

# Stability of time periodic solution of the Navier–Stokes equation on the half-space under oscillatory moving

Kagei, Yoshiyuki  
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Oomachi, Ryouta

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/1525811>

---

出版情報 : MI Preprint Series. 2015-8, 2015-09-03. 九州大学大学院数理学研究院  
バージョン :  
権利関係 :

**MI Preprint Series**

Mathematics for Industry  
Kyushu University

**Stability of time periodic solution  
of the Navier-Stokes equation on  
the half-space under oscillatory  
moving boundary condition**

**Yoshiyuki Kagei  
& Ryouta Oomachi**

**MI 2015-8**

( Received September 3, 2015 )

Institute of Mathematics for Industry  
Graduate School of Mathematics  
Kyushu University  
Fukuoka, JAPAN

# Stability of time periodic solution of the Navier-Stokes equation on the half-space under oscillatory moving boundary condition

Yoshiyuki Kagei<sup>1</sup> and Ryouta Oomachi

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

## Abstract

Navier-Stokes system on the half space with periodically oscillating boundary has a time periodic solution which depends on time variable and vertical variable only. It is proved that the time periodic solution is asymptotically stable when the Reynolds number is sufficiently small; and the decay estimates of the perturbations are established in the frameworks of both strong and weak solutions.

## 1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with time periodic problem for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_*(\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v) - \mu \Delta v + \nabla p = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

on the half-space

$$\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n > 0, x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}.$$

Here  $n \geq 2$ ;  $v = {}^\top(v^1, \dots, v^n)$  and  $p$  are the unknown velocity field and pressure, respectively;  $\rho_* > 0$  and  $\mu > 0$  are the density and viscosity coefficients which are constants. We consider (1.1) under the boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} v|_{x_n=0} = V(t)e_1, \\ v(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x_n \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Here  $e_1 = {}^\top(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ; and  $V(t)$  is a given periodic function of  $t$  with period  $T > 0$  satisfying

$$V \in H_{per}^m(0, T), \int_0^T V(t)dt = 0$$

for some  $m > 1$ , where  $H_{per}^m(0, T)$  denotes the set of all  $T$ -periodic distributions whose *distribution derivatives up to  $m$  th order* belong to  $L^2(0, T)$ .

We are interested in the time evolution of solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) under appropriate initial condition. It is not difficult to see that (1.1)-(1.2) has a time periodic solution  $(v_{per}, p)$  of the form  $v_{per}(x_n, t) = v_{per}^1(x_n, t)e_1$ ,  $p = 0$ , and  $v_{per}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} v_{per}(x_n, t + T) &= v_{per}(x_n, t), \\ |\partial_{x_n}^j v_{per}^1(x_n, t)| &\leq C a^j T^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)} e^{-ax_n} \quad (j = 0, 1). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Here  $a = (\frac{\rho_*\pi}{\mu T})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ;  $C$  is a positive constant; and  $\|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)}$  is the norm of  $V$  defined by

$$\|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)} = \left[ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} \left( \frac{2k\pi}{T} \right)^{2m} |V_k|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $V_k$  ( $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ) denote the Fourier coefficients of  $V(t)$ , i.e.,  $V(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} V_k e^{i\frac{2k\pi}{T}t}$ . In particular, when  $V(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{T}} V \cos \frac{2\pi}{T}t$  with a constant  $V \neq 0$ ,  $v_{per}(x_n, t)$  can be written as  $v_{per}(x_n, t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{T}} V e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\rho_*\pi}{\mu T}}x_n} \cos(\frac{2\pi}{T}t - \sqrt{\frac{\rho_*\pi}{\mu T}}x_n)$  explicitly, and in this case, the problem is called as Stokes' second problem. As a first step to investigate the time evolution of solutions of (1.1)-(1.2), we consider the stability of the time periodic solution  $v_{per}(x_n, t)$ .

Time periodic problem for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations has been extensively studied. See e.g., [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13] and references therein. As for time periodic problem on unbounded domains, Kozono and Nakao ([7]) constructed a time periodic solution  $\bar{v}_{per}(x, t)$  of (1.1) with a time periodic external force  $f(x, t)$  on the domains  $\Omega$  being  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) and exterior domains of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ). It was proved in [7] that if  $f$  is sufficiently small in  $C([0, T]; L^p(\Omega) \cap L^n(\Omega))$  for some  $1 < p < \infty$ , then there exists a time periodic strong solution  $\bar{v}_{per}(x, t) \in C([0, T]; L^p(\Omega) \cap L^n(\Omega))$ . See also [8, 9]. Taniuchi ([11]) then studied the stability of the time periodic solution  $\bar{v}_{per}(x, t)$  constructed in [7] and proved that  $\bar{v}_{per}(x, t)$  is asymptotically stable under sufficiently small perturbations and the perturbation  $u$  satisfies the decay property

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^l} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{l})} \quad \text{for } n \leq l \leq 2n,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{L^n} = 0.$$

Yamazaki ([13]) extended the results of [7, 11]. It was shown in [13] that a time periodic solution  $\bar{v}_{per}(x, t)$  exists in  $C([0, T]; L^{n, \infty}(\Omega))$  when the external force  $f$  is written in the form  $f(t, x) = \operatorname{div} F(t, x)$  with  $F$  sufficiently small in  $BC(\mathbb{R}; (L^{\frac{n}{2}, \infty}(\Omega))^{n^2})$  for the domains  $\Omega$  considered in [7] including the case where  $\Omega$  is an exterior domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Here  $L^{p, q}(\Omega)$  denotes the Lorentz space on  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, it was proved in [13] that the time periodic solution  $\bar{v}_{per}(x, t)$  is asymptotically stable under sufficiently small perturbations and the perturbation  $u$  satisfies the decay properties

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{p, \infty}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{p})} \text{ for } n < p < \infty,$$

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{q, 1}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{q})} \text{ for } n < q < p.$$

The proofs of the stability in [11, 13] heavily rely on the fact that the time periodic solution  $\bar{v}_{per}(x, t)$  decays as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  which, roughly speaking, enables us to regard the lower order terms  $(\bar{v}_{per} \cdot \nabla)u + (u \cdot \nabla)\bar{v}_{per}$  as a small perturbation of the Stokes operator. Since the time periodic solution  $v_{per}(x_n, t)$  of (1.1)-(1.2) does not belong to any  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the methods in [11, 13] do not work well to investigate the stability of  $v_{per}(x_n, t)$ .

In this paper we will show that the time periodic solution  $v_{per}$  is asymptotically stable in the frameworks of strong and weak solutions when the number  $\sqrt{\frac{\rho_*}{\mu}} T^m \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)}$  is small enough. Here  $\sqrt{\frac{\rho_*}{\mu}} T^m \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)}$  is a non-dimensional number corresponding to the Reynolds number. More precisely, let  $u = {}^\top(u^1, \dots, u^n) = v - v_{per}$  be the perturbation of  $v_{per}(x_n, t)$ . Then the initial boundary value problem for the perturbation  $u$  is written as

$$\begin{cases} \rho_*(\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + v_{per}^1 \partial_{x_1} u + \partial_{x_n} v_{per}^1 u^n e_1) - \mu \Delta u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u|_{x_n=0} = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

In order to analyze (1.4), we first consider the linearized problem:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_*(\partial_t u + v_{per}^1 \partial_{x_1} u + \partial_{x_n} v_{per}^1 u^n e_1) - \mu \Delta u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u|_{x_n=0} = 0, \\ u|_{t=s} = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

or

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \frac{\mu}{\rho_*} \Delta u + P(v_{per}^1 \partial_{x_1} u + \partial_{x_n} v_{per}^1 u^n e_1) = 0, \\ u|_{t=s} = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Here  $P$  denotes the Helmholtz projection and  $A = -P\Delta u$  is the Stokes operator. The solution  $u(t)$  of (1.6) is written as  $u(t) = U(t, s)u_0$ . Here and in what follows,  $U(t, s)$  denotes the evolution operator for the linearized problem (1.6). We show that if  $\sqrt{\frac{\rho_*}{\mu}}T^m\|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)}$  is sufficiently small, the evolution operator  $U(t, s)$  satisfies

$$\|\partial_x^k U(t, s)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}}\|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\|U(t, s)\partial_x u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}}\|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \quad (1.8)$$

for  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$  and  $1 < q \leq p < \infty$  or  $1 \leq q < p \leq \infty$ . Furthermore, by using the oscillatory aspect of  $v_{per}(x_n, t)$ , we also prove that  $U(t, s)$  is approximated by the Stokes semigroup for large  $t-s \gg 1$ ; namely, we show that

$$\|\partial_x^k U(t, s)u_0 - \partial_x^k S(t-s)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C_\varepsilon(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \quad (1.9)$$

for  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$ , and  $1 < q \leq p < \infty$  or  $1 \leq q < p \leq \infty$ , and an arbitrary positive number  $\varepsilon$ . Here  $S(t)$  denotes the Stokes semigroup. Using (1.7) and (1.8) we prove the asymptotic stability of  $v_{per}$  under small initial perturbations in  $L_\sigma^n(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  in the framework of strong solutions based on the argument in [6], and, also, the asymptotic stability of  $v_{per}$  under arbitrary initial perturbations in  $L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  in the framework of weak solutions based on the arguments in [1, 2, 3], provided that  $\sqrt{\frac{\rho_*}{\mu}}T^m\|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)}$  is small enough. See Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 bellow for precise statements.

To prove the  $L^p$ - $L^q$  estimates (1.7)-(1.9), we write  $U(t, s)$ , after a suitable non-dimensionalization, as

$$U(t, s) = S(t-s) - \int_s^t S(t-\tau)PB(\tau)U(\tau, s) d\tau,$$

where  $B(t)$  is the operator defined by  $B(t)u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}v_{per}^1(t)\partial_{x_1}u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}\partial_{x_n}v_{per}^1(t)u^n e_1$  with  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_*}{\mu}}T^m\|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)}$ . We then show that  $\int_s^t S(t-\tau)PB(\tau)U(\tau, s) d\tau$  is regarded as a small perturbation from the Stokes semigroup  $S(t-s)$ . In contrast to the case of [11, 13], a straightforward application of  $L^p$ - $L^q$  estimates for  $S(t-\tau)$  does not work well since  $v_{per}$  does not decay as  $|x'| \rightarrow \infty$  although it decays exponentially as  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ . To overcome this difficulty, we will decompose  $U(t, s)$  into *low frequency* part  $U_0(t, s)$  and *high frequency* part  $U_\infty(t, s)$  as  $U(t, s) = U_0(t, s) + U_\infty(t, s)$ , and will prove the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^k U_0(t, s)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}}(1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})}\|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ \|\partial_x^k U_\infty(t, s)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}}(1+t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

To prove (1.10)-(1.11), we will first establish the following anisotropic estimates for  $S(t)$

$$\|\partial_x^k S_1(t)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+;L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}}\|u_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+;L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\|\partial_x^k S_2(t)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+;L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}}e^{-ct}\|u_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+;L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \quad (1.13)$$

$$\|\partial_x^k S_3(t)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+;L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}}e^{-ct}\|u_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+;L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \quad (1.14)$$

with  $1 < s \leq q \leq p \leq r < \infty$  or  $1 \leq s \leq q < p \leq r \leq \infty$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , by using an integral representation of the Stokes semigroup  $S(t)$  given in [5]. Here  $S(t)$  is decomposed as  $S(t) = S_1(t) + S_2(t) + S_3(t)$ , where  $S_1(t)$  is a *low frequency* part of  $S(t)$ , and  $S_2(t)$  and  $S_3(t)$  are *high frequency* parts of  $S(t)$ . See section 4 for the precise definition of  $S_1(t)$ ,  $S_2(t)$  and  $S_3(t)$ . Another key point in the proof is to use the estimates for  $B(t)$

$$\|B(t)u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\nu}}\|\partial_x^2 u\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+;L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}. \quad (1.15)$$

with  $1 < p \leq r \leq \infty$  or  $1 \leq p < r < \infty$ , which is obtained by applying the (*one-dimensional Hardy inequality*) (see Proposition 2.3 below) based on the fact  $u|_{x_n=0} = 0$  and  $\partial_{x_n} u^n|_{x_n=0} = 0$ , where the latter boundary condition comes from  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ . Due to the estimates (1.12)-(1.15), one can regard  $\int_0^t S(t-\tau)PB(\tau)U(\tau,s)d\tau$  as a small perturbation of  $S(t-s)$  to obtain the estimates (1.10) and (1.11).

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce some notations and inequalities used in this paper. In section 3, we state the main results of this paper and rewrite problems (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) in a non-dimensional form. In section 4, we establish anisotropic  $L^p$ - $L^q$  type estimates for the Stokes semigroup. In section 5, we prove the estimates (1.7)-(1.9) for the evolution operator  $U(t,s)$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we first introduce some notations used in this paper. Let us introduce some function spaces. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $L^p(D)$  denotes the usual Lebesgue space over a domain  $D$ , and its norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(D)}$ . Let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer. We denote by  $W^{k,p}(D)$  the  $k$  th order  $L^p$  Sobolev space over  $D$ , and its norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}(D)}$ . For simplicity we denote by  $L^p(D)$  (resp.,  $W^{k,p}(D)$ ) the set of all vector fields whose components belong to  $L^p(D)$  (resp.,  $W^{k,p}(D)$ ).

We set

$$C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \mid f \text{ has a compact support in } \mathbb{R}_+^n\},$$

$$C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = \{f = (f^1, f^2, \dots, f^n) \in (C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n))^n \mid \nabla \cdot f = 0\},$$

$$L_\sigma^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = \overline{C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}}.$$

$$W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) = \overline{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}}.$$

We denote by  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$  the Bochner space on  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$  with values in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ , and its norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}$ .

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. We denote by  $BC([0, \infty); X)$  the set of all  $X$ -valued bounded continuous functions.

The Fourier transformation of  $f$  with respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}[f]$ :

$$\mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and the inverse Fourier transformation of  $f$  with respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[f]$ :

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}[f](\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We denote the Fourier transformation of  $f$  with respect to  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  by  $\mathcal{F}'[f]$  or  $\hat{f}$ :

$$\mathcal{F}'[f](\xi') = \hat{f}(\xi') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-ix' \cdot \xi'} f(x') dx', \quad \xi' = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

and the inverse Fourier transformation of  $f$  with respect to  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  by  $\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[f]$ :

$$\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[f](\xi') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{ix' \cdot \xi'} f(x') dx', \quad \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

and, likewise, the Fourier transformation of  $f$  with respect to  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  by  $\mathcal{F}_n[f]$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_n[f](\xi_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix_n \cdot \xi_n} f(x_n) dx_n, \quad \xi_n \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the inverse Fourier transformation of  $f$  with respect to  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  by  $\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[f]$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[f](\xi_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix_n \cdot \xi_n} f(x_n) dx_n, \quad \xi_n \in \mathbb{R}.$$



The convolution of  $f$  and  $g$  in  $x' \in \mathbb{R}'$  is denoted by  $f *' g$  :

$$(f *' g)(x') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f(y')g(x' - y')dy',$$

and the convolution of  $f$  and  $g$  in  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  by  $f *_n g$  :

$$(f *_n g)(x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y_n)g(x_n - y_n)dy_n.$$

We define an extension operator  $E$  by

$$(Ef)(x', x_n) = \begin{cases} f(x', x_n), & x_n > 0, \\ 0 & , x_n < 0. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we will often use the following well-known inequalities.

**Proposition 2.1** (Jensen's inequality). *Let  $(X, M, \mu), (Y, N, \nu)$  be  $\sigma$ -finite, complete measure spaces and let  $f(x, y)$  be a measurable function. If  $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ , then*

$$\| \|f(x, y)\|_{L^p(X)} \|_{L^q(Y)} \leq \| \|f(x, y)\|_{L^q(Y)} \|_{L^p(X)}.$$

This inequality clearly holds for  $p = q = \infty$ , and, in other cases, the inequality follows from Minkowski's inequality for integrals  $\| \int_X f(x, \cdot) d\mu(x) \|_{L^r(Y)} \leq \int_X \|f(x, \cdot)\|_{L^r(Y)} d\mu(x)$  by taking  $r = \frac{q}{p}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** (i) *Let  $a, b, c$  be numbers satisfying  $0 \leq a, c$  and  $0 \leq b < 1, \max\{a, c\} > 1$ . Then it holds that*

$$\int_0^t (1 + t - \tau)^{-a} (1 + \tau^{-b}) (1 + \tau)^{-c} d\tau \leq C(1 + t)^{-\min\{a, c\}}.$$

(ii) *Let  $a, b, c$  be numbers satisfying  $0 \leq a, b < 1 < c$ . Then it holds that*

$$\int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-a} e^{-(t-\tau)} (1 + \tau^{-b}) (1 + \tau)^{-c} d\tau \leq C(1 + t^{-\min\{a, b\}}) (1 + t)^{-c}.$$

Proposition 2.2 can be proved by a straightforward computation.

The following inequalities are known as Hardy's and Hilbert's inequalities, respectively. See, e.g., [4, pp. 195–196].

**Proposition 2.3** (Hardy's inequality). *Let  $1 < q \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$\left\| \frac{1}{x_n} \int_0^{x_n} f(y_n) dy_n \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \frac{q}{q-1} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+)}.$$

**Proposition 2.4** (Hilbert's inequality). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and define the operator  $T$  by*

$$Tf(x_n) = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(y_n)}{x_n + y_n} dy_n$$

for  $x_n \in (0, \infty)$  and  $f \in L^p(0, \infty)$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C = C(p)$  such that

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p(0, \infty)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(0, \infty)}.$$

In the end of this section we show the existence of time periodic solution  $v_{per}$ . We denote by  $\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)$  the set of all  $T$ -periodic distributions with  $\int_0^T f(t) dt = 0$  whose distribution derivatives up to  $m$  th order belong to  $L^2(0, T)$ , and the norm  $\|f\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)}$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)} = \left[ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} \left( \frac{2k\pi}{T} \right)^{2m} |f_k|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $f_k$  ( $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ) denote the Fourier coefficients of  $f(t)$ , i.e.,  $f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} f_k e^{i \frac{2k\pi}{T} t}$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $T > 0$ . Assume that  $V \in \dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)$  for some  $m > 1$ . Then there exists a time periodic solution  $v_{per}(x_n, t) = v_{per}^1(x_n, t)e_1$ ,  $p = 0$  of (1.1)-(1.2) satisfying*

$$v_{per}(x_n, t + T) = v_{per}(x_n, t), \quad \int_0^T v_{per}^1(x_n, t) dt = 0,$$

$$|\partial_{x_n}^j v_{per}^1(x_n, t)| \leq C a^j T^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)} e^{-ax_n},$$

$$|\partial_{x_n}^j (v_{per}^1(x_n, t) - v_{per}^1(x_n, s))| \leq C a^j T^{m-\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0, T)} e^{-ax_n} |t - s|^\gamma$$

for  $j = 0, 1$ , where  $a = \left(\frac{\rho^* \pi}{\mu T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ;  $\gamma$  is a number satisfying  $0 < \gamma < \min\{1, m - \frac{j+1}{2}\}$ ; and  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $m$  and  $\gamma$ .

**Proof.** We denote the Fourier series of  $V(t)$  by  $V(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} V_k e^{i \frac{2k\pi}{T} t}$ , Since  $V(t)$  is real valued, we require  $V_{-k} = \overline{V_k}$ , and hence,  $V(t)$  is written as  $V(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \text{Re} \left[ V_k e^{i \frac{2k\pi}{T} t} \right]$ . We define  $v_{per}^1$  by

$$v_{per}^1(x_n, t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-a_k x_n} \text{Re} \left[ V_k e^{i \left( \frac{2k\pi}{T} t - a_k x_n \right)} \right].$$

Here  $a_k = (\frac{\rho_* k \pi}{\mu T})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . It is not difficult to check that  $v_{per} = v_{per}^1 e_1$ ,  $p = 0$  is a  $T$ -time periodic function satisfying (1.1)-(1.2) with  $\int_0^T v_{per}^1(x_n, t) dt = 0$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_{x_n}^j v_{per}^1(x_n, t)| \\ &= \frac{2e^{-a_1 x_n}}{\sqrt{T}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-a_k)^j e^{-(a_k - a_1)x_n} \operatorname{Re} \left[ (1+i)^j V_k e^{i(\frac{2k\pi}{T}t - a_k x_n)} \right] \right| \\ &\leq C a^j T^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0,T)} e^{-a_1 x_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate for  $\partial_{x_n}^j (v_{per}^1(x_n, t) - v_{per}^1(x_n, s))$  can be obtained similarly. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Main Results

In this section, we state the main results of this paper. We begin with  $L^p$ - $L^q$  type estimates for the evolution operator  $U(t, s)$  for the linearized problem (1.6).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $1 < q \leq p < \infty$  or  $1 \leq q < p \leq \infty$ . Suppose that  $u_0 \in L_\sigma^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . Then there exists  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(q) > 0$  such that if  $\sqrt{\frac{\rho_*}{\mu}} T^m \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0,T)} \leq \delta_0$ , the following estimates hold with  $k = 0, 1$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^k U(t, s) u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq C (t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}, \\ \|U(t, s) \partial_x u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq C (t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n, p$  and  $q$ . Furthermore, for any  $\varepsilon$  satisfying  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , there exists  $\delta'_0 = \delta'_0(q, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that if  $\sqrt{\frac{\rho_*}{\mu}} T^m \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0,T)} \leq \delta'_0$ , then for  $k = 0, 1$ , the following estimates hold.

$$\|\partial_x^k U(t, s) u_0 - \partial_x^k S(t-s) u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C (t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

Here  $S(t)$  is the Stokes semigroup and  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n, p, q$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

By using Theorem 3.1 and the standard method in the theory of Navier-Stokes equation, we can obtain the following results on the asymptotic stability of  $v_{per}(x_n, t)$ .

We first consider (1.4) in the form of the integral equation

$$u(t) = U(t, 0) u_0 - \int_0^t U(t, \tau) P(u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) d\tau. \quad (3.1)$$

**Theorem 3.2.** (i) Assume that  $\sqrt{\frac{\rho_*}{\mu}} T^m \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0,T)} \leq \delta_0(n)$ . Then there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that if  $u_0 \in L_\sigma^n(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  satisfies  $\|u_0\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \eta$ , there exists a unique global solution  $u \in BC([0, \infty); L_\sigma^n(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$  of (3.1). Furthermore,  $u(t)$  has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{n}{p})} u &\in BC([0, \infty); L_\sigma^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)), n \leq p \leq \infty, \\ t^{1-\frac{n}{2p}} \nabla u &\in BC([0, \infty); L_\sigma^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)), n \leq p < \infty, \\ \|u(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &= o(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n}{2p}}) (t \rightarrow \infty), n \leq p \leq \infty, \\ \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &= o(t^{-1+\frac{n}{2p}}) (t \rightarrow \infty), n \leq p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Moreover, let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$  and let  $u_0 \in L_\sigma^n(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  for some  $1 < r < n$ . Assume that  $\sqrt{\frac{\rho_*}{\mu}} T^m \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0,T)} \leq \delta'_0(r, \varepsilon)$ . Then

$$\|u(t) - S(t)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = o(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}).$$

Here  $\alpha$  is a positive number satisfying  $0 < \alpha < \min\{1 - 2\varepsilon, n - \frac{n}{r}, \frac{n}{r} - 1\}$ .

Theorem 3.2 (i) can be proved by combining the argument of [6] and Theorem 3.1. Furthermore, in a similar manner to that in [6], one can show

$$\|u(t) - U(t, 0)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = o(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}).$$

By Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(t) - S(t)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &\leq \|u(t) - U(t, 0)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|U(t, 0)u_0 - S(t)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &\leq o(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) + Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} = o(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 3.2 (ii).

We next consider the stability of  $v_{per}(x_n, t)$  in the framework of weak solutions. We call  $u$  a *weak solution* of (1.4) with initial value  $u_0 \in L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  if  $u$  is weakly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  with values in  $L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and  $u$  satisfies  $\nabla u \in L_{loc}^2([0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$ ,  $u(0) = u_0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} &(u(t), \varphi(t)) - (u(s), \varphi(s)) + \frac{\mu}{\rho_*} \int_s^t (\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) d\tau \\ &= \int_s^t (u, \varphi_\tau) d\tau - \int_s^t (v_{per} \otimes u + u \otimes v_{per} + u \otimes u, \nabla \varphi) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in C([0, \infty); (L_\sigma^2 \cap W_0^{1,2} \cap L^n)(\mathbb{R}_+^n)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$ . Here  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the inner product of  $L^2$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** (i) Let  $\sqrt{\frac{\rho_*}{\mu}} T^m \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0,T)} \leq \delta_0(2)$ . Then, for each  $u_0 \in L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , there is a weak solution  $u$  of (1.4) such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

(ii) Furthermore, if  $\sqrt{\frac{\rho_*}{\mu}} T^m \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0,T)} \leq \delta_0(r)$  and  $u_0 \in L_\sigma^r(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  for some  $1 \leq r < 2$ , then

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = o(t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2})}).$$

Theorem 3.3 (i)-(ii) can be proved by combining the arguments of [1, 2, 3] and Theorem 3.1.

In the remaining of this paper, we will prove Theorem 3.1. To prove Theorem 3.1, it is convenient to consider a non-dimensional form of the problem. We introduce the following non-dimensional quantities:

$$x = V_* T \sqrt{\nu} \tilde{x}, \quad t = T \tilde{t}, \quad v = V_* \tilde{v}, \quad v_{per} = V_* \tilde{v}_{per}, \quad p = \rho_* V_*^2 \sqrt{\nu} \tilde{p},$$

where  $V_*$  is the reference velocity given by  $V_* = T^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0,T)}$ , and  $\nu$  is the non-dimensional parameter defined by

$$\nu = \frac{\mu}{\rho_* T V_*^2} = \frac{\mu}{\rho_* T^{2m} \|V\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0,T)}^2}.$$

Under this non-dimensionalization,  $V(t)$  and  $v_{per}(x_n, t)$  are transformed into  $\tilde{V}(\tilde{t})$  and  $\tilde{v}_{per}(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{t})$  which are 1-periodic functions with the following properties.

**Proposition 3.4.** Under the non-dimensionalization above,  $V(t)$  and  $v_{per}(x_n, t)$  are transformed into  $\tilde{V}(\tilde{t})$  and  $\tilde{v}_{per}(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{t})$  which satisfy  $\tilde{V} \in H_{per}^m(0, 1)$  with  $\|\tilde{V}\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^m(0,1)} = 1$  and

$$\tilde{v}_{per}^1(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{t}) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\tilde{a}_k \tilde{x}_n} \operatorname{Re} \left[ \tilde{V}_k e^{i(2k\pi\tilde{t} - \tilde{a}_k \tilde{x}_n)} \right],$$

$$\tilde{v}_{per}(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{t} + 1) = \tilde{v}_{per}(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{t}) \quad (\tilde{t} \in \mathbb{R}), \quad \int_0^1 \tilde{v}_{per}(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{t}) d\tilde{t} = 0,$$

$$|\partial_{\tilde{x}_n}^j \tilde{v}_{per}^1(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{t})| \leq C e^{-\sqrt{\pi} \tilde{x}_n},$$

$$|\partial_{\tilde{x}_n}^j (\tilde{v}_{per}^1(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{t}) - \tilde{v}_{per}^1(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{s}))| \leq C e^{-\sqrt{\pi} \tilde{x}_n} |\tilde{t} - \tilde{s}|^\gamma$$

for  $j = 0, 1$ , where  $\tilde{a}_k = \sqrt{k\pi}$ ;  $\tilde{V}_k$ , ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) denote the Fourier coefficients of  $\tilde{V}(\tilde{t})$ ;  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\mu$  and  $T$ ; and  $\gamma$  is a number satisfying  $0 < \gamma < \min\{1, m - \frac{j+1}{2}\}$ .

Let  $u = \tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_{per}$  denote the non-dimensional perturbation. Then, problem (1.4) is written, after omitting tildes, as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} v_{per}^1 \partial_{x_1} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \partial_{x_n} v_{per}^1 u^n e_1 + \nabla p = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u|_{x_n=0} = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

and the linearized problems (1.5) and (1.6) are written, by omitting tildes, as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} v_{per}^1 \partial_{x_1} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \partial_{x_n} v_{per}^1 u^n e_1 + \nabla p = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u|_{x_n=0} = 0, \\ u|_{t=s} = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + Au + PB(t)u = 0, \\ u|_{t=s} = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

respectively. Here  $B(t)$  denotes the lower order term given by

$$B(t)u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} v_{per}^1(x_n, t) \partial_{x_1} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \partial_{x_n} v_{per}^1(x_n, t) u^n e_1.$$

In what follows we will denote the evolution operator for the linearized problem (3.4) by  $U(t, s)$ . By Proposition 3.4 (cf., Proposition 2.5) one can show the existence of the evolution operator for (3.4) on  $L^p_\sigma(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ). (See [12, Chap. 5].) To prove Theorem 3.1, we will consider (3.4) instead of (1.6).

## 4 Anisotropic $L^p$ - $L^q$ type estimates for the Stokes semigroup

In this section we first introduce a solution formula for the nonstationary Stokes system on the half-space given in [5]. We then establish anisotropic  $L^p$ - $L^q$  type estimates for the Stokes semigroup.

We consider the nonstationary Stokes system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \Delta v + \nabla p = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \\ v|_{x_n=0} = 0, \\ v|_{t=0} = Pv_0. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

From [5, p. 245] the solution  $v(t) = S(t)v_0$  of (4.1) is written as

$$S(t)v_0 = \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0(\xi', y_n) d\xi_n dy_n \right].$$

Here

$$G(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) = \sum_{k=1}^5 G_k(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) &= g_1(\xi', \xi_n) e^{i\xi_n(x_n - y_n)}, \\ G_2(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) &= g_2(\xi', \xi_n) e^{i\xi_n(x_n + y_n)}, \\ G_3(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) &= g_3(\xi', \xi_n) e^{i\xi_n x_n} e^{-|\xi'| y_n}, \\ G_4(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) &= g_4(\xi', \xi_n) e^{-|\xi'| x_n} e^{i\xi_n y_n}, \\ G_5(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) &= g_5(\xi', \xi_n) e^{-|\xi'| x_n} e^{-|\xi'| y_n}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $g_j(\xi', \xi_n)$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ ) given by

$$g_1(\xi', \xi_n) = I_n - \frac{\xi'^\top \xi}{|\xi|^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(\xi', \xi_n) &= -I_n + \frac{1}{|\xi'| (i\xi_n + |\xi'|)} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} i\xi_n |\xi'| \frac{\xi'^\top \xi'}{|\xi|^2} + \xi_n^2 \frac{\xi'^\top \xi'}{|\xi|^2} + \xi'^\top \xi' & -i\xi_n^2 \frac{\xi' |\xi'|}{|\xi|^2} + \xi_n \frac{\xi' |\xi'|^2}{|\xi|^2} \\ i\xi_n^2 \frac{\xi' |\xi'|}{|\xi|^2} - \xi_n \frac{\xi' |\xi'|^2}{|\xi|^2} & i\xi_n \frac{|\xi'|^3}{|\xi|^2} + \xi_n^2 \frac{|\xi'|^2}{|\xi|^2} + i\xi_n |\xi'| \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_3(\xi', \xi_n) &= \frac{2\xi_n}{|\xi'| (i\xi_n + |\xi'|)} \begin{pmatrix} -\xi_n \frac{\xi'^\top \xi'}{|\xi|^2} & i\xi_n \frac{\xi' |\xi'|}{|\xi|^2} \\ \frac{|\xi'|^2 \xi'}{|\xi|^2} & -i \frac{|\xi'|^3}{|\xi|^2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_4(\xi', \xi_n) &= \frac{2\xi_n}{|\xi'| (i\xi_n + |\xi'|)} \begin{pmatrix} -\xi_n \frac{\xi'^\top \xi'}{|\xi|^2} & -\frac{\xi' |\xi'|^2}{|\xi|^2} \\ -i\xi_n \frac{|\xi'| \xi'}{|\xi|^2} & -i \frac{|\xi'|^3}{|\xi|^2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_5(\xi', \xi_n) &= \frac{2\xi_n}{i\xi_n + |\xi'|} \begin{pmatrix} i \frac{\xi'^\top \xi'}{|\xi|^2} & \frac{\xi' |\xi'|}{|\xi|^2} \\ -\frac{|\xi'| \xi'}{|\xi|^2} & i \frac{|\xi'|^2}{|\xi|^2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and  $I_n$  being the  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

One can show that  $S(t) = e^{-tA}P$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , where  $P$  denotes the Helmholtz projection,  $A = -P\Delta$  is the Stokes operator and  $e^{-tA}$  denotes

the Stokes semigroup. Namely,  $S(t)$  coincides with the Stokes semigroup on  $L^p_\sigma(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .

We will establish anisotropic  $L^p$ - $L^q$  type estimates for low and high frequency parts of  $S(t)$ . We introduce a decomposition of  $S(t)$  into its low and high frequency parts. Let  $\chi'_0(\xi')$ ,  $\chi'_\infty(\xi')$ ,  $\chi_0^n(\xi_n)$  and  $\chi_\infty^n(\xi_n)$  be cut-off functions satisfying

$$\chi'_0(\xi') \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), \quad 0 \leq \chi'_0(\xi') \leq 1, \quad \chi'_0(\xi') = \begin{cases} 1 & (|\xi'| \leq 1), \\ 0 & (|\xi'| \geq 2), \end{cases}$$

$$\chi'_\infty(\xi') = 1 - \chi'_0(\xi'),$$

$$\chi_0^n(\xi_n) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 \leq \chi_0^n(\xi_n) \leq 1, \quad \chi_0^n(\xi_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & (|\xi_n| \leq 1), \\ 0 & (|\xi_n| \geq 2), \end{cases}$$

$$\chi_\infty^n(\xi_n) = 1 - \chi_0^n(\xi_n).$$

Moreover, let  $\tilde{\chi}_0^n(\xi_n)$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_\infty^n(\xi_n)$  be cut-off functions satisfying

$$\tilde{\chi}_0^n(\xi_n) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 \leq \tilde{\chi}_0^n(\xi_n) \leq 1, \quad \tilde{\chi}_0^n(\xi_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & (|\xi_n| \leq 2), \\ 0 & (|\xi_n| \geq 3), \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_\infty^n(\xi_n) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 \leq \tilde{\chi}_\infty^n(\xi_n) \leq 1, \quad \tilde{\chi}_\infty^n(\xi_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & (|\xi_n| \leq \frac{1}{2}), \\ 1 & (|\xi_n| \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

We decompose  $S(t)$  as

$$S(t)v_0 = S_1(t)v_0 + S_2(t)v_0 + S_3(t)v_0,$$

where

$$S_1(t)v_0 = \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0(\xi', y_n) \hat{v}_0 d\xi_n dy_n \right],$$

$$S_2(t)v_0 = \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_\infty^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0(\xi', y_n) \hat{v}_0 d\xi_n dy_n \right],$$

$$S_3(t)v_0 = \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_\infty(\xi') e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0(\xi', y_n) \hat{v}_0 d\xi_n dy_n \right].$$

We have the following anisotropic  $L^p$ - $L^q$  type estimates for  $S_j(t)$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) which are the main results of this section.



**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $p, q, r$  and  $s$  satisfy  $1 < s \leq q \leq p \leq r < \infty$  or  $1 \leq s \leq q < p \leq r \leq \infty$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i)} \quad & \|\partial_x^k S_1(t)v_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \\
\text{(ii)} \quad & \|\partial_x^k S_2(t)v_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \\
\text{(iii)} \quad & \|\partial_x^k S_3(t)v_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \\
\text{(iv)} \quad & \|S_1(t)\partial_x v_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \\
\text{(v)} \quad & \|S_2(t)\partial_x v_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \\
\text{(vi)} \quad & \|S_3(t)\partial_x v_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $C$  and  $c$  are positive constants depending only on  $n, p, q, r, s$  and  $k$ .

In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we first prepare some auxiliary inequalities. We then prove Theorem 4.1 (i)-(iii) for  $s > 1$  (Lemma 4.4). We finally prove Theorem 4.1 (i)-(iii) for  $s = 1$  and (iv)-(vi) by the duality argument.

We will use the following inequalities.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i)} \quad & \|\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_\infty^n(\xi_n)e^{-\xi_n^2 t}]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} e^{-ct}, \\
\text{(ii)} \quad & \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} e^{-ct}.
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  and  $c$  are positive constants depending only on  $n, p$  and  $q$ .

Let  $p, q$  and  $r$  be a number satisfying  $p, q, r \geq 1, \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} + 1$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(iii)} \quad & \|\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n)(i\xi_n)^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t}]\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}}, \\
\text{(iv)} \quad & \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')|\xi'|^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n, p, q, r$  and  $k$ .

**Proof .** We give a proof of (ii) and (iv) only, since (i) and (iii) can be proved similarly. We fix  $\varepsilon$  satisfying  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2 t}] \\
= & \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[|\xi'|^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2 t}] * \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[|\xi'|^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2 t}] * \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')|\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2 t}],
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2t}]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \leq \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[|\xi'|^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}-1}e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2t}]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^2 \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')|\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2t}]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon-2)} \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')|\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2t}]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$e^{ix'\cdot\xi'} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{-ix_j}{|x'|^2} \frac{\partial e^{ix'\cdot\xi'}}{\partial \xi_j},$$

by integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')|\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2t}](x') \\
& = \sum_{|\beta|=n} \left( \frac{ix'}{|x'|^2} \right)^\beta \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{ix'\cdot\xi'} \partial_{\xi'}^\beta (\chi'_\infty(\xi')|\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2t}) d\xi'.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $|\partial_{\xi'}^\beta (\chi'_\infty(\xi')|\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2t})| \leq C|\xi'|^{-(n-2)-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{6}t}e^{-\frac{1}{6}|\xi'|^2t}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')|\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2t}](x')| & \leq C|x'|^{-n}e^{-\frac{1}{6}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{|\xi'|^{n-2+\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{1}{6}|\xi'|^2t} d\xi' \\
& \leq C|x'|^{-n}t^{\frac{n-2+\varepsilon}{2}}t^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{6}t} \\
& = C|x'|^{-n}t^{\frac{-1+\varepsilon}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{6}t}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')|\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2t}]\|_{L^1(|x'|\geq t^{\frac{1}{2}})} & \leq Ct^{\frac{-1+\varepsilon}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{6}t} \int_{|x'|\geq t^{\frac{1}{2}}} |x'|^{-n} dx' \\
& = Ct^{\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon-2)}e^{-\frac{1}{6}t}. \tag{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')|\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2t}](x')| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{6}|\xi'|^2t} d\xi' e^{-\frac{1}{6}t},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')|\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{3}|\xi'|^2t}]\|_{L^1(|x'|\leq t^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\
& \leq C \left( \int_{|x'|\leq t^{\frac{1}{2}}} dx' \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |\xi'|^{2-\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{6}|\xi'|^2t} d\xi' \right) e^{-\frac{1}{6}t} \\
& \leq Ct^{\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon-2)}e^{-\frac{1}{6}t}. \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from (4.2) and (4.3) that

$$\|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{6}t}.$$

Applying Young's inequality we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_\infty(\xi')e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ & \leq Ct^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} e^{-\frac{1}{6}t}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (ii).

Let us next prove (iv). From Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')|\xi'|^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')|\xi'|^k]\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')|\xi'|^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')]\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[|\xi'|^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ & \leq C_2 t^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $C_0 = \max\{C_1(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{k}{2}}, C_2(1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{k}{2}}\}$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we obtain, for  $t \leq \varepsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')|\xi'|^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ & \leq C_1(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{k}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \\ & \leq C_0(1+t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $t \geq \varepsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')|\xi'|^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ & \leq C_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{k}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \\ & \leq C_0(1+t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

We will also use the following estimates.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$  be a multi-index. Then*

- (i)  $|\partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} g_i(\xi', \xi_n)| \leq C_{\alpha'} |\xi'|^{-|\alpha'|}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$
- (ii)  $|\partial_{\xi_n}^m g_i(\xi', \xi_n)| \leq C_m |\xi_n|^{-m}, m \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$
- (iii)  $|\mathcal{F}_n^{-1} g_i(\xi', \xi_n)| \leq C |\xi'| e^{-|\xi'| |x_n|}, i = 3, 4, 5.$

It is not difficult to show Lemma 4.3 (i) and (ii) by the Leibniz rule, and (iii) is proved by using the relation  $\mathcal{F}_n[\frac{1}{|\xi|^2}] = \pi \frac{e^{-|\xi'| |x_n|}}{|\xi'|}$ .

To prove Theorem 4.1, we write  $S_1(t)$ ,  $S_2(t)$  and  $S_3(t)$  as follows:

$$S_1(t)v_0 = I_1(x, t) + I_2(x, t) + I_3(x, t) + I_4(x, t) + I_5(x, t),$$

$$S_2(t)v_0 = J_1(x, t) + J_2(x, t) + J_3(x, t) + J_4(x, t) + J_5(x, t),$$

$$S_3(t)v_0 = K_1(x, t) + K_2(x, t) + K_3(x, t) + K_4(x, t) + K_5(x, t),$$

where

$$I_j = \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G_j(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0 d\xi_n dy_n \right],$$

$$J_j = \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_\infty^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G_j(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0 d\xi_n dy_n \right],$$

$$K_j = \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_\infty(\xi') e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G_j(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0 d\xi_n dy_n \right]$$

for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ .

We first prove Theorem 4.1 (i)-(iii) for  $s > 1$ .

**Proof of Theorem 4.1 (i)-(iii) for  $s > 1$ .** Theorem 4.1 (i)-(iii) for  $s > 1$  are consequences of the following Lemma 4.4.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $p, q, r$  and  $s$  satisfy  $1 < s \leq q \leq p \leq r < \infty$  or  $1 < s \leq q < p \leq r \leq \infty$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_j(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \\ \|\partial_{x_n}^k J_j(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} &\leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \\ \|\partial_{x_n}^k K_j(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} &\leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n, p, q, r, s$  and  $k$ .

**Proof of Lemma 4.4 for  $j = 1, 2$ .** We here give a proof for  $j = 2$  only since the case  $j = 1$  can be proved similarly. In the proof we often use the relation  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}'\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_n\mathcal{F}'$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}'^{-1}\mathcal{F}_n^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}\mathcal{F}'^{-1}$ . Note that  $\chi_0^n(\xi_n) = \tilde{\chi}_0^n(\xi_n)\chi_0^n(\xi_n)$ . We write  $\partial_{x_n}^k I_2$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{x_n}^k I_2(x) \\
&= 2\pi \partial_{x_n}^k \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\chi_0'(\xi')\chi_0^n(\xi_n)e^{-|\xi|^2 t} g_2(\xi', \xi_n)\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]] \\
&= 2\pi \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\chi_0'(\xi')\chi_0^n(\xi_n)(i\xi_n)^k e^{-|\xi|^2 t} g_2(\xi', \xi_n)\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]] \\
&= 2\pi \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\tilde{\chi}_0^n(\xi_n)(i\xi_n)^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t} \\
&\quad \times \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi_0'(\xi')\chi_0^n(\xi_n)e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t} g_2(\xi', \xi_n)\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]] \\
&= 2\pi \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\tilde{\chi}_0^n(\xi_n)(i\xi_n)^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t}] \\
&\quad *_n \mathcal{F}^{-1}[g_2(\xi', \xi_n)\chi_0'(\xi')\chi_0^n(\xi_n)e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]].
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\sigma$  be a number satisfying  $\frac{1}{\sigma} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} + 1$ . From Young's inequality and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_2\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\
&\leq C \|\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\tilde{\chi}_0^n(\xi_n)(i\xi_n)^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t}]\|_{L^\sigma(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\quad \times \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[g_2(\xi', \xi_n)\chi_0'(\xi')\chi_0^n(\xi_n)e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[g_2(\xi', \xi_n)\chi_0'(\xi')\chi_0^n(\xi_n)e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 2.1, Lemma 4.3 and the Fourier multiplier theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_2\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
&\leq \|\|\partial_{x_n}^k I_2\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+)}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[g_2(\xi', \xi_n)\chi_0'(\xi')\chi_0^n(\xi_n)e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\chi_0'(\xi')\chi_0^n(\xi_n)e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We next take  $m$  in such a way that  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{m} - 1$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\chi_0'(\xi')\chi_0^n(\xi_n)e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]] \\
&= \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2 t}] *_n \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi_0'(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]],
\end{aligned}$$

we apply Young's inequality, Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 2.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')\chi_0^n(\xi_n)e^{-|\xi'|^2t}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2t}\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \|\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_n^2t}]\|_{L^m(\mathbb{R})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2t}\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]]\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2t}\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]]\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2t}\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \right\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $l$  be a number satisfying  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{l} - 1$ . Since

$$\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2t}\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]] = \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2t}] *' \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]],$$

by applying Young's inequality and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2t}\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \right\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi'_0(\xi')e^{-|\xi'|^2t}]\|_{L^l(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \|\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \right\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \|\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[E\hat{v}_0]]\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \right\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+;L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\|\partial_{x_n}^k I_2(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+;L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+;L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.$$

Similarly, applying Lemma 4.2 we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{x_n}^k J_2\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+;L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} & \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+;L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \\
\|\partial_{x_n}^k K_2\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+;L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} & \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+;L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

We next consider  $I_3$ ,  $J_3$  and  $K_3$ .

**Proof of Lemma 4.4 for  $j = 3$ .** We write  $\partial_{x_n}^k I_3$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{x_n}^k I_3(x) \\
&= \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_0'(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) (i\xi_n)^k e^{-|\xi|^2 t} e^{i\xi_n x_n} e^{-|\xi'| y_n} g_3(\xi', \xi_n) \hat{v}_0 d\xi_n dy_n \right] \\
&= \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} \left[ \chi_0^n(\xi_n) (i\xi_n)^k e^{-\xi_n^2 t} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}'^{-1} [\chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-|\xi'| y_n} g_3(\xi', \xi_n) \hat{v}_0] dy_n \right] \\
&= \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} [\chi_0^n(\xi_n) (i\xi_n)^k e^{-\xi_n^2 t}] \\
&\quad * \left( \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}'^{-1} [\chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-|\xi'| y_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} [g_3(\xi', \xi_n)] \hat{v}_0] dy_n \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $m$  be a number satisfying  $\frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{s} + 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_3(x', \cdot)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\
&\leq \|\mathcal{F}_n^{-1} [\chi_0^n(\xi_n) (i\xi_n)^k e^{-\xi_n^2 t}]\|_{L^m(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\quad \times \left\| \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}'^{-1} [\chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-|\xi'| y_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} [g_3(\xi', \xi_n)] \hat{v}_0] dy_n \right\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}'^{-1} [\chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-|\xi'| y_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} [g_3(\xi', \xi_n)] \hat{v}_0] dy_n \right\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $s \leq p \leq r$ , we apply Proposition 2.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_3\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
&\leq \left\| \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_3\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+)} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\| \left\| \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}'^{-1} [\chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-|\xi'| y_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} [g_3(\xi', \xi_n)] \hat{v}_0] dy_n \right\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\| \int_0^\infty \left\| \mathcal{F}'^{-1} [\chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-|\xi'| y_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} [g_3(\xi', \xi_n)] \hat{v}_0] \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dy_n \right\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Choose  $l$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{l} - 1$ . The Young inequality and Lemma 4.2 then yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1} [\chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-|\xi'| y_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} [g_3(\xi', \xi_n)] \hat{v}_0]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
&= \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1} [\chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t}] *' \mathcal{F}'^{-1} [e^{-|\xi'| y_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} [g_3(\xi', \xi_n)] \hat{v}_0]\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
&\leq \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1} [\chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t}]\|_{L^l(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1} [e^{-|\xi'| y_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} [g_3(\xi', \xi_n)] \hat{v}_0]\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \|\mathcal{F}'^{-1} [e^{-|\xi'| y_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} [g_3(\xi', \xi_n)] \hat{v}_0]\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'}(e^{-|\xi'|y_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[g_3(\xi', \xi_n)])| \leq C_{\alpha'} |\xi'| e^{-|\xi'|(x_n+y_n)} |\xi'|^{-|\alpha'|} \leq C_{\alpha'} \frac{1}{x_n + y_n} |\xi'|^{-|\alpha'|},$$

by applying the Fourier multiplier theorem, we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}'^{-1}[e^{-|\xi'|y_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[g_3(\xi', \xi_n)]\hat{v}_0]\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq \frac{C}{x_n + y_n} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.$$

This, together with Proposition 2.4, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_3\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\ & \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_n + y_n} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dy_n \right\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R})} \\ & \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\|\partial_{x_n}^k J_3\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))},$$

$$\|\partial_{x_n}^k K_3\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let us next estimate  $\partial_{x_n}^k I_4$ .

**Proof of Lemma 4.4 for  $j = 4$ .** We first observe that

$$\chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t} = \mathcal{F}_n[\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t}]] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi_n z_n} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t}](z_n) dz_n.$$

Set

$$I_0(x', x_n, t, \xi_n) = \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|x_n} e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} (-|\xi'|)^k g_4(\xi', \xi_n) \hat{v}_0 \right].$$

Then  $\partial_{x_n}^k I_4$  is written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{x_n}^k I_4(x) \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t} e^{i\xi_n y_n} \\ & \quad \times \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|x_n} e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} (-|\xi'|)^k g_4(\xi', \xi_n) \hat{v}_0] d\xi_n dy_n \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t} e^{i\xi_n y_n} I_0(x', x_n, t, \xi_n) d\xi_n dy_n \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t}](z_n) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi_n(y_n - z_n)} I_0(x', x_n, t, \xi_n) d\xi_n \right) dz_n dy_n, \end{aligned}$$



and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_4(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \leq C \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t}](z_n)| \\
& \quad \times \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi_n(y_n - z_n)} I_0(x', x_n, t, \xi_n) d\xi_n \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dz_n dy_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Let us estimate  $\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi_n(y_n - z_n)} I_0(x', x_n, t, \xi_n) d\xi_n \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}$ . By setting

$$\hat{G}(\xi', x_n) = 2\pi \mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[g_4(\xi', \cdot)](x_n),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi_n(y_n - z_n)} I_0(x', x_n, t, \xi_n) d\xi_n \\
& = \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} (-|\xi'|)^k e^{-|\xi'| x_n} \hat{G}(\xi', y_n - z_n) \hat{v}_0 \right] \\
& = \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} (-|\xi'|)^k |\xi'|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{r}} \right] \\
& \quad *' \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ |\xi'|^{-\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r}} e^{-|\xi'| x_n} \hat{G}(\xi', y_n - z_n) \hat{v}_0 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'}(G(\xi', y_n - z_n))| \leq C_{\alpha'} |\xi'| e^{-|\xi'| |y_n - z_n|} |\xi'|^{-|\alpha'|},$$

by choosing  $m$  such that  $\frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{s} + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} (|\xi'|^{-\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r}} e^{-|\xi'| x_n} \hat{G}(\xi', y_n - z_n)) \right| & \leq C_{\alpha'} |\xi'|^{\frac{1}{m}} e^{-|\xi'| (x_n + |y_n - z_n|)} |\xi'|^{-|\alpha'|} \\
& \leq C_{\alpha'} \frac{1}{(x_n + |y_n - z_n|)^{\frac{1}{m}}} |\xi'|^{-|\alpha'|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $l$  be a number satisfying  $\frac{1}{l} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} + 1$ . Applying Proposition 4.2 and the Fourier multiplier theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi_n(y_n - z_n)} I_0(x', x_n, t, \xi_n) d\xi_n \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \leq \left\| \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} (-|\xi'|)^k |\xi'|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{r}} \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. *' \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ |\xi'|^{-\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r}} e^{-|\xi'| x_n} \hat{G}(\xi', y_n - z_n) \hat{v}_0 \right] \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \leq \left\| \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \chi_0'(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} (-|\xi'|)^k |\xi'|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{r}} \right] \right\|_{L^l(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \quad \times \left\| \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ |\xi'|^{-\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r}} e^{-|\xi'| x_n} \hat{G}(\xi', y_n - z_n) \hat{v}_0 \right] \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{r}) - \frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{k}{2}} \frac{1}{(x_n + |y_n - z_n|)^{\frac{1}{m}}} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_4(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \leq C \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t}](z_n)| \\
& \quad \times \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi_n(y_n - z_n)} I_0(x', x_n, t, \xi_n) d\xi_n \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dz_n dy_n \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t}](z_n)| \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(x_n + |y_n - z_n|)^{\frac{1}{m}}} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dy_n dz_n \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t}](z_n)| \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_0^\infty \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^s dy_n \right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_n + |y_n - z_n|} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m-\frac{m}{m'}s} dy_n \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} dz_n \\
& = C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^{\frac{s}{m'}} \\
& \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t}](z_n)| \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_n + |y_n - z_n|} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m-\frac{m}{m'}s}(y_n) dy_n \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} dz_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting  $f_{z_n}(w_n) = \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m-\frac{m}{m'}s}(z_n+w_n)$  and  $g_{z_n}(w_n) = \|Ev_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m-\frac{m}{m'}s}(z_n-w_n)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_n + |y_n - z_n|} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m-\frac{m}{m'}s}(y_n) dy_n \\
& = \underbrace{\int_{z_n}^\infty \frac{1}{x_n + |y_n - z_n|} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m-\frac{m}{m'}s}(y_n) dy_n}_{w_n=y_n-z_n} \\
& \quad + \underbrace{\int_0^{z_n} \frac{1}{x_n + |y_n - z_n|} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m-\frac{m}{m'}s}(y_n) dy_n}_{w_n=z_n-y_n} \\
& = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_n + w_n} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m-\frac{m}{m'}s}(z_n + w_n) dw_n \\
& \quad + \int_0^{z_n} \frac{1}{x_n + w_n} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m-\frac{m}{m'}s}(z_n - w_n) dw_n \\
& = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_n + w_n} f_{z_n}(w_n) dw_n + \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_n + w_n} g_{z_n}(w_n) dw_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\frac{r}{m}(m - \frac{m}{m'}s) = s$  and  $\frac{r}{m} \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_n + |y_n - z_n|} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m - \frac{m}{m'}s} dy_n \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\
&= \left\| \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_n + |y_n - z_n|} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m - \frac{m}{m'}s} dy_n \right\|_{L^{\frac{r}{m}}(\mathbb{R}_+)}^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
&\leq C(\|f_{z_n}\|_{L^{\frac{r}{m}}(\mathbb{R}_+)} + \|g_{z_n}\|_{L^{\frac{r}{m}}(\mathbb{R}_+)})^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
&\leq C\|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m - \frac{m}{m'}s} \|v_0\|_{L^{\frac{r}{m}}(\mathbb{R}_+)}^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
&\leq C\|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^{\frac{s}{r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_4\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^{\frac{s}{m'}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^{\frac{s}{r}} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}_{z_n}} |\mathcal{F}_n^{-1}[\chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t}](z_n)| dz_n \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can prove the desired estimate of  $J_4$  and  $K_4$  by a similar calculation as that for  $I_4$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We finally consider  $I_5$ ,  $J_5$  and  $K_5$ .

**Proof of Lemma 4.4 for  $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{5}$ .** We observe that

$$|g_5(\xi', \xi_n)| \leq C \frac{|\xi'|^2}{|\xi|^2} \in L_{\xi_n}^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Set  $\tilde{G}(\xi', t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t} g_5(\xi', \xi_n) d\xi_n$ . We write  $\partial_{x_n}^k I_5$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{x_n}^k I_5(x) \\
&= \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_0'(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) (-|\xi'|)^k e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} g_5(\xi', \xi_n) e^{-|\xi'|x_n} e^{-|\xi'|y_n} \hat{v}_0 d\xi_n dy_n \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \chi_0'(\xi') (-|\xi'|)^k e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} e^{-|\xi'|(x_n+y_n)} \tilde{G}(\xi', t) \hat{v}_0 \right] dy_n \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \chi_0'(\xi') (-|\xi'|)^k |\xi'|^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r}} e^{-|\xi'|^2 t} \right. \\
&\quad \left. * \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ |\xi'|^{-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{r}} e^{-|\xi'|(x_n+y_n)} \tilde{G}(\xi', t) \hat{v}_0 \right] dy_n \right] dy_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $l$  such that  $\frac{1}{l} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_5(\cdot, x_n)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \left\| \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[\chi_0'(\xi')(-|\xi'|)^k |\xi'|^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r}} e^{-|\xi'|^2 t}] \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\
& \quad \times \left\| \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[|\xi'|^{-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{r}} e^{-|\xi'|(x_n+y_n)} \tilde{G}(\xi', t) \hat{v}_0] \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dy_n \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \int_0^\infty \left\| \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[|\xi'|^{-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{p}} e^{-|\xi'|(x_n+y_n)} \tilde{G}(\xi', t) \hat{v}_0] \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dy_n.
\end{aligned}$$

We choose  $m$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} + 1$ . Since

$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'}(\tilde{G}(\xi', t))| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-\xi_n^2 t} \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'}(g_5(\xi', \xi_n)) d\xi_n \right| \leq C|\xi'| |\xi'|^{-|\alpha'|},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'}(|\xi'|^{-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{r}} e^{-|\xi'|(x_n+y_n)} \tilde{G}(\xi', t)) \right| & \leq C|\xi'|^{1-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{r}} e^{-|\xi'|(x_n+y_n)} |\xi'|^{-|\alpha'|} \\
& \leq C \frac{1}{(x_n+y_n)^{\frac{1}{m}}} |\xi'|^{-|\alpha'|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by applying the Fourier multiplier theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty \left\| \mathcal{F}'^{-1}[|\xi'|^{-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{p}} e^{-|\xi'|(x_n+y_n)} \tilde{G}(\xi', t) \hat{v}_0] \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dy_n \\
& \leq C \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(x_n+y_n)^{\frac{1}{m}}} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}(y_n) dy_n \\
& \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_n+y_n} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m-\frac{m}{m'}s} dy_n \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

An application of Proposition 2.4 then yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_{x_n}^k I_5\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^{\frac{s}{m'}} \\
& \quad \times \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x_n+y_n} \|v_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{m-\frac{m}{m'}s} dy_n \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^{\frac{s}{m'}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^{\frac{s}{r}} \\
& = C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $J_5(x)$  and  $K_5(x)$  we can show the desire estimate by a similar calculation as that for  $I_5$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

By Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\|\partial_{x_n}^k S_1(t)v_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+;L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+;L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))},$$

$$\|\partial_{x_n}^k S_2(t)v_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+;L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+;L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))},$$

$$\|\partial_{x_n}^k S_3(t)v_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+;L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+;L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.$$

Similarly, we can show the desire estimates for  $\partial_{x_j}^k S_j(t)v_0$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ). Theorem 4.1 (i)-(iii) are thus proved for  $1 < s \leq q \leq p \leq r < \infty$  or  $1 < s \leq q < p \leq r \leq \infty$ .

**Proof of Theorem 4.1 (i)-(iii) for  $s = 1$  and (iv)-(vi).** To prove Theorem 4.1 (i)-(iii) for  $s = 1$  and (iv)-(vi), we employ the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and let  $u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and  $v_0 \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . Then*

$$(S_i(t)u_0, v_0) = (u_0, S_i(t)v_0)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Proof of Lemma 4.5.** We denote by  $G^*(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n)$  the adjoint matrix of  $G(\xi', \xi_n, y_n, x_n)$ . Then the desired relation follows from the fact  $G^*(\xi', -\xi_n, x_n, y_n) = G(\xi', \xi_n, y_n, x_n)$ . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (S_1(t)u_0, v_0) \\ &= \left( \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{u}_0(\xi', y_n) d\xi_n dy_n \right], v_0 \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{u}_0(\xi', y_n) d\xi_n dy_n, \hat{v}_0 \right) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi'}^{n-1}} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{u}_0(\xi', y_n) d\xi_n dy_n \right] \\ & \quad \times \overline{\hat{v}_0(\xi', x_n)} d\xi' dx_n \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi'}^{n-1}} \hat{u}_0(\xi', y_n) \\ & \quad \times \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G^*(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0(\xi', x_n) d\xi_n dx_n \right] d\xi' dy_n \\ &= \left( \hat{u}_0, \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G^*(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0(\xi', x_n) d\xi_n dx_n \right) \\ &= \left( u_0, \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G^*(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0(\xi', x_n) d\xi_n dx_n \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Changing the variable  $\xi_n$  to  $-\xi_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G^*(\xi', \xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0(\xi', x_n) d\xi_n dx_n \right]}_{\xi_n \rightarrow -\xi_n} \\
&= \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G^*(\xi', -\xi_n, x_n, y_n) \hat{v}_0(\xi', x_n) d\xi_n dx_n \right] \\
&= \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'_0(\xi') \chi_0^n(\xi_n) e^{-|\xi|^2 t} G(\xi', \xi_n, y_n, x_n) \hat{v}_0(\xi', x_n) d\xi_n dx_n \right] \\
&= S_1(t)v_0.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$(S_1(t)u_0, v_0) = (u_0, S_1(t)v_0).$$

The case  $j = 2, 3$  can be shown in the same way. The proof of Lemma 4.5 is complete.  $\square$

Let us show Theorem 4.1 (i)-(iii) for  $s = 1$ . Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$\|S_1(t)\partial_x^k \varphi\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|\varphi\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}$$

for  $1 < s \leq q \leq p \leq r < \infty$  or  $1 < s \leq q < p \leq r \leq \infty$  since  $\partial_{x_n}^k \varphi|_{x_n=0} = 0$ . By Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\partial_x^k S_1(t)u_0, \varphi)| \\
&= |(u_0, S_1(t)\partial_x^k \varphi)| \\
&\leq \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \|S_1(t)\partial_x^k \varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \|\varphi\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+^n))}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\|\partial_x^k S_1(t)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.$$

The estimates for  $S_2(t), S_3(t)$  can be shown by a similar method. As for Theorem 4.1 (iv)-(vi), we observe that  $S_j(t)\varphi|_{x_n=0} = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , and hence,  $(S_j(t)\partial_x v_0, \varphi) = -(v_0, \partial_x S_j(t)\varphi)$ . The desired results are thus obtained by the duality argument as above. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** *In a similar manner to above, one can obtain the estimate for the time derivative:*

$$\|\partial_t S_1(t)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-1} \|u_0\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))},$$

which will be used later.

## 5 Estimates for evolution operator $U(t, s)$

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 3.1. In addition to the anisotropic  $L^p$ - $L^q$  estimates for the Stokes semigroup (Theorem 4.1), the following estimates for  $B(t)u$  plays a key role to establish the  $L^p$ - $L^q$  estimates for  $U(t, s)$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $p, q$  and  $r$  satisfy  $1 < p \leq q \leq r \leq \infty$  or  $1 \leq p \leq q < r < \infty$  and let  $k = 1, 2$ . Then*

$$\|B(t)u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \|\partial_x^k u\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.$$

Here  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n, p, q$  and  $r$ .

**Proof.** We here give a proof for  $k = 2$ , since the case  $k = 1$  can be proved similarly. Since  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$  and  $u|_{x_n=0} = 0$ , we have  $\partial_{x_n} u^n|_{x_n=0} = 0$ . Therefore,  $u(x)$  and  $u^n(x)$  are written as

$$\begin{aligned} u(x', x_n) &= \int_0^{x_n} \partial_{y_n} u(x', y_n) dy_n, \\ u^n(x', x_n) &= \int_0^{x_n} \int_0^{y_n} \partial_{z_n}^2 u^n(x', z_n) dz_n dy_n = \int_0^{x_n} (x_n - z_n) \partial_{z_n}^2 u^n(x', z_n) dz_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $m$  be a number satisfying  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{r}$ . It then follows from Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 3.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} v_{per}^1 \partial_{x_1} u \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} v_{per}^1 \int_0^{x_n} \partial_{x_1} \partial_{y_n} u_1(x', y_n) dy_n \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} v_{per}^1 \int_0^{x_n} \|\partial_{x_1} \partial_{y_n} u(x', y_n)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dy_n \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \|x_n v_{per}^1\|_{L^m(\mathbb{R}_+)} \left\| \frac{1}{x_n} \int_0^{x_n} \|\partial_{x_1} \partial_{y_n} u_1(x', y_n)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dy_n \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \|\partial_x^2 u\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \partial_{x_n} v_{per}^1 u^n e_1 \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
&= \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \partial_{x_n} v_{per}^1 \int_0^{x_n} (x_n - z_n) \partial_{z_n}^2 u^n(x', z_n) dz_n e_1 \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
&\leq \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \partial_{x_n} v_{per}^1 \int_0^{x_n} (x_n - z_n) \|\partial_{z_n}^2 u^n(x', z_n)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dz_n e_1 \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\
&\leq \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} x_n^2 \partial_{x_n} v_{per}^1 \frac{1}{x_n} \int_0^{x_n} \|\partial_{z_n}^2 u^n(x', z_n)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dz_n \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \|x_n^2 \partial_{x_n} v_{per}^1\|_{L^m(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \left\| \frac{1}{x_n} \int_0^{x_n} \|\partial_{z_n}^2 u^n(x', z_n)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dz_n \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \|\partial_{z_n}^2 u^n\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\|B(t)u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \|\partial_x^2 u\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

To obtain  $L^p$ - $L^q$  type estimates for  $U(t, s)$ , we decompose the evolution operator  $U(t, s)$  into low frequency part and high frequency part:

$$U(t, s) = U_0(t, s) + U_\infty(t, s).$$

Here  $U_j(t, s) = \mathcal{F}'^{-1} \hat{\chi}'_j(\xi') \mathcal{F}' U(t, s)$ ,  $j = 0, \infty$ , with  $\hat{\chi}'_j(\xi')$  being the cut-off functions given in section 4. Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of the following estimates for  $U_0(t, s)$  and  $U_\infty(t, s)$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $1 < q \leq p < \infty$  or  $1 \leq q < p \leq \infty$ . Then for  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$  there exists  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(q, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that if  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \leq \delta_0$ , the following estimates hold with  $k = 0, 1$ .*

- (i)  $\|\partial_x^k U_0(t, s) u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$
- (ii)  $\|U_0(t, s) \partial_x u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$
- (iii)  $\|\partial_x^k U_\infty(t, s) u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$
- (iv)  $\|U_\infty(t, s) \partial_x u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$
- (v)  $\|\partial_x^k U(t, s) u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$
- (vi)  $\|U(t, s) \partial_x u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$
- (vii)  $\|\partial_x^k U(t, s) u_0 - \partial_x^k S(t-s) u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$

Here  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n, p, q$  and  $\varepsilon$ .



In the remaining of this section we give a proof of Theorem 5.2. We will first prepare some auxiliary estimates for  $U(t, s)$  (Lemmas 5.3, 5.4), and then prove the estimates in Theorem 5.2 for a restricted range of  $p$  and  $q$  (Lemma 5.5). We will finally extend the range of  $p$  and  $q$  to complete the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 5.2.** To prove Theorem 5.2, we write the solution of (3.4) as

$$U(t, s)u_0 = S(t-s)u_0 - \int_s^t S(t-\tau)B(\tau)U(\tau, s)u_0 d\tau,$$

and define  $U_1(t, s)$ ,  $U_2(t, s)$ ,  $U_3(t, s)$  by

$$U_j(t, s)u_0 = S_j(t-s)u_0 - \int_s^t S_j(t-\tau)B(\tau)U(\tau, s)u_0 d\tau \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

and

$$U_3(t, s)u_0 = U_\infty(t, s).$$

It then follows that

$$U(t, s) = U_1(t, s) + U_2(t, s) + U_3(t, s),$$

$$U_0(t, s) = U_1(t, s) + U_2(t, s),$$

and

$$U_j(t, s)u_0 = S_j(t-s)u_0 - \int_s^t S_j(t-\tau)B(\tau)(U_1(\tau, s) + U_2(\tau, s) + U_3(\tau, s))u_0 d\tau$$

for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .

We begin with the following estimates for  $U_j(t, s)$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ).

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $p$  and  $r$  satisfy  $1 < p < r \leq \infty$  or  $1 \leq p < r < \infty$ . Then there exists a constant  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(p, r) > 0$  such that if  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \leq \delta_1$ , the following estimates hold.*

$$\|\partial_x^k U_1(t, s)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ , and

$$\|\partial_x^k U_j(t, s)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+(t-s))^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-1} \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$$

for  $j = 2, 3$  and  $k = 0, 1$ . Here  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n$ ,  $p$  and  $r$ .

Similarly, we have the following

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $p$  and  $r$  satisfy  $1 < p < r \leq \infty$  or  $1 \leq p < r < \infty$ . Then there exists a constant  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(p, r) > 0$  such that if  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \leq \delta_1$ , the following estimates hold.*

$$\|U_1(t, s)\partial_x u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}}\|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$$

$$\|U_j(t, s)\partial_x u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}})(1+t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-1}\|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$$

for  $j = 2, 3$ . Here  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n, p$  and  $r$ .

We first prove Lemma 5.3.

**Proof of Lemma 5.3.** It suffices to prove Lemma 5.3 for the case  $s = 0$ . We set  $u_j(t) = U_j(t, 0)u_0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . We introduce the quantity  $M_1(t)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(t) &= \sup_{0 < \tau \leq t} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^2 (1+\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})+\frac{k}{2}} \|\partial_x^k u_1(\tau)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=2}^3 \sum_{k=0}^1 (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})+1} \|\partial_x^k u_j(\tau)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove

$$M_1(t) \leq C\|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + C\delta M_1(t), \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}$  and  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $n, p$ , and  $r$ .

We write  $u_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) as

$$u_j(t) = S_j(t)u_0 - I_j(t), \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where

$$I_j(t) = \int_0^t S_j(t-\tau)B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)(\tau)d\tau.$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\|\partial_x^k S_1(t)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}}\|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \quad (5.2)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2$  and

$$\|\partial_x^k S_j(t)u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-ct} \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \quad (5.3)$$

for  $j = 2, 3$ ,  $k = 0, 1$ . As for  $I_1(t)$ , by Theorem 4.1, Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k I_1(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k S_1(t-\tau)B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \|B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_x^2 u_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|\partial_x u_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}})(1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau M_1(t) \\
& \leq C\delta (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} M_1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})+\frac{k}{2}} \|\partial_x^k u_1(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C\|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + C\delta M_1(t). \quad (5.4)$$

Similarly, by Theorem 4.1, Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 2.2, we have for  $j = 2, 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k I_j(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k S_j(t-\tau)B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \|B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_x^2 u_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|\partial_x u_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} (1+\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}})(1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau M_1(t) \\
& \leq C\delta (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-1} M_1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})+1} \|\partial_x^k u_j(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
& \leq C\|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + C\delta M_1(t)
\end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

for  $j = 2, 3$ . Therefore, from (5.2)-(5.5) we obtain (5.1). It then follows from (5.1) that there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that if  $\delta \leq \delta_1$ , then

$$M_1(t) \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

This proves Lemma 5.3.  $\square$

We next prove Lemma 5.4.

**Proof of Lemma 5.4.** It suffices to prove Lemma 5.4 for the case  $s = 0$ . We set  $v_j(t) = U_j(t, 0) \partial_{x_n} u_0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , and introduce the quantity  $M_2(t)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(t) &= \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^1 (1 + \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{2}} \|\partial_x^k v_1(\tau)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=2}^3 (1 + \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}) - \frac{1}{2}})^{-1} (1 + \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}) + 1} \|v_j(\tau)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 5.3 we only need to show

$$M_2(t) \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + C \delta M_2(t). \quad (5.6)$$

To prove (5.6), we first observe that the following estimates hold uniformly for  $t \geq 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v_{per} \otimes (v_1 + v_2 + v_3)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|(v_1 + v_2 + v_3) \otimes v_{per}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &\leq C \delta (\|\partial_x v_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \|v_2\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \|v_3\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

These estimates can be shown as in the proof of Lemma 5.1.

We write  $v_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) as

$$v_j(t) = S_j(t) \partial_{x_n} u_0 - J_j(t), \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where

$$J_j(t) = \int_0^t S_j(t - \tau) B(\tau) (v_1 + v_2 + v_3)(\tau) d\tau.$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\|\partial_x^k S_1(t) \partial_{x_n} u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \quad (5.8)$$

for  $k = 0, 1$ , and

$$\|S_j(t) \partial_{x_n} u_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}) - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-ct} \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \quad (5.9)$$

for  $j = 2, 3$ .

As for  $J_1(t)$ , by Theorem 4.1, (5.7) and Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k J_1(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k S_1(t-\tau) (\operatorname{div}(v_{per} \otimes \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j) + \operatorname{div}(\sum_{j=1}^3 v_j \otimes v_{per}))\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} \|v_{per} \otimes \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j + \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j \otimes v_{per}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_x v_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|v_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} (1+\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}}) (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau M_2(t) \\
& \leq C\delta (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} M_2(t).
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{k}{2}} \|\partial_x^k v_1(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + C\delta M_2(t). \quad (5.10)$$

Similarly, by Theorem 4.1, (5.7) and Proposition 2.2, we have, for  $j = 2, 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|J_j(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|S_2(t-\tau) (\operatorname{div}(v_{per} \otimes \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j) + \operatorname{div}(\sum_{j=1}^3 v_j \otimes v_{per}))\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \|v_{per} \otimes \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j + \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j \otimes v_{per}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_x v_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|v_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} (1+\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}}) (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau M_2(t) \\
& \leq C\delta (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-1} M_2(t).
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}(1 + t)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})+1} \|v_j(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\ & \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + C\delta M_2(t) \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

for  $j = 2, 3$ . Therefore from (5.8)-(5.11) we have (5.6). The desired result of Lemma 5.4 now follows from (5.6) as in the proof of Lemma 5.3. This completes the proof.  $\square$

By using Theorem 4.1, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3, we first show the estimates in Theorem 5.2 for a restricted range of  $p$  and  $q$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ . Suppose that  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $q \leq p \leq \frac{2n-1}{2n-2}q$  or  $\frac{n}{2\varepsilon} \leq q < \infty$  and  $p = \infty$ . If  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \leq \delta_0(q)$ , then the estimates in Theorem 5.2 hold true. Here  $\delta_0(q) := \delta_1(q, \frac{2n-1}{n-1}q)$  is the constant given in Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4.*

**Remark 5.6.** *Let  $r$  be the number satisfying  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{n-1}{(2n-1)q}$ . Then the condition  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $q \leq p \leq \frac{2n-1}{2n-2}q$  implies that  $\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}) < \frac{1}{2}$ . On the other hand, the condition  $\frac{n}{2\varepsilon} \leq q < \infty$  and  $p = \infty$  implies that  $\frac{n}{2q} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}) + \varepsilon$ .*

**Proof of Lemma 5.5.** We first give a proof of estimates (i) and (iii). It suffices to prove for the case  $s = 0$ . We set  $u_j(t) = U_j(t, 0)u_0$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ), and write  $u_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) as

$$u_j(t) = S_j(t)u_0 - I_j(t), \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where

$$I_j(t) = \int_0^t S_j(t - \tau)B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)(\tau)d\tau.$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\|\partial_x^k S_1(t)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2$  and

$$\|\partial_x^k S_2(t)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} (1 + t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$$

and

$$\|\partial_x^k S_3(t)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq t^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$$

for  $k = 0, 1$ .

We first assume  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $q \leq p \leq \frac{2n-1}{2n-2}q$ . It then follows from Theorem 4.1, Lemmas 5.1, 5.3 and Proposition 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k I_1(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k S_1(t-\tau)B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_x^2 u_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|\partial_x u_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}})(1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ . Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k I_2(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k S_2(t-\tau)B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \|B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_x^2 u_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|\partial_x u_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} (1+\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}})(1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-1} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \tag{5.12}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k I_3(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k S_3(t-\tau)B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \|B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_x^2 u_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|\partial_x u_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} (1 + \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}}) (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-1} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \tag{5.13}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 0, 1$ . Hence, estimates (i) and (iii) hold for  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $q \leq p \leq \frac{2n-1}{2n-2}q$ .

We next assume  $\frac{n}{2\varepsilon} \leq q < \infty$  and  $p = \infty$ . Since  $\frac{n}{2q} + \frac{k}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}) + 1$ , we see from Theorem 4.1, Lemmas 5.1, 5.3 and Proposition 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k I_1(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k S_1(t-\tau)B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}} \|B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_x^2 u_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|\partial_x u_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}} (1 + \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}}) (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}
\end{aligned}$$



for  $k = 0, 1$ . Since  $\frac{n}{2q} + 1 - \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}) + 1 \leq \frac{n}{2q} + 1$ , we similarly have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^2 I_1(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^2 S_1(t-\tau)B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2q}-1} \|B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2q}-1} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_x^2 u_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|\partial_x u_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2q}-1} (1+\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}})(1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2q}-1+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\|\partial_x^2 u_1(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-1+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \quad (5.14)$$

for  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $q \leq p \leq \frac{2n-1}{2n-2}q$  or  $\frac{n}{2\varepsilon} \leq q < \infty$  and  $p = \infty$ . Since  $\frac{n}{2q} + 1 - \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}) + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k I_2(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k S_2(t-\tau)B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \|B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_x^2 u_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|\partial_x u_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} (1+\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}})(1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq \begin{cases} C(1+t^{-\frac{1}{2q}})(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1}, & k=0, \\ C(1+t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}})(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1}, & k=1 \end{cases} \\
& \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{n-1}{2q}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \quad (5.15)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k I_3(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k S_3(t-\tau)B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \|B(\tau)(u_1 + u_2 + u_3)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_x^2 u_1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|\partial_x u_j\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C\delta \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-c(t-\tau)} (1 + \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}})(1 + \tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}})(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} \\
& \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}}(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 0, 1$ . We thus obtain estimates (i) and (iii) for  $\frac{n}{2\varepsilon} \leq q < \infty$  and  $p = \infty$ , and the proof of (i) and (iii) is complete.

Estimates (ii) and (iv) can be proved similarly. Estimates (v) and (vi) are simple consequences of (i)-(iv).

Let us next prove (vii). We first estimate  $\partial_t u_1(t)$ . Since

$$\partial_t u_1(t) = \partial_t S_1(t)u_0 - B(t)\left(\sum_{j=1}^3 u_j(t)\right) - \int_0^t \partial_t S_1(t-\tau)B(\tau)\left(\sum_{j=1}^3 u_j(\tau)\right) d\tau,$$

applying Remark 4.6, Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.3 and Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_t u_1(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + C\|\partial_x^2 u_1(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|\partial_x u_j(t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} \|B(\tau) \left( \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j(\tau) \right)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \quad + C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} \\
& \quad \quad \times (\|\partial_x^2 u_1(\tau)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \sum_{j=2}^3 \|\partial_x u_j(\tau)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}) d\tau \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \quad + C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now estimate  $u_1(t) - S_1(t)u_0$ . We set

$$V_{per}(x_n, t) = \int_0^t v_{per}(x_n, \tau) d\tau.$$

Noting that  $\int_0^1 v_{per}^1(x_n, t) dt = 0$ , we have

$$\partial_t V_{per}(x_n, t) = v_{per}(x_n, t), \quad V_{per}(x_n, t+1) = V_{per}(x_n, t)$$

and

$$|V_{per}(x_n, t)| \leq C e^{-cx_n}.$$

We also set  $\tilde{B}(t)u(t) = \operatorname{div}(V_{per} \otimes u + u \otimes V_{per})(t)$ . Then

$$\partial_x^k u_1(t) - \partial_x^k S_1(t)u_0 = -(I_1 + I_2 + I_3),$$

where

$$I_j = \int_0^t \partial_x^k S_1(t-\tau) B(\tau) u_j(\tau) d\tau, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

As for  $I_1$ , we write it as

$$I_1 = \int_0^t \partial_x^k S_1(t - \tau) \operatorname{div}(\partial_\tau V_{per} \otimes u_1 + u_1 \otimes \partial_\tau V_{per})(\tau) d\tau.$$

By duality and integration by parts, we see that

$$I_1 = I_1^1 + I_1^2 + I_1^3 + I_1^4,$$

where

$$I_1^1 = \partial_x^k \tilde{B}(t) u_1(t), \quad I_1^2 = -\partial_x^k S_1(t) \tilde{B}(0) u_1(0),$$

$$I_1^3 = \int_0^t \partial_x^k (\partial_t S_1)(t - \tau) \tilde{B}(\tau) u_1(\tau) d\tau,$$

$$I_1^4 = -\int_0^t \partial_x^k S_1(t - \tau) \tilde{B}(\tau) \partial_\tau u_1(\tau) d\tau.$$

By using (5.14), Theorem 4.1, Remark 4.6, Lemmas 5.1, 5.3 and Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$\|I_1^1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C \|\partial_x^2 u_1(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-1+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1^2\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq \|\partial_x^k S_1(t) \operatorname{div}(V_{per} \otimes u + u \otimes V_{per})(0)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} \|u_1(0)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|I_1^3\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k (\partial_t S_1)(t - \tau) \tilde{B}(\tau) u_1(\tau)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-1-\frac{k}{2}} \|\tilde{B}(\tau) u_1(\tau)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-1-\frac{k}{2}} \|\partial_x^2 u_1(\tau)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-1-\frac{k}{2}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|I_1^4\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k S_1(t-\tau) \operatorname{div}(V_{per} \otimes \partial_\tau u_1 + \partial_\tau u_1 \otimes V_{per})(\tau)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \|(V_{per} \otimes \partial_\tau u_1 + \partial_\tau u_1 \otimes V_{per})(\tau)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} \|\partial_\tau u_1(\tau)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus have  $\|I_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$ .

Let us next estimate  $I_j$  for  $j = 2, 3$ . Applying Theorem 4.1, Lemmas 5.1, 5.3 and Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|I_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x^k S_1(t-\tau) \operatorname{div}(v_{per} \otimes u_j + u_j \otimes v_{per})(\tau)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} \|\partial_x u_j(\tau)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}_+; L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} d\tau \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} (1+\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}}) (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r})-1} d\tau \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 2, 3$ .

Consequently, we obtain

$$\|\partial_x^k u_1(t) - \partial_x^k S_1(t)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

By (5.12), (5.13), (5.15) and (5.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k u_2(t) - \partial_x^k S_2(t)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|\partial_x^k u_3(t) - \partial_x^k S_3(t)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\|\partial_x^k u(t) - \partial_x^k S(t)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.7.**  $U_0(t, s)$  and  $U_\infty(t, s)$  are not evolution operators. More precisely, the relations

$$U_j(t, s) = U_j(t, r)U_j(r, s) \quad (j = 0, \infty)$$

do not necessarily hold for  $0 \leq s < r < t$ . However, the following assertion holds true. Let  $\tilde{\chi}'_j(\xi')$  for  $j = 0, \infty$  be defined by

$$\tilde{\chi}'_0(\xi') \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), \quad 0 \leq \tilde{\chi}'_0(\xi') \leq 1, \quad \tilde{\chi}'_0(\xi') = \begin{cases} 1 & (|\xi'| \leq 2), \\ 0 & (|\xi'| \geq 3), \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{\chi}'_\infty(\xi') \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), \quad 0 \leq \tilde{\chi}'_\infty(\xi') \leq 1, \quad \tilde{\chi}'_\infty(\xi') = \begin{cases} 0 & (|\xi'| \leq \frac{1}{2}), \\ 1 & (|\xi'| \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

We set  $\tilde{U}_j(t, s) = \mathcal{F}'^{-1}\tilde{\chi}'_j(\xi')\mathcal{F}'U(t, s)$  ( $j = 0, \infty$ ). Then, since  $U_j(t, s) = \mathcal{F}'^{-1}\chi'_j(\xi')\mathcal{F}'U(t, s)$ , we have

$$U_j(t, s) = \tilde{U}_j(t, r)U_j(r, s)$$

for  $j = 0, \infty$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{U}_j(t, s)$  satisfies the same estimates as those for  $U_j(t, s)$  with obvious modifications of constants  $\delta_0(p, q)$  and  $C$ .

**Final step of the proof of Theorem 5.2.** We finally extend the range of  $p$  and  $q$  in Lemma 5.5 to complete the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Let  $p$  and  $q$  satisfy  $1 \leq q < p < \infty$  and let  $\delta_0(q)$  be the number given in Lemma 5.5. We fix  $\varepsilon$  so that  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ .

When  $\frac{n}{2\varepsilon} \leq q$ , applying Lemma 5.5 and the interpolation inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_x^k U_0(t, s)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ & \leq \|\partial_x^k U_0(t, s)u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^\alpha \|\partial_x^k U_0(t, s)u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\alpha} \\ & \leq \{C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{k}{2}}(1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2q}}\|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}\}^\alpha \{C(t-s)^{-\frac{k}{2}}\|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}\}^{1-\alpha} \\ & \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{k}{2}}(1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})}\|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = q(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})$ .

We next consider the case  $q < \frac{n}{2\varepsilon}$ . Let  $\eta$  be the integer such that  $\frac{n}{2\varepsilon} \leq (\frac{2n-1}{2n-2})^\eta q$  and  $q_m$  be the sequence such that

$$q_m = \left(\frac{2n-1}{2n-2}\right)^m q, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \eta.$$

We choose  $\delta_0 = \min\{\delta_0(q_0), \delta_0(q_1), \dots, \delta_0(q_\eta)\}$ . This  $\delta_0$  depends only on  $q$ .

We first assume  $p \leq q_\eta$ . Let  $l$  be the integer such that  $q_{l-1} \leq p \leq q_l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq \eta$ ). This  $l$  depends only on  $n, p$  and  $q$ . We set  $t_m = s + \frac{m(t-s)}{l}$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots, l$ . It then follows from Lemma 5.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k U_0(t, s)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
&= \|\partial_x^k \tilde{U}_0(t, t_{l-1})U_0(t_{l-1}, s)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
&\leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q_{l-1}} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{k}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q_{l-1}} - \frac{1}{p})} \|U_0(t_{l-1}, s)u_0\|_{L^{q_{l-1}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
&= C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q_{l-1}} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{k}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q_{l-1}} - \frac{1}{p})} \\
&\quad \times \|\tilde{U}_0(t_{l-1}, t_{l-2})U_0(t_{l-2}, s)u_0\|_{L^{q_{l-1}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
&\leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q_{l-2}} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{k}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q_{l-2}} - \frac{1}{p})} \|U_0(t_{l-2}, s)u_0\|_{L^{q_{l-2}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating this argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k U_0(t, s)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
&\leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{k}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{1}{p})} \|U_0(t_0, s)u_0\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
&= C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{k}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $t_m - t_{m-1} = \frac{t-s}{l}$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, l$ . When  $p = \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k U_0(t, s)u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
&= \left\| \partial_x^k \tilde{U}_0\left(t, \frac{t+s}{2}\right) U_0\left(\frac{t+s}{2}, s\right) u_0 \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
&\leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q_\eta} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{k}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q_\eta} - \frac{1}{p})} \left\| U_0\left(\frac{t+s}{2}, s\right) u_0 \right\|_{L^{q_\eta}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
&\leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{k}{2}} (1+t-s)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq q < \frac{n}{2\varepsilon}$  and  $k = 0, 1$ .

When  $q_\eta < p < \infty$ , we obtain the desire estimates by using the interpolation estimate. Estimates for  $U_\infty(t, s)$  can be shown similarly.

Let us next prove (vii). By Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\|\partial_x^k U(t, s)u_0 - \partial_x^k S(t-s)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^l(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$$

for  $q \leq l < \infty$  and  $l \leq p \leq \frac{2n-1}{2n-2}l$ , or  $\max\{q, \frac{n}{2\varepsilon}\} \leq l < \infty$  and  $p = \infty$ . It

then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^k U(t, s)u_0 - \partial_x^k S(t - s)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq \left\| \partial_x^k U\left(t, \frac{t+s}{2}\right) \left( U\left(\frac{t+s}{2}, s\right) - S\left(\frac{t+s}{2} - s\right) \right) u_0 \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \quad + \left\| \partial_x^k \left( U\left(t, \frac{t+s}{2}\right) - S\left(t - \frac{t+s}{2}\right) \right) S\left(\frac{t+s}{2} - s\right) u_0 \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{k}{2}} \left\| U\left(\frac{t+s}{2}, s\right) - S\left(\frac{t+s}{2} - s\right) u_0 \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \quad + C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{l}-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \left\| S\left(\frac{t+s}{2} - s\right) u_0 \right\|_{L^l(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
& \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 5.2 is complete.  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. Y. Kagei was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24340028, 22244009, 24224003.

## References

- [1] W. Borchers and T. Miyakawa,  $L^2$  decay for Navier-Stokes flow in half-space, *Math. Ann.*, **282** (1988), pp. 139-155.
- [2] W. Borchers and T. Miyakawa, Algebraic  $L^2$  decay for Navier-Stokes flows in exterior domains, *Acta Math.*, **165** (1990), pp. 189-227.
- [3] W. Borchers and T. Miyakawa, On stability of exterior stationary Navier-Stokes flows, *Acta Math.*, **174** (1995), pp. 311-382.
- [4] G. B. Folland, *Real Analysis Modern Techniques and Their Applications*, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999.
- [5] Y. Kagei and T. Kobayashi, Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of the Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations on the Half Space, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **177** (2005), pp. 231-330.
- [6] T. Kato, Strong  $L^p$ -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , with applications to weak solutions, *Math. Z.*, **187** (1984), pp. 471-480.
- [7] H. Kozono and M. Nakao, Periodic Solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in unbounded domains, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **48** (1996), pp. 33-50.



- [8] T. Kubo, Periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in a perturbed half-space and an aperture domain, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **28** (2005), pp. 1341-1357.
- [9] P. Maremonti, Existence and stability of time-periodic solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space, *Nonlinearity*, **4** (1991), pp. 503-529.
- [10] J. Serrin, A note on the existence of periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **3** (1959), pp. 120-122.
- [11] Y. Taniuchi, On stability of periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in unbounded domains, *Hokkaido Math. J.*, **28** (1999), pp. 147-173.
- [12] H. Tanabe, *Equations of evolution*, Pitman, London-San Francisco-Melbourne, 1979.
- [13] M. Yamazaki, The Navier-Stokes equations in the weak- $L^n$  space with time-dependent external force, *Math. Ann.*, **317** (2000), pp. 635-675.

**List of MI Preprint Series, Kyushu University**  
**The Global COE Program**  
**Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub**

MI

- MI2008-1 Takahiro ITO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Abstract collision systems simulated by cellular automata
- MI2008-2 Eiji ONODERA  
The initial value problem for a third-order dispersive flow into compact almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-3 Hiroaki KIDO  
On isosceles sets in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space
- MI2008-4 Hirofumi NOTSU  
Numerical computations of cavity flow problems by a pressure stabilized characteristic-curve finite element scheme
- MI2008-5 Yoshiyasu OZEKI  
Torsion points of abelian varieties with values in infinite extensions over a p-adic field
- MI2008-6 Yoshiyuki TOMIYAMA  
Lifting Galois representations over arbitrary number fields
- MI2008-7 Takehiro HIROTSU & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The random walk model revisited
- MI2008-8 Silvia GANDY, Masaaki KANNO, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA  
Optimizing a particular real root of a polynomial by a special cylindrical algebraic decomposition
- MI2008-9 Kazufumi KIMOTO, Sho MATSUMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA  
Alpha-determinant cyclic modules and Jacobi polynomials
- MI2008-10 Sangyeol LEE & Hiroki MASUDA  
Jarque-Bera Normality Test for the Driven Lévy Process of a Discretely Observed Univariate SDE
- MI2008-11 Hiroyuki CHIHARA & Eiji ONODERA  
A third order dispersive flow for closed curves into almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-12 Takehiko KINOSHITA, Kouji HASHIMOTO and Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
On the  $L^2$  a priori error estimates to the finite element solution of elliptic problems with singular adjoint operator
- MI2008-13 Jacques FARAUT and Masato WAKAYAMA  
Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type and multivariate Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials

- MI2008-14 Takashi NAKAMURA  
Riemann zeta-values, Euler polynomials and the best constant of Sobolev inequality
- MI2008-15 Takashi NAKAMURA  
Some topics related to Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions
- MI2009-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Global time evolution of viscous vortex rings
- MI2009-2 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Regularized functional regression modeling for functional response and predictors
- MI2009-3 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Variable selection for functional regression model via the  $L_1$  regularization
- MI2009-4 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-5 Toshiro HIRANOUCI & Yuichiro TAGUCHI  
Flat modules and Groebner bases over truncated discrete valuation rings
- MI2009-6 Kenji KAJIWARA & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Bilinearization and Casorati determinant solutions to non-autonomous 1+1 dimensional discrete soliton equations
- MI2009-7 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around the plane Couette flow
- MI2009-8 Shohei TATEISHI, Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling via the lasso-type regularization
- MI2009-9 Takeshi TAKAISHI & Masato KIMURA  
Phase field model for mode III crack growth in two dimensional elasticity
- MI2009-10 Shingo SAITO  
Generalisation of Mack's formula for claims reserving with arbitrary exponents for the variance assumption
- MI2009-11 Kenji KAJIWARA, Masanobu KANEKO, Atsushi NOBE & Teruhisa TSUDA  
Ultradiscretization of a solvable two-dimensional chaotic map associated with the Hesse cubic curve
- MI2009-12 Tetsu MASUDA  
Hypergeometric  $\tau$ -functions of the q-Painlevé system of type  $E_8^{(1)}$
- MI2009-13 Hidenao IWANE, Hitoshi YANAMI, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA  
A Practical Implementation of a Symbolic-Numeric Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition for Quantifier Elimination
- MI2009-14 Yasunori MAEKAWA  
On Gaussian decay estimates of solutions to some linear elliptic equations and its applications

- MI2009-15 Yuya ISHIHARA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Large time behavior of the semigroup on  $L^p$  spaces associated with the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation in a cylindrical domain
- MI2009-16 Chikashi ARITA, Atsuo KUNIBA, Kazumitsu SAKAI & Tsuyoshi SAWABE  
Spectrum in multi-species asymmetric simple exclusion process on a ring
- MI2009-17 Masato WAKAYAMA & Keitaro YAMAMOTO  
Non-linear algebraic differential equations satisfied by certain family of elliptic functions
- MI2009-18 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Local Instability of an Elliptical Flow Subjected to a Coriolis Force
- MI2009-19 Mitsunori KAYANO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Sparse functional principal component analysis via regularized basis expansions and its application
- MI2009-20 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-21 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Yoshihiro MIWA & Masanobu KANEKO  
Elliptic curves and Fibonacci numbers arising from Lindenmayer system with symbolic computations
- MI2009-22 Eiji ONODERA  
A remark on the global existence of a third order dispersive flow into locally Hermitian symmetric spaces
- MI2009-23 Stjepan LUGOMER & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Generation of ribbons, helicoids and complex scherk surface in laser-matter Interactions
- MI2009-24 Yu KAWAKAMI  
Recent progress in value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss map
- MI2009-25 Takehiko KINOSHITA & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
On very accurate enclosure of the optimal constant in the a priori error estimates for  $H_0^2$ -projection
- MI2009-26 Manabu YOSHIDA  
Ramification of local fields and Fontaine's property (Pm)
- MI2009-27 Yu KAWAKAMI  
Value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss maps for flat fronts in hyperbolic three-space
- MI2009-28 Masahisa TABATA  
Numerical simulation of fluid movement in an hourglass by an energy-stable finite element scheme
- MI2009-29 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA  
Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to evolution equations in the presence of translation and scaling invariance

- MI2009-30 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA  
On asymptotic behaviors of solutions to parabolic systems modelling chemotaxis
- MI2009-31 Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI  
Hecke's zeros and higher depth determinants
- MI2009-32 Olivier PIRONNEAU & Masahisa TABATA  
Stability and convergence of a Galerkin-characteristics finite element scheme of lumped mass type
- MI2009-33 Chikashi ARITA  
Queueing process with excluded-volume effect
- MI2009-34 Kenji KAJIWARA, Nobutaka NAKAZONO & Teruhisa TSUDA  
Projective reduction of the discrete Painlevé system of type  $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$
- MI2009-35 Yosuke MIZUYAMA, Takamasa SHINDE, Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI  
Finite element computation for scattering problems of micro-hologram using DtN map
- MI2009-36 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
Exact simulation of finite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2009-37 Hiroki MASUDA  
On statistical aspects in calibrating a geometric skewed stable asset price model
- MI2010-1 Hiroki MASUDA  
Approximate self-weighted LAD estimation of discretely observed ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2010-2 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
Infinite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with discrete observations
- MI2010-3 Kei HIROSE, Shuichi KAWANO, Daisuke MIIKE & Sadanori KONISHI  
Hyper-parameter selection in Bayesian structural equation models
- MI2010-4 Nobuyuki IKEDA & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The Itô-Nisio theorem, quadratic Wiener functionals, and 1-solitons
- MI2010-5 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling and detecting change point via the relevance vector machine
- MI2010-6 Shuichi KAWANO, Toshihiro MISUMI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via graph-based regularization
- MI2010-7 Teruhisa TSUDA  
UC hierarchy and monodromy preserving deformation
- MI2010-8 Takahiro ITO  
Abstract collision systems on groups

- MI2010-9 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Kinji KIMURA, Naoki YOSHIDA, Junko TANAKA & Yoshihiro MIWA  
An algebraic approach to underdetermined experiments
- MI2010-10 Kei HIROSE & Sadanori KONISHI  
Variable selection via the grouped weighted lasso for factor analysis models
- MI2010-11 Katsusuke NABESHIMA & Hiroshi YOSHIDA  
Derivation of specific conditions with Comprehensive Groebner Systems
- MI2010-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI, Yu NAGAFUCHI & Takeshi SUDO  
Decay estimates on solutions of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a Poiseuille type flow
- MI2010-13 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
On simulation of tempered stable random variates
- MI2010-14 Yoshiyasu OZEKI  
Non-existence of certain Galois representations with a uniform tame inertia weight
- MI2010-15 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Local Instability of a Rotating Flow Driven by Precession of Arbitrary Frequency
- MI2010-16 Yu KAWAKAMI & Daisuke NAKAJO  
The value distribution of the Gauss map of improper affine spheres
- MI2010-17 Kazunori YASUTAKE  
On the classification of rank 2 almost Fano bundles on projective space
- MI2010-18 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
Scaling limits for the system of semi-relativistic particles coupled to a scalar bose field
- MI2010-19 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
Local asymptotic normality for normal inverse Gaussian Lévy processes with high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-20 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO, Makoto HIROTA & Youichi MIE  
Lagrangian approach to weakly nonlinear stability of an elliptical flow
- MI2010-21 Hiroki MASUDA  
Approximate quadratic estimating function for discretely observed Lévy driven SDEs with application to a noise normality test
- MI2010-22 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
A Generalized Scaling Limit and its Application to the Semi-Relativistic Particles System Coupled to a Bose Field with Removing Ultraviolet Cutoffs
- MI2010-23 Takahiro ITO, Mitsuhiro FUJIO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Composition, union and division of cellular automata on groups
- MI2010-24 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
A Hardy's Uncertainty Principle Lemma in Weak Commutation Relations of Heisenberg-Lie Algebra

- MI2010-25 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
On the Essential Self-Adjointness of Anti-Commutative Operators
- MI2010-26 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
On the local asymptotic behavior of the likelihood function for Meixner Lévy processes under high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-27 Chikashi ARITA & Daichi YANAGISAWA  
Exclusive Queueing Process with Discrete Time
- MI2010-28 Jun-ichi INOBUCHI, Kenji KAJIWARA, Nozomu MATSUURA & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Motion and Bäcklund transformations of discrete plane curves
- MI2010-29 Takanori YASUDA, Masaya YASUDA, Takeshi SHIMOYAMA & Jun KOGURE  
On the Number of the Pairing-friendly Curves
- MI2010-30 Chikashi ARITA & Kohei MOTEGI  
Spin-spin correlation functions of the  $q$ -VBS state of an integer spin model
- MI2010-31 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling and spike detection via Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2010-32 Nobutaka NAKAZONO  
Hypergeometric  $\tau$  functions of the  $q$ -Painlevé systems of type  $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$
- MI2010-33 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Global existence of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around parallel flows
- MI2010-34 Nobushige KUROKAWA, Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI  
Milnor-Selberg zeta functions and zeta regularizations
- MI2010-35 Kissani PERERA & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Laplacian energy of directed graphs and minimizing maximum outdegree algorithms
- MI2010-36 Takanori YASUDA  
CAP representations of inner forms of  $Sp(4)$  with respect to Klingen parabolic subgroup
- MI2010-37 Chikashi ARITA & Andreas SCHADSCHNEIDER  
Dynamical analysis of the exclusive queueing process
- MI2011-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Alexander B. SAMOKHIN  
Singular electromagnetic modes in an anisotropic medium
- MI2011-2 Hiroki KONDO, Shingo SAITO & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
Asymptotic tail dependence of the normal copula
- MI2011-3 Takehiro HIROTSU, Hiroki KONDO, Shingo SAITO, Takuya SATO, Tatsushi TANAKA & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
Anderson-Darling test and the Malliavin calculus
- MI2011-4 Hiroshi INOUE, Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling via Compressed Sensing

- MI2011-5 Hiroshi INOUE  
Implications in Compressed Sensing and the Restricted Isometry Property
- MI2011-6 Daeju KIM & Sadanori KONISHI  
Predictive information criterion for nonlinear regression model based on basis expansion methods
- MI2011-7 Shohei TATEISHI, Chiaki KINJYO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Group variable selection via relevance vector machine
- MI2011-8 Jan BREZINA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Decay properties of solutions to the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow  
Group variable selection via relevance vector machine
- MI2011-9 Chikashi ARITA, Arvind AYYER, Kirone MALLICK & Sylvain PROLHAC  
Recursive structures in the multispecies TASEP
- MI2011-10 Kazunori YASUTAKE  
On projective space bundle with nef normalized tautological line bundle
- MI2011-11 Hisashi ANDO, Mike HAY, Kenji KAJIWARA & Tetsu MASUDA  
An explicit formula for the discrete power function associated with circle patterns of Schramm type
- MI2011-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a parallel flow
- MI2011-13 Vladimír CHALUPECKÝ & Adrian MUNTEAN  
Semi-discrete finite difference multiscale scheme for a concrete corrosion model: approximation estimates and convergence
- MI2011-14 Jun-ichi INOBUCHI, Kenji KAJIWARA, Nozomu MATSUURA & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Explicit solutions to the semi-discrete modified KdV equation and motion of discrete plane curves
- MI2011-15 Hiroshi INOUE  
A generalization of restricted isometry property and applications to compressed sensing
- MI2011-16 Yu KAWAKAMI  
A ramification theorem for the ratio of canonical forms of flat surfaces in hyperbolic three-space
- MI2011-17 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Matroid intersection with priority constraints
- MI2012-1 Kazufumi KIMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA  
Spectrum of non-commutative harmonic oscillators and residual modular forms
- MI2012-2 Hiroki MASUDA  
Mighty convergence of the Gaussian quasi-likelihood random fields for ergodic Levy driven SDE observed at high frequency



- MI2012-3 Hiroshi INOUE  
A Weak RIP of theory of compressed sensing and LASSO
- MI2012-4 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Youich MIE  
Hamiltonian bifurcation theory for a rotating flow subject to elliptic straining field
- MI2012-5 Yu KAWAKAMI  
On the maximal number of exceptional values of Gauss maps for various classes of surfaces
- MI2012-6 Marcio GAMEIRO, Yasuaki HIRAOKA, Shunsuke IZUMI, Miroslav KRAMAR, Konstantin MISCHAIKOW & Vidit NANDA  
Topological Measurement of Protein Compressibility via Persistence Diagrams
- MI2012-7 Nobutaka NAKAZONO & Seiji NISHIOKA  
Solutions to a  $q$ -analog of Painlevé III equation of type  $D_7^{(1)}$
- MI2012-8 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
A new approach to the Pareto stable matching problem
- MI2012-9 Jan BREZINA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Spectral properties of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow
- MI2012-10 Jan BREZINA  
Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a time-periodic parallel flow
- MI2012-11 Daeju KIM, Shuichi KAWANO & Yoshiyuki NINOMIYA  
Adaptive basis expansion via the extended fused lasso
- MI2012-12 Masato WAKAYAMA  
On simplicity of the lowest eigenvalue of non-commutative harmonic oscillators
- MI2012-13 Masatoshi OKITA  
On the convergence rates for the compressible Navier- Stokes equations with potential force
- MI2013-1 Abuduwaili PAERHATI & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
A Counter-example to Thomson-Tait-Chetayev's Theorem
- MI2013-2 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Hirofumi SAKUMA  
A unified view of topological invariants of barotropic and baroclinic fluids and their application to formal stability analysis of three-dimensional ideal gas flows
- MI2013-3 Hiroki MASUDA  
Asymptotics for functionals of self-normalized residuals of discretely observed stochastic processes
- MI2013-4 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
On Counting Output Patterns of Logic Circuits
- MI2013-5 Hiroshi INOUE  
RIPless Theory for Compressed Sensing

- MI2013-6 Hiroshi INOUE  
Improved bounds on Restricted isometry for compressed sensing
- MI2013-7 Hidetoshi MATSUI  
Variable and boundary selection for functional data via multiclass logistic regression modeling
- MI2013-8 Hidetoshi MATSUI  
Variable selection for varying coefficient models with the sparse regularization
- MI2013-9 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Packing Arborescences in Acyclic Temporal Networks
- MI2013-10 Masato WAKAYAMA  
Equivalence between the eigenvalue problem of non-commutative harmonic oscillators and existence of holomorphic solutions of Heun's differential equations, eigenstates degeneration, and Rabi's model
- MI2013-11 Masatoshi OKITA  
Optimal decay rate for strong solutions in critical spaces to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations
- MI2013-12 Shuichi KAWANO, Ibuki HOSHINA, Kazuki MATSUDA & Sadanori KONISHI  
Predictive model selection criteria for Bayesian lasso
- MI2013-13 Hayato CHIBA  
The First Painleve Equation on the Weighted Projective Space
- MI2013-14 Hidetoshi MATSUI  
Variable selection for functional linear models with functional predictors and a functional response
- MI2013-15 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
The Fault-Tolerant Facility Location Problem with Submodular Penalties
- MI2013-16 Hidetoshi MATSUI  
Selection of classification boundaries using the logistic regression
- MI2014-1 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Popular Matchings under Matroid Constraints
- MI2014-2 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Youichi MIE  
Lagrangian approach to weakly nonlinear interaction of Kelvin waves and a symmetry-breaking bifurcation of a rotating flow
- MI2014-3 Reika AOYAMA  
Decay estimates on solutions of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a Parallel flow in a cylindrical domain
- MI2014-4 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
The Popular Condensation Problem under Matroid Constraints

- MI2014-5 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Kazuyuki TSUDA  
Existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation for time periodic external force with symmetry
- MI2014-6 This paper was withdrawn by the authors.
- MI2014-7 Masatoshi OKITA  
On decay estimate of strong solutions in critical spaces for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations
- MI2014-8 Rong ZOU & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Local stability analysis of azimuthal magnetorotational instability of ideal MHD flows
- MI2014-9 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Naoki MAKIO  
Spectral properties of the linearized semigroup of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation on a periodic layer
- MI2014-10 Kazuyuki TSUDA  
On the existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation on the whole space
- MI2014-11 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Takaaki NISHIDA  
Instability of plane Poiseuille flow in viscous compressible gas
- MI2014-12 Chien-Chung HUANG, Naonori KAKIMURA & Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Exact and approximation algorithms for weighted matroid intersection
- MI2014-13 Yusuke SHIMIZU  
Moment convergence of regularized least-squares estimator for linear regression model
- MI2015-1 Hidetoshi MATSUI  
Sparse regularization for multivariate linear models for functional data
- MI2015-2 Reika AOYAMA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Spectral properties of the semigroup for the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a parallel flow in a cylindrical domain
- MI2015-3 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Stable Matchings with Ties, Master Preference Lists, and Matroid Constraints
- MI2015-4 Reika AOYAMA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Large time behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations around a parallel flow in a cylindrical domain
- MI2015-5 Kazuyuki TSUDA  
Existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system on  $R^3$
- MI2015-6 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA  
Popular Matchings with Ties and Matroid Constraints

MI2015-7 Shoichi EGUCHI & Hiroki MASUDA  
Quasi-Bayesian model comparison for LAQ models

MI2015-8 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Ryouta OOMACHI  
Stability of time periodic solution of the Navier-Stokes equation on the half-space  
under oscillatory moving boundary condition