j=1,2, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{j}\left(x_{1}-a k\right) .
$$

Then $\eta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \eta\left(x_{1}+a\right)=\eta\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\eta\left(x_{1}\right)>0$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Setting

$$
\phi_{j, k}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{\psi_{j}\left(x_{1}-a k\right)}{\eta\left(x_{1}\right)},
$$

we see that

$$
\phi_{j, k} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \operatorname{supp} \phi_{j, k} \subset G_{j}+a k \boldsymbol{e}_{1} \quad(j=1,2, k \in \mathbb{Z})
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{j, k}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{\psi_{j}\left(x_{1}-a k\right)}{\eta\left(x_{1}-a k\right)}=\phi_{j, 0}\left(x_{1}-a k\right) \quad(j=1,2, k \in \mathbb{Z}), \\
\sum_{j=1,2, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{j, k}\left(x_{1}\right)=1 \quad\left(x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us consider the problem

$$
\operatorname{div} v=f
$$

for a given $f \in C_{p e r, 0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$ with $\int_{\Omega_{a}} f(x) d x=0$.
We set $Q_{0}=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ and define $f_{0}$ by

$$
f_{0}(x)=\phi_{1,0}\left(x_{1}\right) f(x)+\phi_{2,0}\left(x_{1}\right) f(x) \quad\left(x \in Q_{0}\right)
$$

It then follows that $f_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{0}\right)$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{0}} f_{0}(x) d x= & \int_{G_{1}} \phi_{1,0}\left(x_{1}\right) f(x) d x+\int_{G_{2}} \phi_{2,0}\left(x_{1}\right) f(x) d x \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{-\frac{a}{4}}^{0} \phi_{1,0}\left(x_{1}\right) f(x) d x_{1}\right) d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{3}{4} a}\left(\phi_{1,0}\left(x_{1}\right)+\phi_{2,0}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) f(x) d x_{1}\right) d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{\frac{3}{4} a}^{\frac{7}{8} a} \phi_{2,0}\left(x_{1}\right) f(x) d x_{1}\right) d x_{2} \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{\frac{3}{4} a}^{a} \phi_{1,0}\left(x_{1}-a\right) f\left(x-a \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right) d x_{1}\right) d x_{2}+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{3}{4} a} f(x) d x_{1}\right) d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{\frac{3}{4} a}^{\frac{7}{8} a} \phi_{2,0}\left(x_{1}\right) f(x) d x_{1}\right) d x_{2} \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{\frac{3}{4} a}^{a}\left(\phi_{1,1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\phi_{2,0}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) f(x) d x_{1}\right) d x_{2}+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{3}{4} a} f(x) d x_{1}\right) d x_{2} \\
= & \int_{\Omega_{a}} f(x) d x=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from [3, Theorem III. 3.2] and its proof, we see that there exist $v_{j} \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})(j=1,2)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} v_{j} \subset G_{j}(j=1,2)$ and $v_{0}=v_{1}+v_{2} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{div} v_{0}=f_{0} \\
\left\|\nabla v_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\tilde{v}_{0}$ and $\tilde{f}_{0}$ be the zero extensions of $v_{0}$ and $f_{0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, respectively, and define $v$ by

$$
v(x)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{v}_{0}\left(x-a k \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right) .
$$

Then $v \in C_{p e r, 0}^{\infty}$ and

$$
\operatorname{div} v(x)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{div} \tilde{v}_{0}\left(x-a k \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}_{0}\left(x-a k \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right) .
$$

For $x \in \Omega_{a} \cap G_{1}$, we have

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}_{0}\left(x-a k \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right)=\sum_{j=1,2, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{j, k}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{0}\left(x-a k \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right)=\sum_{j=1,2} \phi_{j, 0}\left(x_{1}\right) f(x)=f(x) .
$$

Furthermore, for $x \in\left[\frac{a}{4}, \frac{3}{4} a\right) \times(0,1)$, we have

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}_{0}\left(x-a k \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right)=\sum_{j=1,2, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{j, k}\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(x-a k \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right)=\phi_{2,0}\left(x_{1}\right) f(x)=f(x),
$$

and, for $x \in\left[\frac{3}{4} a, a\right) \times(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}_{0}\left(x-a k \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right) & =\sum_{j=1,2, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{j, k}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{0}\left(x-a k \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right) \\
& =\phi_{1,1}\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(x-a \boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right)+\phi_{2,0}\left(x_{1}\right) f(x) \\
& =\left(\phi_{1,1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\phi_{2,0}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) f(x)=f(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus conclude that $\operatorname{div} v(x)=f(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_{a}$. Moreover,

$$
\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)} \leq 2\left\|\nabla v_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)} \leq 2 C\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)} .
$$

We next consider the case $f=\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{g}$ with $\boldsymbol{g}={ }^{\top}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), g_{j} \in C_{p e r}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{a}\right)(j=1,2)$ and $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{g} \in C_{p e r, 0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$. Following the proofs of [3, Lemma III. 3.5] and [3, Theorem III.3.3], one can show that $v_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)} \leq C\|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)} \leq C\|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)} \\
\left\|\nabla v_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)} \leq C\|\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{g}\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)} \leq C\|\boldsymbol{g}\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)}, \\
\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)} \leq C\|\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{g}\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This completes the proof.

## 8 Proof of Proposition 5.3 (i)

In this section we will give a proof of Proposition 5.3 (i). We denote $\tilde{L}_{\eta, k}$ and $\tilde{L}_{\eta, k}^{*}$ with $k=+1$ by $L(\alpha)$ and $L(\alpha)^{*}$. Then $L(\alpha)$ is expanded as

$$
L(\alpha)=L^{(0)}+\alpha L^{(1)}+\alpha^{2} L^{(2)},
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
L^{(0)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \gamma^{2} \partial_{x_{2}} \\
-\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}} & -\nu \partial_{x_{2}}^{2} & \partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1} \\
\partial_{x_{2}} & 0 & -(\nu+\tilde{\nu}) \partial_{x_{2}}^{2}
\end{array}\right), \\
L^{(1)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i v_{s}^{1} & i \gamma^{2} & 0 \\
i & i v_{s}^{1} & -i \tilde{\nu} \partial_{x_{2}} \\
0 & -i \tilde{\nu} \partial_{x_{2}} & i v_{s}^{1}
\end{array}\right), \\
L^{(2)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \nu+\tilde{\nu} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \nu
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, $L(\alpha)^{*}$ is expanded as

$$
L(\alpha)^{*}=L^{(0) *}+\alpha L^{(1) *}+\alpha^{2} L^{(2) *},
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
L^{(0) *}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\nu & -\gamma^{2} \partial_{x_{2}} \\
0 & -\nu \partial_{x_{2}}^{2} & 0 \\
-\partial_{x_{2}} & \partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1} & -(\nu+\tilde{\nu}) \partial_{x_{2}}^{2}
\end{array}\right), \\
L^{(1) *}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-i v_{s}^{1} & -i \gamma^{2} & 0 \\
-i & -i v_{s}^{1} & -i \tilde{\nu} \partial_{x_{2}} \\
0 & -i \tilde{\nu} \partial_{x_{2}} & -i v_{s}^{1}
\end{array}\right), \\
L^{(2) *}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \nu+\tilde{\nu} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \nu
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 8.1. There exists a positive number $r_{1}$ such that if $\alpha \leq r_{1}$, then $V_{ \pm}$and $V_{ \pm}^{*}$ given in section 5.1 are represented as

$$
V_{+}(x)=\left(v^{(0)}\left(x_{2}\right)+\alpha v^{(1)}\left(x_{2}\right)+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)\right) e^{i \alpha x_{1}}, \quad V_{-}=\overline{V_{+}},
$$

$$
V_{+}^{*}(x)=\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi}\left(v^{(0) *}\left(x_{2}\right)+\alpha v^{(1) *}\left(x_{2}\right)+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)\right) e^{i \alpha x_{1}}, \quad V_{-}^{*}=\overline{V_{+}^{*}},
$$

where $v^{(0)}={ }^{\top}\left(\phi^{(0)}, w^{(0), 1}, 0\right)$ with

$$
\phi^{(0)}=1, \quad w^{(0), 1}=\frac{1}{2 \gamma^{2}}\left(-x_{2}^{2}+x_{2}\right),
$$

$v^{(1)}={ }^{\top}\left(\phi^{(1)}, w^{(1), 1}, w^{(1), 2}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1}^{(1)}\left(x_{2}\right)= & -i\left(\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{\tilde{\nu}}{2 \gamma^{2}}\right)\left(-x_{2}^{2}+x_{2}-\frac{1}{6}\right), \\
w_{1}^{(1), 1}\left(x_{2}\right)= & -i\left(\frac{\nu}{\gamma^{4}}+\frac{\tilde{\tilde{v}}}{2 \gamma^{4}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{12} x_{2}^{4}-\frac{1}{6} x_{2}^{3}+\frac{1}{12} x_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{i}{12 \nu \gamma^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{30} x_{2}^{6}-\frac{1}{10} x_{2}^{5}+\frac{1}{12} x_{2}^{4}-\frac{1}{60} x_{2}\right)-\frac{i}{2 \nu}\left(-x_{2}^{2}+x_{2}\right), \\
w_{1}^{(1), 2}\left(x_{2}\right)= & -\frac{i}{\gamma^{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{3} x_{2}^{3}+\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{6} x_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$v^{(0) *}={ }^{\top}\left(\phi^{(0) *}, 0,0\right)$ with $\phi^{(0) *}=\gamma^{2}$, and $v^{(1) *}={ }^{\top}\left(\phi^{(1) *}, w^{(1), 1 *}, w^{(1), 2 *}\right)$ with

$$
w^{(1), 1 *}=\frac{i \gamma^{2}}{2 \nu}\left(-x_{2}^{2}+x_{2}\right) .
$$

Remark 8.2. Note that we will not use the explicit form of $\phi^{(1) *}$ and $w^{(1), 2 *}$.
Proof. We see from [8, Lemma 5.1] that $v^{(0)}$ and $v^{(0) *}$ are eigenfunctions for eigenvalue 0 of $-L^{(0)}$ and $-L^{(0) *}$, respectively, and the corresponding eigenprojections $\Pi^{(0)}$ and $\Pi^{(0) *}$ are given by

$$
\Pi^{(0)} u=\left\langle\left\langle u, v^{(0) *}\right\rangle\right\rangle v^{(0)}, \quad \Pi^{(0) *} u=\left\langle\left\langle u, v^{(0)}\right\rangle\right\rangle v^{(0) *} .
$$

Let $P_{\alpha}$ be the eigenprojection for $\lambda_{\alpha}$. Then

$$
P_{\alpha}=\Pi^{(0)}-\alpha\left(S L^{(1)} \Pi^{(0)}+\Pi^{(0)} L^{(1)} S\right)+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)
$$

where $S=\left[\left(I-\Pi^{(0)}\right) L^{(0)}\left(I-\Pi^{(0)}\right)\right]^{-1}$. Set $v_{+1}=P_{\alpha} v^{(0)}$. We see that $v_{+1}$ is an eigenfunction for $\lambda_{\alpha}$ and

$$
v_{+1}=v^{(0)}-\alpha S L^{(1)} v^{(0)}+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)
$$

Therefore, setting $v^{(1)}=-S L^{(1)} v^{(0)}$, we have the desired expression of $v^{(1)}$ from $[8$, Proposition 6.5], where $S L^{(1)} v^{(0)}$ is computed.

As for $V_{+}^{*}$, let $P_{\alpha}^{*}$ be the eigenprojection for $\lambda_{\alpha}^{*}=\overline{\lambda_{\alpha}}$. Then

$$
P_{\alpha}^{*}=\Pi^{(0) *}-\alpha\left(S^{*} L^{(1) *} \Pi^{(0) *}+\Pi^{(0) *} L^{(1) *} S^{*}\right)+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right),
$$

where $S^{*}=\left[\left(I-\Pi^{(0) *}\right) L^{(0) *}\left(I-\Pi^{(0) *}\right)\right]^{-1}$. Set $\tilde{v}_{+1}^{*}=P_{\alpha}^{*} v^{(0) *}$. Then $\tilde{v}_{+1}^{*}$ is an eigenfunction for $\lambda_{\alpha}^{*}$ and

$$
\tilde{v}_{+1}^{*}=v^{(0) *}-\alpha S^{*} L^{(1) *} v^{(0) *}+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right) .
$$

Let us compute $\tilde{v}^{(1) *}=-S^{*} L^{(1) *} v^{(0) *}$ which is the solution of

$$
L^{(0) *} u=-\left(I-\Pi^{(0) *}\right) L^{(1) *} v^{(0) *}, \quad\left\langle\left\langle u, v^{(0)}\right\rangle\right\rangle=0 .
$$

By [8, Proposition 6.3], we have $\left\langle\left\langle L^{(1)} v^{(0)}, v^{(0) *}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\frac{i}{6}$, and hence,

$$
\Pi^{(0) *} L^{(1) *} v^{(0) *}=\left\langle\left\langle L^{(1) *} v^{(0) *}, v^{(0)}\right\rangle\right\rangle v^{(0) *}=\overline{\left\langle\left\langle L^{(1)} v^{(0)}, v^{(0) *}\right\rangle\right\rangle} v^{(0) *}=-\frac{i}{6} v^{(0) *} .
$$

We set $f={ }^{\top}\left(f^{0 *}, f^{1 *}, f^{2 *}\right)=-\left(I-\Pi^{(0) *}\right) L^{(1) *} v^{(0) *}$. By a direct computation we have

$$
f^{0 *}=i \gamma^{2} v_{s}^{1}-\frac{i}{6} \gamma^{2}, \quad f^{1 *}=i \gamma^{2}, \quad f^{2 *}=0
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} w^{1}=-\frac{i \gamma^{2}}{\nu},\left.\quad w^{1}\right|_{x_{2}=0,1}=0 \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives $w^{1}=\frac{i \gamma^{2}}{2 \nu}\left(-x_{2}^{2}+x_{2}\right)$, and then $w^{2}$ and $\phi$ are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{x_{2}} w^{2}=-\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\left(\nu w^{1}+f^{0 *}\right),  \tag{8.2}\\
\partial_{x_{2}} \phi=\left(\partial_{x_{2}} v_{s}^{1}\right) w^{1}-(\nu+\tilde{\nu}) \partial_{x_{2}}^{2} w^{2}, \quad \int_{0}^{1} \phi d x_{2}=-\gamma^{2}\left(w^{1}, w^{(0), 1}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\left\langle\left\langle v^{(1)}, v^{(0) *}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{v}^{(1) *}, v^{(0)}\right\rangle\right\rangle=0$, we have $\left\langle\left\langle v_{+1}, \tilde{v}_{+1}^{*}\right\rangle\right\rangle=1+O\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$. Therefore, setting $v_{+1}^{*}=\tilde{v}_{+1}^{*} / \overline{\left\langle\left\langle v_{+1}, \tilde{v}_{+1}^{*}\right\rangle\right\rangle}$, we have the desired result. This completes the proof.

We are now in a position to prove Proposition 5.3 (i).
Proof of Proposition 5.3 (i). By Lemma 8.1 and the relation that $-\partial_{x_{2}}^{2} w^{(0), 1}=$ $\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} \phi^{(0)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\llbracket K_{0} V_{1} \rrbracket_{1}= & \alpha^{2}\left\{\left(\partial_{x_{2}} w^{(0), 1}, i w^{(1), 2 *}\right)+\left(-\frac{i}{\gamma^{2}} \phi^{(1)}-i \partial_{x_{2}}^{2} w^{(1), 1}, i w^{(1), 1 *}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-2\left(i \partial_{x_{2}}^{2} w^{(1), 3}, i w^{(1), 2 *}\right)\right\}+O\left(\alpha^{3}\right) \\
= & \alpha^{2}\left\{-\left(w^{(0), 1}, i \partial_{x_{2}} w^{(1), 2 *}\right)-\left(\frac{i}{\gamma^{2}} \phi^{(1)}, i w^{(1), 1 *}\right)-\left(i w^{(1), 1}, i \partial_{x_{2}}^{2} w^{(1), 1 *}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2\left(i \partial_{x_{2}} w^{(1), 2}, i \partial_{x_{2}} w^{(1), 2 *}\right)\right\}+O\left(\alpha^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By using (8.1), (8.2) and Lemma 8.1, we find that

$$
\llbracket K_{0} V_{1} \rrbracket_{1}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{12 \nu^{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{280}-\gamma^{2}\right)+\frac{\nu^{2}}{10 \gamma^{2}}\right\}+O\left(\alpha^{3}\right)>0
$$

for $\alpha \ll 1$. This completes the proof.
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