

Exclusive Queueing Process with Discrete Time

Arita, Chikashi
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Yanagisawa, Daichi
Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo,
and JSPS Research Fellow

<https://hdl.handle.net/2324/17982>

出版情報 : MI Preprint Series. 2010-27, 2010-10-23. Springer
バージョン :
権利関係 : (C) Springer

MI Preprint Series

Kyushu University
The Global COE Program
Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub

Exclusive Queueing Process with Discrete Time

Chikashi Arita
& Daichi Yanagisawa

MI 2010-27

(Received August 26, 2010)

Faculty of Mathematics
Kyushu University
Fukuoka, JAPAN

Exclusive Queueing Process with Discrete Time

Chikashi Arita* and Daichi Yanagisawa†

Abstract

In a recent study [1], an extension of the M/M/1 queueing process with the excluded-volume effect as in the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP) was introduced. In this paper, we consider its discrete-time version. The update scheme we take is the parallel one. A stationary-state solution is obtained in a slightly arranged matrix product form of the discrete-time open TASEP with the parallel update. We find the phase diagram for the existence of the stationary state. The critical line which separates the parameter space into the regions with and without the stationary state can be written in terms of the stationary current of the open TASEP. We calculate the average length of the system and the average number of particles.

1 Introduction

The queueing theory has been considerably studied since Erlang started designing telephone exchanging system in 1909 [8]. In [9], he developed the theory of the call-loss system, which significantly contributed to the progress of telephones and electric communication systems. After his study, Kendall presented his first paper [15]. Since then, the study on the queueing theory including Kendall's notation [16], Burke's theorem [4], Jackson networks [13], and Little's theorem [19] has been accelerated. Nowadays, it is applied to study on social systems such as the Internet [20], resource management systems [2], vehicular traffic systems [12] and pedestrian traffic systems [11].

Let us review here the discrete-time M/M/1 queueing process, which will be extended in the next section. M/M/1 means that the queue has a Markovian entry of customers and a Markovian exit at 1 server. Each particle (customer) enters the system with a probability α and receives service with a probability β , see figure 1. The system is characterized only by the number $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ of particles. The probability $P(N; t)$ of finding N particles at time t is governed by the following master equation:

$$P(0; t+1) = (1-\alpha)P(0; t) + \alpha\beta P(0; t) + (1-\alpha)\beta P(1; t), \quad (1)$$

$$P(N; t+1) = \alpha(1-\beta)P(N-1; t) + (1-\alpha)(1-\beta)P(N; t) + \alpha\beta P(N; t) + (1-\alpha)\beta P(N+1; t), \quad (2)$$

for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. When $\alpha < \beta$, a unique stationary-state solution to the master equation can be easily obtained as the following geometric distribution:

$$P(N) = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta(1 - \alpha)} \left(\frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)}{(1 - \alpha)\beta} \right)^N. \quad (3)$$

The average number of particles can be easily calculated as

$$\langle N \rangle = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} N \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta(1 - \alpha)} \left(\frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)}{(1 - \alpha)\beta} \right)^N = \frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)}{\beta - \alpha}. \quad (4)$$




Figure 1: M/M/1 queueing process.

*Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

†Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, and JSPS Research Fellow




Figure 2: Exclusive queueing process.

On the other hand, the asymmetric simple exclusion process (ASEP) in one dimension is one of typical interacting particle systems and admits exact analyses of non-equilibrium properties [18, 24]. It has been vigorously studied in the recent two decades. The stationary state of the totally ASEP with open boundaries (open TASEP) was found in a matrix product form in [7]. Since then, matrix product stationary states of various generalizations of the ASEP containing discrete-time versions of the open TASEP have been found [3]. The TASEP is one of the basic models of the vehicular traffic [6].

Although both the M/M/1 queueing process and the open TASEP are Markov processes with particle entry and exit, they are different in the following two points. First, the number of particles in the M/M/1 queueing process does not have an upper limit¹, whereas that in the open TASEP cannot be greater than the fixed number of sites. In other words, the state space of the M/M/1 queueing process is infinite and that of the open TASEP is finite. It should be noticed that the open TASEP is a call-loss system, which has been seldom discussed. Second, the TASEP has a spatial structure, and particles are affected by their excluded volume (hard-core repulsion). By contrast, the M/M/1 queueing process has no spatial structure, and only the number of particles characterizes the system.

An extension of the M/M/1 queueing process with the excluded-volume effect (in other words, the TASEP with a new boundary condition) was introduced in [1]. In this model, particles enter the system at the left site next to the leftmost occupied site and exit at the rightmost site of the chain, see Figure 2. When we (pedestrians) make a queue, we usually proceed if there is a space in front of us (excluded-volume effect), which is a motivation to treat this model. Let us call the model *exclusive queueing process*.

In [1], a stationary state of the exclusive queueing process was given by a slightly arranged matrix product form for the open TASEP, where the probability of finding each configuration is proportional to

$$c^L \times \langle \text{row vector} | \text{matrix product} | \text{column vector} \rangle. \quad (5)$$

The row vector, the matrices and the column vector are independent of the entry rate α , whereas c is independent of the exit rate β . The exponent L denotes the position of the leftmost particle.

In this paper, we consider a discrete-time version of the exclusive queueing process with the entry, hopping and exit rates (α , p and β , respectively) replaced by probabilities within one time step. Although there are some choices of an update scheme, we take the fully-parallel-update rule.² The special case where the bulk hopping is deterministic (i.e., the hopping probability $p = 1$) has been analyzed in [25].

To find a stationary-state solution is one of basic problems. The idea to find it in this paper is reducing the balance equation for the exclusive queueing process to that for the open TASEP. Then, a stationary-state solution will be obtained in a similar form to that for the continuous-time model as (5). We calculate the critical line which separates the parameter space into the regions with and without the stationary state by evaluating the convergence of the normalization constant. The critical line will be written in terms of the stationary current of the open TASEP. We calculate the average length of the system (the position of the leftmost particle) and the average number of particles in the system. We also calculate the average number of particles in the queue.³

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect 2, the exclusive queueing process with discrete time is introduced. In Section 3, we obtain a stationary state of the model. In Section 4, we identify the region where the stationary state exists in the parameter space, and calculate the average length of the system and the average numbers of particles in the system and in the queue. In Section 5, we treat the model in the case where the bulk hopping

¹Queueing processes with an upper limit of the number of particles have been studied.[14]

²The discrete-time open TASEPs with the random-, forward-sequential-, backward-sequential-, sub-lattice-parallel- and fully-parallel-update schemes have been studied, see [3] for review. It is reported that the movement of pedestrians in one dimension is well represented by the parallel-update dynamics [22].

³We define “the number of particles in the queue” by counting particles except for one at the rightmost site.

probability is 1. Section 6 is devoted to the conclusion of this paper. Detailed calculations are performed in Appendices.

2 Model

We introduce an extension of the discrete-time M/M/1 queueing process with the excluded-volume effect on the semi-infinite chain (exclusive queueing process), see Figure 2, where each site labeled by a natural number from right to left. Each particle enters the chain at the left site next to the leftmost occupied site with a probability α , hops to its right nearest neighbor site with a probability p , if it is empty, and exits at the right end of the chain with a probability β . If there is no particle on the chain, a particle enters at site 1. These transitions occur simultaneously within one time step. In other words, we take the fully-parallel-update scheme. (We call it simply parallel update hereafter.) The model is formulated as a discrete-time Markov process on the state space $S = \{\emptyset, 1, 10, 11, 100, 101, 110, 111, 1000, \dots\}$ where 0 and 1 correspond to unoccupied and occupied sites, respectively. In particular, \emptyset denotes the state that there is no particle on the chain. Note that we do not write infinite 0s located left to the leftmost 1. We define $|\tau|$ by the length of a sequence τ . In particular, for each element τ of S , $|\tau|$ stands for the length of the system (the position of the leftmost occupied site). Let us write the probability of finding a configuration τ at time t as $P(\tau; t)$. The master equation governing the model is written as

$$P(\tau; t+1) = \mathcal{T}P(\tau; t) = \sum_{\tau' \in S} W(\tau' \rightarrow \tau) P(\tau'; t), \quad (6)$$

where \mathcal{T} is the generator of the process and $W(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)$ is the transition probability from τ' to τ within one time step. In particular, for $\tau = \emptyset$ and $\tau = 1$,

$$P(\emptyset; t+1) = (1-\alpha)P(\emptyset; t) + (1-\alpha)\beta P(1; t), \quad (7)$$

$$P(1; t+1) = \alpha P(\emptyset; t) + (1-\alpha)(1-\beta)P(1; t) + (1-\alpha)pP(10; t). \quad (8)$$

It is difficult to write down the action of \mathcal{T} explicitly for the general configuration τ because of the parallel update⁴. For example, for $\tau = 101101$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P(101101; t+1) \\ &= (1-\alpha)(1-p)^2(1-\beta)P(101101; t) + (1-\alpha)(1-p)pP(101110; t) + (1-\alpha)p(1-p)(1-\beta)P(110101; t) \\ &\quad + (1-\alpha)p(1-p)(1-\beta)P(1001101; t) + (1-\alpha)p^2P(110110; t) + (1-\alpha)p^2P(1001110; t) \\ &\quad + (1-\alpha)p^2(1-p)(1-\beta)P(1010101; t) + (1-\alpha)p^3P(1010110; t) + \alpha p^2P(10110; t) \\ &\quad + \alpha p(1-p)(1-\beta)P(10101; t), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

see Figure 3, and for $\tau = 100100$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P(100100; t+1) \\ &= (1-\alpha)(1-p)^2P(100100; t) + (1-\alpha)(1-p)pP(101000; t) + (1-\alpha)p(1-p)P(1000100; t) \\ &\quad + (1-\alpha)p^2P(1001000; t) + (1-\alpha)(1-p)^2\beta P(100101; t) + (1-\alpha)(1-p)p\beta P(101001; t) \\ &\quad + (1-\alpha)p(1-p)\beta P(1000101; t) + (1-\alpha)p^2\beta P(1001001; t), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

see Figure 4.

Note that this model is not equivalent to the parallel-update TASEP with the ordinary open boundary condition (open TASEP) [10], see Figure 5. In the open TASEP, particles can enter the system at the fixed leftmost site of the finite chain only if it is empty. This means that the open TASEP is a call-loss system. On the other hand, in our model, particles can always enter the system.

⁴It is not so difficult in the continuous-time case, see (22) in [1].




Figure 3: List of all configurations which transit to 101101 with a non-zero probability within one time step.




Figure 4: List of all configurations which transit to 100100 with a non-zero probability within one time step.

3 Stationary state

A stationary state is a solution to the balance equation

$$P(\tau) = \mathcal{T}P(\tau) \quad (\forall \tau \in S) \quad (11)$$

with the constraint

$$\sum_{\tau \in S} P(\tau) = 1. \quad (12)$$

Let us begin with making an assumption that the stationary-state solution has the following form:

$$P(\emptyset) = \frac{1}{Z}, \quad (13)$$

$$P(1\tau_{L-1} \dots \tau_1) = \frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)} \right)^L f_L(1\tau_{L-1} \dots \tau_1), \quad (14)$$




Figure 5: TASEP with the ordinary open boundary condition.

where f_L is a function on $\{0, 1\}^L$ and satisfies

$$f_L(101\tau) = f_{L-1}(11\tau) + pf_{L-2}(1\tau), \quad (15)$$

$$f_L(100\tau) = (1-p)f_{L-1}(10\tau), \quad (16)$$

$$f_L(01\tau) = pf_{L-1}(1\tau), \quad (17)$$

$$f_L(00\tau) = 0. \quad (18)$$

From $P(\emptyset) = TP(\emptyset)$, we get

$$P(1) = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)} \frac{p}{\beta}. \quad (19)$$

From $P(1) = TP(1)$, we get

$$P(10) = \frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)} \right)^2 \frac{p}{\beta}. \quad (20)$$

Thus,

$$f_1(1) = \frac{p}{\beta}, \quad (21)$$

$$f_2(10) = \frac{p}{\beta}. \quad (22)$$

We reduce the balance equation for the general configuration to that for the open TASEP. One can obtain, for all $11\tau \in S$ with length L ,

$$f_L(11\tau) = \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{11}(\tau)} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} W(11\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau) f_L(11\tau') + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{11}(\tau)} \frac{1}{\alpha} W(1\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau) f_L(01\tau'), \quad (23)$$

for all $101\tau \in S$ with length L ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_L(101\tau) &= \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} W(101\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau) f_L(101\tau') + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} W(110\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau) f_L(110\tau') \\ &+ \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} \frac{1}{\alpha} W(10\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau) f_L(010\tau'), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and for all $100\tau \in S$ with length L ,

$$f_L(100\tau) = \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{100}(\tau)} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} W(10\tau' \rightarrow 100\tau) f_L(10\tau') + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{100}(\tau)} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)(1-p)} W(10\tau' \rightarrow 100\tau) f_L(00\tau'), \quad (25)$$

see Appendix A for detailed calculation. The sets $\mathcal{A}_{\dots}(\tau)$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_{11}(\tau) := \{\tau' | W(11\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau) > 0 \wedge |\tau| = |\tau'|\}, \quad (26)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau) := \{\tau' | W(101\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau) > 0 \wedge |\tau| = |\tau'|\}, \quad (27)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{100}(\tau) := \{\tau' | W(10\tau' \rightarrow 100\tau) > 0 \wedge |\tau| = |\tau'| - 1\}. \quad (28)$$

For example,

$$\mathcal{A}_{11}(0110) = \{0110, 1010, 0111, 1011\}, \quad (29)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{101}(110) = \{110, 111\}, \quad (30)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{100}(110) = \{0110, 1010, 0111, 1011\}. \quad (31)$$

Equations (23)-(25) give the balance equation for the open TASEP on the L -site chain with the entry probability equal to 1 if we regard $f_L(\tau)$ as the probability of finding a configuration τ . Thus, if we set f_L to be proportional

to the stationary-state solution to the open TASEP, the form (13) and (14) gives a stationary-state solution to our model. Substituting the matrix product solution to the open TASEP which was found in [10] into (14), we obtain

$$P(\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{Z} & \tau = \emptyset, \\ \frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)} \right)^L \langle W | DX_{\tau_{L-1}} \cdots X_{\tau_1} | V \rangle & \tau = 1\tau_{L-1} \dots \tau_1, \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

where $X_1 = D$ and $X_0 = E$ are matrices, $\langle W |$ is a row vector and $|V\rangle$ is a column vector. They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} EDEE &= (1-p)EDE + EEE + pEE, \\ EDED &= EDD + EED + pED, \\ DDEE &= (1-p)DDE + (1-p)DEE + p(1-p)DE, \\ DDED &= DDD + (1-p)DED + pDD, \\ DDE|V\rangle &= (1-\beta)DD|V\rangle + (1-p)DE|V\rangle + p(1-\beta)D|V\rangle, \\ EDE|V\rangle &= (1-\beta)ED|V\rangle + EE|V\rangle + pE|V\rangle, \\ \langle W|DEE &= (1-p)\langle W|DE, \\ \langle W|DED &= \langle W|DD + p\langle W|D, \\ DD|V\rangle &= \frac{p(1-\beta)}{\beta}D|V\rangle, \\ ED|V\rangle &= \frac{p}{\beta}E|V\rangle, \\ \langle W|EE &= 0, \\ \langle W|ED &= p\langle W|D, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

which is the algebraic relation found in [10] with $\alpha = 1$. This algebraic relation is compatible with the assumption (15)-(18). A representation of the relation is given in Appendix B. In view of (21), we have to chose the normalization as

$$\langle W|D|V\rangle = \frac{p}{\beta}. \quad (34)$$

Note that, from the algebraic relation, we can derive $\langle W|DE|V\rangle = \frac{p}{\beta}$, which is compatible with (22). The normalization constant Z is expressed as

$$Z = 1 + \sum_{L \geq 1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)} \right)^L \langle W|D(D+E)^{L-1}|V\rangle, \quad (35)$$

which is similar to the generating function of the normalization constant of the open TASEP. The function

$$\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta) = 1 + \sum_{L \geq 1} \xi^L \langle W|\zeta D(\zeta D + E)^{L-1}|V\rangle \quad (36)$$

is useful to calculate the average length of the system and the average number of particles. The case where $\xi = \frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)}$ and $\zeta = 1$ corresponds to the normalization constant:

$$Z = \mathcal{Z} \left(\frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)}, 1 \right). \quad (37)$$

We can easily solve the balance equation of the usual M/M/1 queue recursively. (The equation is just a three-term recurrence formula.) In our model, however, we have not found a recursive way to solve the balance equation. In this sense, our assumption (13) and (14) is truly an Ansatz (or working hypothesis).

In the continuous-time case, a similar form gives its stationary state except that $\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ is replaced by α , and an overall constant $\frac{p}{\beta}$ appears instead that the first D in the matrix product disappears, see (25) in [1]. However, the continuous-time limit (first replace $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha\Delta t, \beta \rightarrow \beta\Delta t$ and $p \rightarrow p\Delta t$, and then take the limit $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$) of the solution (32) is exactly the same as the solution to the continuous-time model.

At this stage, we don't know if Z converges and the solution (32) is meaningful. Note that the form (32) without the normalization constant always gives a stationary measure of our model, which is a solution to the balance equation (11) without the constraint (12). If there are two stationary measures μ and ν and $\sum_{\tau \in S} \mu(\tau)$ converges, ν is proportional to μ [23]. Thus the stationary state (32) is unique if Z is converges, and there is no stationary state if Z is diverges. In the next section, we obtain the condition on the parameters such that Z converges and a more explicit closed form for Z .

4 Phase diagram and average values

We can derive the following closed form for $\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta)$, see Appendix B for details:

$$\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{p}{\beta} \mathcal{Y}(\xi, \zeta)}, \quad (38)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Y}(\xi, \zeta) = \frac{\mathcal{X}(\xi, \zeta) - \xi(1 - \zeta) - \sqrt{(\mathcal{X}(\xi, \zeta) - \xi(1 - \zeta))^2 - 4\xi\zeta\mathcal{X}(\xi, \zeta)}}{2(1 + p\xi\zeta)}, \quad \mathcal{X}(\xi, \zeta) = (1 + p\xi)(1 + p\xi\zeta). \quad (39)$$

The power series $\mathcal{Z}(\xi, 1)$ (36) converges to $\frac{1}{1 - \frac{p}{\beta} \frac{(1+p\xi) - \sqrt{(1+p\xi)^2 - 4\xi}}{2}}$ when

$$\begin{cases} \xi \leq \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1-p}}{p}\right)^2 & \beta > 1 - \sqrt{1-p}, \\ \xi < \frac{(p-\beta)\beta}{p^2(1-\beta)} & \beta \leq 1 - \sqrt{1-p}. \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

Replacing ξ by $\frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)}$, we obtain the region where the normalization constant Z (35) converges as

$$\begin{cases} \alpha \leq \alpha_c = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-p}}{2} & \beta > 1 - \sqrt{1-p}, \\ \alpha < \alpha_c = \frac{\beta(p-\beta)}{p-\beta^2} & \beta \leq 1 - \sqrt{1-p}, \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

and its closed form as

$$Z = \frac{2(1-\alpha)\beta}{R - p + 2(1-\alpha)\beta}, \quad (42)$$

where $R = \sqrt{p(p - 4\alpha(1-\alpha))}$.

As we see Figure 6, the region (41) is embedded in the region $\alpha < \beta$ where the usual M/M/1 queueing process converges. The critical line $\alpha = \alpha_c$ consists of two parts; a curve and a straight line. Mathematically, the curve is given by a solution to the denominator of the form (42) = 0, and the straight line by a solution to $R = 0$. Physically, the two parts correspond to the stationary current of the open TASEP in the high-density phase ($\beta < \min(1 - \sqrt{1-p}, \alpha)$) and the maximal-current phase ($\alpha, \beta \geq 1 - \sqrt{1-p}$), respectively, see Table 1. This property is due to the form (35). In fact, the stationary current of the open TASEP on L -site chain with the entry probability 1 is given by

$$J_L = \frac{pc_L}{c_{L+1} + pc_L}, \quad (43)$$

where c_L is the coefficient of ξ^L in $\mathcal{Z}(\xi, 1)$. Note that the critical line for the continuous-time version of the model can be also written in terms of the stationary current of the continuous-time open TASEP [1], see Table 1 again.

Let us calculate some average values in the stationary state. We can calculate the average length of the system (the position of the leftmost particle) and the average number of particles in the system by differentiating $\ln \mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta)$ with respect to ξ and ζ , respectively, as

$$\langle L \rangle = \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \ln \mathcal{Z}(\xi, 1) \Big|_{\xi = \frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)}} = \frac{\alpha p(R - p + 2(1-\alpha))}{R(R - p + 2(1-\alpha)\beta)}, \quad (44)$$

$$\langle N \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \ln \mathcal{Z} \left(\frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)}, \zeta \right) \Big|_{\zeta=1} = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)(p - 2\alpha p + R)}{R(R - p + 2(1-\alpha)\beta)}. \quad (45)$$

discrete time (parallel update)	continuous time
entry probability α	entry rate α
exit probability β	exit rate β
hopping probability p	hopping rate p
J_∞	J_∞
$= \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-p}}{2} & \alpha, \beta \geq 1 - \sqrt{1-p} \\ \frac{\alpha(p-\alpha)}{p-\alpha^2} & \alpha \leq \min(1 - \sqrt{1-p}, \beta) \\ \frac{\beta(p-\beta)}{p-\beta^2} & \beta < \min(1 - \sqrt{1-p}, \alpha) \end{cases}$	$= \begin{cases} \frac{p}{4} & \alpha, \beta \geq \frac{p}{2} \\ \alpha(1 - \alpha/p) & \alpha \leq \min(\frac{p}{2}, \beta) \\ \beta(1 - \beta/p) & \beta < \min(\frac{p}{2}, \alpha) \end{cases}$
α	α
$= \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-p}}{2} & \beta \geq 1 - \sqrt{1-p} \\ \frac{\beta(p-\beta)}{p-\beta^2} & \beta < 1 - \sqrt{1-p} \end{cases}$	$= \begin{cases} \frac{p}{4} & \beta \geq \frac{p}{2} \\ \beta(1 - \beta/p) & \beta < \frac{p}{2} \end{cases}$

Table 1: Comparison with the continuous-time model. In the second row, the settings of the models are given. In the third row, the stationary currents of the open TASEPs in the limit where the length of the chain $L \rightarrow \infty$ are described. In the fourth row, the critical lines of the exclusive queueing processes are described. The right column is obtained by taking the continuous-time limit of the left column.

The number N_q of particles in the queue (i.e., $N_q = \#\{j \geq 2 | \tau_j = 1\}$ for $\tau_L \tau_{L-1} \dots \tau_1 \in S$ and $N_q = 0$ for \emptyset) is also one of the typical values in the queueing theory. We can calculate the average of N_q as

$$\langle N_q \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \sum_{L \geq 2} \xi^L \langle W | \zeta D (\zeta D + E)^{L-2} (D + E) | V \rangle \Big|_{\xi = \frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)}, \zeta=1} \quad (46)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left[\left(1 + \frac{p\xi(1-\zeta)}{\beta(1+p\xi\zeta)} \right) \mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta) - \frac{\beta + p\xi}{\beta} \right]_{\xi = \frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)}, \zeta=1} \quad (47)$$

$$= \langle N \rangle - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}. \quad (48)$$

Derivation of the second equality is given in Appendix C.

5 Case $p = 1$

In this section we treat the model with $p = 1$, where the bulk hopping rule is nothing but one of the typical deterministic cellular automata, i.e., rule 184. This case was analyzed in [25]. The matrices and the vectors have a two dimensional representation

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle W | = (1, \sqrt{\beta}), \quad |V\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (49)$$

see [21].

In the case where $p = 1$, each particle necessarily hops if its right neighbor site is empty. Thus, any configurations containing at least one sequence 00 are vanished in the stationary state. In fact, we can see

$$P(1\tau_{L-1} \dots 00 \dots \tau_1) = \frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)^L \langle W | \dots EE \dots | V \rangle = 0. \quad (50)$$

One can reduce the stationary-state probability to the following simpler expression: for $\tau = \tau_L \tau_{L-1} \dots \tau_1$




Figure 6: Phase diagram for the parallel-update exclusive queueing process. The critical line $\alpha = \alpha_c$ (solid line) divides the parameter space into the convergent phase and the divergent phase. We drew the dashed line representing the critical line of the usual M/M/1 queueing process as well. Note that there is a region $\alpha_c < (\text{or } \leq) \alpha < \beta$ where the M/M/1 queueing process converges but our new model diverges.

($\tau_L = 1$),

$$P(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \tau \text{ contains a sequence } 00 \\ \frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)^L \frac{(1-\beta)^{2\#\{j|\tau_j=1\}-L-\tau_1}}{\beta^{\#\{j|\tau_j=1\}}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

Thanks to the two dimensional representation, calculating $\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta)$ is an easy exercise:

$$\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta) = 1 + \xi \zeta \langle W | D(1 - \xi(\zeta D + E))^{-1} | V \rangle = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\xi \zeta (1 + \xi)}{\beta(1 + \xi \zeta)}}, \quad (52)$$

$$Z = \frac{\beta(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha - \alpha\beta}. \quad (53)$$

The square root is vanished in Z , and thus the critical line loses the straight line part, see Figure 7:

$$\alpha_c = \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta}. \quad (54)$$

The average length and the average numbers of particles in the system and the queue are simplified as

$$\langle L \rangle = \frac{\alpha}{\beta - \alpha - \alpha\beta}, \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{\alpha(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - \alpha - \alpha\beta}, \quad \langle N_q \rangle = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta(\beta - \alpha - \alpha\beta)}. \quad (55)$$

It is also easy to calculate the probability distributions of L and N by expanding $\mathcal{Z}(\xi, 1)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \zeta)$ around




Figure 7: Phase diagram for $p = 1$. The critical line $\alpha = \alpha_c$ (solid line) divides the parameter space into the convergent phase and the divergent phase. We drew the dashed line representing the critical line of the usual M/M/1 queueing process as well. Note that there is a region $\alpha_c \leq \alpha < \beta$ where the M/M/1 queueing process converges but our new model diverges.

$\xi = 0$ and $\zeta = 0$, respectively:

$$\text{Prob}[\text{the length of the system} = L] = \frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)^L \frac{1}{L!} \left. \frac{\partial^L \mathcal{Z}(\xi, 1)}{\partial \xi^L} \right|_{\xi=0} = \frac{\beta - \alpha\beta - \alpha}{\beta(1-\alpha)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta(1-\alpha)} \right)^L, \quad (56)$$

Prob[the number of particles in the system = N]

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \frac{1}{N!} \left. \frac{\partial^N \mathcal{Z}(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \zeta)}{\partial \zeta^N} \right|_{\zeta=0} = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta - \alpha\beta - \alpha}{\beta(1-\alpha)} & N = 0, \\ \frac{\beta - \alpha\beta - \alpha}{\beta(1-\beta + \alpha\beta)(1-\alpha)} \left(\frac{\alpha(1-\beta + \alpha\beta)}{\beta(1-\alpha)^2} \right)^N & N \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (57)$$

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced an extension of the discrete-time M/M/1 queueing process with excluded-volume effect (exclusive queueing process). We took the parallel-update scheme. A stationary-state solution was obtained in a slightly arranged matrix product form for the parallel-update open TASEP. We found that the critical line which separates the parameter space into the regions with and without the stationary state is given by the stationary current of the open TASEP in the maximal-current phase and the high-density phase. Particularly, we should note that the entry rate cannot be greater than the maximal current of the TASEP if the model converges. We calculated the average length of the system (the position of the leftmost particle) and the average number of particles in the system. These results recover the stationary state, the critical line and the average values of the continuous-time version of the exclusive queueing process [1], in the limit where the time interval $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$. In this sense, our discrete-time model is a generalization of the continuous-time model. We calculated the average number of particles in the queue as well.

When $p = 1$, i.e. the bulk hopping is deterministic, the matrices and vectors constructing the stationary-state solution have a two-dimensional representation. The probability distributions of the length of the system and the number of particles are both geometric in this case.

We leave calculation of the density profile and correlation functions in the stationary state as future works. In the TASEP with the ordinary open boundary condition, a domain wall theory explains its phase diagram successfully [17]. Investigating how our model converges to the stationary state or diverges with a similar argument will be also an interesting study.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by Global COE Program “Education and Research Hub for Mathematics-for-Industry” and Grants-in-Aid (for Young Scientists (B) 22740106 and for JSPS Fellows 20·10918) from JSPS.

A Reduction of the balance equation

In this section, we derive Equations (23)-(25). We calculate the action of \mathcal{T} on P under the assumption (13) and (14). Let us take a short-hand $a = \frac{\alpha}{p(1-\alpha)}$. For a configuration 11τ with $|11\tau| = L$, the action of \mathcal{T} is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z\mathcal{T}P(11\tau) \\
&= Z \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{11}(\tau)} W(11\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau)P(11\tau') + Z \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{11}(\tau)} W(101\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau)P(101\tau') \\
&\quad + Z \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{11}(\tau)} W(1\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau)P(1\tau') \\
&= \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{11}(\tau)} W(11\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau)a^L f_L(11\tau') + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{11}(\tau)} W(101\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau)a^{L+1} f_{L+1}(101\tau') \\
&\quad + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{11}(\tau)} W(1\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau)a^{L-1} f_{L-1}(1\tau') \\
&= a^L \left(\sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{11}(\tau)} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} W(11\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau) f_L(11\tau') \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{11}(\tau)} \frac{1}{\alpha} W(1\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau) f_L(01\tau') \right), \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$W(101\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau) = pW(11\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau) = \frac{p(1-\alpha)}{\alpha} W(1\tau' \rightarrow 11\tau) \tag{59}$$

and

$$f_{L+1}(101\tau') = f_L(11\tau') + pf_{L-1}(1\tau'), \quad pf_{L-1}(1\tau') = f_L(01\tau'). \tag{60}$$

From $P(11\tau) = \mathcal{T}P(11\tau)$, we get (23).

For a configuration 101τ with $|101\tau| = L$, the action of \mathcal{T} is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& ZTP(101\tau) \\
&= Z \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} W(101\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau)P(101\tau') + Z \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} W(110\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau)P(110\tau') \\
&+ Z \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} W(10\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau)P(10\tau') + Z \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} W(1010\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau)P(1010\tau') \\
&+ Z \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} W(1001\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau)P(1001\tau') \\
&= \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} W(101\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau)a^L f_L(101\tau') + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} W(110\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau)a^L f_L(110\tau') \\
&+ \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} W(10\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau)a^{L-1} f_{L-1}(10\tau') + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} W(1010\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau)a^{L+1} f_{L+1}(1010\tau') \\
&+ \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} W(1001\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau)a^{L+1} f_{L+1}(1001\tau') \\
&= a^L \left(\sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} W(101\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau) f_L(101\tau') + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} W(110\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau) f_L(110\tau') \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{101}(\tau)} \frac{1}{\alpha} W(10\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau) f_L(10\tau') \right), \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$W(1001\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau) = \frac{p}{1-p} W(101\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau), \tag{62}$$

$$W(1010\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau) = pW(110\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau) = \frac{p(1-\alpha)}{\alpha} W(10\tau' \rightarrow 101\tau), \tag{63}$$

and

$$f_{L+1}(1010\tau') = f_L(110\tau') + p f_{L-1}(10\tau'), \tag{64}$$

$$p f_{L-1}(10\tau') = f_L(10\tau'), \quad f_{L+1}(1001\tau') = (1-p) f_L(101\tau'). \tag{65}$$

From $P(101\tau) = \mathcal{T}P(101\tau)$, we get (24).

For a configuration 100τ with $|100\tau| = L$, the action of \mathcal{T} is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& ZTP(100\tau) \\
&= Z \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{100}(\tau)} W(10\tau' \rightarrow 100\tau)P(10\tau') + Z \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{100}(\tau)} W(100\tau' \rightarrow 100\tau)P(100\tau') \\
&= \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{100}(\tau)} W(10\tau' \rightarrow 100\tau)a^L f_L(10\tau') + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{100}(\tau)} W(100\tau' \rightarrow 100\tau)a^{L+1} f_{L+1}(100\tau') \\
&= a^L \left(\sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{100}(\tau)} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} W(10\tau' \rightarrow 100\tau) f_L(10\tau') \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\tau' \in \mathcal{A}_{100}(\tau)} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)(1-p)} W(100\tau' \rightarrow 100\tau) f_L(100\tau') \right), \tag{66}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$W(100\tau' \rightarrow 100\tau) = \frac{p}{1-p} W(10\tau' \rightarrow 100\tau) \tag{67}$$

and

$$f_{L+1}(100\tau') = (1-p) f_L(10\tau'), \quad f_L(100\tau') = 0. \tag{68}$$

From $P(100\tau) = \mathcal{T}P(100\tau)$, we get (25).

B Derivation of $\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta)$

According to [10], $D, E, \langle W|$ and $|V\rangle$ can be reduced as

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ D_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle W| = (\langle W_1| \quad \langle W_2|), \quad |V\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |V_1\rangle \\ |V_2\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (69)$$

where matrices D_1, D_2, E_1 and E_2 , and vectors $\langle W_1|, \langle W_2|, |V_1\rangle$ and $|V_2\rangle$ satisfy

$$D_1 E_1 = (1-p)(D_1 + E_1 + p), \quad (70)$$

$$D_1 |V_1\rangle = \frac{p(1-\beta)}{\beta} |V_1\rangle, \quad \langle W_1| E_1 = 0, \quad (71)$$

$$E_2 D_2 = p(D_1 + E_1 + p), \quad (72)$$

$$E_2 |V_2\rangle = p |V_1\rangle, \quad \langle W_2| D_2 = \langle W_1| p. \quad (73)$$

We can easily show that $D, E, \langle W|$ and $|V\rangle$ satisfy the relations (33) from the relation (70)-(73). In view of the normalization (34), we impose $\langle W_1|V_1\rangle = 1$. The following gives a representation for these matrices and vectors, which will not be used in calculating $\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_1| &= (1, 0, 0, \dots), \quad \langle W_2| = \sqrt{\beta}(0, 1, -\sqrt{1-p}, (-\sqrt{1-p})^2, \dots), \\ D_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p(1-\beta)}{\beta} & \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{\beta}} & & & & \\ & 1-p & \sqrt{1-p} & & & \\ & & 1-p & \sqrt{1-p} & & \\ & & & 1-p & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \sqrt{\frac{p}{1-p}} E_1, \\ E_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{\beta}} & 1-p & & & & \\ & \sqrt{1-p} & 1-p & & & \\ & & \sqrt{1-p} & 1-p & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \sqrt{\frac{p}{1-p}} D_1, \\ |V_1\rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad |V_2\rangle = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \sqrt{\frac{1-p}{p}} |V_1\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where we arranged the representation (6.6)-(6.11) in [10].

To calculate $\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta)$, we imitate the calculation (52) for $p = 1$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta) &= 1 + \sum_{L \geq 1} \xi^L \langle W| \zeta D (\zeta D + E)^{L-1} |V\rangle \\ &= 1 + \xi \zeta \langle W| D (1 - \xi(\zeta D + E))^{-1} |V\rangle \\ &= 1 + \xi \zeta (\langle W_1| \quad \langle W_2|) \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ D_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \xi \zeta D_1 - \xi E_1 & -\xi E_2 \\ -\xi \zeta D_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} |V_1\rangle \\ |V_2\rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 1 + \xi \zeta (\langle W_1| \quad \langle W_2|) \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ D_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & \xi X E_2 \\ \xi \zeta D_2 X & 1 + \xi^2 \zeta D_2 X E_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |V_1\rangle \\ |V_2\rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 1 + \xi \zeta (\langle W_1| D_1 + \langle W_2| D_2) (X |V_1\rangle + \xi X E_2 |V_2\rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

where $X^{-1} = 1 - \xi \zeta D_1 - \xi E_1 - \xi^2 \zeta E_2 D_2$. Using the relation (72), we can eliminate D_2 and E_2 in X as

$$X^{-1} = (1 - p^2 \xi^2 \zeta) - \xi \zeta (1 + p \xi) D_1 - \xi (1 + p \xi \zeta) E_1. \quad (76)$$

Furthermore, using the relation (73), we can eliminate $D_2, E_2, \langle W_2 |$ and $|V_2\rangle$ in $\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta) &= 1 + \xi\zeta \langle W_1 | (D_1 + p)X(1 + p\xi) | V_1 \rangle \\
&= 1 + \langle W_1 | \{ \xi\zeta(1 + p\xi)D_1 + p\xi\zeta(1 + p\xi) \} X | V_1 \rangle \\
&= 1 + \langle W_1 | \{ -X^{-1} + (1 + p\xi\zeta) - \xi(1 + p\xi\zeta)E_1 \} X | V_1 \rangle \\
&= (1 + p\xi\zeta) \langle W_1 | X | V_1 \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

In the last equality, we used the second relation of (71) and $\langle W_1 | V_1 \rangle = 1$. Now we borrow an idea from Section 4.3 of [3], where the generating function of the TASEP with a single defect particle on a ring was obtained. Set

$$D' = aD_1 + b, \quad E' = cE_1 + d \tag{78}$$

with $a = \sqrt{\frac{u}{1-p}}, b = 1 - \sqrt{u(1-p)}, c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u(1-p)}}$ and $d = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1-p}{u}}$, and we get

$$D'E' = D' + E', \tag{79}$$

$$\langle W_1 | E' = d \langle W_1 |, \quad D' | V_1 \rangle = \left(\frac{p(1-\beta)}{\beta} a + b \right) | V_1 \rangle =: f | V_1 \rangle, \tag{80}$$

noting the relations (70) and (71). Set $u = \frac{\zeta(1+p\xi)}{1+p\xi\zeta}$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
X^{-1} &= (1 - p^2\xi^2\zeta) - \xi(1 + p\xi\zeta)(E_1 + uD_1) \\
&= g - h(D' + E'),
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

where

$$g = (1 - p^2\xi^2\zeta) + \xi(1 + p\xi\zeta)\frac{b+d}{c}, \quad h = \frac{\xi(1 + p\xi\zeta)}{c}. \tag{82}$$

Set $\omega(1 - \omega) = \frac{h}{g}$, and we get

$$X = \frac{1}{g} \left(1 - \frac{h}{g}(D' + E') \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{g} (1 - \omega E')^{-1} (1 - \omega D')^{-1}. \tag{83}$$

Finally, we achieve

$$\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta) = \frac{1 + p\xi\zeta}{g} \langle W_1 | (1 - \omega E')^{-1} (1 - \omega D')^{-1} | V_1 \rangle = \frac{1 + p\xi\zeta}{g(1 - \omega d)(1 - \omega f)}, \tag{84}$$

which can be simplified as (38) and expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta) &= 1 + \sum_{L \geq N \geq 1} a_{LN} \xi^L \zeta^N, \\
a_{LN} &= (1-p)^{L-2N} \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(L-k-1)!(N-1)!(-p)^k}{(L-N)!(N-k-1)!k!(N-k)!} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[\left(\frac{p}{\beta} \right)^{k+1} \frac{(L-k-2)!}{(N-k-1)!(L-N-1)!} \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{(k-\ell+1) \cdot N!}{\ell!(N-\ell)!} (-\beta)^\ell \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

$$\tag{86}$$

C Derivation of $\langle N_q \rangle$

In this section, we show

$$\sum_{L \geq 2} \xi^L \langle W | \zeta D (\zeta D + E)^{L-2} (D + E) | V \rangle = \left(1 + \frac{p\xi(1-\zeta)}{\beta(1+p\xi\zeta)} \right) \mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta) - \frac{\beta + p\xi}{\beta}. \tag{87}$$

The left-hand side is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{L \geq 2} \xi^L \langle W | \zeta D (\zeta D + E)^{L-2} (D + E) | V \rangle \\
&= \sum_{L \geq 1} \xi^L \langle W | D (\zeta D + E)^{L-1} | V \rangle \\
&\quad - (1 - \zeta) \sum_{L \geq 2} \xi^L \langle W | D (\zeta D + E)^{L-2} E | V \rangle - \xi \langle W | D | V \rangle \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta) - 1}{\zeta} - \xi^2 (1 - \zeta) \langle W | D (1 - \xi(\zeta D + E))^{-1} E | V \rangle - \frac{p\xi}{\beta}
\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

Recall the formula

$$(1 - \xi(\zeta D + E))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} X & \xi X E_2 \\ \xi \zeta D_2 X & 1 + \xi^2 \zeta D_2 X E_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{89}$$

Using this and the relations (71) and (73), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle W | D (1 - \xi(\zeta D + E))^{-1} E | V \rangle \\
&= \langle W_1 | (D_1 + p) X (E_1 + p) | V_1 \rangle \\
&= \langle W_1 | \frac{(1 + p\xi\zeta) - \xi(1 + p\xi\zeta)E_1 - X^{-1}}{\xi\zeta(1 + p\xi)} X \frac{(1 + p\xi) - \xi\zeta(1 + p\xi)D_1 - X^{-1}}{\xi(1 + p\xi\zeta)} | V_1 \rangle \\
&= \frac{\langle W_1 | \{ (1 + p\xi\zeta) - X^{-1} \} X \left\{ (1 + p\xi) \left(1 - \frac{p(1-\beta)\xi\zeta}{\beta} \right) - X^{-1} \right\} | V_1 \rangle}{\xi^2 \zeta (1 + p\xi) (1 + p\xi\zeta)}
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

Finally, noting $\langle W_1 | X | V_1 \rangle = \frac{\mathcal{Z}(\xi, \zeta)}{1 + p\xi\zeta}$, $\langle W_1 | V_1 \rangle = 1$ and the relation (71), we achieve (87).

References

- [1] Arita, C.: Queueing process with excluded-volume effect. *Phys. Rev. E* **80**(5), 051119 (2009)
- [2] Barabasi, A.L.: The origin of bursts and heavy tails in human dynamics. *Nature* **435**, 207–211 (2005)
- [3] Blythe, R.A., Evans, M.R.: Nonequilibrium steady states of matrix product form: A solver’s guide. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **40**, R333 (2007)
- [4] Burke, P.J.: The output of a queueing system. *Operations Research* **4**(6), 699–704 (1956)
- [5] Burstedde, C., Klauck, K., Schadschneider, A., Zittartz, J.: Simulation of pedestrian dynamics using a two-dimensional cellular automaton. *Physica A* **295**, 507 (2001)
- [6] Chowdhury, D., Santen, L., Schadschneider, A.: Statistical physics of vehicular traffic and some related systems. *Phys. Rep.* **329**, 199 (2000)
- [7] Derrida, B., Evans, M.R., Hakim, V., Pasquier, V.: An exact solution of a 1d asymmetric exclusion model using a matrix formulation. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26**, 1493 (1993)
- [8] Erlang, A.K.: The theory of probabilities and telephone conversations. *Nyt. Tidsskr. Mat. Ser. B* **20**, 33–39 (1909)
- [9] Erlang, A.K.: Solution of some problems in the theory of probabilities of significance in automatic telephone exchanges. *Post Office Electr. Eng. J.* **10**, 189–197 (1917)
- [10] Evans, M.R., Rajewsky, N., Speer, E.R.: Exact solution of a cellular automata for traffic. *J. Stat. Phys.* **95**, 45–96 (1999)
- [11] Helbing, D., Jiang, R., Treiber, M.: Analytical investigation of oscillations in intersecting flows of pedestrian and vehicle traffic. *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 046130 (2005)

- [12] Helbing, D., Treiber, M., Kesting, A.: Understanding interarrival and interdeparture time statistics from interactions in queueing systems. *Physica A* **363**, 62–72 (2006)
- [13] Jackson, J.R.: Networks of waiting lines. *Operations Research* **5**(4), 518–521 (1957)
- [14] Jain, J.L., Mohanty, S.G., Böhm, W.: *A Course on Queueing Models*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton (2006)
- [15] Kendall, D.G.: Some problems in the theory of queues. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B* **13**(2), 151–158 (1951)
- [16] Kendall, D.G.: Stochastic processes occurring in the theory of queues and their analysis by the method of the imbedded Markov chain. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **24**(3), 338–354 (1953)
- [17] Kolomeisky, A.B., Schütz, G.M., Kolomeisky, E.B., Straley, J.P.: Phase diagram of one-dimensional driven lattice gases with open boundaries. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 6911 (1998)
- [18] Liggett, T. M.: *Stochastic Interacting Systems: Contact, Voter and Exclusion Processes*, Springer, New York (1999)
- [19] Little, J.C.D.: A proof for the queueing formula: $L = \lambda W$. *Operations Research* **9**(3), 383–387 (1961)
- [20] Mukherjee, G., Manna, S.S.: Phase transition in a directed traffic flow network. *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 066108 (2005)
- [21] Rajewsky, N., Santen, L., Schadschneider, A., Schreckenberg: The asymmetric exclusion process: Comparison of update procedures. *J. Stat. Phys.* **92**, 151 (1998)
- [22] Rogsch, C., Schadschneider, A., Seyfried, A., Klingsch, W.: How to select the “right one” - update schemes for pedestrian movement in simulation and reality. *Proceedings of the Traffic and Granular Flow '09* (to be published)
- [23] Schinazi, R. B.: *Classical and spatial stochastic processes*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1999)
- [24] Schütz, G.M.: Exactly solvable models for many-body systems far from equilibrium, *Phase transitions and critical phenomena*, Vol. 19, C. Domb and J. Lebowitz eds., Academic, New York (2001)
- [25] Yanagisawa, D., Tomoeda, A., Jiang, R., Nishinari, K.: Excluded volume effect in queueing theory. *JSIAM Lett.* **2**, 61 (2010)

List of MI Preprint Series, Kyushu University

The Global COE Program
Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub

MI

- MI2008-1 Takahiro ITO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI
Abstract collision systems simulated by cellular automata
- MI2008-2 Eiji ONODERA
The initial value problem for a third-order dispersive flow into compact almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-3 Hiroaki KIDO
On isosceles sets in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space
- MI2008-4 Hirofumi NOTSU
Numerical computations of cavity flow problems by a pressure stabilized characteristic-curve finite element scheme
- MI2008-5 Yoshiyasu OZEKI
Torsion points of abelian varieties with values in infinite extensions over a p -adic field
- MI2008-6 Yoshiyuki TOMIYAMA
Lifting Galois representations over arbitrary number fields
- MI2008-7 Takehiro HIROTSU & Setsuo TANIGUCHI
The random walk model revisited
- MI2008-8 Silvia GANDY, Masaaki KANNO, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA
Optimizing a particular real root of a polynomial by a special cylindrical algebraic decomposition
- MI2008-9 Kazufumi KIMOTO, Sho MATSUMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA
Alpha-determinant cyclic modules and Jacobi polynomials

- MI2008-10 Sangyeol LEE & Hiroki MASUDA
Jarque-Bera Normality Test for the Driving Lévy Process of a Discretely Observed Univariate SDE
- MI2008-11 Hiroyuki CHIHARA & Eiji ONODERA
A third order dispersive flow for closed curves into almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-12 Takehiko KINOSHITA, Kouji HASHIMOTO and Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO
On the L^2 a priori error estimates to the finite element solution of elliptic problems with singular adjoint operator
- MI2008-13 Jacques FARAUT and Masato WAKAYAMA
Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type and multivariate Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials
- MI2008-14 Takashi NAKAMURA
Riemann zeta-values, Euler polynomials and the best constant of Sobolev inequality
- MI2008-15 Takashi NAKAMURA
Some topics related to Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions
- MI2009-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Global time evolution of viscous vortex rings
- MI2009-2 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI
Regularized functional regression modeling for functional response and predictors
- MI2009-3 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI
Variable selection for functional regression model via the L_1 regularization
- MI2009-4 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-5 Toshiro HIRANOUCI & Yuichiro TAGUCHI
Flat modules and Groebner bases over truncated discrete valuation rings

- MI2009-6 Kenji KAJIWARA & Yasuhiro OHTA
Bilinearization and Casorati determinant solutions to non-autonomous 1+1 dimensional discrete soliton equations
- MI2009-7 Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around the plane Couette flow
- MI2009-8 Shohei TATEISHI, Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear regression modeling via the lasso-type regularization
- MI2009-9 Takeshi TAKAISHI & Masato KIMURA
Phase field model for mode III crack growth in two dimensional elasticity
- MI2009-10 Shingo SAITO
Generalisation of Mack's formula for claims reserving with arbitrary exponents for the variance assumption
- MI2009-11 Kenji KAJIWARA, Masanobu KANEKO, Atsushi NOBE & Teruhisa TSUDA
Ultradiscretization of a solvable two-dimensional chaotic map associated with the Hesse cubic curve
- MI2009-12 Tetsu MASUDA
Hypergeometric q -functions of the q -Painlevé system of type $E_8^{(1)}$
- MI2009-13 Hidenao IWANE, Hitoshi YANAMI, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA
A Practical Implementation of a Symbolic-Numeric Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition for Quantifier Elimination
- MI2009-14 Yasunori MAEKAWA
On Gaussian decay estimates of solutions to some linear elliptic equations and its applications
- MI2009-15 Yuya ISHIHARA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI
Large time behavior of the semigroup on L^p spaces associated with the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation in a cylindrical domain

- MI2009-16 Chikashi ARITA, Atsuo KUNIBA, Kazumitsu SAKAI & Tsuyoshi SAWABE
Spectrum in multi-species asymmetric simple exclusion process on a ring
- MI2009-17 Masato WAKAYAMA & Keitaro YAMAMOTO
Non-linear algebraic differential equations satisfied by certain family of elliptic functions
- MI2009-18 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Local Instability of an Elliptical Flow Subjected to a Coriolis Force
- MI2009-19 Mitsunori KAYANO & Sadanori KONISHI
Sparse functional principal component analysis via regularized basis expansions and its application
- MI2009-20 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-21 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Yoshihiro MIWA & Masanobu KANEKO
Elliptic curves and Fibonacci numbers arising from Lindenmayer system with symbolic computations
- MI2009-22 Eiji ONODERA
A remark on the global existence of a third order dispersive flow into locally Hermitian symmetric spaces
- MI2009-23 Stjepan LUGOMER & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Generation of ribbons, helicoids and complex scherk surface in laser-matter Interactions
- MI2009-24 Yu KAWAKAMI
Recent progress in value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss map
- MI2009-25 Takehiko KINOSHITA & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO
On very accurate enclosure of the optimal constant in the a priori error estimates for H_0^2 -projection

- MI2009-26 Manabu YOSHIDA
Ramification of local fields and Fontaine's property (Pm)
- MI2009-27 Yu KAWAKAMI
Value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss maps for flat fronts in hyperbolic three-space
- MI2009-28 Masahisa TABATA
Numerical simulation of fluid movement in an hourglass by an energy-stable finite element scheme
- MI2009-29 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA
Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to evolution equations in the presence of translation and scaling invariance
- MI2009-30 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA
On asymptotic behaviors of solutions to parabolic systems modelling chemo-taxis
- MI2009-31 Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI
Hecke's zeros and higher depth determinants
- MI2009-32 Olivier PIRONNEAU & Masahisa TABATA
Stability and convergence of a Galerkin-characteristics finite element scheme of lumped mass type
- MI2009-33 Chikashi ARITA
Queueing process with excluded-volume effect
- MI2009-34 Kenji KAJIWARA, Nobutaka NAKAZONO & Teruhisa TSUDA
Projective reduction of the discrete Painlevé system of type $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$
- MI2009-35 Yosuke MIZUYAMA, Takamasa SHINDE, Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI
Finite element computation for scattering problems of micro-hologram using DtN map

- MI2009-36 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
Exact simulation of finite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2009-37 Hiroki MASUDA
On statistical aspects in calibrating a geometric skewed stable asset price model
- MI2010-1 Hiroki MASUDA
Approximate self-weighted LAD estimation of discretely observed ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2010-2 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
Infinite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with discrete observations
- MI2010-3 Kei HIROSE, Shuichi KAWANO, Daisuke MIIKE & Sadanori KONISHI
Hyper-parameter selection in Bayesian structural equation models
- MI2010-4 Nobuyuki IKEDA & Setsuo TANIGUCHI
The Itô-Nisio theorem, quadratic Wiener functionals, and 1-solitons
- MI2010-5 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI
Nonlinear regression modeling and detecting change point via the relevance vector machine
- MI2010-6 Shuichi KAWANO, Toshihiro MISUMI & Sadanori KONISHI
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via graph-based regularization
- MI2010-7 Teruhisa TSUDA
UC hierarchy and monodromy preserving deformation
- MI2010-8 Takahiro ITO
Abstract collision systems on groups
- MI2010-9 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Kinji KIMURA, Naoki YOSHIDA, Junko TANAKA & Yoshihiro MIWA
An algebraic approach to underdetermined experiments

- MI2010-10 Kei HIROSE & Sadanori KONISHI
Variable selection via the grouped weighted lasso for factor analysis models
- MI2010-11 Katsusuke NABESHIMA & Hiroshi YOSHIDA
Derivation of specific conditions with Comprehensive Groebner Systems
- MI2010-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI, Yu NAGAFUCHI & Takeshi SUDOU
Decay estimates on solutions of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a Poiseuille type flow
- MI2010-13 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
On simulation of tempered stable random variates
- MI2010-14 Yoshiyasu OZEKI
Non-existence of certain Galois representations with a uniform tame inertia weight
- MI2010-15 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO
Local Instability of a Rotating Flow Driven by Precession of Arbitrary Frequency
- MI2010-16 Yu KAWAKAMI & Daisuke NAKAJO
The value distribution of the Gauss map of improper affine spheres
- MI2010-17 Kazunori YASUTAKE
On the classification of rank 2 almost Fano bundles on projective space
- MI2010-18 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
Scaling limits for the system of semi-relativistic particles coupled to a scalar bose field
- MI2010-19 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
Local asymptotic normality for normal inverse Gaussian Lévy processes with high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-20 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO, Makoto HIROTA & Youichi MIE
Lagrangian approach to weakly nonlinear stability of an elliptical flow

- MI2010-21 Hiroki MASUDA
Approximate quadratic estimating function for discretely observed Lévy driven SDEs with application to a noise normality test
- MI2010-22 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
A Generalized Scaling Limit and its Application to the Semi-Relativistic Particles System Coupled to a Bose Field with Removing Ultraviolet Cutoffs
- MI2010-23 Takahiro ITO, Mitsuhiko FUJIO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI
Composition, union and division of cellular automata on groups
- MI2010-24 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
A Hardy's Uncertainty Principle Lemma in Weak Commutation Relations of Heisenberg-Lie Algebra
- MI2010-25 Toshimitsu TAKAESU
On the Essential Self-Adjointness of Anti-Commutative Operators
- MI2010-26 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA
On the local asymptotic behavior of the likelihood function for Meixner Lévy processes under high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-27 Chikashi ARITA & Daichi YANAGISAWA
Exclusive Queueing Process with Discrete Time