

## 次数付きLie代数と概均質ベクトル空間

佐々野, 詠淑

<https://doi.org/10.15017/1441046>

---

出版情報 : Kyushu University, 2013, 博士 (数理学), 課程博士  
バージョン :  
権利関係 : Fulltext available.



Graded Lie algebras and  
prehomogeneous vector spaces  
(次数つき Lie 代数と概均質ベクトル空間)

学籍番号 3MA11007W

佐々野 詠淑

# Graded Lie algebras and prehomogeneous vector spaces

Nagatoshi SASANO

## Introduction

Let  $G$  be a connected algebraic group,  $\rho$  a representation of  $G$  on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  where all defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . When there exists an element  $v \in V$  such that the orbit  $\rho(G)v$  is Zariski dense in  $V$ , we say that the triplet  $(G, \rho, V)$  is a prehomogeneous vector space (abbrev. PV) and  $v$  is called a generic point of  $(G, \rho, V)$ . For example, if we let  $\Lambda_1$  be the natural representation of the general linear group  $GL_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then for any  $G, \rho$  and  $V$  such that  $\dim V \leq n$ , the triplet  $(G \times GL_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$  is a PV. A PV which can be written in this form is called a trivial PV. Unfortunately, when  $\dim V > n$ , then a triplet  $(G \times GL_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$  is not a PV in general. However, when  $m := \dim V > n$  and a triplet  $(G \times GL_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$  is a PV, it is known that a triplet  $(G \times GL_{m-n}, \rho^* \otimes \Lambda_1, V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m-n})$  is also a PV. These two PVs are said to be **castling transforms** of each other (See Definition 11, p39, [S-K]).

The theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces is closely related to the theory of Lie algebras. We can express the prehomogeneity condition of a triplet  $(G, \rho, V)$  by the method of Lie algebras as follows.

We denote the Lie algebra of  $G$  by  $\text{Lie}(G)$ , the infinitesimal representation of  $\rho$  by  $d\rho$ . Then  $(G, \rho, V)$  is a PV if and only if there exists an element  $v \in V$  which satisfies  $d\rho(\text{Lie}(G))v = V$ . Such an element  $v$  is a generic point of  $(G, \rho, V)$ . This is an infinitesimal condition of the prehomogeneity.

Moreover, we have a class of prehomogeneous vector spaces called **prehomogeneous vector spaces of parabolic type**. These PVs can be obtained by graded semisimple Lie algebras in the following way.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra,  $G$  the adjoint group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}$  a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $R$  the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\varphi$  a fundamental system of  $R$  all defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\theta$  be a subset of  $\varphi$  and  $H^\theta \in \mathfrak{h}$  the unique element which satisfies  $\alpha(H^\theta) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \theta$  and  $\alpha(H^\theta) = 2$  for all  $\alpha \in \varphi \setminus \theta$ . Put  $d_i(\theta) := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [H^\theta, X] = 2iX\}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we can obtain a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} d_i(\theta). \quad (0.1)$$

The graded Lie algebra (0.1) and the Killing form  $K$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$d_0(\theta) \text{ and } d_1(\theta) \text{ are finite-dimensional vector spaces,} \quad (0.2)$$

$$\text{the adjoint representation of } d_0(\theta) \text{ on } d_1(\theta) \text{ is completely reducible,} \quad (0.3)$$

$$\text{the restriction of } K \text{ to } d_i(\theta) \times d_{-i}(\theta) \text{ is non-degenerate for each } i \geq 0, \quad (0.4)$$

$$[d_1(\theta), d_i(\theta)] = d_{i+1}(\theta), \quad [d_{-1}(\theta), d_{-j}(\theta)] = d_{-j-1}(\theta) \text{ for each } i, j \geq 0. \quad (0.5)$$

Denote  $d_0(\theta)$  by  $\mathfrak{l}_\theta$  and the connected subgroup of  $G$  which corresponds to  $\mathfrak{l}_\theta$  by  $L_\theta$ , then it is known that  $(L_\theta, d_i(\theta))$  is a prehomogeneous vector space for any  $i \neq 0$ . We denote it by  $(\mathfrak{l}_\theta, d_i(\theta))$ . In other words, there exists an element  $v \in d_i(\theta)$  such that  $[\mathfrak{l}_\theta, v] = d_i(\theta)$ . In Rubenthaler [Ru-1], he showed that we can reduce to the case where  $i = 1$  and studied spaces of the form  $(\mathfrak{l}_\theta, d_1(\theta))$  and called them PVs of parabolic type. PVs of parabolic type can be described and classified by the weighted Dynkin diagrams.

For a PV of parabolic type  $(\mathfrak{l}_\theta, d_1(\theta))$ , we can recognize a space  $(\mathfrak{l}_\theta, d_{-1}(\theta))$  as the dual space of  $(\mathfrak{l}_\theta, d_1(\theta))$  via the Killing form  $K$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and assume that  $[d_1(\theta), d_{-1}(\theta)] = \mathfrak{l}_\theta$  without loss of generality. In other words, we can say that a PV of parabolic type is a triplet which consists of a reductive Lie algebra and its representation which can be embedded into a finite-dimensional graded Lie algebra with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form. However, a casting transform of  $(\mathfrak{l}_\theta, d_1(\theta))$  is in general no longer of parabolic type, that is, it can not be embedded into a semisimple Lie algebra.

In this paper, we shall introduce a way to embed a given finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , a finite-dimensional completely reducible representation  $(\rho, V)$  (do not assume the prehomogeneity condition) of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and its dual space  $(\rho^*, V^*)$  into a ‘‘large’’ graded Lie algebra with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form. In particular, a prehomogeneous vector space of parabolic type can be embedded into a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra by our construction. For this, by using a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form  $B_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we shall construct a graded Lie algebra  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$  which also has a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form  $B$  such that  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  are isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $V$  as  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules respectively and a restriction of  $B$  to  $V_0 \times V_0$  coincides with  $B_0$  (Theorem 2.11 and Proposition 3.2). In our construction, the bilinear form  $B_0$  plays important roles. In particular,  $B_0$  defines a linear map  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho$  from  $V \otimes V^*$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$  called  $\Phi$ -map and  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho$  induces a bracket product between  $V_1$  and  $V_{-1}$ . Moreover, we can prove that  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$V_0 \text{ and } V_1 \text{ are finite-dimensional vector spaces,} \quad (0.6)$$

$$\text{the adjoint representation of } V_0 \text{ on } V_1 \text{ is completely reducible,} \quad (0.7)$$

$$\text{the restriction of } B \text{ to } V_i \times V_{-i} \text{ is non-degenerate for each } i \geq 0, \quad (0.8)$$

$$[V_1, V_i] = V_{i+1}, \quad [V_{-1}, V_{-j}] = V_{-j-1} \text{ for each } i, j \geq 0, \quad (0.9)$$

$$[V_1, V_{-1}] = V_0. \quad (0.10)$$

Conversely, an arbitrary graded Lie algebra which satisfies the conditions from (0.6) to (0.10) can be obtained by our construction (Proposition 3.3). In particular, an arbitrary finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra can be obtained by a certain PV of parabolic type and a bilinear form (Theorem 3.14).

Now, the prehomogeneity condition of a triplet  $(G, \rho, V)$ , where  $G$  is a reductive algebraic group, is closely related to the  $\Phi$ -map of the quadruplet  $(\text{Lie}(G), d\rho, V, B_0)$  where  $B_0$  is a bilinear form on  $\text{Lie}(G)$ . In particular, the prehomogeneity condition of a triplet of the form  $(G \times GL_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$  can be expressed by the  $\Phi$ -map of the quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0 \oplus T_n)$ , where  $T_n$  is a bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  defined by:

$$T_n(a, a') = \text{Tr}(aa') \quad (a, a' \in \mathfrak{gl}_n = M(n; \mathbb{C})). \quad (0.11)$$

Furthermore, this condition is reduced to the condition of the  $\Phi$ -map  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . Then, we can obtain another proof of casting transform.

This paper consists of four sections.

In section 1, first of all, we shall explain that a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  determines a linear map  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho$  from  $V \otimes V^*$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho$  induces a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule  $V_1$  in  $\text{Hom}(V^*, \mathfrak{g})$ . In order to have  $V_1 \simeq V$  as  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, we introduce the notion of **standard quadruplets**. Then, moreover, we can obtain  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $V_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  inductively and call them  **$n$ -graduations**. Each  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V_n$  is finite-dimensional and the dual  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of  $V_{-n}$ . In particular,  $V_0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}$  itself.

In section 2, we define a bilinear map  $[\cdot, \cdot]_m^n$  from  $V_n \times V_m$  to  $V_{n+m}$  for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Denote a direct sum of  $n$ -graduations obtained in section 1 by  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . Then we have a bilinear map  $[\cdot, \cdot]: L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) \times L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) \rightarrow L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  defined by

$$[x_n, y_m] := [x_n, y_m]_m^n \quad (x_n \in V_n, y_m \in V_m).$$

Our main result is that  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  satisfies the axioms of a Lie algebra, i.e.  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  has a structure of a graded Lie algebra. We call the Lie algebra thus obtained a **Lie algebra associated to a standard quadruplet** (Theorem 2.11). For example, loop algebras and finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebras are Lie algebras associated to some quadruplet. This will be proved in section 3.

In section 3, we will study some properties of  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . First, we shall construct a non-degenerate symmetric and invariant bilinear form on  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . Then we can prove that the Lie algebras associated to a standard quadruplet can be characterized by the existence of a non-degenerate symmetric and invariant bilinear form. It is described in Proposition 3.3. Finally, in Theorem 3.14, we will give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  to generate a finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . The condition is closely related to prehomogeneous vector spaces of parabolic type.

In section 4, we shall consider prehomogeneous vector spaces. We shall express the prehomogeneity condition of a given triplet  $(G, \rho, V)$  as a condition of the  $\Phi$ -map  $\hat{\Phi}_{d\rho}$  of the quadruplet

$(\text{Lie}(G), d\rho, V, B_0)$  for some bilinear form  $B_0$ . Moreover, we can express the prehomogeneity condition of a quadruplets of the form  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0 \oplus T_n)$  as a condition of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  (Lemma 4.5). By applying this lemma, we shall give another proof of castling transform (Theorem 4.7).

The author wish to express hearty gratitude to Professor H. Ochiai for many invaluable suggestions.

Notation: We denote the transpose of a matrix  $X$  by  ${}^tX$ , the zero-matrix and the unit matrix of size  $n \times n$  by  $0_n$  and  $1_n$ , the Kronecker delta by  $\delta_{ij}$ . Put  $J_n := \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & 1_n \\ -1_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}$ . Throughout this paper, we shall always assume that all objects are defined over the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## 1 A family of $n$ -graduations

### 1.1 Standard quadruplets

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra,  $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space,  $\rho$  a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V$  all defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . By the theory of Lie algebras, there exists a non-degenerate symmetric  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant bilinear form  $B_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $V^*$  be the dual module of  $V$  and  $\rho^*$  the dual representation of  $\rho$ . We denote the pairing between  $V$  and  $V^*$  by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . By the assumption that  $B_0$  is non-degenerate, we can define the following linear maps.

**Definition 1.1.** We define a linear map  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho$  (resp.  $\hat{\Psi}_\rho$ ) from  $V \otimes V^*$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. from  $V^* \otimes V$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) by the following equation:

$$B_0(a, \hat{\Phi}_\rho(v \otimes \phi)) = \langle \rho(a)v, \phi \rangle = -\langle v, \rho^*(a)\phi \rangle \quad (1.1)$$

(resp.

$$B_0(a, \hat{\Psi}_\rho(\phi \otimes v)) = \langle v, \rho^*(a)\phi \rangle = -\langle \rho(a)v, \phi \rangle ) \quad (1.2)$$

for any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ . We call this map  $\hat{\Phi}$  (resp.  $\hat{\Psi}$ ) **the  $\Phi$ -map** (resp. **the  $\Psi$ -map**) of the quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ .

**Remark 1.2.** For any  $v \in V$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ , we have

$$\hat{\Phi}_\rho(v \otimes \phi) + \hat{\Psi}_\rho(\phi \otimes v) = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

**Example 1.3.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) = (\mathfrak{gl}_n, \Lambda_1, \mathbb{C}^n, T_n)$ , where  $\Lambda_1$  is the natural representation of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  on the space of column vectors  $\mathbb{C}^n = M(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$  and  $T_n$  is a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  defined by

$$T_n(a, a') := \text{Tr}(aa') \quad (1.4)$$

where  $a, a' \in \mathfrak{g} = M(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Then the dual space  $V^*$  can be identified with  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ . The representation  $\Lambda_1^*$  and the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  are given as follows:

$$\Lambda_1^*(a)\phi := -{}^t a\phi, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\langle v, \phi \rangle := {}^t v\phi \quad (1.6)$$

where  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ . Then the  $\Phi$ -map is given as:

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1}(v \otimes \phi) = v^t \phi. \quad (1.7)$$

**Example 1.4.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) = (\mathfrak{so}_n, \Lambda_1, \mathbb{C}^n, T_n|_{\mathfrak{so}_n \times \mathfrak{so}_n})$ , where  $\Lambda_1$  is the natural representation of  $\mathfrak{so}_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n = M(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$ . Then the dual space  $V^*$  can be identified with  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ . The representation  $\Lambda_1^*$  and the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  are given as follows:

$$\Lambda_1^*(a)\phi := a\phi, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\langle v, \phi \rangle := {}^t v\phi \quad (1.9)$$

where  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ . Then the  $\Phi$ -map is given as:

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1}(v \otimes \phi) = \frac{1}{2}(v^t \phi - \phi^t v). \quad (1.10)$$

**Example 1.5.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) = (\mathfrak{sp}_n, \Lambda_1, \mathbb{C}^{2n}, T_{2n})$ , where  $\mathfrak{sp}_n = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2n} \mid {}^t X J_n + J_n X = 0\}$  and  $\Lambda_1$  is the natural representation of  $\mathfrak{sp}_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = M(2n, 1; \mathbb{C})$ . Then the dual space  $V^*$  can be identified with  $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . The representation  $\Lambda_1^*$  and the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  are given as follows:

$$\Lambda_1^*(a)\phi := a\phi, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\langle v, \phi \rangle := {}^t v J_n \phi \quad (1.12)$$

where  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ . Then the  $\Phi$ -map is given as:

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\Lambda_1}(v \otimes \phi) = -\frac{1}{2}(v^t \phi J_n + \phi^t v J_n). \quad (1.13)$$

**Proposition 1.6.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be any reductive Lie algebra,  $\rho^i, \sigma^i$  representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V^i$  and  $U^i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $B_0$  a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For quadruplets  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho^1 \oplus \rho^2, V^1 \oplus V^2, B_0)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^2, U^1 \otimes U^2, B_0)$ , the maps  $\hat{\Phi}_{\rho^1 \oplus \rho^2}$  and  $\hat{\Phi}_{\sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^2}$  are given as:

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\rho^1 \oplus \rho^2}((v^1, v^2) \otimes (\phi^1, \phi^2)) = \hat{\Phi}_{\rho^1}(v^1 \otimes \phi^1) + \hat{\Phi}_{\rho^2}(v^2 \otimes \phi^2), \quad (1.14)$$

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^2}((u^1 \otimes u^2) \otimes (\psi^1 \otimes \psi^2)) = \langle u^2, \psi^2 \rangle \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma^1}(u^1 \otimes \psi^1) + \langle u^1, \psi^1 \rangle \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma^2}(u^2 \otimes \psi^2), \quad (1.15)$$

where  $v^i \in V^i, u^i \in U^i, \phi^i \in (V^i)^*, \psi^i \in (U^i)^*$  and  $\hat{\Phi}_{\rho^i}, \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma^i}$  are the  $\Phi$ -maps of quadruplets  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho^i, V^i, B_0)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma^i, U^i, B_0)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

**Proof.** The dual spaces of  $V^1 \oplus V^2$  and  $U^1 \otimes U^2$  can be identified with  $(V^1)^* \oplus (V^2)^*$  and  $(U^1)^* \otimes (U^2)^*$  respectively. The pairings between them are given as:

$$\langle (v^1, v^2), (\phi^1, \phi^2) \rangle := \langle v^1, \phi^1 \rangle + \langle v^2, \phi^2 \rangle, \quad (1.16)$$

$$\langle u^1 \otimes u^2, \psi^1 \otimes \psi^2 \rangle := \langle u^1, \psi^1 \rangle \langle u^2, \psi^2 \rangle \quad (1.17)$$

where  $v^i \in V^i, u^i \in U^i, \phi^i \in (V^i)^*, \psi^i \in (U^i)^* (i = 1, 2)$ . Thus, our claim can be checked by a direct calculation.  $\blacksquare$

Here,  $V \otimes V^*$  and  $V^* \otimes V$  have canonical  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structures and we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.7.** *The maps  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho$  and  $\hat{\Psi}_\rho$  are homomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules.*

**Proof.** In fact, for any  $a, a' \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in V$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & B_0(a', \hat{\Phi}_\rho((\rho(a)v) \otimes \phi + v \otimes (\rho^*(a)\phi))) \\ &= \langle \rho(a')\rho(a)v, \phi \rangle + \langle \rho(a')v, \rho^*(a)\phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \rho([a', a]v), \phi \rangle + \langle \rho(a)\rho(a')v, \phi \rangle + \langle \rho(a')v, \rho^*(a)\phi \rangle \\ &= B_0([a', a], \hat{\Phi}_\rho(v \otimes \phi)) - \langle \rho(a')v, \rho^*(a)\phi \rangle + \langle \rho(a')v, \rho^*(a)\phi \rangle \\ &= B_0(a', [a, \hat{\Phi}_\rho(v \otimes \phi)]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho((\rho(a)v) \otimes \phi + v \otimes (\rho^*(a)\phi)) = [a, \hat{\Phi}_\rho(v \otimes \phi)]$ . Similarly, we can obtain the equation  $\hat{\Psi}_\rho((\rho^*(a)\phi) \otimes v + \phi \otimes (\rho(a)v)) = [a, \hat{\Psi}_\rho(\phi \otimes v)]$  and thus  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho$  and  $\hat{\Psi}_\rho$  are homomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules.  $\blacksquare$

By Proposition 1.7,  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho(V \otimes V^*)$  and  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho(V \otimes V^*)^\perp := \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid B_0(a, x) = 0 \text{ for any } x \in \hat{\Phi}_\rho(V \otimes V^*)\}$  are ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho(V \otimes V^*)^\perp$  coincides with  $\text{Ker } \rho$ . In fact, suppose that  $a \in \text{Ker } \rho$ . Then we have  $B_0(a, \hat{\Phi}_\rho(v \otimes \phi)) = \langle \rho(a)v, \phi \rangle = 0$  for any  $v \in V$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ . Thus we have  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho(V \otimes V^*)^\perp \supset \text{Ker } \rho$ . Similarly we have the converse inclusion. Hence we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.8.** *The maps  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho$  and  $\hat{\Psi}_\rho$  are surjective if and only if  $\rho$  is faithful.*

Next, let us construct  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules which are isomorphic to  $V$  and  $V^*$  respectively.

**Definition 1.9.** For each element  $v \in V$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ , we define linear maps  $\Phi_{\rho, v} \in \text{Hom}(V^*, \mathfrak{g})$  and  $\Psi_{\rho, \phi} \in \text{Hom}(V, \mathfrak{g})$  by

$$\Phi_{\rho, v}(\psi) := \hat{\Phi}_\rho(v \otimes \psi), \quad (1.18)$$

$$\Psi_{\rho, \phi}(u) := \hat{\Psi}_\rho(\phi \otimes u) \quad (1.19)$$



where  $u \in V$  and  $\psi \in V^*$ . Then we have linear maps  $\Phi_\rho$  and  $\Psi_\rho$  as below:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\rho &: V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V^*, \mathfrak{g}) \\ v &\mapsto \Phi_{\rho,v},\end{aligned}\tag{1.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_\rho &: V^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, \mathfrak{g}) \\ \phi &\mapsto \Psi_{\rho,\phi}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.21}$$

We call these maps  $\Phi_{\rho,v}$  and  $\Psi_{\rho,\phi}$  the  $\Phi$ -**map at**  $v$  and  $\Psi$ -**map at**  $\phi$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B)$  respectively.

The spaces  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, V^*)$  have canonical  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structures. Then we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.10.** *The maps  $\Phi_\rho$  and  $\Psi_\rho$  are homomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.7, we have the following equations:

$$\Phi_{\rho,\rho(a)v}(\phi) = [a, \Phi_{\rho,v}(\phi)] - \Phi_{\rho,v}(\rho^*(a)\phi),\tag{1.22}$$

$$\Psi_{\rho,\rho^*(b)\psi}(u) = [b, \Psi_{\rho,\psi}(u)] - \Psi_{\rho,\psi}(\rho(b)u)\tag{1.23}$$

for any  $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $v, u \in V$  and  $\phi, \psi \in V^*$ . Thus we have our result.  $\blacksquare$

Put

$$V_0 := \mathfrak{g},\tag{1.24}$$

$$V_1 := \text{Im}(\Phi_\rho),\tag{1.25}$$

$$V_{-1} := \text{Im}(\Psi_\rho).\tag{1.26}$$

Then  $V_0$ ,  $V_1$  and  $V_{-1}$  are  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. We denote the canonical representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V_0$ ,  $V_1$  and  $V_{-1}$  by  $\rho_0$ ,  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_{-1}$  respectively. Then we can define linear maps  $p_0$  and  $q_0$  by

$$\begin{aligned}p_0 &: V_1 \otimes V_0 \rightarrow V_1 \\ v_1 \otimes a &\mapsto -\rho_1(a)v_1,\end{aligned}\tag{1.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}q_0 &: V_{-1} \otimes V_0 \rightarrow V_{-1} \\ \phi_{-1} \otimes a &\mapsto -\rho_{-1}(a)\phi_{-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.28}$$

We can easily show that  $p_0$  and  $q_0$  are homomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Furthermore, if  $\rho$  is completely reducible and does not have a subrepresentation on a non-zero subspace of  $V$  which is isomorphic to a zero-representation, then  $p_0$  and  $q_0$  are surjective and  $\Phi_\rho$  and  $\Psi_\rho$  are injective. In fact, take an element  $v \in V$  which satisfies  $\Phi_{\rho,v} = 0$ . Then we have  $\langle \rho(a)v, \phi \rangle = B_0(a, \Phi_{\rho,v}(\phi)) = 0$  for any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ , hence  $\rho(a)v = 0$  and  $v = 0$ . The same holds for  $\Psi_\rho$ . Therefore we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.11.** *If  $\rho$  is completely reducible and does not have a subrepresentation on a non-zero subspace of  $V$  which is isomorphic to a zero-representation, then  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $V_1$  and  $V_{-1}$  are isomorphic to  $V$  and  $V^*$  respectively.*

**Remark 1.12.** In the situation of Proposition 1.11, we have

$$v_1(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})) + \phi_{-1}(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1)) = 0 \quad (1.29)$$

for any  $v_1 \in V_1$  and  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$ .

Here, we give the following definition.

**Definition 1.13.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra,  $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space,  $\rho$  a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V$ ,  $B_0$  a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  satisfies the following conditions, we call it a **standard quadruplet**:

$$\rho \text{ is completely reducible,} \quad (1.30)$$

$$\rho \text{ is faithful,} \quad (1.31)$$

$$\rho \text{ does not have a subrepresentation on a non-zero subspace of } V \text{ which is isomorphic to a zero-representation.} \quad (1.32)$$

In other words, if  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is a standard quadruplet, the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $V_0$ ,  $V_1$  and  $V_{-1}$  are isomorphic to  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho(V \otimes V^*)$ ,  $V$  and  $V^*$  respectively. Next, let us construct  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules from  $V_1$  and  $V_{-1}$ . For this, we will show the following proposition used later.

**Proposition 1.14.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  be a standard quadruplet. Let  $W$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module and  $\rho_W$  a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $W$ . Assume that there exists a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $p$  from  $V_1 \otimes W$  to  $\text{Hom}(V^*, W)$ . We put*

$$\tilde{W} := \text{Im } p \quad (1.33)$$

and denote the canonical representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\tilde{W}$  by  $\tilde{\rho}_W$ . Then the following linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p} : V_1 \otimes \tilde{W} &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(V^*, \tilde{W}) \\ v_1 \otimes \tilde{w} &\mapsto (\phi \mapsto \tilde{\rho}_W(v_1(\phi))\tilde{w} + p(v_1 \otimes \tilde{w}(\phi))) \end{aligned} \quad (1.34)$$

is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

**Proof.** For any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $\tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{p}(\rho_1(a)v_1 \otimes \tilde{w})(\phi) + \tilde{p}(v_1 \otimes \tilde{\rho}_W(a)\tilde{w})(\phi) \\
&= \tilde{\rho}_W((\rho_1(a)v_1)(\phi))\tilde{w} + p(\rho_1(a)v_1 \otimes \tilde{w})(\phi) \\
&\quad + \tilde{\rho}_W(v_1(\phi))\tilde{\rho}_W(a)\tilde{w} + p(v_1 \otimes (\tilde{\rho}_W(a)\tilde{w})(\phi)) \\
&= \tilde{\rho}_W([a, v_1(\phi)])\tilde{w} - \tilde{\rho}_W(v_1(\rho^*(a)\phi))\tilde{w} \\
&\quad + p(\rho_1(a)v_1 \otimes \tilde{w})(\phi) + \tilde{\rho}_W(v_1(\phi))\tilde{\rho}_W(a)\tilde{w} \\
&\quad + p(v_1 \otimes \rho_W(a)(\tilde{w})(\phi)) - p(v_1 \otimes \tilde{w}(\rho^*(a)\phi)) \\
&= \tilde{\rho}_W(a)\tilde{\rho}_W(v_1(\phi))\tilde{w} + \tilde{\rho}_W(a)p(v_1 \otimes (\tilde{w})(\phi)) \\
&\quad - \tilde{p}(v_1 \otimes \tilde{w})(\rho^*(a)\phi) \\
&= \tilde{\rho}_W(a)(\tilde{p}(v_1 \otimes \tilde{w})(\phi)) - \tilde{p}(v_1 \otimes \tilde{w})(\rho^*(a)\phi).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have our result. ■

**Definition 1.15.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  be a standard quadruplet. Suppose that  $i \geq 1$  and there exist  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $(\rho_{i-1}, V_{i-1})$  and  $(\rho_{-i+1}, V_{-i+1})$  and homomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $p_{i-1} : V_1 \otimes V_{i-1} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V^*, V_{i-1})$  and  $q_{-i+1} : V_{-1} \otimes V_{-i+1} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V_{-i+1})$ . Put  $V_i := \text{Im } p_{i-1}$  and  $V_{-i} := \text{Im } q_{-i+1}$  and denote the canonical representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on them by  $\rho_i$  and  $\rho_{-i}$  respectively. We define linear maps  $p_i$  and  $q_{-i}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
p_i : V_1 \otimes V_i &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(V^*, V_i) \\
v_1 \otimes u_i &\mapsto (\phi \mapsto \rho_i(v_1(\phi))u_i + p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_i(\phi))),
\end{aligned} \tag{1.35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{-i} : V_{-1} \otimes V_{-i} &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V_{-i}) \\
\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-i} &\mapsto (v \mapsto \rho_{-i+1}(\phi_{-1}(v))\psi_{-i} + q_{-i+1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-i}(v))).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.36}$$

Then, by Proposition 1.14,  $p_i$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Similarly,  $q_{-i}$  is also a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. We denote by  $V_{i+1}$  and  $V_{-i-1}$  the images of  $p_i$  and  $q_{-i}$  and the canonical representations on  $V_{i+1}$  and  $V_{-i-1}$  by  $\rho_{i+1}$  and  $\rho_{-i-1}$  respectively. Thus, inductively, we obtain  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $V_n$  and representations  $\rho_n$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We call  $V_n$  **the  $n$ -graduation** of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . Throughout this paper, we use these notation.

**Remark 1.16.** Especially, if  $i = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1(v_1 \otimes u_1)(\phi) &= -p_1(u_1 \otimes v_1)(\phi) \\
&= \rho_1(v_1(\phi))u_1 - \rho_1(u_1(\phi))v_1
\end{aligned} \tag{1.37}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{-1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-1})(v) &= -q_{-1}(\psi_{-1} \otimes \phi_{-1})(v) \\
&= \rho_{-1}(\phi_{-1}(v))\psi_{-1} - \rho_{-1}(\psi_{-1}(v))\phi_{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.38}$$

## 1.2 A bilinear form on $V_i \times V_{-i}$

In this section, we will consider the relation between  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $V_i$  and  $V_{-i}$  for each  $i \geq 0$ . For this, we construct a bilinear form on  $V_i \times V_{-i}$ . First, let us show the following equation on  $B_0$  :

$$\begin{aligned} & B_0(p_0(v_1 \otimes a)(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), b) \\ &= B_0(a, q_0(\phi_{-1} \otimes b)(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))) \end{aligned} \quad (1.39)$$

where  $a, b \in V_0$ ,  $v_1 \in V_1$  and  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$ .

Put  $v := \Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1) \in V$  and  $\phi := \Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1}) \in V^*$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & B_0(p_0(v_1 \otimes a)(\phi), b) \\ &= B_0((-\rho_1(a)v_1)(\phi), b) \\ &= B_0(v_1(\rho^*(a)\phi), b) - B_0([a, v_1(\phi)], b) \\ &= \langle \rho(b)v, \rho^*(a)\phi \rangle + B_0([a, \phi_{-1}(v)], b) \\ &= B_0(a, \phi_{-1}(\rho(b)v)) + B_0(a, [\phi_{-1}(v), b]) \\ &= B_0(a, q_0(\phi_{-1} \otimes b)(v)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.40)$$

Next, suppose that  $i \geq 1$ . Assume that there exists a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant bilinear form  $B_{i-1}$  on  $V_{i-1} \times V_{-i+1}$  which satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & B_{i-1}(p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1})(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), \psi_{-i+1}) \\ &= B_{i-1}(u_{i-1}, q_{-i+1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-i+1})(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))) \end{aligned} \quad (1.41)$$

for any  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $u_{i-1} \in V_{i-1}$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $\psi_{-i+1} \in V_{-i+1}$ . Then we can define a bilinear form  $B_i$  on  $V_i \times V_{-i}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & B_i(p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1}), q_{-i+1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-i+1})) \\ &:= B_{i-1}(p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1})(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), \psi_{-i+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (1.42)$$

where  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $u_{i-1} \in V_{i-1}$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $\psi_{-i+1} \in V_{-i+1}$ . Indeed, by (1.41),  $B_i$  is well-defined. Then  $B_i$  is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant. In fact, by the assumption that  $B_{i-1}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & B_i(\rho_i(a)p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1}), q_{-i+1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-i+1})) \\ &= B_{i-1}(\rho_i(a)(p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1})(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1}))), \psi_{-i+1}) \\ &\quad - B_{i-1}(p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1})(\rho^*(a)\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), \psi_{-i+1}) \\ &= -B_{i-1}(p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1})(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), \rho_{-i+1}(a)\psi_{-i+1}) \\ &\quad - B_{i-1}(p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1})(\rho^*(a)\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), \psi_{-i+1}) \\ &= -B_i(p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1}), \rho_{-i}(a)q_{-i+1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-i+1})) \end{aligned} \quad (1.43)$$

for any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $u_{i-1} \in V_{i-1}$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $\psi_{-i+1} \in V_{-i+1}$ . Moreover  $B_i$  satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & B_i(p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i)(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), \psi_{-i}) \\ &= B_i(u_i, q_{-i}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-i})(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))) \end{aligned} \quad (1.44)$$

for any  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $u_i \in V_i$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $\psi_{-i} \in V_{-i}$ . In fact, put  $v := \Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1)$  and  $\phi := \Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & B_i(p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i)(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), \psi_{-i}) \\ &= B_i(\rho_i(v_1(\phi))u_i + p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_i(\phi)), \psi_{-i}) \\ &= B_i(u_i, \rho_{-i}(\phi_{-1}(v))\psi_{-i}) + B_{i-1}(u_i(\phi), \psi_{-i}(v)) \\ &= B_i(u_i, \rho_{-i}(\phi_{-1}(v))\psi_{-i}) + B_i(u_i, q_{-i}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-i}(v))) \\ &= B_i(u_i, q_{-i}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-i})(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, inductively, we obtain a bilinear form  $B_i$  on  $V_i \times V_{-i}$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 1.17.** *For all  $i \geq 0$ ,  $V_{-i}$  is the dual  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of  $V_i$ .*

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that the bilinear map  $B_i : V_i \times V_{-i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is non-degenerate for each  $i \geq 0$ . We argue by induction on  $i$ . For  $i = 0$ , by the assumption,  $B_0 : V_0 \times V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is non-degenerate and  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant. Hence,  $V_0$  is the dual  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of itself. Suppose that  $i \geq 1$ . Take an element  $u_i \in V_i$  such that  $B_i(u_i, q_{-i+1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-i+1})) = 0$  for any  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $\psi_{-i+1} \in V_{-i+1}$ . Then we have

$$B_{i-1}(u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), \psi_{-i+1}) = 0.$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $B_{i-1}$  is non-degenerate and thus we have  $u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})) = 0$  for any  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$ . Thus  $u_i = 0$ .  $\blacksquare$

### 1.3 Examples

In this section, we will give some examples.

**Example 1.18.** Let  $n \geq 2$  be a positive integer. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n$  and  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ . We define a representation  $\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(a, A)v &= av + Av \\ (a \in \mathfrak{gl}_1, A \in \mathfrak{sl}_n, v \in V) \end{aligned}$$

where  $v \in V$  is considered as a column vector. The dual space  $V^*$  is also identified with  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and the pairing between  $V$  and  $V^*$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V^* &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (v, \phi) &\mapsto {}^t v \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (1.45)$$

Then the dual representation  $\rho^*$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V^*$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(a, A)\phi &= -a\phi - {}^t A\phi \\ (a \in \mathfrak{gl}_1, A \in \mathfrak{sl}_n, \phi \in V^*) \end{aligned}$$

where  ${}^t A$  is a transpose of  $A$ . Let  $B_0^1$  be a bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by:

$$\begin{aligned} B_0^1((a, A), (a', A')) &:= \frac{n}{n+1}aa' + \text{Tr } AA' \\ (a, a' \in \mathfrak{gl}_1, A, A' \in \mathfrak{sl}_n). \end{aligned} \quad (1.46)$$

Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0^1)$  is a standard quadruplet. Then its  $\Phi$ -map  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho^1$  from  $V \otimes V^*$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$  is derived by:

$$\hat{\Phi}_\rho^1(v \otimes \phi) = \left( \frac{n+1}{n} {}^t v \phi, v {}^t \phi - \frac{1}{n} {}^t v \phi 1_n \right). \quad (1.47)$$

In this situation, the  $m$ -graduation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0^1)$  is  $\{0\}$  for all  $m \geq 2$ . In fact, we can identify  $V_1$  with  $V$ . Then, for any  $v_1, u_1 \in V_1$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &p_1(v_1 \otimes u_1)(\phi) \\ &= \rho_1 \left( \frac{n+1}{n} {}^t v_1 \phi, v_1 {}^t \phi - \frac{1}{n} {}^t v_1 \phi 1_n \right) u_1 - \rho_1 \left( \frac{n+1}{n} {}^t u_1 \phi, u_1 {}^t \phi - \frac{1}{n} {}^t u_1 \phi 1_n \right) v_1 \\ &= \frac{n+1}{n} {}^t v_1 \phi u_1 + v_1 {}^t \phi u_1 - \frac{1}{n} {}^t v_1 \phi u_1 - \frac{n+1}{n} {}^t u_1 \phi v_1 - u_1 {}^t \phi v_1 + \frac{1}{n} {}^t u_1 \phi v_1 \\ &= \frac{n+1}{n} u_1 {}^t v_1 \phi + v_1 {}^t u_1 \phi - \frac{1}{n} u_1 {}^t v_1 \phi - \frac{n+1}{n} v_1 {}^t u_1 \phi - u_1 {}^t v_1 \phi + \frac{1}{n} v_1 {}^t u_1 \phi \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus our claim holds.

**Example 1.19.** We retain the notation  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\rho$  and  $V$  of Example 1.18 and choose another bilinear form  $B_0^2$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_0^2((a, A), (a', A')) &:= naa' + \text{Tr } AA' \\ (a, a' \in \mathfrak{gl}_1, A, A' \in \mathfrak{sl}_n). \end{aligned} \quad (1.48)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_\rho^2(v \otimes \phi) &= \left( \frac{1}{n} {}^t v \phi, v {}^t \phi - \frac{1}{n} {}^t v \phi 1_n \right) \\ (v \in V, \phi \in V^*) \end{aligned} \quad (1.49)$$

where  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho^2$  is the  $\Phi$ -map of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0^2)$ . Identifying  $V_1$  with  $V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(v_1 \otimes u_1)(\phi) &= (v_1 {}^t u_1 - u_1 {}^t v_1)\phi \\ & \quad (v_1, u_1 \in V, \phi \in V^*) \end{aligned} \quad (1.50)$$

from the argument in Example 1.18. Therefore, we can identify  $V_2$  with the totality of skew-symmetric matrices of size  $n$  and the representation  $\rho_2$  on  $V_2$  is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2(a, A)u_2 &= 2au_2 + Au_2 + u_2 {}^t A \\ & \quad (a \in \mathfrak{gl}_1, A \in \mathfrak{sl}_n, u_2 \in V_2). \end{aligned} \quad (1.51)$$

In this situation, the  $m$ -graduation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0^2)$  is  $\{0\}$  for all  $m \geq 3$ . In fact, for any  $v_1, u_1, w_1 \in V_1$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p_2(w_1 \otimes p_1(v_1 \otimes u_1))(\phi) \\ &= \rho_2\left(\frac{1}{n} {}^t w_1 \phi, w_1 {}^t \phi - \frac{1}{n} {}^t w_1 \phi 1_n\right) p_1(v_1 \otimes u_1) + p_1(w_1 \otimes p_1(v_1 \otimes u_1))(\phi) \\ &= p_1\left(\rho_1\left(\frac{1}{n} {}^t w_1 \phi, w_1 {}^t \phi - \frac{1}{n} {}^t w_1 \phi 1_n\right) v_1 \otimes u_1\right) + p_1\left(v_1 \otimes \rho_1\left(\frac{1}{n} {}^t w_1 \phi, w_1 {}^t \phi - \frac{1}{n} {}^t w_1 \phi 1_n\right) u_1\right) \\ & \quad + p_1(w_1 \otimes p_1(v_1 \otimes u_1))(\phi) \\ &= p_1(w_1 {}^t \phi v_1 \otimes u_1) + p_1(v_1 \otimes w_1 {}^t \phi u_1) + p_1(w_1 \otimes (v_1 {}^t u_1 - u_1 {}^t v_1)\phi) \\ &= w_1 {}^t \phi v_1 {}^t u_1 - u_1 {}^t v_1 \phi {}^t w_1 + v_1 {}^t u_1 \phi {}^t w_1 - w_1 {}^t \phi u_1 {}^t v_1 \\ & \quad + w_1 {}^t \phi u_1 {}^t v_1 - v_1 {}^t u_1 \phi {}^t w_1 - w_1 {}^t \phi v_1 {}^t u_1 + u_1 {}^t v_1 \phi {}^t w_1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus our claim holds.

## 2 A Lie algebra associated to a standard quadruplet

In the previous section, we constructed a family of  $n$ -graduations from a standard quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . In this section, we will consider the direct sum of  $n$ -graduations and denote it by  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . Then we can define a bilinear map from  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) \times L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  to  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . We will prove that this bilinear map satisfies the axioms of a Lie algebra. First of all, let us define the following bilinear maps.

**Definition 2.1.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define bilinear maps

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot, \cdot]_n^0 &: V_0 \times V_n \rightarrow V_n, \\ [\cdot, \cdot]_n^1 &: V_1 \times V_n \rightarrow V_{n+1}, \\ [\cdot, \cdot]_n^{-1} &: V_{-1} \times V_n \rightarrow V_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

by

$$[a, x_n]_n^0 := \rho_n(a)x_n, \quad (2.1)$$

$$[v_1, x_n]_n^1 := \begin{cases} p_n(v_1 \otimes x_n) & (n \geq 0) \\ -x_n(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1)) & (n \leq -1) \end{cases}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$[\phi_{-1}, x_n]_n^{-1} := \begin{cases} -x_n(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})) & (n \geq 1) \\ q_n(\phi_{-1} \otimes x_n) & (n \leq 0) \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $a \in V_0$ ,  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $x_n \in V_n$ .

We have the following two propositions.

**Proposition 2.2.** *For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \in V_0$ ,  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $x_n \in V_n$ , we have the following equations:*

$$[p_0(v_1 \otimes a), x_n]_n^1 = [v_1, [a, x_n]_n^0]_n^1 - [a, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$[q_0(\phi_{-1} \otimes a), x_n]_n^{-1} = [\phi_{-1}, [a, x_n]_n^0]_n^{-1} - [a, [\phi_{-1}, x_n]_n^{-1}]_{n+1}^0. \quad (2.5)$$

**Proof.** For  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [p_0(v_1 \otimes a), x_n]_n^1 &= [-\rho_1(a)v_1, x_n]_n^1 \\ &= p_n(-\rho_1(a)v_1 \otimes x_n) \\ &= p_n(v_1 \otimes \rho_n(a)x_n) - \rho_{n+1}(a)p_n(v_1 \otimes x_n) \\ &= [v_1, [a, x_n]_n^0]_n^1 - [a, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \leq -1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [p_0(v_1 \otimes a), x_n]_n^1 &= [-\rho_1(a)v_1, x_n]_n^1 \\ &= x_n(\rho(a)(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))) \\ &= -(\rho_n(a)x_n)(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1)) + \rho_{n+1}(a)(x_n(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))) \\ &= [v_1, [a, x_n]_n^0]_n^1 - [a, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (2.4). Similarly we can obtain (2.5). ■

**Proposition 2.3.** *For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $x_n \in V_n$ , we have the following equation:*

$$[\phi_{-1}, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^{-1} = [[\phi_{-1}, v_1]_1^{-1}, x_n]_n^0 + [v_1, [\phi_{-1}, x_n]_n^{-1}]_{n-1}^1. \quad (2.6)$$



**Proof.** For  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi_{-1}, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^{-1} &= [\phi_{-1}, p_n(v_1 \otimes x_n)]_{n+1}^{-1} \\
&= -p_n(v_1 \otimes x_n)(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})) \\
&= -\rho_n(v_1(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})))x_n - p_{n-1}(v_1 \otimes x_n(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1}))) \\
&= [[\phi_{-1}, v_1]_1^{-1}, x_n]_n^0 + [v_1, [\phi_{-1}, x_n]_n^{-1}]_{n-1}^1.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $n = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi_{-1}, [v_1, x_0]_0^1]_1^{-1} &= -[\phi_{-1}, \rho_1(x_0)v_1]_1^{-1} \\
&= (\rho_1(x_0)v_1)(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})) \\
&= \rho_0(x_0)(v_1(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1}))) - v_1(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\rho_{-1}(x_0)\phi_{-1})) \\
&= [[\phi_{-1}, v_1]_1^{-1}, x_0]_0^0 + [v_1, [\phi_{-1}, x_0]_0^{-1}]_{-1}^1.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $n \leq -1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi_{-1}, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^{-1} &= -[\phi_{-1}, x_n(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))]_{n+1}^{-1} \\
&= -q_{n+1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes x_n(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))) \\
&= \rho_n(\phi_{-1}(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1)))x_n - q_n(\phi_{-1} \otimes x_n)(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1)) \\
&= [[\phi_{-1}, v_1]_1^{-1}, x_n]_n^0 + [v_1, [\phi_{-1}, x_n]_n^{-1}]_{n-1}^1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (2.6). ■

**Definition 2.4.** Assume that  $i \geq 0$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define bilinear maps

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_n^{i+1} : V_{i+1} \times V_n \rightarrow V_{i+n+1}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_n^{-i-1} : V_{-i-1} \times V_n \rightarrow V_{-i+n-1} \quad (2.8)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}
[p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i), x_n]_n^{i+1} &:= [v_1, [u_i, x_n]_n^i]_{i+n}^1 - [u_i, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^i \\
&\quad (v_1 \in V_1, u_i \in V_i, x_n \in V_n)
\end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[q_{-i}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-i}), x_n]_n^{-i-1} &:= [\phi_{-1}, [\psi_{-i}, x_n]_n^{-i}]_{-i+n}^{-1} - [\psi_{-i}, [\phi_{-1}, x_n]_n^{-1}]_{n-1}^{-i} \\
&\quad (\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}, \psi_{-i} \in V_{-i}, x_n \in V_n)
\end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

inductively.

We must prove the well-definedness of Definition 2.4. First, let us start with the case where  $i = 0$ . To check this, it is sufficient to show that the bilinear maps  $[\cdot, \cdot]_n^1$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]_n^{-1}$  given in (2.9) and (2.10) coincide with (2.2) and (2.3) respectively. It follows from Proposition 2.2 immediately. Next, let us show the following proposition to prove the well-definedness of (2.9) for  $i \geq 1$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $i \geq 0$  and assume that  $[\cdot, \cdot]_n^i$  is well-defined for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Take elements  $v_1^1, \dots, v_1^l \in V_1$  and  $u_i^1, \dots, u_i^l \in V_i$  satisfying*

$$\sum_{s=1}^l p_i(v_1^s \otimes u_i^s) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Then for any  $x_n \in V_n$ , we have

$$\sum_{s=1}^l ([v_1^s, [u_i^s, x_n]_n^i]_{i+n}^1 - [u_i^s, [v_1^s, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^i) = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

and hence we obtain that (2.9) is well-defined.

**Proof.** We argue by induction on  $i$ . For  $i = 0$ . By Proposition 2.2, we have our result for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, by definition and Proposition 2.3, we have the following equations:

$$[a, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^0 = [[a, v_1]_1^0, x_n]_n^1 + [v_1, [a, x_n]_n^0]_n^1, \quad (2.13)$$

$$[\phi_{-1}, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^{-1} = [[\phi_{-1}, v_1]_1^{-1}, x_n]_n^0 + [v_1, [\phi_{-1}, x_n]_n^{-1}]_{n-1}^1, \quad (2.14)$$

$$[v_1, a]_0^1 = -[a, v_1]_1^0 = -\rho_1(a)v_1, \quad (2.15)$$

$$[u_1, v_1]_1^1 = -[v_1, u_1]_1^1 = -p_1(v_1 \otimes u_1), \quad (2.16)$$

$$[u_1, \phi_{-1}]_{-1}^1 = -[\phi_{-1}, u_1]_1^{-1} = u_1(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})) \quad (2.17)$$

where  $a \in V_0$ ,  $v_1, u_1 \in V_1$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $x_n \in V_n$ .

For  $i \geq 1$ . We assume that the bilinear maps  $[\cdot, \cdot]_n^i$  are well-defined for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and satisfy the following equations:

$$[a, [u_i, x_n]_n^i]_{i+n}^0 = [[a, u_i]_i^0, x_n]_n^i + [u_i, [a, x_n]_n^0]_n^i, \quad (2.18)$$

$$[\phi_{-1}, [u_i, x_n]_n^i]_{i+n}^{-1} = [[\phi_{-1}, u_i]_i^{-1}, x_n]_n^{i-1} + [u_i, [\phi_{-1}, x_n]_n^{-1}]_{n-1}^i, \quad (2.19)$$

$$[u_i, a]_0^i = -[a, u_i]_i^0 = -\rho_i(a)u_i, \quad (2.20)$$

$$[u_i, v_1]_1^i = -[v_1, u_i]_i^1 = -p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i), \quad (2.21)$$

$$[u_i, \phi_{-1}]_{-1}^i = -[\phi_{-1}, u_i]_i^{-1} = u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})) \quad (2.22)$$

where  $a \in V_0$ ,  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $u_i \in V_i$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $x_n \in V_n$ .

We fix  $i$  and argue by induction on  $n$ .

(1) The case where  $n \geq 0$ .

For  $n = 0$ , by the induction hypothesis on  $i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [v_1, [u_i, x_0]_0^i]_i^1 - [u_i, [v_1, x_0]_0^1]_1^i \\
&= -p_i(v_1 \otimes \rho_i(x_0)u_i) + [u_i, \rho_1(x_0)v_1]_1^i \\
&= -p_i(v_1 \otimes \rho_i(x_0)u_i) - p_i(\rho_1(x_0)v_1 \otimes u_i) \\
&= -\rho_{i+1}(x_0)p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

where  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $u_i \in V_i$  and  $x_0 \in V_0$ . Thus, we have our result immediately.

For  $n \geq 1$ . Note that by (2.19), we have

$$[u_i, y_m]_m^i(\phi) = [u_i(\phi), y_m]_m^{i-1} + [u_i, y_m(\phi)]_{m-1}^i \tag{2.24}$$

for any  $m \geq 1$ ,  $u_i \in V_i$ ,  $y_m \in V_m$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [v_1, [u_i, x_n]_n^i]_{i+n}^1(\phi) - [u_i, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^i(\phi) \\
&= p_{i+n}(v_1 \otimes [u_i, x_n]_n^i)(\phi) - [u_i, p_n(v_1 \otimes x_n)]_{n+1}^i(\phi) \\
&= \rho_{i+n}(v_1(\phi)) [u_i, x_n]_n^i \\
&\quad + p_{i+n-1}(v_1 \otimes ([u_i(\phi), x_n]_n^{i-1} + [u_i, x_n(\phi)]_{n-1}^i)) \\
&\quad - [u_i(\phi), p_n(v_1 \otimes x_n)]_{n+1}^{i-1} \\
&\quad - [u_i, \rho_n(v_1(\phi))x_n + p_{n-1}(v_1 \otimes x_n(\phi))]_n^i \\
&= [\rho_i(v_1(\phi))u_i, x_n]_n^i \\
&\quad + p_{i+n-1}(v_1 \otimes [u_i(\phi), x_n]_n^{i-1}) - [u_i(\phi), p_n(v_1 \otimes x_n)]_{n+1}^{i-1} \\
&\quad + p_{i+n-1}(v_1 \otimes [u_i, x_n(\phi)]_{n-1}^i) - [u_i, p_{n-1}(v_1 \otimes x_n(\phi))]_n^i \\
&= [\rho_i(v_1(\phi))u_i, x_n]_n^i + [p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_i(\phi)), x_n]_n^i \\
&\quad + p_{i+n-1}(v_1 \otimes [u_i, x_n(\phi)]_{n-1}^i) - [u_i, p_n(v_1 \otimes x_n(\phi))]_n^i \\
&= [p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i)(\phi), x_n]_n^i \\
&\quad + [v_1, [u_i, x_n(\phi)]_{n-1}^i]_{i+n-1}^1 - [u_i, [v_1, x_n(\phi)]_{n-1}^1]_{n-1}^i
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

where  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $u_i \in V_i$ ,  $x_n \in V_n$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ . Here, by the induction hypotheses on  $i$  and  $n$ , if  $v_1^1, \dots, v_1^l \in V_1$  and  $u_i^1, \dots, u_i^l \in V_i$  satisfy

$$\sum_{s=1}^l p_i(v_1^s \otimes u_i^s) = 0,$$

then we have

$$\sum_{s=1}^l [p_i(v_1^s \otimes u_i^s)(\phi), x_n]_n^i = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{s=1}^l ([v_1^s, [u_i^s, x_n(\phi)]_{n-1}]_{n+i-1}^1 - [u_i^s, [v_1^s \otimes x_n(\phi)]_{n-1}]_n^i) = 0$$

respectively. Therefore we have our result for any  $n \geq 0$ .

(2) The case where  $n \leq -1$ .

For  $n = -1$ , by the induction hypothesis on  $i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [v_1, [u_i, x_{-1}]_{-1}]_{i-1}^1 - [u_i, [v_1, x_{-1}]_{-1}]_0^i \\ &= [v_1, u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(x_{-1}))]_{i-1}^1 - [u_i, v_1(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(x_{-1}))]_0^i \\ &= p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(x_{-1}))) + \rho_i(v_1(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(x_{-1})))u_i \\ &= p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i)(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(x_{-1})) \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

where  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $u_i \in V_i$  and  $x_{-1} \in V_{-1}$ . Thus, we have our result immediately.

For  $n \leq -2$ . Note that by (2.19), we have

$$[u_i, q_{m+1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes y_{m+1})]_m^i = [u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), y_{m+1}]_{m+1}^{i-1} + [\phi_{-1}, [u_i, y_{m+1}]_{m+1}^i]_{i+m+1}^{-1} \quad (2.27)$$

for any  $m \leq -1$ ,  $u_i \in V_i$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $y_{m+1} \in V_{m+1}$ . Hence, by the induction hypotheses on  $i$  and  $n$  and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [v_1, [u_i, q_{n+1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes x_{n+1})]_n^i]_{i+n}^1 - [u_i, [v_1, q_{n+1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes x_{n+1})]_n^1]_{n+1}^i \\ &= [v_1, [u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^{i-1}]_{i+n}^1 + [v_1, [\phi_{-1}, [u_i, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i]_{i+n+1}^{-1}]_{i+n}^1 \\ & \quad + [u_i, q_{n+1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes x_{n+1})(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))]_{n+1}^i \\ &= [p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1}))), x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i - [u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), x_{n+1}(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))]_{n+2}^{i-1} \\ & \quad + [v_1(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), [u_i, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i]_{i+n+1}^0 + [\phi_{-1}, [v_1, [u_i, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i]_{i+n+1}^1]_{i+n+2}^{-1} \\ & \quad + [u_i, \rho_{n+1}(\phi_{-1}(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1)))x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i + [u_i, q_{n+2}(\phi_{-1} \otimes x_{n+1})(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))]_{n+1}^i \\ &= [p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1}))), x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i - [u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), x_{n+1}(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))]_{n+2}^{i-1} \\ & \quad + [\rho_i(v_1(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})))u_i, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i + [u_i, \rho_{n+1}(v_1(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})))x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i \\ & \quad + [\phi_{-1}, [v_1, [u_i, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i]_{i+n+1}^1]_{i+n+2}^{-1} + [u_i, \rho_{n+1}(\phi_{-1}(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1)))x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i \\ & \quad + [u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), x_{n+1}(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))]_{n+2}^{i-1} + [\phi_{-1}, [u_i, x_{n+1}(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1))]_{n+2}^i]_{i+n+2}^{-1} \\ &= [p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_i(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1}))), x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i + [\rho_i(v_1(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})))u_i, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i \\ & \quad + [\phi_{-1}, [v_1, [u_i, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i]_{i+n+1}^1]_{i+n+2}^{-1} - [\phi_{-1}, [u_i, [v_1, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^1]_{n+2}^i]_{i+n+2}^{-1} \\ &= [p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i)(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i \\ & \quad + [\phi_{-1}, ([v_1, [u_i, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i]_{i+n+1}^1 - [u_i, [v_1, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^1]_{n+2}^i)]_{i+n+2}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

where  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $u_i \in V_i$ ,  $x_{n+1} \in V_{n+1}$  and  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$ . Here, by the induction hypotheses on  $i$  and  $n$ , if  $v_1^1, \dots, v_1^l \in V_1$  and  $u_i^1, \dots, u_i^l \in V_i$  satisfy

$$\sum_{s=1}^l p_i(v_1^s \otimes u_i^s) = 0,$$

then we have

$$\sum_{s=1}^l [p_i(v_1^s \otimes u_i^s)(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})), x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{s=1}^l \left[ \phi_{-1}, \left( [v_1^s, [u_i^s, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^i]_{i+n+1}^1 - [u_i^s, [v_1^s, x_{n+1}]_{n+1}^1]_{n+2}^i \right) \right]_{i+n+2}^{-1} = 0$$

respectively. Therefore we have our result for any  $n \leq -1$  and we obtain bilinear maps  $[\cdot, \cdot]_n^{i+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

In order to complete the proof, let us show that the bilinear maps  $[\cdot, \cdot]_n^{i+1}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) satisfy the following equations:

$$[a, [u_{i+1}, x_n]_n^{i+1}]_{i+n+1}^0 = [[a, u_{i+1}]_{i+1}^0, x_n]_n^{i+1} + [u_{i+1}, [a, x_n]_n^0]_n^{i+1}, \quad (2.29)$$

$$[\phi_{-1}, [u_{i+1}, x_n]_n^{i+1}]_{i+n+1}^{-1} = [[\phi_{-1}, u_{i+1}]_{i+1}^{-1}, x_n]_n^i + [u_{i+1}, [\phi_{-1}, x_n]_n^{-1}]_{n-1}^{i+1}, \quad (2.30)$$

$$[u_{i+1}, a]_0^{i+1} = -[a, u_{i+1}]_{i+1}^0 = -\rho_{i+1}(a)u_{i+1}, \quad (2.31)$$

$$[u_{i+1}, v_1]_1^{i+1} = -[v_1, u_{i+1}]_{i+1}^1 = -p_{i+1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i+1}), \quad (2.32)$$

$$[u_{i+1}, \phi_{-1}]_{-1}^{i+1} = -[\phi_{-1}, u_{i+1}]_{i+1}^{-1} = u_{i+1}(\Psi_\rho^{-1}(\phi_{-1})) \quad (2.33)$$

where  $a \in V_0$ ,  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $u_{i+1} \in V_{i+1}$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $x_n \in V_n$ .

(2.31) and (2.33) follow from (2.23) and (2.26) respectively.

Let us show (2.29). We can assume that  $u_{i+1} = p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i)$  for some  $v_1 \in V_1$  and  $u_i \in V_i$

without loss of generality. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [a, [p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i), x_n]_n^{i+1}]_{i+n+1}^0 \\
&= [a, [v_1, [u_i, x_n]_n^i]_{i+n+1}^1]_{i+n+1}^0 - [a, [u_i, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^i]_{i+n+1}^0 \\
&= [\rho_1(a)v_1, [u_i, x_n]_n^i]_{i+n+1}^1 + [v_1, [\rho_i(a)u_i, x_n]_n^i]_{i+n+1}^1 + [v_1, [u_i, \rho_n(a)x_n]_n^i]_{i+n+1}^1 \\
&\quad - [\rho_i(a)u_i, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^i - [u_i, [\rho_1(a)v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^i - [u_i, [v_1, \rho_n(a)x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^i \\
&= [\rho_1(a)v_1, [u_i, x_n]_n^i]_{i+n+1}^1 - [u_i, [\rho_1(a)v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^i \\
&\quad + [v_1, [\rho_i(a)u_i, x_n]_n^i]_{i+n+1}^1 - [\rho_i(a)u_i, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^i \\
&\quad + [v_1, [u_i, \rho_n(a)x_n]_n^i]_{i+n+1}^1 - [u_i, [v_1, \rho_n(a)x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^i \\
&= [p_i(\rho_1(a)v_1 \otimes u_i), x_n]_n^{i+1} + [p_i(v_1 \otimes \rho_i(a)u_i), x_n]_n^{i+1} \\
&\quad + [p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i), \rho_n(a)x_n]_n^{i+1} \\
&= [[a, p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i)]_{i+1}^0, x_n]_n^{i+1} + [p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i), [a, x_n]_n^0]_{n+1}^{i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.29) holds.

Let us show (2.30). If  $n \neq 0$ , (2.30) follows from (2.25) and (2.28). The case where  $n = 0$  is obtained from (2.31) and (2.33). Thus (2.30) holds.

Finally, let us show (2.32). By (2.30) and (2.31), for any  $\phi \in V^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [u_{i+1}, v_1]_1^{i+1}(\phi) \\
&= [u_{i+1}(\phi), v_1]_1^i + [u_{i+1}, v_1(\phi)]_0^{i+1} \\
&= -p_i(v_1 \otimes u_{i+1}(\phi)) - \rho_{i+1}(v_1(\phi))u_{i+1} \\
&= -p_{i+1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i+1})(\phi).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.32) holds. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

In the same way, we can prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Assume that  $j \geq 0$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]_n^{-j}$  is well-defined for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Take elements  $\phi_{-1}^1, \dots, \phi_{-1}^l \in V_{-1}$  and  $\psi_{-j}^1, \dots, \psi_{-j}^l \in V_{-j}$  satisfying*

$$\sum_{s=1}^l q_{-j}(\phi_{-1}^s \otimes \psi_{-j}^s) = 0. \quad (2.34)$$

Then for any  $x_n \in V_n$ , we have

$$\sum_{s=1}^l ([\phi_{-1}^s, [\psi_{-j}^s, x_n]_n^{-j}]_{-j+n}^{-1} - [\psi_{-j}^s, [\phi_{-1}^s, x_n]_n^{-1}]_{n-1}^{-j}) = 0 \quad (2.35)$$

and hence we obtain a bilinear map

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_n^{-j-1} : V_{-j-1} \times V_n \rightarrow V_{-j+n-1}$$

satisfying the following equations:

$$[a, [\psi_{-j-1}, x_n]_n^{-j-1}]_{n-j-1}^0 = [[a, \psi_{-j-1}]_{-j-1}^0, x_n]_n^{-j-1} + [\psi_{-j-1}, [a, x_n]_n^0]_n^{-j-1}, \quad (2.36)$$

$$[v_1, [\psi_{-j-1}, x_n]_n^{-j-1}]_{-j+n-1}^1 = [[v_1, \psi_{-j-1}]_{-j-1}^1, x_n]_n^{-j} + [\psi_{-j-1}, [v_1, x_n]_n^1]_{n+1}^{-j-1}, \quad (2.37)$$

$$[\psi_{-j-1}, a]_0^{-j-1} = -[a, \psi_{-j-1}]_{-j-1}^0 = -\rho_{-j-1}(a)\psi_{-j-1}, \quad (2.38)$$

$$[\psi_{-j-1}, \phi_{-1}]_{-1}^{-j-1} = -[\phi_{-1}, \psi_{-j-1}]_{-j-1}^{-1} = -q_{-j-1}(\phi_{-1} \otimes \psi_{-j-1}), \quad (2.39)$$

$$[\psi_{-j-1}, v_1]_1^{-j-1} = -[v_1, \psi_{-j-1}]_{-j-1}^1 = \psi_{-j-1}(\Phi_\rho^{-1}(v_1)). \quad (2.40)$$

where  $a \in V_0$ ,  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$ ,  $\psi_{-j-1} \in V_{-j-1}$  and  $x_n \in V_n$ .

Then Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.6 are summarized as follows.

**Definition 2.7.** We put

$$L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n \quad (2.41)$$

and define a bilinear map

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) \times L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) \rightarrow L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$$

by

$$[x_n, y_m] := [x_n, y_m]_m^n \quad (2.42)$$

where  $x_n \in V_n$  and  $y_m \in V_m$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** The bilinear map  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  satisfies the following equations:

$$[a, x] = -[x, a], \quad (2.43)$$

$$[v_1, x] = -[x, v_1], \quad (2.44)$$

$$[\phi_{-1}, x] = -[x, \phi_{-1}], \quad (2.45)$$

$$[a, [x, y]] = [[a, x], y] + [x, [a, y]], \quad (2.46)$$

$$[y, [v_1, x]] = [v_1, [y, x]] - [[v_1, y], x], \quad (2.47)$$

$$[y, [\phi_{-1}, x]] = [\phi_{-1}, [y, x]] - [[\phi_{-1}, y], x] \quad (2.48)$$

where  $a \in V_0$ ,  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$  and  $x, y \in L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ .

Let us show that  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  satisfies the axioms of a Lie algebra.

**Proposition 2.9.** *For any  $x, y \in L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ , we have*

$$[x, y] + [y, x] = 0. \quad (2.49)$$

*Namely,  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is skew-symmetric.*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, it is sufficient to show in the cases where  $x = x_n \in V_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We assume that  $n \geq 0$  and argue by induction on  $n$ .

For  $n = 0$ , our claim follows from Proposition 2.8.

For  $n \geq 1$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $x_n = p_{n-1}(v_1 \otimes x_{n-1})$  for some  $v_1 \in V_1$  and  $x_{n-1} \in V_{n-1}$ . Then, by (2.9) and (2.47), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [p_{n-1}(v_1 \otimes x_{n-1}), y] + [y, p_{n-1}(v_1 \otimes x_{n-1})] \\ &= [v_1, [x_{n-1}, y]] - [x_{n-1}, [v_1, y]] \\ & \quad + [v_1, [y, x_{n-1}]] - [[v_1, y], x_{n-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$[x_{n-1}, y] + [y, x_{n-1}] = 0$$

and

$$[x_{n-1}, [v_1, y]] + [[v_1, y], x_{n-1}] = 0.$$

Hence our claim holds. Similarly we can obtain the proof of the cases where  $n \leq -1$ . This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 2.10.** *For any  $x, y, z \in L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ , we have*

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + [y, [x, z]]. \quad (2.50)$$

*Namely,  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  satisfies the Jacobi identity.*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, it is sufficient to show in the cases where  $x = x_n \in V_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We assume  $n \geq 0$  and argue by induction on  $n$ .

For  $n = 0$  and 1, our claim follows from Proposition 2.8.

For  $n \geq 2$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $x_n = p_{n-1}(v_1 \otimes x_{n-1})$  for some



$v_1 \in V_1$  and  $x_{n-1} \in V_{n-1}$ . Then, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [p_{n-1}(v_1 \otimes x_{n-1}), [y, z]] \\
&= [v_1, [x_{n-1}, [y, z]]] - [x_{n-1}, [v_1, [y, z]]] \\
&= [v_1, [[x_{n-1}, y], z]] + [v_1, [y, [x_{n-1}, z]]] \\
&\quad - [x_{n-1}, [[v_1, y], z]] - [x_{n-1}, [y, [v_1, z]]] \\
&= [v_1, [[x_{n-1}, y], z]] + [y, [v_1, [x_{n-1}, z]]] + [[v_1, y], [x_{n-1}, z]] \\
&\quad - [x_{n-1}, [[v_1, y], z]] - [y, [x_{n-1}, [v_1, z]]] - [[x_{n-1}, y], [v_1, z]] \\
&= [[v_1, [x_{n-1}, y]], z] - [[x_{n-1}, [v_1, y]], z] + [y, [p_{n-1}(v_1 \otimes x_{n-1}), z]] \\
&= [[p_{n-1}(v_1 \otimes x_{n-1}), y], z] + [y, [p_{n-1}(v_1 \otimes x_{n-1}), z]].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have our claim. We can also obtain the proof of the cases where  $n \leq -1$  by the same argument. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

By Propositions 2.9 and 2.10, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.11.**  *$L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is a Lie algebra with the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ . We call the Lie algebra thus obtained the Lie algebra associated to  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ .*

In particular, for a given reductive Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and its finite-dimensional completely reducible representation  $(\rho, V)$ , there exists a graded Lie algebra  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_n$  such that its Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is isomorphic to  $(\rho, V)$ .

In the next section, we will construct a bilinear form on  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$  such that the restriction to  $V_0 \times V_0$  coincides with  $B_0$ .

### 3 Some properties of $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$

#### 3.1 A bilinear form on $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$

In this section, we will consider the structure of the Lie algebra  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  associated to a standard quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . First of all, we construct a non-degenerate symmetric  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ -invariant bilinear form on  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) \times L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** We define a bilinear form  $B$  on  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) \times L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  by

$$B\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n, \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} y_m\right) = \sum_{s \geq 0} B_s(x_s, y_{-s}) + \sum_{t \leq -1} B_{-t}(y_{-t}, x_t) \quad (3.1)$$

where  $x_n \in V_n$  and  $y_m \in V_m$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *The bilinear form  $B$  is non-degenerate symmetric and  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ -invariant.*

**Proof.** It can be easily proved that  $B$  is non-degenerate and symmetric. Let us prove that  $B$  is  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ -invariant. For this, it is enough to show that we have

$$B([u_i, x_n], y_m) = B(x_n, [y_m, u_i]) \quad (3.2)$$

where  $i \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $u_i \in V_i$ ,  $x_n \in V_n$ ,  $y_m \in V_m$  and  $i + n + m = 0$ . We argue it by induction on  $i$ .

For  $i = 0, 1$ , our result follows from (1.43) and (1.44) immediately.

For  $i \geq 2$ . We can assume that  $u_i = p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1})$  for some  $v_1 \in V_1$  and  $u_{i-1} \in V_{i-1}$  without loss of generality. Then, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & B([p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1}), x_n], y_m) \\ &= B([v_1, [u_{i-1}, x_n]] - [u_{i-1}, [v_1, x_n]], y_m) \\ &= B([u_{i-1}, x_n], [y_m, v_1]) - B([v_1, x_n], [y_m, u_{i-1}]) \\ &= B(x_n, [[y_m, v_1], u_{i-1}]) - B(x_n, [[y_m, u_{i-1}], v_1]) \\ &= B(x_n, [y_m, p_{i-1}(v_1 \otimes u_{i-1})]). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

We prove the “universality” of the Lie algebras associated to a standard quadruplet.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Assume that a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_n$  with a symmetric  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -invariant bilinear form  $\hat{B}$  satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \text{ and } \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \text{ are finite-dimensional vector spaces,} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\text{the adjoint representation of } \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \text{ on } \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \text{ is completely reducible,} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\text{the restriction of } \hat{B} \text{ to } \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_i \times \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-i} \text{ is non-degenerate for each } i \geq 0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$[\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_i] = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{i+1}, \quad [\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-j}] = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-j-1} \text{ for each } i, j \geq 0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$[\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}] = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0. \quad (3.7)$$

Then  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0, \text{ad}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{B}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \times \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0})$  is a standard quadruplet and the Lie algebra  $L(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0, \text{ad}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{B}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \times \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0})$  is isomorphic to  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  where  $\text{ad}$  stands for the adjoint representation of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0$  on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ .

Obviously,  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  satisfies these conditions, i.e.  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is, although it is defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ , of type  $\alpha_0$  (See [K-A]). In order to prove Proposition 3.3, we prove the following lemma needed later.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Under the notation in Proposition 3.3, we have*

$$\{X_i \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_i \mid [X_i, Y_{-1}] = 0 \text{ for any } Y_{-1} \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}\} = \{0\}$$

for each  $i \geq 0$ . In particular, a quadruplet  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0, \text{ad}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{B}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \times \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0})$  satisfies (1.31).

**Proof.** Suppose that  $X_i \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_i$  satisfies  $[X_i, Y_{-1}] = 0$  for any  $Y_{-1} \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}$ . Then, for any  $Z_{-i+1} \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-i+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{B}(X_i, [Y_{-1}, Z_{-i+1}]) \\ &= \hat{B}([X_i, Y_{-1}], Z_{-i+1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain  $X_i = 0$ . ■

By (3.6),  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0, \text{ad}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{B}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \times \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0})$  satisfies (1.32). Hence it is a standard quadruplet.

Let us prove Proposition 3.3. For this, we construct an isomorphism of Lie algebras from  $L(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0, \text{ad}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{B}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \times \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0})$  to  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ . We denote the  $n$ -graduation of  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0, \text{ad}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{B}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \times \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0})$  by  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_n$  and the bilinear form on  $L(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0, \text{ad}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{B}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \times \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0})$  defined in Definition 3.1 by  $B$ . Let  $\tau_0$  be the identity map on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0$  and  $\tau_1 := \Phi_{\text{ad}}^{-1}$ . Then we obtain a linear isomorphism  $\tau_{-1} : (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{-1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}$  defined by  $B(\phi_{-1}, v_1) = \hat{B}(\tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1}), \tau_1(v_1))$  where  $\phi_{-1} \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{-1}$  and  $v_1 \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_1$ . These linear isomorphisms  $\tau_i : (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_i \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_i$  ( $i = 0, \pm 1$ ) satisfy the following equations:

$$[\tau_0(a), \tau_1(v_1)] = \tau_1([a, v_1]), \quad (3.8)$$

$$[\tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1}), \tau_1(v_1)] = \tau_0([\phi_{-1}, v_1]), \quad (3.9)$$

$$[\tau_0(a), \tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1})] = \tau_{-1}([a, \phi_{-1}]) \quad (3.10)$$

where  $a \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_0$ ,  $v_1 \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_1$  and  $\phi_{-1} \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{-1}$ . In fact, (3.8) obviously holds. Let us check (3.9). For any  $b \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}(\tau_0(b), \tau_0([\phi_{-1}, v_1])) &= B(b, [\phi_{-1}, v_1]) \\ &= B([b, \phi_{-1}], v_1) \\ &= \hat{B}([\tau_0(b), \tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1})], \tau_1(v_1)) \\ &= \hat{B}(\tau_0(b), [\tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1}), \tau_1(v_1)]) \end{aligned}$$

and hence (3.9) holds. Similarly, we can obtain (3.10).

Next, suppose that  $i \geq 1$  and assume that there exist linear isomorphisms  $\tau_{i-1} : (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{i-1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{i-1}$  and  $\tau_i : (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_i \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_i$  satisfying the equations:

$$[\tau_0(a), \tau_i(u_i)] = \tau_i([a, u_i]), \quad (3.11)$$

$$[\tau_1(v_1), \tau_{i-1}(u_{i-1})] = \tau_i([v_1, u_{i-1}]), \quad (3.12)$$

$$[\tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1}), \tau_i(u_i)] = \tau_{i-1}([\phi_{-1}, u_i]) \quad (3.13)$$

where  $a \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_0$ ,  $v_1 \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_1$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{-1}$ ,  $u_{i-1} \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{i-1}$  and  $u_i \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_i$ . Then we have

$$\tau_i([\phi_{-1}, [v_1, u_i]]) = [\tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1}), [\tau_1(v_1), \tau_i(u_i)]]. \quad (3.14)$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_i([\phi_{-1}, [v_1, u_i]]) \\
&= \tau_i([\phi_{-1}, v_1], u_i) + \tau_i([v_1, [\phi_{-1}, u_i]]) \\
&= [\tau_0([\phi_{-1}, v_1]), \tau_i(u_i)] + [\tau_1(v_1), \tau_{i-1}([\phi_{-1}, u_i])] \\
&= [[\tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1}), \tau_1(v_1)], \tau_i(u_i)] + [\tau_1(v_1), [\tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1}), \tau_i(u_i)]] \\
&= [\tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1}), [\tau_1(v_1), \tau_i(u_i)]].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.4, we can define a linear map  $\tau_{i+1} : (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{i+1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{i+1}$  by

$$\tau_{i+1}(p_i(v_1 \otimes u_i)) := [\tau_1(v_1), \tau_i(u_i)]. \quad (3.15)$$

Then, by (3.6) and (3.14), we obtain that  $\tau_{i+1}$  is bijective. Moreover,  $\tau_{i+1}$  satisfies the following equations:

$$[\tau_0(a), \tau_{i+1}(u_{i+1})] = \tau_{i+1}([a, u_{i+1}]), \quad (3.16)$$

$$[\tau_1(v_1), \tau_i(u_i)] = \tau_{i+1}([v_1, u_i]), \quad (3.17)$$

$$[\tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1}), \tau_{i+1}(u_{i+1})] = \tau_i([\phi_{-1}, u_{i+1}]) \quad (3.18)$$

where  $a \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_0$ ,  $v_1 \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_1$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{-1}$ ,  $u_i \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_i$  and  $u_{i+1} \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{i+1}$ . Indeed, (3.16) follows from a similar argument to the proof of (3.14). Equations (3.17) and (3.18) have already been shown. Thus, inductively, we obtain linear isomorphisms  $\tau_n$  from  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_n$  to  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Moreover,  $\tau_n$  induces a linear isomorphism  $\tau_{-n}$  from  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{-n}$  to  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{-n}$  which satisfies the following equation:

$$B(\psi_{-n}, u_n) = \hat{B}(\tau_{-n}(\psi_{-n}), \tau_n(u_n)) \quad (3.19)$$

where  $u_n \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_n$  and  $\psi_{-n} \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{-n}$ . Then  $\tau_{-n}$  satisfies the following equations:

$$[\tau_1(v_1), \tau_{-n}(\psi_{-n})] = \tau_{-n+1}([v_1, \psi_{-n}]), \quad (3.20)$$

$$[\tau_{-1}(\phi_{-1}), \tau_{-n}(\psi_{-n})] = \tau_{-n-1}([\phi_{-1}, \psi_{-n}]) \quad (3.21)$$

where  $v_1 \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_1$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{-1}$  and  $\psi_{-n} \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{-n}$ . In fact, we can show (3.20) by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 0$ , our claim has already been shown. Suppose that  $n \geq 1$ , then by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{B}(\tau_{n-1}(u_{n-1}), [\tau_1(v_1), \tau_{-n}(\psi_{-n})]) &= \hat{B}([\tau_{n-1}(u_{n-1}), \tau_1(v_1)], \tau_{-n}(\psi_{-n})) \\
&= \hat{B}(\tau_n([u_{n-1}, v_1]), \tau_{-n}(\psi_{-n})) \\
&= B([u_{n-1}, v_1], \psi_{-n}) \\
&= B(u_{n-1}, [v_1, \psi_{-n}]) \\
&= \hat{B}(\tau_{n-1}(u_{n-1}), \tau_{-n+1}([v_1, \psi_{-n}]))
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $u_{n-1} \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{n-1}$ . Hence (3.20) holds for all  $n \geq 0$ . Similarly we can show (3.21). Summarizing the above argument, we can define a linear isomorphism  $\tau$  from  $L(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0, \text{ad}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{B}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \times \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0})$  to  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  by

$$\tau\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n\right) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_n(x_n) \quad (3.22)$$

where  $x_n \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_n$ . Then  $\tau$  satisfies the following equations:

$$[\tau(v_1), \tau(x)] = \tau([v_1, x]), \quad (3.23)$$

$$[\tau(\phi_{-1}), \tau(x)] = \tau([\phi_{-1}, x]) \quad (3.24)$$

where  $v_1 \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_1$ ,  $\phi_{-1} \in (\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_{-1}$  and  $x \in L(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0, \text{ad}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{B}|_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \times \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0})$ . Therefore, by the definition of a Lie algebra associated to a standard quadruplet,  $\tau$  is an isomorphism of Lie algebras. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.3.

### 3.2 Equivalent standard quadruplets

In this section, we shall introduce a notion of equivalence between standard quadruplets.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2)$  be standard quadruplets. We call these quadruplets are equivalent if and only if there exists an isomorphism of Lie algebras  $\sigma : \mathfrak{g}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^2$  and a linear isomorphism  $\tau : V^1 \rightarrow V^2$  such that

$$\tau(\rho^1(a^1)v^1) = \rho^2(\sigma(a^1))(\tau(v^1)), \quad (3.25)$$

$$B_0^1(a^1, b^1) = B_0^2(\sigma(a^1), \sigma(b^1)) \quad (3.26)$$

for any  $a^1, b^1 \in \mathfrak{g}^1$  and  $v^1 \in V^1$ . We will denote this equivalence relation by

$$(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1) \simeq (\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2). \quad (3.27)$$

In particular, for a standard quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  and a non-zero element  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, cB_0)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho_1, V_1, B_0)$  are equivalent to  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  where  $(\rho_1, V_1)$  is the 1-graduation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . Then we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.6.** *If standard quadruplets  $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2)$  are equivalent, then the Lie algebras  $L(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1)$  and  $L(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2)$  are isomorphic.*

**Proof.** By the same argument as proof of Proposition 3.3, we can construct a linear isomorphism from  $V_n^1$  to  $V_n^2$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $V_n^i$  denotes the  $n$ -graduation of  $(\mathfrak{g}^i, \rho^i, V^i, B_0^i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and an isomorphism of Lie algebras from  $L(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1)$  to  $L(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2)$ . ■

However, in general, the converse is not true. For example, let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_4$ . Put

$$H^1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H^2 := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g}_j^1 := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [H^1, X] = 2jX\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_j^2 := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [H^2, X] = 2jX\}$$

for each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we obtain two gradings:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &= \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^1 \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_{-2}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0^2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

These gradings and the Killing form  $K$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 3.3. Therefore, by applying Proposition 3.3, it follows that the Lie algebras  $L(\mathfrak{g}_0^i, \text{ad}, \mathfrak{g}_1^i, K|_{\mathfrak{g}_0^i \times \mathfrak{g}_0^i})$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . However, by a direct calculation, we can obtain that  $\mathfrak{g}_0^1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0^2$  are isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2$  respectively. In particular, the quadruplets  $(\mathfrak{g}_0^i, \text{ad}, \mathfrak{g}_1^i, K|_{\mathfrak{g}_0^i \times \mathfrak{g}_0^i})$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are not equivalent.

### 3.3 Loop algebras

In this section, we shall give a well-known example of infinite-dimensional Lie algebra which can be written in the form  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra,  $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$  be the algebra of Laurent polynomials in  $t$ . Set  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  and define a bilinear map  $[\cdot, \cdot]_0 : \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$  by:

$$[t^n \otimes X, t^m \otimes Y]_0 := t^{n+m} \otimes [X, Y] \quad (3.28)$$

where  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  stands for the bracket product of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This bilinear map satisfies the axioms of a Lie algebra. The infinite-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$  with the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_0$  is called the loop algebra and we have a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t^n \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  (See [Ka]).

Now, we denote by  $K$  the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then we can define a bilinear form  $K_0$  on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$  by  $K_0(t^n \otimes X, t^m \otimes Y) := \delta_{n+m, 0} K(X, Y)$ , where  $\delta_{n+m, 0}$  is the Kronecker delta. By applying Proposition 3.3, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and  $K$  the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then the Lie algebra  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}, \mathfrak{g}, K)$  is isomorphic to the loop algebra  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

### 3.4 A direct sum of standard quadruplets

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2)$  be standard quadruplets. Let  $\rho^1 \boxplus \rho^2$  be a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$  on  $V^1 \oplus V^2$  defined by:

$$((\rho^1 \boxplus \rho^2)(a^1, a^2))(v^1, v^2) := (\rho^1(a^1)v^1, \rho^2(a^2)v^2) \quad (3.29)$$

where  $a^i \in \mathfrak{g}^i$  and  $v^i \in \mathfrak{g}^i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Let  $B_0^1 \oplus B_0^2$  be a bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$  defined by:

$$(B_0^1 \oplus B_0^2)((a^1, a^2), (b^1, b^2)) := B_0^1(a^1, b^1) + B_0^2(a^2, b^2) \quad (3.30)$$

where  $a^i, b^i \in \mathfrak{g}^i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^1 \boxplus \rho^2, V^1 \oplus V^2, B_0^1 \oplus B_0^2)$  is a standard quadruplet. We call it a **direct sum** of  $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2)$  and denote by  $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2)$ .

**Remark 3.9.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1)$ ,  $(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  be standard quadruplets. Assume that  $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2)$  are equivalent. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) \\ & \simeq (\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0). \end{aligned}$$

By applying Proposition 3.3, we have the following proposition immediately.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2)$  be standard quadruplets. Then the Lie algebra  $L((\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2))$  is isomorphic to  $L(\mathfrak{g}^1, \rho^1, V^1, B_0^1) \oplus L(\mathfrak{g}^2, \rho^2, V^2, B_0^2)$ .*

**Definition 3.11.** A standard quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is said to be **decomposable** if and only if there exist non-trivial ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and non-trivial subspaces  $U$  and  $W$  of  $V$  which satisfy

$$\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{g}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$U \oplus W = V, \quad (3.32)$$

$$\rho(a)w = 0, \quad (3.33)$$

$$\rho(b)u = 0, \quad (3.34)$$

$$B_0(a, b) = 0 \quad (3.35)$$

for any  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $b \in \mathfrak{b}$ ,  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{a}, \rho|_{\mathfrak{a}}, U, B_0|_{\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{b}, \rho|_{\mathfrak{b}}, W, B_0|_{\mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b}})$  are standard quadruplets and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0) \simeq (\mathfrak{a}, \rho|_{\mathfrak{a}}, U, B_0|_{\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}}) \oplus (\mathfrak{b}, \rho|_{\mathfrak{b}}, W, B_0|_{\mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b}})$ . If  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is not decomposable, it is said to be **indecomposable**. Any standard quadruplet can be written as a direct sum of indecomposable standard quadruplets.

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  be an indecomposable standard quadruplet. Let  $n$  be an integer such that  $V_{n+1}$  is not  $\{0\}$ . Then for any non-zero element  $x_n \in V_n$ , there exists an element  $v_1 \in V_1$  such that  $[v_1, x_n] \neq 0$ .*

**Proof.** If  $n \leq 0$ , we have our result immediately. Suppose that  $n \geq 1$ . Put

$$U_n := \{x_n \in V_n \mid [v_1, x_n] = 0 \text{ for any } v_1 \in V_1\}. \quad (3.36)$$

Then  $U_n$  is a  $V_0$ -submodule of  $V_n$ . Moreover, for each  $m = 0, \dots, n-1$ , we can define a  $V_0$ -submodule  $U_m$  of  $V_m$  by

$$U_m := \{x_m \in V_m \mid [v_1, x_m] \in U_{m+1} \text{ for any } v_1 \in V_1\} \quad (3.37)$$

inductively. Then we can easily show that

$$[V_{-1}, U_m] \subset U_{m-1} \quad (3.38)$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, n$  by induction. Moreover, we have

$$V_0 \neq U_0. \quad (3.39)$$

In fact, since  $V_{n+1} \neq \{0\}$ , we can deduce that  $U_n \neq V_n$ . Similarly, we have  $U_m \neq V_m$  for  $m = 0, \dots, n-1$  by induction.

Now, since  $V_1$  is a completely reducible  $V_0$ -module, we can take a  $V_0$ -submodule  $W_1 \subset V_1$  which satisfies  $V_1 = U_1 \oplus W_1$ . Then by the definition of  $U_0$ , we have  $[U_0, W_1] = \{0\}$ . Put  $W_0 := \{a \in V_0 \mid B(a, b) = 0 \text{ for any } b \in U_0\}$ . Then we have  $[W_0, U_1] = \{0\}$ . In fact, suppose that  $a \in W_0$  and  $u_1 \in U_1$ . Then for any  $\phi_{-1} \in V_{-1}$ , we have  $[u_1, \phi_{-1}] \in U_0$  and  $B([a, u_1], \phi_{-1}) = B(a, [u_1, \phi_{-1}]) = 0$ , hence  $[a, u_1] = 0$ . Since  $\rho$  is faithful, we have  $V_0 = U_0 \oplus W_0$ . Therefore, by the assumption that  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is an indecomposable standard quadruplet, we have  $U_0 = \{0\}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3.4 and (3.38), we can obtain  $U_m = \{0\}$  for  $m = 1, \dots, n$  by induction. In particular,  $U_n = \{0\}$  and thus we have our result.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  be a standard quadruplet. If the Lie algebra  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is finite-dimensional, then  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is semisimple. In particular, if  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is indecomposable, then  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is simple.*

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show the case where  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is indecomposable. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a non-zero ideal of  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $V_k \neq \{0\}$  and  $V_{k+1} = \{0\}$ . Then there exist integers  $-k \leq n_1 < \dots < n_l \leq k$  and non-zero elements  $x_{n_1} \in V_{n_1}, \dots, x_{n_l} \in V_{n_l}$  such that

$$x := x_{n_1} + \dots + x_{n_l} \in \mathfrak{a}. \quad (3.40)$$

Then by Proposition 3.12, there exist non-zero elements  $v_1^1, \dots, v_1^{k-n_1} \in V_1$  such that

$$[v_1^{k-n_1}, [v_1^{k-n_1-1}, \dots, [v_1^1, x] \dots]] \in V_k \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.41)$$

Thus we can obtain  $\mathfrak{a}_k := \mathfrak{a} \cap V_k \neq \{0\}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_m := \mathfrak{a} \cap V_m \neq \{0\}$  for  $m = 0, \dots, k-1$  by induction. By a similar argument to the argument in the proof of Proposition 3.12, we have  $\mathfrak{a}_0 = V_0$ , i.e.  $V_0 \subset \mathfrak{a}$ . Therefore, we have  $V_1 = [V_1, V_0]$ ,  $V_{-1} = [V_{-1}, V_0] \subset \mathfrak{a}$  and thus  $\mathfrak{a} = L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . Therefore,  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is simple and thus we have our result.  $\blacksquare$

### 3.5 A quadruplet of parabolic type

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra,  $\mathfrak{h}$  a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $R$  the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $K$  be the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We fix a fundamental root system  $\varphi$  of  $R$ .



Let  $\theta$  be a subset of  $\varphi$ . Then there exists the unique element  $H^\theta$  such that  $\alpha(H^\theta) = 0$  for  $\alpha \in \theta$  and  $\alpha(H^\theta) = 2$  for  $\alpha \in \varphi \setminus \theta$ . We call  $H^\theta$  the grading element.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we put  $d_n(\theta) := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [H^\theta, X] = 2nX\}$  and denote  $d_0(\theta)$  by  $\mathfrak{l}_\theta$ . Then  $\mathfrak{l}_\theta$  is a reductive subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and acts on each  $d_n(\theta)$  by adjoint representation. Let  $G$  be the adjoint group of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $L_\theta$  be the connected subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{l}_\theta$ . Then it is known that the representation of  $L_\theta$  on  $d_1(\theta)$  is completely reducible and  $(L_\theta, d_1(\theta))$  is a prehomogeneous vector space. Such prehomogeneous vector spaces are called prehomogeneous vector spaces of parabolic type.

We can easily show that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d_n(\theta)$  and the Killing form  $K$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfy the conditions from (3.3) to (3.6). If  $(\mathfrak{l}_\theta, \text{ad}, d_1(\theta), K|_{\mathfrak{l}_\theta \times \mathfrak{l}_\theta})$  is a standard quadruplet, i.e.  $\mathfrak{l}_\theta = [d_{-1}(\theta), d_1(\theta)]$  holds, we call it a **quadruplet of parabolic type**. Note that a direct sum of quadruplets of parabolic type is again a quadruplet of parabolic type. Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  be a standard quadruplet. Then the Lie algebra  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is finite-dimensional if and only if  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is equivalent to some quadruplet of parabolic type.*

**Proof.** By applying Proposition 3.3, we can obtain that the Lie algebra associated to a quadruplet of parabolic type is finite-dimensional immediately. Let us prove the converse. Assume that  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is finite-dimensional. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is indecomposable. Then, by Proposition 3.13,  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is simple. Hence, the bilinear form  $B$  defined in Definition 3.1 is a scalar multiple of the Killing form  $K_L$  on  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ , that is, there exists a non-zero element  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $K_L = cB$ . We define a linear endomorphism  $\delta$  on  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  by  $\delta(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2nx_n$  where  $x_n \in V_n$ . Then, by the theory of Lie algebras,  $\delta$  is an inner derivation, i.e., there exists an element  $H \in L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  such that  $\delta = \text{ad}(H)$ . Since  $\text{ad}(H)$  is diagonalizable, there exists a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  such that  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $R$  be the root system of  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let us construct a fundamental system of  $R$ .

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not commutative, i.e.,  $[V_0, V_0] \neq \{0\}$ . By the definition of  $H$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is also a Cartan subalgebra of  $V_0$ . Put  $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} := \mathfrak{h} \cap [V_0, V_0]$  and denote the restriction of  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  to  $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$  by  $\bar{\gamma}$ . Then there exists a subset  $\theta = \{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^r\}$  of  $R$  such that  $\{\bar{\alpha}^1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}^r\}$  is a fundamental root system of the root system of  $[V_0, V_0]$  with respect to  $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi' &:= \{\beta \in R \mid \beta(H) = 2, \beta - \alpha^s \notin R \text{ for } s = 1, \dots, r\} \\ &= \{\beta^1, \dots, \beta^l\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\theta \cup \varphi' = \{\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^r, \beta^1, \dots, \beta^l\}$  is a fundamental root system of  $R$ . In fact, we can easily show that any root can be written as  $n(1)\alpha^1 + \dots + n(r)\alpha^r + m(1)\beta^1 + \dots + m(l)\beta^l$  where all  $n(i)$  and  $m(j)$  are non-negative integers or all  $n(i)$  and  $m(j)$  are non-positive integers. Thus it is enough

to show that  $\theta \cup \varphi'$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $c(1), \dots, c(r), d(1), \dots, d(l) \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$c(1)\alpha^1 + \dots + c(r)\alpha^r + d(1)\beta^1 + \dots + d(l)\beta^l = 0.$$

By renumbering the elements of  $\theta \cup \varphi'$ , we can suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} c(1), \dots, c(s) &\geq 0, & c(s+1), \dots, c(r) &< 0, \\ d(1), \dots, d(t) &\geq 0, & d(t+1), \dots, d(l) &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

without loss of generality. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &:= c(1)\alpha^1 + \dots + c(s)\alpha^s + d(1)\beta^1 + \dots + d(t)\beta^t \\ &= -c(s+1)\alpha^{s+1} - \dots - c(r)\alpha^r - d(t+1)\beta^{t+1} - \dots - d(l)\beta^l. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq K_L(H_\lambda, H_\lambda) &= - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s, s+1 \leq j \leq r} c(i)c(j)K_L(H_{\alpha^i}, H_{\alpha^j}) - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t, t+1 \leq j \leq l} d(i)d(j)K_L(H_{\beta^i}, H_{\beta^j}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq s \leq r, t+1 \leq j \leq l} c(i)d(j)K_L(H_{\alpha^i}, H_{\beta^j}) - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t, s+1 \leq j \leq r} d(i)c(j)K_L(H_{\beta^i}, H_{\alpha^j}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

where  $H_\gamma$  denotes the element in  $\mathfrak{h}$  corresponding to  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  which satisfies  $K_L(H_\gamma, h) = \gamma(h)$  for any  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . By the definition of  $\theta \cup \varphi'$ , it follows that  $\gamma^1 - \gamma^2 \notin R$  for any  $\gamma^1, \gamma^2 \in \theta \cup \varphi'$  and thus  $K_L(H_{\gamma^1}, H_{\gamma^2}) \leq 0$ . Therefore, we have  $K_L(H_\lambda, H_\lambda) = 0$  and  $\lambda = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(1)\alpha^1(H) + \dots + c(s)\alpha^s(H) + d(1)\beta^1(H) + \dots + d(t)\beta^t(H) \\ = 2d(1) + \dots + 2d(t) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $d(1) = \dots = d(t) = 0$ . Moreover, it follows that  $c(1) = \dots = c(s) = 0$  from the assumption that  $\theta$  is linearly independent. Similarly, we have  $c(s+1) = \dots = c(r) = d(t+1) = \dots = d(l) = 0$ . Therefore  $\theta \cup \varphi'$  is a fundamental root system of  $R$ .

If  $[V_0, V_0] = \{0\}$ , that is,  $V_0$  is commutative, then a set  $\varphi := \{\beta \in R \mid \beta(H) = 2\}$  is a fundamental root system of  $R$ . Indeed, we can show it by a similar argument.

Summarizing the above argument, it follows that  $H$  is a grading element and thus  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho_1, V_1, cB_0)$  is a quadruplet of parabolic type and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is equivalent to it. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

It follows from Theorem 3.14 that any semisimple Lie algebra can be obtained from some standard quadruplet. In particular, for a positive integer  $m \geq 2$ , we can obtain  $\mathfrak{sl}_{m+1}$  and  $\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}$  from standard quadruplets given in Example 1.18 and 1.19 respectively.

## 4 Prehomogeneous vector spaces and quadruplets

In this section, we shall discuss about the prehomogeneity condition of a triplet  $(G, \rho, V)$  under the assumption that  $G$  is reductive by using the  $\Phi$ -map of  $(\text{Lie}(G), d\rho, V, B_0)$  where  $B_0$  is a bilinear form on  $\text{Lie}(G)$ . The main result of this section is to give another proof of castling transform (Theorem 4.7).

### 4.1 Prehomogeneous quadruplets

A prehomogeneous vector space is a triplet which consists of a connected algebraic group  $G$  and its representation  $\rho$  on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  such that there exists a Zariski dense orbit  $\rho(G)v \subset V$  ( $v \in V$ ). Such an element  $v$  is called a generic point of  $(G, \rho, V)$ . In the case where  $G$  is reductive, the prehomogeneity of a triplet  $(G, \rho, V)$  can be described by using a  $\Phi$ -map.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic group,  $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space and  $\rho$  a representation of  $G$  on  $V$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$  and  $d\rho$  the derived representation of  $\rho$  on  $V$ . Then the triplet  $(G, \rho, V)$  is prehomogeneous if and only if there exists a non-degenerate symmetric invariant form  $B_0$  and an element  $v \in V$  such that the  $\Phi$ -map at  $v$  of a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g}, d\rho, V, B_0)$ ,  $\Phi_{d\rho, v} : V^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is injective.*

**Proof.** Take an arbitrary non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form and denote it by  $B_0$ .

Assume that  $(G, \rho, V)$  is a prehomogeneous vector space and  $v$  is its generic point. Then it is known that a vector subspace  $d\rho(\mathfrak{g})v$  of  $V$  coincides with  $V$ . Then  $\Phi_{d\rho, v}$  is injective. If not, there exists a non-zero element  $\phi$  such that  $\Phi_{d\rho, v}(\phi) = 0$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= B_0(a, \Phi_{d\rho, v}(\phi)) \\ &= \langle d\rho(a)v, \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption that  $d\rho(\mathfrak{g})v$  coincides with  $V$ . Thus  $\Phi_{d\rho, v}$  is injective.

Conversely, suppose that there exists an element  $v \in V$  such that  $\Phi_{d\rho, v}$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, d\rho, V, B_0)$  is injective. Then  $(G, \rho, V)$  is a PV and  $v$  is its generic point. Indeed, if  $v$  is not a generic point, then  $d\rho(\mathfrak{g})v$  is a proper subspace of  $V$  and there exists a non-zero element  $\phi$  such that  $0 = \langle d\rho(a)v, \phi \rangle = B_0(a, \Phi_{d\rho, v}(\phi))$  for any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $B_0$  is non-degenerate, we have  $\Phi_{d\rho, v}(\phi) = 0$  and a contradiction to the assumption that  $\Phi_{d\rho, v}$  is injective. Therefore  $v$  is a generic point.  $\blacksquare$

**Definition 4.2.** A standard quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$  is called a **prehomogeneous quadruplet** if and only if there exists an element  $v \in V$  such that  $\Phi_{\rho, v}$  is injective.

**Example 4.3.** For  $n \geq 3$ , a triplet  $(SO_n, \Lambda_1, \mathbb{C}^n)$  is not a PV, where  $\Lambda_1$  is the natural representation of  $SO_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n = M(n, 1; \mathbb{C})$ . To check this, under the notation of Example 1.4, let us show

that a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{so}_n, \Lambda_1, \mathbb{C}^n, T_n|_{\mathfrak{so}_n \times \mathfrak{so}_n})$  is not prehomogeneous. In fact, for any column vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  we have

$$\Phi_{\Lambda_1, v}(v) = \frac{1}{2}(v^t v - v^t v) = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Thus we have our claim.

However, a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_n, \square \otimes \Lambda_1, \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0)$  is prehomogeneous, where  $\square$  is a scalar multiplication of  $\mathfrak{gl}_1 = \mathbb{C}$  and  $B_0$  is a bilinear form defined by:

$$B_0((a, A), (a', A')) := -aa' + 2\text{Tr } AA' \quad (4.2)$$

where  $a, a' \in \mathfrak{gl}_1 = \mathbb{C}$  and  $A, A' \in \mathfrak{so}_n = \text{Alt}_n$ . The representation space can be identified with  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and the action of  $\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_n$  can be given as:

$$(\square \otimes \Lambda_1)(a, A)v = av + Av \quad (4.3)$$

where  $a \in \mathfrak{gl}_1$ ,  $A \in \mathfrak{so}_n$  and  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then, the map  $\hat{\Phi}_{\square \otimes \Lambda_1}$  is given as follows:

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\square \otimes \Lambda_1}(v \otimes \phi) = (-{}^t v \phi, v^t \phi - \phi^t v) \quad (4.4)$$

where  $v, \phi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Put  $v_0 := {}^t(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then we have

$$(\square \otimes \Lambda_1)(\Phi_{\square \otimes \Lambda_1, v_0}(\phi)) \cdot v_0 = -\phi. \quad (4.5)$$

Thus the map  $\hat{\Phi}_{\square \otimes \Lambda_1, v_0}$  is injective. Therefore  $(\mathfrak{gl}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_n, \square \otimes \Lambda_1, \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0)$  is a prehomogeneous quadruplet.

## 4.2 A triplet of the form $(G \times GL_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$

In this section, we will consider an important theorem in the theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces, castling transform (See Proposition 7, p37, [S-K]).

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a reductive Lie algebra,  $\rho$  a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ ,  $B_0$  a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n$ -vectors  $v^1, \dots, v^n \in V$  (resp.  $\phi^1, \dots, \phi^n \in V^*$ ), we define a vector subspace  $S_{(v^1, \dots, v^n)} \subset (V^*)^n$  (resp.  $S_{(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^n)}^* \subset V^n$ ) by:

$$S_{(v^1, \dots, v^n)} := \{(\psi^1, \dots, \psi^n) \in (V^*)^n \mid \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{\Phi}_\rho(v^k \otimes \phi^k) = 0, \langle v^i, \psi^j \rangle = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \quad (4.6)$$

(resp.

$$S_{(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^n)}^* := \{(u^1, \dots, u^n) \in V^n \mid \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{\Phi}_\rho(u^k \otimes \phi^k) = 0, \langle u^i, \phi^j \rangle = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\hat{\Phi}_\rho$  is the  $\Phi$ -map of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *We continue to use the notation of Definition 4.4. Then a standard quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0 \oplus T_n)$  (resp.  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \rho^* \otimes \Lambda_1, V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0 \oplus T_n)$ ) is prehomogeneous if and only if there exists  $n$ -vectors  $v^1, \dots, v^n \in V$  (resp.  $\phi^1, \dots, \phi^n \in V^*$ ) such that  $S_{(v^1, \dots, v^n)} = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$  (resp.  $S_{(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^n)} = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ ).*

**Proof.** We prove for a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0 \oplus T_n)$ . For a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \rho^* \otimes \Lambda_1, V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0 \oplus T_n)$ , our claim can be proved by the same way.

Let  $e_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be a column vector whose coefficients are all zero except the  $i$ -th one which is equal to 1 and  $E_{ij} \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix whose coefficients are all zero except the  $(i, j)$ -th one which is equal to 1. Then for any  $v \in V$  and  $\phi \in V^*$ , we have

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\rho \otimes \Lambda_1}((v \otimes e_i) \otimes (\phi \otimes e_j)) = (\delta_{ij} \hat{\Phi}_{\rho}(v \otimes \phi), \langle v, \phi \rangle E_{ij}) \quad (4.8)$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta.

Suppose that  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0 \oplus T_n)$  is a prehomogeneous quadruplet and  $v^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + v^n \otimes e_n \in V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  ( $v^1, \dots, v^n \in V$ ) is its generic point. Then we have  $S_{(v^1, \dots, v^n)} = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ . In fact, take an arbitrary element  $(\psi^1, \dots, \psi^n) \in S_{(v^1, \dots, v^n)}$ , then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\Phi}_{\rho \otimes \Lambda_1}((v^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + v^n \otimes e_n) \otimes (\psi^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + \psi^n \otimes e_n)) \\ &= \left( \hat{\Phi}_{\rho}(v^1 \otimes \psi^1 + \dots + v^n \otimes \psi^n), \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \langle v^i, \psi^j \rangle E_{ij} \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Therefore, we have  $\psi^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + \psi^n \otimes e_n = 0$  and thus  $(\psi^1, \dots, \psi^n) = (0, \dots, 0)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $n$ -vectors  $v^1, \dots, v^n \in V$  satisfy  $S_{(v^1, \dots, v^n)} = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ . Then  $v^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + v^n \otimes e_n$  is a generic point of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \rho, V, B_0)$ . In fact, suppose that  $\phi^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + \phi^n \otimes e_n$  satisfies

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\rho \otimes \Lambda_1}((v^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + v^n \otimes e_n) \otimes (\phi^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + \phi^n \otimes e_n)) = 0, \quad (4.10)$$

then we can obtain that  $(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^n) \in S_{(v^1, \dots, v^n)}$  and thus  $\phi^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + \phi^n \otimes e_n = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

The following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Under the notation of Definition 4.4, if  $n \geq m = \dim V$ , then a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0 \oplus T_n)$  is prehomogeneous.*

**Theorem 4.7.** *(castling transform) Under the notation of Definition 4.4, we let  $n < m = \dim V$ . Then a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0 \oplus T_n)$  is prehomogeneous if and only if a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{m-n}, \rho^* \otimes \Lambda_1, V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m-n}, B_0 \oplus T_{m-n})$  is prehomogeneous. Moreover, if  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0 \oplus T_n)$  is prehomogeneous (thus  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{m-n}, \rho^* \otimes \Lambda_1, V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m-n}, B_0 \oplus T_{m-n})$  is also prehomogeneous),*

then for any generic point  $x \in V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  there exists a generic point  $y \in V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m-n}$  such that the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -part of the isotropy subalgebra at  $x$  denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}_x$  coincides with the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -part of the isotropy subalgebra at  $y$  denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}_y$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that a quadruplet  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \rho \otimes \Lambda_1, V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, B_0 \oplus T_n)$  is prehomogeneous and  $n$ -vectors  $v^1, \dots, v^n$  satisfy  $S_{(v^1, \dots, v^n)} = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ . Then vectors  $v^1, \dots, v^n \in V$  are linearly independent. In fact, if there exists scalars  $(c(1), \dots, c(n)) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$  such that  $c(1)v^1 + \dots + c(n)v^n = 0$ , then we have a non-zero element  $\phi \in V^*$  such that  $\langle v^i, \phi \rangle = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $(c(1)\phi, \dots, c(n)\phi)$  is a non-zero element of  $S_{(v^1, \dots, v^n)}$ . It is a contradiction to the assumption that  $S_{(v^1, \dots, v^n)} = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ .

Put  $U := \mathbb{C}v^1 + \dots + \mathbb{C}v^n$  and denote the orthogonal space of  $U$  in  $V^*$  by  $U^\perp$ . Then  $U^\perp$  is a  $(m-n)$ -dimensional vector subspace of  $V^*$ . Take an arbitrary basis of  $U^\perp$ , denoted by  $\phi^1, \dots, \phi^{m-n} \in V^*$ . Then  $\phi^1, \dots, \phi^{m-n} \in V^*$  satisfy  $S_{(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^{m-n})} = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ . In fact, suppose that  $(u^1, \dots, u^{m-n}) \in S_{(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^{m-n})}$ . Then for any  $i, j$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m-n$ ), we have

$$\langle u^i, \phi^j \rangle = 0, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\hat{\Phi}_\rho(u^1 \otimes \phi^1 + \dots + u^{m-n} \otimes \phi^{m-n}) = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

It follows from (4.11) that  $u^1, \dots, u^{m-n} \in U$  and thus there exist scalars  $c(k, l) \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m-n, 1 \leq l \leq n$ ) which satisfy

$$u^k = c(k, 1)v^1 + \dots + c(k, n)v^n \quad (1 \leq k \leq m-n). \quad (4.13)$$

Then it follows from (4.12) that

$$\sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \hat{\Phi}_\rho \left( v^l \otimes \left( \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m-n} c(k, l) \phi^k \right) \right) = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Therefore  $((\sum_{1 \leq k \leq m-n} c(k, 1)\phi^k), \dots, (\sum_{1 \leq k \leq m-n} c(k, n)\phi^k)) \in S_{(v^1, \dots, v^n)} = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ . Therefore we have  $c(k, l) = 0$  for any  $k, l$  and  $(u^1, \dots, u^{m-n}) = (0, \dots, 0)$ , that is,  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{m-n}, \rho^* \otimes \Lambda_1, V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m-n}, B_0 \oplus T_{m-n})$  is also prehomogeneous.

Next, we put  $x := v^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + v^n \otimes e_n \in V \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $y := \phi^1 \otimes e_1 + \dots + \phi^{m-n} \otimes e_{m-n} \in V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m-n}$ . Let us show that we have  $\mathfrak{g}_x = \mathfrak{g}_y$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for an element  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  to belong to  $\mathfrak{g}_x$  is that there exists an element  $A = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b(i, j)E_{i, j} \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$  ( $b(i, j) \in \mathbb{C}$ )

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\rho \otimes \Lambda_1)(a, A) \cdot x \\ &= (\rho \otimes \Lambda_1)(a, A) \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} v^i \otimes e_i \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left( \rho(a)v^i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} b(i, j)v^j \right) \otimes e_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_x = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \rho(a)U \subset U\}. \quad (4.15)$$

Similarly we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_y = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \rho^*(a)U^\perp \subset U^\perp\}. \quad (4.16)$$

By an easy calculation, we can obtain  $\mathfrak{g}_x = \mathfrak{g}_y$ . This completes the proof. ■

## References

- [Bu-1] N. Bourbaki, Lie groups and Lie algebras Chapters 1-3 , Springer-Verlag 1989.
- [Bu-2] N. Bourbaki, Lie groups and Lie algebras Chapters 4-6 , Springer-Verlag 2002.
- [Bu-3] N. Bourbaki, Lie groups and Lie algebras Chapters 7-9 , Springer-Verlag 2005.
- [Ka] V.G.Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, third edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [K-A] S. Kaneyuki and H. Asano, Graded Lie algebras and generalized Jordan triple systems, Nagoya Math. J. vol 112., 1988, 81–115
- [KiKaMOT] T. Kimura, T. Kamiyoshi, N. Maki, M. Ouchi and M. Takano, A classification of representations  $\rho \otimes \Lambda_1$  of reductive algebraic groups  $G \times SL_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with finitely many orbits, Algebras Groups Geom. 25, (2008), no. 2, 115–159.
- [Ru-1] H. Rubenthaler, Espaces préhomogènes de type parabolique, Lect. Math. Kyoto Univ. 14, 1982, 189–221.
- [Ru-2] H. Rubenthaler, Espaces préhomogènes de type parabolique, Thèse d’Etat, Université de Strasbourg, 1982.
- [Ru-3] H. Rubenthaler, Algèbres de Lie et espaces préhomogènes, Travaux en cours, Hermann, Paris, 1992.
- [S-K] M. Sato and T. Kimura, A classification of irreducible prehomogeneous vector spaces and their relative invariants, Nagoya Math. J. **65** (1977), 1–155.