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1. Let $D_{p}$ be a dihedral group of order $2 p$ which is generated by $g$ of order $p$ and $t$ of order 2 such that $\operatorname{tg} t=g^{-1}$. We consider an action of $\boldsymbol{D}_{p}$ over a product space $\boldsymbol{S}^{2 l+1} \times \boldsymbol{S}^{m} \subset \boldsymbol{C}^{l+1} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{m+1}$ of spheres, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{k} t^{j}(z, x)=\left(\rho^{k} c^{j}(z),(-1)^{j} x\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is the conjugation and $\rho=\exp 2 \pi \sqrt{ }-1 / p$. Denote by $D_{p}(l, m)=\left(S^{2 l+1}\right.$ $\left.\times \boldsymbol{S}^{m}\right) / \boldsymbol{D}_{p}$ the orbit space [3]. We are concerned with the complex $K$-group of $D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1)$ where $p$ is an odd prime.

Consider an action of $Z_{2}$, a cyclic group of order 2 , over the complex $K$-group $K(X)$, given by the conjugation automorphism $[\xi]=[\bar{\xi}]$. Let $K(X)^{z_{2}}$ be the invariant subgroup of $K(X)$ under the involution. In this paper we obtain

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $p$ is an odd prime. Then, there exists an isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{K}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1)\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{Z} \oplus \tilde{K}\left(\boldsymbol{L}^{2 k+1}(p)\right)^{z_{2} \oplus \tilde{K}\left(\boldsymbol{R} P^{2 n+1}\right), ~}
$$

where $L^{2 n+1}(p)$ is a standard ( $4 k+3$ )-dimensional lens space and $R P^{2 n+1}$ is a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional real projective space.
2. Denote by $K_{G}(X)$ the equivariant $K$-group of $G$-space $X$. It is well-known that if the action of $G$ is free then $K_{G}(X) \cong K(X / G)$. There is a canonical homomorphism from the representation ring $R(G)$ to $K_{G}(X)$ which maps a representation space $M$ to a equivariant $G$-bundle $X \times M$. If $X$ is free $G$-space then there is a homomorphism

$$
\pi: R(G) \longrightarrow K_{G}(X) \cong K(X / G)
$$

Let $\alpha: H \longrightarrow G$ be a homomorphism and $f: Y \longrightarrow X$ be an equivariant map from $\boldsymbol{H}$-space $Y$ to $G$-space $X$, that is, $f(h \cdot y)=\alpha(h) \cdot f(y)$. The equivariant map $f$ induces the homomorphism

$$
f!: K_{G}(X) \longrightarrow K_{H}(Y)
$$

We take a $Z_{2}$-action on $S^{m}$ by

$$
t^{i} \cdot x=(-1)^{i} x, t \in Z_{2} \text { a generator, }
$$

a $Z_{p}$-action on $S^{2 l^{2}+1}$ by

$$
g^{j} \cdot z=\rho^{j} z, \quad \rho=\exp 2 \pi \checkmark-1 / p, g \in Z_{\rho} \text { a generator }
$$

and a $D_{p}$-action on $S^{2 l+1} \times S^{m}$ given by (1.1) in section 1 . We have these orbit spaces an $m$-dimensional real projective space $R P^{m}$, a ( $2 l+1$ )-dimensional lens space $L^{l}(p)$ and $D_{p}(l, m)$. There exist equivariant maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i: S^{2 l+1} \longrightarrow S^{2 l+1} \times S^{m}, \quad i(z)=(z,(1,0, \cdots, 0)) \\
& \left.j: S^{m} \longrightarrow S^{2 t+1} \times S^{m}, j(x)=((1,0, \cdots, 0), x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
p: S^{2 l+1} \times S^{m} \longrightarrow S^{m}, p(z, x)=x
$$

compatible with injections $\tilde{i}: Z_{p} \longrightarrow D_{p}, \tilde{j}: Z_{2} \longrightarrow D_{p}$ and a projection $\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}: \boldsymbol{D}_{p}$ $\longrightarrow Z_{2}$ respectively. It follows immediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
j!p!=1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H$ be a normal subgroup of a finite group $G$ and $A$ be a unitary representation of $H$. The induced representation $A^{G}$ is defined as follows

$$
A^{G}(g)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A\left(t_{1} g t_{1}\right) & A\left(t_{1} g t_{2}\right) & \cdots & A\left(t_{1} g t_{n}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
A\left(t_{2} g t_{1}\right) & A\left(t_{2} g t_{2}\right) & \cdots & A\left(t_{2} g t_{n}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
A\left(t_{n} g t_{1}\right) & A\left(t_{n} g t_{2}\right) & \cdots & A\left(t_{n} g t_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $G / H=\left\{\left\{t_{1}\right\},\left\{t_{2}\right\}, \cdots,\left\{t_{n}\right\}\right\}$ and $A\left(t_{i} g t_{j}\right)=0$ if $t_{i} g t_{j} \notin H$.
Denote by $L$ a standard representation space with ( $\exp 2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / p$ ). For a standard $Z_{p}$-space $S^{2 l+1}$ with $S^{2 l+1} / Z_{p}=L^{l}(p)$, we put

$$
\xi_{l}=S^{2 l+1} \times{ }_{z_{p}} L
$$

N. Mahammed [5] obtained that

$$
K_{z_{p}}\left(S^{2 l+1}\right) \cong K\left(L^{l}(p)\right) \cong Z\left[\xi_{l}\right] /\left(\xi_{l}^{p}-1,\left(\xi_{l}-1\right)^{l+1}\right)
$$

Hence, the homomorphism $\pi: R\left(Z_{p}\right) \longrightarrow K_{Z_{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{S}^{2 t+1}\right)$ is surjective. Then, we define the homomorphism

$$
i_{*}: K_{Z_{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{S}^{2 l+1}\right) \longrightarrow K_{D_{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{S}^{2 l+1} \times S^{m}\right)
$$

by $\boldsymbol{i}_{*}\left(\boldsymbol{S}^{2 t+1} \times \boldsymbol{M}\right)=\boldsymbol{S}^{2 t+1} \times \boldsymbol{S}^{m} \times \boldsymbol{M}^{1 p_{p}}$, where $\boldsymbol{M}$ is representation space of $\boldsymbol{Z}_{b}$ and $M^{D_{p}}$ is the induced representation space.

We consider a $\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$-action over $\boldsymbol{K}_{\%_{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{S}^{2 l+1}\right)$ given by

$$
t\left(S^{2 l+1} \times M\right)=S^{2 l+1} \times \bar{M}
$$

where $\bar{M}$ is a conjugate representation space of $\boldsymbol{M}$ and $t$ is a generator of $Z_{2}$.

Let $K_{Z_{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{S}^{2 t+1}\right)^{z_{2}}$ be the invariant subgroup under the $Z_{2}$-action. Then, we have

Proposition 2.1. For $\eta \in K_{z_{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{S}^{2 t+1}\right)^{z_{2}}$,

$$
i!i_{*}(\eta)=2 \eta
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\eta=S^{2 t+1} \times \boldsymbol{M} \in K_{z_{p}}\left(S^{2 /+1}\right)^{1_{2}}$, that is, $\bar{M}=\boldsymbol{M}$. Let $A$ be the representation of $M$. Then, $i_{*}(\eta)=S^{2 l+1} \times S^{m} \times M^{p p}$, where $M^{D_{p}}$ is the induced representation space of $M$. The representation $A^{p p}$ of $M^{p p}$ is given as follows:

$$
A^{\nu p}(g)=\left(\begin{array}{lr}
A(g) & 0 \\
0 & \bar{A}(g)
\end{array}\right), g \in Z_{p}
$$

Since $\bar{M}=M$ and $\bar{A}=A$,

$$
i i_{*}(\eta)=2 \eta . \quad \text { q. e. d. }
$$

## Theorem 2.2. The homomorphism

$$
\theta: \tilde{K}_{z_{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{S}^{2 t+1}\right)^{z_{2}} \oplus \tilde{K}_{Z_{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{S}^{m}\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_{D_{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{S}^{2 l+1} \times \boldsymbol{S}^{m}\right)
$$

given by $\theta(\eta, \nu)=i_{*}(\eta)+p!(\nu)$ is injective.
Proof. Suppose that $\theta(\eta, \nu)=0$. Since $i!p!=0$, we have $i!i_{*}(\eta)=0$. Hence, from Proposition 2.1, it follows that $\eta=0$. On the other hand, from (2.1), we have $\nu=j!p^{!}(\nu)=0$. q. e. d.
3. The manifold $D_{p}(l, m)$ is homeomorphic to an orbit space ( $L^{l}(\boldsymbol{p})$ $\left.\times S^{m}\right) / Z_{2}$, where a $Z_{2}$-action on $L^{l}(p) \times S^{m}$ is given by

$$
t^{j}([z], x)=\left(\left[c^{j}(z)\right],(-1)^{j} x\right)
$$

where $t$ is a generator of $Z_{2}$. Denote by $\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)$ a cell of $\left(L^{l}(p) \times S^{m}\right) / Z_{2}$ represented by a standard cell $C_{i}$ of $L^{l}(p)$ and a standard cell $D_{j}$ of $S^{m}$
and by $\left(c^{i}, d^{j}\right)$ a dual cochain of $\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)$. The coboundary relations are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial\left(c^{2 i+1}, d^{j}\right)=p\left(c^{2(i+1)}, d^{j}\right)+\left\{(-1)^{i}+(-1)^{j}\right\}\left(c^{2 i+1}, d^{j+1}\right) \\
& \partial\left(c^{2 i}, d^{j}\right)=\left\{(-1)^{i}+(-1)^{j+1}\right\}\left(c^{2 i}, d^{j+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have the following.
Proposition 3.1. The integral cohomology group $\tilde{H}^{*}\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1) ; Z\right)$ is a direct sum of the following groups:
free groups generated by $\left(c^{0}, d^{2 n+1}\right),\left(c^{4 k+3}, d^{0}\right)$ and $\left(c^{4 k+3}, d^{2 n+1}\right)$,
torsion groups generated by $\left(c^{0}, d^{2 j}\right)$ and $\left(c^{4 k+3}, d^{2 j}\right)$ whose orders are 2
and torsion groups generated by $\left(c^{4 i}, d^{0}\right)$ and $\left(c^{4 i}, d^{2 n+1}\right)$ whose orders are $p$, where $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leqq i \leq k$.

Denote by $Y$ the $(4 k+2 n+3)$-skeleton of $D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1)$. Then, we have

$$
\hat{H}^{i}\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1) / Y ; Z\right)= \begin{cases}Z & i=4 k+2 n+4  \tag{3.1}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and
(3.2) $\quad \tilde{H}^{i}(Y ; Z) \sim \begin{cases}\tilde{H}^{i}\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1) ; Z\right) & i \leqq 4 k+2 n+3, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$

Proposition 3.2. There exists a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow \tilde{K}\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1)\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}(Y) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Proof. Consider the exact sequence of $K$-groups with respect to a pair $\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1), Y\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots & \tilde{K}^{-1}\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1)\right) \xrightarrow{!} \tilde{K}^{-1}(Y) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1) / Y\right) \\
& \longrightarrow \tilde{K}\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1)\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}(Y) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}^{1}\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1) / Y\right) \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that from (3.1) we have

$$
\tilde{K}^{i}\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1) / Y\right) \simeq \begin{cases}Z & \text { if } i \text { is even } \\ 0 & \text { if } i \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

From the discussion of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for $\tilde{\boldsymbol{K}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ with $E_{2}^{s . t}(X) \cong \tilde{H}^{s}\left(X ; \tilde{K}^{t}\left(S^{0}\right)\right)$ [2], $X=D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1)$ or $Y$, we have the following,
the free part of $\tilde{K}^{-1}(X) \cong Z \oplus \boldsymbol{Z}$.
Hence, it follows that $i!: \tilde{K}^{-1}\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1)\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}^{-1}(Y)$ is isomorphic. q. e. d.

Proposition 3.3. The order of the group $\tilde{K}(Y) \leqq p^{k} 2^{n}$.
Proof. The order of $E_{\infty}^{s . t}(Y)$ is not more than that of $E_{2}^{s . t}(Y), s+t=$ even. From Proposition 3.1, the proposition follows.

## Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Denote by $c$ and $r$ the complexification and the real restriction. Put $\sigma_{m}=\xi_{m}-1$, then

$$
\sigma_{m}+\bar{\sigma}_{m}=c r \sigma_{m}
$$

In [4], it is proved that $r \sigma_{m},\left(r \sigma_{m}\right)^{2}, \cdots,\left(r \sigma_{m}\right)^{(p-1) / 2}$ are linearly independent in $\widetilde{K O}\left(L^{m}(p)\right)$ and if $m=s(p-1)+t, 0 \leq t<p-1$ then

$$
\text { the order of }\left(r \sigma_{m}\right)^{i}= \begin{cases}p^{s+1} & \text { if } 2 i \leqq t \\ p^{s} & \text { if } 2 i>t\end{cases}
$$

We note that if $m=2 k+1$, the complexification $c$ is injective and $\sigma_{m}+\bar{\sigma}_{m}$ belongs to $\hat{K}\left(L^{m}(\boldsymbol{p})\right)^{z_{2}}$. Therefore, we have that the order of $\tilde{K}\left(L^{2 k+1 k}(\boldsymbol{p})\right)^{z_{2}}$ $\geqq \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$. Since $\tilde{K}_{Z_{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{S}^{2 n+1}\right) \cong \tilde{K}\left(\boldsymbol{R} P^{2 n+1}\right) \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_{2^{n}} \quad[\mathbf{1}]$,
the order of $\tilde{K}\left(L^{2 k+1}(p)\right)^{z_{2}} \oplus \tilde{K}\left(R P^{2 n+1}\right) \geqq p^{k} 2^{n}$.
It follows from Theorem 2.2, Proposition 3.3 that the torsion part of $\tilde{K}\left(D_{p}(2 k+1,2 n+1)\right)$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{K}\left(L^{2 k+1}(p)\right)^{z_{2}} \oplus \tilde{K}\left(R P^{2 n+1}\right)$. q. e. d.
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