

SILENT-NOISY DUEL WITH UNCERTAIN EXISTENCE OF THE SHOT

Teraoka, Yoshinobu
Department of Applied Mathematics, Himeji Institute fo Technology

<https://doi.org/10.5109/13147>

出版情報：統計数理研究. 19 (3/4), pp.43-52, 1981-03. Research Association of Statistical Sciences
バージョン：
権利関係：



SILENT-NOISY DUEL WITH UNCERTAIN EXISTENCE OF THE SHOT

By

Yoshinobu TERAOKA*

(Received October 20, 1980)

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to solve a silent-noisy duel with arbitrary accuracy functions in which both duelists have an imperfect knowledge about the existence of the shot fitted to their gun. As a special case, the solution with only one shot and arbitrary accuracy function for each duelist will be shown.

1. Introduction.

In this paper we extend the silent-noisy duel in the work of Drescher [1963] and Karlin [1957] to include the uncertainty that both duelists have an imperfect knowledge about the existence of the shot fitted to their gun when the accuracy functions are arbitrary. The model is evidently formulated as a two-person zero-sum game defined on the unit square.

The silent-noisy duel has the following structure. Each player (i.e., duelist) can fire at any time in $[0, 1]$ aiming his opponent. For $i=1, 2$, associated with Player i is a continuous and strictly increasing function A_i , from $[0, 1]$ onto $[0, 1]$, called his *accuracy function*. If Player i fires at time t , the probability that he hits his opponent, given that both players are still alive at t , is $A_i(t)$. Player I is the silent duelist and II is the noisy duelist, i.e., Player I knows whether Player II has fired or not, but not conversely. Let the payoff be $+1$ to the surviving duelist and 0 to each duelist if both survive or neither survive. Each selects a time to fire. This game with only one shot for each duelist under $A_1(t)=A_2(t)=t$ is described in the work of Drescher and Karlin.

Let us modify the above model by adding an assumption about the existence of the shot fitted to their gun. Suppose that θ_i is the number of shots fitted to the gun possessed by Player i and that (θ_1, θ_2) is a random variable with bivariate Bernoulli distribution given by Table 1. The inner part of this double-entry table shows the joint distribution θ_1 and θ_2 . Let r be the correlation coefficient of θ_1 and θ_2 then $r=d/\sqrt{p_1q_1p_2q_2}$.

* Department of Applied Mathematics, Himeji Institute of Technology, 2167 Shosha, Himeji, 671-22, Japan

Table 1. Bivariate Bernoulli Distribution
 $0 < p_i = 1 - q_i < 1$, $0 \leq d \leq \sqrt{p_1 q_1 p_2 q_2}$, $0 \leq |d| < \min(p_1 q_2, p_2 q_1)$

$\theta_1 \backslash \theta_2$	1	0	Marginal Distribution
1	$p_1 p_2 + d$	$p_1 q_2 - d$	p_1
0	$q_1 p_2 - d$	$q_1 q_2 + d$	q_1
Marginal Distribution	p_2	q_2	

We also assume that the accuracy functions A_1 and A_2 possess continuous derivatives with respect to t , which are denoted by $A_1'(t)$ and $A_2'(t)$, and that their first derivatives are strictly positive in $[0, 1)$, for the sake of the argument in the remaining sections.

The silent and noisy duels under the same assumptions mentioned above have been solved by Teraoka [1975, for example, and 1976].

There are two interesting papers related to our model. Smith [1967] solved the restricted duel in which one player has a single noisy bullet while the other has both a silent and a noisy bullet and must fire the silent bullet first. Styszynski [1974] solved the silent vs. noisy duel when the accuracy functions are arbitrary the silent player has arbitrary (but nonrandom) numbers of shots and the noisy player has one.

Section 2 presents the payoff kernel suggested from our model and the fundamental lemma given by Karlin [1959]. In section 3 we shall discuss our main results, the unique optimal pair and the game value for our model. Section 4 duels with a simple example when $A_1(t) = A_2(t) = t$ and $d = 0$.

2. The formation.

We suppose that both players are informed of the assumptions in Section 1. Each player does not know whether or not he has a shot, and is not informed of the number of shots fitted to his opponent's gun. However, *a player in this game is forced to behave as if he has a shot and his maximum threat to his opponent is to fire as if he has a shot.* Each selects a time to fire conditionally on his having one and that he survives. Furthermore one of the players has the advantage of being able to hear his opponent's shot.

If x and y are the firing times of Player I and II, respectively, the expected payoff to Player I for each state (θ_1, θ_2) and its probability are given by Table 2.

Hence the expected payoff kernel $M(x, y)$ for player I is

$$(1) \quad M(x, y) = \begin{cases} p_1 A_1(x) - p_2 A_2(y) + (p_1 p_2 + d) A_1(x) A_2(y) & x < y \\ p_1 A_1(x) - p_2 A_2(x) & x = y \\ \{p_1 - (p_1 p_2 + d)\} A_1(x) - \{p_2 + (p_1 p_2 + d)\} A_2(y) + (p_1 p_2 + d) & x > y. \end{cases}$$

Here, we shall state Lemma 1 which is given by Karlin [1959]. This lemma deals with a property of the optimal strategies for certain classes of timing games.

Table 2. Expected Payoff for (θ_1, θ_2) and Its Probability

(θ_1, θ_2)	Probability	Expected Payoff		
		$x < y$	$x = y$	$x > y$
(1, 1)	$p_1 p_2 + d$	$A_1(x) - A_2(y) + A_1(x) A_2(y)$	$A_1(x) - A_2(x)$	$1 - 2A_2(y)$
(1, 0)	$p_1 q_2 - d$	$A_1(x)$	$A_1(x)$	$A_1(x)$
(0, 1)	$q_1 p_2 - d$	$-A_2(y)$	$-A_2(y)$	$-A_2(y)$
(0, 0)	$q_1 q_2 + d$	0	0	0

LEMMA 1. Let $M(x, y)$ be kernels of the form

$$M(x, y) = \begin{cases} K(x, y) & x < y \\ \Phi(x, y) & x = y \\ L(x, y) & x > y \end{cases}$$

which satisfy the following conditions:

(a) The functions $K(x, y)$ and $L(x, y)$ are defined over the closed triangles $0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1$ and $0 \leq y \leq x \leq 1$, respectively. Furthermore, they possess continuous second partial derivatives defined in their respective closed triangles.

(b) $K(1, 1) > \Phi(1) > L(1, 1)$ and $K(0, 0) \leq \Phi(0) \leq L(0, 0)$

(c) $K_x(x, y) > 0$ and $L_x(x, y) > 0$ for $x < 1$,
 $K_y(x, y) < 0$ and $L_y(x, y) < 0$ for $y < 1$

(in their respective domains of definition).

Then optimal strategies of zero-sum game $M(x, y)$ exists uniquely and take the following form: densities $f(x)$, $g(y)$ over a common support $[a, 1]$ and a possible jump at 1 for one of the two players.

In the remaining sections, we suppose that I and II use mixed strategies (distribution functions over the unit interval), $F(x)$ and $G(y)$, respectively, and we define notations on expectation of $M(x, y)$ defined on the unit square as follows:

$$M(F, G) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 M(x, y) dF(x) dG(y) \quad \text{and}$$

$$M(x, G) = \int_0^1 M(x, y) dG(y); \quad M(F, y) = \int_0^1 M(x, y) dF(x).$$

For convenience, we also define t_0 to be the unique root of equation $A_1(t)A_2(t) + A_1(t) + A_2(t) - 1 = 0$ in the interval $[0, 1]$, and define $h_i(t)$ by

$$h_i(t) = A_j'(t) / \{A_1(t)A_2(t) + A_1(t) + A_2(t) - 1\} \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0, 1), \{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}.$$

3. The Solution.

The kernel (1) clearly satisfies conditions in Lemma 1. Hence, we suppose that $F(x)$ consists of a density part $f(x) > 0$ over an interval $[a, 1)$ and a mass part α at $x=1$, and $G(y)$ consists of a density part $g(y) > 0$ on the same interval and a mass

part β at $y=1$.

Computing $M(F, y)$ from (1) and setting $\frac{d}{dy}M(F, y)=0$ yields

$$(2) \quad \frac{(p_1 p_2 + d) \{1 + A_1(y)\} f(y)}{p_2 + (p_1 p_2 + d) - (p_1 p_2 + d) \int_a^y \{1 + A_1(t)\} f(t) dt} = \frac{\{1 + A_1(y)\} A_2'(y)}{A_1(y) A_2(y) + A_1(y) + A_2(y) - 1}$$

which has solution

$$(3) \quad f(x) = k_1 h_1(x) \exp \left[- \int_a^x \{1 + A_1(t)\} h_1(t) dt \right] \quad \text{for } x \in [a, 1]$$

In a similar fashion we obtain

$$(4) \quad g(y) = k_2 h_2(y) \exp \left[- \int_a^y \{1 + A_2(t)\} h_2(t) dt \right] \quad \text{for } y \in [a, 1].$$

Here we shall state some lemmas which are useful to determine the optimal strategies and the game value for game (1).

LEMMA 3. Given any $z > t_0$

$$\int_l^z [\{1 + A_i(t)\} A_j'(t) / \{A_1(t) A_2(t) + A_1(t) + A_2(t) - 1\}] dt \uparrow \infty \quad \text{as } l \downarrow t_0 \quad (i \neq j).$$

And then $\exp \left[- \int_l^z \{1 + A_i(t)\} h_i(t) dt \right]$ is a non-increasing function with respect to z for any fixed $l \in (t_0, 1)$.

PROOF. We shall prove the case where $i=1$ and $j=2$. Since $t \geq t_0$,

$$\frac{\{1 + A_1(t)\} A_2'(t)}{A_1(t) A_2(t) + A_1(t) + A_2(t) - 1} \geq \frac{\{1 + A_1(t_0)\} A_2'(t)}{A_1(t) A_2(t) + A_1(t) + A_2(t) - 1}.$$

Using l'Hopital's rule, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \left[\frac{\{1 + A_1(t_0)\} A_2'(t)}{A_1(t) A_2(t) + A_1(t) + A_2(t) - 1} \bigg/ \frac{\{1 + A_1(t_0)\} A_2'(t)}{A_1(t_0) A_2(t) + A_1(t_0) + A_2(t) - 1} \right] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \frac{A_1(t_0) A_2(t) + A_1(t_0) + A_2(t) - 1}{A_1(t) A_2(t) + A_1(t) + A_2(t) - 1} = \frac{\{1 + A_1(t_0)\} A_2'(t_0)}{\{1 + A_1(t_0)\} A_2'(t_0) + \{1 + A_2(t_0)\} A_1'(t_0)} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists $s \in (t_0, z)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\{1 + A_1(t_0)\} A_2'(t)}{A_1(t) A_2(t) + A_1(t) + A_2(t) - 1} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\{1 + A_1(t_0)\} A_2'(t_0)}{\{1 + A_1(t_0)\} A_2'(t_0) + \{1 + A_2(t_0)\} A_1'(t_0)} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\{1 + A_1(t_0)\} A_2'(t)}{A_1(t_0) A_2(t) + A_1(t_0) + A_2(t) - 1} \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in (t_0, s)$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^z \frac{\{1 + A_1(t)\} A_2'(t)}{A_1(t) A_2(t) + A_1(t) + A_2(t) - 1} dt &\geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\{1 + A_1(t_0)\} A_2'(t_0)}{\{1 + A_1(t_0)\} A_2'(t_0) + \{1 + A_2(t_0)\} A_1'(t_0)} \\ &\quad \cdot \ln \left[\frac{A_1(t_0) A_2(s) + A_1(t_0) + A_2(s) - 1}{A_1(t_0) A_2(t_0) + A_1(t_0) + A_2(t_0) - 1} \right] = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by breaking up the range of integration. This completes the proof of Lemma 2, since the integral is monotonically increasing as $l \downarrow t_0$.

LEMMA 3. *Putting*

$$(5) \quad U_i(z) = \exp \left[- \int_a^z \{1 + A_i(t)\} h_i(t) dt \right] \quad \text{for } i=1, 2,$$

the following equations hold

$$(6) \quad \int_t^u \{1 + A_1(x)\} f(x) dx = k_1 \{U_1(l) - U_1(u)\};$$

$$\int_t^u \{1 + A_2(y)\} g(y) dy = k_2 \{U_2(l) - U_2(u)\}.$$

where $t_0 < l \leq u \leq 1$, and $f(x)$ and $g(y)$ are given as (3) and (4), respectively.

PROOF. This can be shown easily, since $f(x) = k_1 h_1(x) U_1(x)$ and $g(y) = k_2 h_2(y) U_2(y)$.

LEMMA 4. *The integral constants k_1 of $f(x)$ and k_2 of $g(y)$ take the following form*

$$(7) \quad p_1 = \frac{p_2}{p_1 p_2 + d} + 1; \quad k_2 = \left\{ \frac{p_1}{p_1 p_2 + d} - 1 + 2\beta \right\} / U_2(1), \quad \text{for } a \in (t_0, 1)$$

where β is the mass part of $G(y)$ at $y=1$.

PROOF. Inserting (3) in (2) yields k_1 stated in Lemma 4. In a similar fashion we obtain k_2 in (7).

LEMMA 5. *For any fixed $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\beta \in [0, 1)$, each of the equations on $a \in [0, 1]$*

$$F(1-0) = \int_a^1 f(x) dx = 1 - \alpha; \quad G(1-0) = \int_a^1 g(y) dy = 1 - \beta$$

has a unique root in the interval $(t_0, 1)$.

PROOF. For $a \in (t_0, 1)$, $F(1-0)$ and $G(1-0)$ are strictly decreasing with respect to a , and $F(1-0) = G(1-0) = 0$ at $a=1-0$. Since Lemma 3 holds, we have

$$F(1-0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_a^1 \{1 + A_1(x)\} f(x) dx = -\frac{k_1}{2} \{1 - U_1(1)\} \quad \text{for } a \in (t_0, 1).$$

So that Lemmas 2 and 4 give

$$F(1-0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1 p_2 + d} + 1 \right) \{1 - U_1(1)\} \uparrow \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1 p_2 + d} + 1 \right) > 1 \quad \text{as } a \downarrow t_0.$$

A similar argument on $G(1-0)$ shows

$$G(1-0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 p_2 + d} - 1 + 2\beta \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{U_2(1)} - 1 \right\} \uparrow \infty \quad \text{as } a \downarrow t_0.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

By Lemmas 4 and 5, each of the equations

$$(8) \quad \int_a^1 \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1 p_2 + d} + 1 \right) h_1(x) \exp \left[- \int_a^x \{1 + A_1(t)\} h_1(t) dt \right] dx = 1;$$

$$(9) \quad \int_a^1 \left\{ \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 p_2 + d} - 1 \right) h_2(y) \exp \left[- \int_a^y \{1 + A_2(t)\} h_2(t) dt \right] / \right. \\ \left. \exp \left[- \int_a^1 \{1 + A_2(t)\} h_2(t) dt \right] \right\} dy = 1$$

has a unique root in the interval $(t_0, 1)$. Furthermore, $F(1-0)=1-\alpha$ and $G(1-0)=1-\beta$ give

$$(10) \quad \alpha = 1 - \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1 p_2 + d} + 1 \right) \int_a^1 h_1(x) \exp \left[- \int_a^x \{1 + A_1(t)\} h_1(t) dt \right] dx \geq 0$$

$$(11) \quad \beta = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 p_2 + d} - 1 \right) \int_a^1 h_2(y) \exp \left[+ \int_a^1 \{1 + A_2(t)\} h_2(t) dt \right] dy}{1 + 2 \int_a^1 h_2(y) \exp \left[+ \int_y^1 \{1 + A_2(t)\} h_2(t) dt \right] dy} \geq 0$$

for any a greater than or equal to the roots of (8) and (9).

Elementary calculation on $M(F, a)$ and $M(a, G)$ using Lemmas 3 and 4 gives the value of the game v^* as follows:

$$(12) \quad v^* = \begin{cases} \{p_1 - (p_1 p_2 + d)\} \{p_2 + (p_1 p_2 + d)\} \{1 - U_1(1)\} / (p_1 p_2 + d) \\ \quad - \{p_2 + (p_1 p_2 + d)\} A_2(a) - p_1 + 2(p_1 p_2 + d), & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ \{p_1 - (p_1 p_2 + d)\} \{p_2 - (p_1 p_2 + d) A_1(a)\} \{1 - 1/U_2(1)\} / (p_1 p_2 + d) \\ \quad + \{p_1 - (p_1 p_2 + d)\} A_1(a) + p_2, & \text{if } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

The above considerations lead us to Theorem 1 since Lemma 1 holds.

THEOREM 1. *Let a_1 and a_2 be the unique roots of equations*

$$\int_{a_1}^1 h_1(t) \exp \left[- \int_{a_1}^t \{1 + A_1(s)\} h_1(s) ds \right] dt = \frac{p_1 p_2 + d}{p_2 + (p_1 p_2 + p)}; \\ \int_{a_2}^1 h_2(t) \exp \left[+ \int_t^1 \{1 + A_2(s)\} h_2(s) ds \right] dt = \frac{p_1 p_2 + d}{p_1 - (p_1 p_2 + d)}$$

in the interval $(t_0, 1)$, respectively, and let $a = \max(a_1, a_2)$.

Then the optimal strategies of game $M(x, y)$, $F^(x)$ for I and $G^*(y)$ for II, are given by the following:*

$$F^*(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq x \leq a \\ \int_a^x \{p_2 / (p_1 p_2 + d) + 1\} h_1(t) \exp \left[- \int_a^t \{1 + A_1(s)\} h_1(s) ds \right] dt \\ \quad + \alpha I_1(x), & a \leq x \leq 1; \end{cases} \\ G^*(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq y \leq a \\ \int_a^y \{p_1 / (p_1 p_2 + d) - 1 + 2\beta\} h_2(t) \exp \left[+ \int_t^1 \{1 + A_2(s)\} h_2(s) ds \right] dt \\ \quad + \beta I_1(y), & a \leq y \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

where $I_1(z)$ is the unit-step function at $z=1$, and mass parts α and β are determined by

$$\alpha = 1 - \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1 p_2 + d} + 1 \right) \int_a^1 h_1(t) \exp \left[- \int_a^t \{1 + A_1(s)\} h_1(s) ds \right] dt ;$$

$$\beta = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 p_2 + d} \right) \int_a^1 h_2(t) \exp \left[+ \int_t^1 \{1 + A_2(s)\} h_2(s) ds \right] dt}{1 + 2 \int_a^1 h_2(t) \exp \left[+ \int_t^1 \{1 + A_2(s)\} h_2(s) ds \right] dt}.$$

Hence the next property holds:

$$\alpha \begin{Bmatrix} = \\ = \\ > \end{Bmatrix} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \begin{Bmatrix} > \\ = \\ = \end{Bmatrix} 0 \quad \text{if} \quad a = \begin{Bmatrix} a_1 > a_2 \\ a_1 = a_2 \\ a_2 > a_1 \end{Bmatrix}.$$

The value of the game v^* is given as

$$(12) \quad v^* = \begin{cases} \{p_1 - (p_1 p_2 + d)\} \{p_2 + (p_1 p_2 + d)\} \{1 - U_1(1)\} / (p_1 p_2 + d) \\ \quad - \{p_2 + (p_1 p_2 + d)\} A_2(a) - p_1 + 2(p_1 p_2 + d), & \text{if } a = a_1 \\ \{p_1 - (p_1 p_2 + d)\} \{p_2 - (p_1 p_2 + d) A_1(a)\} \{1 - 1/U_2(1)\} / (p_1 p_2 + d) \\ \quad + \{p_1 - (p_1 p_2 + d)\} A_1(a) + p_2, & \text{if } a = a_2 \end{cases}$$

where $U_i(z) = \exp \left[- \int_a^z \{1 + A_i(t)\} h_i(t) dt \right]$ for $z \in [a, 1]$, $i=1, 2$.

Letting $d=0$, $p_1=p_2$, and $p_1 \rightarrow 1-0$, we have the case where each duelist has only one shot. Then

$$F^*(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x < a \\ 2 \int_a^x h_1(t) \exp \left[- \int_a^t \{1 + A_1(s)\} h_1(s) ds \right] dt & a \leq x \leq 1; \end{cases}$$

$$G^*(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq y < a \\ \beta \left(2 \int_a^y h_2(t) \exp \left[+ \int_t^1 \{1 + A_2(s)\} h_2(s) ds \right] dt + I_1(y) \right) & a \leq y \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

where a is the unique root of equation

$$\int_a^1 h_1(t) \exp \left[- \int_a^t \{1 + A_1(s)\} h_1(s) ds \right] dt = \frac{1}{2}$$

in the interval $(t_0, 1)$ and mass part β is given as

$$\beta = 1 / \left(1 + 2 \int_a^1 h_2(t) \exp \left[+ \int_t^1 \{1 + A_2(s)\} h_2(s) ds \right] dt \right) > 0.$$

The value of the game v^* is

$$v^* = 1 - 2A_2(a).$$

This result is a special case of the result of Styszynski [1974].

4. A Simple Example.

As a simple example of Theorem 1, we shall examine the case where $d=0$ and $A_1(t)=A_2(t)=t$.

Since

$$(13) \quad h_i(t)=1/(t^2+2t-1) \quad \text{and} \quad t_0=\sqrt{2}-1=0.414, \quad i=1, 2$$

we have for $z \in (t_0, 1)$

$$(14) \quad U_i(z)=\sqrt{a^2+2a-1}/\sqrt{z^2+2z-1}, \quad i=1, 2.$$

Hence a_1 and a_2 are the unique roots of equations

$$(15) \quad \int_a^1 \frac{(a^2+2a-1)^{1/2}}{(t^2+2t-1)^{3/2}} dt = \frac{p_1}{1+p_1}; \quad \int_a^1 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(t^2+2t-1)^{3/2}} dt = \frac{p_2}{1-p_2}$$

which yield

$$(16) \quad \sqrt{2} \sqrt{a^2+2a-1} = a + (1-p_1)/(1+p_1); \quad \sqrt{2} \sqrt{a^2+2a-1} = (1-p_2)(a+1)$$

respectively.

It is found that a_1 and a_2 are the abscissas in $\{(a, b) | a \geq 0, b \geq 0\}$ of intersecting points of

hyperbola: $(a+1)^2/2 - b^2/4 = 1$ and straight line: $b = a + (1-p_1)/(1+p_1)$;

hyperbola: $(a+1)^2/2 - b^2/4 = 1$ and straight line: $b = (1-p_2)(a+1)$

respectively (see Fig. 1). Thus we get a_1 and a_2 are strictly decreasing with respect to p_1 and p_2 respectively, and then

$$t_0 = \sqrt{2} - 1 < \sqrt{6} - 2 \leq a_1 < 1; \quad t_0 = \sqrt{2} - 1 \leq a_2 < 1, \quad \text{for } 0 < p_1, p_2 \leq 1.$$

Hence we get




Fig. 1: (1): $\frac{(a+1)^2}{2} - \frac{b^2}{4} = 1$ (3): $b = (1-p_2)(a+1)$
 (2): $b = a + 1$ (4): $b = a + (1-p_1)/(1+p_1)$
 (5): $b = a$

$$a_1 \begin{cases} > \\ = \\ < \end{cases} a_2 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{(a_0+1)^2}{2} - \frac{b_0^2}{4} \begin{cases} < \\ = \\ > \end{cases} 1$$

where (a_0, b_0) is the unique intersection of two lines:

$$\begin{cases} b = a + (1 - p_1)/(1 + p_1) \\ b = (1 - p_2)(a + 1) \end{cases}$$

which gives

$$a_0 = -1 + \frac{2p_1}{p_2(1+p_1)} \quad ; \quad b_0 = \frac{2p_1(1-p_2)}{p_2(1+p_1)}.$$

After all we conclude that

$$(17) \quad a = \begin{cases} a_1 > a_2 \\ a_1 = a_2 \\ a_2 > a_1 \end{cases} \quad \text{if} \quad \left(\frac{1+p_1}{p_1} \right)^2 \begin{cases} > \\ = \\ < \end{cases} \frac{2-(1-p_2)^2}{p_2^2},$$

where

$$(18) \quad a_1 = \frac{2}{1+p_1} \{ \sqrt{1+2p_1+3p_1^2} - p_1 \} - 1 \quad ; \quad a_2 = \{ 2/\sqrt{1+2p_2-p_2^2} \} - 1.$$

The open unit square $\{(p_1, p_2) | 0 < p_1 < 1, 0 < p_2 < 1\}$ is divided into two regions (in one of which $a = a_1$ and, in the other $a = a_2$) as shown in Fig. 2.

Hence the densities over common interval $[a, 1]$ of $F^*(x)$ and $G^*(y)$ are

$$(19) \quad f^*(x) = \left(\frac{1}{p_1} + 1 \right) \frac{(a^2 + 2a - 1)^{1/2}}{(x^2 + 2x - 1)^{3/2}} \quad ;$$

$$g^*(y) = \left(\frac{1}{p_2} - 1 + 2\beta \right) \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(y^2 + 2y - 1)^{3/2}}$$

respectively.

Using (16), (18), and (19), α , β and v^* are the following:

(i) if $a = a_1$, then

$$\alpha = 0,$$




Fig. 2: The region for initial firing time where $A_1(t) = A_2(t) = t$, $d = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta &= [a+1-2p_1/\{p_2(1+p_1)\}]/[a+2-(1-p_1)/(1+p_1)] \\
&= 1-p_1(1+1/p_2)/(1+2p_1+3p_1^2)^{1/2}, \\
v^* &= (1/2)[1+p_1-p_2+p_1p_2-(1+p_1)(1+p_2)a] \\
&= 1+2p_1(1+p_2)-(1+p_2)(1+p_1+3p_1^2)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) if $a=a_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= 1-(1/2)p_2\{(1/p_1)+1\}(a+1)=1-p_2(1+p_1)/\{p_1(1+2p_2-p_2^2)^{1/2}\}, \\
\beta &= 0, \\
v^* &= 1-2(1-p_1a)/(1+a)=1+2p_1-2(1+p_1)/(1+a) \\
&= 1+2p_1-(1+p_1)(1+2p_2-p_2^2)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement

The author is very grateful to Professor M. Sakaguchi of Osaka University for his guidance and encouragement. Furthermore he heartily thanks to the referees for their many helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] DRESHER, M.: Games of Strategy: Theory and Applications. Prentice Hall, New York, 1963.
- [2] KARLIN, S.: Mathematical Methods and Theory in Games, Programming and Economics. Vol. II, Addison-Wesley, New York, 1959.
- [3] SMITH, G.: *A duel with silent-noisy gun versus noisy gun*. Colloq. Math. 17 (1967), 131-146.
- [4] STYSZYNSKI, A.: *An n-silent-vs.-noisy duel with arbitrary accuracy functions*. Zastos. Math. 14 (1974), 205-225.
- [5] TERAOKA, Y.: *Silent duel with uncertain shot*. Reports of H.I.T., No. 28. A, (1975), 1-8.
- [6] TERAOKA, Y.: *Correction to silent duel with uncertain shot*. Reports of H.I.T., No. 29. A, (1976), 1-4.
- [7] TERAOKA, Y.: *Noisy duel with uncertain existence of the shot*. Int. J. Game Theory, 5 (1976), 239-249.
- [8] TERAOKA, Y.: *A two-person game of timing with random arrival time of the object*. Math. Japonica, 24 (1979), 427-438.