

BEST UNBIASED IN RANDOM EFFECT MODELS

Furukawa, Nagata
Kumamoto University | Kyushu University

<https://doi.org/10.5109/13013>

出版情報：統計数理研究. 11 (1/2), pp.43-61, 1964-03. Research Association of Statistical Sciences
バージョン：
権利関係：



BEST UNBIASED ESTIMATES IN RANDOM EFFECT MODELS

By

Nagata FURUKAWA

(Received December 20, 1963)

§ 1. Introduction.

In this paper we shall be concerned with the point estimation of the parameters in the random effect models for the complete multi-way layouts and the nested designs. This paper gives the exact formulae of sufficient statistics and those of the best unbiased estimates of parameters. "The best unbiased estimate", here, implies the one having the minimum variance of all unbiased estimates.

F. A. Graybill and A. W. Wortham [4] pointed out that in random effect model for the multi-way layouts the usual estimates for variance components were the best unbiased estimates, but it contained an error in enumerating the sufficient statistics. S. N. Roy and R. Gnanadesikan [10] showed a similar result supporting the above result, but the dimensionality of the parameter space was ignored and some additional conditions concerning the functional relationship among the parameters seem to the author to be need for their result to hold valid. In this sense we have had to start anew independently from these authors in order to establish the results in this paper.

F. A. Graybill and R. A. Hultquist [3] obtained a sufficient condition for the existence of a complete set of sufficient statistics in random effect model. Our emphasis in this paper is however placed not only on the existence of a complete set of sufficient statistics but also on the exact formulae of the sufficient statistics and those of the best unbiased estimates.

The recent results of D. L. Weeks and F. A. Graybill [11] are concerned with three factor effect. Neither their results nor ours do contain the others and it is noted that they are exclusively concerned with minimal sufficient statistics without any regard to a complete set of sufficient statistics.

§ 2. Preliminaries.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{ij})$, then the Kronecker product denoted by $A \otimes B$ is defined as the matrix $(a_{ij}b_{kl})$ in the usual way. The Kronecker product of any number of matrices is defined as the natural generalization of two

matrices, and we shall write the Kronecker product of n matrices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n , as $\prod_{i=1}^n \otimes A_i$.

In this paper, we shall make use of the well-known relations concerning the Kronecker products of two matrices such as $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$, $(A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}$, $(A \otimes B)' = A' \otimes B'$, and their generalizations to the products of any number of matrices without mentioning explicitly.

Throughout this paper we shall write the $n \times n$ unit matrix as I_n , E_n denotes the $n \times n$ matrix with the elements all equal to 1. Let H_n be the $n \times n$ matrix with the elements all equal to zero except for the element of the first row in the first column equal to 1, and let $K_n = I_n - H_n$, namely,

$$(2.1) \quad H_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 1 & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further let T_n be defined as the orthogonal matrix with the elements of the first row all equal to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, namely,

$$(2.2) \quad T_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\ \times & \times & \cdots & \times \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \times & \times & \cdots & \times \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have easily

$$(2.3) \quad T_n E_n T_n' = n H_n.$$

§ 3. The case of the 2-way layout.

This section is devoted to the case of the 2-way layout. Although there is no essential difference between the case of the 2-way layout and the case of the general r -way layout, the notation system we need in the latter case is rather cumbersome and complicated that it would be, the author feels, necessary to treat this special case for the preparatory exposition of the basic techniques in the developments of the arguments in the general case.

In this section we shall be concerned with the model equation,

$$(3.1) \quad x_{t_1 t_2 t_3} = \mu + a(1; t_1) + a(2; t_2) + a(1, 2; t_1, t_2) + e_{t_1 t_2 t_3}, \\ (t_j = 1, 2, \dots, n_j, j = 1, 2, 3),$$

where μ is a constant denoting the general mean, and $a(1; t_1)$, $a(2; t_2)$, $a(1, 2; t_1, t_2)$ and $e_{t_1 t_2 t_3}$ are distributed normally with mean zero and the variance σ_1^2 , σ_2^2 , σ_{12}^2 and σ_e^2 respectively, and further they are all independent to each other. The variance-covariance matrix of these $n_1 n_2 n_3$ variables $x_{t_1 t_2 t_3}$ are given by

$$(3.2) \quad V = \sigma_1^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_3} + \sigma_2^2 \mathbf{E}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_3} + \sigma_{12}^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_3} \\ + \sigma_e^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_3}.$$

At first we shall evaluate the determinant of this matrix, which is equal to the determinant of the following matrix,

$$(\mathbf{T}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{n_3}) V (\mathbf{T}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{n_3})'.$$

In view of (2.3), this is equal to

$$(3.3) \quad n_2 n_3 \sigma_1^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_3} + n_1 n_3 \sigma_2^2 \mathbf{H}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_3} + n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_3} \\ + \sigma_e^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_3} \\ = n_2 n_3 \sigma_1^2 (\mathbf{H}_{n_1} + \mathbf{K}_{n_1}) \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_3} + n_1 n_3 \sigma_2^2 \mathbf{H}_{n_1} \otimes (\mathbf{H}_{n_2} + \mathbf{K}_{n_2}) \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_3} \\ + n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 (\mathbf{H}_{n_1} + \mathbf{K}_{n_1}) \otimes (\mathbf{H}_{n_2} + \mathbf{K}_{n_2}) \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_3} \\ + \sigma_e^2 (\mathbf{H}_{n_1} + \mathbf{K}_{n_1}) \otimes (\mathbf{H}_{n_2} + \mathbf{K}_{n_2}) \otimes (\mathbf{H}_{n_3} + \mathbf{K}_{n_3}) \\ = (n_2 n_3 \sigma_1^2 + n_1 n_3 \sigma_2^2 + n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2) \mathbf{H}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_3} \\ + (n_2 n_3 \sigma_1^2 + n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2) \mathbf{K}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_3} \\ + (n_1 n_3 \sigma_2^2 + n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2) \mathbf{H}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_3} \\ + (n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2) \mathbf{K}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{H}_{n_3} + \sigma_e^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_2} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{n_3}.$$

Thus the matrix (3.3) is expressed as the linear form of five matrices, and as all of them are diagonal, this matrix is also diagonal, and any two matrices have no non-zero element in common. This fact leads us to the evaluation of the determinant as follows,

$$(3.4) \quad |V| = (n_2 n_3 \sigma_1^2 + n_1 n_3 \sigma_2^2 + n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2) (n_2 n_3 \sigma_1^2 + n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2)^{(n_1-1)} \\ (n_1 n_3 \sigma_2^2 + n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2)^{(n_2-1)} \cdot (n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2)^{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)} (\sigma_e^2)^{n_1 n_2 (n_3-1)},$$

or by writing

$$(3.5) \quad \theta_0 = \sigma_e^2, \\ \theta_{12} = n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2, \\ \theta_1 = n_2 n_3 \sigma_1^2 + n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2, \\ \theta_2 = n_1 n_3 \sigma_2^2 + n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2, \\ \theta_E = n_2 n_3 \sigma_1^2 + n_1 n_3 \sigma_2^2 + n_3 \sigma_{12}^2 + \sigma_e^2,$$

we have finally

$$(3.6) \quad |V| = \theta_E \theta_1^{(n_1-1)} \theta_2^{(n_2-1)} \theta_{12}^{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)} \theta_0^{n_1 n_2 (n_3-1)}.$$

Now let us find out the inverse matrix of (3.2). Five matrices in (3.3) are all diagonal matrices with the diagonal elements equal to zero or one, and these have no non-zero element in common. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad & [(T_{n_1} \otimes T_{n_2} \otimes T_{n_3}) V (T_{n_1} \otimes T_{n_2} \otimes T_{n_3})']^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_E} H_{n_1} \otimes H_{n_2} \otimes H_{n_3} + \frac{1}{\theta_1} K_{n_1} \otimes H_{n_2} \otimes H_{n_3} + \frac{1}{\theta_2} H_{n_1} \otimes K_{n_2} \otimes H_{n_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\theta_{12}} K_{n_1} \otimes K_{n_2} \otimes H_{n_3} + \frac{1}{\theta_0} I_{n_1} \otimes I_{n_2} \otimes K_{n_3} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_E} H_{n_1} \otimes H_{n_2} \otimes H_{n_3} + \frac{1}{\theta_1} (I_{n_1} - H_{n_1}) \otimes H_{n_2} \otimes H_{n_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\theta_2} H_{n_1} \otimes (I_{n_2} - H_{n_2}) \otimes H_{n_3} + \frac{1}{\theta_{12}} (I_{n_1} - H_{n_1}) \otimes (I_{n_2} - H_{n_2}) \otimes H_{n_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\theta_0} I_{n_1} \otimes I_{n_2} \otimes (I_{n_3} - H_{n_3}). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad V^{-1} &= \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 n_3 \theta_E} E_{n_1} \otimes E_{n_2} \otimes E_{n_3} + \frac{1}{n_2 n_3 \theta_1} \left(I_{n_1} - \frac{1}{n_1} E_{n_1} \right) \otimes E_{n_2} \otimes E_{n_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n_1 n_3 \theta_2} E_{n_1} \otimes \left(I_{n_2} - \frac{1}{n_2} E_{n_2} \right) \otimes E_{n_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n_3 \theta_{12}} \left(I_{n_1} - \frac{1}{n_1} E_{n_1} \right) \otimes \left(I_{n_2} - \frac{1}{n_2} E_{n_2} \right) \otimes E_{n_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\theta_0} I_{n_1} \otimes I_{n_2} \otimes \left(I_{n_3} - \frac{1}{n_3} E_{n_3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by noting the relations

$$Y'_n E_n Y_n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^2 \text{ and } Y'_n I_n Y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2,$$

where Y'_n is any n -dimensional vector $Y'_n = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, our joint density function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad f(X) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{n_1 n_2 n_3} |V|^{-1/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (X - \mu)' V^{-1} (X - \mu) \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{n_1 n_2 n_3} \theta_E^{-1/2} \theta_1^{-(n_1-1)/2} \theta_2^{-(n_2-1)/2} \theta_{12}^{-(n_1-1)(n_2-1)/2} \theta_0^{-n_1 n_2 (n_3-1)/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{n_1 n_2 n_3}{\theta_E} (\bar{x}_{...} - \mu)^2 + \frac{n_2 n_3}{\theta_1} \sum_{t_1} (\bar{x}_{t_1.} - \bar{x}_{...})^2 \right. \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{n_1 n_3}{\theta_2} \sum_{t_2} (\bar{x}_{.t_2.} - \bar{x}_{...})^2 + \frac{n_3}{\theta_{12}} \sum_{t_1} \sum_{t_2} (\bar{x}_{t_1 t_2.} - \bar{x}_{t_1.} - \bar{x}_{.t_2.} + \bar{x}_{...})^2 \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\theta_0} \sum_{t_1} \sum_{t_2} \sum_{t_3} (x_{t_1 t_2 t_3} - \bar{x}_{t_1 t_2.})^2 \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We have the family of distributions whose parameter space is written explicitly as

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left(\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \theta_0 < \infty, \quad \theta_E = \theta_1 + \theta_2 - \theta_{12}, \\ \theta_0 \leq \theta_{12} < \infty, \\ \theta_{12} \leq \theta_1 < \infty, \quad -\infty < \mu < \infty \\ \theta_{12} \leq \theta_2 < \infty, \end{array} \right),$$

and whose minimal sufficient statistics are given by the following five statistics

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \sum_{t_1} \sum_{t_2} \sum_{t_3} (x_{t_1 t_2 t_3} - \bar{x}_{t_1 t_2.})^2, \\ S_1 &= n_2 n_3 \sum_{t_1} (\bar{x}_{t_1.} - \bar{x}_{...})^2, \\ S_2 &= n_1 n_3 \sum_{t_2} (\bar{x}_{.t_2.} - \bar{x}_{...})^2, \\ S_{12} &= n_3 \sum_{t_1} \sum_{t_2} (\bar{x}_{t_1 t_2.} - \bar{x}_{t_1.} - \bar{x}_{.t_2.} + \bar{x}_{...})^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bar{x}_{...}.$$

Since the family distribution of these sufficient statistics is strongly complete in virtue of a lemma of Gautschi [5], the theory of estimation tells us that the usual estimates are the unique minimum variance unbiased estimates of the variance components σ_e^2 , σ_1^2 , σ_2^2 , σ_{12}^2 and the general mean μ .

§ 4. The case of the r -way layout.

In this section we shall give the results and the proofs in the case of the r -way layout with the model given by

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad x_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_r t_{r+1}} &= \mu + \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subseteq R} a(i_1 \dots i_k; t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_k}) + e_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}}, \\ & (t_j = 1, 2, \dots, n_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, r+1), \end{aligned}$$

where μ is a constant denoting the general mean, $a(i_1, \dots, i_k; t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_k})$ denotes the main effect of the i_1 -th factor if $k=1$, the interaction between i_1 -th, i_2 -th, \dots , i_k -th factors with the level $t_{i_1}, t_{i_2}, \dots, t_{i_k}$ if $k \geq 1$, and $e_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}}$

denotes the error term. Further, the following distributional assumptions will be made;

(1) $\{a(i_1, \dots, i_k; t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_k})\}$ is distributed as the multivariate normal, mean vector 0, covariance matrix $\sigma_{I_k}^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_{i_1} \dots n_{i_k}}$ for $k=1, 2, \dots, r$;

(2) $\{e_{t_1 \dots t_{r+1}}\}$ is distributed as the multivariate normal, mean vector 0, covariance matrix $\sigma_e^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_1 \dots n_{r+1}}$;

(3) $\{a(i_1, \dots, i_k; t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_k})\}$ and $\{a(j_1, \dots, j_h; t_{j_1}, \dots, t_{j_h})\}$ are mutually independent for $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \neq (j_1, \dots, j_h)$;

(4) $\{a(i_1, \dots, i_k; t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_k})\}$ and $\{e_{t_1 \dots t_{r+1}}\}$ are mutually independent for $k=1, 2, \dots, r$.

In the above equation (4.1), R denotes the set of integers $(1, 2, \dots, r)$ and I_k denotes the subsets (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) of $R = (1, 2, \dots, r)$ with the relations $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$. $\sum_{I_k \subset R}$ denotes the summation for all subsets I_k of size k in R .

Throughout this paper the notations such as I_k, J_h, L_p etc. mean sets of integers $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k), (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_h), (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p)$ etc. respectively, and the summations such as $\sum_{A \subset B}, \sum_{\substack{A \subset B \\ A \supset C}}$, where A, B, C are such sets of integers

as stated above, mean the sum of all numbers a_A 's having A as the suffixes which are included in B , or included in B and including C , respectively.

Corresponding to (3.2), we have the expression of the variance-covariance matrix in terms of the Kronecker products as follows

$$(4.2) \quad V = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \sigma_{I_k}^2 \left[\prod_{j=1}^r (E_{n_j}^{1-\delta_{I_k}^j} I_{n_j}^{\delta_{I_k}^j}) \right] \otimes E_{n_{r+1}} + \sigma_e^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_{r+1}},$$

where $\delta_{I_k}^j$ is a sort of generalization of the Kronecker's delta which is

$$(4.3) \quad \delta_{I_k}^j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \text{ is equal to either member of } I_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and E^o is defined to be a unit matrix \mathbf{I} .

For the developments of the arguments in this section we have to prepare with a number of notations and lemmas as follows.

Definition 4.1.

$$(4.4) \quad A_{(I_k)} = \sum_{p=k}^r \sum_{\substack{L_p \supset I_k \\ L_p \subset R}} \sigma_{L_p}^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{L_p}^j},$$

$$(4.5) \quad A = \sum_{p=1}^r \sum_{L_p \subset R} \sigma_{L_p}^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{L_p}^j},$$

$$(4.6) \quad A_{(J_h)}^{(I_k)} = \sum_{p=k}^{r-h} \sum_{\substack{L_p \supset I_k \\ L_p \subset R - J_h}} \sigma_{L_p}^2 \prod_{t=1}^{r+1} n_t^{1-\delta_{L_p}^t J_h},$$

$$(4.7) \quad B_{(I_k)} = A_{(I_k)} + \sigma_e^2,$$

$$(4.8) \quad B = A + \sigma_e^2,$$

$$(4.9) \quad \bar{X}_{t_1 \dots t_l \beta} = \sum_{t_{j_1}} \dots \sum_{t_{j_{r-\beta}}} \sum_{t_{r+1}} x_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}}, \\ (J_{r-\beta} = R - L_\beta)$$

$$(4.10) \quad \bar{X} = \sum_{t_1} \dots \sum_{t_{r+1}} x_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}},$$

$$(4.11) \quad \bar{x}_{t_1 \dots t_l \beta} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{L_\beta}^j}} \bar{X}_{t_1 \dots t_l \beta},$$

$$(4.12) \quad \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n_1 \dots n_{r+1}} \bar{X},$$

$$(4.13) \quad u_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}} = x_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}} - \mu,$$

$$(4.14) \quad \bar{U}_{t_1 \dots t_l \beta} = \sum_{t_{j_1}} \dots \sum_{t_{j_{r-\beta}}} \sum_{t_{r+1}} u_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}}, \\ (J_{r-\beta} = R - L_\beta)$$

$$(4.15) \quad \bar{U} = \sum_{t_1} \dots \sum_{t_{r+1}} u_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}},$$

$$(4.16) \quad \bar{u}_{t_1 \dots t_l \beta} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{L_\beta}^j}} \bar{U}_{t_1 \dots t_l \beta},$$

and

$$(4.17) \quad \bar{u} = \frac{1}{n_1 \dots n_{r+1}} \bar{U}.$$

Lemma 4.1. $\{A_{(I_k)}\}$ (not including A) are functionally independent.

Proof. By the Definition 4.1 it is obvious that every $A_{(I_k)}$ is the linear form of a number of $\sigma_{L_p}^2$'s. For any pair of members of $\{A_{(I_k)}\}$ the one includes at least one $\sigma_{L_p}^2$ not included in the other, which completes the proof.

From Lemma 4.1 obtain

Lemma 4.2. $\{B_{(I_k)}\}$ (not including B) are functionally independent.

Lemma 4.3.

$$(4.18) \quad A_{(I_k)}^{(j_h)} = \frac{1}{n_{j_h}} [A_{(I_k)}^{(j_h-1)} - A_{(I_k, j_h)}^{(j_h-1)}].$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.19) \quad A_{(I_k)}^{(j_h)} &= \sum_{p=k}^{r-h} \sum_{\substack{L_p \supset I_k \\ L_p \subset R-J_h}} \sigma_{L_p}^2 \prod_{t=1}^{r+1} n_t^{1-\delta_{L_p}^{j_h}} \\
&= \sum_{p=k}^{r-h+1} \sum_{\substack{L_p \supset I_k \\ L_p \subset R-J_{h-1}}} \sigma_{L_p}^2 \prod_{t=1}^{r+1} n_t^{1-\delta_{L_p}^{j_h}} - \sum_{p=k+1}^{r-h+1} \sum_{\substack{L_p \supset (I_k, j_h) \\ L_p \subset R-J_{h-1}}} \sigma_{L_p}^2 \prod_{t=1}^{r+1} n_t^{1-\delta_{L_p}^{j_h}} \\
&= \frac{1}{n_{j_h}} \left[\sum_{p=k}^{r-h+1} \sum_{\substack{L_p \supset I_k \\ L_p \subset R-J_{h-1}}} \sigma_{L_p}^2 \prod_{t=1}^{r+1} n_t^{1-\delta_{L_p}^{j_{h-1}}} - \sum_{p=k+1}^{r-h+1} \sum_{\substack{L_p \supset (I_k, j_h) \\ L_p \subset R-J_{h-1}}} \sigma_{L_p}^2 \prod_{t=1}^{r+1} n_t^{1-\delta_{L_p}^{j_{h-1}}} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n_{j_h}} [A_{(I_k)}^{(j_{h-1})} - A_{(I_k, j_h)}^{(j_{h-1})}].
\end{aligned}$$

This lemma enables us to express $A_{(I_k)}^{(j_h)}$ in terms of $A_{(I_k, L_p)}$, which is given by

Lemma 4.4.

$$(4.20) \quad A_{(I_k)}^{(j_h)} = \frac{1}{n_{j_1} \cdots n_{j_h}} \sum_{p=0}^h \sum_{L_p \subset J_h} (-1)^p A_{(I_k, L_p)}.$$

Proof. We shall give the proof by making use of the mathematical induction in h .

In case $h=1$, we have from Lemma 4.3

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.21) \quad A_{(I_k)}^{(j_1)} &= \frac{1}{n_{j_1}} [A_{(I_k)} - A_{(I_k, j_1)}] \\
&= \frac{1}{n_{j_1}} \sum_{p=0}^1 \sum_{L_p \subset J_1} (-1)^p A_{(I_k, L_p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then assuming (4.20) to be valid in case $h=N$, i.e.,

$$(4.22) \quad A_{(I_k)}^{(j_N)} = \frac{1}{n_{j_1} \cdots n_{j_N}} \sum_{p=0}^N \sum_{L_p \subset J_N} (-1)^p A_{(I_k, L_p)}.$$

We shall prove this is also valid in case $h=N+1$ by using Lemma 4.3 and (4.22), which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.23) \quad A_{(I_k)}^{(j_{N+1})} &= \frac{1}{n_{j_{N+1}}} [A_{(I_k)}^{(j_N)} - A_{(I_k, j_{N+1})}^{(j_N)}] \\
&= \frac{1}{n_{j_{N+1}}} \left[\frac{1}{n_{j_1} \cdots n_{j_N}} \left\{ \sum_{p=0}^N \sum_{L_p \subset J_N} (-1)^p A_{(I_k, L_p)} - \sum_{p=0}^N \sum_{\substack{L_p \subset J_N \\ L_p \ni j_{N+1}}} (-1)^p A_{(I_k, j_{N+1}, L_p)} \right\} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n_{j_1} \cdots n_{j_{N+1}}} \left[\sum_{p=0}^N \sum_{L_p \subset J_N} (-1)^p A_{(I_k, L_p)} + \sum_{\substack{p=0 \\ L_p \subset J_{N+1} \\ L_p \ni j_{N+1}}}^{N+1} (-1)^p A_{(I_k, L_p)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n_{j_1} \cdots n_{j_{N+1}}} \sum_{p=0}^{N+1} \sum_{L_p \subset J_{N+1}} (-1)^p A_{(J_k, L_p)}.$$

Now we observe the next lemma meaning the dependency between A and $\{A_{(I_k)}\}$ or B and $\{B_{(I_k)}\}$.

Lemma 4.5.

$$(4.24) \quad A = \sum_{p=1}^r \sum_{L_p \subset R} (-1)^{p-1} A_{(L_p)},$$

$$(4.25) \quad B = \sum_{p=1}^r \sum_{L_p \subset R} (-1)^{p-1} B_{(L_p)}.$$

Proof. By Definition 4.1 $A^{(R)}$ is the sum over the null index set and is equal to zero. On the other hand Lemma 4.4 should hold true even if I_k is the null set, and we have

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{(R)} &= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j} \sum_{p=0}^r \sum_{L_p \subset R} (-1)^p A_{(L_p)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j} [A + \sum_{p=1}^r \sum_{L_p \subset R} (-1)^p A_{(L_p)}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$(4.27) \quad A = \sum_{p=1}^r \sum_{L_p \subset R} (-1)^{p-1} A_{(L_p)}.$$

Here we need to prepare the following

Lemma 4.6.

$$(4.28) \quad \sum_{t_{i_1}} \cdots \sum_{t_{i_k}} \sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{L_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k}}^2 = \sum_{t_{i_1}} \cdots \sum_{t_{i_k}} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{L_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k}} \right]^2.$$

Proof. Proof is given by making use of the mathematical induction. In case $k=1$, the proof is given by

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{t_{i_1}} \{ (-1) \bar{U}^2 + \bar{U}_{t_{i_1}}^2 \} &= \sum_{t_{i_1}} (\bar{U}_{t_{i_1}}^2 - \bar{U}^2) \\ &= \sum_{t_{i_1}} (\bar{U}_{t_{i_1}} - \bar{U})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Further assuming (4.28) to be valid in case $k=N$, we have

$$(4.30) \quad \sum_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{N+1}}} \sum_{\beta=0}^{N+1} \sum_{L_\beta \subset I_{N+1}} (-1)^{N+1-\beta} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_{N+1}}}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{N+1}}} \sum_{\beta=0}^N \sum_{L_\beta \subset I_N} [(-1)^{N-\beta} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_\beta} t_{i_{N+1}}}^2 - (-1)^{N-\beta} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_\beta}}^2] \\
&= \sum_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{N+1}}} \left[\left\{ \sum_{\beta=0}^N \sum_{L_\beta \subset I_N} (-1)^{N-\beta} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_\beta} t_{i_{N+1}}} \right\}^2 - \left\{ \sum_{\beta=0}^N \sum_{L_\beta \subset I_N} (-1)^{N-\beta} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_\beta}} \right\}^2 \right] \\
&= \sum_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{N+1}}} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^N \sum_{L_\beta \subset I_N} (-1)^{N-\beta} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_\beta} t_{i_{N+1}}} - \sum_{\beta=0}^N \sum_{L_\beta \subset I_N} (-1)^{N-\beta} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_\beta}} \right]^2 \\
&= \sum_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{N+1}}} \left[\sum_{\substack{\beta=0 \\ L_\beta \subset I_{N+1} \\ L_\beta \ni i_{N+1}}}^{N+1} (-1)^{N-\beta+1} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_\beta}} + \sum_{\substack{\beta=0 \\ L_\beta \subset I_{N+1} \\ L_\beta \not\ni i_{N+1}}}^N (-1)^{N+1-\beta} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_\beta}} \right]^2 \\
&= \sum_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{N+1}}} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^{N+1} \sum_{L_\beta \subset I_{N+1}} (-1)^{N+1-\beta} \bar{U}_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_\beta}} \right]^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

We shall derive the joint density function as the generalization of (3.9), which is enunciated in

Theorem 4.1. *The joint density function of all $x_{t_1, \dots, t_{r+1}}$'s is given by*

$$(4.31) \quad f(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-n_1 \dots n_{r+1/2}} B^{-1/2} \prod_{k=1}^r \prod_{I_k \subset R} \{B_{(I_k)}\}^{-(n_{i_1}-1) \dots (n_{i_k}-1)/2} (\sigma_e^2)^{-n_1 \dots n_r (n_{r+1}-1)/2}$$

$$\cdot \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mu)^2}{B} + \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \frac{S_{(I_k)}}{B_{(I_k)}} + \frac{S_e}{\sigma_e^2} \right\} \right],$$

where

$$S_{(I_k)} = \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{I_k}^j} \sum_{t_{i_1}} \dots \sum_{t_{i_k}} \left\{ \sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{L_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_\beta}} \right\}^2,$$

$$S_e = \sum_{t_1} \dots \sum_{t_{r+1}} (\mathbf{x}_{t_1, \dots, t_{r+1}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{t_1, \dots, t_r})^2.$$

Proof. Let us at first transform the variance-covariance matrix given in (4.2) by the orthogonal matrix which is the Kronecker product of the matrices defined in (2.2), and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.32) \quad & (\mathbf{T}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{n_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{T}_{n_{r+1}}) V (\mathbf{T}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{n_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{T}_{n_{r+1}})' \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \sigma_{I_k}^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{I_k}^j} \left[\prod_{t=1}^r \otimes (H_{n_t}^{1-\delta_{I_k}^t} \mathbf{I}_{n_t}^{\delta_{I_k}^t}) \right] \otimes H_{n_{r+1}} \\
&\quad + \sigma_e^2 \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_{r+1}} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \sigma_{I_k}^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{I_k}^j} \left[\prod_{t=1}^r \otimes (H_{n_t}^{1-\delta_{I_k}^t} (H_{n_t} + K_{n_t})^{\delta_{I_k}^t}) \right] \otimes H_{n_{r+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sigma_e^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \otimes (H_{n_j} + K_{n_j}) \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \sigma_{I_k}^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{I_k}^j} \left[\prod_{t=1}^r \otimes (H_{n_t} + \delta_{I_k}^t K_{n_t}) \right] \otimes H_{n_{r+1}} \\
& \quad + \sigma_e^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \otimes (H_{n_j} + K_{n_j}) \\
& = \left[\sum_{p=1}^r \sum_{I_p \subset R} \sigma_{I_p}^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{I_p}^j} + \sigma_e^2 \right] H_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{n_r} \otimes H_{n_{r+1}} \\
& \quad + \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \left[\sum_{\substack{L_p \supset I_k \\ L_p \subset R}} \sigma_{L_p}^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{L_p}^j} + \sigma_e^2 \right] \prod_{t=1}^r \otimes (H_{n_t}^{1-\delta_{I_k}^t} K_{n_t}^{\delta_{I_k}^t}) \otimes H_{n_{r+1}} \\
& \quad + \sigma_e^2 I_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes K_{n_{r+1}} \\
& = B \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \otimes H_{n_j} + \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} B_{(I_k)} \prod_{t=1}^r \otimes (H_{n_t}^{1-\delta_{I_k}^t} K_{n_t}^{\delta_{I_k}^t}) \otimes H_{n_{r+1}} \\
& \quad + \sigma_e^2 I_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes K_{n_{r+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the matrix (4.32) is expressed as the linear form of a number of matrices of the type

$$A_{n_1} \otimes A_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{n_r} \otimes H_{n_{r+1}}$$

where

$$A_i = H_i \text{ or } K_i$$

and the matrix $I_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes K_{n_{r+1}}$ and all of them are diagonal matrices with the diagonal elements equal to zero or one, and have no non-zero element in common. This fact leads us to the evaluation of the inverse of (4.2) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.33) \quad & [(T_{n_1} \otimes T_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}) V (T_{n_1} \otimes T_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}})']^{-1} \\
& = \frac{1}{B} \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \otimes H_{n_j} + \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \frac{1}{B_{(I_k)}} \prod_{t=1}^r \otimes (H_{n_t}^{1-\delta_{I_k}^t} K_{n_t}^{\delta_{I_k}^t}) \otimes H_{n_{r+1}} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes K_{n_{r+1}} \\
& = \frac{1}{B} \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \otimes H_{n_j} + \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \frac{1}{B_{(I_k)}} \prod_{t=1}^r \otimes \left\{ H_{n_t}^{1-\delta_{I_k}^t} (I_{n_t} - H_{n_t})^{\delta_{I_k}^t} \right\} \otimes H_{n_{r+1}} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes (I_{n_{r+1}} - H_{n_{r+1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.34) \quad V^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j B} E_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes E_{n_r} \otimes E_{n_{r+1}} \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \frac{1}{B_{(I_k)}} \prod_{t=1}^r \otimes \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n_t} E_{n_t} \right)^{1-\delta_{I_k}^t} \left(I_{n_t} - \frac{1}{n_t} E_{n_t} \right)^{\delta_{I_k}^t} \right\} \otimes \frac{1}{n_{r+1}} E_{n_{r+1}} \\
&+ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes \left(I_{n_{r+1}} - \frac{1}{n_{r+1}} E_{n_{r+1}} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j B} E_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes E_{n_r} \otimes E_{n_{r+1}} \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \frac{1}{B_{(I_k)}} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{I_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} \prod_{t=1}^r \otimes (H_{n_t}^{1-\delta_{I_\beta}^t} I_{n_t}^{\delta_{I_\beta}^t}) \otimes E_{n_{r+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \left(\frac{1}{n_j} \right)^{1-\delta_{I_\beta}^j} \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes \left(I_{n_{r+1}} - \frac{1}{n_{r+1}} E_{n_{r+1}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let \mathbf{U} be the column vector of $u_{t_1} \cdots t_{r+1}$'s, then we have by using Lemma 4.6

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.35) \quad & \mathbf{U}' \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j} E_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes E_{n_r} \otimes E_{n_{r+1}} \mathbf{U} \\
&= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j} \bar{\mathbf{U}}^2 \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j (\bar{x} - \mu)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.36) \quad & \mathbf{U}' \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{I_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} \prod_{t=1}^r \otimes (E_{n_t}^{1-\delta_{I_\beta}^t} I_{n_t}^{\delta_{I_\beta}^t}) \otimes E_{n_{r+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \left(\frac{1}{n_j} \right)^{1-\delta_{I_\beta}^j} \right] \mathbf{U} \\
&= \sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{I_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} \sum_{t_{l_1}} \cdots \sum_{t_{l_\beta}} \bar{\mathbf{U}}^2_{t_{l_1} \cdots t_{l_\beta}} \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \left(\frac{1}{n_j} \right)^{1-\delta_{I_\beta}^j} \\
&= \sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{I_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{I_\beta}^j} \sum_{t_{l_1}} \cdots \sum_{t_{l_\beta}} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t_{l_1} \cdots t_{l_\beta}}^2 \\
&= \sum_{l_1} \cdots \sum_{t_{r+1}} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{I_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t_{l_1} \cdots t_{l_\beta}}^2 \right] \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{I_k}^j} \sum_{t_{l_1}} \cdots \sum_{t_{l_k}} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{I_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t_{l_1} \cdots t_{l_\beta}}^2 \right] \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{I_k}^j} \sum_{t_{l_1}} \cdots \sum_{t_{l_k}} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{I_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t_{l_1} \cdots t_{l_\beta}} \right]^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{I_k}^j} \sum_{t_{i_1}} \cdots \sum_{t_{i_k}} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{I_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} (\bar{x}_{t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_\beta}} - \mu) \right]^2 \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_{I_k}^j} \sum_{t_{i_1}} \cdots \sum_{t_{i_k}} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^k \sum_{I_\beta \subset I_k} (-1)^{k-\beta} \bar{x}_{t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_\beta}} \right]^2 \\
&= S_{(I_k)},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.37) \quad & U' I_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes \left(I_{n_{r+1}} - \frac{1}{n_{r+1}} E_{n_{r+1}} \right) U \\
&= U' I_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_{r+1}} U - \frac{1}{n_{r+1}} U' I_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes E_{n_{r+1}} U \\
&= \sum_{t_1} \cdots \sum_{t_{r+1}} u_{t_1 \dots t_{r+1}}^2 - \frac{1}{n_{r+1}} \sum_{t_1} \cdots \sum_{t_r} \bar{U}_{t_1 \dots t_r}^2 \\
&= \sum_{t_1} \cdots \sum_{t_{r+1}} [u_{t_1 \dots t_{r+1}}^2 - \bar{u}_{t_1 \dots t_r}^2] \\
&= \sum_{t_1} \cdots \sum_{t_{r+1}} [u_{t_1 \dots t_{r+1}} - \bar{u}_{t_1 \dots t_r}]^2 \\
&= \sum_{t_1} \cdots \sum_{t_{r+1}} [x_{t_1 \dots t_{r+1}} - \bar{x}_{t_1 \dots t_r}]^2 \\
&= S_e.
\end{aligned}$$

The quadratic form in the exponent of our density function is then seen to be

$$(4.38) \quad U' V^{-1} U = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j (\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{B} + \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \frac{S_{(I_k)}}{B_{(I_k)}} + \frac{S_e}{\sigma_e^2}.$$

On the other hand we obtain easily from (4.33)

$$(4.39) \quad |V| = B \prod_{k=1}^r \prod_{I_k \subset R} \{B_{(I_k)}\}^{(n_{i_1}-1) \cdots (n_{i_k}-1)} \{\sigma_e^2\}^{n_1 \cdots n_r (n_{r+1}-1)}$$

At last we have (4.31) by (4.38) and (4.39), which completes the proof.

Theorem 4.2. $S_{(I_k)}/B_{(I_k)}$ is distributed in central chi-square distribution with $(n_{i_1}-1) \cdots (n_{i_k}-1)$ degrees of freedom for every $I_k \subset R$, S_e/σ_e^2 with $n_1 \cdots n_r (n_{r+1}-1)$ degrees of freedom also, and these all are distributed independently to each other.

Proof.

Let X be a column vector of observations, μ be a column vector $(\mu, \mu, \dots, \mu)'$.

And let $T_{n_t}^{(H)}$, $T_{n_t}^{(K)}$ be matrices, modified T_{n_t} respectively, as follows;

$$(4.40) \quad T_{n_t}^{(H)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_t}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_t}} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.41) \quad T_{n_t}^{(K)} = T_{n_t} - T_{n_t}^{(H)}.$$

Then we have another expression of $U'V^{-1}U$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.42) \quad & U'V^{-1}U \\
 &= U'(T_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}})' [T_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}] V(T_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}})'^{-1} \\
 & \quad (T_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}) U \\
 &= U'(T_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}})' \\
 & \quad \cdot \left[\frac{1}{B} H_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{n_{r+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \frac{1}{B_{(I_k)}} \prod_{l=1}^r \otimes (H_{n_t}^{1-\delta_{I_k}^t} K_{n_t}^{\delta_{I_k}^t}) \otimes H_{n_{r+1}} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes K_{n_{r+1}} \right] \\
 & \quad \cdot (T_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}) U \\
 &= \frac{1}{B} (X - \mu)' (T_{n_1}^{(H)} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}^{(H)})' (T_{n_1}^{(H)} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}^{(H)}) (X - \mu) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \frac{1}{B_{(I_k)}} X' \left[\prod_{l=1}^r \otimes (T_{n_t}^{(H)1-\delta_{I_k}^t} T_{n_t}^{(K)\delta_{I_k}^t}) \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}^{(H)} \right]' \\
 & \quad \cdot \left[\prod_{l=1}^r \otimes (T_{n_t}^{(H)1-\delta_{I_k}^t} T_{n_t}^{(K)\delta_{I_k}^t}) \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}^{(H)} \right] X \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} X' (T_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_r} \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}^{(K)})' (T_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_r} \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}^{(K)}) X \\
 &= \frac{1}{B} (X - \mu)' Q_0' Q_0 (X - \mu) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \frac{1}{B_{(I_k)}} X' Q_{(I_k)}' Q_{(I_k)} X + \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} X' Q_e' Q_e X,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_0 = T_{n_1}^{(H)} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}^{(H)},$$

$$Q_{(I_k)} = \prod_{l=1}^r \otimes (T_{n_t}^{(H)1-\delta_{I_k}^t} T_{n_t}^{(K)\delta_{I_k}^t}) \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}^{(H)}, \quad I_k \subset R; \quad k=1, 2, \dots, r,$$

and

$$Q_e = T_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{n_r} \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}^{(K)}.$$

Here the following results are easily obtained.

(A) For $I_k \subset R$, $Q'_{(I_k)} Q_{(I_k)} V / B_{(I_k)}$ and $Q'_e Q_e V / \sigma_e^2$ are all idempotents since it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} Q'_{(I_k)} (Q_{(I_k)} V Q'_{(I_k)}) Q_{(I_k)} V / B_{(I_k)}^2 &= Q'_{(I_k)} B_{(I_k)} I Q_{(I_k)} V / B_{(I_k)}^2 \\ &= Q'_{(I_k)} Q_{(I_k)} V / B_{(I_k)}, \\ Q'_e (Q_e V Q'_e) Q_e V / (\sigma_e^2)^2 &= Q'_e \sigma_e^2 I Q_e V / (\sigma_e^2)^2 \\ &= Q'_e Q_e V / \sigma_e^2. \end{aligned}$$

- (B) $\epsilon[Q_{(I_k)} X] = Q_{(I_k)} \mu = 0$ for $I_k \subset R$; $k=1, 2, \dots, r$,
 $\epsilon[Q_e X] = Q_e \mu = 0$,
 $\epsilon[X' Q'_{(I_k)} Q_{(I_k)} X / (n_{i_1} - 1) \cdots (n_{i_k} - 1)] = B_{(I_k)}$ for $I_k \subset R$; $k=1, 2, \dots, r$,
 $\epsilon[X' Q'_e Q_e X / n_1 \cdots n_r (n_{r+1} - 1)] = \sigma_e^2$.
- (C) $\text{rank}[Q'_{(I_k)} Q_{(I_k)} V] = (n_{i_1} - 1) \cdots (n_{i_k} - 1)$ for $I_k \subset R$; $k=1, 2, \dots, r$,
 $\text{rank}[Q'_e Q_e V] = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_r (n_{r+1} - 1)$.
- (D) $Q_{(I_k)} V Q'_{(I_k)} = 0$ for $I_k \not\subset J_h$.

This consideration leads us to the proof of the theorem.

Now, in our model, the random variable is $X = X_{11 \dots 1}, \dots, X_{11 \dots n_{r+1}}; X_{21 \dots 1}, \dots, X_{21 \dots n_{r+1}}; \dots; X_{n_1 \dots n_{r+1}}, \dots, X_{n_1 \dots n_r n_{r+1}}$, the sample space R^x is a $n_1 n_2 \cdots n_{r+1}$ -dimensional Euclidean space, and the family \mathfrak{B}^x is specified by the parameter $\theta = (\mu, \sigma_{I_k}^2, \sigma_e^2; I_k \subset R, k=1, 2, \dots, r)$, whose space is of $(2^r + 1)$ -dimension, where $-\infty < \mu < +\infty$, $0 \leq \sigma_{I_k}^2 < +\infty$ and $0 \leq \sigma_e^2 < +\infty$.

We shall consider the transformations of the original parameters and statistics such that

$$(4.43) \quad \tau^{(1)} = \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j \frac{\mu}{B},$$

$$(4.44) \quad \tau_{(I_k)}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2B_{(I_k)}}, \quad I_k \subset R, \quad k=1, 2, \dots, r,$$

$$(4.45) \quad \tau^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma_e^2},$$

$$(4.46) \quad Z^{(1)} = \bar{x},$$

$$(4.47) \quad Z_{(I_k)}^{(2)} = S_{(I_k)}, \quad I_k \subset R; \quad k=1, 2, \dots, r,$$

$$(4.48) \quad Z^{(3)} = S_e.$$

Since we observe the independency of the class of parametric functions $\{B_{(I_k)}; I_k \subset R, k=1, 2, \dots, r\}$ in Lemma 4.2, it is seen that the transformation (4.43), ..., (4.45) from θ to $\tau = (\tau^{(1)}, \tau_{(I_k)}^{(2)}, \tau^{(3)}; I_k \subset R, k=1, 2, \dots, r)$ is one-to-one. Therefore we can say that \mathfrak{B}^x is specified by τ , where $-\infty < \tau^{(3)} \leq \tau_{(M)}^{(2)} < \tau_{(N)}^{(2)} \leq 0$ for any pair (M, N) such as $M \supset N, M \subset R, N \subset R$. Further we should notice that B is a function of $B_{(I_k)}$'s as seen in Lemma 4.5, and $Z^{(1)}$, $Z_{(I_k)}^{(2)}$'s and $Z^{(3)}$ are functionally independent to each other where the proof is omitted.

Then, under the new parameter τ , by using the above-mentioned results we obtain the probability density function of X as follows;

$$(4.49) \quad K_{(\tau)} \exp \left[\tau^{(1)} Z^{(1)} + \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{I_k \subset R} \tau_{(I_k)}^{(2)} Z_{(I_k)}^{(2)} + \tau^{(3)} Z^{(3)} + g(\tau_{(I_k)}^{(2)} | I_k \subset R) h(Z^{(1)}) \right].$$

Hence the sufficient statistic for \mathfrak{B}^x is $Z = (Z^{(1)}, Z_{(I_k)}^{(2)}, Z^{(3)}; I_k \subset R, k=1, 2, \dots, r)$. In order to show \mathfrak{B}^x in this type be complete, we need to use Gautschi's lemma or the following generalized lemma.

Let $Y^{(k)}$ be a k -dimensional Euclidean space with the point $y^{(k)} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$. We shall write the first j components as $y^{(j)}$ and the remaining components $y^{((j))}$ so that we write $y^{(k)}$ in the following different fashion $y^{(k)} = (y^{(j)}, y^{((j))}) = (y^{(k-1)}, y_k)$, etc. And let $\tau^{(k)} = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k)$ be the point of a k -dimensional Euclidean space, then the notation $\tau^{(j)}$ is to be understood in the way above stated.

Lemma 4.7. *Let*

$$\mathfrak{B}^{Y^{(k)}} = \left\{ P_{\tau^{(k)}}^{Y^{(k)}} \mid \tau^{(k)} \in \omega \right\},$$

where ω is a Borel set in an Euclidean space containing a non-degenerate k -dimensional interval, be the family of measures $P_{\tau^{(k)}}^{Y^{(k)}}$ on the additive family of subset in the space of point $y^{(k)}$, having the density

$$(4.50) \quad p_{\tau^{(k)}}(y^{(k)}) = C(\tau^{(k)}) h(y^{(k)}) \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \tau_i y_i + g(\tau^{(s)}, y^{((s))}) \right]$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure. Then $\mathfrak{B}^{Y^{(k)}}$ is strongly complete.

The proof of this was explained in [2].

In the estimation problem of the parameters, the estimates usually adopted in the practice of statistical inferences are unbiased and based on the sufficient statistic Z defined above. As we can observe our family \mathfrak{B}^x is complete by making use of Lemma 4.7, we have

Theorem 4.3. *In our random effect model, the usual estimates of the parameters, such as the general mean and the variance components of random treatment effects, are the best unbiased (unique) estimates among*

all unbiased estimates.

§ 5. Nested design.

We shall treat the problem of the estimation in the random effect model for the nested design, whose model equation is given by

$$(5.1) \quad x_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}} = \mu + \sum_{A \in R} a(1, 2, \dots, A; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_a) + e_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}},$$

$$(t_j = 1, 2, \dots, n_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, r+1),$$

where μ denotes the general mean, $a(1; t_1)$ is the effect of the 1st factor labeled t_1 , $a(1, 2, \dots, A; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_a)$ is the effect of the a -th factor labeled (t_1, \dots, t_a) within the nested plot labeled (t_1, \dots, t_{a-1}) for the 1st, 2nd, \dots , $(a-1)$ -th factors, and $e_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}}$ is the error term.

We assume that μ is a constant, all $a(1, 2, \dots, A; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_a)$'s and $e_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}}$'s are distributed independently to each other as normal with mean all equal to zero and the variance of $a(1, 2, \dots, A; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_a)$ all equal to σ_A^2 , the variance of $e_{t_1 \dots t_r t_{r+1}}$ all equal to σ_e^2 .

The variance-covariance matrix of all observation is given by

$$(5.2) \quad V = \sum_{A=1}^R \sigma_A^2 \left[\prod_{j=1}^r \otimes (E_{n_j}^{1-\delta_A^j} I_{n_j}^j) \right] \otimes E_{n_{r+1}} + \sigma_e^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \otimes I_{n_j}.$$

By the orthogonal transformation defined in Section 2, we have

$$(5.3) \quad (T_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}}) V (T_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes T_{n_{r+1}})'$$

$$= \sum_{A=1}^R \sigma_A^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_A^j} \left[\prod_{t=1}^r \otimes (I_{n_t}^{\delta_A^t} H_{n_t}^{1-\delta_A^t}) \right] \otimes H_{n_{r+1}} + \sigma_e^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \otimes I_{n_j}$$

$$= \left[\sum_{P=1}^R \sigma_P^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_P^j} + \sigma_e^2 \right] I_{n_1} \otimes \left(\prod_{t=2}^{r+1} \otimes H_{n_t} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{A=1}^R \left[\sum_{P=A}^R \sum_{\substack{P \supset A \\ F \subset R}} \sigma_P^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_P^j} + \sigma_e^2 \right] I_{n_1} \otimes \left[\prod_{t=2}^r \otimes \left(I_{n_t}^{\delta_A^t-1} K_{n_t}^{\delta_A^t-(A-1)} H_{n_t}^{1-\delta_A^t} \right) \right] \otimes H_{n_{r+1}}$$

$$+ \sigma_e^2 I_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes K_{n_{r+1}}$$

$$= B^* I_{n_1} \otimes \left(\prod_{t=2}^{r+1} \otimes H_{n_t} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{A=1}^R B^*_{(A)} I_{n_1} \otimes \left[\prod_{t=2}^r \otimes (I_{n_t}^{1-\delta_A^t} K_{n_t}^{\delta_A^t-(A-1)} H_{n_t}^{1-\delta_A^t}) \right] \otimes H_{n_{r+1}}$$

$$+ \sigma_e^2 I_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes I_{n_r} \otimes K_{n_{r+1}},$$

where

$$B^* = \sum_{P=1}^R \sigma_P^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_P^j} + \sigma_e^2,$$

$$B^*_{(A)} = \sum_{P=A}^R \sum_{\substack{P \supset A \\ P \subset R}} \sigma_P^2 \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j^{1-\delta_P^j} + \sigma_e^2, \quad A \subset R.$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.4) \quad A^{-1} &= \prod_{j=2}^{r+1} \left(\frac{1}{n_j} \right) \frac{1}{B^*} \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_r} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_{r+1}} \\
 &+ \sum_{A=1}^R \frac{1}{B^*_{(A)}} \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \left[\prod_{t=2}^r \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{I}_{n_t}^{\delta_{A-1}^t} \left(\mathbf{I}_{n_t} - \frac{1}{n_t} \mathbf{E}_{n_t} \right)^{\delta_{A-(A-1)}^t} \left(\frac{1}{n_t} \mathbf{E}_{n_t} \right)^{1-\delta_A^t} \right\} \right] \otimes \frac{1}{n_{r+1}} \mathbf{E}_{n_{r+1}} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_r} \otimes \left(\mathbf{I}_{n_{r+1}} - \frac{1}{n_{r+1}} \mathbf{E}_{n_{r+1}} \right) \\
 &= \prod_{j=2}^{r+1} \left(\frac{1}{n_j} \right) \frac{1}{B^*} \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_r} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_{r+1}} \\
 &+ \sum_{A=1}^R \frac{1}{B^*_{(A)}} \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \left[\prod_{t=2}^r \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{I}_{n_t}^{\delta_A^t} \mathbf{E}_{n_t}^{1-\delta_A^t} \right\} \right] \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_{r+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \left(\frac{1}{n_j} \right)^{1-\delta_A^j} \\
 &- \sum_{A=1}^R \frac{1}{B^*_{(A)}} \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \left[\prod_{t=2}^r \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{I}_{n_t}^{\delta_{A-1}^t} \mathbf{E}_{n_t}^{1-\delta_{A-1}^t} \right\} \right] \otimes \mathbf{E}_{n_{r+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \left(\frac{1}{n_j} \right)^{1-\delta_{A-1}^j} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \mathbf{I}_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n_r} \otimes \left(\mathbf{I}_{n_{r+1}} - \frac{1}{n_{r+1}} \mathbf{E}_{n_{r+1}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have that the joint density function of all observations is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.5) \quad f(\mathbf{x}) &= (2\pi)^{-n_1 \cdots n_{r+1}/2} B^{*-1/2} \prod_{A \subset R} \{B^*_{(A)}\}^{-(n_1 \cdots n_{a-1})(n_a-1)/2} (\sigma_e^2)^{-n_1 \cdots n_r(n_{r+1}-1)/2} \\
 &\cdot \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} n_j}{B^*} \sum_{t_1} (\bar{x}_{t_1} - \mu)^2 + \sum_{A=1}^R \frac{S^*_{(A)}}{B^*_{(A)}} + \frac{S_e}{\sigma_e^2} \right\} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^*_{(A)} &= \prod_{j=a+1}^{r+1} n_j \sum_{t_1} \cdots \sum_{t_a} (\bar{x}_{t_1 \cdots t_a} - \bar{x}_{t_1 \cdots t_{a-1}})^2, \\
 S_e^* &= \sum_{t_1} \cdots \sum_{t_{r+1}} (x_{t_1 \cdots t_{r+1}} - \bar{x}_{t_1 \cdots t_r})^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since it is seen that the family of the distributions of the sufficient statistics in our concern is complete, we have the same conclusion about the estimation problem for the nested design as we obtained in Theorem 4.3.

§ 6. Acknowledgement.

The author wishes his gratitude to Professor T. Kitagawa and Dr. A. Kudô of the Mathematical Institute, Kyushu University for helpful criticisms and encouragement throughout the course of writing this paper.

KUMAMOTO UNIVERSITY and KYUSHU UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] N. FURUKAWA: *The point estimation of the variance components in random effect model*. Kumamoto Jour. of Science, **4** (1959), 111-133.
- [2] N. FURUKAWA: *The point estimation of the parameters in the mixed model*. Kumamoto Jour. of Science, **5** (1960), 1-43.
- [3] F. A. GRAYBILL and R. A. HULTQUIST: *Theorems concerning Eisenhart's model II*. Ann. Math. Stat., **32** (1961), 261-269.
- [4] F. A. GRAYBILL and A. W. WORTHAM: *A note on uniformly best unbiased estimators for variance components*. Jour. Amer. Stat. Ass. **51** (1956), 266-268.
- [5] W. GAUTSCHI: *Some remarks on Herbach's paper, "Optimum nature of the F-test for Model II in the balanced case"*. Ann. Math. Stat., **30** (1959), 960-963.
- [6] L. H. HERBACH: *Properties of Model II-type analysis of variance test, A; Optimum nature of the F-test for Model II in the balanced case*. Ann. Math. Stat., **30** (1959), 939-959.
- [7] E. L. LEHMANN: *Notes on the theory of estimation*. Associated Student's Store, Univ. of California (1950).
- [8] E. L. LEHMANN and H. SCHEFFE: *Completeness, similar regions and unbiased estimation, Part I*. Sankhya, **10** (1950), 305-340.
- [9] E. L. LEHMANN and H. SCHEFFE: *Completeness, similar regions and unbiased estimation, Part II*. Sankhya, **15** (1956), 219-236.
- [10] S. N. ROY and R. GNANADESIKAN: *Some contributions to ANOVA in one or more dimensions; I*. Ann. Math. Stat. **30** (1959), 304-317.
- [11] D. L. WEEKS and F. A. GRAYBILL: *A minimal sufficient statistics for general class of designs*. Sankhya, Ser. A, **24** (1962), 339-354.

This paper is in part supported by a grant given to the Kyushu University from U. S. Public Health Service (Gm 09470-02) (Chief investigator Prof. Dr. K. Yamaoko).