Solutions to a q-analog of Painlevé III equation of type D_7

Nakazono, Nobutaka Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Nishioka, Seiji Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Yamagata University

https://hdl.handle.net/2324/22099

出版情報:MI Preprint Series. 2012-7, 2012-06-19. 九州大学大学院数理学研究院 バージョン: 権利関係: **MI Preprint Series**

Kyushu University The Global COE Program Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub

Solutions to a q-analog of Painlevé III equation of type $D_7^{(1)}$

Nobutaka Nakazono & Seiji Nishioka

MI 2012-7

(Received June 19, 2012)

Faculty of Mathematics Kyushu University Fukuoka, JAPAN

Solutions to a q-analog of Painlevé III equation of type $D_{7}^{(1)}$

Nobutaka Nakazono[†] and Seiji Nishioka[‡]

[†] Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan

E-mail: n-nakazono@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

[‡] Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Yamaqata University, 1-4-12 Kojirakawamachi, Yamagata, 990-8560, Japan

E-mail: nishioka@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp

This paper deals with a q-analog of Painlevé III equation of type $D_7^{(1)}$. We Abstract. study its algebraic function solutions and transcendental function solutions. We construct algebraic function solutions expressed by Laurent polynomials and prove irreducibility in the sense of decomposable extensions.

Keywords: q-Painlevé equation; q-difference equation; algebraic function solution; τ function; irreducibility

MSC 2010: 33E17; 34M55; 39A13

1 Introduction

In the 1900s, Painlevé equations, P_I , P_{II} , ... and P_{VI} , are defined by P. Painlevé and B. Gambier. In the recent research, P_{III} was divided into three equations, $P_{III}^{D_6^{(1)}}$, $P_{III}^{D_7^{(1)}}$ and $P_{III}^{D_8^{(1)}}$. Since the 1990s, discrete Painlevé equations have been studied actively from various points of view. Painlevé and discrete Painlevé equations (Painlevé systems) are now regarded as one of the most important classes of equations in the theory of integrable systems (see, for example, [3]). In 2001, Sakai stated that Painlevé systems are classified by theory of rational surfaces and the system of discrete Painlevé equations is constructed in a unified manner as the birational action of a translation of the corresponding affine Weyl group on a certain family of rational surfaces[15].

There are many kind of discrete Painlevé equations and some of them are regarded as the discrete analog of Painlevé equations. We note here that a discrete analog of P_X is a discrete Painlevé equation which leads to P_X by a continuous limit without loss of parameters. Most of discrete analogs of Painlevé equations have been already found, but discrete analogs of $P_{III}^{D_7^{(1)}}$ and $P_{III}^{D_8^{(1)}}$ were not known.

We show that the q-difference equation,

$$f(p^{2}t)f(t) = \frac{(f(pt) + p^{-1}t^{-1})(f(pt) + p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha)}{1 + f(pt)},$$
(1.1)

where $\alpha, t, p \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, is the first model of a discrete analog of $\mathbf{P}_{\text{III}}^{D_7^{(1)}}$. In fact, by setting

$$(1+\alpha)t^{-1} = (1-p)^4 As, \quad \alpha t^{-2} = -(1-p)^6 s^2, \quad f(t) = (1-p)^2 X(s),$$
 (1.2)

and letting $p \to 1$, we obtain $P_{\text{III}}^{D_7^{(1)}}$,

$$X'' = \frac{(X')^2}{X} - \frac{X'}{s} - \frac{X^2}{s^2} + \frac{A}{s} - \frac{1}{X}, \qquad (1.3)$$

where $X' = \frac{dX}{ds}$. Therefore we call (1.1) a *q*-Painlevé III equation of type $D_7^{(1)}$ (*q*-P_{III}^{$D_7^{(1)}$}). We note here that (1.1) is obtained by substituting

$$\beta = \alpha^{-1}, \quad \gamma = 1, \tag{1.4}$$

and putting

$$q = p^2, \quad g(t) = f(q^{-1/2}t),$$
(1.5)

in a q-analog of $P_V (q-P_V)[15]$,

$$g(qt)g(t) = \frac{(f(t) + t^{-1})(f(t) + \alpha t^{-1})}{1 + \gamma f(t)}, \quad f(q^{-1}t)f(t) = \frac{(g(t) + q^{1/2}\alpha\beta t^{-1})(g(t) + q^{1/2}\beta^{-1}t^{-1})}{1 + \gamma^{-1}g(t)}$$
(1.6)

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, t, q \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ are parameters. This specialization is called a projective reduction[4]. It is well known that $P_{III}^{D_7^{(1)}}$ has the following properties:

(i) existence of algebraic function solutions which are rational functions of $s^{1/3}$;

(ii) irreducibility in the sense of P. Painlevé and H. Umemura.

The aim of this paper is to show that q-P^{$D_7^{(1)}$} has quite similar properties to the above. This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we introduce a representation of the affine Weyl group of type $A_4^{(1)}$, and then derive q-P^{$D_7^{(1)}$} from the affine Weyl group. In Section 3, we construct algebraic function solutions to q-P^{$D_7^{(1)}$} and show that each of them is expressed as a ratio of Laurent polynomials in $t^{1/3}$. In Section 4, we prove irreducibility of $q - P_{\text{III}}^{D_7^{(1)}}$ in the sense of decomposable extensions, which implies that any transcendental solution cannot be algebraically expressed by solutions of linear difference equations and solutions of first order algebraic difference equations. Concluding remarks are given in Section 5.

Throughout this paper, we use the following conventions of q-analysis with |q| < 1 (cf. the books [2, 5]).

q-Shifted factorials:

$$(a;q)_k = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - aq^{i-1}), \quad (a_1, \cdots, a_s;q)_n = \prod_{j=1}^s (a_j;q)_n$$
(1.7)

$$(a; p, q)_k = \prod_{i,j=0}^{k-1} (1 - p^i q^j a), \quad (a_1, \cdots, a_s; p, q)_n = \prod_{j=1}^s (a_j; p, q)_n.$$
(1.8)

Jacobi theta function:

$$\Theta(a;q) = (a;q)_{\infty}(qa^{-1};q)_{\infty}.$$
(1.9)

It holds that

$$(qa;q)_{\infty} = \frac{(a;q)_{\infty}}{1-a},$$
(1.10)

$$(pa; p, q)_{\infty} = \frac{(a; p, q)_{\infty}}{(a; q)_{\infty}},$$
(1.11)

$$\Theta(qa;q) = -\frac{\Theta(a;q)}{a}.$$
(1.12)

2 Affine Weyl group of type $A_4^{(1)}$

2.1 Projective reduction to q-P^{$D_{111}^{D_7^{(1)}}$}

We formulate the family of Bäcklund transformations of q-P_V, (1.6), as a birational representation of the affine Weyl group of type $A_4^{(1)}$. We refer to [12] for basic ideas of this formulation.

We define the transformations s_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4), σ , and ι on variables f_j (j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)and parameters a_k (k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4) by

$$s_{i}(a_{j}) = a_{j}a_{i}^{-a_{ij}}, \quad s_{i}(f_{i+2}) = \frac{a_{i+3}a_{i+4}(a_{i}a_{i+1} + a_{i+3}f_{i})}{a_{i+1}^{2}f_{i+3}}, \quad s_{i}(f_{i+4}) = \frac{a_{i+4}(a_{i+2} + a_{i+4}a_{i}f_{i+1})}{a_{i}a_{i+1}a_{i+2}^{2}f_{i+3}}$$

$$s_{i}(f_{j}) = f_{j} \quad (j \neq i+2, i+4), \quad \sigma(a_{i}) = a_{i+1}, \quad \sigma(f_{i}) = f_{i+1}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z},$$

$$\iota : (a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}) \mapsto (a_{0}^{-1}, a_{4}^{-1}, a_{3}^{-1}, a_{2}^{-1}, a_{1}^{-1}, f_{1}, f_{0}, f_{4}, f_{3}, f_{2}).$$

Here the symmetric 5×5 matrix

$$A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.1)

is the Cartan matrix of type $A_4^{(1)}$. Note that f_i 's have the conditions

$$a_{i+3}{}^{2}a_{i+4}f_{i} = a_{i+1}(a_{i}a_{i+1}f_{i+2}f_{i+3} - a_{i+3}a_{i+4}) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}).$$

$$(2.2)$$

Proposition 2.1. The group of birational transformations $\langle s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \sigma, \iota \rangle$ forms the affine Weyl group of type $A_4^{(1)}$, denoted by $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$. Namely, the transformations satisfy the fundamental relations

$$s_i^2 = 1, \quad (s_i s_{i\pm 1})^3 = 1, \quad (s_i s_j)^2 = 1 \quad (j \neq i \pm 1), \quad \sigma^5 = 1, \quad \sigma s_i = s_{i+1}\sigma,$$

 $\iota^2 = 1, \quad \iota s_0 = s_0 \iota, \quad \iota s_1 = s_4 \iota, \quad \iota s_2 = s_3 \iota, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}.$

In general, for a function $F = F(a_i, f_j)$, we let an element $w \in \widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ act as $w.F(a_i, f_j) = F(w.a_i.w, f_j)$, that is, w is an injective homomorphism. Note that $q = a_0a_1a_2a_3a_4$ is invariant under any action of $\langle s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \sigma \rangle$. We define the translations T_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4) by

$$T_0 = \sigma s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, \quad T_1 = \sigma s_0 s_4 s_3 s_2, \quad T_2 = \sigma s_1 s_0 s_4 s_3, \quad T_3 = \sigma s_2 s_1 s_0 s_4, \quad T_4 = \sigma s_3 s_2 s_1 s_0.$$
(2.3)

Their actions on a_i 's are given by

 $T_0: (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (qa_0, q^{-1}a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4),$ $T_1: (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (a_0, qa_1, q^{-1}a_2, a_3, a_4),$ $T_2: (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (a_0, a_1, qa_2, q^{-1}a_3, a_4),$ $T_3: (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (a_0, a_1, a_2, qa_3, q^{-1}a_4),$ $T_4: (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (q^{-1}a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, qa_4).$

Note that T_i 's commute with each other and $T_0T_1T_2T_3T_4 = 1$. We introduce α , β , γ , t, f, and g by

$$\alpha = a_4^{-1}, \quad \beta = a_2^{1/2} a_4^{1/2}, \quad \gamma = q^{-1/4} a_2^{1/4} a_3^{1/2} a_4^{1/4}, \quad t = q^{-1/4} a_0 a_2^{1/4} a_3^{1/2} a_4^{1/4}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$f = q^{-3/4} a_2^{3/4} a_3^{3/2} a_4^{3/4} f_0, \quad g = q^{3/4} a_2^{-3/4} a_3^{-3/2} a_4^{-3/4} f_2.$$
(2.5)

Then the action of T_0 on f and g are expressed as

$$T_0(g)g = \frac{(f+t^{-1})(f+\alpha t^{-1})}{1+\gamma f}, \quad T_0^{-1}(f)f = \frac{(g+q^{1/2}\alpha\beta t^{-1})(g+q^{1/2}\beta^{-1}t^{-1})}{1+\gamma^{-1}g},$$
(2.6)

which is equivalent to q-P_V, (1.6). We regard T_0 and T_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) as the time evolution and Bäcklund transformations of q-P_V, respectively.

In order to derive q-P^{$D_{11}^{(1)}$}, we introduce the transformations R_0 and R_{13} defined by

$$R_0 = \sigma^3 s_2 s_1, \quad R_{13} = \sigma s_0 s_4 s_2. \tag{2.7}$$

Note that R_0 and R_{13} commute with each other. The transformations are not translations but their squares are translations,

$$R_0^2 = T_0, \quad R_{13}^2 = T_1 T_3. \tag{2.8}$$

Considering the projection of the action of R_0 and R_{13} on the subspace of the parameter space $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$ and $\gamma = 1$ (or, $a_0 a_1 = a_3$ and $a_2 = a_4$), we have

$$R_0: (\alpha, t) \mapsto (\alpha, q^{1/2}t),$$

$$R_{13}: (\alpha, t) \mapsto (q^{1/2}\alpha, t)$$

Then the action of R_0 can be expressed as

$$R_0(f) = \frac{(f+t^{-1})(f+\alpha t^{-1})}{g(1+f)}, \qquad R_0^{-1}(f) = g,$$
(2.9)

which is equivalent to $q - P_{\text{III}}^{D_7^{(1)}}$, (1.1). We regard R_0 as the time evolution of $q - P_{\text{III}}^{D_7^{(1)}}$. The action of R_{13} can be expressed as

$$R_{13}(f) = \frac{q^{1/2}\alpha + q^{1/2}\alpha tf + tg}{t^2 fg}, \qquad R_{13}^{-1}(f) = \frac{q^{1/2}\alpha + q^{1/2}tf + \alpha tg + \alpha tfg}{q^{1/2}tf(tf + \alpha)}, \qquad (2.10)$$

which is a Bäcklund transformation of (2.9) because of commutative property between R_0 and R_{13} . Therefore we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 2.2. Transformations T and T^{-1} ,

$$T: (\alpha, t, f(t)) \mapsto \left(p\alpha, t, \frac{p\alpha + p\alpha t f(t) + t f(p^{-1}t)}{t^2 f(t) f(p^{-1}t)} \right),$$

$$(2.11)$$

$$T^{-1}: (\alpha, t, f(t)) \mapsto \left(p\alpha^{-1}, t, \frac{p\alpha + ptf(t) + \alpha tf(p^{-1}t) + \alpha tf(t)f(p^{-1}t)}{ptf(t)(tf(t) + \alpha)} \right),$$
(2.12)

are Bäcklund transformations of q-P^{$D_{11}^{(1)}$}, (1.1).

In general, we can derive various discrete Painlevé systems from elements of infinite order of affine Weyl groups that are not necessarily translations by taking a projection on a certain subspace of the parameter space. We call such a procedure a projective reduction[4].

2.2 τ function

We introduce the new variables τ_i $(i = 1, 2, \dots, 7)$ with

$$f_2 = \frac{\tau_4 \tau_5}{\tau_6 \tau_7}, \quad f_4 = \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2}{\tau_3 \tau_7}, \tag{2.13}$$

and lift the representation of the affine Weyl group to τ_i 's-level[16]:

Proposition 2.3. The actions of s_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4), ι , and σ are expressed on τ_k by the following:

$$\begin{split} s_{0}(\tau_{1}) &= \frac{a_{4}\left(a_{0}\tau_{3}\tau_{4}\tau_{5} + a_{2}a_{3}\tau_{1}\tau_{2}\tau_{6} + a_{0}a_{3}\tau_{3}\tau_{6}\tau_{7}\right)}{a_{0}^{2}a_{1}a_{2}\tau_{4}\tau_{7}}, \quad s_{0}(\tau_{i}) &= \tau_{i} \ (i = 2, 3, 5, 6), \\ s_{0}(\tau_{4}) &= \frac{a_{0}a_{4}\left(a_{0}\tau_{3}\tau_{4}\tau_{5} + a_{2}a_{3}\tau_{1}\tau_{2}\tau_{6} + a_{3}\tau_{3}\tau_{6}\tau_{7}\right)}{a_{1}a_{2}\tau_{1}\tau_{7}}, \\ s_{0}(\tau_{7}) &= \frac{a_{4}\left(a_{0}^{2}\tau_{3}\tau_{4}\tau_{5} + a_{3}a_{0}\tau_{3}\tau_{6}\tau_{7} + a_{2}a_{3}\tau_{1}\tau_{2}\tau_{6}\right)}{a_{0}a_{1}a_{2}\tau_{1}\tau_{4}}, \\ s_{1}(\tau_{1}) &= \tau_{2}, \quad s_{1}(\tau_{2}) = \tau_{1}, \quad s_{1}(\tau_{i}) = \tau_{i} \ (i = 3, \cdots, 7), \\ s_{2}(\tau_{1}) &= \frac{a_{0}a_{1}\left(a_{0}\tau_{4}\tau_{5} + a_{2}a_{3}\tau_{6}\tau_{7}\right)}{a_{3}^{2}\tau_{3}}, \quad s_{2}(\tau_{3}) &= \frac{a_{0}a_{1}\left(a_{0}\tau_{4}\tau_{5} + a_{3}\tau_{6}\tau_{7}\right)}{a_{2}a_{3}^{2}\tau_{1}}, \\ s_{1}(\tau_{i}) &= \tau_{i} \ (i = 2, 4, 5, 6, 7), \\ s_{3}(\tau_{i}) &= \tau_{i} \ (i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 7), \\ s_{3}(\tau_{4}) &= \frac{a_{2}\left(a_{2}a_{3}\tau_{1}\tau_{2} + a_{0}\tau_{3}\tau_{7}\right)}{a_{0}^{2}a_{3}a_{4}\tau_{6}}, \quad s_{3}(\tau_{6}) &= \frac{a_{2}a_{3}\left(a_{2}\tau_{1}\tau_{2} + a_{0}\tau_{3}\tau_{7}\right)}{a_{0}^{2}a_{4}\tau_{4}}, \\ s_{4}(\tau_{4}) &= s_{4}(\tau_{5}), \quad s_{4}(\tau_{5}) &= s_{4}(\tau_{4}), \quad s_{4}(\tau_{i}) = \tau_{i} \ (i = 1, 2, 3, 6, 7), \\ \iota : (\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}, \tau_{4}, \tau_{5}, \tau_{6}, \tau_{7}) &= (\tau_{4}, \tau_{5}, \tau_{6}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}, \tau_{7}), \\ \sigma(\tau_{1}) &= \frac{a_{0}a_{1}\left(a_{0}\tau_{4}\tau_{5} + a_{3}a_{0}\tau_{3}\tau_{6}\tau_{7} + a_{2}a_{3}\tau_{1}\tau_{2}\tau_{6}\right)}{a_{0}a_{1}a_{2}\tau_{1}\tau_{4}}}, \quad \sigma(\tau_{5}) &= \tau_{7}, \\ \sigma(\tau_{6}) &= \tau_{5}, \quad \sigma(\tau_{7}) &= \tau_{2}. \end{split}$$

Since we are studying the property of q-P^{$D_7^{(1)}$}, we consider the τ functions under the conditions $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$ and $\gamma = 1$ (or, $a_0a_1 = a_3$ and $a_2 = a_4$). We define the τ functions τ_N^n $(n, N \in \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\tau_N^n = R_0^n R_{13}^{\ N}(\tau_4). \tag{2.14}$$

We note that τ_i 's are expressed by τ_N^n as follows (Figure 1):

$$\tau_1 = \tau_2^0, \quad \tau_2 = \tau_1^2, \quad \tau_3 = \tau_2^2, \quad \tau_4 = \tau_0^0, \quad \tau_5 = \tau_2^1, \quad \tau_6 = \tau_1^1, \quad \tau_7 = \tau_1^0,$$
 (2.15)

and (2.4) and (2.5) are rewritten as

$$f = f_0 = \frac{\tau_0^1 \tau_2^2}{\tau_1^1 \tau_1^2}, \quad t = a_0, \quad \alpha = a_2^{-1}.$$
(2.16)

3 Algebraic function solutions to q-P^{$D_7^{(1)}$}_{III}

In this section, we use the notation $\overline{F} = F(pt)$ for arbitrary function F = F(t).



Figure 1. Configuration of the τ functions on the lattice.

3.1 Puiseux series representation of algebraic function solutions

Proposition 3.1. Let f be an algebraic function solution to (1.1). Then

$$f = \sum_{i=-2}^{\infty} c_i t^{i/3} \in \mathbb{C}(t^{1/3}), \tag{3.1}$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c_{-2} \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $L = \mathbb{C}(t, f, \overline{f})$. By Lemma 12 in [11], $L = \mathbb{C}(x)$ where $x^n = t$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$ and $\overline{x} = p^{1/n}x$. Express f as

$$f = \frac{P}{Q},\tag{3.2}$$

where $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[x] \setminus \{0\}$ and P and Q are relatively prime. From (1.1), we obtain

$$x^{2n}\overline{P}\underline{P}(P+Q)Q = \overline{Q}\underline{Q}(x^nP+Q)(x^nP+\alpha Q).$$
(3.3)

Let $v_0(F)$ denote the maximum number k such that $x^k | F$ for $F \in \mathbb{C}[x] \setminus \{0\}$. Assume $x \nmid Q$. Then, from (3.3), it follows that

$$2n + 2v_0(P) + v_0(P+Q) = 0, (3.4)$$

which implies n = 0. Therefore we obtain $x \mid Q$ and so $x \nmid P$. Put $m = v_0(Q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. From (3.3), it follows that

$$2n + m \ge 2m + 2\min(n, m), \tag{3.5}$$

which implies 2n = 3m.

We can express f as

$$f = \sum_{i=-m}^{\infty} c_i x^i, \tag{3.6}$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $c_{-m} \neq 0$ To show $m/2 \nmid i \Rightarrow c_i = 0$, we assume that there exists i such that $m/2 \nmid i$ and $c_i \neq 0$. Let $k \cdot \frac{m}{2} + l = \min\{i \mid m/2 \nmid i \text{ and } c_i \neq 0\}, 1 \leq l < m/2$. Then f can be expressed as

$$f = c_{-m}x^{-m} + c_{-m/2}x^{-m/2} + \dots + c_{km/2}x^{km/2} + c_{km/2+l}x^{km/2+l} + \dots$$

From (1.1), we obtain

$$\overline{f}\underline{f}(1+f) = (f + x^{-3m/2})(f + \alpha x^{-3m/2}).$$
(3.7)

The coefficient of $x^{km/2+l-2m} = x^{(k-4)m/2+l}$ of the left side is

$$c_{km/2+l}p^{km/2n+l/n}c_{-m}p^{m/n}c_{-m} + c_{-m}p^{-m/n}c_{km/2+l}p^{-km/2n-l/n}c_{-m} + c_{-m}p^{-m/n}c_{-m}p^{m/n}c_{km/2+l} = (p^{(k+2)m/2n+l/n} + p^{-(k+2)m/2n-l/n} + 1)c_{-m}^2c_{km/2+l}$$

$$\neq 0,$$
(3.8)

and one of the right side is 0, a contradiction.

Therefore we find $f \in \mathbb{C}((x^{m/2})) \cap \mathbb{C}(x) = \mathbb{C}(x^{m/2})$. Then we have $L \subset \mathbb{C}(x^{m/2}) \subset \mathbb{C}(x) = L$, which yields $L = \mathbb{C}(x^{m/2}) = \mathbb{C}(x)$, and so m = 2 and n = 3 are obtained. Since $x^3 = t$, we can express f as

$$f = \sum_{i=-2}^{\infty} c_i t^{i/3} \in \mathbb{C}(t^{1/3}), \quad c_i \in \mathbb{C}, \ c_{-2} \neq 0.$$
(3.9)

This expression is what we want.

In fact, when $\alpha=-1,\,q\text{-}\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{III}}^{D_7^{(1)}}$ has the algebraic function solution,

$$f = -t^{-2/3}. (3.10)$$

Moreover, using the Bäcklund transformation (2.11), we obtain the algebraic function solutions:

$$f = -t^{-2/3}(p^{1/3} + (1 - p^{1/3})t^{1/3}) \quad (\alpha = -p),$$
(3.11)

$$f = -t^{-2/3} \frac{p^{4/3} + p^{1/3}(1-p^{4/3})t^{1/3} + p^{-1/3}(1-p^{1/3})(1-p^{5/3})t^{2/3}}{(p^{1/3} + (1-p^{1/3})t^{1/3})(p^{1/3} + p^{-1/3}(1-p^{1/3})t^{1/3})} \quad (\alpha = -p^2).$$
(3.12)

By using the continuous limit (1.2) and $p \to 1$, we obtain the algebraic function solution to $P_{III}^{D_7^{(1)}}$ as follows:

$$X = -s^{2/3} \quad (A = 0), \tag{3.13}$$

$$X = \frac{2}{9} s^{1/3} \left(-\frac{3+9s^{1/3}}{2} \right) \quad (A=1),$$
(3.14)

$$X = \frac{2}{3}s^{1/3} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \frac{35 + 90s^{1/3} + 81s^{2/3}}{8} & -\frac{105 + 315s^{1/3} + 405s^{2/3} + 243s}{16} \\ 1 & -\frac{3 + 9s^{1/3}}{2} \end{vmatrix}}{\left(-\frac{3 + 9s^{1/3}}{2}\right)^2} \quad (A = 2). \quad (3.15)$$

These solutions to $P_{III}^{D_7^{(1)}}$ will be seen in [13] and K. Kajiwara, T. Masuda, and Y. Ohta anticipate determinant formula of them as follows:

$$X = \frac{2(2N-1)}{9} s^{1/3} \frac{\psi_N \psi_{N-2}}{\psi_{N-1}^2}, \quad (A = N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}),$$
(3.16)

where

$$\psi_{-2} = \frac{9}{2} s^{1/3}, \quad \psi_{-1} = \psi_0 = 1, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\psi_N = \begin{vmatrix} P_N^{(N-1)}(s) & P_{N+1}^{(N-1)}(s) & \cdots & P_{2N-1}^{(N-1)}(s) \\ P_{N-2}^{(N-2)}(s) & P_{N-1}^{(N-2)}(s) & \cdots & P_{2N-3}^{(N-2)}(s) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \end{vmatrix} \quad (N > 0),$$
(3.18)

$$\begin{vmatrix} P_{-N+2}^{(0)}(s) & P_{-N+3}^{(0)}(s) & \cdots & P_{1}^{(0)}(s) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$P_{-N+2}^{(k)}(s) = 0 \quad (n < 0) \quad P_{-N+3}^{(k)}(s) = L^{(-k-n-3/2)} \left(\frac{9}{-s^{1/3}}\right) \quad (n \ge 0) \quad (3.19)$$

$$P_n^{(k)}(s) = 0 \quad (n < 0), \quad P_n^{(k)}(s) = L_n^{(-k-n-3/2)} \left(\frac{9}{2}s^{1/3}\right) \quad (n \ge 0).$$
 (3.19)

Here $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is Laguerre Polynomial,

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(-1)^r \prod_{k=1}^{n-r} (n+\alpha-k+1)}{(n-r)! r!} x^r.$$
(3.20)

3.2 Algebraic τ function

In [7, 8, 9], τ functions expressed by gauge functions and basic hypergeometric function are called hypergeometric τ functions. In this section, we construct τ functions expressed by gauge functions and algebraic functions. We call them algebraic τ functions.

We assume that τ_N^n are functions of t such that

$$\tau_N^n = \tau_N^0(p^n t), \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$\alpha = -p^2. \tag{3.22}$$

By the action of the affine Weyl group, τ_N^n is determined as a rational function in τ_0^n , τ_1^n , and τ_2^n (or $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_7$). Thus, we only have to determine τ_0^n, τ_1^n , and τ_2^n . From (2.7), (2.16), and Proposition 2.3, we see that the action of R_0 on τ_i is given by

$$R_0(\tau_1) = \tau_5, \quad R_0(\tau_5) = \tau_3, \quad R_0(\tau_6) = \tau_2, \quad R_0(\tau_7) = \tau_6, \tag{3.23}$$

$$R_0(\tau_2) = \frac{t \, \gamma_3(t \, \gamma_4 \, \gamma_5 + p \, \alpha \, \gamma_6 \, \gamma_7) + p t \, \gamma_1 \, \gamma_2 \, \gamma_6}{p \, \alpha \, \tau_1 \, \tau_7},\tag{3.24}$$

$$R_0(\tau_3) = \frac{t\tau_3(t\tau_4\tau_5 + p\alpha\tau_6\tau_7) + p\tau_1\tau_2\tau_6}{p\alpha\tau_1\tau_4},$$
(3.25)

$$R_0(\tau_4) = \frac{t\tau_4\tau_5 + p\alpha\tau_6\tau_7}{p\tau_1},\tag{3.26}$$

$$R_0^{-1}(\tau_1) = \frac{p\alpha t^2 \tau_3 \tau_7(\tau_4 \tau_5 + \tau_6 \tau_7) + t\tau_1 \tau_2(t\tau_4 \tau_5 + p\tau_6 \tau_7)}{p^3 \alpha \tau_2 \tau_3 \tau_4},$$
(3.27)

$$R_0^{-1}(\tau_4) = \frac{t\tau_4\tau_5 + p\tau_6\tau_7}{p\alpha\tau_3},\tag{3.28}$$

$$R_0^{-1}(\tau_7) = \frac{t^2(\tau_4\tau_5 + \tau_6\tau_7)}{p^2\alpha\tau_2}.$$
(3.29)

Lemma 3.1. Equations (3.24), (3.25), and (3.27) can be eliminated.

Proof. Erasing the term " $t\tau_4\tau_5 + p\alpha\tau_6\tau_7$ " from (3.24) by using (3.26), we obtain

$$\tau_7 = \frac{t^2 (R_0(\tau_4)\tau_3 + \tau_2\tau_6)}{\alpha R_0(\tau_2)},\tag{3.30}$$

which is equivalent to (3.29). Erasing the term " $t\tau_4\tau_5 + p\alpha\tau_6\tau_7$ " from (3.25) by using (3.26), we obtain

$$\tau_4 = \frac{t\tau_3 R_0(\tau_4) + \tau_2 \tau_6}{\alpha R_0(\tau_3)},\tag{3.31}$$

which is equivalent to (3.28). Finally, erasing the terms " $\tau_4 \tau_5 + \tau_6 \tau_7$ " and " $t\tau_4 \tau_5 + p\tau_6 \tau_7$ " from (3.27) by using (3.28) and (3.29), we obtain

$$\tau_4 = \frac{tR_0^{-1}(\tau_4)\tau_1 + p^2 \alpha \tau_7 R_0^{-1}(\tau_7)}{p^2 R_0^{-1}(\tau_1)},$$
(3.32)

which is equivalent to (3.26).

By (2.15) and (3.22), we rewrite (3.26), (3.28), and (3.29) as follows:

$$\tau_2^1 \tau_0^2 = t \tau_0^1 \tau_2^2 - p^2 \tau_1^1 \tau_1^2, \tag{3.33}$$

$$\tau_2^3 \tau_0^0 = -p^{-2} t \tau_0^1 \tau_2^2 - p^{-2} \tau_1^1 \tau_1^2, \tag{3.34}$$

$$\tau_1^3 \tau_1^0 = -p^{-2} t^2 \tau_0^1 \tau_2^2 - p^{-2} t^2 \tau_1^1 \tau_1^2, \tag{3.35}$$

respectively. Thus, the action of R_0 on τ_i is equivalent to the bilinear equations (3.33)–(3.35). By elementary calculations, we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0^n &= -p^{(13+24n)/12} t^2 \\ &\times \frac{(p^{n/3}t^{1/3}, -p^{(-1+n)/3}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{2/3})_{\infty}(p^{(-5+4n)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{1/6})_{\infty}}{(p^{(11-4n)/12}t^{-1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{1/6})_{\infty}} \\ &\times \left(\frac{\Theta(p^{(1+4n)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3})}{\Theta(p^{(-5+4n)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3})}\right)^{1/4}, \end{aligned}$$
(3.36)
$$\tau_1^n = p^n t(p^{1/3} + p^{(n-2)/3}(1-p^{1/3})t^{1/3})$$

$$\times \frac{(p^{n/3}t^{1/3}, -p^{(-2+n)/3}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{2/3})_{\infty}(p^{(-7+4n)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{1/6})_{\infty}}{(p^{(13-4n)/12}t^{-1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{1/6})_{\infty}} \times \left(\frac{\Theta(p^{(-5+4n)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3})}{\Theta(p^{(1+4n)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3})}\right)^{1/4},$$

$$(3.37)$$

$$\tau_{2}^{n} = \left(p^{4/3} + p^{(n-1)/3}(1-p^{4/3})t^{1/3} + p^{(2n-5)/3}(1-p^{1/3})(1-p^{5/3})t^{2/3}\right) \\ \times \frac{(p^{n/3}t^{1/3}, -p^{(-3+n)/3}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{2/3})_{\infty}(p^{(-9+4n)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{1/6})_{\infty}}{(p^{(15-4n)/12}t^{-1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{1/6})_{\infty}} \\ \times \left(\frac{\Theta(p^{(1+4n)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3})}{\Theta(p^{(-5+4n)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3})}\right)^{1/4},$$
(3.38)

is a solution to (3.33)-(3.35). Incidentally, the algebraic function solution (3.12),

$$f = \frac{\tau_0^1 \tau_2^2}{\tau_1^1 \tau_1^2} = -t^{-2/3} \frac{p^{4/3} + p^{1/3} (1 - p^{4/3}) t^{1/3} + p^{-1/3} (1 - p^{1/3}) (1 - p^{5/3}) t^{2/3}}{(p^{1/3} + (1 - p^{1/3}) t^{1/3}) (p^{1/3} + p^{-1/3} (1 - p^{1/3}) t^{1/3})},$$
(3.39)

is useful to find out this solution.

We next express τ_N^n for a general $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ by gauge functions and algebraic functions. From (3.26), (3.28), and (3.29), we obtain the following bilinear equations:

$$p^{N+2}\tau_{N+1}^{n+1}\tau_{N+1}^{n} = -\tau_{N+2}^{n}\tau_{N}^{n+1} + p^{n-1}t\tau_{N+2}^{n+1}\tau_{N}^{n},$$
(3.40)

$$\tau_{N+1}^{n+1}\tau_{N+1}^n = -p^{N+2}\tau_{N+2}^{n+2}\tau_N^{n-1} - p^{n-1}t\tau_{N+2}^{n+1}\tau_N^n,$$
(3.41)

$$\tau_{N+2}^n \tau_N^{n-1} = -\tau_{N+1}^{n-1} \tau_{N+1}^n - p^{6-2n+N} t^{-2} \tau_{N+1}^{n-2} \tau_{N+1}^{n+1}.$$
(3.42)

We define ψ_N^n by

$$\tau_N^n = \frac{(p^{n/3}t^{1/3}, -p^{(-1+n-N)/3}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{2/3})_{\infty}(p^{(-5+4n-2N)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{1/6})_{\infty}}{(p^{(11-4n+2N)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3}; p^{1/3}, p^{1/6})_{\infty}} \times \left(\frac{\Theta(p^{(1+4n)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3})}{\Theta(p^{(-5+4n)/12}t^{1/3}; p^{1/3})}\right)^{(-1)^{N/4}}\psi_N^n.$$
(3.43)

Then it holds that

$$\psi_0^n = -p^{(13+24n)/12} t^2, \tag{3.44}$$

$$\psi_1^n = p^n t (p^{1/3} + p^{(n-2)/3} (1 - p^{1/3}) t^{1/3}), \tag{3.45}$$

$$\psi_2^n = p^{4/3} + p^{(n-1)/3} (1 - p^{4/3}) t^{1/3} + p^{(2n-5)/3} (1 - p^{1/3}) (1 - p^{5/3}) t^{2/3}, \tag{3.46}$$

and (3.40)–(3.42) are rewritten as

$$(-1)^{N+1} p^{(8+4N-(1-2n)(-1)^N)/4} t^{((-1)^N-1)/2} \psi_{N+1}^{n+1} \psi_{N+1}^n = p^{(-4-N+2n)/4} (1+p^{(-3+n-N)/3} t^{1/3}) \psi_{N+2}^n \psi_N^{n+1} - p^{(-8+N+10n)/12} t^{1/3} \psi_{N+2}^{n+1} \psi_N^n, \quad (3.47)$$

$$(-1)^{N+1}p^{-(1-2n)(-1)^N/4}t^{((-1)^N-1)/2}\psi_{N+1}^{n+1}\psi_{N+1}^n = p^{(4+3N+2n)/4}(1-p^{(n-1)/3}t^{1/3})e^{(n+2)}e^{(n-1)}(-p^{(-8+N+10n)/12}t^{1/3})e^{(n+1)}e^{(n-1)}(2.48)$$

$$= p^{(4+6N+2E)/4} (1 - p^{(n-1)/6} t^{1/6}) \psi_{N+2}^{n+2} \psi_N^{n-1} + p^{(-6+N+16E)/12} t^{1/6} \psi_{N+2}^{n+1} \psi_N^{n}, \qquad (3.48)$$

$$(-1)^{N+1} p^{(6+9(-1)^N - 2(1+3(-1)^N)n+N)/12} t^{-(1+3(-1)^N)/6} \psi_{N+2}^{n} \psi_N^{n-1}$$

$$=\psi_{N+1}^{n-1}\psi_{N+1}^{n} + t^{-2/3}(p^{(2-n)/3} - t^{1/3})(p^{(4-n+N)/3} + t^{1/3})\psi_{N+1}^{n-2}\psi_{N+1}^{n+1},$$
(3.49)

respectively. For convenience, we introduce

$$u = t^{1/3}. (3.50)$$

It is obvious that ψ_N^n is a rational function for u from (3.49) and initial conditions (3.44)–(3.46). Set

$$\psi_N^0 = \psi_N(t) = u^{e_N} \frac{P_N(u)}{Q_N(u)},\tag{3.51}$$

where P_N is a polynomial, Q_N is a monic polynomial, $e_N \in \mathbb{Z}$, P_N and Q_N are relatively prime, and $P_N(0), Q_N(0) \neq 0$. We shall show that ψ_N^n is a Laurent polynomial by the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. It holds that

(i)
$$Q_N = 1;$$

- (ii) P_N, \overline{P}_N :relatively prime;
- (iii) $P_N, \overline{\overline{P}}_N$:relatively prime.

Proof. We shall prove this lemma by induction for $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. It is obvious for or N = 0, 1. We assume that (i)–(iii) are hold for N = 0, 1, ..., M - 1 ($M \geq 2$). From (3.49),

$$\psi_M \underline{\psi}_{M-2} = p^{-e_{M-2}/3} u^{e_M + e_{M-2}} \frac{P_M \underline{P}_{M-2}}{Q_M},\tag{3.52}$$

is a Laurent polynomial, which implies

$$Q_M \mid \underline{P}_{M-2}. \tag{3.53}$$

From (3.47) and the fact that $\overline{\psi}_M \psi_{M-2}$ is a Laurent polynomial,

$$(1+p^{(-1-M)/3}u)\psi_M\overline{\psi}_{M-2} = p^{e_{M-2}/3}(1+p^{(-1-M)/3}u)u^{e_M+e_{M-2}}\frac{P_MP_{M-2}}{Q_M},$$
(3.54)

is a Laurent polynomial, which implies

$$Q_M \mid (1 + p^{(-1-M)/3}u)\overline{P}_{M-2}.$$
(3.55)

From (3.48) and the fact that $\overline{\psi}_M \psi_{M-2}$ is a Laurent polynomial,

$$(1 - p^{-1/3}u)\overline{\overline{\psi}}_M \underline{\psi}_{M-2} = p^{(2e_M - e_{M-2})/3} u^{e_M + e_{M-2}} (1 - p^{-1/3}u) \frac{\overline{P_M \underline{P}_{M-2}}}{\overline{\overline{Q}}_M},$$
(3.56)

is a Laurent polynomial, which implies

$$Q_M \mid (1 - p^{-1}u) \underbrace{\underline{P}}_{\underline{\equiv}M-2}.$$
(3.57)

From (ii), (iii), (3.53), (3.55), and (3.57), it holds that

$$Q_M \mid (1 + p^{(-1-M)/3}u), \tag{3.58}$$

$$Q_M \mid (1 - p^{-1}u). \tag{3.59}$$

Therefore $Q_M = 1$, and so (i) holds for N = M.

We next prove (ii). Set $S_1 = gcd(P_M, \overline{P}_M)$ where S_1 is a monic polynomial. From (3.47)–(3.49), we have

$$(-1)^{M+1} p^{(4M-(-1)^{M})/4+e_{M-1}/3} u^{3((-1)^{M}-1)/2+2e_{M-1}} \overline{P}_{M-1} P_{M-1}$$

$$= p^{(-2-M)/4+e_{M-2}/3} u^{e_{M}+e_{M-2}} (1+p^{(-1-M)/3}u) P_{M} \overline{P}_{M-2}$$

$$- p^{(-10+M)/12+e_{M}/3} u^{1+e_{M}+e_{M-2}} \overline{P}_{M} P_{M-2},$$

$$(3.60)$$

$$(-1)^{M-1} v^{(-1)^{M+1}/4+e_{M-1}/3} u^{3((-1)^{M}-1)/2+2e_{M-1}} \overline{P}_{M-2} P_{M-2},$$

$$(1) \quad p \quad w \quad 1 \quad M = 1 \quad M$$

$$= (-1)^{M+1} p^{(4+9(-1)^{M}+M)/12 - e_{M-2}/3} u^{-(1+3(-1)^{M})/2 + e_{M} + e_{M-2}} P_{M} \underline{P}_{M-2} - p^{-e_{M-1}/3} u^{2e_{M-1}} \underline{P}_{M-1} P_{M-1}.$$
(3.62)

From (3.60) and (3.61), we obtain

$$S_1 \mid \overline{P}_{M-1} P_{M-1}, \tag{3.63}$$

$$S_1 \mid P_{M-1}\underline{P}_{M-1},\tag{3.64}$$

respectively. From (3.62) and (3.64), we obtain

$$S_1 \mid (p^{2/3} - u)(p^{(2+M)/3} + u)\underline{\underline{P}}_{M-1}\overline{P}_{M-1}.$$
(3.65)

From (3.62) and (3.63), we obtain

$$S_1 \mid (p^{2/3} - p^{1/3}u)(p^{(2+M)/3} + p^{1/3}u)\underline{P}_{M-1}\overline{\overline{P}}_{M-1}.$$
(3.66)

Since $\overline{P}_{M-1}P_{M-1}$ and $\underline{P}_{M-1}\overline{P}_{M-1}$ are relatively prime, it follows by (3.63) and (3.66) that

$$S_1 \mid (p^{2/3} - p^{1/3}u)(p^{(2+M)/3} + p^{1/3}u).$$
(3.67)

Since $P_{M-1}\underline{P}_{M-1}$ and $\underline{\underline{P}}_{M-1}\overline{P}_{M-1}$ are relatively prime, it follows by (3.64) and (3.65) that

$$S_1 \mid (p^{2/3} - u)(p^{(2+M)/3} + u). \tag{3.68}$$

Therefore $S_1 = 1$, and so (ii) holds for N = M.

We finally prove (iii). Set $S_2 = gcd(P_M, \overline{P}_M)$ where S_2 is a monic polynomial. From (3.47) and (3.48), we obtain

$$(p^{M}+1)(-1)^{M+1}p^{-(-1)^{M}/4+e_{M-1}/3}u^{3(-1)^{M}/2+2e_{M-1}}\overline{P}_{M-1}P_{M-1}$$

$$= p^{(-2-M)/4+e_{M-2}/3}u^{3/2+e_{M}+e_{M-2}}(1+p^{(-1-M)/3}u)P_{M}\overline{P}_{M-2}$$

$$+ p^{(-2+3M)/4+(2e_{M}-e_{M-2})/3}u^{3/2+e_{M}+e_{M-2}}(1-p^{-1/3}u)\overline{\overline{P}}_{M}\underline{P}_{M-2},$$
(3.69)

which implies

$$S_2 \mid \overline{P}_{M-1} P_{M-1}. \tag{3.70}$$

From (3.60), (3.70), and (ii), we obtain

$$S_2 \mid P_{M-2}.$$
 (3.71)

From $\overline{S}_2 \mid \overline{P}_{M-2}$ and (3.60), we obtain

$$S_2 \mid P_{M-1}\underline{P}_{M-1}. \tag{3.72}$$

From $\underline{S}_2 \mid \underline{P}_{M-2}$ and (3.61), we obtain

$$S_2 \mid \overline{P}_{M-1} \overline{P}_{M-1}. \tag{3.73}$$

From (3.70), (3.72), and (3.73), $S_2 = 1$, and so (iii) holds for N = M. We can prove this lemma for $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ in a similar way.

Therefore we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. The functions,

$$f = (-1)^{N} p^{(2-3(-1)^{N}+N)/12} t^{-(1+3(-1)^{N})/6} \frac{\psi_{N}(pt)\psi_{N+2}(p^{2}t)}{\psi_{N+1}(pt)\psi_{N+1}(p^{2}t)},$$
(3.74)

are algebraic function solutions to (1.1) with $\alpha = -p^{2+N}$. Here $\psi_N(t)$ is a Laurent polynomial for $t^{1/3}$ constructed by

$$(-1)^{N+1}p^{(6+9(-1)^N+N)/12}t^{-(1+3(-1)^N)/6}\psi_{N+2}(t)\psi_N(p^{-1}t) = \psi_{N+1}(p^{-1}t)\psi_{N+1}(t) + (p^{2/3} - t^{1/3})(p^{(4+N)/3} + t^{1/3})t^{-2/3}\psi_{N+1}(p^{-2}t)\psi_{N+1}(pt),$$
(3.75)

under the initial conditions

$$\psi_0(t) = -p^{13/12}t^2,\tag{3.76}$$

$$\psi_1(t) = t(p^{1/3} + p^{-2/3}(1 - p^{1/3})t^{1/3}).$$
(3.77)

4 Irreducibility of q-P^{$D_7^{(1)}$}_{III}

In this section, we prove irreducibility of $q - P_{III}^{D_7^{(1)}}$. We use the following terms of difference algebra.

Throughout this section every field is of characteristic zero. When K is a field and τ is an isomorphism of K into itself, namely an injective endomorphism, the pair $\mathcal{K} = (K, \tau)$ is called a difference field. We call τ the (transforming) operator and K the underlying field. For $a \in K$, an element $\tau^n a \in K$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is called the *n*-th transform of a and is frequently denoted by a_n if it exists. If $\tau K = K$, we say that \mathcal{K} is inversive. If $K/\tau K$ is algebraic, we say that \mathcal{K} is almost inversive. For difference fields $\mathcal{K} = (K, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{K}' = (K', \tau')$, \mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{K} is called a difference field extension if K'/K is a field extension and $\tau'|_K = \tau$. In this case we say that \mathcal{K}' is a difference overfield of \mathcal{K} or \mathcal{K} is a difference field, $\mathcal{L} = (L, \tau)$ a difference overfield of \mathcal{K} and B a subset of L. The difference subfield $\mathcal{K}\langle B\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ of \mathcal{L} is defined to be the difference field $(K(B, \tau B, \tau^2 B, \ldots), \tau)$ and is denoted by $\mathcal{K}\langle B\rangle$ for brevity. A solution of a difference equation over \mathcal{K} is defined to be an element of some difference overfield of \mathcal{K} which satisfies the equation (cf. the books [1, 6]).

We say that $q \cdot P_{\text{III}}^{D_{1}^{(1)}}$ is irreducible if there is no transcendental function solution in any decomposable extension of $(\mathbb{C}(t), t \mapsto pt)$ (cf. [10, 11]). The irreducibility implies that any transcendental function solution cannot be algebraically expressed by solutions of linear difference equations and solutions of first order algebraic difference equations. To obtain the irreducibility of $q \cdot P_{\text{III}}^{D_{1}^{(1)}}$, we only have to prove the following theorem because of Lemma 4.10 in the paper[10] (cf. Lemma 9 in [11]):

Lemma 4.1 (Lemma 4.10 in [10]). Let \mathcal{K} be an almost inversive difference field, \mathcal{D} a decomposable extension of \mathcal{K} and $B \subset D$. Suppose that for any inversive difference overfield \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{K} and for any difference overfield \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{L} with $\mathcal{K}\langle B \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathcal{U}$, the following holds,

tr. deg
$$\mathcal{L}\langle B \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} / \mathcal{L} \leq 1 \Rightarrow$$
 any $f \in B$ is algebraic over L .

Then any $f \in B$ is algebraic over K.

Theorem 4.1. Let $p \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ be not a root of unity, \mathcal{L} an inversive difference overfield of $(\mathbb{C}(t), t \mapsto pt)$, $\mathcal{U} = (U, \tau)$ a difference overfield of \mathcal{L} and $f \in U$ satisfy

$$\overline{\overline{f}}f = \frac{(\overline{f} + p^{-1}t^{-1})(\overline{f} + p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})}{1 + \overline{f}},$$
(4.1)

where $\overline{f} = \tau f$ and $\overline{\overline{f}} = \tau^2 f$. Then we obtain

tr. deg
$$\mathcal{L}\langle f \rangle / \mathcal{L} \leq 1 \Rightarrow f$$
 is algebraic over L. (4.2)

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is given later. We now prove the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. Let $p \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ be not a root of unity, \mathcal{L} an inversive difference overfield of $(\mathbb{C}(t), t \mapsto pt)$, $\mathcal{U} = (U, \tau)$ a difference overfield of \mathcal{L} and $f \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfy (4.1). If tr. deg $\mathcal{L}\langle f \rangle / \mathcal{L} = 1$, then there are $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\alpha^{n-2l} = p^n,\tag{4.3}$$

 $0 \leq l \leq n, n \neq 0 and 2l \neq n.$

Proof. There is an irreducible polynomial $F \in L[X, Y] \setminus \{0\}$ such that $F(f, \overline{f}) = 0$. We set

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \sum_{j=0}^{n_1} a_{i,j} X^i Y^j,$$
(4.4)

where $a_{i,j} \in L$ and define $F^*, F_0, F_1 \in L[X, Y] \setminus \{0\}$ as

$$F^* = \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \sum_{j=0}^{n_1} \tau(a_{i,j}) X^i Y^j, \tag{4.5}$$

$$F_0(X,Y) = Y^{n_0}(1+X)^{n_0} F\left(\frac{(X+p^{-1}t^{-1})(X+p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})}{Y(1+X)}, X\right),$$
(4.6)

$$F_1(X,Y) = X^{n_1}(1+Y)^{n_1} F^*\left(Y, \frac{(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1})(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})}{X(1+Y)}\right),\tag{4.7}$$

respectively. Now we prove this lemma by dividing the proof into the following eight steps:

Step 1. We prove that $F|F_1$ and $F^*|F_0$ in L[X, Y].

We find that (f, \overline{f}) is a zero of F and F_1 , and $(\overline{f}, \overline{\overline{f}})$ is a zero of F^* and F_0 . By the assumption tr. deg $\mathcal{L}\langle f \rangle / \mathcal{L} = 1$, we see that \overline{f} is transcendental over L, which implies $F|F_1$ and $F^*|F_0$, the required (cf. the book [17], Ch. II, §13, Lemma 2).

Step 2. We prove $n_0 = n_1$.

By using $F|F_1$ and $F^*|F_0$ in L[X, Y], we get the following:

$$n_0 = \deg_X F \le \deg_X F_1 \le n_1,\tag{4.8}$$

$$n_0 \ge \deg_Y F_0 \ge \deg_Y F^* = \deg_Y F = n_1. \tag{4.9}$$

We set

$$n = n_0 = n_1, (4.10)$$

and define $P \in L[X, Y]$ as

$$F_1 = PF. (4.11)$$

Step 3. We prove that the following equations hold:

$$(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1})^n(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^n\sum_{i=0}^n\tau(a_{i,n})Y^i = P\sum_{i=0}^na_{0,i}Y^i,$$
(4.12)

$$(1+Y)^{j}(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1})^{n-j}(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^{n-j}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\tau(a_{i,n-j})Y^{i} = P\sum_{i=0}^{n}a_{j,i}Y^{i},$$
(4.13)

$$(1+Y)^n \sum_{i=0}^n \tau(a_{i,0}) Y^i = P \sum_{i=0}^n a_{n,i} Y^i,$$
(4.14)

where $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n - 1$.

We find $P \in L[Y]$ because

$$\deg_X P = \deg_X F_1 - \deg_X F = 0. \tag{4.15}$$

We obtain

$$F_{1}(X,Y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left\{ (1+Y)^{n-j} (Y+p^{-1}t^{-1})^{j} (Y+p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^{j} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \tau(a_{i,j}) Y^{i} \right\} X^{n-j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left\{ (1+Y)^{j} (Y+p^{-1}t^{-1})^{n-j} (Y+p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^{n-j} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \tau(a_{i,n-j}) Y^{i} \right\} X^{j},$$

(4.16)

$$PF(X,Y) = P\sum_{i,j=0}^{n} a_{i,j}X^{i}Y^{j} = \sum_{j,i=0}^{n} Pa_{j,i}X^{j}Y^{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(P\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{j,i}Y^{i}\right)X^{j},$$
(4.17)

By comparing coefficients of X of (4.11), we get (4.12)–(4.14).

Step 4. We prove
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{0,i} Y^{i} \neq 0$$
 and $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{n,i} Y^{i} \neq 0$.
Supposing $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{0,i} Y^{i} = 0$, we get
 $a_{0,n} = a_{1,n} = \dots = a_{n,n} = 0$, (4.18)

from (4.12). This contradicts $\deg_Y F = n$.

Supposing
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{n,i} Y^{i} = 0$$
, we get
 $a_{n,0} = a_{n,1} = \dots = a_{n,n} = 0,$ (4.19)

This contradicts $\deg_X F = n$.

Step 5. We prove that there are $A_0 \in L$ and $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ which satisfy

$$P = A_0 (1+Y)^m (Y+p^{-1}t^{-1})^l (Y+p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^{n-l},$$
(4.20)

where $0 \leq l, m \leq n$.

We define l_1 and l_2 by $(Y + p^{-1}t^{-1})^{l_1} ||P|$ and $(Y + p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^{l_2} ||P|$, respectively. We get

$$l_1 + l_2 = n, (4.21)$$

because

$$l_1 + l_2 \ge n,\tag{4.22}$$

$$l_1 + l_2 \le n,\tag{4.23}$$

hold from (4.12) and (4.14), respectively. We set

$$l = l_1. (4.24)$$

We get

$$(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1})^{l}(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^{n-l}||\sum_{i=0}^{n}\tau(a_{i,0})Y^{i},$$
(4.25)

from (4.14). Considering the coefficient of Y^n of the above, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \tau(a_{i,0}) Y^{i} = \tau(a_{n,0}) (Y + p^{-1}t^{-1})^{l} (Y + p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^{n-l}.$$
(4.26)

Substituting this into (4.14), we get

$$\tau(a_{n,0})(1+Y)^n(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1})^l(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^{n-l} = P\sum_{i=0}^n a_{n,i}Y^i.$$
(4.27)

Therefore we can set

$$P = A_0 (1+Y)^m (Y+p^{-1}t^{-1})^l (Y+p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^{n-l}.$$
(4.28)

Step 6. We prove $a_{n,n-m} \neq 0$ and $a_{m,n} \neq 0$.

By using (4.20) and (4.26) in (4.14), we get

$$\tau(a_{n,0})(1+Y)^{n-m} = A_0 \sum_{i=0}^n a_{n,i} Y^i.$$
(4.29)

Comparing the coefficients of Y^{n-m} of (4.29), we get

$$a_{n,n-m} \neq 0. \tag{4.30}$$

By using (4.20) in (4.12), we get

$$(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1})^{n-l}(Y+p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^l \sum_{i=0}^n \tau(a_{i,n})Y^i = A_0(1+Y)^m \sum_{i=0}^n a_{0,i}Y^i.$$
(4.31)

From (4.31), we get

$$(Y + p^{-1}t^{-1})^{n-l}(Y + p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{1})^{l} || \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{0,i}Y^{i},$$
(4.32)

$$\deg_Y\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \tau(a_{i,n})Y^i\right) = m.$$
(4.33)

Therefore we obtain $a_{m,n} \neq 0$.

Step 7. We prove 2m = n.

By using (4.20) and (4.26) in (4.13), we get

$$(Y + p^{-1}t^{-1})^{n-m}(Y + p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^{n-m}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\tau(a_{i,n-m})Y^{i}$$

= $A_{0}(Y + p^{-1}t^{-1})^{l}(Y + p^{-1}t^{-1}\alpha^{-1})^{n-l}\sum_{i=0}^{n}a_{m,i}Y^{i}.$ (4.34)

By comparing degrees of Y of (4.34)

$$2m = n. (4.35)$$

Step 8. We prove that the following equations hold:

$$\tau(a_{0,0}) = p^{-n} \alpha^{l-n} t^{-n} \tau(a_{n,0}), \tag{4.36}$$

$$\tau(a_{n,0}) = A_0 a_{n,0}, \tag{4.37}$$

$$\tau(a_{n,0}) = A_0 a_{n,m}, \tag{4.38}$$

$$\tau(a_{0,n}) = A_0 p^n \alpha^l t^n a_{0,0}, \tag{4.39}$$

$$\tau(a_{m,n}) = A_0 a_{0,n}, \tag{4.40}$$

$$\tau(a_{n,m}) = A_0 a_{m,n}.$$
(4.41)

From the coefficient of Y^0 of (4.26), we get (4.36). From the coefficients of Y^0 and Y^m of (4.29), we get (4.37) and (4.38), respectively. From the coefficients of Y^0 and Y^{n+m} of (4.31), we get (4.39) and (4.40), respectively. From the coefficient of Y^{2n} of (4.34), we get (4.41).

Now we prove Lemma 4.2. From (4.37) and (4.38), we get

$$a_{n,0} = a_{n,m}.$$
(4.42)

By using (4.36), (4.37), (4.39), (4.40), (4.41), and (4.42), we obtain

$$\tau(a_{0,0}) = p^{-n} \alpha^{l-n} t^{-n} \tau(a_{n,0}) \tag{4.43}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a_{0,0} = \alpha^{l-n} t^{-n} a_{n,0} \tag{4.44}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tau(a_{0,n}) = A_0 p^n \alpha^{2l-n} a_{n,0} \tag{4.45}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tau(a_{0,n}) = p^n \alpha^{-n} \tau(a_{n,0}) \tag{4.46}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a_{0,n} = p^n \alpha^{2l-n} a_{n,0} \tag{4.47}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \tau(a_{m,n}) = A_0 p^n \alpha^{2l-n} a_{n,0} \tag{4.48}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tau(a_{m,n}) = A_0 p^n \alpha^{2l-n} a_{n,0}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tau(a_{m,n}) = p^n \alpha^{2l-n} \tau(a_{n,0})$$

$$(4.48)$$

$$(4.49)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{m,n} = p^n \alpha^{2l-n} a_{n,0} \tag{4.50}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tau(a_{n,m}) = A_0 p^n \alpha^{2l-n} a_{n,0} \tag{4.51}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tau(a_{n,m}) = p^n \alpha^{2l-n} \tau(a_{n,0}) \tag{4.52}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tau(a_{n,m}) = p^n \alpha^{-1} \tau(a_{n,0}) \tag{4.52}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a_{n,m} = p^n \alpha^{2l-n} a_{n,0} \tag{4.53}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha^{n-2l} = p^n. \tag{4.54}$$

We also get

$$2l \neq n, \tag{4.55}$$

because p is not a root of unity.

In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we use the following lemma:

Lemma 4.3. Let \mathcal{L} be an inversive difference overfield of $(\mathbb{C}(t), t \mapsto pt)$ and \mathcal{U} a difference overfield of \mathcal{L} . Using the Bäcklund transformations, (2.11) and (2.12), we find that if there is a solution $f \in \mathcal{U}$ to (4.1) with $\alpha = A$ satisfying tr. deg $\mathcal{L}\langle f \rangle / \mathcal{L} = 1$, then for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a solution $g \in \mathcal{U}$ to (4.1) with $\alpha = p^j A$ satisfying tr. deg $\mathcal{L}\langle g \rangle / \mathcal{L} = 1$.

Now we prove Theorem 4.1 by using Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3.

Proof of theorem 4.1. We assume tr. deg $\mathcal{L}\langle f \rangle / \mathcal{L} = 1$. We will derive a contradiction. We consider the set Φ of all $(A, j) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfying the following conditions: (i) there is a solution g to (4.1) with $\alpha = A$ such that tr. deg $\mathcal{L}\langle g \rangle / \mathcal{L} = 1$; (ii) there is $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ which

(1 50)

satisfies $A^i = p^j$. We find $\Phi \neq \{\}$ by Lemma 4.2. We choose $(A_1, k) \in \Phi$ whose k is minimum. There is $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfies

$$A_1{}^i = p^k, (4.56)$$

and from Lemma 4.2, there are $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ which satisfy

$$A_1^{\ n-2l} = p^n, \tag{4.57}$$

where $0 \le l \le n, n \ne 0$ and $2l \ne n$. Supposing k = 0, we get

$$p^{in} = A_1^{(n-2l)i} = 1, (4.58)$$

from (4.57) because

$$A_1{}^i = 1, (4.59)$$

from (4.56). This contradicts the assumption that p is not a root of unity. Therefore

$$k \neq 0. \tag{4.60}$$

We obtain

$$in = k(n - 2l), \tag{4.61}$$

because

$$p^{n} = A_{1}^{n-2l} \Rightarrow p^{in} = A_{1}^{i(n-2l)} \Leftrightarrow p^{in} = p^{k(n-2l)} \Leftrightarrow in = k(n-2l), \tag{4.62}$$

from (4.56) and (4.57).

Now we consider by dividing the range of l into the following four types:

(i) 0 < 2l < n. We find $(p^{-1}A_1, |k - i|) \in \Phi$ because

$$(p^{-1}A_1)^i = p^{-i}A_1^i = p^{k-i}.$$
(4.63)

This contradicts the minimality of k because we get

$$0 < 2l < n \tag{4.64}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 < n - 2l < n \tag{4.65}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 < \frac{k(n-2l)}{n} < k \tag{4.66}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 < i < k \tag{4.67}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow -k < -i < 0 \tag{4.68}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -k \leqslant -i \leqslant 0 \tag{4.69}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 \leqslant k - i \leqslant k \tag{4.69}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |k-i| < k, \tag{4.70}$$

from (4.61).

(ii) n < 2l < 2n. In the same way as (i), we can prove the contradiction.

(iii) l = 0. We get

$$A_1{}^n = p^n, (4.71)$$

from (4.57). From Lemma 4.3, we find that the assumption holds for $\alpha = p^{-1}A_1$. From Lemma 4.2, there is $n' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $l' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ which satisfy

$$(p^{-1}A_1)^{n'-2l'} = p^{n'} \tag{4.72}$$

and $n' \geq l'$. This contradicts that p is not a root of unity from

$$p^{nn'} = \left(\left(p^{-1}A_1\right)^n\right)^{n'-2l'} = 1.$$
(4.73)

(iv) l = n. In the same way as (iii), we can prove the incoherence.

Therefore we find a contradiction in any case, and so we conclude tr. deg $\mathcal{L}\langle f \rangle / \mathcal{L} \neq 1$, which implies

tr. deg
$$\mathcal{L}\langle f \rangle / \mathcal{L} \leq 1 \Rightarrow f$$
 is algebraic over L , (4.74)

the required.

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have studied algebraic function solutions to $q - P_{III}^{D_7^{(1)}}$ and proved its irreducibility.

Before closing, we mention a determinant formula of algebraic function solutions to $q - P_{\text{III}}^{D_7^{(1)}}$. As mentioned in Section 3.1, K. Kajiwara et al. anticipate the determinant formula of algebraic function solutions to $P_{\text{III}}^{D_7^{(1)}}$. By the continuous limit, the Laurent polynomials are reduced to the determinants of which the determinant formula above are composed. This gives us expectation that each of the Laurent polynomials has an analogous determinant expression.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank Prof. J. Hietarinta, Prof. K. Kajiwara, and Prof. T. Masuda for the useful comments on algebraic function solutions to Painlevé systems. This work has been partially supported by the JSPS Research Fellowship.

References

- [1] R.M. Cohn, Difference Algebra, Interscience Publishers, (New York · London · Sydney, 1965).
- [2] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series (Second edition), Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 96 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [3] B. Grammaticos and A. Ramani, Discrete Painlevé equations: a review, Lect. Notes Phys. 644 (2004) 245–321.
- [4] K. Kajiwara, N. Nakazono and T. Tsuda, Projective reduction of the discrete Painlevé system of type $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2011** (2011) 930–966.
- [5] R. Koekoek, P.A. Lesky and R.F. Swarttouw, Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q-analogues, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [6] A. Levin, Difference Algebra, (Springer Science+Business Media B.V., 2008).
- [7] T. Masuda, Hypergeometric τ -functions of the q-Painlevé system of type $E_7^{(1)}$, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 5 (2009).
- [8] T. Masuda, Hypergeometric τ -functions of the q-Painlevé system of type $E_8^{(1)}$, Ramanujan J. 24 (2011).

- [9] N. Nakazono, Hypergeometric τ Functions of the q-Painlevé Systems of Type $(A_2+A_1)^{(1)}$, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 6 (2010).
- [10] S. Nishioka, Solvability and irreducibility of difference equations, Dr. thesis (2010).
- [11] S. Nishioka, Decomposable extensions of difference fields, Funkcial. Ekvac. 53 (2010) 489–501.
- [12] M. Noumi, Painlevé equations through symmetry (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004).
- [13] Y. Ohyama, H. Kawamuko, H. Sakai and K. Okamoto, Studies on the Painlevé equations. V. Third Painlevé equations of special type P_{III}(D₇) and P_{III}(D₈), J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **13** (2006) 145–204.
- [14] A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos and J. Hietarinta, Discrete versions of the Painlevé equations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 1829–1832.
- [15] H. Sakai, Rational surfaces associated with affine root systems and geometry of the Painlevé equations, Comm. Math. Phys. 220 (2001) 165–229.
- [16] T. Tsuda, Tau functions of q-Painlevé III and IV equations, Lett. Math. Phys. 75 (2006) 39-47.
- [17] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, Commutative Algebra Volume I, (Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1958).

List of MI Preprint Series, Kyushu University

The Global COE Program Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub

\mathbf{MI}

MI2008-1 Takahiro ITO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI Abstract collision systems simulated by cellular automata

MI2008-2 Eiji ONODERA

The initial value problem for a third-order dispersive flow into compact almost Hermitian manifolds

- MI2008-3 Hiroaki KIDO On isosceles sets in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space
- MI2008-4 Hirofumi NOTSU Numerical computations of cavity flow problems by a pressure stabilized characteristiccurve finite element scheme
- MI2008-5 Yoshiyasu OZEKI Torsion points of abelian varieties with values in nfinite extensions over a padic field
- MI2008-6 Yoshiyuki TOMIYAMA Lifting Galois representations over arbitrary number fields
- MI2008-7 Takehiro HIROTSU & Setsuo TANIGUCHI The random walk model revisited
- MI2008-8 Silvia GANDY, Masaaki KANNO, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA Optimizing a particular real root of a polynomial by a special cylindrical algebraic decomposition
- MI2008-9 Kazufumi KIMOTO, Sho MATSUMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA Alpha-determinant cyclic modules and Jacobi polynomials

- MI2008-10 Sangyeol LEE & Hiroki MASUDA Jarque-Bera Normality Test for the Driving Lévy Process of a Discretely Observed Univariate SDE
- MI2008-11 Hiroyuki CHIHARA & Eiji ONODERA A third order dispersive flow for closed curves into almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-12 Takehiko KINOSHITA, Kouji HASHIMOTO and Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO On the L^2 a priori error estimates to the finite element solution of elliptic problems with singular adjoint operator
- MI2008-13 Jacques FARAUT and Masato WAKAYAMA Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type and multivariate Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials
- MI2008-14 Takashi NAKAMURA Riemann zeta-values, Euler polynomials and the best constant of Sobolev inequality
- MI2008-15 Takashi NAKAMURA Some topics related to Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions
- MI2009-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO Global time evolution of viscous vortex rings
- MI2009-2 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI Regularized functional regression modeling for functional response and predictors
- MI2009-3 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI Variable selection for functional regression model via the L_1 regularization
- MI2009-4 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI Nonlinear logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-5 Toshiro HIRANOUCHI & Yuichiro TAGUCHII Flat modules and Groebner bases over truncated discrete valuation rings

- MI2009-6 Kenji KAJIWARA & Yasuhiro OHTA Bilinearization and Casorati determinant solutions to non-autonomous 1+1 dimensional discrete soliton equations
- MI2009-7 Yoshiyuki KAGEI Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around the plane Couette flow
- MI2009-8 Shohei TATEISHI, Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI Nonlinear regression modeling via the lasso-type regularization
- MI2009-9 Takeshi TAKAISHI & Masato KIMURA Phase field model for mode III crack growth in two dimensional elasticity
- MI2009-10 Shingo SAITO Generalisation of Mack's formula for claims reserving with arbitrary exponents for the variance assumption
- MI2009-11 Kenji KAJIWARA, Masanobu KANEKO, Atsushi NOBE & Teruhisa TSUDA Ultradiscretization of a solvable two-dimensional chaotic map associated with the Hesse cubic curve
- MI2009-12 Tetsu MASUDA Hypergeometric -functions of the q-Painlevé system of type $E_8^{(1)}$
- MI2009-13 Hidenao IWANE, Hitoshi YANAMI, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA A Practical Implementation of a Symbolic-Numeric Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition for Quantifier Elimination
- MI2009-14 Yasunori MAEKAWA On Gaussian decay estimates of solutions to some linear elliptic equations and its applications
- MI2009-15 Yuya ISHIHARA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI Large time behavior of the semigroup on L^p spaces associated with the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation in a cylindrical domain

- MI2009-16 Chikashi ARITA, Atsuo KUNIBA, Kazumitsu SAKAI & Tsuyoshi SAWABE Spectrum in multi-species asymmetric simple exclusion process on a ring
- MI2009-17 Masato WAKAYAMA & Keitaro YAMAMOTO Non-linear algebraic differential equations satisfied by certain family of elliptic functions
- MI2009-18 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO Local Instability of an Elliptical Flow Subjected to a Coriolis Force
- MI2009-19 Mitsunori KAYANO & Sadanori KONISHI Sparse functional principal component analysis via regularized basis expansions and its application
- MI2009-20 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-21 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Yoshihiro MIWA & Masanobu KANEKO Elliptic curves and Fibonacci numbers arising from Lindenmayer system with symbolic computations
- MI2009-22 Eiji ONODERA A remark on the global existence of a third order dispersive flow into locally Hermitian symmetric spaces
- MI2009-23 Stjepan LUGOMER & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO Generation of ribbons, helicoids and complex scherk surface in laser-matter Interactions
- MI2009-24 Yu KAWAKAMI Recent progress in value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss map
- MI2009-25 Takehiko KINOSHITA & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO On very accurate enclosure of the optimal constant in the a priori error estimates for H_0^2 -projection

- MI2009-26 Manabu YOSHIDA Ramification of local fields and Fontaine's property (Pm)
- MI2009-27 Yu KAWAKAMI Value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss maps for flat fronts in hyperbolic three-space
- MI2009-28 Masahisa TABATA Numerical simulation of fluid movement in an hourglass by an energy-stable finite element scheme
- MI2009-29 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to evolution equations in the presence of translation and scaling invariance
- MI2009-30 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA On asymptotic behaviors of solutions to parabolic systems modelling chemotaxis
- MI2009-31 Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI Hecke's zeros and higher depth determinants
- MI2009-32 Olivier PIRONNEAU & Masahisa TABATA Stability and convergence of a Galerkin-characteristics finite element scheme of lumped mass type
- MI2009-33 Chikashi ARITA Queueing process with excluded-volume effect
- MI2009-34 Kenji KAJIWARA, Nobutaka NAKAZONO & Teruhisa TSUDA Projective reduction of the discrete Painlevé system of type $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$
- MI2009-35 Yosuke MIZUYAMA, Takamasa SHINDE, Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI Finite element computation for scattering problems of micro-hologram using DtN map

- MI2009-36 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA Exact simulation of finite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2009-37 Hiroki MASUDA On statistical aspects in calibrating a geometric skewed stable asset price model
- MI2010-1 Hiroki MASUDA Approximate self-weighted LAD estimation of discretely observed ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2010-2 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA Infinite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with discrete observations
- MI2010-3 Kei HIROSE, Shuichi KAWANO, Daisuke MIIKE & Sadanori KONISHI Hyper-parameter selection in Bayesian structural equation models
- MI2010-4 Nobuyuki IKEDA & Setsuo TANIGUCHI The Itô-Nisio theorem, quadratic Wiener functionals, and 1-solitons
- MI2010-5 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI Nonlinear regression modeling and detecting change point via the relevance vector machine
- MI2010-6 Shuichi KAWANO, Toshihiro MISUMI & Sadanori KONISHI Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via graph-based regularization
- MI2010-7 Teruhisa TSUDA UC hierarchy and monodromy preserving deformation
- MI2010-8 Takahiro ITO Abstract collision systems on groups
- MI2010-9 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Kinji KIMURA, Naoki YOSHIDA, Junko TANAKA & Yoshihiro MIWA An algebraic approach to underdetermined experiments

MI2010-10 Kei HIROSE & Sadanori KONISHI Variable selection via the grouped weighted lasso for factor analysis models

- MI2010-11 Katsusuke NABESHIMA & Hiroshi YOSHIDA Derivation of specific conditions with Comprehensive Groebner Systems
- MI2010-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI, Yu NAGAFUCHI & Takeshi SUDOU Decay estimates on solutions of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a Poiseuille type flow
- MI2010-13 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA On simulation of tempered stable random variates
- MI2010-14 Yoshiyasu OZEKI Non-existence of certain Galois representations with a uniform tame inertia weight
- MI2010-15 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO Local Instability of a Rotating Flow Driven by Precession of Arbitrary Frequency
- MI2010-16 Yu KAWAKAMI & Daisuke NAKAJO The value distribution of the Gauss map of improper affine spheres
- MI2010-17 Kazunori YASUTAKE On the classification of rank 2 almost Fano bundles on projective space
- MI2010-18 Toshimitsu TAKAESU Scaling limits for the system of semi-relativistic particles coupled to a scalar bose field
- MI2010-19 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA Local asymptotic normality for normal inverse Gaussian Lévy processes with high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-20 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO, Makoto HIROTA & Youichi MIE Lagrangian approach to weakly nonlinear stability of an elliptical flow

MI2010-21 Hiroki MASUDA

Approximate quadratic estimating function for discretely observed Lévy driven SDEs with application to a noise normality test

- MI2010-22 Toshimitsu TAKAESU A Generalized Scaling Limit and its Application to the Semi-Relativistic Particles System Coupled to a Bose Field with Removing Ultraviolet Cutoffs
- MI2010-23 Takahiro ITO, Mitsuhiko FUJIO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI Composition, union and division of cellular automata on groups
- MI2010-24 Toshimitsu TAKAESU A Hardy's Uncertainty Principle Lemma in Weak Commutation Relations of Heisenberg-Lie Algebra
- MI2010-25 Toshimitsu TAKAESU On the Essential Self-Adjointness of Anti-Commutative Operators
- MI2010-26 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA On the local asymptotic behavior of the likelihood function for Meixner Lévy processes under high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-27 Chikashi ARITA & Daichi YANAGISAWA Exclusive Queueing Process with Discrete Time
- MI2010-28 Jun-ichi INOGUCHI, Kenji KAJIWARA, Nozomu MATSUURA & Yasuhiro OHTA Motion and Bäcklund transformations of discrete plane curves
- MI2010-29 Takanori YASUDA, Masaya YASUDA, Takeshi SHIMOYAMA & Jun KOGURE On the Number of the Pairing-friendly Curves
- MI2010-30 Chikashi ARITA & Kohei MOTEGI Spin-spin correlation functions of the q-VBS state of an integer spin model
- MI2010-31 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI Nonlinear regression modeling and spike detection via Gaussian basis expansions

- MI2010-32 Nobutaka NAKAZONO Hypergeometric τ functions of the *q*-Painlevé systems of type $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$
- MI2010-33 Yoshiyuki KAGEI Global existence of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around parallel flows
- MI2010-34 Nobushige KUROKAWA, Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI Milnor-Selberg zeta functions and zeta regularizations
- MI2010-35 Kissani PERERA & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI Laplacian energy of directed graphs and minimizing maximum outdegree algorithms
- MI2010-36 Takanori YASUDA CAP representations of inner forms of Sp(4) with respect to Klingen parabolic subgroup
- MI2010-37 Chikashi ARITA & Andreas SCHADSCHNEIDER Dynamical analysis of the exclusive queueing process
- MI2011-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO& Alexander B. SAMOKHIN Singular electromagnetic modes in an anisotropic medium
- MI2011-2 Hiroki KONDO, Shingo SAITO & Setsuo TANIGUCHI Asymptotic tail dependence of the normal copula
- MI2011-3 Takehiro HIROTSU, Hiroki KONDO, Shingo SAITO, Takuya SATO, Tatsushi TANAKA & Setsuo TANIGUCHI Anderson-Darling test and the Malliavin calculus
- MI2011-4 Hiroshi INOUE, Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI Nonlinear regression modeling via Compressed Sensing
- MI2011-5 Hiroshi INOUE Implications in Compressed Sensing and the Restricted Isometry Property
- MI2011-6 Daeju KIM & Sadanori KONISHI Predictive information criterion for nonlinear regression model based on basis expansion methods
- MI2011-7 Shohei TATEISHI, Chiaki KINJYO & Sadanori KONISHI Group variable selection via relevance vector machine

MI2011-8 Jan BREZINA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI Decay properties of solutions to the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow Group variable selection via relevance vector machine

- MI2011-9 Chikashi ARITA, Arvind AYYER, Kirone MALLICK & Sylvain PROLHAC Recursive structures in the multispecies TASEP
- MI2011-10 Kazunori YASUTAKE On projective space bundle with nef normalized tautological line bundle
- MI2011-11 Hisashi ANDO, Mike HAY, Kenji KAJIWARA & Tetsu MASUDA An explicit formula for the discrete power function associated with circle patterns of Schramm type
- MI2011-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a parallel flow
- MI2011-13 Vladimír CHALUPECKÝ & Adrian MUNTEAN Semi-discrete finite difference multiscale scheme for a concrete corrosion model: approximation estimates and convergence
- MI2011-14 Jun-ichi INOGUCHI, Kenji KAJIWARA, Nozomu MATSUURA & Yasuhiro OHTA Explicit solutions to the semi-discrete modified KdV equation and motion of discrete plane curves
- MI2011-15 Hiroshi INOUE A generalization of restricted isometry property and applications to compressed sensing
- MI2011-16 Yu KAWAKAMI A ramification theorem for the ratio of canonical forms of flat surfaces in hyperbolic three-space
- MI2011-17 Naoyuki KAMIYAMA Matroid intersection with priority constraints
- MI2012-1 Kazufumi KIMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA Spectrum of non-commutative harmonic oscillators and residual modular forms
- MI2012-2 Hiroki MASUDA Mighty convergence of the Gaussian quasi-likelihood random fields for ergodic Levy driven SDE observed at high frequency
- MI2012-3 Hiroshi INOUE A Weak RIP of theory of compressed sensing and LASSO

- MI2012-4 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Youich MIE Hamiltonian bifurcation theory for a rotating flow subject to elliptic straining field
- MI2012-5 Yu KAWAKAMI On the maximal number of exceptional values of Gauss maps for various classes of surfaces
- MI2012-6 Marcio GAMEIRO, Yasuaki HIRAOKA, Shunsuke IZUMI, Miroslav KRA-MAR, Konstantin MISCHAIKOW & Vidit NANDA Topological Measurement of Protein Compressibility via Persistence Diagrams
- MI2012-7 Nobutaka NAKAZONO & Seiji NISHIOKA Solutions to a q-analog of Painlevé III equation of type $D_7^{(1)}$