

A new method for measurement of placental elasticity : Acoustic radiation force impulse imaging

杉谷, 麻伊子

<https://doi.org/10.15017/1441108>

出版情報 : 九州大学, 2013, 博士 (医学), 課程博士
バージョン :
権利関係 : やむを得ない事由により本文ファイル非公開 (2)

1 **Figure legends**

2 **A new method for measurement of placental elasticity: Acoustic**
3 **radiation force impulse imaging**

4

5 **Introduction**

6 The evaluation of placental function is very important in the management of cases
7 complicated by fetal growth restriction (FGR) and pregnancy-induced hypertension
8 (PIH). In some cases, these conditions are recognized as causes of placental
9 dysfunction. Although ultrasonography examinations, including Doppler flow studies,
10 are widely used for placental evaluation, the clinical utility is still inadequate to detect
11 placental dysfunction before delivery. In some cases of FGR and/or PIH, associated
12 placental abnormalities such as infarction, inflammation, and fibrosis are revealed by
13 pathological analysis after delivery [1]. However, in some cases, the existence of
14 pathological changes is unclear even after pathological examination.

15 Ultrasonography has recently been used to evaluate tissue elasticity [2]. One method
16 of ultrasound-based elastography, acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) imaging,
17 involves the use of a short acoustic push pulse in the target tissue, which causes a
18 tissue displacement of approximately 1 to 20 μm . The displacement generates a lateral

19 shear wave that propagates through the tissue during recoil, the velocity of which is
20 expressed as V_s (m/s). Since V_s correlates with Young's modulus, a known index of
21 elasticity, the value of V_s is thought to reflect tissue elasticity, i.e., faster shear wave
22 speeds and smaller displacements are associated with stiffer tissues, and slower shear
23 wave speeds and larger displacements occur in more compliant tissues.

24 To the best of our knowledge, no study has reported the use of ARFI technology with
25 placental tissue, so we investigated the biological effects of ARFI on placental tissue *ex*
26 *vivo* and evaluated the effect of the sampling site on ARFI measurements. In addition,
27 as a preliminary study for clinical use of this method to evaluate placental function in
28 future, we investigated the difference in ARFI values of delivered placentas in cases
29 with FGR and/or PIH.

30

31 **Materials and Methods**

32 *1 Study population*

33 The study population included 115 pregnant women between 26 and 41 weeks
34 gestation. In all cases, the gestational age was calculated from the first day of the last
35 menstrual period and confirmed by ultrasound examination between 9 and 11 weeks
36 gestation. All patients were Japanese and cared for at Kyushu University Hospital, and

37 all gave informed consent to participate in this study. The ethical committees of Kyushu
38 University Hospital approved the study protocol. Of the 115 patients, 74 were normal
39 (normal group), defined as no maternal or fetal complications, except for preterm birth.
40 Twenty-four cases were diagnosed with FGR (FGR group), which was defined as an
41 estimated fetal weight less than 1.5 standard deviations below the mean, determined
42 from Japanese standards for gestational age on ultrasonography [3]. Seventeen cases
43 were diagnosed with PIH (PIH group). Seven of the PIH cases were categorized as
44 severe and the remainder as mild [4]. Eight cases complicated by both PIH and FGR
45 were included in the FGR group. The clinical characteristics of the study population are
46 shown in Table 1.

47

48 *2 Measurement of the velocity of ARFI-generated lateral shear waves*

49 The delivered placenta was covered with a plastic bag and placed in a test tank filled
50 with water. Buffer material was placed between the placenta and the tank. Experiments
51 were performed using a Virtual Touch Tissue Quantification unit with a 4C1 curved
52 ultrasonography probe (2.0–4.5 MHz) (ACUSON S2000; Mochida Siemens Medical,
53 Tokyo, Japan). Measurement of V_s was performed within a 1–3-cm region of interest
54 (ROI) (Figure 1A). V_s was measured 5 times in each region, and the mean value was

55 determined using the method of analysis described below.

56

57 *3 Analysis of the velocity of ARFI-generated lateral shear waves*

58 *3-1 Biological effects of ARFI on placental tissue ex vivo*

59 To investigate the biological effects of ARFI on placental tissue, 50 consecutive
60 measurements of V_s were obtained from each of the 10 full-term delivered placentas.

61 These measurements were performed as soon as possible after the placentas were

62 delivered. The placental tissue sample was housed in a rectangular chamber (5 cm × 2

63 cm × 4 cm) with the curved ultrasonography probe fixed above the chamber. Each

64 measurement was taken from the ROI at a fixed depth of 2 cm (Figure 2). Following V_s

65 measurement, tissue samples were obtained from 2 areas for pathological examination

66 and the comparison: one sample from the area of the V_s measurement, and the other

67 from the area of not subjected to ARFI in the same tissue samples. Specimens were

68 fixed in buffered formalin, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin wax. Serial 3- μ m

69 sections of embedded tissue were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Microscopic

70 examination was performed by a single pathologist (T.T.) to document any evidence of

71 tissue damage related to heating. In 10 randomized fields at a magnification of ×40, we

72 defined positive evidence of tissue damage as the presence of histological changes in

73 more than 3 fields.

74

75 *3-2 Reliability of the Vs measurements*

76 Repeat measurements of Vs were performed in each of the 10 delivered placentas
77 from the normal group. The Vs values were independently measured 10 times in each
78 placenta to calculate interobserver (M.S., Y.Y., and Y.F.) and intraobserver (M.S.)
79 intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC). All examinations were performed using the
80 same ultrasonography equipment (Siemens ACUSON S2000).

81

82 *3-3 Comparison of placental elasticity in each region*

83 The elasticity of the placenta as defined by the Vs values was measured and
84 compared in the normal group. Placental tissue was sampled from 3 areas: the cord
85 insertion region, intermediate region, and marginal region of the placenta (Figure 1B). In
86 this study, the marginal region of the placenta was defined as the farthest region from
87 the cord insertion region, and the intermediate region was defined as the region
88 between the cord insertion and marginal regions.

89

90 *3-4 Comparison of placental elasticity among the 3 groups*

91 To investigate whether the elasticity of placenta differs among groups, the Vs values
92 from the intermediate region were compared.

93

94 *3-5 Relationship between placental elasticity and birth weight*

95 The relationship between placental elasticity and birth weight of the neonate was
96 investigated through linear regression analysis of the correlations between the Vs
97 values from all cases and from the standard deviation of the birth weight (Z-score). The
98 Z-score was calculated based on Japanese standards for gestational age at birth [5].

99

100 *4 Statistical analyses*

101 The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to assess the inter- and
102 intraobserver reliability of the ARFI measurements; an ICC >0.8 was considered to
103 reflect good reliability. To compare the placental elasticity from each measurement
104 region and in the different complicated pregnancies, a Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn's
105 post hoc test were used. The correlation between placental elasticity and birth weight
106 Z-score was determined using a stepwise piecewise linear regression analysis. The
107 dependent variable in this model was the Vs value and the candidates for independent
108 variables in the stepwise regression analysis were the piecewise linear variables

109 generated by the Z-score. Z-scores were subdivided into 33 piecewise linear variables
110 with 1 critical point i , $\text{Max}(0, \text{SD}-i)$, $i = -4.0, -3.75, \dots, 3.75, 4.0$, where the function
111 $\text{Max}(0, X)$ represents the maximum of 0 and the V_s value. A value of $p < 0.05$ was
112 considered statistically significant.

113

114 **Results**

115 *1 Biological effects of ARFI on placental tissue ex vivo*

116 We investigated the biological effects of ARFI on 10 full-term delivered placentas.
117 Microscopic examination of both tissues that had undergone ARFI and not subjected to
118 ARFI showed no thermal or mechanical structural changes.

119

120 *2 Reliability of V_s measurements*

121 Ten delivered placentas from the normal group between 33 and 41 weeks gestation
122 were randomly selected. Intra- and interobserver reliability values were 0.828 and 0.954,
123 respectively, indicating the high reliability of the V_s measurements.

124

125 *3 Comparison of placental elasticity in each region*

126 In the normal group, the mean \pm SD of the V_s values in the cord insertion, intermediate,

127 and marginal regions of the placenta were 1.67 ± 0.55 m/s, 1.31 ± 0.35 m/s, and $1.38 \pm$
128 0.38 m/s, respectively. The Vs values in the cord insertion region samples were
129 significantly higher than those obtained from the intermediate and marginal regions of
130 the placenta ($p < 0.05$).

131

132 *4 Comparison of placental elasticity among the 3 groups*

133 The mean \pm SD of the Vs values from the intermediate region in the FGR and PIH
134 groups were 1.94 ± 0.74 m/s and 1.49 ± 0.52 m/s, respectively. The Vs values in the
135 FGR group were significantly higher than those in the normal group (1.94 ± 0.74 m/s
136 versus 1.31 ± 0.35 m/s; $p < 0.05$; Figure 3). There were no significant differences
137 between the Vs values in the PIH group and those in the normal group. (1.49 ± 0.52 m/s
138 versus 1.31 ± 0.35 m/s; $p = 0.35$; Figure 3). Pathological examination was performed
139 on some cases in the FGR group showing increased Vs values. These cases showed
140 histological changes such as widespread infarction and inflammation (Figure 4).

141

142 *5 Relationship between placental elasticity and birth weight*

143 The Vs values and Z-score demonstrated a significant negative correlation. Moreover,
144 1 critical given SD point was indicated with statistical significance at -0.5 SD, and higher

145 Vs values were found to be more marked in cases where the Z-score range under -0.5
146 SD was comparable to the Z-score range over -0.5 SD. This indicated that the Vs values
147 became higher as the Z-score reduced in range, under a Z-score of -0.5 SD (Figure 5).

148

149 **Discussion**

150 ARFI technology is a noninvasive method for evaluation of tissue elasticity using an
151 ultrasonography device. ARFI generates a shear wave that propagates in the tissue
152 from which tissue elasticity can be quantitatively evaluated and expressed as Vs. One
153 advantage of ARFI technology is that the procedure can be performed in the same
154 session as a conventional fetal ultrasonography screening and with the same device.
155 Real-time B-mode imaging was used to locate the ROI [2] [6]. ARFI technology may
156 also allow noninvasive detection of histological changes in tissue [7]. ARFI has been
157 used in clinical practice to evaluate elasticity in parenchymal organs, for example, in
158 liver fibrosis, liver cirrhosis, and inflammatory pancreatic diseases [8-13]. Since the
159 placenta is one of the most important parenchymal organs in obstetrics, we investigated
160 the placental elasticity using ARFI technology as a preliminary study for future clinical
161 use of this method for evaluation of placental function *in vivo*.

162 No study has reported the use of ARFI technology on pregnant women. Because the

163 safety of this technique during pregnancy has not been previously studied, a delivered
164 placenta was used for the measurement of V_s values and the determination of any
165 histological changes related to ARFI.

166 The ARFI technique has the potential risk for thermal tissue damage because of the
167 long duration and high power of the acoustic push pulse. The duration of the acoustic
168 pulse in color Doppler ultrasonography is approximately 1 μ s. In contrast, in ARFI
169 technology, the pulse duration is between 200 μ s and 300 μ s. However, Herman
170 demonstrated that any transient increase in temperature caused by pulse bursts might
171 still be within the safe limits determined by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
172 [14-16]. The mechanical index of the push pulse generated by ARFI is also less than the
173 FDA limit of 1.9, and is consistent with that of color Doppler imaging. In our study, no
174 histological evidence of thermal injury, such as coagulation necrosis [17], was detected
175 in tissues subjected to ARFI. Based on these results, ARFI technology appears safe for
176 use in pregnant women.

177 The present study found that V_s values differed depending on the region of the
178 placenta from which measurements were taken. The V_s values were significantly higher
179 in the cord insertion region than those measured in the intermediate and marginal
180 regions of the placenta. Tissue density, local magnitude of radiation force, and boundary

181 conditions from surrounding tissue are known to influence ARFI imaging [18]. In the
182 cord insertion region, ARFI might pass through the cord. The resulting unstable
183 boundary conditions might significantly affect V_s values. In clinical settings,
184 measurement of V_s in the intermediate region should be easy, and we selected a value
185 for the placental elasticity parameter in our study.

186 The V_s values in the FGR group were significantly higher than those in the normal
187 group. Bota *et al.* reported that V_s values significantly increase in liver fibrosis [7].
188 Mateen *et al.* reported that V_s values may also increase as a result of inflammatory cell
189 infiltration and cellular swelling with increased fluid content [13]. Histological analysis of
190 placenta complicated by FGR often shows infarction, inflammation of trophoblastic villi,
191 and vasculitis [1]. Congestion of villous tissues is also seen more often in such cases,
192 resulting in inefficient oxygen delivery. The V_s values in inflammatory diseases such as
193 acute hepatitis and pancreatitis are increased, but the reasons for the increased
194 stiffness of inflamed organs are still unknown. While pathological examination of the
195 placenta was not performed in all cases, significant histological changes, such as
196 placental infarction and inflammation were found in some cases with increased V_s
197 values. Based on previous reports [7-13] and our pathological findings, we speculate
198 that the increased V_s values in the FGR group might be caused by histological changes

199 associated with FGR. Moreover, we found that the data from the FGR group appeared
200 to have a bimodal distribution. From the 6 cases with increased Vs values in the FGR
201 group, 4 cases needed preterm delivery because of growth arrest below -2.5 SD of the
202 Japanese standard for gestational age, and 2 cases had absent end diastolic flow in the
203 umbilical artery. Therefore, we speculate that the more severely complicated cases may
204 have had increased Vs values.

205 In our analysis, we found that Vs values had a negative correlation with the birth weight
206 Z-score, especially for values lower than -0.5 SD. This suggests that -0.5 SD in birth
207 weight may be the critical point that indicates impaired placental function, despite the
208 fact that the clinical definition of “small for gestational age” is birth weight below -1.5 SD
209 from the mean. Moreover, according to the increased Vs values from the placenta,
210 placental dysfunction may be caused by histological changes that may be clarified after
211 birth.

212 In conclusion, this study showed that measuring placenta Vs values using the ARFI
213 technique appears to be safe and does not cause thermal or mechanical damage to the
214 placental tissue *ex vivo*. Additionally, the delivered placentas from the FGR group were
215 significantly more firm than in cases without FGR. Based on our results, ARFI imaging
216 could potentially be measured *in vivo* without disrupting placental architecture. In a

217 future study, the usefulness of placental elastography using ARFI imaging for evaluation

218 of placental function should be investigated *in vivo*.

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236 **Reference**

- 237 1. Vedmedovska N, Rezeberga D, Teibe U, Melderis I, Donders GG. Placental
238 pathology in fetal growth restriction. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.*
239 2011;155:36-40.
- 240 2. Rifai K, Cornberg J, Mederacke I, Bahr M, Wedemeyer H, Malinski P, et al. Clinical
241 feasibility of liver elastography by acoustic radiation force impulse imaging (ARFI).
242 *Dig Liver Dis.* 2011;43:491-7.
- 243 3. Shinozuka N. Fetal biometry and fetal weight estimation: JSUM standardization.
244 *Ultrasound Rev Obstet Gynecol* 2002;2:156-61
- 245 4. Report of the National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on
246 High Blood Pressure in Pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2000;183:S1-S22.
- 247 5. Ogawa Y , Iwamura T, Kuriya N, Nishida H, Takeuchi H, Takada M, et al. Birth size
248 standards by gestational age for Japanese neonates [in Japanese]. *Acta*
249 *Neonatologica Japonica.* 1998;34:624-32
- 250 6. Kuroda H, Takikawa Y, Onodera M, Kakisaka K, Yoshida Y, Kataoka K, et al. Serial
251 changes of liver stiffness measured by Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse Imaging in
252 acute liver failure: A case report. *J Clin Ultrasound.* 2012;40:99-104.

- 253 7. Bota S, Sporea I, Sirli R, Popescu A, Danila M, Sendroiu M. Factors that influence
254 the correlation of acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) elastography with liver
255 fibrosis. *Med Ultrason.* 2011;13:135-40.
- 256 8. Takahashi H, Ono N, Eguchi Y, Eguchi T, Kitajima Y, Kawaguchi Y, et al. Evaluation
257 of acoustic radiation force impulse elastography for fibrosis staging of chronic liver
258 disease: a pilot study. *Liver Int.* 2010;30:538-45.
- 259 9. Tozaki M, Isobe S, Yamaguchi M, Ogawa Y, Homma K, Saito M, et al.
260 Ultrasonographic elastography of the breast using acoustic radiation force impulse
261 technology:preliminary study. *Jpn J Radiol.* 2011;29:452-6.
- 262 10. Friedrich-Rust M, Romenski O, Meyer G, Dauth N, Holzer K, Grunwald F, et al.
263 Acoustic radiation force impulse-imaging for the evaluation of the thyroid gland: a
264 limited patient feasibility study. *Ultrasonics.* 2012;52:69-74.
- 265 11. Sporea I, Sirli R, Popescu A, Danila M. Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse (ARFI)-a
266 new modality for the evaluation of liver fibrosis. *Med Ultrason.* 2010;12:26-31
- 267 12. Colombo S, Buonocore M, Del Poggio A, Jamoletti C, Elia S, Mattiello M, et al.
268 Head-to-head comparison of transient elastography (TE), real-time tissue
269 elastography (RTE), and acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) imaging in the
270 diagnosis of liver fibrosis. *J Gastroenterol.* 2012;47:461-9.

- 271 13. Mateen MA, Muheet KA, Mohan RJ, Rao PN, Majaz HM, Rao GV, et al. Evaluation
272 of ultrasound based acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) and eSie touch
273 sonoelastography for diagnosis of inflammatory pancreatic diseases. JOP.
274 2012;13:36-44.
- 275 14. Fahey BJ, Nightingale KR, Nelson RC, Palmeri ML, Trahey GE. Acoustic radiation
276 force impulse imaging of the abdomen: demonstration of feasibility and utility.
277 Ultrasound Med Biol. 2005;31:1185-98.
- 278 15. Herman BA, Harris GR. Models and regulatory considerations for transient
279 temperature rise during diagnostic ultrasound pulses. Ultrasound Med Biol.
280 2002;28:1217-24.
- 281 16. Palmeri ML, Frinkley KD, Nightingale KR. Experimental studies of the thermal
282 effects associated with radiation force imaging of soft tissue. Ultrason Imaging.
283 2004;26:100-14.
- 284 17. Gertner MR, Worthington AE, Wilson BC, Sherar MD, Ultrasound imaging of thermal
285 therapy in in vitro liver. Ultrasound Med Biol. 1998;24:1023-32.
- 286 18. Nightingale K, Soo MS, Nightingale R, Trahey G. Acoustic radiation force impulse
287 imaging: in vivo demonstration of clinical feasibility. Ultrasound Med Biol.
288 2002;28:227-35.