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#### Abstract

The concept of rigid spherical $t$-designs was introduced by Eiichi Bannai. We want to find examples of rigid but not tight spherical designs. Sali investigated the case when $X$ is an orbit of a finite reflection group and proved that $X$ is rigid if and only if tight for the groups $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}$, $D_{n}, E_{6}, E_{7}, F_{4}, H_{3}$. There are two cases left open, namely the group $E_{8}$ and the isometry group $H_{4}$ of the four dimensional regular polytope, the 600 -cell. In this paper, we study the rigidity of spherical $t$-designs $X$ that are orbits of a finite reflection groups $E_{8}$ and $H_{4}$, and prove that $X$ is rigid if and only if tight or the 600 -cell.


## 1 Introduction

Spherical $t$-designs were introduced by Delsarte, Goethals and Seidel [10]. A finite nonempty set $X$ in the unit sphere

$$
\mathbb{S}^{d}:=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \mid x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{d+1}^{2}=1\right\}
$$

is called a spherical $t$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d}$ if and only if the equality

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\mathbb{S}^{d}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d}} f(x) d \omega(x)=\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} f(x)
$$

holds for all polynomials $f(x)=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d+1}\right)$ of degree at most $t$. Here, the left-hand side involves integration on the unit sphere, and $\left|\mathbb{S}^{d}\right|$ denotes the volume of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d}$.

It is known [10] that there is a lower bound (Fischer-type inequality) for the size of a spherical $t$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d}$.
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Theorem 1.1 (Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel). Let $X$ be a spherical $t$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d}$. Then

$$
|X| \geq \begin{cases}\binom{d+t / 2}{d}+\left({ }^{d+t / 2-1}\right), & \text { if } t \text { is even } \\ 2\left({ }_{d}^{d+(t-1) / 2}\right), & \text { if } t \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

If equality holds, then $X$ is called tight spherical $t$-design.
The concept of the rigidity was introduced by Bannai [1]. Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be a spherical $t$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d} . X$ is said to be non-rigid or deformable, if for any given $\epsilon>0$ there exist another spherical $t$-design $X^{\prime}=\left\{x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $\left|x_{i}-x_{i}^{\prime}\right|<\epsilon$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and there exists no orthogonal transformation $g \in O(d+1)$ with $g\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{\prime} . X$ is said to be rigid if it is not non-rigid.

If $X, X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are spherical $t$-designs in $\mathbb{S}^{d}$, then the following hold.
(1) For any $\sigma \in O(d+1), X^{\sigma}:=\left\{x^{\sigma} \mid x \in X\right\}$ is spherical $t$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d}$.
(2) If $X_{1} \cap X_{2}=\emptyset$, then $X_{1} \cup X_{2}$ is spherical $t$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d}$.

The property (2) means that we can make many spherical $t$-designs from given spherical $t$-designs. However spherical $t$-designs, that are disjoint union of spherical $t$-designs, are not "new" spherical $t$-designs. Such spherical $t$-designs is clearly non-rigid. Therefore rigid spherical $t$-designs are essential objects of study of spherical $t$-designs.

Bannai conjectured the following two propositions about rigid spherical $t$ design.

Conjecture 1.1 (Bannai, [1]). There exist a function $f(d, t)$ such that if $X$ is a spherical $t$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d}$ such that $|X|>f(d, t)$, then $X$ is non-rigid.
Conjecture 1.2 (Bannai, [1]). For each fixed pair d and $t$, there are only finitely many rigid spherical $t$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d}$ up to orthogonal transformations.

Lyubich and Vaserstein proved that Conjecture 1.1 and 1.2 are equivalent [12]. These conjecture are supported by the fact that the known rigid $t$-designs are very rare. Bannai proves this for dimension 1 , by showing that any rigid spherical $t$-design $X$ in $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ consists of the vertices of a regular $(k+1)$-gon with $t \leq k \leq 2 t$.

Because the distances between points of a tight spherical design are described by a theorem of Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel [10], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1. A tight spherical t-design is rigid.
Unfortunately, tight spherical $t$-designs rarely exist [5], and it was proved that if a tight spherical $t$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d}$ with $d \geq 2$ exists, then necessarily either $t \leq 5$, or $t=7,11[3,4]$. We want to find examples of rigid but not tight spherical $t$-designs.

The following theorem, which was proved by Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel, is very useful for getting examples of spherical $t$-designs.
Theorem 1.2 (Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel). For a finite subgroup $G$ of $O(d+1)$ the following conditions are equivalent:

1. every $G$-orbit is a spherical $t$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d}$,
2. there are no $G$-invariant harmonic polynomials of degree $1,2, \ldots, t$.

Let $q_{i}$ be the dimension of the space of $G$-invariant harmonic polynomials of degree $i$. If we know the eigenvalue of each $g \in G$, then we determine $t$ by the harmonic Molien series

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_{i} \lambda^{i}=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1-\lambda^{2}}{\operatorname{det}\left(I_{d+1}-\lambda g\right)}
$$

where $I_{d+1}$ is the $(d+1) \times(d+1)$ identity matrix [14, 11, Corollary 6.4].
Let $W$ be a finite irreducible reflection group in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. It is known that finite irreducible reflection groups are classified completely [6]. Let integers $1=m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq \cdots \leq m_{d+1}$ be the exponents of $W$ (please see [6, Ch.V, $\S 6$ ]). The exponents of $W$ is important for the following theorem [7, Ch.VIII, 88 , Corollary 1 ].

Theorem 1.3. Let $W$ be a finite reflection group. Let $q_{i}$ be the dimension of the space of $W$-invariant harmonic polynomials of degree $i$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_{i} \lambda^{i}=\prod_{i=2}^{d+1} \frac{1}{1-\lambda^{1+m_{i}}} .
$$

Therefore every orbit $X=\left\{x^{w} \mid w \in W\right\}$ is a spherical $m_{2}$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d}$.
If $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{d+1}$ are the fundamental roots, then the corner vectors $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d+1}$ are defined by $v_{i} \perp \alpha_{j}$ if and only if $i \neq j$. The following proposition is immediate.

Proposition 1.2 (Sali, [13, Proposition 1.13]). If $X=\left\{x^{w} \mid w \in W\right\}$ is such that $x$ is not a corner vector of $W$, then $X$ is non-rigid spherical $m_{2}$-design.

The following lemma is useful for proving the non-rigidity.
Lemma 1.1 (Sali, [13, Lemma 2.3]). Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{d}$ is a spherical $t$ design. Let $Y \subset X$ satisfy $Y \subset U^{r} \cup \mathbb{S}^{d}$ where $U^{r}$ is an $r$-dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}(1<r \leq d+1)$. That is, $U^{r}=\left\{z_{0}+x \mid x \in T^{r}\right\}$ where $T^{r}$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. Furthermore, let us assume that

$$
\tilde{Y}=\left\{\left.\frac{y-z_{0}}{\left|y-z_{0}\right|} \right\rvert\, y \in Y\right\}
$$

forms a $t$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{r-1}$. If $X \backslash Y$ spans $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, then $X$ is non-rigid.
Sali proved the following theorem by finding sub- $t$-designs in affine subspaces.
Theorem 1.4 (Sali, [13, Theorem 1.4]). Let $W$ be any of the following reflection groups.

1. $A_{n}$ for $n=3,4 \ldots$
2. $B_{n}$ for $n=3,4, \ldots$
3. $C_{n}$ for $n=3,4, \ldots$
4. $D_{n}$ for $n=4,5, \ldots$
5. $E_{6}, E_{7}, F_{4}, H_{3}$

Then the orbit $X=\left\{x_{0}^{w} \mid w \in W\right\}$ for a corner vector $x_{0}$ is a rigid spherical $m_{2}$-design if and only if it is tight.

There were two cases left open, namely the group $E_{8}$ and the isometry group $H_{4}$ of the four dimensional regular polytope, the 600 -cell. In this paper, we investigate the case of the group $E_{8}$ and $H_{4}$, and prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.5. Let $W\left(E_{8}\right)$ be the reflection groups of $E_{8}$. Then the orbit $X=$ $\left\{x_{0}^{w} \mid w \in W\left(E_{8}\right)\right\}$ for a corner vector $x_{0}$ is a rigid spherical 7-design if and only if it is tight (i.e. $x_{0}=v_{1}$ ).

Theorem 1.6. Let $W\left(H_{4}\right)$ be the reflection group $H_{4}$. Then the orbit $X=$ $\left\{x_{0}^{w} \mid w \in W\left(H_{4}\right)\right\}$ for a corner vector $x_{0}$ is a rigid spherical 11-design if and only if it is the 600-cell (i.e. $x_{0}=v_{1}$ ).

## 2 Group $E_{8}$

## Space $\quad \mathbb{R}^{8}$

## Dynkin diagram



Exponents $\quad 1,7,11,13,17,19,23,29$.
Reflection Group The order is $2^{14} 3^{5} 5^{2} 7$.

## Fundamental Roots

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1} & =[-2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0] \\
\alpha_{2} & =[0,-2,2,0,0,0,0,0] \\
\alpha_{3} & =[0,0,-2,2,0,0,0,0] \\
\alpha_{4} & =[0,0,0,-2,2,0,0,0] \\
\alpha_{5} & =[0,0,0,0,-2,2,0,0] \\
\alpha_{6} & =[0,0,0,0,0,-2,2,0] \\
\alpha_{7} & =[0,0,0,0,0,0,-2,2] \\
\alpha_{8} & =[1,1,1,1,1,-1,-1,-1]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corner vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=[-1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1] \\
& v_{2}=[0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1] \\
& v_{3}=[1,1,1,3,3,3,3,3] \\
& v_{4}=[1,1,1,1,2,2,2,2] \\
& v_{5}=[3,3,3,3,3,5,5,5] \\
& v_{6}=[1,1,1,1,1,1,2,2] \\
& v_{7}=[1,1,1,1,1,1,1,3] \\
& v_{8}=[1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

By computer search, using GAP, we get the orbits of $v_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 8$ as following.

|  | Cardinality | Vectors |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $v_{1}$ | 240 | $2^{2} 0^{6}, \mathrm{D} 1^{8}$ |
| $v_{2}$ | 6720 | $21^{2} 0^{5}, 1^{6} 0^{2}, \mathrm{D}(3 / 2)^{2}(1 / 2)^{6}$ |
| $v_{3}$ | 60480 | $62^{3} 0^{4}, 4^{3} 0^{5}, 4^{2} 2^{4} 0^{2}, \mathrm{E} 3^{5} 1^{3}, \mathrm{D} 53^{2} 1^{5}$ |
| $v_{4}$ | 241920 | $41^{4} 0^{3}, 2^{5} 0^{3}, 1^{3} 2^{2} 30^{2}$, |
|  |  | $\mathrm{E} 2^{4} 1^{4}, \mathrm{E}(5 / 2)^{3}(1 / 2)^{5}, \mathrm{E}(5 / 2)^{2}(3 / 2)^{3}(1 / 2)^{3}, \mathrm{D}(7 / 2)(3 / 2)^{3}(1 / 2)^{4}$ |
| $v_{5}$ | 483840 | $(10) 2^{5} 0^{2}, 6^{2} 4^{3} 0^{3}, 6^{3} 2^{3} 0^{2}, 84^{3} 2^{2} 0^{2}$, |
|  |  | $\mathrm{E} 5^{3} 3^{5}, \mathrm{E} 6^{2} 4^{2} 2^{4}, \mathrm{E} 75^{2} 3^{2} 1^{3}, \mathrm{D} 93^{4} 1^{3}$ |
| $v_{6}$ | 69120 | $31^{5} 0^{2}, 2^{3} 1^{2} 0^{3}, \mathrm{E} 2^{2} 1^{6}, \mathrm{E}(7 / 2)(1 / 2)^{7}, \mathrm{E}(5 / 2)(3 / 2)^{3}(1 / 2)^{4}$ |
| $v_{7}$ | 2160 | $40^{7}, 2^{4} 0^{4}, \mathrm{E} 31^{7}$ |
| $v_{8}$ | 17280 | $21^{4} 0^{3}, \mathrm{E} 1^{8}, \mathrm{E}(3 / 2)^{3}(1 / 2)^{5}, \mathrm{D}(5 / 2)(1 / 2)^{7}$ |

The full list of vectors is obtained by applying arbitrary permutations and signs to the vectors in the table, except that if the vector is prefixed by an E (resp. D) then an even (resp. odd) number of minus signs are required.

These orbits are spherical 7 -designs in $\mathbb{S}^{7}$ because the exponent $m_{2}=7$. By Fischer-type inequality, a spherical 7 -designs in $\mathbb{S}^{7}$ has at least 240 points. Therefore the orbit of $v_{1}$, which is the $E_{8}$ root system, is tight 7 -design in $\mathbb{S}^{7}$. We shall find the subset $Y$ in Lemma 1.1 to prove that other orbits are non-rigid. Indeed, the orbit of $v_{i}$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, 8$ contains the $E_{8}$ root system which is tight 7 -design in $\mathbb{S}^{7}$. The $E_{8}$ root system, which contained in the orbit, has the following fundamental roots.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The orbit of } v_{2} \\
& \alpha_{1}=[-2,-1,-1,0,0,0,0,0] \\
& \alpha_{2}=[0,1,2,-1,0,0,0,0] \\
& \alpha_{3}=[0,1,-1,2,0,0,0,0] \\
& \alpha_{4}=[1 / 2,-3 / 2,1 / 2,-1 / 2,-3 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2,1 / 2] \\
& \alpha_{5}=[0,0,0,0,1,0,1,-2] \\
& \alpha_{6}=[0,0,0,0,0,1,1,2] \\
& \alpha_{7}=[0,0,0,0,0,1,-2,-1] \\
& \alpha_{8}=[0,1,-1,-1,0,-1,-1,1] \\
& \text { The orbit of } v_{4} \\
& \alpha_{1}=[-4,-1,-1,-1,-1,0,0,0] \\
& \alpha_{2}=[1 / 2,3 / 2,5 / 2,5 / 2,3 / 2,-3 / 2,-1 / 2,1 / 2] \\
& \alpha_{3}=[1 / 2,-3 / 2,-3 / 2,-1 / 2,3 / 2,5 / 2,1 / 2,-5 / 2] \\
& \alpha_{4}=[0,1,0,0,-1,1,1,4] \\
& \alpha_{5}=[0,1,1,-3,1,-2,0,-2] \\
& \alpha_{6}=[0,0,1,2,-3,2,-1,-1] \\
& \alpha_{7}=[0,1,-3,0,2,-1,-2,1] \\
& \alpha_{8}=[1 / 2,-5 / 2,-3 / 2,5 / 2,-1 / 2,-3 / 2,3 / 2,1 / 2]
\end{aligned}
$$

## The orbit of $v_{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1} & =[-6,-2,-2,-2,0,0,0,0] \\
\alpha_{2} & =[0,4,4,4,0,0,0,0] \\
\alpha_{3} & =[2,-6,-2,2,0,0,0,0] \\
\alpha_{4} & =[0,2,2,-4,-4,-2,-2,0] \\
\alpha_{5} & =[0,0,0,0,2,2,6,-2] \\
\alpha_{6} & =[0,0,0,0,0,4,-4,4] \\
\alpha_{7} & =[0,0,0,0,2,-6,2,2] \\
\alpha_{8} & =[0,2,-4,2,0,-2,-4,-2]
\end{aligned}
$$

## The orbit of $v_{5}$

$\alpha_{1}=[-10,-2,-2,-2,-2,-2,0,0]$
$\alpha_{2}=[2,2,2,6,6,4,-4,-2]$
$\alpha_{3}=[0,0,4,-4,-2,2,8,4]$
$\alpha_{4}=[1,1,-1,5,-3,-7,-5,3]$
$\alpha_{5}=[0,0,2,-2,-2,2,2,-10]$
$\alpha_{6}=[0,2,-8,2,4,0,4,4]$
$\alpha_{7}=[0,0,2,-2,-6,6,-6,2]$
$\alpha_{8}=[1,-7,1,-3,5,-1,-3,5]$

## The orbit of $v_{6}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=[-7 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2] \\
& \alpha_{2}=[1,0,0,0,1,2,2,2] \\
& \alpha_{3}=[0,0,1,2,1,-2,-2,0] \\
& \alpha_{4}=[0,0,1,0,-2,1,2,-2] \\
& \alpha_{5}=[0,1,0,-2,2,1,-2,0] \\
& \alpha_{6}=[0,1,-2,1,0,-2,2,0] \\
& \alpha_{7}=[0,0,0,1,-2,2,-2,1] \\
& \alpha_{8}=[1 / 2,-5 / 2,1 / 2,-1 / 2,-3 / 2,-3 / 2,1 / 2,3 / 2]
\end{aligned}
$$

The orbit of $v_{8}$
$\alpha_{1}=[-5 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2,1 / 2]$
$\alpha_{2}=[1 / 2,-1 / 2,3 / 2,1 / 2,1 / 2,1 / 2,3 / 2,-3 / 2]$
$\alpha_{3}=[0,1,-2,0,1,1,-1,0]$
$\alpha_{4}=[0,0,1,1,-1,0,1,2]$
$\alpha_{5}=[0,0,1,0,1,-1,-2,-1]$
$\alpha_{6}=[0,1,-1,-1,0,-1,2,0]$
$\alpha_{7}=[0,0,0,1,-2,1,-1,-1]$
$\alpha_{8}=[1 / 2,-3 / 2,-1 / 2,-3 / 2,-1 / 2,3 / 2,1 / 2,1 / 2]$
It is well known that the $E_{8}$ root system is linear combination of the fundamental roots with integer coefficients all of the same sign (all non-negative or all non-positive). Moreover, by seeing [6, PLATE VII], we easily get the $E_{8}$ root system which is contained in the orbit. Therefore the orbits of the group $E_{8}$ are non-rigid spherical 7-designs except the $E_{8}$ root system.

## 3 Group $H_{4}$

This group is the isometry group of the 600 -cell acting on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

## Space $\quad \mathbb{R}^{4}$

## Dynkin diagram



Exponents 1,11,19,29
Reflection Group The order is 14400 .
Fundamental roots Corner vectors

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}=[-2,2,0,0] & v_{1}=[3-\sqrt{5}, 1+\sqrt{5}, 1+\sqrt{5}, \\
\alpha_{2}=[0,-2,2,0] & v_{2}=[2-2 \sqrt{5}, 2-2 \sqrt{5},-4,4] \\
\alpha_{3}=[0,0,-2,-2] & v_{3}=[10,10,10,-6 \sqrt{5}] \\
\alpha_{4}=[1,1,1, \sqrt{5}] & v_{4}=[4,4,4,-4]
\end{array}
$$

We get the orbits of $v_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3,4$ as following.

|  | Cardinality | Vectors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v_{1}$ | 120 | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{2} 0^{2}, \mathrm{E} 2^{3}(2 \sqrt{5}), \mathrm{D}(1+\sqrt{5})^{3}(3-\sqrt{5}) \\ & \mathrm{D}(-1+\sqrt{5})^{3}(3+\sqrt{5}) \end{aligned}$ |
| $v_{2}$ | 720 | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{2}(-2+2 \sqrt{5})^{2}, \mathrm{D} 2(2 \sqrt{5})^{2}(-4+2 \sqrt{5}), \mathrm{E} 2^{2} 6(-4+2 \sqrt{5}) \\ & 0^{2}(6-2 \sqrt{5})(2+2 \sqrt{5}), \mathrm{E}(7-\sqrt{5})(3-\sqrt{5})(1+\sqrt{5})^{2} \\ & \mathrm{D}(5-\sqrt{5})^{2}(3+\sqrt{5})(-1+\sqrt{5}), \mathrm{E}(-1+\sqrt{5})^{2}(3+\sqrt{5})(-3+3 \sqrt{5}) \\ & \mathrm{D}(3-\sqrt{5})^{2}(-1+3 \sqrt{5})(1+\sqrt{5}) \end{aligned}$ |
| $v_{3}$ | 1200 | $\begin{aligned} & 0^{2}(20)(4 \sqrt{5}), \mathrm{D}(10)^{3}(6 \sqrt{5}), \mathrm{E}(10)(2 \sqrt{5})(10-4 \sqrt{5})(10+4 \sqrt{5}) \\ & 0(4 \sqrt{5})^{2}(8 \sqrt{5}),(10-2 \sqrt{5})^{2}(10+2 \sqrt{5})^{2}, \mathrm{E}(10)(2 \sqrt{5})(6 \sqrt{5})^{2}, \\ & \mathrm{E}(5+3 \sqrt{5})^{3}(15-3 \sqrt{5}), \mathrm{E}(15-\sqrt{5})(-5+3 \sqrt{5})(5+\sqrt{5})(5+5 \sqrt{5}) \\ & \mathrm{D}(15+\sqrt{5})(-5+5 \sqrt{5})(5-\sqrt{5})(5+3 \sqrt{5}), \mathrm{E}(15+3 \sqrt{5})(-5+3 \sqrt{5})^{3} \\ & \mathrm{D}(5+\sqrt{5})^{2}(-5+7 \sqrt{5})(5+5 \sqrt{5}), \mathrm{E}(5-\sqrt{5})^{2}(5+7 \sqrt{5})(-5+5 \sqrt{5}) \end{aligned}$ |
| $v_{4}$ | 600 | $\begin{aligned} & 80^{3}, 4^{4}, 04(-2+2 \sqrt{5})(2+2 \sqrt{5}), \mathrm{E} 2(2 \sqrt{5})^{3}, \mathrm{D} 2^{2} 6(2 \sqrt{5}) \\ & \mathrm{E}(3+\sqrt{5})^{2}(5-\sqrt{5})(-1+\sqrt{5}), \mathrm{E}(3-\sqrt{5})^{2}(5+\sqrt{5})(1+\sqrt{5}) \\ & \mathrm{D}(1+\sqrt{5})^{3}(-1+3 \sqrt{5}), \mathrm{E}(-1+\sqrt{5})^{3}(1+3 \sqrt{5}) \end{aligned}$ |

These orbits are spherical 11-designs in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ because the exponent $m_{2}=11$. The orbit of $v_{1}$ is the 600 -cell which has 120 points. Boyvalenkov and Danev [8] proved that uniqueness of the 120 points spherical 11-design in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$. Of course, the uniqueness is stronger than the rigidity. The 600 -cell is the first reported rigid non-tight $t$-design for $t \geq 3$ and $d \geq 2$.

Each orbit of $v_{i}$ for $i=2,3,4$ contains the 600 -cell. Moreover the following proposition holds in the case of the group $H_{4}$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $W\left(H_{4}\right)$ denote the reflection group $H_{4}$. Every $W\left(H_{4}\right)$ orbit is disjoint union of orthogonal transformations of the 600-cell.

Proof. There exists the normal chain, such that

$$
W\left(H_{4}\right) \triangleright D\left(W\left(H_{4}\right)\right) \triangleright N \triangleright\left\{ \pm I_{4}\right\} .
$$

Here, $D\left(W\left(H_{4}\right)\right):=\left\langle x^{-1} y^{-1} x y \mid \forall x, y \in W\left(H_{4}\right)\right\rangle$ is the derived subgroup of $W\left(H_{4}\right)$ and $N$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \cdot A_{5}$ (non-splitting semi-direct product) where $A_{5}$ is alternating group on five symbols. The cardinality of $D\left(W\left(H_{4}\right)\right)$ is 7200 and that of $N$ is 120 .

Let $q_{i}$ be the dimension of the space of $N$-invariant harmonic polynomials
of degree $i$. The harmonic Molien series of $N$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_{i} \lambda^{i}= & \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{g \in N} \frac{1-\lambda^{2}}{\operatorname{det}\left(I_{4}-\lambda g\right)}  \tag{1}\\
= & \frac{1-\lambda^{2}}{120}\left\{\frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^{4}}+\frac{1}{(1+\lambda)^{4}}+\frac{30}{\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{2}}\right.  \tag{2}\\
& +\frac{20}{\left(1-\lambda+\lambda^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{20}{\left(1+\lambda+\lambda^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{12}{(\lambda-\exp (\pi i / 5))^{2}(\lambda-\exp (-\pi i / 5))^{2}} \\
& +\frac{12}{(\lambda-\exp (2 \pi i / 5))^{2}(\lambda-\exp (-2 \pi i / 5))^{2}} \\
& +\frac{12}{(\lambda-\exp (3 \pi i / 5))^{2}(\lambda-\exp (-3 \pi i / 5))^{2}} \\
& \left.+\frac{12}{(\lambda-\exp (4 \pi i / 5))^{2}(\lambda-\exp (-4 \pi i / 5))^{2}}\right\} \\
= & 1+13 \lambda^{12}+21 \lambda^{20}+25 \lambda^{24}+31 \lambda^{30}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore every orbit $x^{N}:=\left\{x^{w} \mid w \in N\right\}$ is spherical 11-design in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$.

By Fischer-Type inequality, if $X$ is spherical 11-design in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$, then the cardinality of $X$ is at least 112. Thus the stabilizer subgroup $N_{x}$ of any single point $x \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$ is trivial. Since 120 points spherical 11-design in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ is unique, every $N$-orbit is the 600 -cell. The orbit $x^{W\left(H_{4}\right)}$ is disjoint union of $N$-orbits. Therefore this proposition is proved.

Thus the orbits of the group $H_{4}$ are non-rigid spherical 11-designs except the 600-cell.

In the case of the group $E_{8}$, if the $E_{8}$ root system is removed from the orbit of the corner vectors, then the remaining set is also spherical 7 -design in $\mathbb{S}^{7}$. The reflection group of $E_{8}$ does not have the subgroup like $N$ which appeared in proof of the Proposition 3.1.

Problem 3.1. Let $v_{i}$ be corner vectors for $i=2,3, \ldots, 8$ and $W\left(E_{8}\right)$ denote reflection group $E_{8}$. Is the orbit $X:=\left\{v_{i}^{w} \mid w \in W\left(E_{8}\right)\right\}$ disjoint union of orthogonal transformations of the $E_{8}$ root system?

By using computer, we checked that the orbits of $v_{i}$ for $i=2,7,8$ are disjoint union of orthogonal transformations of the $E_{8}$ root system.

Remark:
(i) In the case of group $D_{4}$, one of the orbit of corner vectors is a cross polytope which is a tight 3 -design in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$. The orbits of corner vectors are disjoint union of orthogonal transformations of the cross polytope.
(ii) In the case of groups $A_{n}(n \geq 3)$, one of the orbits of corner vectors is a
regular simplex which is a tight 2-design in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Some orbits of corner vectors are not disjoint union of orthogonal transformations of the regular simplex.
(iii) In the case of groups $B_{n}(n \geq 3), C_{n}(n \geq 3)$ and $D_{n}(n \geq 5)$, one of the orbits of corner vectors is a cross polytope which is a tight 3 -design in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Some orbits of corner vectors are not disjoint union of orthogonal transformations of the cross polytope.
(vi) In the case of group $H_{3}$, one of the orbits of corner vectors is the icosahedron which is a tight 5 -design in $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. Some orbits of corner vectors are not disjoint union of orthogonal transformations of the icosahedron.
(v) In the case of group $E_{6}$, one of the orbits of corner vectors is a tight 4design in $\mathbb{S}^{5}$. Some orbits of corner vectors are not disjoint union of orthogonal transformations of the tight 4-design.
(iv) In the case of group $E_{7}$, one of the orbits of corner vectors is a tight 5design in $\mathbb{S}^{6}$. Some orbits of corner vectors are not disjoint union of orthogonal transformations of the tight 5-design.
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