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#### Abstract

Many but not all studies have indicated that smoking is inversely associated with Parkinson's disease (PD). Meta-analysis of epidemiological studies on smoking and PD was performed to summarize data from published studies. Fifty-four epidemiological studies (48 case-control and 6 cohort studies, 53 publications) were identified for potential inclusion in meta-analysis. The summary risk estimates for current smokers, former smokers, and ever (current and former) smokers were 0.31 ( $95 \%$ confidence interval $(\mathrm{CI})=0.25-0.38), 0.72(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.63-0.83)$ and $0.55(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.51-0.59)$, respectively. In stratified analysis by study design, smoking had a somewhat greater impact on PD risk in cohort studies than in case-control studies. However, meta-regression indicated that the study design did not significantly contribute to heterogeneity. Additional analyses were restricted to case-control studies because of the sufficient number of studies. Stratified analysis by ethnicity indicated that the summary OR for ever-smokers was nonsignificantly smaller in Asian populations than in Caucasian populations. In stratified analysis by source of controls, former smoking was significantly associated with a decreased risk of PD in hospital-based case-control studies but was marginally associated with a decreased risk in population-based case-control studies. The source of controls did not contribute significantly to heterogeneity. PD risk associated with ever-smoking was significantly lower for a hospital-based approach than a population-based approach. Among current smokers, the association held true to the same extent for both approaches. This meta-analysis indicated that smokers have a lower risk of PD. As PD is a multifactorial disease, further investigation of the smoking-gene interaction on PD risk may lead to a better understanding of the pathogenesis of PD.
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## Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a neurodegenerative disorder that can cause significant disability and decreased quality of life. It is the second most common neurodegenerative disease after Alzheimer's disease. Most cases (about 90\%) are considered to be sporadic ${ }^{11}$. PD is an age-related disease : it is rare before age 50 and its prevalence

[^0]increases with age ${ }^{11}$. The prevalence of PD is generally lower in Asian populations than in Caucasian populations ${ }^{122}$. For example, PD is estimated to have a prevalence of $0.3 \%$ (300/ 100,000 ) in the U.S. population and about $1 \%$ in people over 60 years of age ${ }^{3)}$. Similarly, the prevalence of PD in the UK was estimated to be $200 / 100,000^{4}$. The corresponding figure in Japan has been estimated to be $100-150 / 100,000^{5)}$. The etiology of PD is largely unknown, although there is a growing body of evidence implicating environmental risk factors such as pesticides, heavy metals (iron, manganese, copper, lead, amalgam, aluminium, and zinc), cigarettes, coffee,
alcohol, dietary antioxidants (vitamin E, vitamin C or beta-carotene), dietary fat and fatty acids (total calories, total fat, saturated fat, animal fat, cholesterol, monosaturated fatty acids, polysaturated fatty acids, dairy products, and milk) ${ }^{1)}$. Cigarette smoking is among the most studied risk factors for PD. Many epidemiological studies have revealed a reduced risk of developing PD among users of cigarettes or other tobacco products ${ }^{6}$. Similarly, the incidence of PD decreases with increasing number of cigarettes smoked per day and number of years of smoking but the incidence of PD increases with an increasing number of years since quitting ${ }^{6)}$.

To comprehensively evaluate the role of cigarette smoking on the risk of PD , this study performed a meta-analysis to summarize findings from epidemiological studies.

## Materials and Methods

MEDLINE, Current Contents, and Web of Science searches were conducted using combinations of the search terms 'smoking,' 'Parkinson's disease,' 'case-control,' and 'cohort' (the last search took place on December 2010). Additional articles were identified through the references cited in the first series of articles selected. The MOOSE statement was used to perform meta-analysis ${ }^{7}$. Studies eligible for this analysis had to meet the following inclusion criteria : written in English, published as an original article, with human subjects, reported risk estimate of the association between PD and smoking status (we took the definition of "never," "former," and "current" smokers used in the original report), physician-confirmed diagnosis of PD (incident or prevalent cases), absence of significant cognitive impairment (cases within 10 years of the onset of PD because the mean period from onset of PD to development of dementia is reported to be approximately 10 years $^{87}$ ), and no obvious overlap of subjects with other studies. When the results of a study were published more than once, only the most complete data were
included. For each study, two investigators (SK and CK) independently extracted the following characteristics : authors, year of publication, ethnic group of the study population, source of controls, number of cases and controls, adjusted relative risk ( RR ) or odds ratio ( OR ), and confounding factors.

Data were combined using both fixed effects (Mantel-Haenszel method) and random effects (DerSimonian and Laird method) models ${ }^{9)}$. As the random effects model is more appropriate when heterogeneity is present ${ }^{9 \text { ) }}$, the summary risk estimates (OR or RR) were essentially based on the random effects model. The authors assessed heterogeneity with $\mathrm{I}^{2}$, which describes the percentage of total variation across studies due to heterogeneity rather than chance ${ }^{10)}$. An $I^{2}$ of more than $75 \%$ was considered to represent high heterogeneity, an $\mathrm{I}^{2}$ of $50 \%$ to $75 \%$ was considered to represent moderate heterogeneity, and an $\mathrm{I}^{2}$ of less than $25 \%$ was considered to represent low heterogeneity ${ }^{10}$. Publication bias was evaluated by both Begg's ${ }^{11)}$ and Egger's tests ${ }^{12)}$. Subgroup analysis was stratified by study design, ethnicity, and source of controls. Meta-regression was performed to investigate these potential sources of heterogeneity. Statistical significance (publication bias and meta-regression) was defined as a $\mathrm{P}<0.10$ because of the relatively weak statistical power. All calculations were performed using STATA Version 10.1 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX) software.

## Results

In total, 59 publications describing an association between smoking and PD risk were identified using the MEDLINE database. An additional article was indentified through Web of Science. After duplicate studies ( 2 sets of publications) and studies that did not report ORs for smoking status (5 publications) were excluded, 53 publications ( 54 epidemiological studies : 48 case-control ${ }^{13) \sim 60)}$ and six cohort ${ }^{61) \sim 65)}$ studies) were identified for potential inclusion in meta-analysis. The main
characteristics of the case-control studies and results of the studies based on multivariate analysis are shown in Table 1. Most case-control studies $(46 / 48)$ reported $O R$ of $P D$ for ever-smokers versus never-smokers. The first study ${ }^{13)}$ reported that ever-smoking was significantly associated with a decreased risk of PD (OR $=0.44,95 \%$ confidence interval $(\mathrm{CI})=0.27-0.74)$. Twenty-five of 45 subsequent studies showed a significant protective effect of ever-smoking on

Fifteen studies were associated with a modest decrease in risk of $\mathrm{PD}^{19) \sim 24) 29(30) 32) ~ 35) 4046(55) . ~}$ Five studies found no substantial relationship between ever-smoking and PD risk ${ }^{16) 26(39) 44449)}$. As for current smokers, most studies reported that smoking was significantly (14 of 19 studies $)^{15)(18) 19) 21) 25) 34435) 38(43) 50) 53) 54556) 60)}$ or nonsignificantly (four of 19 studies) ${ }^{1639944) 55 \text { ) }}$ associated with a decreased risk of PD. One study showed no association between smoking and PD risk ${ }^{46)}$. However, results in terms of the association between the former smokers and PD yielded mixed, variously reporting a significant decreased risk ${ }^{35) 53) 54) 60)}$, modest decreased risk ${ }^{18) 38443) 46) 566}$, no association ${ }^{15) 19(21) 34) 39) 50)}$ or a nonsignificant increased risk ${ }^{16) 55)}$. Table 2 shows the selected characteristics of the cohort studies and results of the studies based on multivariate analysis. Six studies (five publications) ${ }^{61) \sim 65)}$ showed a protective effect of smoking, regardless of smoking status, on PD, with the exception of the OR of former smoking reported by Tan et al. ${ }^{65)}$. The summary risk estimates for the association between smoking status and PD are shown in Table 3. The summary risk estimate for ever-smokers versus never-smokers was 0.55 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.51-0.59$ ) for all studies combined. As shown in Figure 1, studies included in the meta-analysis were sorted in ascending order of risk estimate for ever-smokers. Current smokers (summary risk estimate $=0.31,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=$ $0.25-0.38$ ) and former smokers (summary risk estimate $=0.72,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.63-0.83$ ) had also a
significantly decreased risk of PD. The summary risk estimate for former smokers was between that for current smokers and that for never-smokers. Stratified analysis by study design indicated that the summary risk estimates for ever-smoking (current or former smoker) in case-control studies and cohort studies were 0.56 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.51-0.60$ ) and 0.51 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.40-0.61$ ), respectively. Similarly, significantly decreased risk estimates for current smokers were noted in case-control studies (summary OR $=0.33,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ $=0.25-0.42$ ) and cohort studies (summary $\mathrm{RR}=$ $0.29,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.20-0.38$ ). The summary risk estimates for former smokers in case-control studies and cohort studies were 0.79 (95\% CI = $0.65-0.92$ ) and 0.64 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.50-0.78$ ), respectively. Although the impact of smoking on PD risk was somewhat greater in cohort studies than in case-control studies, meta-regression indicated that study design did not significantly contribute to heterogeneity. Additional analyses were restricted to case-control studies because of the sufficient number of studies. In the stratified analysis by ethnicity, summary OR for ever-smoking was nonsignificantly smaller in Asian populations (summary OR $=0.47,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=$ $0.37-0.57$ ) than in Caucasian or mostly Caucasian populations (summary $\mathrm{OR}=0.58,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=$ $0.53-0.62$ ). The summary ORs for current smokers and former smokers were not calculable because the ORs for those subjects were not available from six of seven studies. The summary ORs for current smokers and former smokers among Caucasians were 0.35 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=$ $0.27-0.43$ ) and 0.81 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.68-0.95$ ), respectively. Stratified analysis by source of controls indicated that the summary ORs for ever-smokers were 0.57 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.51-0.63$ ) according to data from population-based studies and 0.50 ( $95 \%$ $\mathrm{CI}=0.43-0.56$ ) according to data from hospit-al-based studies. Meta-regression showed that the PD risk associated with ever-smoking was significantly lower for a hospital-based approach than for a population-based approach ( $\mathrm{P}=0.07$ ).

Table 1 Association between smoking and Parkinson's disease in case-control studies

| Author, year | Ethnicity | Number of Cases/controls | Source of controls | Odds ratio (95\% confidence interval) |  |  | Confounder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Current smokers | Former smokers | Ever-smokers |  |
| Nefzger et al 1968 ${ }^{13}$ | Not specified | 198/198 | Hospital | - | - | 0.44 (0.27-0.74) | Age (male only) |
| Kessler \& Diamond, 1971 ${ }^{\text {14) }}$ | Caucasian* | 468/468 | Hospital | - | - | 0.64 (0.48-0.86) | Age, sex, ethnicity |
| Marrtila \& Rinne, 1980 ${ }^{15}$ | Caucasian | 443/443 | Population | 0.38 (0.23-0.62) | 1.40 (0.73-1.49) | 0.74 (0.55-1.00)*** | Age, sex |
| Duvoisin et al., 1981 ${ }^{16)}$ | Caucasian | 12/12 | Population | 0.28 (0.03-2.48) | 5.50 (0.19-158) | 1.00 (0.15-6.68) | (Twin study) |
| Haack et al., 1981 ${ }^{17}$ | Caucasian* | 237/474 | Population | - | - | 0.48 (0.32-0.70) | Age, sex, ethnicity |
| Godwin-Austen et al., 1982 ${ }^{18)}$ | Caucasian | 383/383 | Hospital | 0.40 (0.25-0.64) | 0.71 (0.46-1.09) | 0.56 (0.38-0.82) | Age, sex |
| Barbeau \& Pourcher, 1982 ${ }^{\text {19) }}$ | Caucasian | 135/30 | Population | 0.19 (0.07-0.53) | 1.44 (0.40-5.21) | 0.50 (0.20-1.23) | Not specified |
| Bharucha et al., 1986 ${ }^{20)}$ | Caucasian | 31/3 | Population | - | - | 0.30 (0.05-1.65) | (Twin study) |
| Rajput et al., 1987 ${ }^{\text {21) }}$ | Caucasian | 118/236 | Hospital | 0.46 (0.23-0.92) | 1.10 (0.60-2.03) | 0.7 (0.4-1.2) | Age, sex |
| Tanner et al., 1987 ${ }^{22)}$ | Caucasian | 35/19 | Not specified | - | - | 0.68 (0.19-2.39) | Age |
| Ho et al., 1989 ${ }^{23)}$ | Asian | 35/105 | Hospital | - | - | 0.6 (0.2-1.3) | Age, sex |
| Ngim \& Devathasan, 1989 ${ }^{24)}$ | Asian | 54/95 | Hospital | - | - | 0.61 (0.18-2.03) | Age, sex, ethnicity |
| Hofman et al., 1989 ${ }^{25)}$ | Caucasian | 86/172 | Hospital | 0.70 (0.30-1.00) | - | 0.60 (0.30-1.00) | Age, sex |
| Sasco \& Paffenbarger, 1990 ${ }^{26}$ | Caucasian | 96/384 | Population | - | - | 0.97 (0.57-1.7) | Age, residence (male only) |
| Hertzman et al., 1990 ${ }^{27}$ | Caucasian | 57/122 | Population | - | - | 0.40 (0.19-0.86) | Age, sex |
| Stern et al., 1991 ${ }^{\text {28) }}$ | Caucasian | 149/149 | Population | - | - | 0.5 (0.3-0.9) | Age, sex, head injury |
| Wechsler et al., 1991 ${ }^{\text {29) }}$ | Caucasian | 34/22 | Hospital | - | - | 0.57 (0.16-1.96) | Not specified |
| Jiménez- Jiménez et al 1992 ${ }^{30)}$ | Caucasian | 128/256 | Hospital | - | - | 0.72 (0.45-1.13) | Age, sex, economic status |
| Butterfield et al., $1993{ }^{31)}$ | Caucasian* | 63/68 | Hospital | - | - | 0.32 (0.15-0.67) | Age, sex, ethnicity, education |
| Semchuk et al., 1993 ${ }^{32)}$ | Caucasian | 130/260 | Population | - | - | 0.58 (0.33-1.20) | Age, sex, family history of PD |
| Wang et al., 1993 ${ }^{33)}$ | Asian | 93/186 | Hospital | - | - | 0.85 (0.54-1.36) | Age, sex, hospital |
| Mayeux et al., 1994 ${ }^{34)}$ | Caucasian | 285/416 | Population | 0.2 (0.1-0.5) | 0.9 (0.5-1.6) | 0.8 (0.4-1.5) | Age, sex |
| Martyn \& Osmond, 1995 ${ }^{35}$ | Caucasian | 172/343 | Hospital | 0.49 (0.26-0.91) | 0.61 (0.40-0.94) | 0.58 (0.33-1.20) | Age, sex |
| De Michele et al., 1996 ${ }^{36)}$ | Caucasian | 116/116 | Population | - | - | 0.36 (0.17-0.73) | Age, sex |
| Liou et al., 1997 ${ }^{377}$ | Asian | 120/240 | Hospital | - | - | 0.42 (0.25-0.70) | Age, sex |
| Hellenbrand et al., 1997 ${ }^{38)}$ | Caucasian | 380/379 | Population | 0.2 (0.1-0.4) | 0.8 (0.5-1.2) | 0.5 (0.3-0.7) | Age, sex, education |
| Tzourio et al., 199739) | Caucasian | 193/579 | Population | 0.7 (0.4-1.3) | 1.4 (0.9-2.1) | 1.1 (0.7-1.8) | Age, sex, dementia |
| McCann et al., 1998 ${ }^{40}$ | Caucasian* | 224/310 | Population | - | - | 0.7 (0.4-1.1) | Age, sex, ethnicity, residence |
| Smargiassi et al., $1998{ }^{41)}$ | Caucasian | 86/86 | Hospital | - | - | 0.41 (0.22-0.75) | Age, sex |
| Gorell et al., 1999 ${ }^{42)}$ | Caucasian ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 144/464 | Population | - | - | 0.58 (0.42-0.81) | Age, sex, ethnicity |
| Fall et al., 1999 ${ }^{43}$ | Caucasian | 113/263 | Population | 0.17 (0.06-0.43) | 0.82 (0.44-1.51) | - | Age, sex |
| Kuopio et al 1999 ${ }^{44}$ | Caucasian | 123/246 | Population | 0.5 (0.20-1.24) | - | 0.91 (0.55-1.52) | Age, sex, municipality |
| Werneck \& Alvarenga, 1999 ${ }^{45)}$ | Not specified | 92/110 | Hospital | - | - | 0.39 (0.16-0.95) | Age, sex |
| Beneditti et al, 2000 ${ }^{46)}$ | Caucasian | 196/196 | Population | 1.14 (0.41-3.15) | 0.62 (0.38-1.01) | 0.73 (0.41-1.32) | Age, sex, coffee, alcohol, education |
| Preux et al., 2000 ${ }^{47)}$ | Caucasian | 140/280 | Hospital | - | - | 0.5 (0.3-0.8) | Age |
| Vanacore et al., 2000 ${ }^{48)}$ | Caucasian | 140/134 | Population | - | - | 0.50 (0.29-0.87) | Age, sex, center |
| Elbaz et al., 2000 ${ }^{49}$ | Caucasian | 127/306 | Population | - | - | 1.0 (0.6-1.7) | Age, sex, center |
| Paganini-Hill, 2001 ${ }^{50)}$ | Caucasian | 395/2,320 | Population | 0.42 (0.25-0.69) | 0.92 (0.73-1.16) | - | Age, sex |
| Behari et al., 2001 ${ }^{51)}$ | Asian | 318/289 | Hospital | - | - | 0.55 (0.36-0.84) | Age, sex |
| Herishanu et al., 2001 ${ }^{52)}$ | Caucasian | 93/93 | Hospital | - | - | 0.36 (0.19-0.69) | Age, sex, pesticide exposure, job |
| Checkoway et al., 2002 ${ }^{533}$ | Caucasian* | 210/347 | Population | 0.3 (0.1-0.7) | 0.6 (0.4-0.9) | 0.5 (0.4-0.8) | Age, sex, ethnicity, education |
| Galanaud et al., 2005 ${ }^{54)}$ | Caucasian | 247/676 | Population | $0.5(0.2-1.0)^{* *}$ | 0.7 (0.4-1.0)** | 0.6 (0.4-0.9) | Age, sex, region |
| Wirdefeldt et al., 2005 ${ }^{55)}$ | Caucasian | 415/415 | Population | 0.64 (0.37-1.10) | 2.14 (0.90-5.08) | 0.81 (0.49-1.33) | Age, sex, alcohol, coffee, education |
| Scott et al., 2005 ${ }^{56 / 58)}$ | Caucasian | 140/168 | Population | 0.23 (0.09-0.61) | 0.55 (0.27-1.14) | 0.41 (0.21-0.80) | Age, sex |
| Powers et al., 2008 ${ }^{57)}$ | Caucasian* | 1,186/928 | Population (451) and hospital (477) | - | - | 0.77 (0.64-0.93) | Age, sex, ethnicity, coffee, NASIDs, residence |
| Sanyal et al., 2010 | Asian | 175/350 | Hospital | - | - | 0.45 (0.26-0.79) | Age, sex |
| De Palma et al., 2010 ${ }^{59}$ ) | Caucasian | 767/1,989 | Population and hospital |  |  | 0.59 (0.51-0.69) | Age, sex |
| Tanaka et al., 2010 ${ }^{60)}$ | Asian | 249/369 | Hospital | 0.12 (0.05-0.27) | 0.51 (0.32-0.82) | 0.38 (0.24-0.60) | Age, sex, residence, education |

Population, population-based controls included other healthy groups such as friends and relatives
NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug
"Mostly white.
${ }^{* *} \mathrm{P}<0.05$

Table 2 Association between smoking and Parkinson's disease in cohort studies

| Author, year | Ethnicity | Cases/cohort subjects | Name of Cohort study | Relative risk (95\% confidence interval) |  |  | Confounder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Current smokers | Former smokers | Ever-smokers |  |
| Grandinetti et al., 1994 ${ }^{61)}$ | Asian | 58/8,006 men | Honolulu Heart Program | 0.25 (0.14-0.46) | 0.50 (0.28-0.87) | 0.39 (0.22-0.70) | Age |
| Willems-Giesbergen et al., 2001 ${ }^{62)}$ | Caucasian | 53/6,969 | Rotterdam Study | - | - | 0.54 (0.20-1.00)******) | Age, sex |
| Hernán et al., 2001 ${ }^{63)}$ | Caucasian* | $153 / 121,700$ <br> women | Nurses' Health Study | 0.4 (0.2-0.7) | 0.7 (0.5-1.0)** | 0.59 (0.43-0.81) | Age |
| Hernán et al., 2001 ${ }^{63)}$ | Caucasian* | 146/51,529 men | Health Professionals Follow-up Study | 0.3 (0.1-0.8) | 0.5 (0.4-0.7) | 0.49 (0.35-0.69) | Age |
| Thacker et al., 2007 ${ }^{64)}$ | Caucasian* | 413/184,190 | Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition Cohort | 0.27 (0.13-0.56) | 0.78 (0.64-0.95) | - | Age, sex |
| Tan et al., 2008 ${ }^{65)}$ | Asian | 157/63,257 | Singapore Chinese Health Study | 0.29 (0.16-0.52) | 0.77 (0.48-1.23) | - | Age, sex, education, year of interview, dialect |

Table 3 Summary risk estimate for the association between smoking and Parkinson's disease


Former smoking was significantly associated with a decreased risk of PD in hospital-based case-control studies (summary OR $=0.62,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=$ $0.46-0.77$ ) but marginally associated with a decreased risk in population-based case-control studies (summary $\mathrm{OR}=0.85,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.68-1.01$ ). For current smokers, the summary ORs among population-based and hospital-based controls were $0.30(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.22-0.39)$ and $0.40(0.18-0.62)$, respectively.

Heterogeneity (Table 3) and publication bias
(according to Begg's and Egger's tests, data not shown) were absent from analyses of data based on ever-smokers. Heterogeneity and publication bias were also absent in cohort studies (the data based on current smokers), population-based case-control studies (the data based on current smokers), and hospital-based case-control studies (the data based on former smokers). Evidence of heterogeneity ( $\mathrm{I}^{2} \geq 25 \%$ ) was statistically significant and significant publication bias was noted in the remaining analyses.


Fig. 1 Meta-analysis of 46 case-control and 4 cohort studies of smoking and Parkinson's disease (ever vs. never). The center of a diamond and the horizontal line (logarithm) indicate the risk estimate and the $95 \%$ confidence interval (CI) in each study. The summary OR base on random effects model is represented by the middle of a diamond, with its width indicating the $95 \%$ CI.

## Discussion

The etiology of PD is largely unknown, although there is growing body of evidence implicating environmental risk (protective) factors. Cigarette smoking is a well-known health hazard and a leading avoidable cause of mortality and
morbidity. That said, cigarette smoking appears to confer beneficial effects against PD. A meta-analysis by Hernán et al. in $2002^{6)}$ indicated that smoking was associated with a decreased risk of PD. We performed a meta-analysis of published studies (the last search took place on December 2010) to evaluate the association
between smoking and PD. In total, the meta-analysis involved 54 studies on smoking and PD. Results revealed that the PD risk was $63 \%$ lower in current smokers and $41 \%$ lower in ever-smokers in comparison to never-smokers.

Heterogeneity was noted in several stratified analyses. The presence of significant heterogeneity suggests that the estimated OR in each study is not homogeneous and the estimated ORs are close to 1.0 in the larger studies. Possible sources of heterogeneity would be not only study design but source of controls and ethnicity. The selection of a control group has a major impact on the results of a case-control study. Although hospital inpatients are relatively easy, convenient, and economical to recruit as controls, they may simply represent a sample of a diseased population and suffer from potential biases. Smoking behavior varies widely among different ethnic groups ${ }^{66)}$. In most metabolic polymorphisms, allele frequencies vary widely among ethnic groups ${ }^{67}$. Variation in genes such as cytochrome P450 2A6 that are involved in the metabolism of nicotine in different ethnic groups may account in part for differences in smoking behavior (the depth and frequency of inhalation). Although the current study performed stratified analysis by study design, ethnicity, and source of controls, statistically significant heterogeneity was seen in some situations. As residual heterogeneity may exist, the random-effects model was used to account for heterogeneity. Publication bias was present in some analyses. The presence of publication bias indicates that nonsignificant or negative findings remain unpublished. Although publication bias is always a possible limitation of combining data from various sources in an approach like a meta-analysis, Sutton et al. concluded that publication or related biases did not affect the conclusions of most meta-analyses ${ }^{68)}$.

Meta-regression analysis revealed no significant heterogeneity in risk estimates among study designs. In stratified analysis by source of
controls, the inverse effect of ever-smoking was significantly greater in hospital-based studies than in population-based studies $(\mathrm{P}=0.067)$. In general, hospital-based controls are more likely to engage in risk behaviors than is the general population, potentially leading to underestimation of true risk. The generally held view is that smokers are more prevalent among hospital patients than in the general population because many conditions that lead to hospitalization are caused by or associated with smoking; this increased prevalence may bias results of case-control studies of smoking-related diseases ${ }^{69)}$. Actually, hospital controls are reportedly more often former smokers than are community controls ${ }^{70)}$. Furthermore, former and current male smokers serving as hospital controls tend to smoke more cigarettes per day than do population controls ${ }^{70}$. Since smoking may be a protective factor for PD, it is plausible that the summary OR for ever-smoking was lower in hospital-based studies than in popula-tion-based studies.

The biological mechanisms by which smoking affects PD have yet to be elucidated. Cigarette smoke consists of several thousand compounds and one or more of the compounds may have a neuroprotective effect. 4-phenylpyridine and nicotine are potential candidates. 4-phenylpyridine decreases monoamine oxidase B (MAOB) activity. Parkinsonism-inducing neurotoxin 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) is oxidized in the brain to the active metabolite 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridine (MPP +) by $\mathrm{MAOB}^{71) 72)}$. Therefore, 4-phenylpyridine may lower MPP + concentrations by inhibiting the biotransformation of MPTP to MPP +. Nicotine itself might have a direct neuroprotective effect such as an antioxidant effect ${ }^{711}$. Therefore, the hypothesis that smoking decreases PD risk is biologically plausible.

In conclusion, the current meta-analysis indicated that smoking serves as a protective factor for PD. Mechanistic studies in the future will
undoubtedly lead to a more thorough understanding of the role of smoking in PD development.

## Acknowledgment

This manuscript was prepared while on assignment at the Clinical/Basic Medicine in the Faculty of Medicine, Kyushu University.

## References

1) de Lau LM and Breteler MM : Epidemiology of Parkinson's disease. Lancet Neurol 5 : 525-535, 2006.
2) McCarty MF : Does a vegan diet reduce risk for Parkinson's disease? Med Hypotheses 57 : 318-323, 2001.
3) Nussbaum RL and Ellis CE : Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease. N Engl J Med 348 : 1356-1364, 2003.
4) MacDonald BK, Cockerell OC, Sander JW and Shorvon SD : The incidence and lifetime prevalence of neurological disorders in a prospective community-based study in the UK. Brain 123 (Pt 4) : 665-676, 2000.
5) http://www.nanbyou.or.jp/sikkan/089_i.htm
6) Hernan MA, Takkouche B, Caamano-Isorna F and Gestal-Otero JJ : A meta-analysis of coffee drinking, cigarette smoking, and the risk of Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol 52: 276-284, 2002.
7) Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, Moher D, Becker BJ, Sipe TA and Thacker SB: Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology : a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA 283 : 2008-2012, 2000.
8) Gelb DJ, Oliver E and Gilman S: Diagnostic criteria for Parkinson disease. Arch Neurol 56 : 33-39, 1999.
9) DerSimonian R and Laird N : Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 7: 177-188, 1986.
10) Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ and Altman DG: Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 327 : 557-560, 2003.
11) Begg CB and Mazumdar M : Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 50 : 1088-1101, 1994.
12) Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M and Minder C: Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 315 : 629-634, 1997.
13) Nefzger MD, Quadfasel FA and Karl VC : A retrospective study of smoking in Parkinson's disease. Am J Epidemiol 88 : 149-158, 1968.
14) Kessler, II and Diamond EL : Epidemiologic studies of Parkinson's disease. I. Smoking and Parkinson's disease : a survey and explanatory hypothesis. Am J Epidemiol 94 : 16-25, 1971.
15) Marttila RJ and Rinne UK : Smoking and Parkinson's disease. Acta Neurol Scand 62 : 322-325, 1980.
16) Duvoisin RC, Eldridge R, Williams A, Nutt J and Calne D: Twin study of Parkinson disease. Neurology 31 : 77-80, 1981.
17) Haack DG, Baumann RJ, McKean HE, Jameson HD and Turbek JA: Nicotine exposure and Parkinson disease. Am J Epidemiol 114 : 191-200, 1981.
18) Godwin-Austen RB, Lee PN, Marmot MG and Stern GM : Smoking and Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 45 : 577-581, 1982.
19) Barbeau A and Pourcher E: New data on the genetics of Parkinson's disease. Can J Neurol Sci 9 : 53-60, 1982.
20) Bharucha NE, Stokes L, Schoenberg BS, Ward C, Ince S, Nutt JG, Eldridge R, Calne DB, Mantel N and Duvoisin R : A case-control study of twin pairs discordant for Parkinson's disease : a search for environmental risk factors. Neurology 36 : 284-288, 1986.
21) Rajput AH, Offord KP, Beard CM and Kurland LT : A case-control study of smoking habits, dementia, and other illnesses in idiopathic Parkinson's disease. Neurology 37 : 226-232, 1987.
22) Tanner CM, Chen B, Wang WZ, Peng ML, Liu ZL, Liang XL, Kao LC, Gilley DW and Schoenberg BS : Environmental factors in the etiology of Parkinson's disease. Can J Neurol Sci 14 : 419-423, 1987.
23) Ho SC, Woo J and Lee CM : Epidemiologic study of Parkinson's disease in Hong Kong. Neurology 39 : 1314-1318, 1989.
24) Ngim CH and Devathasan G: Epidemiologic study on the association between body burden mercury level and idiopathic Parkinson's disease. Neuroepidemiology 8 : 128-141, 1989.
25) Hofman A, Collette HJ and Bartelds AI : Incidence and risk factors of Parkinson's disease in The Netherlands. Neuroepidemiology 8 : 296-299, 1989.
26) Sasco AJ and Paffenbarger RS, Jr. : Smoking and Parkinson's disease. Epidemiology 1 : 460-465, 1990.
27) Hertzman C, Wiens M, Bowering D, Snow B and Calne D: Parkinson's disease : a case-control study of occupational and environmental risk factors. Am J Ind Med 17 : 349-355, 1990.
28) Stern M, Dulaney E, Gruber SB, Golbe L, Bergen M, Hurtig H, Gollomp S and Stolley P : The epidemiology of Parkinson's disease. A case-control study of young-onset and old-onset patients. Arch Neurol 48 : 903-907, 1991.
29) Wechsler LS, Checkoway H, Franklin GM and Costa LG: A pilot study of occupational and environmental risk factors for Parkinson's disease. Neurotoxicology 12 : 387-392, 1991.
30) Jimenez-Jimenez FJ, Mateo $D$ and Gimenez-Roldan S : Premorbid smoking, alcohol consumption, and coffee drinking habits in Parkinson's disease : a case-control study. Mov Disord 7:339-344, 1992.
31) Butterfield PG, Valanis BG, Spencer PS, Lindeman CA and Nutt JG: Environmental antecedents of young-onset Parkinson's disease. Neurology 43 : 1150-1158, 1993.
32) Semchuk KM, Love EJ and Lee RG: Parkinson's disease : a test of the multifactorial etiologic hypothesis. Neurology 43 : 1173-1180, 1993.
33) Wang WZ, Fang XH, Cheng XM, Jiang DH and Lin ZJ : A case-control study on the environmental risk factors of Parkinson's disease in Tianjin, China. Neuroepidemiology 12 : 209-218, 1993.
34) Mayeux R, Tang MX, Marder K, Cote LJ and Stern Y: Smoking and Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 9 : 207-212, 1994.
35) Martyn CN and Osmond C : Parkinson's disease and the environment in early life. J Neurol Sci 132: 201-206, 1995.
36) De Michele G, Filla A, Volpe G, De Marco V, Gogliettino A, Ambrosio G, Marconi R, Castellano AE and Campanella G : Environmental and genetic risk factors in Parkinson's disease : a case-control study in southern Italy. Mov Disord 11 : 17-23, 1996.
37) Liou HH, Tsai MC, Chen CJ, Jeng JS, Chang YC, Chen SY and Chen RC : Environmental risk factors and Parkinson's disease : a case-control study in Taiwan. Neurology 48 : 1583-1588, 1997.
38) Hellenbrand W, Seidler A, Robra BP, Vieregge P, Oertel WH, Joerg J, Nischan P, Schneider E and Ulm G : Smoking and Parkinson's disease : a case-control study in Germany. Int J Epidemiol 26 : 328-339, 1997.
39) Tzourio C, Rocca WA, Breteler MM, Bal-
dereschi M, Dartigues JF, Lopez-Pousa S, Manubens-Bertran JM and Alperovitch A : Smoking and Parkinson's disease. An age-dependent risk effect? The EUROPARKINSON Study Group. Neurology 49 : 1267-1272, 1997.
40) McCann SJ, LeCouteur DG, Green AC, Brayne C, Johnson AG, Chan D, McManus ME and Pond SM : The epidemiology of Parkinson's disease in an Australian population. Neuroepidemiology 17 : 310-317, 1998.
41) Smargiassi A, Mutti A, De Rosa A, De Palma G, Negrotti A and Calzetti S : A case-control study of occupational and environmental risk factors for Parkinson's disease in the Emilia-Romagna region of Italy. Neurotoxicology 19:709-712, 1998.
42) Gorell JM, Rybicki BA, Johnson CC and Peterson EL : Smoking and Parkinson's disease : a dose-response relationship. Neurology 52 : 115-119, 1999.
43) Fall PA, Fredrikson M, Axelson O and Granerus AK : Nutritional and occupational factors influencing the risk of Parkinson's disease : a case-control study in southeastern Sweden. Mov Disord 14 : 28-37, 1999.
44) Kuopio AM, Marttila RJ, Helenius H and Rinne UK : Environmental risk factors in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 14 : 928-939, 1999.
45) Werneck AL and Alvarenga H: Genetics, drugs and environmental factors in Parkinson's disease. A case-control study. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 57 : 347-355, 1999.
46) Benedetti MD, Bower JH, Maraganore DM, McDonnell SK, Peterson BJ, Ahlskog JE, Schaid DJ and Rocca WA : Smoking, alcohol, and coffee consumption preceding Parkinson's disease : a case-control study. Neurology 55 : 1350-1358, 2000.
47) Preux PM, Condet A, Anglade C, Druet-Cabanac M, Debrock C, Macharia W, Couratier P, Boutros-Toni F and Dumas M : Parkinson's disease and environmental factors. Matched case-control study in the Limousin region, France. Neuroepidemiology 19 : 333-337, 2000.
48) Vanacore N, Bonifati V, Fabbrini G, Colosimo C, Marconi R, Nicholl D, Bonuccelli U, Stocchi F, Lamberti P, Volpe G, De Michele G, Iavarone I, Bennett P, Vieregge P and Meco G : Smoking habits in multiple system atrophy and progressive supranuclear palsy. European Study Group on Atypical Parkinsonisms. Neurology 54 : 114-119, 2000.
49) Elbaz A, Manubens-Bertran JM, Baldereschi M,

Breteler MM, Grigoletto F, Lopez-Pousa S, Dartigues JF, Alperovitch A, Rocca WA and Tzourio C : Parkinson's disease, smoking, and family history. EUROPARKINSON Study Group. J Neurol 247 : 793-798, 2000.
50) Paganini-Hill A : Risk factors for parkinson's disease : the leisure world cohort study. Neuroepidemiology 20 : 118-124, 2001.
51) Behari M, Srivastava AK, Das RR and Pandey RM : Risk factors of Parkinson's disease in Indian patients. J Neurol Sci 190 : 49-55, 2001.
52) Herishanu YO, Medvedovski M, Goldsmith JR and Kordysh E : A case-control study of Parkinson's disease in urban population of southern Israel. Can J Neurol Sci 28 : 144-147, 2001.
53) Checkoway H, Powers K, Smith-Weller T, Franklin GM, Longstreth WT, Jr. and Swanson PD : Parkinson's disease risks associated with cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and caffeine intake. Am J Epidemiol 155:732-738, 2002.
54) Galanaud JP, Elbaz A, Clavel J, Vidal JS, Correze JR, Alperovitch A and Tzourio C: Cigarette smoking and Parkinson's disease : a case-control study in a population characterized by a high prevalence of pesticide exposure. Mov Disord 20 : 181-189, 2005.
55) Wirdefeldt K, Gatz M, Pawitan Y and Pedersen NL : Risk and protective factors for Parkinson's disease : a study in Swedish twins. Ann Neurol 57 : 27-33, 2005.
56) Scott WK, Zhang F, Stajich JM, Scott BL, Stacy MA and Vance JM : Family-based case-control study of cigarette smoking and Parkinson disease. Neurology 64 : 442-447, 2005.
57) Powers KM, Kay DM, Factor SA, Zabetian CP, Higgins DS, Samii A, Nutt JG, Griffith A, Leis B, Roberts JW, Martinez ED, Montimurro JS, Checkoway H and Payami H: Combined effects of smoking, coffee, and NSAIDs on Parkinson's disease risk. Mov Disord 23 : 88-95, 2008.
58) Sanyal J, Chakraborty DP, Sarkar B, Banerjee TK, Mukherjee SC, Ray BC and Rao VR : Environmental and familial risk factors of Parkinsons disease : case-control study. Can J Neurol Sci 37 : 637-642, 2010.
59) De Palma G, Dick FD, Calzetti S, Scott NW, Prescott GJ, Osborne A, Haites N, Mozzoni P, Negrotti A, Scaglioni A and Mutti A : A case-control study of Parkinson's disease and tobacco use : gene-tobacco interactions. Mov Disord 25 : 912-919, 2010.
60) Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Fukushima W, Sasaki S, Kiyohara C, Tsuboi Y, Yamada T, Oeda T, Miki T, Kawamura N, Sakae N, Fukuyama H, Hirota Y and Nagai M:Active and passive smoking and risk of Parkinson's disease. Acta Neurol Scand 122 : 377-382, 2010.
61) Grandinetti A, Morens DM, Reed D and MacEachern D : Prospective study of cigarette smoking and the risk of developing idiopathic Parkinson's disease. Am J Epidemiol 139 : 1129-1138, 1994.
62) Willems-Giesbergen PC, de Rijk MC, van Swieten JC, Hofman A and Breteler MM : Smoking, alcohol and coffee consumption and the risk of PD : results from the Rotterdam Study. Neurology 54 : A347-A348, 2000.
63) Hernan MA, Zhang SM, Rueda-deCastro AM, Colditz GA, Speizer FE and Ascherio A : Cigarette smoking and the incidence of Parkinson's disease in two prospective studies. Ann Neurol 50 : 780-786, 2001.
64) Thacker EL, O'Reilly EJ, Weisskopf MG, Chen H, Schwarzschild MA, McCullough ML, Calle EE, Thun MJ and Ascherio A : Temporal relationship between cigarette smoking and risk of Parkinson disease. Neurology 68:764-768, 2007.
65) Tan LC, Koh WP, Yuan JM, Wang R, Au WL, Tan JH, Tan EK and Yu MC : Differential effects of black versus green tea on risk of Parkinson's disease in the Singapore Chinese Health Study. Am J Epidemiol 167 : 553-560, 2008.
66) Haiman CA, Stram DO, Wilkens LR, Pike MC, Kolonel LN, Henderson BE and Le Marchand L: Ethnic and racial differences in the smoking-related risk of lung cancer. N Engl J Med 354 : 333-342, 2006.
67) Garte S, Gaspari L, Alexandrie AK, Ambrosone C, Autrup H, Autrup JL, Baranova H, Bathum L, Benhamou S, Boffetta P, Bouchardy C, Breskvar K, Brockmoller J, Cascorbi I, Clapper ML, Coutelle C, Daly A, Dell'Omo M, Dolzan V, Dresler CM, Fryer A, Haugen A, Hein DW, Hildesheim A, Hirvonen A, Hsieh LL, Ingel-man-Sundberg M, Kalina I, Kang D, Kihara M, Kiyohara C, Kremers P, Lazarus P, Le Marchand L, Lechner MC, van Lieshout EM, London S, Manni JJ, Maugard CM, Morita S, Nazar-Stewart V, Noda K, Oda Y, Parl FF, Pastorelli R, Persson I, Peters WH, Rannug A, Rebbeck T, Risch A, Roelandt L, Romkes M, Ryberg D, Salagovic J, Schoket B, Seidegard J, Shields PG, Sim E, Sinnet D, Strange RC, Stucker I,

Sugimura H, To-Figueras J, Vineis P, Yu MC and Taioli E: Metabolic gene polymorphism frequencies in control populations. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 10 : 1239-1248, 2001.
68) Sutton AJ, Duval SJ, Tweedie RL, Abrams KR and Jones DR : Empirical assessment of effect of publication bias on meta-analyses. BMJ 320 : 1574-1577, 2000.
69) Gordis L : Case-control and cross-sectional studies. In : Epidemiology. 3rd ed. pp. 159-176, Elsevier Saunders Philadelphia, 2004.
70) Morabia A, Stellman SD and Wynder EL : Smoking prevalence in neighborhood and hospital controls : implications for hospital-based
case-control studies. J Clin Epidemiol 49 : 885-889, 1996.
71) Morens DM, Grandinetti A, Reed D, White LR and Ross GW : Cigarette smoking and protection from Parkinson's disease : false association or etiologic clue? Neurology 45 : 1041-1051, 1995.
72) Castagnoli K and Murugesan T : Tobacco leaf, smoke and smoking, MAO inhibitors, Parkinson's disease and neuroprotection; are there links? Neurotoxicology 25 : 279-291, 2004.
(Received for publication June 8, 2011)
（和文抄録）

## 喫煙とパーキンソン病：メタ分析

${ }^{1)}$ 九州大学大学院医学研究院予防医学分野<br>${ }^{2}$ ）九州大学医学部学生（6年生）

清 原 千香子 ${ }^{1)}$ ，楠 原 正 太 ${ }^{2)}$

喫煙はパーキンソン病リスクを低下させることが多くの研究で認められているが，すべての研究 でその関連性が認められているわけではない。本研究の目的は，喫㖶とパーキンソン病についての研究結果を統合するために，公表された研究についてのメタ分析を行うことである。 54 の疫学研究（48 症例対照研究と 6 コホート研究， 53 論文）がメタ分析の対象としての適格基準をみたしてい た。非喫煙に対する現在喫煙，過去喫煙および喫煙経験（現在喫煙＋過去喫煙）の統合リスクはそ れぞれ $0.31(95 \%$ 信頼区間 $(95 \% C I)=0.25-0.38), 0.72(95 \% C I=0.63-0.83)$ および $0.55 ~(95 \%$ $\mathrm{CI}=0.51-0.59$ ）であった。次に，研究デザインによる層別解析（症例対照研究あるいはコホート研究）を行った。喫煙のパーキンソン病に対する予防効果はコホート研究において，症例対照研究よ りもやや強く認められたが，統計学的な有意差は認められなかった。症例対照研究に限ってさらに層別解析を行った。人種（白人あるいはアジア人）による層別解析では，喫煙経験の統合リスクは ややアジア人の方が白人よりも小さかった。対照の種類（住民対照あるいは病院対照）による層別解析では，病院対照を用いた場合は過去喫煙は有意にパーキンソン病のリスクを低下させていたが，住民対照を用いた場合はリスクを有意に低下させていなかった。しかし，両者の間に統計学的な有意差は認められなかった。喫煙経験によるリスク低下は病院対照を用いた症例対照研究の方が住民対照を用いた症例対照研究よりも有意に大きかった。現在啡煙のリスクは両者間で有意差はな かった。パーキンソン病は多因子疾患であるので，今後，喫㖶一遺伝子交互作用についての研究を行うことによって，パーキンソン病の病因についてよりよい理解が得られると考えられる。
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