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# $\beta$-Encoders: Symbolic Dynamics and Electronic implementation for AD/DA converters Tohru Kohda ${ }^{1}$ 

Extended Abstract: Almost all signal processing systems need analog signals to be discretized. Discretization in time and in amplitude are called sampling and quantization, respectively. These two operations constitute analog-to-digital $(A / D)$ conversion. The $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}$ conversion includes pulse-code modulation (PCM) $[1,2,3]$ and $\Sigma-\Delta$ modulation $[4,5,6,7,8]$. PCM has a precision of $O\left(2^{-L}\right)$ for $L$ iterations but has a serious problem when it is implemented in an electronic circuit, e.g., if PCM has a threshold shift, then the quantization errors do not decay. In contrast, $\Sigma-\Delta$ modulation achieves a precision that decays like an inverse polynomial in $L$ but has the practical advantage for analog circuit implementation.

In 2002, Daubechies et al.[15] introduced a new A/D converter using an amplifier with a factor $\beta$ and a flaky quantizer with a threshold $\nu$, known as a $\beta$-encoder, and showed that it has exponential accuracy even if it is iterated at each step in the successive approximation of each sample by using an imprecision quantizer with a quantization error and offset parameter, Furthermore, in a subsequent paper, Daubechies et al.[16] introduced a "flaky" version of an imperfect quantizer derfined as

$$
Q_{\left[\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}\right]}^{\text {flaky }}(z) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{\begin{array}{llr}
0, & \text { if } & z<\nu_{0}  \tag{1}\\
1, & \text { if } & z \geq \nu_{1} \\
0 \text { or 1, } & \text { if } z \in\left[\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}\right], \nu_{0}<\nu_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is a model of a quantizer $Q_{\nu}(z)$ with a varying threshold $\nu \in\left[\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}\right], \nu_{0}<$ $\nu_{1}$, defined as

$$
Q_{\nu}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \quad x<\nu  \tag{2}\\ 1, & \text { if } \quad x \geq \nu\end{cases}
$$

They made the remarkable observation that "greedy" $\left(\nu=\nu_{\mathrm{G}}=1\right)$ and "lazy" $\left(\nu=\nu_{\mathrm{L}}=(\beta-1)^{-1}\right)$ expansions, as well as "cautious" $\left(\nu_{\mathrm{G}}<\nu<\nu_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ expansions ${ }^{2}$ in the $\beta$-encoder with such a flaky quantizer exhibit exponential accuracy in the bit rate $L$, and they gave the decoded values as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{x}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{DDGV}}=\sum_{i=1}^{L} b_{i} \gamma^{i}, b_{i} \in\{0,1\}, \gamma=\beta^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Furthermore, Daubechies and Yilmätz[17] proposed a $\beta$-encoder that is not only robust to quantizer imperfections but also robust with to the amplification factor $\beta$, and gave the $\beta$-recovery method that relies upon embedding the value of $\beta$ in the encoded bit stream for each sample value separately without measureing its value. This $\beta$-encoder is a signinificant achievement in Nyquist-rate $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A}$ conversions in the sense that it may become a good alternative for $\mathrm{PCM}[9,10,11]$.

In our recent paper[18], we gave comprehensive reviews for $A / D$ conversions including PCM, $\Sigma-\Delta$ modulation, and $\beta$-encoder (see Fig. 1 for its single-loop feedback form) as well as symbolic dynamics. ${ }^{3}$ Furthermore, we gave the fact that $\beta$-encoders using a flaky quantizer with the threshold $\nu$ are characterized by the symbolic dynamics of the multi-valued Rényi-Parry map, defined as [22, 23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\beta}(x)=\beta x \bmod 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or Parry's $(\beta, \alpha)$-map, defined as[24]

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\beta, \alpha}(x)=\beta x+\alpha \bmod 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the middle interval (see Fig.2). Dynamical systems theory[37, 38] tells us that a sample $x$ is always confined to a subinterval of a contracted interval, as shown in Fig. 3 and so its decoded sample can be defined as [18, 19, 20],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{x}_{L}^{\mathrm{KHA}}=\sum_{i=1}^{L} b_{i} \gamma^{i}+\frac{\gamma^{L}}{2(\beta-1)}, b_{i} \in\{0,1\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the decoded sample is equal to the midpoint of the subinterval. The decoded sample $\widehat{x}_{L}^{\mathrm{KHA}}$ also yields the characteristic equation for recovering $\beta$, which improves the quantization error by more than 3 dB over the bound given by Daubechies et al.[16] and Daubechies and Yilmätz[17]. ${ }^{4}$

[^1]In order to show the self-correction property of the amplification factor $\beta$ in $\beta$-encoder, Daubechies and Yilmätz[17] presented an equation governed by the sample data bit sequences as follows. Using the $\beta$-expansion sequences $\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{L}$ for $x \in[0,1)$ and $\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{L}$ for $y=1-x, 1 \leq i \leq L$ yields a root of the algebraic equation of $\beta$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}^{\mathrm{DY}}(\gamma)=1-\sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(b_{i}+c_{i}\right) \gamma^{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the contray, our $\beta$-recovering equation with index $p_{L}[18,19,20]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}^{\mathrm{KHA}}\left(\gamma, p_{L}\right)=1-\sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(b_{i}+c_{i}\right) \gamma^{i}-p_{L} \frac{\gamma^{L+1}}{1-\gamma}, p_{L} \in\{0,1,2\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is based on an $L$-bit truncated expansion with index $p_{L}$, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{x}_{L}^{\mathrm{KHA}}\left(\gamma, p_{L}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{L} b_{i} \gamma^{i}+p_{L} \cdot \frac{\gamma^{L}}{2(\beta-1)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated quantization error is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x-\widehat{x}_{L}^{\mathrm{KHA}}\left(\gamma, p_{L}\right)\right| \leq\left(\frac{1+\left|p_{L}-1\right|}{2}\right) \cdot(\beta-1)^{-1} \gamma^{L} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the cases where $p_{L}=0,1,2$ correspond to the leftmost, intermediate, and rightmost points of the $L$ th subinterval, respectively; the case where $p_{L}=0$ is equal to Dabechies et al.'s decoded value.

As thoroughly discussed in our recent paper[35], the probabilistic behavior of this flaky quantizer is explained by the deterministic dynamics of a multi-valued Rényi-Parry map on the middle interval[18, 19, 20] (see Fig.2). This map is an eventually locally onto map of $[\nu-1, \nu)$, which is topologically conjugate to Parry's $(\beta, \alpha)$-map $T_{\beta, \alpha}(x)$ with $\alpha=(\beta-1)(\nu-1)$. $\beta$-encoders have a closed subinterval $[\nu-1, \nu)$, which includes an attractor $[36,37,38]$. This $\beta$-expansion attractor[35] seems to be irregularly oscillatory but performs the $\beta$-expansion of each sample stably and precisely (see Fig.3). This viewpoint allows us to obtain a decoded sample(eq. 6 or eq. 9 ), which is equal
$\left.\overline{\text { The homeomorphism } \widehat{y}_{L}^{\text {Ward }}=h\left(\widehat{x}_{L}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{HA}\right.}\right)$, however, does not necessarily imply equivalence in terms of the quatization errors; in fact, Ward's algorirthm doubles the maximum quantization error and quadruples its mean square error.
to the midpoint of the subinteval, and its associated characteristic equation for recovering $\beta$ (eq.8), and shows that $\nu$ should be set to around the midpoint of its associated greedy and lazy values. This leads us to design $\beta$-encoders realizing ordinary (see Fig.4) and negative scaled $\beta$-maps $[20]$ (see Fig.5) and observe $\beta$-expansion attractors embedded in these $\beta$-encoders[35].

Finally, we note that parts of this article draw on our previous work in [18, 19, 20, 35], which were supported by the Aihara Innovation Mathematical Modelling Project (Aihara Project), the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) through the "Fundamental Program for World-Leading Innovation R\&D on Science and Technology(FIRST Program)", initiated by the Counicil for Science and technology Policy (CSTP). The FIRST Program also supported the $\beta$-encoder group to implement these $\beta$-encoders in an LSI (Large-Scale Integrated) circuit and evaluate quantization errors and their performance in practically realized LSI circuits based on a simple $\beta$ recovery method suited to operation of $\mathrm{AD} / \mathrm{DA}$ conversions in LSI cicuits, .[42, 43, 44, 45].


Fig.1. A discrete-time, single-loop feedback system using an amplifier with an ampflication factor $\beta$ and a 1-bit quantizer $Q_{\beta^{-1} \nu}$ with a threshold $\nu$ that realizes PCM when $\beta=2$ and $\nu=1$; a $\beta$-encoder when $1<\beta<2$ and $\nu \in$ $\left[1,(\beta-1)^{-1}\right]$, proposed by Daubechies et al.[15]; and $\Sigma-\Delta$ modulation when $\beta=1$ and $\nu=0$. The input is $z_{1}=x \in[0,1), z_{i}=0, i>1$ for the PCM and $\beta$-encoder, and the input is $x_{n}, n \geq 1$ for the $\Sigma-\Delta$ modulation. The initial conditions are given by $u_{0}=b_{0}=0$. The output sequence $\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{L}, b_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ gives the $L$-bit $\beta$-expansion for $x$, and the averaging sequence $\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{M}, b_{i} \in$ $\{0,1\}$ over $i$ is the output in response to the input sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ for the $\Sigma-\Delta$ modulation. The $\beta$-encoder provides the greedy, lazy, and cautious schemes for $\nu=\nu_{\mathrm{G}}=1, \nu=\nu_{\mathrm{L}}=(\beta-1)^{-1}$, and $\nu_{\mathrm{G}}<\nu<\nu_{\mathrm{L}}$, respectively.


Fig.2. The expansion map $C_{\beta, \nu}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \beta x-Q_{\beta^{-1} \nu}(x)$ realizing the Daubechies et al.'s flaky quantizer[16] $Q_{\left(\gamma \nu_{\mathrm{G}}, \nu_{\nu_{\mathrm{L}}}\right)}^{\text {faky }}(z), 1=\nu_{\mathrm{G}}<\nu<\nu_{\mathrm{L}}=(\beta-1)^{-15}$ renormalizing the interval $[\nu-1, \nu]$ into the unit interval $[0,1]$, which shows that such an eventually locally onto map is equivalent to the Parry $(\beta, \alpha)$ transformation: $T_{\beta, \alpha}(x)=\beta x+\alpha \bmod 1$. The transformation $T_{\beta, \alpha}(x)$ has a finite (signed) invariant measure $\nu(E)=\int_{\mathrm{E}} h(x) d x$, where $h(x)$ is given by $h(x)=\sum_{x<T_{\beta, \alpha}^{n}(1)} \beta^{-n}-\sum_{x<T_{\beta, \alpha}^{n}(0)} \beta^{-n} \cdot[24,39]$

[^2]

Fig.3. (a) The multi-valued Rényi-Parry map $C_{\beta, \nu}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \beta x-Q_{\beta^{-1} \nu}(x)$ on the middle interval $\left[\beta^{-1}, \beta^{-1}(\beta-1)^{-1}\right]$ with its discontinuity $x=\beta^{-1} \nu$, which is eventually locally onto $[\nu-1, \nu)$, where $1 \leq \nu \leq(\beta-1)^{-1}$. An eventually locally onto map of $[\nu-1, \nu)$ with $\nu=1+\alpha /(\beta-1)$ is topologically conjugate to Parry's $(\beta, \alpha)$-transformation $T_{\beta, \alpha}(x)$ via the conjugacy $\varphi^{-1}(x)=x+\alpha /(\beta-1)$, i.e., $\varphi\left(C_{\beta, \nu}\left(\varphi^{-1}(x)\right)=T_{\beta, \alpha}(x)\right.$ when $\alpha=$ $(\beta-1)(\nu-1)$. The map $C_{\beta, \nu}(x)$ realizes Daubechies et al.'s flaky quantizer [16] $Q_{\left[\beta^{-1}, \beta^{-1}(\beta-1)^{-1}\right]}^{\text {faky }}(z)$. (b) The contraction process by the first 4 binary $\beta$ expansions of the input $x$ using $C_{\beta, \nu}(x)$ while the binary digits are obtained. The associated subintervals with a contraction ratio $\beta^{-1}$ are given as $\left[0,(\beta-1)^{-1}\right),\left[0, \beta^{-1}(\beta-1)^{-1}\right),\left[0, \beta^{-2}(\beta-1)^{-1}\right),\left[\beta^{-3}, \beta^{-2}(\beta-1)^{-1}\right)$. The input $x$ is always confined to the $i$ th subinterval.


Fig.4. The scale-adjusted ordinary $\beta$-map $S_{\beta, \nu, s}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \beta x-s(\beta-1) Q_{\gamma \nu}(x)=$ $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\beta x & \text { when } x \in[0, \gamma \nu), \\ \beta x-s(\beta-1), & \text { when } \quad x \in \gamma \nu, s),\end{array}\right.$ with its eventually locally onto map
$[\nu-s(\beta-1), \nu) \rightarrow[\nu-s(\beta-1), \nu), \nu \in[s(\beta-1), s)$. Such an eventually locally onto map with $\nu=s(\alpha+\beta-1)$ is topologically conjugate to $T_{\beta, \alpha}(x)$ via the conjugacy $\varphi_{\mathrm{S}}^{-1}(x)=s(\beta-1) x+s \alpha$, i.e., $\varphi_{S}\left(S_{\beta, \nu, s}(x)\right)=T_{\beta, \alpha}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{S}}(x)\right)$.


Fig.5. The scale-adjusted negative $\beta$-map
$R_{\beta, \nu, s}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}-\beta x+s\left[1+(\beta-1) Q_{\gamma \nu}(x)\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}s-\beta x & \text { when } & x \in[0, \gamma \nu), \\ \beta s-\beta x, & \text { when } & x \in \gamma \nu, s),\end{array}\right.$
with its eventually locally onto map $[s-\nu, \beta s-\nu) \rightarrow[s-\nu, \beta s-\nu)$ when $\left(\beta^{2}-\beta+1\right) /(\beta+1) s \leq \nu<(2 \beta-1) /(\beta+1) s .^{6} \quad$ Such an eventually locally onto map with $\nu=s[(\beta-1) \alpha+\beta] /(\beta+1)$ is topologically conjugate to Parry's transformation with negative slope $[20,40] T_{-\beta, \alpha}(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}-\beta x+$ $\alpha \bmod 1, \beta \geq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1$ via the conjugacy $\varphi_{\mathrm{R}}^{-1}(x)=s(\beta-1) x+s-\nu$, i.e., $\varphi_{\mathrm{R}}\left(R_{\beta, \nu, s}(x)\right)=T_{-\beta, \alpha}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{R}}(x)\right)$. The transformation $T_{-\beta, \alpha}(x)$ has a finite (signed) invariant measure $\nu(E)=\int_{\mathrm{E}} h(x) d x$, where $h(x)$ is given by $h(x)=$ $\sum_{x<T_{-\beta, \alpha}^{n}(1)}(-\beta)^{-n}-\sum_{x<T_{-\beta, \alpha}^{n}(0)}(-\beta)^{-n} \cdot[41,18]$
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## Analog-to-Digital (A/D), Digital-to-Analog (D/A) conversion

- A/D D/A conversion are foundation for a variety of applications,
e.g., audio, image and communication etc.
- Accuracy and stability

quantization


D/A conversion
quantize error robust to fluctuation ${ }^{3}$

## Conventional methods of A/D, D/A conversion

- PCM has high precision, but doesn't possess stability.
- $\Sigma \Delta$ modulation has stability,
 but its precision is lower than PCM .

high precision, stability




## Pulse Code Modulation (PCM)



Bernoulli shift (binary expansion):

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(x) & = \begin{cases}2 x, & x<1 / 2 \\
2 x-1, & x \geq 1 / 2\end{cases} \\
b_{i} & = \begin{cases}0, & B^{i-1}(x)<1 / 2 \\
1, & B^{i-1}(x) \geq 1 / 2\end{cases} \\
x & =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i} 2^{-i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Divergence of a value $x$ in PCM



When there is a threshold shift rho>0, A/D converter does not work well because quantisation errors don't decay

$$
0 \leq x-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i} 2^{-i}<\frac{\rho}{2}
$$

## Background

Hardware implementation

Inose and Yasuda '64:
$\Sigma \Delta$ modulation

- Gray '87:

Oversampled $\Sigma \Delta$ modulation

- Karanicolas '93:

A 15-b 1-Msamples/s Digitally self-Calibrated Pipelined ADC=pipelined PCM with self-correction

Ergodic theory
Renyi '57:f-expansion

- Parry '60,'64: $\beta$-expansion, $(\beta, \alpha)$ expansion

Takahashi '73, Ito \& Takahashi '74, and Takahashi ' 83
Markov automorphism,
Markov shift, orbit basis
Erdoes and Joo '90:
greedy and lazy expansions
Dajani and Kraikaamp '02, and Sidorov'02:
intermediate expansion $=(\beta, \alpha)$ expansion

Hardware implementation

- Unsolved problems from mathematical standpoint

Ergodic theory


Daubechies et.al.,'06 I : analyse
stability of $\beta$-encoder: robustness to fluctuations to $\beta$ of AMP and threshold $v$ of quantiser $\mathbf{Q v}\left({ }^{-}\right)$, exponential accuracy in bit rate L

- Daubechies et.al., '06 II: DA conversion using estimated $\beta$ without knowing exact $\beta$ Milestone!


## $\beta$-transform (Renyi '57,Parry'60)



## Multi-valued Renyi-Parry's map realizing

flaky version of an imperfect quantizer

$\gamma=\beta^{-1}$
(a)

Daubechies et.al. 's quantiser(2k6):

$$
Q^{f}{ }_{\left[\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}\right]}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
0, & \text { if } z \leq \nu_{0} \\
1, & \text { if } z \geq \nu_{1} \\
0 \text { or } 1 & \text { if } z \in\left(\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\text { Parry's }(\beta, \alpha) \text {-map }
$$

$$
T_{\beta, \alpha}(x)=\beta x+\alpha \bmod 1
$$

$$
\beta>1,0 \leq \alpha<1
$$


(b) $\alpha=(\beta-1)(\nu-1)$
multi-valued Renyi-Parry Map and its eventually locally onto-map

## Cautious map $C_{\beta, \nu}(x)$


$C_{\beta, \nu}(x)=\beta x-Q_{\beta^{-1}}(x)$
$= \begin{cases}\beta x, & x<\beta^{-1} \nu \\ \beta x-1, & x \geq \beta^{-1} \nu\end{cases}$
$1(: \mathrm{gr}) \leq \nu \leq(\beta-1)^{-1}(: \mathrm{la})$
$Q_{\beta^{-1} \nu}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x<\beta^{-1} \nu \\ 1, & x \geq \beta^{-1} \nu\end{cases}$
$b_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0, C_{\beta, \nu}^{i-1}(x)<\beta^{-1} \nu \\ 1, C_{\beta, \nu}^{i-1}(x) \geq \beta^{-1} \nu\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=\sum_{i=1}^{L} b_{i} \gamma^{i}+\gamma^{L} C_{\beta, \nu}^{L}(x) \\
\gamma=\beta^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$





## Negative beta-expansion (2k9)



Its eventually locally onto map is topologically conjugate to Parry $(-\beta, \alpha)$-map: $T_{-\beta, \alpha}(x)=-\beta x+\alpha \bmod 1, \beta>1,0 \leq \alpha<1$

## Main result I : $\beta$-decoding using interval analysis (2k7)

Theorem 1: The decoded value $\tilde{x}$ given by the interval analysis is defined by


Dust
(but essential)
which gives

$$
0 \leq|x-\tilde{x}| \leq \frac{(\beta-1)^{-1} \gamma^{L}}{2}<\gamma^{L} \leq \underline{\nu \gamma^{L}} \text { when } \beta>3 / 2 \text {. }
$$

3dB improved when $\beta>3 / 2$
cf.) Daubechies et.al.,: $\quad 0 \leq\left|x-\tilde{x}_{\text {Dau }}\right| \leq \nu \gamma^{l}$. IMI, Kyushu Univ. workshoop

$$
\widetilde{x}_{D a u}=\sum_{i=1}^{L} b_{i} \gamma^{i}
$$

## Quantization Error

$$
\left(\beta=1.5, s=(\beta-1)^{-1}, L=16\right)
$$



## Main result 2: Characteristic equation for $\beta$ reconstruction ( $2 k 7$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sequence }\left\{b_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{L} \text { for } x \text { and sequence }\left\{c_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{L} \text { for } y=1-x \\
& \tilde{x}=\sum_{j=1}^{L} b_{j} \gamma^{j}+\frac{\gamma^{L+1}}{\frac{\gamma^{2(1-\gamma)}}{\text { Dust term }}}, \tilde{y}=\sum_{j=1}^{L} c_{j} \gamma^{j}+\frac{\gamma^{L+1}}{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{}},
\end{aligned}
$$

## Daubechies et.al.'s idea

Since $\tilde{x}+\tilde{y}=1$, the estimated value of $\gamma$ is a root of $P(\gamma)$, referred to as characteristic equation of $\gamma_{\text {, }}$ defined by

$$
P(\gamma)=1-\sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(b_{i}+c_{i}\right) \gamma^{i}-\frac{\gamma^{L+1}}{\underline{1-\gamma}}=0 .
$$

## Dustterm

$$
\text { cf.) } \quad P_{D a u}(\gamma)=1-\sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(b_{i}+c_{i}\right) \gamma^{i}=0 .
$$

## The precision of beta estimation



For $N=32$ and $\beta=1.77777$, the worst precision of the estimation for $\beta$ when varing $x$ and $\nu$.

## $\beta$-expansion attractors



Examples of the chaotic attractors obtained from the scale-adjusted $\beta$-encoder circuit.
(a) The greedy expansion attractor,
(b) the cautious expansion attractor, and
(c) the lazy expansion attractor.

Negative $\beta$-expansion attractors


Examples of the chaotic attractors obtained from the negative $\beta$-encoder circuit.
(a) The greedy expansion attractor,
(b) the cautious expansion attractor, and
(c) the lazy expansion attractor.

## Implementation of $\beta$-encoder in an LSI (Large-Scale Integrated) circuit

- We note that parts of this article draw on our previous work:

1) T. Kohda ,Y. Horio, Y. Takahashi, and K. Aihara,
"Beta Encoders: Symbolic Dynamics and Electronic Implementation ", Int. Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 22, no9, 2012,
2) T. Kohda ,Y.Horio, and K. Aihara," $\beta$ - Expansion Attractors observed in A/D Converters", AIP Chaos,22,no.4,2012,
supported by the Aihara Project, JSPS through FIRST Program.
The FIRST Program also supported the $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ - encoder group to implement $\beta$-encoders in an LSI circuit and evaluate quantization errors and their performance in practically realized LSI circuits using a simple $\beta$-recovery method suited to operation of AD/DA converters in LSI circuits,
T.Makino, Y.Iwata, K.Shinohara, Y.Jitsumatsu, M.Hotta, H.San, and K.Aihara,
"Rigorous Estimates of Quantization error and Adaptive Decoding Scheme for an A/D Converter Based on a Beta-Map," NOLTA (Nonlinear Theory and Its Applications), IEICE, 6-1,99-111,2015.

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Professor Emeritus, Kyushu University, E-mail:torukoda81@wind.ocn.ne.jp
    ${ }^{2}$ Intermediate expansions[30, 32] between the greedy and lazy expansions[28, 29] are called "cautious" by Daubechies[16].

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Several tutorial papers and textbooks are available (see e.g.[9, 10, 11] for digital communication, $[12,13,14]$ for the basics of dynamical systems theory, and $[22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34]$ for $\beta$-transformation. See a review paper [18] and the detailed references cited therein for fundamental of quantization for digital communications and various $\mathrm{AD} / \mathrm{DA}$ conversions and $\beta$-encoder fundamentals.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ward[21] has recently proposed new $\mathrm{AD} / \mathrm{DA}$ algorithms for generating a binary sequence $\left\{b_{i}^{\text {Ward }}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, b_{i}^{\text {Ward }} \in\{-1,1\}$ for a real-valued $y \in(-1,1)$ using a flaky version of an imperfect quantizer and gave its decoded value as $\widehat{y}_{L}^{\text {Ward }}=\sum_{i=1}^{L} b_{i}^{\text {Ward }} \gamma^{i}$. Ward's flaky quantizer is also realized exactly by the multi-valued Rényi-Parry map because it is topological conjugate to Parry's map: $T_{\beta, \alpha}(x)$ via the conjugacy $y=h(x)=2 x-(\beta-1)^{-1}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5} C_{\beta, \nu}(x)$ has its eventually locally onto map with the strongly invariant subinterval $C_{\beta, \nu}^{-1}([0, \gamma \nu]) \cap C_{\beta, \nu}^{-1}\left(\left[\gamma \nu,(\beta-1)^{-1}\right]\right)=[\nu-1, \nu]$. (Let $\tau: E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous map. Let $F \subset E$. If $\tau(F) \subset F$, then $F$ is called invariant. If $\tau(F)=F$, then $F$ is called strongly invariant. [14]).

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ There are three other eventually locally onto maps depending on $\nu .[18,35]$

