Numerical verification of stationary solutions for Navier-Stokes problems

Nagatou, Kaori
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Hashimoto, Kouji
Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Nakao, Mitsuhiro T.
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

KYUSHU UNIVERSITY

Numerical verification
of stationary solutions
for Navier-Stokes problems

K. Nagatou, K. Hashimoto
M.T. Nakao

Numerical verification of stationary solutions for Navier-Stokes problems

K. Nagatou[†], K. Hashimoto[‡] and M.T. Nakao[†]

[†]Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Japan
[‡]Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Japan

Abstract

We present a numerical method to enclose stationary solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, especially 2-D driven cavity problem with regularized boundary condition. Our method is based on the infinite dimensional Newton's method by estimating the inverse of the corresponding linearized operator. The method can be applied to the case for high Reynolds numbers and we show some numerical examples which confirm us the actual effectiveness.

Keywords: Numerical enclosure method, driven cavity flows, infinite dimensional Newton's method

1 Introduction

We consider the following Navier-Stokes equations

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + R \cdot (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \text{div } u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \qquad (1.1)$$

where u, p and R are the velocity vector, pressure and the Reynolds number, respectively and the flow region Ω is a convex polygonal domain in $\mathbf{R^2}$. In what follows, for each rational number m, let $H^m(\Omega)$ denote the L^2-Sobolev space of order m on Ω. The function $f = (f_1, f_2)$ means a density of body forces with $f \in (H^1(\Omega))^2$ and $g = (g_1, g_2) \in (H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega))^2$, where we assume that there exists a function $\varphi \in H^2(\Omega)$ satisfying $(\varphi_y, -\varphi_x) = g$ on $\partial\Omega$.

The above problem was disccused by Wieners [7] for low Reynolds numbers. The method proposed in it is based on Newton-Kantorovich theorem but it would not be able to apply to high Reynolds numbers, because the estimation for the inverse of the linearized operator directly depends on the Reynolds number. We also uses Newton type verification condition, but the method which verifies the invertibility of linearized operator is different from

1

the Wieners' formulation. Our method has an advantage which enables us to verify the invertibility of the linearlized operator, even for high Reynolds numbers, provided that the approximation subspace is sufficiently accurate and that the inverse operator actually exists in the rigorous sense. The numerical examples presented in Section 5 show this actual improvement.

2 Stream function and the linearized operator

We first introduce a stream function ψ satisfying $u = (\psi_y, \ -\psi_x)$ by the incompressibility condition in (1.1), where subscripts x and y denote the partial derivative for x and y respectively. Using this function and newly denoting u as $\psi - \varphi$ we can rewrite the equations (1.1) as

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u + \Delta^2 \varphi + R \cdot J(u + \varphi, \Delta(u + \varphi)) & = & (f_2)_x - (f_1)_y & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} & = & 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where J is a bilinear form defined by $J(u, v) = u_x v_y - u_y v_x$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ stands for the normal derivative. Our aim is to verify the existence of a weak solution $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ of (2.1), where $H_0^2(\Omega) \equiv \{v \in H^2(\Omega) \mid v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ with inner product $< u, v >_{H_0^2} \equiv (\Delta u, \Delta v)_{L^2}$ for $u, v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, and norm $\|u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \equiv \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ for $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$.

Let S_h be a finite dimensional subspace of $H_0^2(\Omega)$ that depends on h ($0 < h < 1$). Usually S_h is taken to be a finite element subspace with mesh size h. We calculate an approximate solution $u_h \in C^1(\Omega)$ of (2.1) in the finite dimensional space, satisfying for all $v_h \in S_h$

$$(\Delta u_h + \Delta\varphi, \Delta v_h)_{L^2} + (R \cdot J(u_h + \varphi, \Delta(u_h + \varphi)), v_h)_{L^2} = ((f_2)_x - (f_1)_y, v_h)_{L^2},$$

and calculate $u_s \in C^2(\Omega)$ by smoothing of u_h. Then the linearized operator at u_s is represented as

$$\mathcal{L}u \equiv \Delta^2 u + R \cdot \{J(u_s + \varphi, \Delta u) + J(u, \Delta(u_s + \varphi))\},$$

and $\mathcal{L}$ is considerd as the operator from $H_0^2(\Omega)$ to $H^{-2}(\Omega)$ in weak sense. We will verify the existence of the inverse $\mathcal{L}^{-1} : H^{-2}(\Omega) \to H_0^2(\Omega)$ and formulate the infinite dimensional Newton's method.

2

3 Invertibility of the linearized operator

By direct computations, we find that for any $q \in H^{-2}(\Omega)$ there exists a unique solution $v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 v & = & q & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} & = & 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

For $q \in H^{-2}(\Omega)$, let Kq be the unique solution $v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ of the equation (3.1) then K is a compact operator from $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ to $H_0^2(\Omega)$. Using the compact operator on $H_0^2(\Omega)$

$$F_1(u) \equiv -R \cdot K\{J(u_s + \varphi, \Delta u) + J(u, \Delta(u_s + \varphi))\},$$

the equation $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ is equivalent to the fixed point equation $u = F_1(u)$. In order to show the invertibility of the linearized operator $\mathcal{L}$, by the Fredholm alternative, we only have to show the uniqueness of the solution of the equation $\mathcal{L}u = 0$.

Let $P_h : H_0^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow S_h$ denote the H_0^2-projection defined by

$$(\Delta(u - P_h u), \Delta v_h)_{L^2} = 0 \text{ for all } v_h \in S_h,$$

and we derive some error estimations for P_h. In what follows, we restrict ourselves to that the domain Ω is a unit square $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$, and that S_h is the set of piecewise bicubic Hermite functions with uniform mesh on Ω (e.g., [5]). However, our verification principle can also be applied to more general domains and approximation subspaces, when the appropriate a priori error estimates are obtained.

Concerning the error estimates for P_h we make use of the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *For $u \in H^4(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega)$ we have $\|u - P_h u\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \leq (Ch)^2 \|\Delta^2 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, where C is a constant given in Table 1.*

Table 1: Numerical value of constant C depending on h

$1/h$	20	40	60	80	100
C	0.7377	0.7811	0.8091	0.8278	0.8418

Remark. The constant C in Lemma 1 was derived from the constructive error estimations with numerical computations for biharmonic problems, and it depends on each mesh size h as seen in Table 1.

The basic idea for determination of the constant C is similar to the methods in [1, 8]. We omit the proof of Lemma 1 here and will discuss it in the forthcoming paper [4] for details.

Now, as in [2] or [3], we decompose $u = F_1(u)$ into the finite and infinite dimensional parts:

$$\begin{cases} P_h u & = & P_h F_1(u), \\ (I - P_h)u & = & (I - P_h)F_1(u). \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Since we apply a Newton-like method only for the former part of (3.2), we define the following operator:

$$\mathcal{N}_h^1(u) \equiv P_h u - [I - F_1]_h^{-1}(P_h u - P_h F_1(u)),$$

where I is the identity map on $H_0^2(\Omega)$. And we assume that the restriction to S_h of the operator $P_h[I - F_1] : S_h \to S_h$ has the inverse $[I - F_1]_h^{-1}$. The validity of this assumption can be numerically confirmed in actual computations.

We next define the operator $T_1 : H_0^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow H_0^2(\Omega)$ by

$$T_1(u) \equiv \mathcal{N}_h^1(u) + (I - P_h)F_1(u).$$

Then T_1 becomes a compact map on $H_0^2(\Omega)$ and we have the following equivalence relation

$$u = T_1(u) \iff u = F_1(u).$$

Our purpose is to find a unique fixed point of T_1 in a certain set $U \subset H_0^2(\Omega)$, which is called a 'candidate set'. Given positive real numbers γ and

4

α we define the corresponding candidate set U by $U \equiv U_h \oplus [\alpha]$, where $U_h \equiv \{\phi_h \in S_h \mid \|\phi_h\|_{H_0^2} \leq \gamma\}$, $[\alpha] \equiv \{\phi_\perp \in S_\perp \mid \|\phi_\perp\|_{H_0^2} \leq \alpha\}$ and $S_\perp$ means the orthogonal complement of S_h in $H_0^2(\Omega)$. If the relation $\overline{T_1(U)} \subset \text{int}(U)$ holds, by Schauder's fixed point theorem and the linearity of T_1, there exists a fixed point u of T_1 in U and the fixed point is unique, i.e., $u = 0$, which implies that the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is invertible. Decomposing $\overline{T_1(U)} \subset \text{int}(U)$ into finite and infinite dimensional parts we have a sufficient condition for it as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{u \in U} \|\mathcal{N}_h^1(u)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} < \gamma \\ \sup_{u \in U} \|(I - P_h)F_1(u)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} < \alpha. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

We now derive the following theorem in which the verification condition (3.3) is numerically and simply described.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{\phi_i\}$ be the basis of S_h and define the following constatns:*

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= Ch, \ C_1^s = \|\nabla(u_s + \varphi)\|_\infty, \ C_2^s = \left\|\nabla\frac{\partial(u_s + \varphi)}{\partial x}\right\|_\infty + \left\|\nabla\frac{\partial(u_s + \varphi)}{\partial y}\right\|_\infty \\ C_3^s &= \|\nabla\Delta(u_s + \varphi)\|_\infty, \ C_p = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{2}}, \ M_1 = \|L^T G^{-1} L\|_E, \\ K_1 &= C_1^s + C_0^2 C_3^s, \ K_2 = C_1^s + C_0 C_3^s C_p, \ K_3 = \sqrt{2}C_1^s + C_p(C_2^s + C_0 C_3^s), \end{aligned}$$

where C is the same constant as in Lemma 1, $\|\nabla v\|_\infty \equiv \left(\|\nabla v_x\|_\infty^2 + \|\nabla v_y\|_\infty^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\|\cdot\|_E$ denotes the matrix norm corresponding to the Euclidian vector norm, C_p is the Poincaré constant, the matrix $G = (G_{ij})$ is defined by $G_{ji} \equiv R(J(u_s + \varphi, \Delta\phi_i) + J(\phi_i, \Delta(u_s + \varphi)), \phi_j)_{L^2(\Omega)} + (\Delta\phi_i, \Delta\phi_j)_{L^2(\Omega)}$, and $D = LL^T$ is a Cholesky decomposition of the matrix $D = (D_{ij})$ defined by $D_{ij} \equiv (\Delta\phi_i, \Delta\phi_j)_{L^2(\Omega)}$. For these constants, if the inequality

$$RC_0(K_1 + K_2 K_3 M_1 RC_0) < 1 \tag{3.4}$$

holds then the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is invertible.

Proof. We show sufficient conditions for (3.3). Denoting $u = u_1 + u_2$, $u_1 \in U_h$, $u_2 \in [\alpha]$, by some simple calculations we have $\mathcal{N}_h^1(u) = [I - F_1]_h^{-1} P_h F_1(u_2)$, and thus $\|\mathcal{N}_h^1(u)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \leq M_1 \|P_h F_1(u_2)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}$ holds.

5

(See [2] or [3] for details to such estimation.) Using error estimation in Lemma 1, we have $\|P_h F_1(u_2)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \leq RC_0 K_3 \alpha$. Thus we derive a sufficient condition for the first inequality in (3.3) as

$$M_1 RC_0 K_3 \alpha < \gamma. \tag{3.5}$$

Now we estimate the left hand side of the second inequality in (3.3). Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(I - P_h)F_1(u)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \quad &\leq \quad R\{\|(I - P_h)KJ(u_s + \varphi, \Delta u)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \\
&\quad + \|(I - P_h)KJ(u, \Delta(u_s + \varphi))\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}\} \\
&\leq \quad RC_0 K_2 \gamma + RC_0 K_1 \alpha,
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain the sufficient condition for the second inequality in (3.3) as

$$RC_0(K_1 \alpha + K_2 \gamma) < \alpha. \tag{3.6}$$

Combining the conditions (3.5) and (3.6) we finally obtain the sufficient condition for (3.3) as $RC_0(K_1 + K_2 K_3 M_1 RC_0) < 1$. ∎

4 Verification procedure for nonlinear problem

In what follows we assume that the invertibility of the linearized operator $\mathcal{L}$ is confirmed by the method described in the previous section. We will verify the existence of solutions for (2.1) in the neighborhood of $u_X \in C^1(\Omega)$ satisfying $(\Delta u_X + \Delta \varphi, \Delta v_h)_{L^2(\Omega)} + (R \cdot J(u_s + \varphi, \Delta(u_s + \varphi)), v_h)_{L^2(\Omega)} = ((f_2)_x - (f_1)_y, v_h)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ for all $v_h \in S_h$. Considering the function $\bar{u}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}
\Delta^2 \bar{u} &= -\Delta^2 \varphi - R \cdot J(u_s + \varphi, \Delta(u_s + \varphi)) + (f_2)_x - (f_1)_y \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
\bar{u} = \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{cases} \tag{4.1}
$$

and writing $w \equiv u - \bar{u}$, $v_0 \equiv \bar{u} - u_X$, $u - u_X$ can be represented as $w + v_0$.

Noting that $u_X = P_h \bar{u}$, we see that $v_0 \in S_\perp$ and, by Lemma 1 the error estimate for v_0 can be derived:

$$\|v_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \leq (Ch)^2 \| - \Delta^2 \varphi - R \cdot J(u_s + \varphi, \Delta(u_s + \varphi)) + (f_2)_x - (f_1)_y \|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Now we can rewrite (2.1) as

$$
\begin{cases}
\Delta^2 w &= -R \cdot J(w + u_X + v_0 + \varphi, \Delta(w + u_X + v_0 + \varphi)) \\
&\quad + R \cdot J(u_s + \varphi, \Delta(u_s + \varphi)) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
w = \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases} \tag{4.2}
$$

6

Thus defining the compact map on $H_0^2(\Omega)$: $F_2(w) \equiv RK\{J(u_s + \varphi, \Delta(u_s + \varphi)) - J(w + u_X + v_0 + \varphi, \Delta(w + u_X + v_0 + \varphi))\}$, we have the fixed point equation $w = F_2(w)$ which is equivalent to (4.2). Now we formulate the infinite dimensional Newton's method for this fixed point equation. Note that $w - [I - F_2'(-v_0 - u_X + u_s)]^{-1}(I - F_2)(w)$ can be equivalently represented as $\mathcal{L}^{-1}q(w)$, where $F_2'(-v_0 - u_X + u_s)$ stands for Fréchet derivative of F_2 at $-v_0 - u_X + u_s$ and $q(w) \equiv R\{J(u_s + \varphi, \Delta(u_s + \varphi)) - J(w + u_X + v_0 + \varphi, \Delta(w + u_X + v_0 + \varphi)) + J(u_s + \varphi, \Delta w) + J(w, \Delta(u_s + \varphi))\}$. Then it is seen that $w = F_2(w) \Longleftrightarrow w = T_2(w)$, where $T_2(w) \equiv \mathcal{L}^{-1}q(w)$ is a compact map on $H_0^2(\Omega)$.

We intend to find a fixed point of T_2 in a set W defined by $W \equiv \{w \in H_0^2(\Omega) \mid \|w\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \leq \alpha\}$, where α is a positive number. If the relation $T_2(W) \subset W$ holds, by Schauder's fixed point theorem there exists a fixed point of T_2 in W. In order to derive a sufficient condition for $T_2(W) \subset W$, we first prepare for the following constants:

$$
\kappa \;\equiv\; C_0 R(K_1 + K_2 K_3 M_1 C_0 R), \;\; \tau_1 = \frac{C_0 R M_1 K_2}{1 - \kappa}, \;\; \tau_2 = \frac{1}{1 - \kappa},
$$

$$
\tau_3 \;=\; M_1(C_0 R K_3 \tau_1 + 1), \;\; \tau_4 = M_1 C_0 R K_3 \tau_2, \;\; b = \|v_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}, \;\; C_4 = \frac{1}{\pi},
$$

where C_4 is an embedding constant satisfying $\|\nabla u\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \leq C_4 \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ for $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ and we have used the optimal embedding estimates $C_4 = \frac{1}{\pi}$ which can be derived by the result in [6]. Moreover for a matrix $S = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1^2 + \tau_3^2 & \tau_1\tau_2 + \tau_3\tau_4 \\ \tau_1\tau_2 + \tau_3\tau_4 & \tau_2^2 + \tau_4^2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $M_2 \equiv \|S\|_E^{\frac{1}{2}}$, define the following constants:

$$
C_1^X \;=\; \|\nabla(u_X + \varphi)\|_\infty, \;\; C_2^X = \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial(u_X + \varphi)}{\partial x}\right\|_\infty + \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial(u_X + \varphi)}{\partial y}\right\|_\infty,
$$

$$
C_3^X \;=\; \|\Delta(u_X + \varphi)\|_\infty, D_1^\delta = \|\nabla(u_X - u_s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)},
$$

$$
D_2^\delta \;=\; \|J(u_X - u_s, \Delta(u_s + \varphi))\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \;\; D_3^\delta = \|\Delta(u_X - u_s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.
$$

Since a sufficient condition for $T_2(W) \subset W$ is $\sup_{w \in W} \|T_2(w)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \leq \alpha$, by estimating the left hand side of this inequality, we can derive the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Assume that the invertibility condition (3.4) holds. Using the same constants in Theorem 1, if there exists a real number $\alpha > 0$ satisfying the quadratic inequality in α: $M_2 R \{ C_4^2 (\alpha + b)^2 + C_4^2 \alpha D_3^\delta + C_3^X C_p C_0 b + \alpha D_1^\delta C_p + C_0 b (\sqrt{2} C_1^X + C_p C_3^X) + C_p^2 D_2^\delta + C_p C_1^X D_3^\delta \} \le \alpha$, then there exists a fixed point of T_2 in W.*

Proof. For $q(w) \in H^{-2}(\Omega)$ consider the solution $\phi \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}\phi & = & q(w) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi & = & \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Then writing $\phi = \phi_h + \phi_\perp$, $\phi_h \in S_h$, $\phi_\perp \in S_\perp$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \|\phi_h\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} & \le & M_1 R C_0 K_3 \|\phi_\perp\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} + M_1 \|P_h K q(w)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}, \\ \|\phi_\perp\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} & \le & R C_0 (K_1 \|\phi_\perp\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} + K_2 \|P_h K q(w)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}) \\ & & + \|(I - P_h) K q(w)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}. \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Noting that $\kappa < 1$ holds because of the invertibility of $\mathcal{L}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \|\phi_h\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} & \le & \tau_3 \|P_h K q(w)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} + \tau_4 \|(I - P_h) K q(w)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}, \\ \|\phi_\perp\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} & \le & \tau_1 \|P_h K q(w)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} + \tau_2 \|(I - P_h) K q(w)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Therefore by some simple calculations, using (4.4) and (4.5) we obtain

$$\|\phi\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \le M_2 \|K q(w)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \le M_2 \|q(w)\|_{H^{-2}}. \tag{4.6}$$

Furthermore, we have the estimations

$$\|q(w)\|_{H^{-2}} = \sup_{\theta \in H_0^2(\Omega),\ \|\theta\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} = 1} \left| < q(w), \theta >_{H^{-2}, H_0^2} \right|$$

$$\le R \{ C_4^2 (\alpha + b)^2 + C_4^2 \alpha D_3^\delta + C_3^X C_p C_0 b + \alpha D_1^\delta C_p$$

$$+ C_0 b (\sqrt{2} C_1^X + C_p C_3^X) + C_p^2 D_2^\delta + C_p C_1^X D_3^\delta \},$$

where $< \cdot, \cdot >_{H^{-2}, H_0^2}$ means the canonical duality pairing. Thus we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{L}^{-1} q(w)\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \le$$

$$M_2 R \{ \| C_4^2 (\alpha + b)^2 + C_4^2 \alpha D_3^\delta + C_3^X C_p C_0 b + \alpha D_1^\delta C_p$$

$$+ C_0 b (\sqrt{2} C_1^X + C_p C_3^X) + C_p^2 D_2^\delta + C_p C_1^X D_3^\delta \}$$

and the desired assertion is proved. ∎

8

5   Numerical examples

Particularly, we consider the two dimensional driven cavity problem with $f = 0$ and $(\varphi_y, -\varphi_x) = g$ in (1.1), where $\varphi(x, y) = x^2(1-x)^2 y^2(1-y)$.

Table 2: Verification Results for Driven Cavity Problem ($h = 1/75$)

R	M_1	M_2	$\|v_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}$	D_3^δ	α
100	1.1746	1.5841	6.9318e-4	3.8268e-6	5.9094e-4
200	1.1945	3.1510	6.9313e-4	4.8677e-6	3.2670e-3

The computations were carried out on the DELL Precision WorkStation 650 (Intel Xeon 3.2GHz) using MATLAB (Ver. 6.5.1). The verification results are shown in Table 2, and the solution u in (2.1) is enclosed as

$$\|u - u_X\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \leq \|v_0\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} + \alpha.$$

It seems that Wieners' method would not be able to apply to the Reynolds number higher than 20 in [7]. On the other hand, we enclosed the stationary solution for the Reynolds number up to 200, and our method can be applied, in principle, to higher Reynolds numbers by using more accurate approximation subspaces, i.e., smaller mesh sizes.

References

[1] Nakao, M. T., Yamamoto, N. and Watanabe, Y., A posteriori and constructive a priori error bounds for finite element solutions of the Stokes equations, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 91 (1998), pp.137-158.

[2] Nakao, M. T. and Watanabe, Y., An efficient approach to the numerical verification for solutions of the elliptic differential equations, Numerical Algorithms 37 (2004), pp.311-323.

[3] Nakao, M. T., Hashimoto, K. and Watanabe, Y., A numerical method to verify the invertibility of linear elliptic operators with applicaions to nonlinear problems, Computing 75, Number 1 (2005), pp.1-14.

[4] Nakao, M. T., Hashimoto, K. and Nagatou, K., A computational approach to constructive a priori and a posteriori error estimates for Bi-Harmonic problems, MHF Preprint Series, Kyushu University MHF 2005-29.

[5] Schultz, M. H., Spline Analysis, Prentice-Hall, London (1973).

[6] Talenti, G., Best constant in Sobolev inequality, Ann. Math. Pura Appl., 110, (1976), pp.353-372.

[7] Wieners, C., Numerical enclosures for solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for small Reynolds numbers, in Alefeld, G.(ed.) et al.: Numerical methods and error bounds, Proceedings of the IMACS-GAMM international symposium, Oldenburg, Germany, July 9-12, 1995. Berlin: Akademie Verlag, Math. Res. 89 (1996), pp.280-286.

[8] Yamamoto, N. and Nakao, M. T., Numerical verifications of solutions for elliptic equations in nonconvex polygonal domains, Numer. Math. 65 (1993), pp.503-521.

List of MHF Preprint Series, Kyushu University

21st Century COE Program
Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality

MHF2003-1 Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO, Kouji HASHIMOTO & Yoshitaka WATANABE
A numerical method to verify the invertibility of linear elliptic operators with applications to nonlinear problems

MHF2003-2 Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI
Error estimates of finite element methods for nonstationary thermal convection problems with temperature-dependent coefficients

MHF2003-3 Tomohiro ANDO, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO
Adaptive learning machines for nonlinear classification and Bayesian information criteria

MHF2003-4 Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA
On systems of algebraic equations with parametric exponents

MHF2003-5 Masao ISHIKAWA & Masato WAKAYAMA
Applications of Minor Summation Formulas III, Plücker relations, Lattice paths and Pfaffian identities

MHF2003-6 Atsushi SUZUKI & Masahisa TABATA
Finite element matrices in congruent subdomains and their effective use for large-scale computations

MHF2003-7 Setsuo TANIGUCHI
Stochastic oscillatory integrals - asymptotic and exact expressions for quadratic phase functions -

MHF2003-8 Shoki MIYAMOTO & Atsushi YOSHIKAWA
Computable sequences in the Sobolev spaces

MHF2003-9 Toru FUJII & Takashi YANAGAWA
Wavelet based estimate for non-linear and non-stationary auto-regressive model

MHF2003-10 Atsushi YOSHIKAWA
Maple and wave-front tracking — an experiment

MHF2003-11 Masanobu KANEKO
On the local factor of the zeta function of quadratic orders

MHF2003-12 Hidefumi KAWASAKI
Conjugate-set game for a nonlinear programming problem

MHF2004-1 Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA
Estimating the Lyapunov exponent from chaotic time series with dynamic noise

MHF2004-2 Rui YAMAGUCHI, Eiko TSUCHIYA & Tomoyuki HIGUCHI
State space modeling approach to decompose daily sales of a restaurant into time-dependent multi-factors

MHF2004-3 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA
Cubic pencils and Painlevé Hamiltonians

MHF2004-4 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Koji YONEMOTO & Takashi YANAGAWA
Estimating the correlation dimension from a chaotic system with dynamic noise

MHF2004-5 Atsushi KAWAGUCHI, Kentarou KITAMURA, Koji YONEMOTO, Takashi YANAGAWA & Kiyofumi YUMOTO
Detection of auroral breakups using the correlation dimension

MHF2004-6 Ryo IKOTA, Masayasu MIMURA & Tatsuyuki NAKAKI
A methodology for numerical simulations to a singular limit

MHF2004-7 Ryo IKOTA & Eiji YANAGIDA
Stability of stationary interfaces of binary-tree type

MHF2004-8 Yuko ARAKI, Sadanori KONISHI & Seiya IMOTO
Functional discriminant analysis for gene expression data via radial basis expansion

MHF2004-9 Kenji KAJIWARA, Tetsu MASUDA, Masatoshi NOUMI, Yasuhiro OHTA & Yasuhiko YAMADA
Hypergeometric solutions to the q Painlevé equations

MHF2004-10 Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Expressions for values of the gamma function

MHF2004-11 Raimundas VIDŪNAS
Transformations of Gauss hypergeometric functions

MHF2004-12 Koji NAKAGAWA & Masakazu SUZUKI
Mathematical knowledge browser

MHF2004-13 Ken-ichi MARUNO, Wen-Xiu MA & Masayuki OIKAWA
Generalized Casorati determinant and Positon-Negaton-Type solutions of the Toda lattice equation

MHF2004-14 Nalini JOSHI, Kenji KAJIWARA & Marta MAZZOCCO
Generating function associated with the determinant formula for the solutions of the Painlevé II equation