

ON A CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN OPTIMUM RANK TESTS

Tamura, Ryoji
Shimane University

<https://doi.org/10.5109/13010>

出版情報 : 統計数理研究. 11 (1/2), pp.19-24, 1964-03. Research Association of Statistical Sciences

バージョン :

権利関係 :

ON A CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN OPTIMUM RANK TESTS

By

Ryoji TAMURA

(Received September 1, 1963)

§ 1. **Introduction.** We have in the previous paper [6] derived a modification of certain well-known rank sum tests in the sense of raising their asymptotic efficiency. For this purpose, the following forms have been proposed as the test statistics

$$(1) \quad mT^k = \sum_{i=1}^N E_{N,i}^k Z_i, \quad k > 0$$

where Z_i is 1 or 0 if the i -th smallest in the combined sample is X or Y and

$$(2) \quad E_{N,i}^k = \begin{cases} (i/N)^k & \text{for locations problems} \\ [(i/N)^k + ((N+1-i)/N)^k - |(i/N)^k - ((N+1-i)/N)^k|]/2 & \text{for scale problems.} \end{cases}$$

We shall also notice that all notations in this paper are followed by [6]. In [6], the asymptotic normality of the statistics T_k has been proved and moreover the asymptotic efficiency has been calculated for some $k > 0$ and some alternatives. We shall deal in this paper with more general statistics among the form (1), i. e. $E_{N,i}^k$ is some function of rank, and intend to construct the tests with some optimum properties.

§ 2. **Wilcoxon's type.** Our purpose is to test the hypothesis $\theta = 0$ against the alternative $\theta > 0$ based on the two samples X_1, \dots, X_m and Y_1, \dots, Y_n from the distributions $F(x)$ and $F(x + \theta)$. Then we define the statistics $U[h]$ as an extension of Wilcoxon's U

$$(3) \quad m\tilde{U}[h] = \sum_{i=1}^N h(i/1+N)Z_i, \quad N = m + n, \quad \lambda_N = m/N$$

,where we assume $h(t)$ to satisfy the following conditions

$$(i) \quad h(1) = 0(\sqrt{N})$$

$$(4) \quad (ii) \quad |h^{(i)}(t)| \leq K \{t(1-t)\}^{-i-1/2+\delta} \text{ for } i=0, 1, 2 \text{ and some } \delta > 0$$

$$(iii) \quad \int_0^1 h(t) dt = 0, \quad \int_0^1 h^2(t) dt = 1.$$

Assumptions (i) and (ii) are needed for the asymptotic normality of $\tilde{U}[h]$ and (iii) is only a normalized condition. Following [2], we may prove the asymptotic normality of $\tilde{U}[h]$ by the same technique as in [6]. Moreover applying the theory of Chernoff-Savage [2], we may get the efficacy $E^2(\tilde{U})$ of our $\tilde{U}[h]$ tests if the mean value of \tilde{U} has a finite derivative under the hypothesis

$$(5) \quad E^2(\tilde{U}) = \lambda(1-\lambda) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) h'[F(x)] dF(x) \right]^2$$

where $h'[F(x)]$ means the derivative with regard to $F(x)$.

If there exists some suitable function $h(t)$ maximizing the value of $E^2(\tilde{U})$, it will give an optimum statistic within the class of our form (3). Then it is sufficient to maximize the integral

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} I[h] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) h'[F(x)] dF(x) \\ &= \int_0^1 f[F^{-1}(t)] h'(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

(a) Normal case. We may use the method of undetermined multipliers in the calculus of variations to maximize $I[h]$ subject to the assumption (iii). Thus from

$$\int_0^1 \{h'(t) \varphi[\Phi^{-1}(t)] - \alpha h^2(t)\} dt = \max$$

where Φ is the standard normal distribution function with density φ and α is an undetermined multiplier, we may obtain the following solution of Euler equation for variations,

$$(7) \quad h(t) = \Phi^{-1}(t).$$

The statistic $\tilde{U}[h]$ with the above $h(t)$ derives what is called as the Normal scores test that has been shown to be locally most powerful rank test for

the normal alternative by Dwass [3] and others. Thus it has been shown that an optimum test also results from the point of view of our generalization.

(b) Uniform case. From the form (6),

$$I[h] = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} h(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} h(t).$$

Thus we must give more weight (positive or negative) at extreme ranks. Now we assume $h(t)$ to be $\Phi^{-1}(t)$ for a time, then the expectation $\mu(\theta)$ has not a finite derivative $\mu'(0)$ at $\theta=0$. On the other hand, the fact that the efficiency of \tilde{U}_k tests approach to infinity when $k \rightarrow 0$ as has been shown in [6] leads us to the following consideration. Construct the normalized function $h_0(t)$ corresponding to the case $k \rightarrow 0$ in [6] as follows,

$$(8) \quad h_0(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{(k+1)\sqrt{2k+1}}{k} \left(t^k - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) \\ = \log t + 1.$$

However our test statistic

$$(9) \quad m\tilde{U}[h_0] = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\log \frac{i}{N+1} + 1 \right) Z_i$$

has not also a mean value with a finite derivative at $\theta=0$. For these cases, we may apply the theory of Hodges-Lehmann [4] that is a slight generalization of Pitman's efficiency.

Consider the sequences of test statistics $\{S_N\}$, $\{T_N\}$ to test the hypothesis $\theta = \theta_0$ whose expectations

$$\mu_N(\theta) = E_\theta(S_N), \quad \nu_N(\theta) = E_\theta(T_N)$$

and suppose that

$$[S_N - \mu_N(\theta)]/b_N \text{ and } [T_N - \nu_N(\theta)]/c_N$$

tend in law to $N(0, 1)$ whenever $\theta \rightarrow \theta_0$. Let the sample sizes necessary to achieve the same power β against the same alternative at the same significance level α be respectively r_N and N . Then it has been proved in [4] that

$$(10) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu_N(\theta) - \nu_N(\theta_0)}{\mu_N(\theta) - \mu_N(\theta_0)} \frac{b_{r_N}}{c_N} = 1$$

Now let

$$m S_N = m \tilde{U}[h_0] = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\log \frac{i}{N+1} + 1 \right) Z_i$$

$$m T_N = \bar{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\frac{i}{N+1} \right) Z_i.$$

We may get from (10) the asymptotic efficiency $e_{L,N}$ of $\tilde{U}[h_0]$ test relative to the Normal scores test

$$(11) \quad e_{L,N} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N}{r_N} = \left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[\Phi^{-1}\{\lambda_N F(x) + (1-\lambda_N)F(x+\theta)\} - \Phi^{-1}\{F(x)\}]dF(x)}{[\log\{\lambda_N F(x) + (1-\lambda_N)F(x+\theta)\} - \log F(x)]dF(x)} \right)^2.$$

After some easy calculations of integration and L' Hospital rule, we obtain

$$(12) \quad e_{L,N} = \left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{\lambda_N}{1-\lambda_N} \right] / \left[\frac{1-\lambda_N}{\varphi[\Phi^{-1}\{(1-\lambda_N)\theta\}]} + \frac{\lambda_N}{\varphi[\Phi^{-1}(1-\lambda_N\theta)]} \right] \right)^2 = \infty$$

Thus it follows that for the uniform alternative (and also exponential case, see (c).) our test with $h_0(t)$ is very much more efficient than the Normal scores test. The similar considerations lead us that the Normal scores test has infinite efficiency with regard to U_k tests with non-zero fixed k (Hodges-Lehmann have dealt with only $k=1$).

(c) Exponential case. Since we get the same results as the uniform case, we omit them.

§ 3. **Ansari-Bradley's type.** In this section, we concern with the scale problems and generalize Ansari-Bradley's statistic. We first define the statistics $\tilde{S}[h]$

$$(13) \quad m \tilde{S}[h] = \sum_{i=1}^N J_{N,i} Z_i$$

where $J_{N,i} = \left[h\left(\frac{i}{N+1}\right) + h\left(\frac{N+1-i}{N+1}\right) - \left| h\left(\frac{i}{N+1}\right) - h\left(\frac{N+1-i}{N+1}\right) \right| \right] / 2.$

We assume that $h(t)$ and $J_{N,i}$ respectively satisfy the assumption (17) and the regularity conditions of Chernoff-Savage. Then its asymptotic normality is established and the efficacy is expressed if the expectation of $\tilde{S}[h]$ has a finite derivative under the hypothesis

$$(14) \quad E^2(\tilde{S}) = \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 x f(x) h'[F(x)] dF(x) - \int_0^{\infty} x f(x) h'[1-F(x)] dF(x) \right]^2.$$

When $F(x)$ is symmetrical, the expression $I[h]$ in bracket of (14) becomes

$$(15) \quad I[h] = 2 \int_0^{1/2} h'(t) F^{-1}(t) f[F^{-1}(t)] dt.$$

(a) Uniform case. Easy computation shows that

$$I[h] = -2 \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} h(t).$$

Though both $h(t) = \Phi^{-1}(t)$ and $h_1(t) = \log 2t + 1$ give very much large weight at extreme ranks, we prefer $h_1(t)$ to the other $h(t) = \Phi^{-1}(t)$ as the similar results as in section 2. However it may be possible to exist more favourable $h(t)$ than $h_1(t)$.

(b) Double exponential case. Since the density is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-|x|),$$

we may get

$$(16) \quad I[h] = 2 \int_0^{1/2} t h'(t) \log 2t \, dt$$

which must be maximized subject to the normalized restrictions

$$(17) \quad \int_0^{1/2} h(x) \, dx = 0, \quad \int_0^{1/2} h^2(x) \, dx = 1/2.$$

Following the method of variations, we may determine the form (18) as the solution of Euler equation,

$$(18) \quad h(t) = \log 2t + 1.$$

This form may be also attained from our S_k tests in [6] by the similar calculation as (8). Capon [1] has also derived the same form by another point of view and proved to be locally best for the double exponential case.

(c) Normal case. $I[h]$ may be expressed as follows,

$$(19) \quad I[h] = 2 \int_0^{1/2} h'(t) \Phi^{-1}(t) \varphi[\Phi^{-1}(t)] \, dt.$$

Euler equation under the conditions for $h(t)$ is given as

$$(20) \quad h(t) - \frac{d}{dt} \Phi^{-1}(t) \varphi[\Phi^{-1}(t)] = 0$$

and it is solved as

$$(21) \quad h(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\Phi^{-1}(t)^2 - 1].$$

The corresponding statistic $\tilde{S}[h]$ has thus an optimum property and it has been shown to be locally best rank test for the normal case by Klotz [5].

References

- [1] CAPON, J.: *Asymptotic efficiency of certain locally most powerful rank tests*. Ann. Math. Statist. 32 (1961), 88-100.
- [2] CHERNOFF, H. and SAVAGE, I. R.: *Asymptotic normality and efficiency of certain nonparametric test statistics*. Ann. Math. Statist. 29 (1958), 972-994.
- [3] DWASS, M.: *The large-sample power of rank order tests in the two sample problem*. Ann. Math. Statist. 27 (1956), 352-374.
- [4] HODGES, Jr. J. L. and LEHMANN, E. L.: *Comparison of the Normal scores and Wilcoxon tests*. Proc. Fourth Berkeley Sympo. Math. Stat. and Proba. I, (1961), 307-317.
- [5] KLOTZ, J.: *Non-parametric tests for scale*. Ann. Math. Statist. 33 (1962), 498-512.
- [6] TAMURA, R.: *On a modification of certain rank tests*. Ann. Math. Statist. 34 (1963), 1101-1103.

SHIMANE UNIVERSITY.