A remark on the initial-value problems for some quasi-linear parabolic equations

蛯原, 幸義 九州大学教養部数学教室

南部, 徳盛 九州大学教養部数学教室

https://doi.org/10.15017/1448999

出版情報:九州大学教養部数学雑誌. 11 (1), pp.47-51, 1977-10. 九州大学教養部数学教室

バージョン:

権利関係:

A remark on the initial-value problems for some quasi-linear parabolic equations

Yukiyoshi EBIHARA and Tokumori NANBU (Received May 18, 1977)

Let (x, t) be a variable in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$ $(n \ge 3)$.

Consider the Initial-Value Problem for the equations of the form:

(1)
$$u_t - \Delta u + gu + G(u, u_{x_i}, u_{x_i x_j}) + F(u, u_{x_i}) = f(x, t),$$

 $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty),$

with the initial condition

(2) $u(x,0) = u_0(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

where
$$u_t = \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t}$$
, $u_{x_i} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$, $u_{x_ix_j} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$, Δ is the *n*-dimensional Lap-

lacian, g is a nonnegative constant and G, F, f are the followings:

(3)
$$G(u, u_{x_i}, u_{x_i x_j}) = C_{10} u^{p_1} (1 + C_{11} | \nabla u|^{2q_1} + C_{12} u^{r_1} e^u) \sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i x_j},$$

- (4) $F(u, u_{xi}) = C_{20}u^{p_2}(1 + C_{21}u^{r_2}e^u) + C_{22}|\nabla u|^{2q_2}(1 + C_{23}u^{r_3} + C_{24}u^{r_4}e^u)$, where a_{ij} , C_{ij} are constants and p_i, q_i, r_i are positive integers with $p_2 > 1$,
- (5) f=f(x,t) is a given function satisfying some smoothness and smallness conditions described below.

Previously, in [1] the authors obtained a global smooth solution for the problem (1)-(2) when n: arbitrary, g>0 and $f\equiv 0$ and showed the exponential stability of the trivial solution $u\equiv 0$. In [1], the positivity of the operator $(g-1)^k$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ played the essential role.

And quite recently in [2], the authors established to obtain such solutions in the cases involving n: arbitrary, g=0, $f\neq 0$ and $F_0(u)\cdot u\geq 0$ where $F_0(u)=C_{20}u^{p_2}(1+C_{21}u^{r_2}e^u)$ which is a part of $F(u,u_{x_t})$ in (4). The technical point is the application of the positivity of the operator $1+(-\Delta)^k$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

However, we did not succeed to cases without such monotonicity condition on $F_0(u)$. But we can report here that in the special cases, using the general version of Sobolev Lemma by L. Nirenberg the problem (1)-(2) will admit global solutions with small data.

We use the usual notations concerning the function spaces as L^p , H^k ,

 $L^{p}(0,T;H), \mathcal{E}_{0,T_{3}}^{p}(H), \text{ etc.}$

LEMMA 1. (L. Nirenberg [6]) Suppose $u \in H^1$ with $n \ge 3$. Then $u \in L^r$ with $r = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ and

(6)
$$\left[\int_{R^n} |u|^r dx\right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \left[\int_{R^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where Vu is a gradient of u.

Now, as in the previous manner (cf. [1], [2]) we use:

$$(.,..)_{l} \equiv <(-\Delta)^{l}.,..>, |\cdot|_{l}^{2} \equiv (.,.)_{l}, ((.,..))_{l} \equiv <(1+(-\Delta)^{l}).,..>, ||\cdot||_{l}^{2} \equiv ((.,.))_{l}$$

for each positive integer l.

LEMMA 2. Suppose $u \in H^{l}$ $(l \ge \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1, n \ge 3)$. If $p \ge 1 + \frac{4}{n}$, then we have

(7)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p+1} dx \leq Const. |u|_1^2 ||u||_l^{p-1}$$

where the constant depends only on n, l, p.

PROOF. We have by Hölder Inequality that

$$\int_{R^{n}} |u|^{p+1} dx = \int_{R^{n}} |u|^{2} \cdot |u|^{p-1} dx$$

$$\leq \left[\int_{R^{n}} |u|^{r} dx \right]^{\frac{2}{r}} \left[\int_{R^{n}} |u|^{(p-1)\frac{r}{r-2}} dx \right]^{1-\frac{2}{r}}, \text{ where } r = \frac{2n}{n-2}.$$

Here we can see that

$$(p-1)\frac{r}{r-2} \ge \frac{4}{n} \cdot \frac{\frac{2n}{n-2}}{\frac{4}{n-2}} = 2,$$

and therefore we can put,

$$(p-1)\frac{r}{r-2}=2+\alpha \quad (\alpha \geq 0).$$

Thus we get,

$$\int_{R^{n}} |u|^{p+1} dx \leq \left[\int_{R^{n}} |u|^{r} dx \right]^{\frac{2}{r}} \left[\int_{R^{n}} |u|^{2} |u|^{\alpha} dx \right]^{1-\frac{2}{r}}.$$

With the use of Lemma 1 and the standard Sobolev Lemma we should have,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |u|^{p+1} dx \leq C |u|_{1}^{2} |u|_{\infty}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}(1-\frac{2}{r})} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |u|^{2} dx \right]^{1-\frac{2}{r}}$$

and further we have,

$$\int_{R^n} |u|^{p+1} dx \le C |u|_1^2 ||u||_t^{\alpha(1-\frac{2}{r})} ||u||_t^{2(1-\frac{2}{r})}$$

$$= C |u|_1^2 ||u||_t^{p-1}.$$

This completes the proof.

(q. e. d.)

LEMMA 3. Suppose that the number p_2 appeared in $F_0(u)$ in (4) satisfies

$$p_2 \ge 1 + \frac{4}{n}$$

then there exists an increasing continuous function $\phi_k(s)$ $(s \ge 0)$ with

$$\phi_k(0) = 0$$
 such that for $u \in H^k$ $(k \ge \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 3)$,

(8)
$$|(G(u, u_{x_i}, u_{x_ix_j}), u)_0 + (F(u, u_{x_i}), u)_0|$$

$$\leq |u|_1^2 \phi_k(||u||_k).$$

PROOF. For the first term, we have, $(G(u, u_{x_i}, u_{x_ix_j}), u)_0$

$$\begin{split} &=C_{10}\!\int_{R^n}\!\!u^{p_1+1}(1\!+\!C_{11}|\mathcal{F}|^{2q_1}\!+\!C_{12}u^{r_1}\!e^u)\sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij}u_{x_ix_j}dx\\ &=C_{10}\sum_{i,j}^n -a_{ij}\!\!\int_{R^n}\!\!u_{x_i^*}\!\!\left[u^{p_1+1}(1\!+\!C_{11}|\mathcal{F}u|^{2q_1}\!+\!C_{12}u^{r_1}\!e^u)\right]_{x_j}dx, \end{split}$$

and hence it suffices to know that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\int_{R} u_{x_{i}} u^{p} \cdot u_{x_{f}} dx| \leq Const. |u|_{1}^{2} ||u||_{k}^{p}, \\ &|\int_{R} u_{x_{i}} (u_{x_{f}})^{q} u_{x_{f} x_{i}} dx| \leq Const. |u|_{1}^{2} ||u||_{k}^{q}, \\ &|\int_{R} u_{x_{i}} (u^{p} e^{u}) u_{x_{f}} dx| \leq Const. |u|_{1}^{2} ||u||_{k}^{p} e^{C \|u\|_{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

These are true because that $|u|_{\infty}$, $|u_{x_i}|_{\infty}$, $|u_{x_jx_i}|_{\infty}$ are bounded by $||u||_k$. For the second term, we also have,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\int_{R^n} F(u, u_{x_i}) u dx| \\ &\leq &|\int_{R^n} C_{2_0} u^{p_2+1} (1 + C_{2_1} u^{r_2} e^u) dx| + |\int_{R^n} C_{2_2} |\nabla u|^{2q_2} u (1 + C_{2_3} u^{r_3} + C_{2_4} u^{r_4} e^u) dx| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq |C_{20}| |1 + C_{21}u^{r_2}e^{u}|_{\infty} \int_{R} |u|^{p_2+1} dx$$

$$+ |C_{22}| |u(1 + C_{23}u^{r_3} + C_{24}u^{r_4}e^{u})|_{\infty} ||\nabla u|^{2q_2-2}|_{\infty} \int_{R} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Thus applying Lemma 2 we should have

$$\begin{split} &|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(u, u_{x_i}) u dx| \\ \leq &Const. |u|_1^2 \{ ||u||_k^{p_2-1} (1 + ||u||_k^{r_2} e^{c \|u\|_k}) + ||u||_k^{2q_2-1} (1 + ||u||_k^{r_3} + ||u||_k^{r_4} e^{c \|u\|_k}) \}. \end{split}$$

Thus, adding these estimates we will get a function $\phi_k(s)$ which satisfies the properties of this lemma. (q. e. d.)

Then we have our statement in this note.

THEOREM. Suppose that k is larger than k_0+3 $(k_0=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor+2)$,

 $u_0 \in H^{k+4}$, and

$$f(x,t) \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{2} \mathscr{C}_{\mathfrak{c}_{0},\infty \mathfrak{J}}^{i}(H^{k+3-i}) \cap L^{1}(0,\infty;H^{k+3}).$$

Then there exists a positive constant δ_0 such that if,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} ||f(t)||_{k+3} dt + ||u_{0}||_{k+3} < \delta \leq \delta_{0},$$

the problem (1)-(2) will have one and only one solution in the class

$$\mathscr{E}^{0}_{[0,\infty]}(H^{k})\cap \mathscr{E}^{1}_{[0,\infty]}(H^{k-2}).$$

SKETCH OF THE PROOF.

The details will follow from the arguments in § 2 in [2]. A priori, we have two equalities,

$$\frac{1}{2}(|u|_0^2)'+|u|_1^2+(G+F,u)_0=(f,u)_0,$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(|u|_{k}^{2})'+|u|_{k+1}^{2^{\frac{n}{4}}}+(G+F,u)_{k}=(f,u)_{k},$$

by multiplying u' to both sides of the equation (1). And adding these equalities we get,

$$\frac{1}{2}(||u||^{2}_{k})'+(|u|^{2}_{1}+|u|^{2}_{k+1})$$

$$\leq ||f||_{k}||u||_{k}+|(G+F,u)_{0}|+|(G+F,u)_{k}|.$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2.3 in [2] and Lemma 3 in this note, we will have an increasing continuous function $\bar{\phi}_k(s)$ $(s \ge 0)$ with $\bar{\phi}_k(0) = 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}(||u||_{k}^{2})' \leq \{-1 + \bar{\phi}_{k}(||u||_{k})\}(||u||_{1}^{2} + ||u||_{k+1}^{2}) + ||f||_{k}||u||_{k}.$$

Thus, by integrating, it follows that

$$||u(t)||_{k}^{2} \leq ||u_{0}||_{k}^{2} + 2 \int_{0}^{t} (|u|_{1}^{2} + |u|_{k+1}^{2}) \left\{-1 + \overline{\phi}_{k}(||u||_{k})\right\} dt + 2 \int_{0}^{t} ||f||_{k}||u||_{k} dt.$$

From this inequality, the conclusion will follow.

(q. e. d.)

- REMARK 1. Though we treat the equations in the cases $n \ge 3$, $p_2 \ge 1 + \frac{4}{n}$ in this note, one can see that there exist bounded smooth solutions in the semi-linear cases when n=3, $p_2=2$. (This is well known by H. Fujita [3].)
- REMARK 2. When $p_2=1$ and $C_{20}<0$, we get a global solution without such restrictions to n and nonlinearities. (See [1], [2].)
- REMARK 3. For equations (1) we can not always obtain a global solution when the norm of the initial value is growing. (cf. H. Fujita [3], S. Kaplan [4], H. Levine [5], etc.) In particular, S. Portnoy [7] showed the instability of u=0 to the equation

$$u_t = \Delta u + u^2$$
 $(n=2)$.

This means that we can not obtain the global solution for any non-zero initial values. However, in the case of initial-boundary value problem in the bounded domain for these equations we can show the stability of u=0 for any n. (See [1].)

References

- [1] Y. EBIHARA, T. NANBU: On the global solution of some nonlinear parabolic equation, Funkcial. Ekvac., 20(1977), 23-38.
- [2] ——— : On the global solution of some nonlinear parabolic equation II, (to appear).
- [3] H. FUJITA: On the blowing up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$, J. Faculty Science, U. of Tokyo., 13(1966), 109-124.
- [4] S. KAPLAN: On the growth of solutions of quasilinear parabolic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 16(1963), 305-330.
- [5] H. LEVINE: Some nonexistence and instability theorems for the form $Pu_t=-Au+\mathcal{L}(u)$, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 51 (1973), 371-386.
- [6] L. NIRENBERG: On elliptic partial differential equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3), 13(1959), 116-162.
- [7] S. PORTNOY: On solutions to $u_t = \Delta u + u^2$ in two Dimensions, J. Math. Annal. Appl., 55(1976), 291-294.