

## Generalisation of Mack' s formula for claims reserving with arbitrary exponents for the variance assumption

Saito, Shingo  
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/13329>

---

出版情報 : MI Preprint Series. 2009-10, 2009-02-26. 九州大学大学院数理学研究院  
バージョン :  
権利関係 : Published in Journal of Math-for-Industry, 1, p7-15, published by Faculty of  
Mathematics, Kyushu University

# MI Preprint Series

Kyushu University  
The Global COE Program  
Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub

## Generalisation of Mack's formula for claims reserving with arbitrary exponents for the variance assumption

S. Saito

MI 2009-10

( Received February 26, 2009 )

Faculty of Mathematics  
Kyushu University  
Fukuoka, JAPAN

# Generalisation of Mack's formula for claims reserving with arbitrary exponents for the variance assumption

Shingo SAITO

**Abstract.** Mack estimated the mean squared errors of the outstanding claims reserve of each accident year and of the overall claims reserve in order to obtain their confidence intervals within his distribution-free model. We generalise his formulae by allowing for arbitrary exponents in the variance assumption. Our formula is also capable of giving a confidence interval of the amount that the insurer is liable to pay each year.

*Keywords.* Mack's formula, claims reserving, chain-ladder method, mean squared error.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The chain-ladder method is classical and yet probably the most widely used in stochastic claims reserving. Although formerly thought of simply as a deterministic algorithm, it has been justified so far by many stochastic models. Mack [1, 2] constructed one such model that is remarkable for being distribution-free, and obtained confidence intervals of the outstanding claims reserve of each accident year and of the overall claims reserve, via formulae estimating their mean squared errors. The aim of the present paper is to give a single formula that generalises Mack's in two senses. Firstly, our formula is general enough to yield a confidence interval not only of the outstanding claims reserve of each accident year and the overall claims reserve but also of the amount that the insurer is liable to pay each year. Secondly, we allow any real number to be the exponent in the assumption on the conditional variance of claims amounts, as opposed to Mack, who assumed that the conditional variance is proportional to the immediately preceding claims amount, i.e. the exponent is 1 (see Assumption 3 for further details).

We now introduce some notation. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space, on which all random variables that appear below are defined. For a set  $\mathcal{X}$  of random variables, we write  $\sigma(\mathcal{X})$  for the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by the elements of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Equality between random variables is always understood to mean almost sure equality.

Denote by  $C_{i,j}$  the cumulative claims amount of accident year  $i$  after development year  $j$ , where  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Mathematically speaking, we let  $C_{i,j}$  be a positive-valued random variable, which is tacitly assumed to be square-integrable, so that its expectation and variance are well defined. We understand that the random variables  $C_{i,j}$  have been observed if  $i + j \leq n + 1$ , and set

$$\mathcal{D} = \sigma(\{C_{i,j} \mid i + j \leq n + 1\}).$$

We further set

$$\mathcal{G}_{i,j} = \sigma(\{C_{i,1}, \dots, C_{i,j}\})$$

for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

We shall make three assumptions on  $C_{i,j}$ . The first assumption is the independence of the accident years:

**Assumption 1.** The  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{G}_{1,n}, \dots, \mathcal{G}_{n,n}$  are independent.

The second is the standard chain-ladder assumption:

**Assumption 2.** For each  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $f_j$  such that

$$E[C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{G}_{i,j}] = C_{i,j} f_j$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

These two assumptions are also made by Mack. In addition, he assumed that for each  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $v_j$  such that

$$V(C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{G}_{i,j}) = C_{i,j} v_j$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We shall generalise this variance assumption by replacing  $C_{i,j}$  by  $C_{i,j}^\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary real number:

**Assumption 3.** For each  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $v_j$  such that

$$V(C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{G}_{i,j}) = C_{i,j}^\alpha v_j$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\alpha$  is any fixed real number.

The paper is organised as follows. We first provide point estimators of  $f_j$ ,  $v_j$ , and  $C_{i,j}$  in Section 2, and justify them in Section 3. In Section 4, we give estimators of the mean squared errors of what actuaries, rather than mathematicians, are interested in. Section 5 is devoted to stating our

main formula, which will be justified in Section 6, and to showing that it does indeed lead to the estimators given in Section 4. Practising actuaries who are not keen on knowing our formula in full generality or on understanding its proof are advised to read Sections 2 and 4 only.

## 2. POINT ESTIMATORS

**Estimate 1.** We estimate  $f_j$  by

$$\hat{f}_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{1-\alpha} C_{i,j+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha}}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

**Remark 1.** If  $\alpha = 1$ , then

$$\hat{f}_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}}$$

is the chain-ladder estimator. This is why Mack adopted the variance assumption with  $\alpha = 1$ .

If  $\alpha = 2$ , then

$$\hat{f}_j = \frac{1}{n-j} \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} \frac{C_{i,j+1}}{C_{i,j}}$$

is the arithmetic mean of the age-to-age factors  $C_{i,j+1}/C_{i,j}$ .

**Estimate 2.** We estimate  $v_j$  by

$$\hat{v}_j = \frac{1}{n-j-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha} \left( \frac{C_{i,j+1}}{C_{i,j}} - \hat{f}_j \right)^2$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n-2$ , and  $v_{n-1}$  by

$$\hat{v}_{n-1} = \min \left\{ \frac{\hat{v}_{n-2}^2}{\hat{v}_{n-3}}, \hat{v}_{n-2}, \hat{v}_{n-3} \right\}.$$

**Remark 2.** Since  $C_{1,n}/C_{1,n-1}$  is the only age-to-age factor observed from  $n-1$  to  $n$ , it is impossible to obtain an estimator of  $v_{n-1}$  in the same way as other  $v_j$ ; here we use the estimator  $\hat{v}_{n-1}$  in accordance with Mack.

**Estimate 3.** We estimate  $C_{i,j}$  by

$$\hat{C}_{i,j} = C_{i,n+1-i} \hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{j-1}$$

whenever  $i+j \geq n+2$ .

## 3. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE POINT ESTIMATORS

The following  $\sigma$ -algebras are of great use in our model:

**Definition 1.** We set

$$\mathcal{B}_j = \sigma(\{C_{i,k} \mid i+k \leq n+1, k \leq j\}) \subset \mathcal{D}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Proposition 1.** The estimator  $\hat{f}_j$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{j+1}$ -measurable for  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ . It follows that the estimator  $\hat{C}_{i,j}$  is  $\mathcal{B}_j$ -measurable whenever  $i+j \geq n+2$ .

*Proof.* Obvious.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** If  $i+j \leq n+1$ , then Assumption 1 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} E[C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{B}_j] &= E[C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{G}_{i,j}] = C_{i,j} f_j, \\ V(C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{B}_j) &= V(C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{G}_{i,j}) = C_{i,j}^\alpha v_j \end{aligned}$$

together with Assumptions 2 and 3.

### 3.1. JUSTIFICATION FOR $\hat{f}_j$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then the estimator  $\hat{f}_j$  is unbiased. More generally, whenever  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-j})$  is a  $\mathcal{B}_j$ -measurable  $\mathbb{R}^{n-j}$ -valued random variable with non-negative components that add up to 1, the estimator

$$\hat{f}_j^\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} \lambda_i \frac{C_{i,j+1}}{C_{i,j}}$$

satisfies  $E[\hat{f}_j^\lambda | \mathcal{B}_j] = f_j$  and therefore is an unbiased estimator of  $f_j$ .

Moreover,  $\hat{f}_j$  is the best unbiased estimator in the sense that it minimises  $V(\hat{f}_j^\lambda | \mathcal{B}_j)$  and  $V(\hat{f}_j^\lambda)$  amongst all such random variables  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* We should first bear in mind that since

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_j &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{1-\alpha} C_{i,j+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} \left( \frac{C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha}}{\sum_{i'=1}^{n-j} C_{i',j}^{2-\alpha}} \cdot \frac{C_{i,j+1}}{C_{i,j}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have  $\hat{f}_j^\lambda = \hat{f}_j$  if  $\lambda_i = C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha} / \sum_{i'=1}^{n-j} C_{i',j}^{2-\alpha}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-j$ .

The unbiasedness can be checked as follows:

$$E[\hat{f}_j^\lambda | \mathcal{B}_j] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} \lambda_i \frac{E[C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{B}_j]}{C_{i,j}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} \lambda_i f_j = f_j.$$

For the bestness of  $\hat{f}_j$ , since

$$V(\hat{f}_j^\lambda | \mathcal{B}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} \lambda_i^2 \frac{V(C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{B}_j)}{C_{i,j}^2} = v_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} \frac{\lambda_i^2}{C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha}},$$

the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$V(\hat{f}_j^\lambda | \mathcal{B}_j) \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha} \geq v_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} \lambda_i \right)^2 = v_j,$$

i.e.  $V(\hat{f}_j^\lambda | \mathcal{B}_j) \geq v_j / \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha}$ , with equality if and only if  $\hat{f}_j^\lambda = \hat{f}_j$ . The unconditional variance satisfies

$$V(\hat{f}_j^\lambda) = V(E[\hat{f}_j^\lambda | \mathcal{B}_j]) + E[V(\hat{f}_j^\lambda | \mathcal{B}_j)] = E[V(\hat{f}_j^\lambda | \mathcal{B}_j)]$$

because  $E[\hat{f}_j^\lambda | \mathcal{B}_j] = f_j$  is a constant, and so the inequality above shows that  $V(\hat{f}_j^\lambda) \geq E[v_j / \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha}]$ , with equality if and only if  $\hat{f}_j^\lambda = \hat{f}_j$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.2. JUSTIFICATION FOR $\hat{v}_j$

**Proposition 3.** *For  $j = 1, \dots, n-2$ , the estimator  $\hat{v}_j$  satisfies  $E[\hat{v}_j | \mathcal{B}_j] = v_j$  and therefore is unbiased.*

*Proof.* Write  $U = \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{1-\alpha} C_{i,j+1}$  and  $V = \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha}$ , so that  $\hat{f}_j = U/V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (n-j-1)\hat{v}_j &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{-\alpha} C_{i,j+1}^2 - 2\hat{f}_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{1-\alpha} C_{i,j+1} + \hat{f}_j^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{-\alpha} C_{i,j+1}^2 - 2 \cdot \frac{U}{V} \cdot U + \left(\frac{U}{V}\right)^2 V \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{-\alpha} C_{i,j+1}^2 - \frac{U^2}{V}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(n-j-1)E[\hat{v}_j | \mathcal{B}_j] = \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{-\alpha} E[C_{i,j+1}^2 | \mathcal{B}_j] - \frac{E[U^2 | \mathcal{B}_j]}{V}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} E[C_{i,j+1}^2 | \mathcal{B}_j] &= V(C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{B}_j) + E[C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{B}_j]^2 \\ &= C_{i,j}^\alpha v_j + C_{i,j}^2 f_j^2 \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n-j$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} E[U^2 | \mathcal{B}_j] &= V(U | \mathcal{B}_j) + E[U | \mathcal{B}_j]^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{2-2\alpha} V(C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{B}_j) \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{1-\alpha} E[C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{B}_j] \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha} v_j + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{2-\alpha} f_j \right)^2 \\ &= Vv_j + V^2 f_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (n-j-1)E[\hat{v}_j | \mathcal{B}_j] &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} C_{i,j}^{-\alpha} (C_{i,j}^\alpha v_j + C_{i,j}^2 f_j^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{Vv_j + V^2 f_j^2}{V} \\ &= (n-j-1)v_j, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** Since  $\hat{v}_{n-1}$  was defined artificially, we cannot hope for its unbiasedness.

### 3.3. JUSTIFICATION FOR $\hat{C}_{i,j}$

**Proposition 4.** *We have*

$$E[C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}] = C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{j-1}$$

whenever  $i+j \geq n+2$ .

*Proof.* We fix  $i = 2, \dots, n$  and proceed by induction on  $j$ . If  $j = n+2-i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} E[C_{i,n+2-i} | \mathcal{D}] &= E[C_{i,n+2-i} | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}] \\ &= C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the equality holds for  $j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{D}] &= E[C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}] \\ &= E[E[C_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{G}_{i,j}] | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}] \\ &= E[C_{i,j} f_j | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}] \\ &= E[C_{i,j} | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}] f_j \\ &= C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{j-1} f_j, \end{aligned}$$

the last equality following from the inductive hypothesis. This establishes the equality for  $j+1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *We have*

$$E[\hat{C}_{i,j} | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] = C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{j-1}$$

whenever  $i+j \geq n+2$ .

*Proof.* We fix  $i = 2, \dots, n$  and proceed by induction on  $j$ . If  $j = n+2-i$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{C}_{i,n+2-i} | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] &= E[C_{i,n+1-i} \hat{f}_{n+1-i} | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] \\ &= C_{i,n+1-i} E[\hat{f}_{n+1-i} | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] \\ &= C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2. Suppose that the equality holds for  $j$ . Then, using Propositions 1 and 2 and the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{C}_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] &= E[E[\hat{C}_{i,j+1} | \mathcal{B}_j] | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] \\ &= E[E[\hat{C}_{i,j} \hat{f}_j | \mathcal{B}_j] | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] \\ &= E[\hat{C}_{i,j} E[\hat{f}_j | \mathcal{B}_j] | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] \\ &= E[\hat{C}_{i,j} f_j | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] \\ &= E[\hat{C}_{i,j} | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] f_j \\ &= C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{j-1} f_j, \end{aligned}$$

establishing the equality for  $j+1$ .  $\square$

The following corollary means that  $\hat{C}_{i,j}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $C_{i,j}$  in some sense:

**Corollary 1.** *Whenever  $i+j \geq n+2$ , we have*

$$E[\hat{C}_{i,j} | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] = E[C_{i,j} | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}]$$

and so

$$E[\hat{C}_{i,j}] = E[C_{i,j}].$$

*Proof.* Propositions 4 and 5 show that

$$E[\hat{C}_{i,j} | \mathcal{B}_{n+1-i}] = E[C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}],$$

from which the corollary easily follows.  $\square$

#### 4. ESTIMATORS OF MEAN SQUARED ERRORS

Linear combinations of the random variables  $C_{i,j}$  include many practically important values; for example, the overall claims reserve can be written as

$$\sum_{i=2}^n (C_{i,n} - C_{i,n+1-i}).$$

If  $S$  is a linear combination of the random variables  $C_{i,j}$ , then its natural estimator  $\hat{S}$  can be constructed from  $S$  by replacing  $C_{i,j}$  with  $\hat{C}_{i,j}$  whenever  $i + j \geq n + 2$ . For instance, the estimator of the overall claims reserve is

$$\sum_{i=2}^n (\hat{C}_{i,n} - C_{i,n+1-i}).$$

We shall always use this estimator for linear combinations of  $C_{i,j}$ . Note that the estimator  $\hat{S}$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -measurable random variable and satisfies  $E[\hat{S}] = E[S]$  because of Corollary 1.

Although the point estimator  $\hat{S}$  is easy to find, a confidence interval of  $S$  is much more difficult, partly because our model does not specify a distribution of  $C_{i,j}$ . For this purpose, Mack looked at the mean squared error of the point estimator  $\hat{S}$ :

**Definition 2.** Let  $S$  be a linear combination of the random variables  $C_{i,j}$ . Then the *mean squared error*  $\text{mse } \hat{S}$  of its estimator  $\hat{S}$  is defined by

$$\text{mse } \hat{S} = E[(S - \hat{S})^2 | \mathcal{D}].$$

There are several approaches to a confidence interval of  $S$  via the mean squared error  $\text{mse } \hat{S}$ . It is reasonable to estimate the 95% confidence interval of  $S$  by

$$(\hat{S} - 2(\text{mse } \hat{S})^{1/2}, \hat{S} + 2(\text{mse } \hat{S})^{1/2})$$

or by

$$(\hat{S} - 3(\text{mse } \hat{S})^{1/2}, \hat{S} + 3(\text{mse } \hat{S})^{1/2}).$$

Chebyshev's inequality ensures that

$$(\hat{S} - 2\sqrt{5}(\text{mse } \hat{S})^{1/2}, \hat{S} + 2\sqrt{5}(\text{mse } \hat{S})^{1/2})$$

is at least 95% confidence interval because

$$P(|S - \hat{S}| \geq 2\sqrt{5}(\text{mse } \hat{S})^{1/2} | \mathcal{D}) \leq \frac{E[(S - \hat{S})^2 | \mathcal{D}]}{20 \text{mse } \hat{S}} = 0.05;$$

but the interval is usually too large to be of practical use.

The aim of this paper is to estimate the mean squared errors for several important linear combinations of  $C_{i,j}$  within our model.

For notational convenience, we set  $\hat{C}_{i,j} = C_{i,j}$  whenever  $i + j \leq n + 1$ , and make the following definition:

**Definition 3.** We define

$$\hat{A}_{i,l} = \frac{\hat{v}_l}{\hat{f}_l^2} \left( \frac{1}{\hat{C}_{i,l}^{2-\alpha}} + \frac{1}{\sum_{m=1}^{n-l} C_{m,l}^{2-\alpha}} \right),$$

$$\hat{B}_l = \frac{\hat{v}_l}{\hat{f}_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-l} C_{m,l}^{2-\alpha}}$$

for  $i, l = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Estimate 4.** Suppose that  $i + j \geq n + 2$ . Then we estimate  $\text{mse } \hat{C}_{i,j}$  by

$$\hat{C}_{i,j}^2 \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{j-1} \hat{A}_{i,l}.$$

**Remark 5.** If  $\alpha = 1$  and  $j = n$ , then this estimator was given in [1, Theorem 3] and [2, Equation (7)].

**Estimate 5.** Let

$$S = \sum_{i=2}^n (C_{i,n} - C_{i,n+1-i})$$

be the overall claims reserve. Then we estimate  $\text{mse } \hat{S}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=2}^n \left( \hat{C}_{i,n}^2 \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-1} \hat{A}_{i,l} \right) \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=2}^n \left( \hat{C}_{i,n} \left( \sum_{i'=i+1}^n \hat{C}_{i',n} \right) \left( \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-1} \hat{B}_l \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 6.** If  $\alpha = 1$ , then this estimator was given in [1, Corollary] and [2, Equation (11)].

**Estimate 6.** Let  $t = 1, \dots, n - 1$  and let

$$S = \sum_{i=t+1}^n (C_{i,n+1-i+t} - C_{i,n-i+t})$$

be the amount that the insurer is liable to pay in  $t$  years' time for the claims between accident years  $t + 1$  and  $n$ . Then we estimate  $\text{mse } \hat{S}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=t+1}^n \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-i+t-1} \hat{X}_{i,n+1-i+t}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} \\ & + \sum_{i=t+1}^n \hat{C}_{i,n+1-i+t}^2 \hat{A}_{i,n-i+t} \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=t+1}^{n-1} \sum_{i'=i+1}^{\min\{i+t-1,n\}} \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-i'+t-1} \hat{X}_{i,n+1-i+t} \hat{X}_{i',n+1-i'+t} \hat{B}_l \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=t+1}^{n-1} \sum_{i'=i+1}^{\min\{i+t-1,n\}} \hat{X}_{i,n+1-i+t} \hat{C}_{i',n+1-i'+t} \hat{B}_{n-i'+t}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{X}_{i,j}$  is the estimator of the incremental claims amount of accident year  $i$  after development year  $j$ , defined by

$$\hat{X}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \hat{C}_{i,j} - \hat{C}_{i,j-1} & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq n; \\ \hat{C}_{i,1} & \text{if } j = 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, setting  $t = 1$ , we estimate  $\text{mse } \hat{S}$  by

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \hat{C}_{i,n+2-i}^2 \hat{A}_{i,n+1-i}.$$

## 5. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN FORMULA

**Estimate 7** (Main formula). For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $j_i, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  be given so that

$$n + 1 - i \leq j_i \leq k_i \leq n.$$

Define

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n (C_{i,k_i} - C_{i,j_i}).$$

Then we estimate  $\text{mse } \hat{S}$  by

$$\sum_{i,l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < i' \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l} \hat{B}_l,$$

where we set

$$\hat{\varphi}_{i,l} = \begin{cases} \hat{C}_{i,k_i} - \hat{C}_{i,j_i} & \text{if } n + 1 - i \leq l < j_i; \\ \hat{C}_{i,k_i} & \text{if } j_i \leq l < k_i; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $i, l = 1, \dots, n$ .

Postponing justifying Estimate 7 until Section 6, we first show that Estimate 7 does indeed lead to the estimators given in Section 4.

**Example 1** (Estimate 5). Set  $j_i = n + 1 - i$  and  $k_i = n$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n (C_{i,n} - C_{i,n+1-i}) = \sum_{i=2}^n (C_{i,n} - C_{i,n+1-i}).$$

Since

$$\hat{\varphi}_{i,l} = \begin{cases} \hat{C}_{i,n} & \text{if } n + 1 - i \leq l < n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-1} \hat{C}_{i,n}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \left( \hat{C}_{i,n}^2 \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-1} \hat{A}_{i,l} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq i < i' \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l} \hat{B}_l \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i < i' \leq n} \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-1} \hat{C}_{i,n} \hat{C}_{i',n} \hat{B}_l \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \left( \hat{C}_{i,n} \left( \sum_{i'=i+1}^n \hat{C}_{i',n} \right) \left( \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-1} \hat{B}_l \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that we estimate  $\text{mse } \hat{S}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i,l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < i' \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l} \hat{B}_l \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n \left( \hat{C}_{i,n}^2 \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-1} \hat{A}_{i,l} \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=2}^n \left( \hat{C}_{i,n} \left( \sum_{i'=i+1}^n \hat{C}_{i',n} \right) \left( \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-1} \hat{B}_l \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 2** (Estimate 4). Let  $p, q = 1, \dots, n$  satisfy  $p + q \geq n + 2$ . Set  $j_i = n + 1 - i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and

$$k_i = \begin{cases} n + 1 - i & \text{if } i \neq p; \\ q & \text{if } i = p. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$S = C_{p,q} - C_{p,n+1-p}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mse } \hat{S} &= E[(S - \hat{S})^2 | \mathcal{D}] \\ &= E[((C_{p,q} - C_{p,n+1-p}) - (\hat{C}_{p,q} - C_{p,n+1-p}))^2 | \mathcal{D}] \\ &= E[(C_{p,q} - \hat{C}_{p,q})^2 | \mathcal{D}] \\ &= \text{mse } \hat{C}_{p,q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\hat{\varphi}_{i,l} = \begin{cases} \hat{C}_{p,q} & \text{if } i = p \text{ and } n + 1 - p \leq l < q; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\sum_{i,l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} = \sum_{l=n+1-p}^{q-1} \hat{C}_{p,q}^2 \hat{A}_{p,l} = \hat{C}_{p,q}^2 \sum_{l=n+1-p}^{q-1} \hat{A}_{p,l}$$

and

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < i' \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l} \hat{B}_l = 0.$$

It follows that we estimate  $\text{mse } \hat{S} = \text{mse } \hat{C}_{p,q}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i,l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < i' \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l} \hat{B}_l \\ &= \hat{C}_{p,q}^2 \sum_{l=n+1-p}^{q-1} \hat{A}_{p,l}. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 3** (Estimate 6). Let  $t = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Set

$$j_i = \begin{cases} n + 1 - i & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, t; \\ n - i + t & \text{for } i = t + 1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$k_i = \begin{cases} n + 1 - i & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, t; \\ n + 1 - i + t & \text{for } i = t + 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$S = \sum_{i=t+1}^n (C_{i,n+1-i+t} - C_{i,n-i+t}).$$

Since

$$\hat{\varphi}_{i,l} = \begin{cases} \hat{C}_{i,n+1-i+t} - \hat{C}_{i,n-i+t} = \hat{X}_{i,n+1-i+t} & \text{if } t+1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } n+1-i \leq l < n-i+t; \\ \hat{C}_{i,n+1-i+t} & \text{if } t+1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } l = n-i+t; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} &= \sum_{i=t+1}^n \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-i+t-1} \hat{X}_{i,n+1-i+t}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=t+1}^n \hat{C}_{i,n+1-i+t}^2 \hat{A}_{i,n-i+t} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq i < i' \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l} \hat{B}_l \\ &= \sum_{i=t+1}^{n-1} \sum_{i'=i+1}^{\min\{i+t-1,n\}} \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-i'+t-1} \hat{X}_{i,n+1-i+t} \hat{X}_{i',n+1-i'+t} \hat{B}_l \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=t+1}^{n-1} \sum_{i'=i+1}^{\min\{i+t-1,n\}} \hat{X}_{i,n+1-i+t} \hat{C}_{i',n+1-i'+t} \hat{B}_{n-i'+t}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that we estimate  $\text{mse } \hat{S}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i,l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < i' \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l} \hat{B}_l \\ &= \sum_{i=t+1}^n \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-i+t-1} \hat{X}_{i,n+1-i+t}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=t+1}^n \hat{C}_{i,n+1-i+t}^2 \hat{A}_{i,n-i+t} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=t+1}^{n-1} \sum_{i'=i+1}^{\min\{i+t-1,n\}} \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{n-i'+t-1} \hat{X}_{i,n+1-i+t} \hat{X}_{i',n+1-i'+t} \hat{B}_l \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=t+1}^{n-1} \sum_{i'=i+1}^{\min\{i+t-1,n\}} \hat{X}_{i,n+1-i+t} \hat{C}_{i',n+1-i'+t} \hat{B}_{n-i'+t}. \end{aligned}$$

## 6. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE MAIN FORMULA

Suppose that  $j_i$  and  $k_i$  are given and  $S$  is defined as in Estimate 7.

**Lemma 1.** *The mean squared error  $\text{mse } \hat{S}$  decomposes as*

$$\text{mse } \hat{S} = V(S|\mathcal{D}) + (E[S|\mathcal{D}] - \hat{S})^2,$$

*the first term being called the process variance and the second the estimation error.*

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mse } \hat{S} &= E[(S - \hat{S})^2 | \mathcal{D}] \\ &= V(S - \hat{S} | \mathcal{D}) + E[S - \hat{S} | \mathcal{D}]^2 \\ &= V(S | \mathcal{D}) + (E[S | \mathcal{D}] - \hat{S})^2 \end{aligned}$$

because  $\hat{S}$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -measurable.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i,l=1}^n \frac{\hat{\varphi}_{i,l}^2 \hat{v}_l}{\hat{C}_{i,l}^{2-\alpha} \hat{f}_l^2} + \sum_{i,i',l=1}^n \frac{\hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l} \hat{v}_l}{\hat{f}_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-l} C_{m,l}^{2-\alpha}} \\ &= \sum_{i,l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l}^2 \hat{A}_{i,l} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < i' \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^n \hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l} \hat{B}_l. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Straightforward.  $\square$

By Lemmas 1 and 2, it suffices to justify estimating the process variance  $V(S|\mathcal{D})$  by

$$\sum_{i,l=1}^n \frac{\hat{\varphi}_{i,l}^2 \hat{v}_l}{\hat{C}_{i,l}^{2-\alpha} \hat{f}_l^2}$$

and the estimation error  $(E[S|\mathcal{D}] - \hat{S})^2$  by

$$\sum_{i,i',l=1}^n \frac{\hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l} \hat{v}_l}{\hat{f}_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-l} C_{m,l}^{2-\alpha}}.$$

### 6.1. PROCESS VARIANCE

**Lemma 3.** *If  $i + j \geq n + 1$ , then*

$$V(C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}) = \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{j-1} E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] v_l f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{j-1}^2.$$

*Proof.* For  $l = n + 1 - i, \dots, j - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(C_{i,l+1} | \mathcal{D}) &= V(C_{i,l+1} | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}) \\ &= V(E[C_{i,l+1} | \mathcal{G}_{i,l}] | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}) \\ &\quad + E[V(C_{i,l+1} | \mathcal{G}_{i,l}) | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}] \\ &= V(C_{i,l} f_l | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}) + E[C_{i,l}^\alpha v_l | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}] \\ &= V(C_{i,l} | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}) f_l^2 + E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}] v_l \\ &= V(C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}) f_l^2 + E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] v_l. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying  $f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{j-1}^2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} V(C_{i,l+1} | \mathcal{D}) f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{j-1}^2 &= V(C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}) f_l^2 \cdots f_{j-1}^2 \\ &\quad + E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] v_l f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{j-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum over  $l = n + 1 - i, \dots, j - 1$  and noting that  $V(C_{i,n+1-i} | \mathcal{D}) = 0$  because  $C_{i,n+1-i}$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -measurable, we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Definition 4.** Define  $\varphi_{i,l}$  by

$$\varphi_{i,l} = \begin{cases} E[C_{i,k_i} - C_{i,j_i} | \mathcal{D}] & \text{if } n+1-i \leq l < j_i; \\ E[C_{i,k_i} | \mathcal{D}] & \text{if } j_i \leq l < k_i; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $i, l = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Remark 7.** Note that  $\hat{\varphi}_{i,l}$  is an estimator of  $\varphi_{i,l}$  for each  $i, l = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Lemma 4.** We have

$$V(S|\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i,l=1}^n \frac{E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] \varphi_{i,l}^2 v_l}{E[C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}]^2 f_l^2}.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$V(S|\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^n V(C_{i,k_i} - C_{i,j_i} | \mathcal{D}),$$

we only need to prove that

$$V(C_{i,k_i} - C_{i,j_i} | \mathcal{D}) = \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{k_i-1} \frac{E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] \varphi_{i,l}^2 v_l}{E[C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}]^2 f_l^2}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , noting that  $\varphi_{i,l} \neq 0$  only if  $n+1-i \leq l \leq k_i - 1$ .

Fix  $i$  and write  $j = j_i$  and  $k = k_i$  for simplicity. For  $l = j, \dots, k-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & V(C_{i,l+1} f_{l+1} \cdots f_{k-1} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}) \\ &= V(C_{i,l+1} f_{l+1} \cdots f_{k-1} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}) \\ &= V(E[C_{i,l+1} f_{l+1} \cdots f_{k-1} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{G}_{i,l} | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}] \\ &\quad + E[V(C_{i,l+1} f_{l+1} \cdots f_{k-1} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{G}_{i,l}) | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}]) \\ &= V(C_{i,l} f_l \cdots f_{k-1} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}) \\ &\quad + E[C_{i,l}^\alpha v_l f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{k-1}^2 | \mathcal{G}_{i,n+1-i}] \\ &= V(C_{i,l} f_l \cdots f_{k-1} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}) + E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] v_l f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{k-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum over  $l = j, \dots, k-1$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} V(C_{i,k} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}) &= V(C_{i,j} f_j \cdots f_{k-1} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=j}^{k-1} E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] v_l f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{k-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since Lemma 3 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & V(C_{i,j} f_j \cdots f_{k-1} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}) \\ &= V(C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}) (f_j \cdots f_{k-1} - 1)^2 \\ &= \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{j-1} E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] v_l f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{j-1}^2 (f_j \cdots f_{k-1} - 1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and since Proposition 4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{j-1}^2 (f_j \cdots f_{k-1} - 1)^2 \\ &= (f_{l+1} \cdots f_{k-1} - f_{l+1} \cdots f_{j-1})^2 \\ &= \frac{(C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{k-1} - C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{j-1})^2}{(C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{l-1})^2 f_l^2} \\ &= \frac{E[C_{i,k} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}]^2}{E[C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}]^2 f_l^2} = \frac{\varphi_{i,l}^2}{E[C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}]^2 f_l^2} \end{aligned}$$

for  $l = n+1-i, \dots, j-1$ , we have

$$V(C_{i,j} f_j \cdots f_{k-1} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}) = \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{j-1} \frac{E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] \varphi_{i,l}^2 v_l}{E[C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}]^2 f_l^2}.$$

Similarly, since

$$\begin{aligned} f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{k-1}^2 &= \frac{(C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{k-1})^2}{(C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{l-1})^2 f_l^2} \\ &= \frac{E[C_{i,k} | \mathcal{D}]^2}{E[C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}]^2 f_l^2} = \frac{\varphi_{i,l}^2}{E[C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}]^2 f_l^2} \end{aligned}$$

for  $l = j, \dots, k-1$ , we have

$$\sum_{l=j}^{k-1} E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] v_l f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{k-1}^2 = \sum_{l=j}^{k-1} \frac{E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] \varphi_{i,l}^2 v_l}{E[C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}]^2 f_l^2}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} V(C_{i,k} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}) &= V(C_{i,j} f_j \cdots f_{k-1} - C_{i,j} | \mathcal{D}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=j}^{k-1} E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] v_l f_{l+1}^2 \cdots f_{k-1}^2 \\ &= \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{j-1} \frac{E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] \varphi_{i,l}^2 v_l}{E[C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}]^2 f_l^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=j}^{k-1} \frac{E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] \varphi_{i,l}^2 v_l}{E[C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}]^2 f_l^2} \\ &= \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{k-1} \frac{E[C_{i,l}^\alpha | \mathcal{D}] \varphi_{i,l}^2 v_l}{E[C_{i,l} | \mathcal{D}]^2 f_l^2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

This lemma leads to the following estimate:

**Estimate 8.** We estimate the process variance  $V(S|\mathcal{D})$  by

$$\sum_{i,l=1}^n \frac{\hat{\varphi}_{i,l}^2 \hat{v}_l}{\hat{C}_{i,l}^{2-\alpha} \hat{f}_l^2}.$$

## 6.2. ESTIMATION ERROR

**Definition 5.** For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we define

$$\Phi_i = E[C_{i,k_i} - C_{i,j_i} | \mathcal{D}] - (\hat{C}_{i,k_i} - \hat{C}_{i,j_i}).$$

**Definition 6.** Define  $\psi_{i,l}$  by

$$\psi_{i,l} = \begin{cases} C_{i,n+1-i} \hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{l-1} (f_l - \hat{f}_l) f_{l+1} \cdots f_{j_i-1} \\ \quad \times (f_{j_i} \cdots f_{k_i-1} - 1) & \text{if } n+1-i \leq l < j_i; \\ C_{i,n+1-i} \hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{l-1} (f_l - \hat{f}_l) f_{l+1} \cdots f_{k_i-1} \\ & \text{if } j_i \leq l < k_i; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $i, l = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Lemma 5.** We have

$$\Phi_i = \sum_{l=1}^n \psi_{i,l}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $i$  and write  $j = j_i$  and  $k = k_i$  for simplicity. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Phi_i}{C_{i,n+1-i}} &= \frac{E[C_{i,k} - C_{i,j}|\mathcal{D}] - (\hat{C}_{i,k} - \hat{C}_{i,j})}{C_{i,n+1-i}} \\ &= (f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{k-1} - f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{j-1}) \\ &\quad - (\hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{k-1} - \hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{j-1}) \\ &= (f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{j-1} - \hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{j-1}) \\ &\quad \times (f_j \cdots f_{k-1} - 1) \\ &\quad + \hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{j-1} (f_j \cdots f_{k-1} - \hat{f}_j \cdots \hat{f}_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{l=n+1-i}^{j-1} \hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{l-1} (f_l - \hat{f}_l) f_{l+1} \cdots f_{j-1} \\ &\quad \times (f_j \cdots f_{k-1} - 1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=j}^{k-1} \hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{l-1} (f_l - \hat{f}_l) f_{l+1} \cdots f_{k-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{C_{i,n+1-i}} \sum_{l=1}^n \psi_{i,l}, \end{aligned}$$

verifying the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** The estimation error can be written as

$$(E[S|\mathcal{D}] - \hat{S})^2 = \sum_{i,i',l,l'=1}^n \psi_{i,l} \psi_{i',l'}.$$

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\Phi_i$  and Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (E[S|\mathcal{D}] - \hat{S})^2 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i \right)^2 = \left( \sum_{i,l=1}^n \psi_{i,l} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,i',l,l'=1}^n \psi_{i,l} \psi_{i',l'}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 7.** We have  $E[\psi_{i,l}|\mathcal{B}_l] = 0$  for  $i, l = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\psi_{i,l}$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{l+1}$ -measurable for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $l = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

*Proof.* Immediate from Propositions 1 and 2.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** We have

$$E[(f_l - \hat{f}_l)^2|\mathcal{B}_l] = \frac{v_l}{\sum_{m=1}^{n-l} C_{m,l}^{2-\alpha}}$$

for  $l = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 1 and the proof of Proposition 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[(f_l - \hat{f}_l)^2|\mathcal{B}_l] &= V(f_l - \hat{f}_l|\mathcal{B}_l) + E[f_l - \hat{f}_l|\mathcal{B}_l]^2 \\ &= V(\hat{f}_l|\mathcal{B}_l) = \frac{v_l}{\sum_{m=1}^{n-l} C_{m,l}^{2-\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 9.** We have

$$\psi_{i,l} = \frac{\hat{C}_{i,l} \varphi_{i,l}}{E[C_{i,l}|\mathcal{D}] f_l} (f_l - \hat{f}_l)$$

for  $i, l = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $i$  and write  $j = j_i$  and  $k = k_i$  for simplicity. If  $n+1-i \leq l < j$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\hat{C}_{i,l} \varphi_{i,l}}{E[C_{i,l}|\mathcal{D}] f_l} (f_l - \hat{f}_l) \\ &= C_{i,n+1-i} \hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{l-1} \times E[C_{i,k} - C_{i,j}|\mathcal{D}] \\ &\quad \times (C_{i,n+1-i} f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{l-1} f_l)^{-1} \times (f_l - \hat{f}_l) \\ &= \frac{\hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{l-1} (f_l - \hat{f}_l)}{f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{l-1} f_l} \\ &\quad \times C_{i,n+1-i} (f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{k-1} - f_{n+1-i} \cdots f_{j-1}) \\ &= C_{i,n+1-i} \hat{f}_{n+1-i} \cdots \hat{f}_{l-1} (f_l - \hat{f}_l) f_{l+1} \cdots f_{j-1} \\ &\quad \times (f_j \cdots f_{k-1} - 1) \\ &= \psi_{i,l}. \end{aligned}$$

The other cases can be dealt with in a similar fashion.  $\square$

We follow Mack in looking at  $E[\psi_{i,l} \psi_{i',l'} | \mathcal{B}_{\max\{l,l'\}}]$  for the estimate of  $\psi_{i,l} \psi_{i',l'}$ :

**Lemma 10.** For  $i, i', l, l' = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E[\psi_{i,l} \psi_{i',l'} | \mathcal{B}_{\max\{l,l'\}}] \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\hat{C}_{i,l} \hat{C}_{i',l'} \varphi_{i,l} \varphi_{i',l'} v_l}{E[C_{i,l}|\mathcal{D}] E[C_{i',l'}|\mathcal{D}] f_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-l} C_{m,l}^{2-\alpha}} & \text{if } l = l'; \\ 0 & \text{if } l \neq l'. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

*Proof.* We first consider the case  $l \neq l'$ . We may assume that  $l < l'$ . Then since  $\psi_{i,l}$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{l'}$ -measurable and  $E[\psi_{i',l'}|\mathcal{B}_{l'}] = 0$  by Lemma 7, the assertion follows.

Now suppose that  $l = l'$ . Observe that  $\hat{C}_{i,l}$ ,  $\varphi_{i,l}$ , and  $E[C_{i,l}|\mathcal{D}]$  are all  $\mathcal{B}_l$ -measurable, no matter whether  $l \leq n+1-i$  or  $l \geq n+2-i$ . The same is true if  $i$  is replaced with  $i'$ . Therefore, by Lemmas 9 and 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E[\psi_{i,l} \psi_{i',l} | \mathcal{B}_l] \\ &= E \left[ \frac{\hat{C}_{i,l} \varphi_{i,l}}{E[C_{i,l}|\mathcal{D}] f_l} (f_l - \hat{f}_l) \times \frac{\hat{C}_{i',l} \varphi_{i',l}}{E[C_{i',l}|\mathcal{D}] f_l} (f_l - \hat{f}_l) \middle| \mathcal{B}_l \right] \\ &= \frac{\hat{C}_{i,l} \hat{C}_{i',l} \varphi_{i,l} \varphi_{i',l}}{E[C_{i,l}|\mathcal{D}] E[C_{i',l}|\mathcal{D}] f_l^2} E[(f_l - \hat{f}_l)^2 | \mathcal{B}_l] \\ &= \frac{\hat{C}_{i,l} \hat{C}_{i',l} \varphi_{i,l} \varphi_{i',l} v_l}{E[C_{i,l}|\mathcal{D}] E[C_{i',l}|\mathcal{D}] f_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-l} C_{m,l}^{2-\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

This lemma leads to the following estimate:

**Estimate 9.** For  $i, i', l, l' = 1, \dots, n$ , we estimate  $\psi_{i,l} \psi_{i',l'}$  by

$$\frac{\hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l'} v_l}{\hat{f}_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-l} C_{m,l}^{2-\alpha}}$$

if  $l = l'$ , and by 0 if  $l \neq l'$ .

This estimate and Lemma 6 give the following:

**Estimate 10.** We estimate  $(E[S|\mathcal{D}] - \hat{S})^2$  by

$$\sum_{i,i',l=1}^n \frac{\hat{\varphi}_{i,l} \hat{\varphi}_{i',l'} v_l}{\hat{f}_l^2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-l} C_{m,l}^{2-\alpha}}.$$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author obtained these results whilst working as a member of the joint research group between Nisshin Fire & Marine Insurance Co., Ltd. and the Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University. He wishes to express his sincere gratitude to the group members, including Professor Setsuo Taniguchi and Dr Tatsushi Tanaka of Kyushu University, for many useful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Mack, T.: Distribution-free calculation of the standard error of chain ladder reserve estimates, *ASTIN Bull.* **23** (1993) no. 2, 213–225.
- [2] Mack, T.: Measuring the variability of chain ladder reserve estimates, *Casualty Actuarial Society Forum* (1994) Spring, vol. 1, 101–182.

Shingo SAITO

Faculty of Mathematics (Engineering Building)

Kyushu University

6–10–1, Hakozaki, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 812–8581, Japan

E-mail: ssaito@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

# List of MI Preprint Series, Kyushu University

The Global COE Program  
Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub

MI

- MI2008-1 Takahiro ITO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Abstract collision systems simulated by cellular automata
- MI2008-2 Eiji ONODERA  
The initial value problem for a third-order dispersive flow into compact almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-3 Hiroaki KIDO  
On isosceles sets in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space
- MI2008-4 Hirofumi NOTSU  
Numerical computations of cavity flow problems by a pressure stabilized characteristic-curve finite element scheme
- MI2008-5 Yoshiyasu OZEKI  
Torsion points of abelian varieties with values in infinite extensions over a  $p$ -adic field
- MI2008-6 Yoshiyuki TOMIYAMA  
Lifting Galois representations over arbitrary number fields
- MI2008-7 Takehiro HIROTSU & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The random walk model revisited
- MI2008-8 Silvia GANDY, Masaaki KANNO, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA  
Optimizing a particular real root of a polynomial by a special cylindrical algebraic decomposition
- MI2008-9 Kazufumi KIMOTO, Sho MATSUMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA  
Alpha-determinant cyclic modules and Jacobi polynomials

- MI2008-10 Sangyeol LEE & Hiroki MASUDA  
Jarque-Bera Normality Test for the Driving Lévy Process of a Discretely Observed Univariate SDE
- MI2008-11 Hiroyuki CHIHARA & Eiji ONODERA  
A third order dispersive flow for closed curves into almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-12 Takehiko KINOSHITA, Kouji HASHIMOTO and Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
On the  $L^2$  a priori error estimates to the finite element solution of elliptic problems with singular adjoint operator
- MI2008-13 Jacques FARAUT and Masato WAKAYAMA  
Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type and multivariate Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials
- MI2008-14 Takashi NAKAMURA  
Riemann zeta-values, Euler polynomials and the best constant of Sobolev inequality
- MI2008-15 Takashi NAKAMURA  
Some topics related to Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions
- MI2009-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Global time evolution of viscous vortex rings
- MI2009-2 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Regularized functional regression modeling for functional response and predictors
- MI2009-3 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Variable selection for functional regression model via the  $L_1$  regularization
- MI2009-4 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-5 Toshiro HIRANOUCI & Yuichiro TAGUCHI  
Flat modules and Groebner bases over truncated discrete valuation rings

MI2009-6 Kenji KAJIWARA & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Bilinearization and Casorati determinant solutions to non-autonomous 1+1  
dimensional discrete soliton equations

MI2009-7 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation  
around the plane Couette flow

MI2009-8 Shohei TATEISHI, Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling via the lasso-type regularization

MI2009-9 Takeshi TAKAISHI & Masato KIMURA  
Phase field model for mode III crack growth in two dimensional elasticity

MI2009-10 Shingo SAITO  
Generalisation of Mack's formula for claims reserving with arbitrary exponents  
for the variance assumption