Evaluation of the Effect of Paddy Irrigation on the Groundwater Quality

Yuge, Kozue Department of Bioproduction Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University

Anan, Mitsumasa Takasaki Sogo Consultant Co., Ltd.

Saptomo, Satyanto Krido Faculty of Agricultural Engineering, Bogor Agricultural University

Mori, Ken Department of Bioproduction Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University

https://doi.org/10.5109/10094

出版情報:九州大学大学院農学研究院紀要. 53 (1), pp.209-214, 2008-02-28. Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University バージョン: 権利関係:

Evaluation of the Effect of Paddy Irrigation on the Groundwater Quality

Kozue YUGE*, Mitsumasa ANAN¹, Satyanto Krido SAPTOMO² and Ken MORI³

Laboratory of Irrigation and Water Utilization, Division of Regional Environment Science, Department of Bioproduction Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812–8581, Japan (Received November 9, 2007 and accepted November 30, 2007)

The objective of this study is to evaluate the effect of the paddy irrigation on the groundwater quality. The groundwater and the canal water qualities are surveyed in a 600 ha study site, which is located in Kyushu Island, southwest of Japan. Total nitrogen (TN) of groundwater, irrigation canal water, and the drainage canal water are measured. The TN values of the groundwater are higher than the canal water. The measurement results indicate that the pollutant of the groundwater is transported from the outside of the study site. The groundwater flow simulation and particle tracking are conducted to clarify the pollution source of the study site. The results indicate that the groundwater quality is affected by the flow from the outside of the study site. The contaminant transport with the groundwater flow is simulated using the simulation model to predict the contaminant expansion during a year. To clarify the effect of the paddy irrigation of the land use condition assuming that all paddy fields are used as the upland field. The simulation result indicated that the paddy irrigation prevents the diffusion of pollutant expansion.

INTRODUCTION

Paddy irrigation is used not only to supply water, but also to create various environmental effects, including groundwater recharge, purification of the polluted water, flood mitigation, nitrogen cycle control, mitigation of local climate, preservation of ecosystem, and so on. The effect of the paddy irrigation on the groundwater is one of the most important functions. Anan *et al.* (2007) quantified the effect of the paddy irrigation water on the ground water recharge. Groundwater is a very significant water resource for industry, agriculture, and the general population. Therefore, it is very important to monitor groundwater quality for preservation and purification purposes.

The paddy soil effect on the purification of irrigation water has been studied previously (Ogawa and Sakai, 1985; Ishikawa, 1992). However, the paddy irrigation effect on the groundwater quality has not yet been clarified. The objective of this study is to evaluate the effect of the paddy irrigation on the groundwater quality. The groundwater and the canal water qualities were surveyed in a 600 ha study site, which is located in Kyushu Island, southwest of Japan. The groundwater analysis and particle tracking were conducted to clarify the pollution source of the groundwater. Additionally, the contaminant transport with the groundwater flow was simulated to predict the contaminant expansion.

FIELD OBSERVATION

Study site

To evaluate the effect of the paddy irrigation water on the groundwater quality, measurement and the simulation of the groundwater quality were conducted in a 600 ha study site, which is located on the left bank of the Chikugo River (Fig. 1). The Chikugo River flows in Kyushu Island (southwest of Japan), and the basin area and length of the Chikugo are about 2860 km² and 143 km, respectively. About 60,000 ha paddy fields are supplied with irrigation water by this river. The south border of the study site is formed by a drainage canal. Irrigation water in the study site is supplied from Ohishi diversion weir. Following the permitted water right, a maximum 16 m³/s of water is allowed to be diverted during the irrigation period. The irrigation period in the study site is from June to October.

Farmland areas in the study site accounted for about 380 ha. The paddy field, upland field, and fallow field areas were about 240 ha, 110 ha, and 30 ha, respectively. The remaining areas were used for residences, roads, and other urban uses.



Fig. 1. Map of study site.

* Corresponding author (E-mail: yuge@bpes.kyushu-u.ac.jp)

¹ Takasaki Sogo Consultant Co., Ltd.

² Faculty of Agricultural Engineering, Bogor Agricultural University

³ Laboratory of Bioproduction and Environment Information Sciences, Division of Bioproduction and Environment Information Sciences, Department of Bioproduction Environmental Sciences, Faculty of agriculture, Kyushu University

Groundwater and river water levels measurements

There were four groundwater level gauges (No. 1 to No. 4) and four river water level gauges (No. 5 to No. 8) in the study site, as shown in Fig. 1. The diameters and depths of the observation wells were 0.15 m and 5.5 m, respectively. Water levels were recorded automatically in the data logger at one-hour intervals during the entire year. The distance between the wells and the river was 500 m to 700 m, except for the downstream well, which was 50 m from the Chikugo River. Figure 2 shows the observed groundwater levels during one year (2006). The groundwater levels at all wells increased abruptly when irrigation began. The maximum increases were from 2 m to 2.5 m during the irrigation period. When the irrigation period was complete, the groundwater level gradually decreased.

Groundwater and river water quality survey

The groundwater was sampled in four points (shown in Fig. 1) every week or two. Additionally, the water sampling was done at a drainage canal at No. 5, No. 6, and No. 7 (shown in Fig. 1). Irrigation water was sampled at No. 8 (shown in Fig. 1). Total nitrogen (TN) was measured by the persulfate digestion method. The period of survey was from 27th November 2005 to 19th December 2006. The TN measurements are shown in Fig. 3. Additionally, the precipitation during this period is shown in Fig. 4

The TN of the downstream drainage canal is larger than the upstream. The values of the drainage canal water are lower than the groundwater values. The TN of the groundwater changes drastically during the non-irrigation period, compared with the values of the irrigation period.

Figure 5 shows the spatial changes of TN. The tendencies of the water quality variation from the upstream to the downstream are not shown in this figure. The result indicates that the pollutant of the groundwater is transported from outside of the study site.



Fig. 3. Changes of the total nitrogen of groundwater and canal water.





Fig. 5. Spatial variation of the total nitrogen.

METHODLOGY

Groundwater flow modeling

MODFLOW was used for groundwater flow modeling. In this model, groundwater flow can be described using a three–dimensional equation as follows:

$$S\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(k_{x}h\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(k_{y}h\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(k_{z}h\frac{\partial H}{\partial z}\right) + Q + L \tag{1}$$

where S is effective porosity (-); H is hydraulic head (m); t is time (d); k is the hydraulic conductivity (m/d); h is sectional length of the groundwater flow or the saturated thickness (m); x, y, and z are the rows, columns, and layers of the modeled system, respectively; Q is the infiltration of water from surface (m/d); and L is the outflow rate from the region (m/d) (McDonald and Harbaugh, 1988).

The water balance at the ground surface can be described as follows:

$$\sum (R+A) - \sum (E+O+Q) = 0 \tag{2}$$

where *R* is precipitation (m/d), *A* is irrigation (m/d), *E* is evapotranspiration (m/d), and *O* is runoff (m/d). Infiltration of water from surface Q (m/d) can be calculated by substituting *R*, *A*, *E*, and *O* for eq. (2).

In the calculation, the land use conditions were classified as paddy fields, upland fields, and residential areas. Most of the fallow fields were classified as upland fields. The ponded fallow fields used for weed control were classified as paddy fields.

During the irrigation period (from June 1 to September 30), the amount of the irrigation water A was set as 30 mm/d, which was observed as the lot water requirement reported by Anan *et al.* (2004). Like the paddy fields in the non-irrigation period, the other land during one year did not take in water, so irrigation water A was assumed to be 0.

Evapotranspiration E in eq. (2) was calculated as follows:

$$E = K_c E T_p \tag{3}$$

where K_c is the crop coefficient, and ET_p is the reference evapotranspiration.

The K_c of the rice paddy in Kyushu Island, including the study site, was estimated during the paddy growth period by National Agricultural Research Center for Kyushu Okinawa Region (1999). ET_p was estimated by the Penman method:

$$ET_{p} = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} \frac{R_{net}}{l} + \frac{\gamma}{\Delta + \gamma} f(u_2) (e_{sa} - e_a)$$
(4)

where R_{net} is net radiation (MJ/m²), l is latent heat of vaporization of water (MJ/kg), Δ is rate of change of saturation vapor pressure with temperature (hPa/deg), γ is psychrometer constant (hPa/deg), e_a is partial pressure of water vapor in air (hPa), e_{sa} is saturation vapor pressure of water vapor (hPa), and $f(u_2)$ is wind function described using the wind velocity at 2 m height u_2 (m/s). The meteorological data stated as above is obtained from Amagi weather station.

Runoff *O* was calculated as a fraction of rainfall by the following equation:

$$O=aR$$
 (5)

where a is the runoff coefficient. The average values of a in paddy, upland, and residence are assumed were 0.7, 0.52, and 0.9, respectively. During the non-irrigation period, a of paddy fields was replaced by 0.52. The precipitation R was obtained from the weather station database.

Particle tracking

To clarify the pollution source of the groundwater, the particle tracking method was adopted in this study. This method was developed for the groundwater flow model by Pollock (1998) and Bair *et al.* (1990).

Figure 6 shows the schematic view of the particle tracking method. Contaminants are transported in groundwater by advection, i.e., the movement of a solute at the speed of the average linear velocity of groundwater (v).

$$v = K/n_e \tag{6}$$

where K is the hydraulic conductivity tensor and n_e is the effective porosity.

Particles are tracked along pathlines by solving

$$dx/dt = v_x$$
(7a)

$$dy/dt = v_y$$
(7b)

$$dz/dt = v_z$$
(7c)

A semianalytical solution of eq.(7) is possible if a linear velocity interpolation scheme is used. Then the solution of eq.(7a) can be described as follows:

$$x_{p}=x_{1}+(1/A)\cdot\nu_{xp}\cdot\exp(A_{x}\Delta t)-\nu_{x2}$$
(8)

$$A_x = (\nu_{x2} - \upsilon_{x1}) / \Delta x_{i,j} \tag{9}$$

where v_{x_1} and v_{x_2} are the velocities at either end of the



Fig. 6. Schematic view of the particle tracking.

cell. In eq.(8), x is the x coordinate of the edge of the cell and x_p is calculated directly from eq.(8).

Contaminant transport

To predict the pollutant expansion with the groundwater flow, the contaminant transport simulation was conducted. The governing equation of the contaminant transport pollutant of the groundwater can be described as follows:

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}(\nu_{i}C) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(D_{ij}\frac{\partial C}{\partial x_{j}}\right) - \lambda\left(C + \rho_{b}\frac{S}{\theta}\right) \pm \frac{q_{s}}{\theta}C_{s}$$
$$=R\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}$$
(10)

where *C* is the concentration, D_{ij} is the diffusion tensor, *R* is the retardation factor, *S* is the sorbed, q_s is the flow, θ is the volumetric water content, ρ is the density, and ν is the groundwater velocity. Each term describes the advection, dispersion, reaction, sink (or source), and retardation, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Flow pathlines estimation

The groundwater flow simulation and the particle tracking were conducted using the MODFLOW.

The particles were set at the south and east ends of the study site to clarify the pollution source of the groundwater, as shown in Fig. 7. Figure 8 shows the result of the particle tracking. The flow paths are bent toward to the Chikugo River, at the vicinity of No. 1. At the upstream part of No. 2, the particles set at the south



20davs

Fig. 8. Groundwater flow paths by the particle tracking.

part of the study site run the length of the study site. And at the downstream of No. 2, the particles gradually move toward the Chikugo River. The result indicates that the groundwater quality in the study site is affected by the south land use condition.

Effect of the paddy irrigation on the contaminant transport

The contaminant transport simulation was conducted using the advection and dispersion terms described in eq.(10), and the other terms are neglected. To clarify the effect of the paddy irrigation of the contaminant transport, the pollutant expansion was simulated under present land use condition, and the land use condition assuming that all paddy fields are used as the upland field. Figure 9 shows the concentration condition using the simulation. Considering the land use condition out of the study site, a high-concentration load was given to the area shown in Fig. 9. This area is categorized as the residential area and the orchard. The changes of the concentration distribution simulated by this method are shown in Fig. 10. The simulation was conducted during a year. In the study site, the irrigation period starts in June 1, and goes to September 30. Figure 9 shows that, under the present land use condition, the diffusion is prevented from June to October, because the paddy irrigation water recharges the groundwater, and the groundwater flow is active. In December, the groundwater level is at the lowest of the year, and the pollutant is transported gradually toward the Chikugo River.



Fig. 7. Positions of the particles for tracking.



Fig. 9. Position of the pollution source.



Fig. 10. Spatial distribution of the total nitrogen concentration.

Under the land use condition assuming that all paddy fields are used as the upland field, the pollutant expansion in June is remarkable because there is no the groundwater recharge by the paddy irrigation. The area of the pollutant transport is larger than the one under the present land use condition. In October, the pollutant reaches around No. 1. The pollutant is transported near the Chikugo River in December.

CONCLUSIONS

To evaluate the effect of the paddy irrigation on the groundwater quality, the groundwater and the canal water qualities were surveyed. The measurement result indicated that the TN of the groundwater is higher than that of the irrigation and drainage canal water during the irrigation period. The effect of paddy irrigation on the groundwater quality could not be verified. The result indicates that the pollutant of the groundwater is transported from the outside of the study site.

Groundwater flow simulation and particle tracking were conducted in the study site to clarify the pollution source of the groundwater. The result indicated that the groundwater quality was affected by the flow from the outside of the study site. The management of the groundwater quality should be conducted at the south part of the study site.

The contaminant transport was simulated to predict

the contaminant expansion during a year. To clarify the effect of the paddy irrigation of the contaminant transport, the pollutant expansion was simulated under present land use condition, and the land use condition assuming that all paddy fields are used as the upland field. The simulation result indicated that the paddy irrigation is effective for not only the groundwater recharge, but also for the prevention of the expansion of the groundwater pollution and preservation of the Chikugo River water quality.

The method introduced in this study is effective for the clarification of the pollution source of the groundwater, and the prediction of the pollutant expansion of the groundwater.

REFERENCES

- Anan, M, K. Yuge, Y. Nakano, T. Funakoshi and T. Haraguchi 2004 The relationship between water intake rates, paddy ponding depth, and farmers' water management techniques, *Paddy and Water Environment*, **2(1)**: 11–18
- Anan, M., K. Yuge, Y. Nakano, S. K. Saptomo and T. Haraguchi 2007 Quantification of the effect of rice paddy area changes on recharging groundwater, *Paddy and Water*

Environment, 5(1): 41-44

- Bair, E. S., Sheets, R. A., and Eberts, S. M. 1990 Particle– tracking analysis of flow paths and travel times from hypothetical spill sites within the capture area of a wellfield, *Ground Water*, **28(6)**: 884–892
- Eberts, S. M., and Bair, E. S. 1990 Simulated effects of quarry dewatering near a municipal well field, *Ground Water*, 28(1): 37–47
- Ishikawa, M., Tabuchi T., Yamaji E., and Nakajima J. 1992 Influence of concentration of nitrogen and percolation on water quality purification and growth of rice, *Transactions* of the Japanese society of irrigation, drainage and reclamation engineering, **159**: 91–99 (in Japanese)
- McDonald, M. G. and Harbaugh, A. W. 1988 A modular threedimensional finite-difference ground water flow model. Techniques of water-resources investigations of the U. S. Geological Survey, Book 6
- National Agricultural Research Center for Kyushu Okinawa Region 1999 Research Bulletin of the National Agricultural Research Center for Kyushu Okinawa Region, **14**: 553–554 (in Japanese)
- Ogawa, Y. and Sakai, K. 1983 Effects of nitrate enrichment of irrigation water on nitrogen balance in a paddy field, *Journal of the science of soil and manure, Japan*, **56(1)**: 1–9 (in Japanese)
- Pollock, D. W. (1988), Semianalytical computation of path lines for finite-difference method, Ground Water, 26(6): 743– 750