

POWERSSET MONAD, FILTER MONAD AND PRIMEFILTER MONAD IN THE CATEGORY OF SETS WITH MONOID ACTIONS

Mizoguchi, Yoshihiro
Department of Mathematics, Kyushu University

<https://doi.org/10.5109/13370>

出版情報 : Bulletin of informatics and cybernetics. 21 (3/4), pp.83-95, 1985-03. Research
Association of Statistical Sciences

バージョン :

権利関係 :

POWERSSET MONAD, FILTER MONAD AND PRIMEFILTER MONAD IN THE CATEGORY OF SETS WITH MONOID ACTIONS

By

Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI*

Abstract

We construct a powerset monad, a filter monad and a primefilter monad in the category $M\text{-Set}$ of sets with M -actions. To investigate the categories of algebras of these monads in $M\text{-Set}$, we consider the category of complete semilattices (resp. continuous lattices, compact Hausdorff spaces) with M -actions. We show that if M is a group, this category is isomorphic to the category of algebras of the powerset monad (resp. filter monad, primefilter monad) in $M\text{-Set}$.

1. Introduction

Continuous lattices were introduced by Scott [5] as semantic domains of programming languages. In denotational semantics, data types are characterized by recursive domain equations. So we can argue about semantics only in a category where these equations have solutions. The category CL of continuous lattices and continuous map has just this property. So the investigation of continuous lattices has made a considerable contribution to the development of the semantic theory. For example, the domain equation, $D = [D \rightarrow D]$, has only trivial solutions in the category Set of sets and maps. But in the category CL , the equation has a non-trivial solution D_∞ and this domain plays an important role in the theory of lambda calculus. Further, since continuous lattices have rich structures, many mathematical properties are studied. As one of them, Day [1] identified the category of the filter monad algebras in Set with the category of continuous lattices together with non-empty directed join and arbitrary meet preserving maps as morphisms.

At this point, turning round to see these things, we find these theories and domains are based on the classical logic or on the category Set . So the following ideas appear: What happens, when we can think these things on the intuitionistic logic or on a topos. If we can consider the continuous lattices in a topos, many interesting questions occur. Is there a domain equation which could be solved by classical logic but does not have solutions by intuitionistic logic? How change the solutions of a domain equations? These differences seem to be caused by an essential point of the relation between the semantic theory of programmings and logics.

* Department of Mathematics, Kyushu University 33, Fukuoka 812, Japan.

With these motivations, we first begin considering continuous lattices in a kind of topoi $M\text{-Set}$. The category $M\text{-Set}$ provides a rich source of examples of topoi. For example by assigning a suitable monoid to M , the category $M\text{-Set}$ exhibits a non-classical but bivalent topos [2]. By the results of Day we consider following problems in this paper: *Can we consider the filter monad in the topos $M\text{-Set}$? Dose the algebra of this monad in $M\text{-Set}$ have a rich structure as a continuous lattice has?* In algebraic theory, we first consider a monad (triple) in a base category, next we define algebras by using this monad and construct a category of these algebras [3]. Answering to the first question, we change the base category Set of the filter monad to $M\text{-Set}$. In other words, we extend this algebraic theory from Set to $M\text{-Set}$. For attacking the second question, we compare the algebras of this monad with continuous lattices equipped with M -actions by making a functor between two categories of these objects.

At the same time, the powerset monad and the ultrafilter monad in Set are extended to monads in $M\text{-Set}$. The algebras of these monads are complete semilattices and compact Hausdorff spaces [3, 4]. We also compare these objects equipped with M -actions with algebras in $M\text{-Set}$ by using functors.

In section 2, we tabulate some properties of the category $M\text{-Set}$, algebraic functors [6] and relations, which are fundamental concepts in this paper. In section 3, we construct a powerset monad P_M in $M\text{-Set}$ by using powerobjects and the category $M\text{-Set}^{P_M}$ of P_M -algebras. On the other hand, we consider the category $M\text{-}(\text{Set}^P)$ of P -algebras with M -actions and M -action preserving P -algebra homomorphisms. In other words, objects of $M\text{-}(\text{Set}^P)$ are complete semilattices with supremum preserving M -actions because it is well known that P -algebras are complete semilattices [4]. To compare these two categories $M\text{-Set}^{P_M}$ and $M\text{-}(\text{Set}^P)$, we define an algebraic functor from $M\text{-Set}^{P_M}$ to $M\text{-}(\text{Set}^P)$. We show that if M is a group, then it is an isomorphism of categories. That is, if M is a group P_M -algebras are complete semilattices with supremum preserving M -actions. In section 4, we extend the filter monad F in Set to a monad in $M\text{-Set}$. As the powerobject on an M -set is regarded as the set of M -relations (*cf.* §2), we can naturally induce an order (subset relation) on the powerobject and we can define a filter on M -sets by using this order. So the usual filter monad F can be extended to a monad F_M in $M\text{-Set}$ naturally. For the filter monad F_M , it is shown that if M is a group then the category $M\text{-Set}^{F_M}$ of F_M -algebras is isomorphic to the category $M\text{-}(\text{Set}^F)$ of F -algebras with M -actions and M -action preserving F -algebra homomorphisms. By the result of Day [1] that the objects of Set^F are continuous lattices, it follows that if M is a group then F_M -algebras are continuous lattices with non-empty directed join and arbitrary meet preserving M -actions. In section 5, by modifying the definitions of §4, we obtain the primefilter monad U_M in $M\text{-Set}$. If M is a group, a primefilter on an M -set is an ultrafilter. So the monad U_M includes the ultrafilter monad U in Set by assigning one-point-monoid 1 to M . And we show several properties of the monad U_M by using the results of §4. Especially, we show that if M is a group then U_M -algebras are compact Hausdorff spaces with continuous M -actions.

2. Preliminaries

We recall some relational notations and properties.

Let A, B and C be sets. When α is a subset of $A \times B$, we call that α is a *relation* from A to B and denote it by $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$. For relations $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ and $\beta: B \rightarrow C$, we define a composite $\beta \cdot \alpha: A \rightarrow C$ of α and β by $\beta \cdot \alpha := \{(a, c) \in A \times C \mid (a, b) \in \alpha \text{ and } (b, c) \in \beta \text{ for some } b \in B\}$. For a relation $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$, we denote by $\alpha^\# : B \rightarrow A$ the inverse relation $\alpha^\# := \{(b, a) \in B \times A \mid (a, b) \in \alpha\}$. We identify a map $f: A \rightarrow B$ with a relation $\{(a, f(a)) \in A \times B \mid a \in A\}$ (the graph of f).

Let 1 be the one-point set and A be a set. In this paper we identify an element $a \in A$ with a map $a: 1 \rightarrow A$ and a subset $\alpha \subset A$ with a relation $\alpha: 1 \rightarrow A$.

Let M be a monoid with unit e . For a set A a collection $\sigma = \{\sigma_m: A \rightarrow A \mid m \in M\}$ of maps σ_m from A to A is called an *M-action* on the set A if σ satisfies $\sigma_e = \mathbf{1}_A$ and $\sigma_m \cdot \sigma_n = \sigma_{mn}$ for each $m, n \in M$. An *M-set* is defined to be a pair $\mathbf{A} = (A, \sigma)$ of set A and an *M-action* σ on A . For *M-sets* $\mathbf{A} = (A, \sigma)$ and $\mathbf{B} = (B, \tau)$ we call a map $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ an *M-map* $\Phi: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ if $\tau_m \cdot \Phi = \Phi \cdot \sigma_m$ for each $m \in M$. The category of *M-sets* and *M-maps* is denoted by *M-Set*.

Generally, let \mathcal{C} be a category. A pair $\mathbf{A} = (A, \sigma)$ of an object A of \mathcal{C} and a collection $\sigma = \{\sigma_m: A \rightarrow A \mid m \in M\}$ of endomorphisms σ_m in \mathcal{C} is an *M-object* in \mathcal{C} if it satisfies $\sigma_e = \mathbf{1}_A$ and $\sigma_m \cdot \sigma_n = \sigma_{mn}$ in \mathcal{C} for each $m, n \in M$. For *M-objects* $\mathbf{A} = (A, \sigma)$ and $\mathbf{B} = (B, \tau)$ in \mathcal{C} a morphism $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} is an *M-morphism* in \mathcal{C} if $\tau_m \cdot \Phi = \Phi \cdot \sigma_m$ for each $m \in M$. We denote by *M-C* the category of *M-objects* in \mathcal{C} and *M-morphisms* in \mathcal{C} .

We note that if M is a one-point-monoid 1 , then 1-Set is isomorphic to the category Set of sets and maps.

A monoid M is *abelian* if $nm = mn$ for any $m, n \in M$. We denote by r and l , the right multiplication and the left multiplication of M , respectively. That is, r and l are defined by $r_m(n) = nm = l_n(m)$. We note that $\mathbf{M} = (M, l)$ is an *M-set* and $r_n: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is an *M-map* for each $n \in M$. For an *M-set* $\mathbf{A} = (A, \sigma)$ we call a subset α of A an *M-subset* of \mathbf{A} if it is closed under σ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between *M-subsets* of \mathbf{A} and mono-subobjects of \mathbf{A} in *M-Set*. We call an *M-subset* α of $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A}$ an *M-relation* from M to \mathbf{A} and denote it by $\alpha: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$. The condition that α is an *M-subset* is translated to an equivalent relational expression $\alpha \subset \sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha \cdot l_m$ ($m \in M$). An *M-morphism* $\alpha: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is naturally identified with an *M-relation*. We call a subset $\gamma: 1 \rightarrow M$ a *left ideal* of \mathbf{M} if $l_m \cdot \gamma \subset \gamma$ for each $m \in M$. We denote by L_M the set of all left ideals of M .

It is well known [2] that *M-Set* is a topos and the subobject classifier Ω in *M-Set* is (L_M, ω) , where $\omega_m(\gamma) := r_m^\# \cdot \gamma$ for $m \in M$ and $\gamma \in L_M$.

LEMMA 2.1. For an *M-set* $\mathbf{A} = (A, \sigma)$ the powerobject $\Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$ is the set of all *M-relations* from M to \mathbf{A} with the *M-action* $\rho^{\mathbf{A}}$ defined by $\rho_m^{\mathbf{A}}(\alpha) := \alpha \cdot r_m$ for $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$.

PROOF. The powerobject $\Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$ is the set of all *M-morphisms* $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \Omega$ with the *M-action* p defined by $p_m(\tilde{\alpha}) := \tilde{\alpha} \cdot (r_m \times \mathbf{1}_A)$ for $m \in M$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$ [2]. Since Ω is a subobject classifier, there is a one-to-one correspondence between *M-morphisms* $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \Omega$ and mono-subobjects α of $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A}$. Hence we can identify $\Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$ with the set

of all M -relations from \mathbf{M} to \mathbf{A} . Let ρ^A be the M -action on the set of all M -relations induced by ρ . Then we obtain $\rho_m^A(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot r_m (= (r_m \times \mathbf{1}_A)^{-1}(\alpha))$ for $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in Q^A$ because the following diagram must be a pullback.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_m^A(\alpha) & \hookrightarrow & M \times A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow r_m \times \mathbf{1}_A \\ \alpha & \hookrightarrow & M \times A \end{array}$$

For a monad $T = (T, \eta, \mu)$ in \mathbf{Set} we define a monad $\bar{T} = (\bar{T}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{\mu})$ in $M\text{-Set}$ as follows. Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be M -sets and $\Phi: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ an M -morphism. We define $\bar{T}\mathbf{A}$ by $(T\mathbf{A}, T\sigma)$, where $(T\sigma)_m := T(\sigma_m)$ and $\bar{T}\Phi := T\Phi$. The natural transformations $\bar{\eta}\mathbf{A}: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \bar{T}\mathbf{A}$ and $\bar{\mu}\mathbf{A}: \bar{T}^2\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \bar{T}\mathbf{A}$ are defined by $\bar{\eta}\mathbf{A} := \eta\mathbf{A}$ and $\bar{\mu}\mathbf{A} := \mu\mathbf{A}$, respectively. It is obvious to see that \bar{T} is a monad in $M\text{-Set}$. We denote by $M\text{-Set}^{\bar{T}}$ the category of \bar{T} -algebras and \bar{T} -morphisms [3].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{A} = (A, \sigma)$ be an M -set and $x: TA \rightarrow A$ a map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $x \cdot \eta\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{1}_A$, $x \cdot \mu\mathbf{A} = x \cdot T x$ and $\sigma_m \cdot x = x \cdot T\sigma_m$ for each $m \in M$,
- (b) $((A, \sigma), x)$ is an object of $M\text{-Set}^{\bar{T}}$,
- (c) $((A, x), \sigma)$ is an object of $M\text{-}(\text{Set}^T)$.

PROOF. Since (a) \leftrightarrow (c) and (b) \leftrightarrow (c) are trivial, we only show (a) \rightarrow (b). The last equation of (a) shows that $x: \bar{T}\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is an M -morphism, so the other equations of (a) imply $x \cdot \bar{\eta}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{1}_A$ and $x \cdot \bar{\mu}\mathbf{A} = x \cdot \bar{T}x$ in $M\text{-Set}$. Therefore $((A, \sigma), x) \in M\text{-Set}^{\bar{T}}$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\mathbf{A} = (A, \sigma)$ and $\mathbf{B} = (B, \tau)$ be M -sets, (A, x) and (B, y) objects of $M\text{-Set}^{\bar{T}}$ and $\Phi: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ a map. Then the followings are equivalent:*

- (a) $\Phi \cdot x = y \cdot T\Phi$ and $\Phi \cdot \sigma_m = \tau_m \cdot \Phi$ for each $m \in M$,
- (b) $\Phi: ((A, \sigma), x) \rightarrow ((B, \tau), y)$ is a morphism in $M\text{-Set}^{\bar{T}}$,
- (c) $\Phi: ((A, x), \sigma) \rightarrow ((B, y), \tau)$ is a morphism in $M\text{-}(\text{Set}^T)$.

PROOF. Since (a) \leftrightarrow (c) and (b) \leftrightarrow (c) are trivial, we only show (a) \rightarrow (b). The last equation of (a) shows that $\Phi: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is an M -morphism, so the first equation shows that $\Phi \cdot x = y \cdot \bar{T}\Phi$ in $M\text{-Set}$. Therefore $\Phi: (A, x) \rightarrow (B, y)$ is a \bar{T} -morphism.

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 we obtain the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.4. $M\text{-Set}^{\bar{T}} \cong M\text{-}(\text{Set}^T)$.

For two monads $\mathbf{Q} = (Q, \eta_Q, \mu_Q)$ and $\mathbf{R} = (R, \eta_R, \mu_R)$ in $M\text{-Set}$, a natural transformation $s: Q \rightarrow R$ is called *algebraic* if for each M -set \mathbf{A} ,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad s\mathbf{A} \cdot \eta_Q\mathbf{A} = \eta_R\mathbf{A}, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad s\mathbf{A} \cdot \mu_Q\mathbf{A} = \mu_R\mathbf{A} \cdot sR\mathbf{A} \cdot Qs\mathbf{A} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

If $s: Q \rightarrow R$ is an algebraic natural transformation, then a functor $s^*: M\text{-Set}^R \rightarrow M\text{-Set}^Q$ is defined by $s^*(\mathbf{A}, x) := (\mathbf{A}, x \cdot s\mathbf{A})$ for $(\mathbf{A}, x) \in M\text{-Set}^R$, and $s^*\Phi := \Phi$ for a morphism $\Phi: (\mathbf{A}, x) \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}, y)$ in $M\text{-Set}^R$ [6]. The functor s^* defined in this manner is called an *algebraic functor* induced by s .

LEMMA 2.5. *If an algebraic natural transformation $s: Q \rightarrow R$ is an isomorphism, then the induced algebraic functor $s^*: M\text{-Set}^R \rightarrow M\text{-Set}^Q$ is an isomorphism of categories.*

PROOF. Let $t: R \rightarrow Q$ be the inverse natural transformation of s . Since $tA \cdot sA = \mathbf{1}_{Q_A}$ and $sA \cdot tA = \mathbf{1}_{R_A}$, it easily follows from the algebraic condition (2.1) for s that $\eta_Q A = tA \cdot sA \cdot \eta_Q A = tA \cdot \eta_{R_A} A$ and $\mu_Q A \cdot tQA \cdot RtA = tA \cdot sA \cdot \mu_Q A \cdot tQA \cdot RtA = tA \cdot \mu_{R_A} A \cdot sRA \cdot QsA \cdot tQA \cdot RtA = tA \cdot \mu_{R_A} A \cdot sRA \cdot QsA \cdot QtA \cdot tRA = tA \cdot \mu_{R_A} A \cdot sRA \cdot tRA = tA \cdot \mu_{R_A} A$. Therefore the condition (2.1) for t holds. So we have an algebraic functor $t^*: M\text{-Set}^Q \rightarrow M\text{-Set}^R$ and it is obvious that t^* is inverse functor of s^* .

In the rest of this paper, if no confusion occurs we omit subscripts and superscripts from natural transformations.

3. Powerset Monad in M-Set

In this section, we introduce a powerset monad $P_M = (P_M, \eta_M, \mu_M)$ in $M\text{-Set}$. Next, to compare the category $M\text{-Set}^{P_M}$ of P_M -algebras with the category $M\text{-Set}^{\bar{P}}$ of \bar{P} -algebras, we define an algebraic functor $s_P^*: M\text{-Set}^{P_M} \rightarrow M\text{-Set}^{\bar{P}}$. Finally we show that if M is a group, this functor s_P^* is an isomorphism of categories.

Let A, B be M -sets and $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ an M -morphism. We define an endofunctor $P_M: M\text{-Set} \rightarrow M\text{-Set}$ by $P_M A := \Omega^A$ with an M -action ρ^A and $P_M \Phi(\alpha) := \Phi \cdot \alpha$ ($\alpha \in \Omega^A$).

Moreover we can define natural transformations $\eta_M^P: \mathbf{1}_{M\text{-Set}} \rightarrow P_M$ and $\mu_M^P: P_M^2 \rightarrow P_M$ as follows.

For an M -set $A = (A, \sigma)$, $a \in A$ and $\mathcal{A} \in P_M^2 A$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m, c) \in \eta_M^P A(a) & \quad \text{iff} \quad c = \sigma_m(a), \\ (m, a) \in \mu_M^P A(\mathcal{A}) & \quad \text{iff} \quad (m, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } (e, a) \in \alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in P_M A. \end{aligned}$$

To prove exactly that P_M defines a functor and η_M^P, μ_M^P define natural transformations, we must check the followings:

- (i) $P_M \Phi(\alpha)$ is an M -relation for $\alpha \in P_M A$,
- (ii) $P_M \Phi$ is an M -morphism,
- (iii) $\eta_M^P A(a)$ is an M -relation for $a \in A$,
- (iv) $\eta_M^P A$ is an M -morphism,
- (v) η_M^P is a natural transformation,
- (vi) $\mu_M^P A(\mathcal{A})$ is an M -relation for $\mathcal{A} \in P_M^2 A$,
- (vii) $\mu_M^P A$ is an M -morphism,
- (viii) μ_M^P is a natural transformation.

We now verify above conditions. Let m and n be elements of M .

- (i) $\tau_n^* \cdot P_M \Phi(\alpha) \cdot l_n = \tau_n^* \cdot \Phi \cdot \alpha \cdot l_n \supset \Phi \cdot \sigma_n^* \cdot \alpha \cdot l_n \supset \Phi \cdot \alpha = P_M \Phi(\alpha)$.
- (ii) $(\rho_m^B \cdot P_M \Phi)(\alpha) = \rho_m^B(\Phi \cdot \alpha) = \Phi \cdot \alpha \cdot r_m = P_M \Phi(\alpha \cdot r_m) = (P_M \Phi \cdot \rho_m^A)(\alpha)$.
- (iii) $(\sigma_n \cdot \eta A(a))(m) = (\sigma_n \cdot \sigma_m)(a) = \sigma_{nm}(a) = (\eta A(a) \cdot l_n)(m)$.
- (iv) Since $(\eta A(a) \cdot r_n)(m) = (\eta A(a))(mn) = \sigma_{mn}(a) = \sigma_m \cdot \sigma_n(a) = ((\eta A \cdot \sigma_n)(a))(m)$, we have $(\rho_n^A \cdot \eta A)(a) = \eta A(a) \cdot r_n = (\eta A \cdot \sigma_n)(a)$.
- (v) Since $(\Phi \cdot \eta A(a))(m) = (\Phi \cdot \sigma_m)(a) = (\tau_m \cdot \Phi)(a) = ((\eta B \cdot \Phi)(a))(m)$, we have $(P_M \Phi \cdot \eta A)(a) = \Phi \cdot \eta A(a) = (\eta B \cdot \Phi)(a)$.
- (vi) We first show

$$\mu A(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{(n, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha \cdot r_n^*. \quad (3.1)$$

If $(m, a) \in \mu\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ then there exist $\alpha \in P_M\mathcal{A}$ such that $(m, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(e, a) \in \alpha$. Therefore $(m, a) \in \alpha \cdot r_m^\# \subset \bigcup_{(n, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha \cdot r_n^\#$. Conversely assume $(m, a) \in \bigcup_{(n, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha \cdot r_n^\#$. Then we have $(m, a) \in \alpha \cdot r_n^\#$ for some $(n, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}$, and so $m = kn = l_k(n)$ and $(k, a) \in \alpha$ for some $k \in M$. Hence $(e, a) \in \alpha \cdot r_k$. As \mathcal{A} is an M -relation, $(n, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $(l_k(n), \rho_k^A(\alpha)) = (m, \alpha \cdot r_k) \in \mathcal{A}$. This shows $(m, a) \in \mu\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$.

By using (3.1) we have $\sigma_m^\# \cdot \mu\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}) \cdot l_m = \sigma_m^\# \cdot \bigcup_{(n, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha \cdot r_n^\# \cdot l_m = \bigcup_{(n, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha \cdot r_n^\# \cdot l_m \supset \bigcup_{(n, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha \cdot l_m \cdot r_n^\# \supset \bigcup_{(n, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha \cdot r_n^\# = \mu\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ for each $m \in M$. Therefore $\mu\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ is an M -relation.

(vii) For $\mathcal{A} \in P_M^2\mathcal{A}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n, a) &\in (\mu\mathcal{A} \cdot \rho_m^{P_M\mathcal{A}})(\mathcal{A}) = \mu\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A} \cdot r_m) \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in P_M\mathcal{A}; (n, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A} \cdot r_m, (e, a) \in \alpha \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in P_M\mathcal{A}; (nm, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}, (e, a) \in \alpha \\ &\leftrightarrow (nm, a) \in \mu\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}) \\ &\leftrightarrow (n, a) \in \mu\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}) \cdot r_m = (\rho_m^A \cdot \mu\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

(viii) For $\mathcal{A} \in P_M^3\mathcal{A}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (m, b) &\in (\mu\mathcal{B} \cdot P_M^3\Phi)(\mathcal{A}) = \mu\mathcal{B}(P_M\Phi \cdot \mathcal{A}) \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \beta \in P_M\mathcal{B}; (m, \beta) \in P_M\Phi \cdot \mathcal{A}, (e, b) \in \beta \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in P_M\mathcal{A}; (m, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}, (e, b) \in P_M\Phi(\alpha) = \Phi \cdot \alpha (= \beta), \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in P_M\mathcal{A}, \exists a \in \mathcal{A}; (m, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}, (e, a) \in \alpha, b = \Phi(a) \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists a \in \mathcal{A}; (m, a) \in \mu\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}), b = \Phi(a) \\ &\leftrightarrow (m, b) \in \Phi \cdot \mu\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}) = (P_M\Phi \cdot \mu\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{P} = (P, \eta^P, \mu^P)$ the powerset monad in Set [3, 4].

THEOREM 3.1.

(a) $\mathbf{P}_M = (P_M, \eta_M^P, \mu_M^P)$ is a monad in M -Set.

(b) If $M=1$, then \mathbf{P}_1 is the powerset monad \mathbf{P} in Set.

PROOF. (a) Let $\alpha \in P_M\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{F} \in P_M^3\mathcal{A}$.

(i) $\mu\mathcal{A} \cdot \eta P_M\mathcal{A}(\alpha) = \bigcup_{(n, \gamma) \in \eta P_M\mathcal{A}(\alpha)} \gamma \cdot r_n^\# = \bigcup_{n \in M} \rho_n^A(\alpha) \cdot r_n^\# = \bigcup_{n \in M} \alpha \cdot r_n \cdot r_n^\# = \alpha$ (by (3.1)).

Therefore $\mu \cdot \eta P_M = \mathbf{1}_{P_M}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad (n, a) &\in (\mu\mathcal{A} \cdot P_M\eta\mathcal{A})(\alpha) = \mu\mathcal{A}(\eta\mathcal{A} \cdot \alpha) \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \gamma \in P_M\mathcal{A}; (n, \gamma) \in \eta\mathcal{A} \cdot \alpha, (e, a) \in \gamma \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists c \in \mathcal{A}; (n, c) \in \alpha, (e, a) \in \eta\mathcal{A}(c) (= \gamma) \\ &\leftrightarrow (n, a) \in \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu \cdot P_M\eta = \mathbf{1}_{P_M}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii)} \quad (m, a) &\in (\mu\mathcal{A} \cdot P_M\mu\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{F}) = \mu\mathcal{A}(\mu\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{F}) \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in P_M\mathcal{A}; (m, \alpha) \in \mu\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{F}, (e, a) \in \alpha \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \xi \in P_M^2\mathcal{A}; (m, \xi) \in \mathcal{F}, (e, a) \in \mu\mathcal{A}(\xi) (= \alpha) \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \xi \in P_M^2\mathcal{A}, \exists \gamma \in P_M\mathcal{A}; (m, \xi) \in \mathcal{F}, (e, \gamma) \in \xi, (e, a) \in \gamma \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \gamma \in P_M\mathcal{A}; (m, \gamma) \in \mu P_M\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}), (e, a) \in \gamma \\ &\leftrightarrow (m, a) \in \mu\mathcal{A}(\mu P_M\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F})) = (\mu\mathcal{A} \cdot \mu P_M\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu \cdot P_M\mu = \mu \cdot \mu P_M$.

(b) It is obvious that \mathbf{P}_1 is the powerset monad \mathbf{P} in Set.

PROPOSITION 3.2. (a) For an M -set $A=(A, \sigma)$ a map $s_P: \bar{P}A \rightarrow P_M A$, defined by $s_P A(\alpha) = \bigcup_{a \in \alpha} \eta_M A(a)$ ($\alpha \in \bar{P}A$), induces a natural transformation $s_P: \bar{P} \rightarrow P_M$.

(b) The natural transformation $s_P: \bar{P} \rightarrow P_M$ is algebraic.

PROOF. (a) Let $A=(A, \sigma)$ and $B=(B, \tau)$ be M -sets and $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ an M -morphism. Then we obtain $(P_M \Phi \cdot sA)(\alpha) = \Phi \cdot sA(\alpha) = \bigcup_{a \in \alpha} \Phi \cdot \eta_M A(a) = \bigcup_{a \in \alpha} (P_M \Phi \cdot \eta_M A)(a) = \bigcup_{a \in \alpha} (\eta_M B \cdot \Phi)(a) = \bigcup_{b \in \Phi \cdot \alpha} \eta_M B(b) = (sB \cdot \bar{P}\Phi)(\alpha)$.

For $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \bar{P}A$ we have $(\rho_m^A \cdot sA)(\alpha) = \bigcup_{a \in \alpha} \eta_M A(a) \cdot r_m = \bigcup_{a \in \alpha} (\rho_m^A \cdot \eta_M A)(a) = \bigcup_{a \in \alpha} (\eta_M A \cdot \sigma_m)(a) = \bigcup_{c \in \sigma_m \cdot \alpha} \eta_M A(c) = sA(P\sigma_m(\alpha)) = (sA \cdot P\sigma_m)(\alpha)$.

Therefore sA is an M -morphism and s_P is a natural transformation.

(b) Next we check that s_P is algebraic.

(i) For $a \in A$ we have $sA \cdot \bar{\eta} A(a) = sA(\{a\}) = \eta_M A(a)$. Therefore $sA \cdot \bar{\eta} A = \eta_M A$.

(ii) For $\mathcal{A} \in \bar{P}^2 A$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (m, a) &\in (\mu_M A \cdot sP_M A \cdot \bar{P}sA)(\mathcal{A}) \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \xi \in P_M A; (m, \xi) \in (sP_M A \cdot \bar{P}sA)(\mathcal{A}), (e, a) \in \xi \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \xi \in P_M A, \exists \alpha \in \bar{P}sA(\mathcal{A}); (m, \xi) \in \eta_M P_M A(\alpha), (e, a) \in \xi \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in \bar{P}sA(\mathcal{A}); (e, a) \in \rho_m^A(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot r_m (= \xi) \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in \bar{P}sA(\mathcal{A}); (m, a) \in \alpha \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \gamma \in \mathcal{A}; (m, a) \in sA(\gamma) = \bigcup_{c \in \gamma} \eta_M A(c) (= \alpha) \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists \gamma \in \mathcal{A}, \exists c \in \gamma; (m, a) \in \eta_M A(c) \\ &\leftrightarrow \exists c \in \bar{\mu} A(\mathcal{A}); (m, a) \in \eta_M A(c) \\ &\leftrightarrow (m, a) \in (sA \cdot \bar{\mu} A)(\mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $sA \cdot \bar{\mu} A = \mu_M A \cdot sP_M A \cdot \bar{P}sA$.

Since the condition (2.1) holds, then s_P is algebraic.

For an M -set A we define a map $t_P A: P_M A \rightarrow \bar{P}A$ by $t_P A(\alpha) := \alpha \cdot e$ ($\alpha \in P_M A$). We note that the unit element $e \in M$ is identified with a map $e: 1 \rightarrow M$.

PROPOSITION 3.3. t_P is a natural transformation iff M is a group.

PROOF. First we obtain $\bar{P}\Phi \cdot tA = tB \cdot P_M \Phi$ because $(\bar{P}\Phi \cdot tA)(\alpha) = \bar{P}\Phi(\alpha \cdot e) = \Phi \cdot \alpha \cdot e = (tB \cdot P_M \Phi)(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in P_M A$. Next we have $(P\sigma_m \cdot tA)(\alpha) = P\sigma_m(\alpha \cdot e) = \sigma_m \cdot \alpha \cdot e$ and $(tA \cdot \rho_m^A)(\alpha) = tA(\alpha \cdot r_m) = \alpha \cdot r_m \cdot e$ for each $m \in M$. The relation $\sigma_m \cdot \alpha \cdot e \subset \alpha \cdot r_m \cdot e$ ($m \in M$) is trivial. To show that t_P is a natural transformation, we only show $\sigma_m \cdot \alpha \cdot e \supset \alpha \cdot r_m \cdot e$ ($m \in M$).

If M is a group, then $a \in \alpha \cdot r_m \cdot e$ implies $(m, a) \in \alpha$, $(e, \sigma_{m^{-1}}(a)) \in \alpha$ and $a \in \sigma_m \cdot \alpha \cdot e$. So we have $\sigma_m \cdot \alpha \cdot e = \alpha \cdot r_m \cdot e$. Therefore t_P is a natural transformation.

Conversely, assume that t_P is natural. Then we have $\alpha \cdot r_m \cdot e \subset \sigma_m \cdot \alpha \cdot e$ for any M -set A , $a \in A$ and $m \in M$. If we assign $A := (M, l)$ and $\alpha := M \times M$, then there exists $n \in \alpha \cdot e$ such that $l_m(n) = mn = e$ for any $m \in M$ since $e \in \alpha \cdot r_m \cdot e \subset l_m \cdot \alpha \cdot e$. This shows that M is a group.

THEOREM 3.4. If M is a group, then the algebraic functor $s_P^*: M\text{-Set}^{P_M} \rightarrow M\text{-Set}^{\bar{P}}$ is an isomorphism of categories.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.5, we must only show that s is an isomorphism. Let A be an M -set and $\alpha \in \bar{P}A$. Then we have $(tA \cdot sA)(\alpha) = sA(\alpha) \cdot e = \bigcup_{a \in \alpha} \eta_M A(a) \cdot e = \alpha$. Therefore $tA \cdot sA = 1_{\bar{P}A}$.

Next we note that $(sA \cdot tA)(\alpha) = \bigcup_{a \in \alpha \cdot e} \eta_M A(a) = \bigcup_{(e, a) \in \alpha} \eta_M A(a)$. It is obvious that $\bigcup_{(e, a) \in \alpha} \eta_M A(a) \subset \alpha$, and, since M is a group, $(m, b) \in \alpha$ implies $(l_{m^{-1}}(m), \sigma_{m^{-1}}(b)) =$

$(e, \sigma_{m^{-1}}(b)) \in \alpha$ and $(m, b) \in \eta_M \mathbf{A}(\sigma_{m^{-1}}(b))$. So we have $\bigcup_{(e, a) \in \alpha} \eta_M \mathbf{A}(a) = \alpha$ and $s\mathbf{A} \cdot t\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{1}_{P_M \mathbf{A}}$.

We denote by CSL, the category of complete semilattices and arbitrary supremum preserving maps. It is known that $\text{CSL} \cong \text{Set}^P$ as categories. So we obtain the next corollary by the last theorem and Proposition 2.4.

COROLLARY 3.5. *If M is a group, then*

$$M\text{-Set}^{P_M} \cong M\text{-(Set}^P) \cong M\text{-CSL}.$$

By this corollary, if M is a group then a P_M -algebra is identified with the a complete semilattice with a supremum preserving M -action.

4. Filter Monad in $M\text{-Set}$

In this section, we define a filter on M -sets by using the order (inclusion) of M -relations, a filter monad F_M in $M\text{-Set}$ and an algebraic functor $s_F^* : M\text{-Set}^{F_M} \rightarrow M\text{-Set}^{\bar{F}}$. Moreover we show that s_F^* is an isomorphism if M is a group.

A *filter* on an M -set \mathbf{A} is a subset $f \subset \Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$ that satisfies

- (F1) $\Theta \in f$ (where $\Theta := M \times \mathbf{A}$),
- (F2) if $\alpha, \beta \in f$ then $\alpha \cap \beta \in f$,
- (F3) if $\alpha \in f$ and $\alpha \subset \beta$ ($\in \Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$) then $\beta \in f$.

We note that if $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$ then $\alpha \cap \beta \in \Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$.

To obtain an endofunctor $F_M : M\text{-Set} \rightarrow M\text{-Set}$, we define $F_M \mathbf{A}$ to be the set of all filters on \mathbf{A} , and the M -action $\zeta^{\mathbf{A}}$ on $F_M \mathbf{A}$ by

$$\alpha \in \zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}}(f) \quad \text{iff} \quad \sigma_m^* \cdot \alpha \in f$$

for $f \in F_M \mathbf{A}$ and $m \in M$.

For an M -morphism $\Phi : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ we define $F_M \Phi : F_M \mathbf{A} \rightarrow F_M \mathbf{B}$ by

$$\beta \in F_M \Phi(f) \quad \text{iff} \quad \Phi^* \cdot \beta \in f$$

for $f \in F_M \mathbf{A}$.

To check that F_M is a functor, we verify the followings.

(i) $\zeta_m(f)$ is a filter on \mathbf{A} for $m \in M$.

Since $\sigma_m^* \cdot \Theta = \Theta \in f$ we obtain $\Theta \in \zeta_m(f)$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \zeta_m(f)$ then we have $\sigma_m^* \cdot (\alpha \cap \beta) = (\sigma_m^* \cdot \alpha) \cap (\sigma_m^* \cdot \beta) \in f$ and so $\alpha \cap \beta \in \zeta_m(f)$. If $\alpha \in \zeta_m(f)$ and $\alpha \subset \beta \in \Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$ then we have $\beta \in \zeta_m(f)$ by $(\sigma_m^* \cdot \alpha) \subset (\sigma_m^* \cdot \beta) \in f$.

(ii) $F\Phi(f)$ is a filter on \mathbf{B} .

It is similar to (i).

(iii) $\zeta_m^{\mathbf{B}} \cdot F\Phi = F\Phi \cdot \zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}}$ for $m \in M$.

For $f \in F_M \mathbf{A}$ we have $\beta \in (F\Phi \cdot \zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}})(f) \leftrightarrow \Phi^* \cdot \beta \in \zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}}(f) \leftrightarrow \sigma_m^* \cdot \Phi^* \cdot \beta \in f \leftrightarrow \Phi^* \cdot \tau_m^* \cdot \beta \in f \leftrightarrow \tau_m^* \cdot \beta \in F\Phi(f) \leftrightarrow \beta \in (\zeta_m^{\mathbf{B}} \cdot F\Phi)(f)$.

Next three maps $\eta_M^F \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow F_M \mathbf{A}$, $\pi_M^F \mathbf{A} : \Omega^{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \Omega^{F_M \mathbf{A}}$ and $\mu_M^F \mathbf{A} : F_M^2 \mathbf{A} \rightarrow F_M \mathbf{A}$ for an M -set \mathbf{A} are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha \in \eta_M^F \mathbf{A}(a) & \quad \text{iff} \quad (e, a) \in \alpha, \\
 (m, f) \in \pi_M^F \mathbf{A}(\alpha) & \quad \text{iff} \quad \alpha \cdot l_m \in f, \\
 \alpha \in \mu_M^F \mathbf{A}(\mathfrak{F}) & \quad \text{iff} \quad \pi_M^F \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{F}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $a \in \mathbf{A}$, $\alpha \in \Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\mathfrak{F} \in F_M^2 \mathbf{A}$.

For M -sets $\mathbf{A}=(A, \sigma)$, $\mathbf{B}=(B, \tau)$ and an M -morphism $\Phi: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ the following facts holds:

(i) $\eta_M^F \mathbf{A}(a)$ is a filter on \mathbf{A} for $a \in \mathbf{A}$.

(ii) $\zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \eta_M^F \mathbf{A} = \eta_M^F \mathbf{A} \cdot \sigma_m$ for $m \in M$.

For $a \in \mathbf{A}$ we have $\alpha \in (\zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \eta \mathbf{A})(a) \leftrightarrow \sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha \in \eta \mathbf{A}(a) \leftrightarrow (e, a) \in \sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha \leftrightarrow (e, \sigma_m(a)) \in \alpha \leftrightarrow \alpha \in \eta \mathbf{A}(\sigma_m(a)) \leftrightarrow \alpha \in (\eta \mathbf{A} \cdot \sigma_m)(a)$.

(iii) $F_M \Phi \cdot \eta_M^F \mathbf{A} = \eta_M^F \mathbf{B} \cdot \Phi$.

For $a \in \mathbf{A}$ we have $\alpha \in (F_M \Phi \cdot \eta \mathbf{A})(a) \leftrightarrow \Phi^\# \cdot \alpha \in \eta \mathbf{A}(a) \leftrightarrow (e, a) \in \Phi^\# \cdot \alpha \leftrightarrow (e, \Phi(a)) \in \alpha \leftrightarrow \alpha \in \eta \mathbf{B}(\Phi(a)) \leftrightarrow \alpha \in (\eta \mathbf{B} \cdot \Phi)(a)$.

(iv) $\mu_M^F \mathbf{A}(\mathfrak{F})$ is a filter for $\mathfrak{F} \in F_M^2 \mathbf{A}$.

Since $\pi \mathbf{A}(\Theta) = M \times F_M \mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{F}$ we have $\Theta \in \mu \mathbf{A}(\mathfrak{F})$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mu \mathbf{A}(\mathfrak{F})$ then we have $\pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha), \pi \mathbf{A}(\beta) \in \mathfrak{F}$, so $(m, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha \cap \beta) \leftrightarrow (\alpha \cap \beta) \cdot l_m \in f \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_m \cap \beta \cdot l_m \in f \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_m \in f$ and $\beta \cdot l_m \in f \leftrightarrow (m, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \cap \pi \mathbf{A}(\beta)$. Therefore $\pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha \cap \beta) = \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \cap \pi \mathbf{A}(\beta) \in \mathfrak{F}$. If $\alpha \in \mu \mathbf{A}(\mathfrak{F})$ and $\alpha \subset \beta$ then we have $\pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{F}$, so $(m, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_m \in f \rightarrow \beta \cdot l_m \in f \leftrightarrow (m, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\beta)$. Therefore $\pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \subset \pi \mathbf{A}(\beta) \in \mathfrak{F}$.

(v) $\mu_M^F \mathbf{A} \cdot \zeta_m^{F_M \mathbf{A}} = \zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mu_M^F \mathbf{A}$ for $m \in M$.

For $\mathfrak{F} \in F_M^2 \mathbf{A}$ we obtain $\alpha \in (\mu \mathbf{A} \cdot \zeta_m^{F_M \mathbf{A}})(\mathfrak{F}) \leftrightarrow \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \in \zeta_m^{F_M \mathbf{A}}(\mathfrak{F}) \leftrightarrow (\zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}})^\# \cdot \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{F} \leftrightarrow \pi \mathbf{A}(\sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha) \in \mathfrak{F} \leftrightarrow \sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha \in \mu \mathbf{A}(\mathfrak{F}) \leftrightarrow \alpha \in (\zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mu \mathbf{A})(\mathfrak{F})$, since $(n, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha) \leftrightarrow \sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha \cdot l_n \in f \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_n \in \zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}}(f) \leftrightarrow (n, \zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}}(f)) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \leftrightarrow (n, f) \in (\zeta_m^{\mathbf{A}})^\# \cdot \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha)$.

(vi) $\mu_M^F \mathbf{B} \cdot F_M^2 \Phi = F_M \Phi \cdot \mu_M^F \mathbf{A}$.

For $\mathfrak{F} \in F_M^2 \mathbf{A}$ we have $\alpha \in (\mu \mathbf{B} \cdot F_M^2 \Phi)(\mathfrak{F}) \leftrightarrow \pi \mathbf{B}(\alpha) \in F_M^2 \Phi(\mathfrak{F}) \leftrightarrow (F_M \Phi)^\# \cdot \pi \mathbf{B}(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{F} \leftrightarrow \pi \mathbf{A}(\Phi^\# \cdot \alpha) \in \mathfrak{F} \leftrightarrow \Phi^\# \cdot \alpha \in \mu \mathbf{A}(\mathfrak{F}) \leftrightarrow \alpha \in (F_M \Phi \cdot \mu \mathbf{A})(\mathfrak{F})$, since $(m, f) \in (F_M \Phi)^\# \cdot \pi \mathbf{B}(\alpha) \leftrightarrow (m, F_M \Phi(f)) \in \pi \mathbf{B}(\alpha) \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_m \in F_M \Phi(f) \leftrightarrow \Phi^\# \cdot \alpha \cdot l_m \in f \leftrightarrow (m, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\Phi^\# \cdot \alpha)$.

The facts (i)-(vi) show that $\eta_M^F: \mathbf{1}_{M\text{-Set}} \rightarrow F_M$ and $\mu_M^F: F_M^2 \rightarrow F_M$ are natural transformations.

PROPOSITION 4.1. $\pi_M^F \mathbf{A}$ is an M -morphism for any M -set \mathbf{A} iff M is abelian.

PROOF. For any $n \in M$ we have $(m, f) \in (\rho_n^{F_M \mathbf{A}} \cdot \pi \mathbf{A})(\alpha) \leftrightarrow (m, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \cdot r_n \leftrightarrow (mn, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_{mn} \in f \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_m \cdot l_n \in f$ and $(m, f) \in (\pi \mathbf{A} \cdot \rho_n^{\mathbf{A}})(\alpha) \leftrightarrow (m, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha \cdot r_n) \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot r_n \cdot l_m \in f \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_m \cdot r_n \in f$. So we can reduce the condition $\rho_n^{F_M \mathbf{A}} \cdot \pi \mathbf{A} = \pi \mathbf{A} \cdot \rho_n^{\mathbf{A}}$ ($n \in M$) to

$$\alpha \cdot l_m \cdot l_n = \alpha \cdot l_m \cdot r_n \quad (\alpha \in \Omega^{\mathbf{A}}, n, m \in M). \quad (4.1)$$

If M is abelian then the equation (4.1) is trivial. Conversely, if the condition (4.1) is valid then by assigning $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{M}$, $\alpha = \mathbf{1}_M$ and $m = e$ we have $\mathbf{1}_M \cdot l_e \cdot l_n(k) = \mathbf{1}_M \cdot l_e \cdot r_n(k)$ for any $n, k \in M$. This means $nk = kn$ for any $n, k \in M$. Therefore M is abelian.

LEMMA 4.2. For each $\alpha \in \Omega^{\mathbf{A}}$,

(a) $\mu_M^F \mathbf{A}^\# \cdot \pi_M^F \mathbf{A}(\alpha) = (\pi_M^F F_M \mathbf{A} \cdot \pi_M^F \mathbf{A})(\alpha)$,

(b) $\eta_M^F \mathbf{A}^\# \cdot \pi_M^F \mathbf{A}(\alpha) = \alpha$.

PROOF. (a) Since $(n, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \cdot l_m \leftrightarrow (mn, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_{mn} \in f \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_m \cdot l_n \in f \leftrightarrow (n, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha \cdot l_m)$, we obtain $(m, \mathfrak{F}) \in (\pi F_M \mathbf{A} \cdot \pi \mathbf{A})(\alpha) \leftrightarrow \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \cdot l_m \in \mathfrak{F} \leftrightarrow \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha \cdot l_m) \in \mathfrak{F} \leftrightarrow$

$\alpha \cdot l_m \in \mu A(\mathcal{F}) \leftrightarrow (m, \mu A(\mathcal{F})) \in \pi A(\alpha) \leftrightarrow (m, \mathcal{F}) \in \mu A^\# \cdot \pi A(\alpha)$.

(b) $(m, a) \in \eta A^\# \cdot \pi A(\alpha) \leftrightarrow (m, \eta A(a)) \in \pi A(\alpha) \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_m \in \eta A(a) \leftrightarrow (e, a) \in \alpha \cdot l_m \leftrightarrow (m, a) \in \alpha$.

We denote by $\mathbf{F}=(F, \eta^F, \mu^F)$ the filter monad in Set [1].

THEOREM 4.3.

(a) $\mathbf{F}_M=(F_M, \eta_M^F, \mu_M^F)$ is a monad in M -Set.

(b) If $M=1$, then \mathbf{F}_1 is the usual filter monad \mathbf{F} in Set.

PROOF. (a) Let $f \in F_M A$ and $\mathcal{F} \in F_M^3 A$.

(i) $\alpha \in (\mu A \cdot \eta F_M A)(f) \leftrightarrow \pi A(\alpha) \in \eta F_M A(f) \leftrightarrow (e, f) \in \pi A(\alpha) \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_e \in f \leftrightarrow \alpha \in f$. Therefore $\mu \cdot \eta F_M = \mathbf{1}_{F_M}$.

(ii) $\alpha \in (\mu A \cdot F_M \eta A)(f) \leftrightarrow \pi A(\alpha) \in F_M \eta A(f) \leftrightarrow \eta A^\# \cdot \pi A(\alpha) \in f \leftrightarrow \alpha \in f$ by Lemma 4.2(b).

Therefore $\mu \cdot F_M \eta = \mathbf{1}_{F_M}$.

(iii) $\alpha \in (\mu A \cdot \mu F_M A)(\mathcal{F}) \leftrightarrow \pi A(\alpha) \in \mu F_M A(\mathcal{F}) \leftrightarrow (\pi F_M A \cdot \pi A)(\alpha) \in \mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow \mu A^\# \cdot \pi A(\alpha) \in \mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow \pi A(\alpha) \in F_M \mu A(\mathcal{F}) \leftrightarrow \alpha \in (\mu A \cdot F_M \mu A)(\mathcal{F})$ by Lemma 4.2(a). Therefore $\mu \cdot \mu F_M = \mu \cdot F_M \mu$.

(b) It is obvious to see that \mathbf{F}_1 is the filter monad \mathbf{F} in Set.

We note that $\alpha \in \mu A(\mathcal{F})$ iff $\pi F_1 A(\alpha) \in \mathcal{F}$ for a set A and $\mathcal{F} \in F^2 A$.

PROPOSITION 4.4.

(a) For an M -set A a map $s_F A: \bar{F}A \rightarrow F_M A$, defined by

$$\alpha \in s_F A(f) \quad \text{iff} \quad \alpha \cdot e \in f \quad (f \in \bar{F}A),$$

induces a natural transformation $s_F: \bar{F} \rightarrow F_M$.

(b) The natural transformation $s_F: \bar{F} \rightarrow F_M$ is algebraic.

PROOF. (a) Let $A=(A, \sigma)$ and $B=(B, \tau)$ are M -sets, $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ an M -morphisms and $m \in M$. Then it follows that $\beta \in (F_M \Phi \cdot sA)(f) \leftrightarrow \Phi^\# \cdot \beta \in sA(f) \leftrightarrow \Phi^\# \cdot \beta \cdot e \in f \leftrightarrow \beta \cdot e \in \bar{F}\Phi(f) \leftrightarrow \beta \in (sB \cdot \bar{F}\Phi)(f)$, and $\alpha \in (\zeta_m^A \cdot sA)(f) \leftrightarrow \sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha \in sA(f) \leftrightarrow \sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha \cdot e \in f \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot e \in F\sigma_m(f) \leftrightarrow \alpha \in (sA \cdot F\sigma_m)(f)$. These show that s_F is a natural transformation.

(b) Next we check that s_F is algebraic.

(i) For $a \in A$, we have $\alpha \in (sA \cdot \bar{\eta}A)(a) \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot e \in \bar{\eta}A(a) \leftrightarrow a \in \alpha \cdot e \leftrightarrow (e, a) \in \alpha \leftrightarrow \alpha \in \eta_M A(a)$. Therefore $sA \cdot \bar{\eta}A = \eta_M A$.

(ii) For $\alpha \in F_M A$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \bar{F}^2 A$, since $f \in (sA)^\# \cdot \pi_M A(\alpha) \cdot e \leftrightarrow sA(f) \in \pi_M A(\alpha) \cdot e \leftrightarrow (e, sA(f)) \in \pi_M A(\alpha) \leftrightarrow \alpha \in sA(f) \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot e \in f \leftrightarrow f \in \pi_1 A(\alpha \cdot e)$, we have $\alpha \in (sA \cdot \bar{\mu}A)(\mathcal{F}) \leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot e \in \bar{\mu}A(\mathcal{F}) \leftrightarrow \pi_1 A(\alpha \cdot e) \in \mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow (sA)^\# \cdot \pi_M A(\alpha) \cdot e \in \mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow \pi_M A(\alpha) \cdot e \in \bar{F}sA(\mathcal{F}) \leftrightarrow \pi_M A(\alpha) \in (sF_M A \cdot \bar{F}sA)(\mathcal{F}) \leftrightarrow \alpha \in (\mu_M A \cdot sF_M A \cdot \bar{F}sA)(\mathcal{F})$. Therefore $sA \cdot \bar{\mu}A = \mu_M A \cdot sF_M A \cdot \bar{F}sA$.

Hence s_F is algebraic.

For an M -Set A we define a map $t_F A: F_M A \rightarrow \bar{F}A$ by

$$\alpha \in t_F A(f) \quad \text{iff} \quad \bigcup_{a \in \alpha} \eta_M^P A(a) \in f \quad (f \in F_M A).$$

LEMMA 4.5. The naturality $\bar{F}\Phi \cdot t_F A = t_F B \cdot F_M \Phi$ in Set holds for any M -sets $A=(A, \sigma)$, $B=(B, \tau)$ and an M -morphism $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ iff M is a group.

PROOF. For $f \in F_M A$ it follows that $\beta \in (\bar{F}\Phi \cdot tA)(f) \leftrightarrow \Phi^\# \cdot \beta \in tA(f) \leftrightarrow \bigcup_{a \in \Phi^\# \cdot \beta} \eta_M^P A(a) \in f$ and $\beta \in (tB \cdot F_M \Phi)(f) \leftrightarrow \bigcup_{b \in \beta} \eta_M^P B(b) \in F_M \Phi(f) \leftrightarrow \Phi^\# \cdot \bigcup_{b \in \beta} \eta_M^P B(b) \in f$.

So the condition $\bar{F}\Phi \cdot tA = tB \cdot F_M \Phi$ for t_F is reduced to $\bigcup_{a \in \Phi^\# \cdot \beta} \eta_M^P A(a) = \Phi^\# \cdot (\bigcup_{b \in \beta} \eta_M^P B(b))$ ($\beta \in PB$). Because we can easily show that the left-hand side of the last equality is a subset of the right-hand side, the naturality of t_F is equivalent to the condition

$$\bigcup_{a \in \Phi^\# \cdot \beta} \eta_M^P \mathbf{A}(a) \supset \Phi^\# \cdot (\bigcup_{b \in \beta} \eta_M^P \mathbf{B}(b)) \quad (\beta \in PB). \quad (4.6)$$

Let M be a group and $(m, c) \in \Phi^\# \cdot (\bigcup_{b \in \beta} \eta_M^P \mathbf{B}(b))$. Then $\Phi(c) = \tau_m(b)$ for some $b \in \beta$. By assigning $a := \sigma_{m^{-1}}(c)$ we have $\Phi(a) = (\Phi \cdot \sigma_{m^{-1}})(c) = (\tau_{m^{-1}} \cdot \Phi)(c) = b \in \beta$ and $\sigma_m(a) = c$. This shows $(m, c) \in \bigcup_{a \in \Phi^\# \cdot \beta} \eta_M^P \mathbf{A}(a)$.

Conversely, we assume that (4.6) is valid and put $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{M}$, $\Phi = r_m$. Then we obtain $(m, e) \in \bigcup_{a \in r_m^\# \cdot (e)} \eta_M^P \mathbf{A}(a)$, since $(m, e) \in r_m^\# \cdot \eta_M^P \mathbf{B}(e)$ for any $m \in M$. This means that there exists $a \in A$ such that $r_m(a) = e$ and $l_m(a) = e$. That is, $a \in \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{M}$ is an inverse element of m . Therefore M is a group.

THEOREM 4.6. *If M is a group, then the algebraic functor $s_F^*: M\text{-Set}^F_M \rightarrow M\text{-Set}^{\bar{F}}$ is an isomorphism of categories.*

PROOF. Let \mathbf{A} be an M -set. We first show that $s_F \mathbf{A}$ is an isomorphism with $t_F \mathbf{A}$ as the inverse morphism in Set . By definitions, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \in s_F \mathbf{A}(f) &\leftrightarrow t_P \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \in f & (f \in \bar{F} \mathbf{A}), \\ \alpha \in t_F \mathbf{A}(f) &\leftrightarrow s_P \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \in f & (f \in F_M \mathbf{A}). \end{aligned}$$

If M is a group then $t_P \mathbf{A}$ and $s_P \mathbf{A}$ are isomorphisms, so we have $s_F \mathbf{A}$ and $t_F \mathbf{A}$ are isomorphisms in Set . On the other hand, since $s_F \mathbf{A}$ is an M -morphism, the inverse map $t_F \mathbf{A}$ of $s_F \mathbf{A}$ is also an M -morphism. By Lemma 4.5, we see that t_F is the inverse natural transformation of s_F and that s_F^* is an isomorphism of categories by Lemma 2.5.

We denote by CL the category of continuous lattices and non-empty directed join (sup) and arbitrary meet (inf) preserving maps. It is known that $\text{CL} \cong \text{Set}^F$ as categories [1]. So we obtain the next corollary by the last theorem and Proposition 2.4.

COROLLARY 4.7. *If M is a group, then*

$$M\text{-Set}^F_M \cong M\text{-}(\text{Set}^F) \cong M\text{-CL}.$$

If M is a group then, by this corollary, we can identify an F_M -algebra with a continuous lattice equipped with a non-empty directed join and arbitrary meet preserving M -action.

5. Primefilter Monad in $M\text{-Set}$

In this section, we modify the definitions of the filter monad in §4, to define a primefilter monad U_M in $M\text{-Set}$. We show that the whole properties of the filter monad in §4 also hold for the primefilter monad.

A *primefilter* on an M -set \mathbf{A} is a subset $f \subset \Omega^A$ that satisfies

- (PF1) f is a filter on A ,
- (PF2) $\phi \notin f$,
- (PF3) if $\alpha \cup \beta \in f$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^A$ then $\alpha \in f$ or $\beta \in f$.

We note that if M is a group then a primefilter is an ultrafilter (maximal filter).

Now by simulating the definition of the filter monad in §4, we can define a primefilter monad in $M\text{-Set}$. For example, we define an endofunctor $U_M: M\text{-Set} \rightarrow M\text{-Set}$. For an M -set \mathbf{A} , $U_M \mathbf{A}$ is the set of all primefilters on \mathbf{A} , and the M -action ζ^A on $U_M \mathbf{A}$ is defined by

$$\alpha \in \zeta_m^A(f) \quad \text{iff} \quad \sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha \in f$$

for $m \in M$ and $f \in U_M \mathbf{A}$. We can also define $U_M \Phi : U_M \mathbf{A} \rightarrow U_M \mathbf{B}$, $\eta_M^U : \mathbf{1}_{M\text{-Set}} \rightarrow U_M$, $\pi_M^U \mathbf{A} : \mathcal{Q}^A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{U_M A}$ and $\mu_M^U : U_M^{\mathcal{Q}^A} \rightarrow U_M$ similarly.

In this section we only check the followings:

(i) $\zeta_m^A(f)$ is a primefilter for $m \in M$ and $f \in U_M \mathbf{A}$.

Since $\sigma_m^\# \cdot \phi = \phi \in f$, we have $\phi \in \zeta_m^A(f)$. If $\alpha \cup \beta \in \zeta_m^A(f)$, then $\sigma_m^\# \cdot (\alpha \cup \beta) = (\sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha) \cup (\sigma_m^\# \cdot \beta) \in f$, so we have $\sigma_m^\# \cdot \alpha \in f$ or $\sigma_m^\# \cdot \beta \in f$. Therefore $\alpha \in \zeta_m^A(f)$ or $\beta \in \zeta_m^A(f)$.

(ii) $U_M \Phi(f)$ is a primefilter for $f \in U_M \mathbf{A}$.

It is similar to (i).

(iii) $\eta_M^U \mathbf{A}(a)$ is a primefilter for $a \in \mathbf{A}$.

$\phi \in \eta \mathbf{A}(a)$ is trivial. If $\alpha \cup \beta \in \eta \mathbf{A}(a)$, then $(e, a) \in \alpha \cup \beta$, and so we have $(e, a) \in \alpha$ or $(e, a) \in \beta$. Therefore $\alpha \in \eta \mathbf{A}(a)$ or $\beta \in \eta \mathbf{A}(a)$.

(iv) $\mu_M^U \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{F})$ is a primefilter for $\mathcal{F} \in U_M^2 \mathbf{A}$.

Since $\pi_M^U \mathbf{A}(\phi) = \phi$, we have $\phi \in \mu \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{F})$. Then we obtain $\pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha \cup \beta) = \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \cup \pi \mathbf{A}(\beta)$ from

$$\begin{aligned} (m, f) &\in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha \cup \beta) \\ &\leftrightarrow (\alpha \cup \beta) \cdot l_m = (\alpha \cdot l_m) \cup (\beta \cdot l_m) \in f \\ &\leftrightarrow \alpha \cdot l_m \in f \text{ or } \beta \cdot l_m \in f \\ &\leftrightarrow (m, f) \in \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \cup \pi \mathbf{A}(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha \cup \beta \in \mu \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{F})$, then we have $\pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha \cup \beta) = \pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \cup \pi \mathbf{A}(\beta) \in \mathcal{F}$ and hence $\pi \mathbf{A}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{F}$ or $\pi \mathbf{A}(\beta) \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore $\alpha \in \mu \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{F})$ or $\beta \in \mu \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{F})$.

We denote by $U = (U, \eta^U, \mu^U)$ the ultrafilter monad in Set [4].

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let \mathbf{A} be an M -set.*

(a) *For $f \in U_M \mathbf{A}$, $s_F \mathbf{A}(f)$ is a primefilter.*

(b) *If M is a group, then $t_F \mathbf{A}(f)$ ($f \in \bar{U} \mathbf{A}$) is a primefilter.*

PROOF. (a) This is trivial by the definition of s_F .

(b) If $\alpha \cup \beta \in t_F \mathbf{A}$, then $\bigcup_{a \in \alpha \cup \beta} \eta_M^P \mathbf{A}(a) = (\bigcup_{a \in \alpha} \eta_M^P \mathbf{A}(a)) \cup (\bigcup_{b \in \beta} \eta_M^P \mathbf{A}(b)) \in f$, and so $\bigcup_{a \in \alpha} \eta_M^P \mathbf{A}(a) \in f$ or $\bigcup_{b \in \beta} \eta_M^P \mathbf{A}(b) \in f$. Therefore $\alpha \in t_F \mathbf{A}$ or $\beta \in t_F \mathbf{A}$.

If M is a group, then we have $\Theta \in t_F \mathbf{A}(f)$, by $\bigcup_{a \in A} \eta_M^P \mathbf{A}(a) = M \times \mathbf{A} = \Theta \in f$.

By the last proposition we can define a natural transformation $s_U : \bar{U} \rightarrow U_M$ by restricting a domain of s_F .

Now we can show the several properties of the primefilter monad by using the results of the filter monad.

THEOREM 5.2.

(a) $U_M = (U_M, \eta_M^U, \mu_M^U)$ is a monad in $M\text{-Set}$.

(b) If $M=1$, then U_1 is the ultrafilter monad U in Set .

PROPOSITION 5.3. *The natural transformation $s_U : \bar{U} \rightarrow U_M$ is algebraic.*

THEOREM 5.4. *If M is a group, then the algebraic functor $s_U^* : M\text{-Set}^{U_M} \rightarrow M\text{-Set}^{\bar{U}}$ is an isomorphism of categories.*

We denote by CH the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps.

COROLLARY 5.5. *If M is a group, then*

$$M\text{-Set}^{U_M} \cong M\text{-}(\text{Set}^U) \cong M\text{-CH}.$$

If M is a group then, by the last corollary, we can identify a U_M -algebra with a compact Hausdorff space equipped with a continuous M -action.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to Professor Yasuo Kawahara for his valuable suggestions, constructive comments and encouragement during the course of this study. The author wishes to thank Dr. Satoru Miyano for his critical reading the manuscript and helpful comments.

References

- [1] A. DAY: *Filter monads, continuous lattices and closure systems*. Can. J. Math., 27 (1975), 50-59.
- [2] R. GOLDBLATT: *Topoi, The categorial analysis of logic*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1979).
- [3] S. MAC LANE: *Categories for the working mathematician*. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1971).
- [4] E. G. MANES: *Algebraic theories*. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1976).
- [5] D. SCOTT: *Continuous lattices*. Lecture Notes in Math., 274 (1972), 93-136.
- [6] O. WYLER: *Algebraic theories of continuous lattices*. Lecture Notes in Math., 871 (1981), 390-413.

Communicated by S. Arikawa

Received September 29, 1984