

The path-distance-width of hypercubes

Otachi, Yota
Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/1434366>

出版情報 : COE Lecture Note. 48, pp.47-56, 2013-03-28. 九州大学マス・フォア・インダストリ研究所
バージョン :
権利関係 :



The path-distance-width of hypercubes

Yota Otachi

Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

Hakata Workshop 2013. January 26



Outline


- 1 Introduction
 - Motivation
 - Path-distance-width
 - Lower bounds on width parameters of hypercubes
 - Our result
- 2 Proof
 - Upper bound
 - Lower bound
 - Applying the lower bound to hypercubes
- 3 Concluding remarks
 - Conclusion

Hypercube

Definition (Hypercube)

The d -dimensional hypercube Q_d , or d -cube, is the graph with

- $V(Q_d)$ = the set of all binary strings of length d ,
- $E(Q_d)$ = the pairs of strings of Hamming distance 1.



Properties of hypercubes

- $|V(Q_d)| = 2^d$, $|E(Q_d)| = d \cdot 2^{d-1}$ ($\because Q_d$ is d -regular)
- diameter of Q_d is d (attained by 0^d and 1^d)

We study a **width parameter** of hypercubes.

Width parameters of graphs

Several **width parameters** are studied in algorithmic graph theory

Parameters from industrial applications

- Band-width: minimizing maximum dilation in a linear circuit
- Cut-width: minimizing maximum congestion in a linear circuit

Graph minor related parameters

- Tree-width: measures how close a graph is to a tree
- Path-width: measures how close a graph is to a path
- Branch-width, Carving-width, etc.

Many intractable graph problems become easy for graphs of bounded width parameters.

Our width parameter

- **Path-distance-width**: minimizing the width of BFS

Width parameters of hypercubes

Width parameters of hypercubes

Studies on width parameters of hypercubes give better understanding on these parameters. This is because:

- Usually, hypercubes have large width parameters;
- But basic tools (for l.b.) do not work for hypercubes (eg. degree);
- Thus we need a nice tool for a good lower bound;

Known results

- Cut-width (e) by [Harper (1964)]
- Band-width (e) by [Harper (1966)]
- Path-width (e) and Treewidth (a) by [Chandran & Kavitha (2006)]
- Carving-width (e) by [Chandran & Kavitha (2006)]

e: exact, a: asymptotic


Definition: Path-distance-width (1 of 2)

Definition (Distance structure)

$D(S) = (L_0, \dots, L_t)$ is a **distance structure** of G rooted at S if

- $\bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq t} L_i = V(G)$, and
- $L_i = \{v \in V(G) \mid d(S, v) = i\}$,

where $d(S, v) = \min_{u \in S} d(u, v)$.



Definition: Path-distance-width (2 of 2)


Definition (Path-distance-width)

The *path-distance-width* of G with initial set S , denoted $\text{pdw}_S(G)$, is

$$\text{pdw}_S(G) = \max_{L_i \in D_G(S)} |L_i|.$$

The *path-distance-width* of G is defined as

$$\text{pdw}(G) = \min_{S \subseteq V(G)} \text{pdw}_S(G).$$



* pdw is defined for connected graphs only.

History of path-distance-width

Some algorithmic results are known.

- Introduced to study the graph isomorphism problem [Yamazaki, Bodlaender, de Fluiter, Thilikos (1997)]
 - Determining the pdw of a graph is NP-hard
 - For pdw bounded graphs, GI can be solved in poly time.
- Approximation hardness for trees [Yamazaki (2001)]
- Constant-factor approximation algorithms for graphs with path-like structures [O. et al. (2011)]
- An improved algorithm for the graph isomorphism problem [O. (2012)]
 - For a subclass of the class of pdw bounded graphs, GI can be solved in FPT time.


Vertex- and edge-boundaries

Definition (Vertex-boundary)

Let G be a graph, $X \subseteq V(G)$, and $s \in \{1, \dots, |V(G)|\}$.

- Vertex boundary: $\partial_v(X) = \{v \in V(G) \setminus X \mid \exists u \in X, \{u, v\} \in E(G)\}$
- Vertex isoperimetric value: $\partial_v(s) = \min_{|X|=s} |\partial_v(X)|$

* Edge boundry ∂_e is defined analogously.



In this example, $\partial_v(3) = 2$.

Isoperimetric value based lower bounds

The following lower bounds are developed to determine the width parameters of hypercubes, but hold for any graphs.

Theorem (Chandran & Subramanian (2005))

$$\text{tree-width}(G) \geq \min_{s/2 \leq i \leq s} \partial_v(i) \quad \text{for any } s \leq |V(G)|.$$

Theorem (Chandran & Kavitha (2006))

$$\text{carving-width}(G) \geq \min_{s/2 \leq i \leq s} \partial_e(i) \quad \text{for any } s \leq |V(G)|.$$

We present a lower bound in a similar form:

Theorem

For any w and s with $w \leq s \leq |V(G)|$,

$$\text{pdw}(G) \geq \min \left\{ w, \min_{s-w \leq i \leq s} \partial_v(i) \right\}.$$

Our result

We present a general lower bound on path-distance-width of graphs, and determine the path-distance-width of hypercubes by applying the bound.

Theorem (General lower bound)

For any w and s with $w \leq s \leq |V(G)|$,

$$\text{pdw}(G) \geq \min \left\{ w, \min_{s-w \leq i \leq s} \partial_v(i) \right\}.$$

Theorem (The path-distance-width of hypercubes)

For any d ,

$$\text{pdw}(Q_d) = \binom{d}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

Upper bound

The upper bound can be achieved by taking only one vertex.

Lemma


$$\text{pdw}(Q_d) \leq \binom{d}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

Proof.

Let $D(\{0^d\}) = (L_0, \dots, L_t)$ is the distance structure rooted at $\{0^d\}$. Then

$L_i = \{u \in Q_d \mid i \text{ has exactly } i \text{ non-zero entries}\}.$

Thus $\max_i |L_i| = \max_i \binom{d}{i} = \binom{d}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$ □



$$\text{pdw}(Q_4) = \binom{4}{\lfloor 4/2 \rfloor} = 6 \quad \text{pdw}(Q_5) \leq \binom{5}{\lfloor 5/2 \rfloor} = 10$$

Naïve lower bounds

We have two general lower bounds. They are too weak for hypercubes.

Lemma

For any connected graph G with minimum degree $\delta(G)$,

$$\text{pdw}(G) \geq (\delta(G) + 1)/2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{pdw}(Q_d) \geq (d + 1)/2.$$

Lemma

For any connected graph G with diameter $\text{diam}(G)$,

$$\text{pdw}(G) \geq |V(G)|/(\text{diam}(G) + 1).$$


$$\Rightarrow \text{pdw}(Q_d) \geq 2^d/(d + 1). \quad \binom{d}{d/2} \sim 2^d / \sqrt{\pi d/2}$$

Lower bound

Theorem

For any w and s with $w \leq s \leq |V(G)|$,

$$\text{path-distance-width}(G) \geq \min \left\{ w, \min_{s-w \leq i \leq s} \partial_v(i) \right\}.$$



Finding a fat rectangle below the red line.

- width = w
- height = $\min_{s-w \leq i \leq s} \partial_v(i)$

$\min\{\text{width}, \text{height}\}$ gives a l.b.

Blue rectangle: 700×160

Green rectangle: 350×250

Proof of the lower bound

Remark

Let (L_0, \dots, L_t) be a distance structure of G . Then, for any $j \leq t-1$,

$$\partial(\cup_{i=0}^j L_i) = L_{j+1}.$$

Theorem

For any w and s with $w \leq s \leq |V(G)|$,

$$\text{path-distance-width}(G) \geq \min \left\{ w, \min_{s-w \leq i \leq s} \partial_v(i) \right\}.$$

Proof.

Let (L_0, \dots, L_t) be a distance structure of G .

- $|\cup_{i=0}^j L_i| \in \{s-w, \dots, s\}$ for some $j \implies |L_{j+1}| \geq \min_{s-w \leq i \leq s} \partial_v(i)$.
- Otherwise, $\exists j$ s.t. $|\cup_{i=0}^j L_i| < s-w$ and $|\cup_{i=0}^j L_i \cup L_{j+1}| > s$.

□

Isoperimetric ordering for hypercubes

For a binary string u , let $\text{pop}(u)$ denote the number of 1's in u .

Definition (Simplicial ordering)

The **simplicial ordering** $<$ on the binary strings of length d is an ordering such that $u_1 u_2 \dots u_d < v_1 v_2 \dots v_d \iff \text{pop}(u) < \text{pop}(v)$, or $\text{pop}(u) = \text{pop}(v)$ and there exists j s.t. $u_j > v_j$ and $u_i = v_i$ for $i < j$.

eg: $000 < 100 < 010 < 001 < 110 < 101 < 011 < 111$

Theorem (Harper 1966)

Let X_s be the set of the first s vertices of Q_d in the simplicial order. Then


$$\partial_v(s) = |\partial_v(X_s)|.$$

The above theorem is developed to determine the band-width of Q_d

Theorem (Harper 1966 and Wang, Wu, Dumitrescu 2009)

$$\text{band-width}(Q_d) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{i}{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor}.$$

Plotting isoperimetric values of Q_d



We know: $\text{pdw}(Q_d) \leq \binom{d}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}$

Task: finding the widest rectangle with height $\binom{d}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}$ below the red line.

Proof of the lower bound

Let $s = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \binom{i}{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor}$ and $w = \binom{d}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}$. We can show that, for $s - w \leq i \leq s$, $\delta_v(i) \geq \binom{d}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}$ by exploiting the structure of the simplicial ordering.

There is a shortcut. Let $f(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m/2} \binom{2i-1}{i}$ for even m .

Theorem (Kleitman 1986)

If d is even and $s \in \{2^{d-1} - \frac{1}{2}\binom{d}{d/2} - f(d) + 1, \dots, 2^{d-1} + f(d-2)\}$ or d is odd and $s \in \{2^{d-1} - f(d+1) + 1, \dots, 2^{d-1} + f(d-1)\}$, then

$$\delta_v(s) \geq \binom{d}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

It suffices to show that these ranges are wide enough

\Leftarrow just a routine task.

Conclusion

Theorem (General lower bound)

For any w and s with $w \leq s \leq |V(G)|$, $\text{pdw}(G) \geq \min \left\{ w, \min_{s-w \leq i \leq s} \partial_v(i) \right\}$.

Theorem (The path-distance-width of hypercubes)

For any d , $\text{pdw}(Q_d) = \binom{d}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}$.

More applications of the lower bound?

- For grids (Cartesian products of paths), the generalized simplicial ordering gives isoperimetric values.
- For even tori (Cartesian products of cycles of even length), the generalized simplicial ordering gives isoperimetric values.
- For Hamming graphs (Cartesian products of complete graphs), no such ordering is known.

Other (more applicable) lower bounds?

Thank you!