

## Existence and decay of solutions of some nonlinear wave equations in noncylindrical domains

中尾, 慎宏  
九州大学教養部数学教室

檜崎, 隆  
九州大学教養部数学教室

<https://doi.org/10.15017/1449007>

---

出版情報 : 九州大学教養部数学雑誌. 11 (2), pp.117-125, 1978-10. 九州大学教養部数学教室  
バージョン :  
権利関係 :

## Existence and decay of solutions of some nonlinear wave equations in noncylindrical domains

Mitsuhiro NAKAO and Takashi NARAZAKI

(Received April 18, 1978)

### Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the existence and decay of weak solutions of the following nonlinear wave equations with nonlinear dissipative terms

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u - \Delta u + \rho \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u \right) + \beta(u) = f \text{ in } Q \quad (0.1)$$

with the initial-boundary conditions

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, 0) = u_1(x) \text{ and } u|_{\Sigma} = 0 \quad (0.2)$$

where  $Q = \bigcup_{0 < t < \infty} \Omega_t \times \{t\}$  is a bounded increasing (in  $t$ ) domain in  $R^n \times [0, \infty)$  and  $\Sigma$  is the lateral boundary of  $Q$ .

Recently, linear and nonlinear wave equations in noncylindrical domains have been treated by many authors. Lions [4] introduced the so-called penalty method to solve existence problem. Using this method, Medeiros [5] proved the existence of weak solution to the problem (0.1)-(0.2) with  $\rho \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u \right) = 0$  for a wide class of  $\beta(u)$  such that  $\beta(u)u \geq 0$ . Cooper & Bardos [2] proved the existence and uniqueness of weak solution for the case  $\rho = 0$ ,  $\beta(u) = |u|^\alpha u$  ( $\alpha \geq 0$ ) and  $\Sigma$  is globally "time-like" without the increasingness condition on  $Q$ . Cooper [1] considered the local decay property of solutions of linear equations (in an exterior domain), assuming the boundary is time-like at each point. Inoue [3] succeeded in proving the existence of classical solutions for the case  $n=3$ ,  $\beta=0$  and  $\beta(u)=u^3$  when the body is time-like at each point.

In this note we restrict ourselves to the case of the domain being monotone increasing to investigate decay property as well as the existence of weak solutions. We utilize fully the existence of the dissipative term, which is different essentially from earlier papers. It should be noted also that we

make no monotonicity conditions on  $\beta(u)$ . In a cylindrical case, our problem has been treated by one of the authors [6] [7] [8] [9] and our result here can be regarded as partial extensions of those works.

## 1. Preliminaries

We use some familiar notations of function spaces without definitions. Points of  $R^n \times [0, \infty)$  are denoted as  $(x, t)$ .

First we state our assumptions on  $Q$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\beta$  and the forcing term  $f$ ;

A<sub>1</sub>. (i) Let  $Q = \bigcup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \Omega_t \times \{t\}$  ( $R^n \times [0, \infty)$ ). Then  $\Omega_t$  is monotone increasing, that is,  $\Omega_{t_1} \subset \Omega_{t_2}$  if  $t_1 \leq t_2$ .

(ii)  $\Omega_t$  and  $\Omega = \bigcup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \Omega_t$  is regular and bounded.

A<sub>2</sub>.  $\beta(s)$  is a function on  $R$ , satisfying the conditions

$$|\beta(s)| \leq K_0 |s|^{\alpha+1} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a constant such that

$$0 < \alpha \leq \frac{2}{n-2} \text{ if } n \geq 3 \text{ and } < \alpha < \infty \text{ if } n = 1, 2. \quad (1.2)$$

A<sub>3</sub>.  $\rho(s)$  is a function on  $R$ , satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 |s|^{\tau+2} \leq \rho(s) s \leq K_2 (1 + |s|)^{\tau+1} s \text{ and} \\ (\rho(s_1) - \rho(s_2))(s_1 - s_2) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\tau$  is a constant satisfying (1.2), admitting  $\tau = 0$ .

A<sub>4</sub>.  $f$  belongs to  $S_{(\tau+2)/(\tau+1)}([0, \infty); L^{(\tau+2)/(\tau+1)}(\Omega_t))$ , i. e.,

$$\delta(t) = \left( \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s)\|_{L^{(\tau+2)/(\tau+1)}(\Omega_s)}^{(\tau+2)/(\tau+1)} ds \right)^{(\tau+1)/(\tau+2)} < \text{const.} < \infty.$$

Finally in this section we give our definition of solution. We say a function  $u$  on  $\bigcup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \Omega_t \times \{t\}$  is a weak solution to the problem (0.1)-(0.2) if  $u' \in L_{loc}^\infty([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega_t))$ ,  $u \in L_{loc}^\infty([0, \infty); \dot{H}_1(\Omega_t))$  and  $t \rightarrow \tilde{u}(\cdot, t)$  (some extension of  $u(\cdot, t)$  on  $\Omega$ ),  $t \rightarrow \tilde{u}'(\cdot, t)$  are continuous with values  $\dot{H}_1(\Omega)$  and  $L^2(\Omega)$ , respectively. The equations (0.1), (0.2) are satisfied in the distribution sense.

## 2. Some lemmas on difference inequalities

Here we prepare some lemmas concerning difference inequalities, which will be needed for the proof of decay property of solutions.

LEMMA 2.1 *Let  $\{a_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that*

$$a_{m+1} - a_m \geq d_0 > 0 \text{ or } a_{m+1} \geq (1 + d_1) a_m \quad (2.1)$$

for  $m=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $d_0, d_1$  are some positive constants. Then we have

$$a_m \geq a_{m_0} + d_0(m - m_0) \quad \text{for } m \geq m_0 \tag{2.2}$$

where  $m_0$  is the smallest integer such that

$$m_0 \geq \max\left\{\log\left(\frac{d_0}{a_0 d_1}\right)(\log(1+d_1))^{-1}, 0\right\}.$$

PROOF. First, suppose that  $m_0=0$ . In this case we have

$$a_0 \geq d_0 d_1^{-1}$$

and hence (note that  $\{a_m\}$  is an increasing sequence)

$$a_m \geq \min\{a_{m-1} + d_0, (1+d_1)a_{m-1}\} = a_{m-1} + d_0 \quad \text{for } m=1, 2, \dots,$$

which implies immediately (2.2) with  $m_0=0$ .

Next, we assume  $m_0>0$ . Then we have

$$a_{m_0} \geq d_0 d_1^{-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

Indeed, if this was false, we see easily

$$(d_0 + a_k) > (1+d_1)a_k \quad \text{for } k=0, 1, \dots, m_0-1$$

and hence by the assumption (2.1)

$$a_{m_0} > (1+d_1)^{m_0} a_0 \tag{2.4}$$

which is a contradiction because  $a_{m_0} < d_0 d_1^{-1}$  implies  $(1+d_1)^{m_0} a_0 > d_0 d_1^{-1}$ . Thus by (2.2) we obtain, as in the case  $m_0=0$ ,

$$a_{m_0+k} \geq a_{m_0} + d_0 k \quad (k=1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $\phi(t)$  be a nonnegative decreasing function on  $R^+ = [0, \infty)$ , satisfying

$$\phi(t+1)^{1+\alpha} - d_2 \phi(t)^{1+\alpha} \leq d_3 (\phi(t) - \phi(t+1)) \tag{2.5}$$

with some constants  $\alpha > 0, 0 < d_2 < 1, d_3 > 0$ . Then we have

$$\phi(t) \leq c_0 (1+t)^{-1/\alpha} \quad \text{for } t \in R^+, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $c_0$  is a constant depending on  $\phi(0)$  and other known constants.

PROOF. Setting  $y(t) = \phi(t)^{-\alpha}$ , we see easily

$$\begin{aligned} y(t+1) - y(t) &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} \{\theta \phi(t+1) + (1-\theta)\phi(t)\}^{-\alpha} d\theta \\ &\geq \alpha (\phi(t) - \phi(t+1)) \phi(t)^{-(1+\alpha)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore, if  $\phi(t+1)^{1+\alpha} > \frac{1+d_2}{2} \phi(t)^{1+\alpha}$ , we have by (2.5)

$$\frac{(1-d_2)}{2} \phi(t)^{1+\alpha} \geq d_3 (\phi(t) - \phi(t+1))$$

and hence, using (2.7),

$$y(t+1) - y(t) \geq (2d_3)^{-1} (1-d_2) \alpha > 0. \tag{2.8}$$

On the other hand, if  $\phi(t+1) \leq \frac{1}{2} (1+d_2) \phi(t)^{1+\alpha}$ , we have

$$y(t+1) \geq \left( \frac{2}{1+d_2} \right)^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)} y(t). \quad (2.9)$$

Thus applying Lemma 2.1 to the sequence

$$\{y(m+\theta)\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \quad (0 \leq \theta < 1)$$

we obtain (2.6) immediately.

REMARK 1. If (2.5) is valid for  $\alpha=0$ , then it is easy to see that

$$\phi(t) \leq \text{const. } e^{-kt}$$

for some  $k>0$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $\phi(t)$  be a decreasing nonnegative function on  $R^+$ , satisfying

$$\phi(t+1) \leq d_4 \{A^2(t) + (A(t) + g_1(t))\sqrt{\phi(t)}\} + g_2(t) \quad (2.10)$$

for  $t \geq 0$

where  $d_4 > 0$  and we set

$$A(t) = \{\phi(t)\phi(t+1)\}^{1/(\tau+2)} \quad (\tau > 0).$$

Moreover, let us assume

$$g_1^2(t) + g_2(t) \leq d_5(1+t)^{-\theta} \quad (2.11)$$

for some  $d_5 > 0$  and  $\theta > 2/\tau$ . Then we have

$$\phi(t) \leq c_1(1+t)^{-2/\tau} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad (2.12)$$

where  $c_1$  is a constant depending on  $\phi(0)$  and other known constants.

PROOF. Using Young inequality, we obtain easily from (2.10), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\phi(t+1)^{(\tau+2)/2} \leq c_\varepsilon(\phi(t) - \phi(t+1) + g_3(t)^{(\tau+2)/2}) + \varepsilon\phi(t)^{(\tau+2)/2}$$

where we set  $g_3(t) = g_1^2(t) + g_2(t)$ . Hereafter  $c_\varepsilon$  denotes various constants depending on  $\varepsilon$ . Putting  $\psi(t) = \phi(t) + \nu t^{-\theta}$  ( $\nu > 0$ ) we have

$$\psi(t+1)^{(\tau+2)/2} \leq c_\varepsilon(\psi(t) - \psi(t+1)) + \varepsilon\psi(t)^{(\tau+2)/2} + I_\varepsilon(t)$$

where

$$I_\varepsilon(t) = c_\varepsilon(-\nu t^{-\theta} + \nu(t+1)^{-\theta}) + 2^{(\tau+2)/2} \nu^{(\tau+2)/2} t^{-\theta(\tau+2)/2} + g_3(t)^{(\tau+2)/2}.$$

By the same arguments as in [8] we can show  $I_\varepsilon(t) < 0$  for large  $t$  if (2.11) is valid. Therefore by Lemma 2.2 we obtain (2.12).

REMARK 2. In [8], the following difference inequality is treated:

$$\max_{t \in [t, t+1]} \phi(t)^{1+\alpha} \leq \text{const. } (\phi(t) - \phi(t+1)) + g(t). \quad (\alpha \geq 0).$$

### 3. Existence and decay of solution

To state our theorems we must recall some functionals on  $\dot{H}_1(Q)$  introduced in [6];

$$J_0(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^u \beta(s) ds dx,$$

$$J_1(u) = \|u\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \beta(u) u dx,$$

$$\tilde{J}_1(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega)}^2 - \left( K_0 S_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2} / (\alpha+2) \right) \|u\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega)}^{\alpha+2},$$

and

$$\tilde{J}_1(u) = \|u\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega)}^2 - K_0 S_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega)}^{\alpha+2},$$

where  $S_{\alpha+2}$  is a constant such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{\alpha+2}(\Omega)} \leq S_{\alpha+2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega)}.$$

Note that  $J_0(u) \geq \tilde{J}_0(u)$  and  $J_1(u) \geq \tilde{J}_1(u)$ , which will be used later. Moreover we set

$$\tilde{J}_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^2 - (K_0 S_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2} / (\alpha+2)) x^{\alpha+2}$$

and

$$\tilde{J}_1(x) = x^2 - K_0 S_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2} x^{\alpha+2}$$

for  $x \geq 0$ . Let  $x_0$  be a maximal point of  $\tilde{J}_1(x)$ , i. e.,

$$x_0 = \left\{ \frac{2}{K_0 S_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2} (\alpha+2)} \right\}^{1/\alpha}$$

and set  $D_0 = \tilde{J}_0(x_0)$ . Note that  $\tilde{J}_1$  and  $\tilde{J}_0$  are both increasing on  $[0, x_0]$ .

The stable set  $W_0$  is defined as follows.

$$W_0 = \{ (u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}_1(\Omega_0) \times L^2(\Omega_0) \mid \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega_0)} < x_0 \text{ and}$$

$$\| (u_0, u_1) \|_{W_0} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \|u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega_0)}^2 + J(\tilde{u}_0) < D_0 \}$$

Hereafter, for a function  $u(x)$  defined on a subset  $\Omega_0$  of  $\Omega$  we set

$$\tilde{u}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \Omega_0 \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in \Omega$ .

Now we are ready to state our results.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $(u_0, u_1) \in W_0$ . Then there exists a constant  $M_0 = M_0(D_0 - \| (u_0, u_1) \|_{W_0}) > 0$  such that if*

$$M = \sup_t \left( \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s)\|_{L^{(\tau+2)/(\tau+1)}(\Omega)}^{(\tau+2)/(\tau+1)} ds \right)^{(\tau+1)/(\tau+2)} < M_0$$

*then the problem (0.1)-(0.2) has a weak solution  $u(t)$  satisfying*

$$\|u'(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_0)} < \sqrt{2D_0} \text{ and } \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega_0)} < x_0.$$

THEOREM 2. Let  $M < M_0$ . Then, the solution  $u$  in Theorem 1 satisfies the following decay property:

(i) if  $r=0$  and  $\delta(t) \leq d_0 e^{-\lambda t}$  ( $d_0, \lambda_0 > 0$ ), then

$$\|u(t)\|_{E(t)} \leq c_2 e^{-\lambda t} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$

with some  $\lambda > 0$ , and

(ii) if  $r > 0$  and  $\delta(t) \leq d_0(1+t)^{-(1+\eta)^{-1/r}}$  for some  $\eta > 0$ , then

$$\|u(t)\|_{E(t)} \leq c_3(1+t)^{-1/r} \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

where we recall

$$\delta(t) = \left( \int_t^{t+1} \|\tilde{f}(s)\|_{L^{(r+2)/(r+1)}(\Omega)}^{(r+1)/(r+2)} ds \right)^{(r+1)/(r+2)}$$

and we set

$$\|u(t)\|_{E(t)} = \|u'(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} + \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega_t)}.$$

Of course the constant  $c_2, c_3$  depend on the initial data  $(u_0, u_1)$ .

REMARK 3. If we assume  $\beta(u)u \geq 0$ , then the assertions of above Theorems are valid for all  $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}_1(\Omega_0) \times L^2(\Omega_0)$  without the restriction on  $\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{W_0}$ .

Let us proceed to the proofs of above Theorems.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We employ a penalty method. Let  $\chi(x, t)$  be the characteristic function of  $\Omega \times R^+ - Q$  and consider the equation

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u_\varepsilon - \Delta u_\varepsilon + \rho \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_\varepsilon \right) + \beta(u_\varepsilon) + \frac{\chi}{\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon = \tilde{f} \quad \text{on } \Omega \times R^+ \quad (3.1)$$

$$\text{with } u_\varepsilon(x, 0) = \tilde{u}_0(x), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_\varepsilon(x, 0) = \tilde{u}_1(x) \quad \text{and } u_\varepsilon(t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $\Omega_0$  is regular we may assume  $\tilde{u}_0(x) \in \dot{H}_1(\Omega)$ . We solve (3.1) by Galerkin method. Let  $\{w_j(x)\}$  be a basis of  $\dot{H}_1(\Omega)$  and let

$$u_{m,\varepsilon}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{j,m,\varepsilon}(t) w_j(x)$$

where  $\alpha_{j,m,\varepsilon}(t)$  are determined by the system of ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} (u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t), w_j) + (\nabla u_{m,\varepsilon}(t), \nabla w_j) + (\rho(u'_{m,\varepsilon}), w_j) \\ + (\beta(u_{m,\varepsilon}(t)), w_j) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\chi(t) u_{m,\varepsilon}(t), w_j) = (\tilde{f}(t), w_j), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_{m,\varepsilon}(0) &\longrightarrow \tilde{u}_0 && \text{strongly in } \dot{H}_1(\Omega), \\ u'_{m,\varepsilon}(0) &\longrightarrow \tilde{u}_1 && \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\chi(x, t)$  is monotonically increasing with respect to  $t$ , we obtain, as long as  $u_{m,\varepsilon}(t)$  exists,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + J_0(u_{m,\varepsilon}(t_2); \varepsilon) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\rho(u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t)), u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t)) dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t_1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + J_0(u_{m,\varepsilon}(t_1); \varepsilon) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\tilde{f}(t), u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t)) dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for  $t_2 \geq t_1 \geq 0$ , where

$$J_0(u; \varepsilon) = J_0(u) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \|\chi u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\chi u_{m,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + J_1(u_{m,\varepsilon}(t)) \right\} dt \\ & \leq (u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t_1), u_{m,\varepsilon}(t_1)) + (u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t_2), u_{m,\varepsilon}(t_2)) \\ & \quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\|u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (f(t), u_{m,\varepsilon}(t))) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2} \|u'_{m,\varepsilon}(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + J_0(u_{m,\varepsilon}(0); \varepsilon)$  tends to  $\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{W_0}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that for  $\eta > 0$  there exists  $m_0 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u'_{m,\varepsilon}(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + J(u_{m,\varepsilon}(0); \varepsilon) < D_0 - \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{W_0} + \eta \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\|u_{m,\varepsilon}(0)\|_{\dot{H}_1} < x_0 \quad \text{for } m > m_0.$$

Thus combining (3.3)-(3.5) we can conclude by almost the same argument as in [6] that there exists a constant  $M_0 = M_0(D_0 - \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{W_0})$  such that if  $M < M_0$ ,  $u_{m,\varepsilon}(t)$  exists on  $[0, \infty)$  and the following estimates hold:

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + J_0(u_{m,\varepsilon}(t); \varepsilon) < D_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \infty) \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \sqrt{2D_0}, \quad \|u_{m,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega)} < x_0, \\ & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\chi(t) u_{m,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \sqrt{2D_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_t^{t+1} \|u'_{m,\varepsilon}(s)\|_{L^{r+2}(\Omega)}^{r+2} ds \leq c(D_0, M_0) \\ & < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for large  $m$ .

Thus by standard compactness and monotonicity arguments (see Lions, Strauss [4, 10]) we see that there exist a subsequence  $\{u_{m_j, \varepsilon}\}$  of  $\{u_{m, \varepsilon}(t)\}$  and a function  $u_\varepsilon(x, t)$  such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u_{m_j, \varepsilon} & \longrightarrow u_\varepsilon \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty([0, \infty); \dot{H}_1(\Omega)) \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega \times R^+, \\ \beta(u_{m_j, \varepsilon}) & \longrightarrow \beta(u_\varepsilon) \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \end{aligned} \right\}$$



$$\left. \begin{aligned} u'_{m,\varepsilon} &\longrightarrow u'_\varepsilon \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \\ \rho(u'_{m,\varepsilon}) &\longrightarrow \rho(u'_\varepsilon) \text{ weakly in } L^{(\frac{r+2}{r+1})}_{loc}(R^+; L^{(\frac{r+2}{r+1})}(\Omega)) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \chi u_{m,\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \chi u_\varepsilon \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)).$$

Thus  $u_\varepsilon(t)$  is a weak solution of the problem (3.1)-(3.2) and the estimates (3.7) still hold for  $u_\varepsilon$ . Repeated use of compactness and monotonicity arguments for  $\{u_\varepsilon\}$  show that a subsequence  $\{u_{\varepsilon_j}\}$  of  $\{u_\varepsilon\}$  ( $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ ) satisfies the convergence properties as in (3.8). In particular we obtain that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_j}} \chi u_{\varepsilon_j}$  is convergent with respect to weak\* topology of  $L^\infty([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$ . Hence the limit function  $u(t)$  of  $\{u_{\varepsilon_j}\}$  satisfies (note that  $\Omega_t$  is regular)

$$\chi u = 0, \text{ i. e., } u \in L^\infty([0, \infty); \dot{H}_1(\Omega_t)).$$

It is easy to see  $u(x, 0) = \tilde{u}_0(x)$  and  $u'(x, 0) = \tilde{u}_1(x)$ . Thus  $u$  is a required weak solution of our problem. (the continuity of  $u(\cdot, t)$ ,  $u'(\cdot, t)$  are assured by Strauss [10])

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. For the proof of Theorem 2 it suffices to show that the approximate solutions  $u_{m,\varepsilon}(t)$  ( $m$ : large) satisfy the decay estimate independent of  $m, \varepsilon$ . We know already

$$\tilde{J}_1(u_{m,\varepsilon}(t)) \geq \tilde{J}_0(u_{m,\varepsilon}(t)) \geq k_0 \|u_{m,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\dot{H}_1(\Omega)}^2$$

for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  with some  $k_0 > 0$ .

We shall derive a difference inequality concerning the energy of  $u_{m,\varepsilon}$  to apply Lemma 2.3. First we observe that, for  $t_1 \geq t_2$ ,

$$E(u_{m,\varepsilon}(t_2)) - E(u_{m,\varepsilon}(t_1)) + \frac{K_1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u'_{m,\varepsilon}(s)\|_{L^{(\frac{r+2}{r+1})}(\Omega)}^{r+2} ds \leq 0 \quad (3.9)$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned} E(u_{m,\varepsilon}(t)) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t)\|^2 + J_0(u_{m,\varepsilon}(t); \varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{r+1}{r+2}\right) \left(\frac{2K_1}{r+2}\right)^{1/(r+1)} \int_t^\infty \|\tilde{f}(s)\|_{L^{(\frac{r+2}{r+1})}(\Omega)}^{(\frac{r+2}{r+1})} ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption on  $f(t)$  and by (3.9)  $E(u(t))$  is a nonnegative monotone decreasing function on  $R^+$ . Let  $t$  be fixed arbitrarily. Then by (3.9) with  $t_1 = t$ ,  $t_2 = t + 1$ , we see that there exist two points  $t_1 \in [t, t + \frac{1}{4}]$  and  $t_2 \in [t + \frac{3}{4}, t + 1]$  such that

$$\|u'_{m,\varepsilon}(t_i)\|_{L^{r+2}(\Omega)} \leq \left(\frac{8}{K_1}\right)^{1/(r+2)} D(t)$$

where  $D(t) = \{E(u_{m,\epsilon}(t)) - E(u_{m,\epsilon}(t+1))\}^{1/(r+2)}$ , and hence, as in (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|\chi u_{m,\epsilon}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_{m,\epsilon}(s)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right\} ds \\ & \leq c(\Omega) \{D(t) \max_{s \in [t, t+1]} \|u_{m,\epsilon}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + D(t)^2 \\ & \quad + \delta(t) \max_{s \in [t, t+1]} \|u_{m,\epsilon}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where  $c(\Omega)$  denotes constants depending on  $\text{meas}(\Omega)$ . From (3.9) and (3.10) we see that there exists a time  $t^* \in [t, t+1]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|u'_{m,\epsilon}(t^*)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + J_0(u_{m,\epsilon}(t^*); \epsilon) \\ & \leq c(\Omega) \{D(t)^2 + (D(t) + \delta(t)) \max_{s \in [t, t+1]} \|u_{m,\epsilon}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\} \\ & \leq c(\Omega) \{D(t)^2 + (D(t) + \delta(t)) \max_{s \in [t, t+1]} \sqrt{E(u_{m,\epsilon}(s))}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Since  $E(u_{m,\epsilon}(t))$  is monotone decreasing we have by (3.11)

$$\begin{aligned} E(u_{m,\epsilon}(t+1)) & \leq E(u_{m,\epsilon}(t^*)) \leq c(\Omega) \{D(t)^2 + D(t) + \delta(t)\} \sqrt{E(u_{m,\epsilon}(t))} \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta(t+i)^{(r+2)(r+1)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to (3.12) we obtain immediately the desired result.

**References**

[1] J. COOPER: *Local decay of solutions of the wave equation in the exterior of a moving body*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **49**(1975), 130-153.  
 [2] J. COOPER and C. BARDOS: *A nonlinear wave equation in a time dependent domain*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **42**(1973), 29-60.  
 [3] A. INOUE: *Sur  $\square u + u^3 = f$  dans un domaine noncylindrique*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **46**(1974), 777-819.  
 [4] J. L. LIONS: *Une remarque sur les problemes d'evolution nonlineaires dans les domaines non cylindriques*, Rev. Romaine Pures Appl. Math. **9**(1964), 11-18.  
 [5] L. A. MEDEIROS: *Non-linear wave equations in domains with variable boundary*, Arch. rational mech. Anal. **47**(1972), 47-58.  
 [6] M. NAKAO: *Bounded, periodic, and almost-periodic solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **23**(1977), 368-386.  
 [7] M. NAKAO: *Asymptotic stability of the bounded or almost periodic solution of the wave equation with nonlinear dissipative term*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **58**(1977), 336-343.  
 [8] M. NAKAO: *Convergence of solutions of the wave equation with a nonlinear dissipative term to the steady state*, Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ. Ser. A **30**(1976), 257-265.  
 [9] M. NAKAO: *Decay of solutions of some nonlinear evolution equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **60**(1977), 542-549.  
 [10] W. A. STRAUSS: *On continuity of functions with values in various Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **19**(1966), 543-551.